An annual 30 m cultivated pasture dataset of the Tibetan Plateau from 1988 to 2021

Binghong Han¹, Jian Bi², Shengli Tao³, Tong Yang², Yongli Tang², Mengshuai Ge³, Hao Wang⁴, Zhenong Jin³, Jinwei Dong⁵, Zhibiao Nan¹, Jin-Sheng He^{1,3}

- 5 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Herbage Improvement and Grassland Agro-Ecosystems, and College of Pastoral Agriculture Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
 - ² College of Earth and Environmental Sciences, and Center for Remote Sensing of Ecological Environments in Cold and Arid Regions, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
- ³ Institute of Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, and Key Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes of the
 Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
 - ⁴ State Key Laboratory of Seed Innovation and Grassland Agro-ecosystems, and College of Ecology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

⁵ Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

Correspondence to: Jin-Sheng He (jshe@pku.edu.cn) or Jian Bi (bijian@lzu.edu.cn)

- 15 Abstract. Cultivated pastures have rapidly developed across the Tibetan Plateau over the past several decades, raising concerns about grassland degradation. Accordingly, considerable attention is focused on the protection of Tibetan grassland ecosystems. However, high-resolution spatial distribution of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau remains poorly understood, primarily due to the difficulty of discriminating cultivated pastures from other land cover types using remote sensing techniques. The absence of such information hinders efficient agricultural and livestock husbandry management, making it challenging to
- 20 support ecological protection and restoration efforts. Here, we mapped the cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau at a 30m resolution for the years 1988 to 2021 using Landsat data on the Google Earth Engine (GEE) cloud computing platform. We built a Random Forest (RF) binary classification model with inputs of the spectral-temporal metrics of Landsat data acquired in the growing season, as well as ancillary topographic data. The model was trained using carefully selected training samples and validated against 2,000 independent random reference points in two pilot study regions with different climates and
- 25 landscapes. The model achieved an overall accuracy of $97.05\% \pm 0.4\%$ and an F1 spatial consistency score of $82.51\% \pm 14.22\%$ (Precision: $90.04\% \pm 6.18\%$, Recall: $76.74\% \pm 9.91\%$), suggesting high confidence in mapping the distribution of cultivated pastures on the plateau. Using the RF model, we then produced a dataset of cultivated pasture maps for the years from 1988 to 2021 for Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region on the Tibetan Plateau, covering 77% of the plateau. At both the province and county levels, the cultivated pasture areas estimated in this study matched well with government statistics in
- 30 recent years. The area of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau experienced a significant expansion from 0.46 Mha in 1988 to 1.57 Mha in 2021, with the average annual growth of 33.5 ± 2.5 Kha. To our knowledge, we are the first to map cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau, and our RF binary classification approach holds promise in identifying cultivated pastures in

other regions of the world, which could prove invaluable for scientists, policymakers, ecological conservation practitioners, and herdsmen. The dataset is available on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14271782 (Han et al., 2024).

35 1 Introduction

50

Grasslands on the Tibetan Plateau play essential roles in carbon, water, and nutrient cycles (Chen et al., 2022; Piao et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022), in maintaining biodiversity (He et al., 2024), in mediating energy balances (Chang et al., 2021), and in supporting the livelihoods of millions of pastoralists (Fuglie et al., 2021; Hou et al., 2021). However, under the joint influence of climate change (He et al. 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020) and human activity (Ding et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020; Y

- 40 2022; Li et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022), Tibetan grasslands face serious degradation problems (Bardgett et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2023). Numerous ecological restoration measures have been implemented in the past two decades to address the problem of grassland degradation on the plateau (Bardgett et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2023) and to improve the welfare of Tibetan pastoral communities (Fuglie et al., 2021; Hou et al., 2021). The establishment of cultivated pastures, which is common in western developed countries (Vroey et al., 2022), is encouraged in developing countries as one
- 45 of these efforts (Wang and Zhang, 2023).

Cultivated pastures are also known as tame grasslands/pastures (Fisher et al., 2018; McInnes et al., 2015), agricultural grasslands (Zalite et al., 2016), green fodder lands (Yang et al., 2021), or planted pastures (Parente et al., 2017). Cultivated pastures primarily cultivate alfalfa, silage corn, forage oat, ryegrass, or similar crops. The vegetation spectral signals of these cultivated pastures are similar to those of conventional croplands during peak growing seasons. However, cultivated pastures are generally harvested before reaching full maturity to optimize nutrient retention and maintain palatability. As a result, the duration of vegetation spectral signals between conventional croplands and cultivated pastures at the end of the growing season (Ashourloo et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2021).

Mapping cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau is important for the following reasons. Firstly, cultivated pastures 55 provide substantial amounts of forage for livestock, the main economic income of Tibetan pastoralists (Fuglie et al., 2021; 55 Hou et al., 2021). Secondly, cultivated pastures are essential for the ecological conservation and restoration efforts in this 56 ecologically fragile area by reducing grazing pressure on natural grasslands (Kumar et al., 2019; Fang et al., 2016). Thirdly, 57 encouraging cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau has led to considerable changes in land use and land cover. These 58 cultivated pastures, if well planned, can have significant impacts on ecosystem services and biodiversity conservation (Chen

60 et al., 2021; Dong et al., 2022); if not well planned, they will result in ecosystem degradation that will be difficult to restore in the extreme environments.

Satellite remote sensing is an essential tool for mapping cultivated pastures (McInnes et al., 2015; Ashourloo et al., 2018; Fisher et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). For example, McInnes et al. (2015) used MODIS data to discriminate native and non-native grasslands in a dry mixed prairie in Canada, with an overall accuracy of 73% assessed by independent

- validation. Ashourloo et al. (2018) identified alfalfa fields in Iran and the United States using Landsat time series data, and the 65 overall accuracy reached above 90% by cross-validation, although their method did not require a very dense number of valid observations. LiDAR data has been used to distinguish cultivated grasslands from natural grasslands, as in one study in southwestern Saskatchewan, Canada, and an overall accuracy of 96 % was achieved (Fisher et al. 2018). Satellite remote sensing has also been used to map pastoral lands in China. Yang et al. (2021) used Landsat data during the growing season to
- 70 map green fodder fields in the northeastern Tibetan Plateau in 2010, 2015, and 2019, and achieved overall accuracies of 94.2%, 93.1%, and 96.6%. They found that the green fodder lands in northeastern Tibetan Plateau expanded from 16.3 km² in 2010 to 136.1 km² in 2019, 7.35 times the initial area. Wang et al. (2022) identified oat pastures in Shandan County of Gansu Province using Sentinel-2 data from 2019 to 2021, with an overall accuracy of 98% assessed by cross-validation. They found that the area of cultivated oat pastures decreased from 347.8 km² in 2019 to 318.9 km² in 2021.
- 75 While a number of studies have mapped cultivated pastures (Ashourloo et al., 2018; Fisher et al., 2018; McInnes et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2021), many mapped cultivated pastures that grow certain types of tame grass species. such as alfalfa (Ashourloo et al., 2018), oat (Wang et al., 2022), and rapeseed (Yang et al., 2021); few studies focused on the mapping of general cultivated pastures, especially in the harsh environments on the Tibetan Plateau. The temporal evolution of the distribution of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau, which is of great interest to policymakers and researchers,

remains poorly understood. 80

> Therefore, the aims of the study are (1) to develop a method for mapping general cultivated pastures using satellite remote sensing data, (2) to clarify important technical details for successful mapping of general cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau, and (3) to understand the temporal evolution of the spatial distribution of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau.

2 Study region

- The Tibetan Plateau spans from 25°59' E to 40°04' E in latitude and from 73°29' N to 104°40' N in longitude, with an average 85 elevation of over 4,000 m (Fig. 1). The region has a continental plateau climate, with an annual mean temperature of 2.0°C and an annual mean precipitation of 373.5 mm (Zhang et al., 2023). The growing season lasts from April to October (Wang et al., 2020). The land cover types include grasslands, deserts, croplands, forests, etc. (Fig. 1). The Tibetan Plateau is the habitat of over 50 million Tibetan sheep and 13 million yaks (Cheng et al., 2016), which rely on natural grasslands and cultivated
- 90 pastures for their forage. The dominant native grass species in this region mainly include Stipa aliena, Carex przewalskii, and Kobresia deasyi (Jia et al., 2019). The cultivated grass species are Elymus nutans, Medicago sativa, Poa crymophila, Lolium perenne, Avena sativa and silage Zea mays (Fig. 2). Our study region is geographically limited to Qinghai and Tibet, which together comprise approximately 77% of the Tibetan Plateau.

During the summer of 2021, we conducted a field campaign in Oinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region on the 95 Tibetan Plateau. We travelled 4,280 km and visited the counties of Gonghe, Xinghai, Tongde, Guinan, Guide, Kangma,

Linzhou, Sajia, Dangxiong, Longzi, and Nanmulin. These counties are referred to as the pilot study regions in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. The land cover types of the study region and the distribution of the pilot study regions in Qinghai and Tibet. The land cover data source: the Resource and Environment Science and Data Center (*http://www.resdc.cn/*) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. The binary classification model for mapping cultivated pastures was trained and validated in the pilot study regions.



Figure 2. Photographs of the cultivated pastures visited in the 2021 field campaign on the Tibetan Plateau. (a) Dahurian wildrye (*Elymus nutans*), (b) alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), (c) annual bluegrass (*Poa crymophila*), (d) ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), (e) oat (*Avena sativa*), (f) silage corn (*Zea mays*).

3 Methods

We used surface reflectance (SR) data from the Thematic Mapper (TM), Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+), and Operational Land Imager (OLI) sensors onboard the Landsat satellites (Wulder et al., 2022) to map cultivated pastures on the

- 110 Tibetan Plateau. The mapping algorithm classified the spectral-temporal metrics of each pixel into two categories: *cultivated pasture* and *other*. *Other* include natural grasslands, forests, croplands, deserts, water bodies, etc. The Random Forest (Breiman, 2001) method was used as the classification algorithm. Since we were interested in the identification of cultivated pastures rather than other land cover types, the RF model was designed as a binary classification model. The Random Forest binary classification model used in this study was trained with representative land cover type samples carefully selected during the
- 115 field campaign or with the aid of high spatial resolution images on Google Earth. The inputs to the binary classification model were the spectral-temporal metrics of Landsat visible and infrared bands, spectral indices, as well as ancillary topographical information. The binary classification algorithm was implemented on Google Earth Engine (GEE; Gorelick et al., 2017) cloud computing platform using the geoscience data stored on it.

3.1 Data

120 To map cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau, we used the surface reflectance (SR) data from the Landsat 5, 7, and 8 satellites (Roy et al., 2014) in the visible and infrared bands in the years from 1988 to 2021. Globally, the Landsat 5 TM data were available from March 1984 to June 2013, the Landsat 7 ETM+ data available since April 1999, and the Landsat 8 OLI since February 2013. The band settings of OLI are different from those of TM and ETM+, so we used a conversion procedure (Roy et al., 2016) to convert the SR of TM and ETM+ to that of OLI. This way, the RF binary classification model trained

- 125 with the Landsat 8 data in 2021 as inputs can be applied to historical periods when TM or ETM+ data were available. The Landsat data have a spatial resolution of 30 meters and a temporal frequency of 16 days (Wulder et al., 2019). The Land Surface Reflectance Code (LaSRC; Vermote et al., 2018) was used to perform atmospheric correction. The data also include Quality Assessment (OA) fields produced with the CFMask method (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014), which label clouds, cloud shadows, snow, water, and pixel saturation. We used Landsat data during the growing season (April to October) on the
- 130 Tibetan Plateau, as there is little vegetation signal during the non-growing season (Wang et al., 2020). The OA fields in the Landsat data were used to mask out clouds, cloud shadows, snow, and pixel saturation. Although the nominal temporal frequency of Landsat data is 16 days, the actual valid observations tend to have a temporal frequency of more than 16 days due to cloud, cloud shadow, and snow interference. Moreover, due to the side-overlapping of Landsat scenes, some locations have more valid observations compared to others. There were more valid Landsat observations in recent years than in earlier
- 135 vears (Fig. S1). The striping patterns in Figure S1 arise from the overlapping paths of Landsat swaths (Zhang et al. 2022). In addition to the SR, we also used seven spectral indices including Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI; Tucker, 1979), Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI; Liu and Huete, 1995), Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR; López García and Caselles, 1991), Normalized Difference Built-Up Index (NDBI; Zha et al., 2003), Normalized Difference Phenology Index (NDPI; Wang et al., 2017), Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI; Gao, 1996), and Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI; Xu, 2006). Using these spectral indices can expedite the land cover classification efficiency, at both
- 140

Topography can affect the growth conditions of grasses. Cultivated pastures are typically located on flat terrains to facilitate the use of automated machinery for ploughing and harvesting, hence topography features may be useful to identify cultivated pastures. In our study region, we characterized the topography using the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital

145 Elevation Model (DEM) data (Farr et al., 2007), which had a spatial resolution of 30 meters. The RF binary classification model's inputs included slope, aspect, and hill shade derived from elevation.

3.2 Spectral-temporal metrics

the training and classification stages.

Remotely sensed vegetation spectrums are characterized by high reflectance in near-infrared wavelengths and low reflectance in visible wavelengths (Tian et al., 2023). During the peak growing season, many vegetation types exhibit similar spectral 150 features (Zeng et al., 2022). We used satellite remote sensing data throughout the growing season because vegetation has different phenological profiles due to species composition and human management (Dong et al., 2016; Parente et al., 2017). We used some key metrics from among all spectral-temporal data to reduce the computation burden while maintaining accuracy (Parente et al., 2019, 2017; Parente and Ferreira, 2018; Wang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2021). Specifically, we used three descriptive statistical metrics, namely the 25%, 50%, and 75% quantiles of remote sensing data during the growing season

155 instead of the complete time series of all available Landsat data during the growing season (Aghighi et al., 2018; Moon et al., 2021). We referred to these descriptive statistical metrics of remote sensing data as spectral-temporal metrics. The spectraltemporal metrics inherently contain vegetation phenological information that can be used to classify land covers. The quantiles were used as independent input variables in the Random Forest classification model and did not interact with one another. As is standard with Random Forest models, the importance of each input variable is determined during the training process and is

160 subsequently applied uniformly across all pixels in the classification. In summary, we used the 25%, 50%, and 75% quantiles of the time series of all available Landsat visible and infrared SR, seven spectral indices (i.e., NDVI, EVI, NBR, NDBI, NDPI, NDWI, and MNDWI) in the growing season.

3.3 The training samples

In the pilot study region of Qinghai Province, we visited 81 cultivated pastures. Of these, 40 were Dahurian wildrye (Elymus

- 165 nutans) fields, 11 were miscanthus (Elymus sibiricus) fields, 6 were annual bluegrass (Poa crymophila) fields, 4 were ryegrass (Lolium perenne) fields, 2 were Guinea grass (Roegneria grandiglumis) fields, and 18 were oat (Avena sativa) fields. In the pilot study region of the Tibet Autonomous Region, we visited 114 cultivated pastures. Of these fields, 62 were oat pasture (Avena sativa), 33 were alfalfa (Medicago sativa), 10 were silage corn (Zea mays), and 9 were Dahurian wildrye (Elymus nutans). The detailed number and area of the visited cultivated pastures are listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 3a and Fig. 3c.
- 170 The boundaries of the visited cultivated pastures were recorded using a handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) device. Fig. 3a and 3c show the spatial distribution of the two land cover categories (*cultivated pasture* and *other*) in the pilot study regions used to train the RF binary classification model. The number and area of the training polygons for *cultivated pasture* and *other* are summarized in Table 2.



Figure 3. The spatial distribution of the training polygons and the validation points in the pilot study regions. The training polygons (a, c) were recorded during the 2021 field campaign, and the 1,000 independent random validation points (b, d) in each pilot study region were labelled with the aid of high-resolution images on Google Earth.

Table 1. Summary of the type, number, and area of the cultivated pastures visited during the 2021 summer field campaign in the pilot study regions.

Cultivated pasture type	Number of polygons	Number of pixels	Area (ha)
Qinghai Province			
Dahurian wildrye (Elymus nutans)	41	40016	3601.4
Miscanthus (Elymus sibiricus)	8	6931	623.8
Annual bluegrass (Poa crymophila)	8	3748	337.3
Ryegrass (Lolium perenne)	4	3027	272.4
Guinea grass (Roegneria grandiglumis)	2	1083	97.5

Oat (Avena sativa)	18	28388	2554.9
Total	81	83193	7487.3
The Tibet Autonomous Region			
Oat (Avena sativa)	62	13666	1229.9
Alfalfa (Medicago sativa)	33	2223	200.1
Silage corn (Zea mays)	10	1539	138.5
Dahurian wildrye (Elymus nutans)	9	482	43.4
Total	114	17910	1611.9

180

190

Table 2. Summary of the training polygons in the pilot study regions.

Region	Land cover category	Number of polygons	Number of pixels	Area (ha)
	Cultivated pasture	81	83193	7487.4
Qinghai Province	Other	465	144818	13033.6
	Total	546	228011	20521.0
	Cultivated pasture	114	17910	1611.9
The Tibet Autonomous Region	Other	1429	31537	2838.3
	Total	1543	49447	4450.2

3.4 The government statistics data

China Agricultural Press in Beijing published the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics* (e.g., Li and Wang, 2017), in which the areas of cultivated pastures were reported at the province level. The area of cultivated pastures at the province level were

185 summed from the county-level areas of cultivated pastures, which were from the county-level governments' cultivated pasture census data. However, the areas of cultivated pastures at the county level were not reported in the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics*.

From 2010 to 2017, the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics* considered all grasslands intervened by humans as cultivated pastures, including purely cultivated pastures and grasslands improved by human activities (i.e. seed-sowing and grazing prohibition). From 2001 to 2009 and from 2018 to 2021, the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics* only considered grasslands with ploughing and seed-sowing management practices as cultivated pastures. The statical caliber in the years from 2010 to

2017 was more reasonable and agreed with our definition of cultivated pastures.

Furthermore, we collected some county-level statistics data (13 counties in Qinghai and 12 counties in Tibet) of the areas of cultivated pastures for 2021 from the Qinghai Province Bureau of Forestry and Grassland as well as the Bureau of

195 Agriculture and Rural Affairs of the Tibet Autonomous Region. We collected these county-level statistics data for comparison with the areas of cultivated pastures mapped through remote sensing.

3.5 The binary classification algorithm

A RF binary classification model was used to identify cultivated pastures in the study region (Fig. 4). The classification model

- 200 consisted of 500 trees and used the spectral-temporal metrics of the remote sensing data (SR in the seven Landsat visible and infrared bands, NDVI, EVI, NBR, NDBI, NDPI, NDWI, and MNDWI) in the growing season as well as the ancillary topographic data as inputs. The RF binary classification model was trained using the training polygons shown in Fig. 3a and Fig. 3c. The training process on the GEE platform took 10 minutes. The RF binary classification model generated the likelihood of each pixel belonging to the two land cover categories (*cultivated pasture* and *other*) and classified the pixel to the land cover
- 205 category with the higher likelihood.

The RF binary classification model was assessed in the pilot study regions using the validation points (ref. Section 3.6). When the classification overall accuracy was not satisfactory (less than 90%), the model was adjusted by refining the training polygons by excluding cultivated pasture polygons with possible mixed pixel problems until the overall accuracy was over 95%.

210 3.6 Accuracy assessment and area estimation

To evaluate the accuracy of the cultivated pasture mapping, we used 1,000 randomly selected independent validation points in the pilot study region of Qinghai Province and another 1,000 in the pilot study region of the Tibet Autonomous Region, as shown in Figures 3b and 3d. Independent validation was used rather than cross-validation to avoid overestimating the accuracy of classified land cover maps (Foody, 2002; Friedl et al., 2000). Two authors independently labeled the land cover type of each

- 215 validation site as either cultivated pastures or not using high spatial resolution images on Google Earth. To visualize the spatial extent of a validation site, a 30-meter radius buffer circle with the validation site as the center was introduced. The authors used field knowledge gained during the 2021 campaign to label the validation sites. For instance, forage production companies in the pilot study regions typically managed cultivated pastures using heavy mechanical machines. As a result, tractor furrows were present, but field ridges were not. In contrast, many field ridges were visible in conventional croplands managed by small
- 220 household farmers.

When both interpretations agreed, the identified land cover category was assigned to the validation point. In cases when the two interpretations did not agree, a third author was invited to resolve the conflict. The land cover category determined by the three coauthors was then assigned to the validation point. The labeled independent random validation reference points were illustrated in Fig. 3b and 3d and summarized in Table 3.

225 The overall, producer's, and user's accuracies of the trained RF binary classification model in the pilot study regions were calculated. Since this was a binary classification, the F1 spatial consistency score (based on precision and recall) was also calculated. The kappa coefficient was not reported since it has been proven unsuitable for assessing land cover maps' accuracy (Foody, 2020). To compute the uncertainties of the overall accuracy, producer's accuracy, user's accuracy, and F1 spatial consistency score, we used the method described in (Yang et al., 2024). In addition, we computed the areas of cultivated

230 pastures in each of the pilot study regions and the uncertainties using the method described in (Olofsson et al., 2014).

In this study, our primary objective was to assess the quality of the final cultivated pasture dataset, rather than the performance of the classification model per se. Therefore, we employed an independent validation approach using a separate set of reference data, instead of cross-validation. This approach is better suited for evaluating the accuracy and reliability of the dataset itself.





Figure 4. Overview of the method for mapping cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau.

Region	Land cover category	Number of points
	Cultivated pasture	77
Qinghai Province	Other	923
	Total	1000
	Cultivated pasture	16
The Tibet Autonomous Region	Other	984
	Total	1000

Table 3. Summary of the labeled independent random validation points in the pilot study regions in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region.

240 4 Results

4.1 The maps of cultivated pastures in the pilot study regions in 2021

Fig. 5a and 6a show the extent of cultivated pastures in the pilot study regions in 2021, when the field campaign was conducted. In the pilot region in Qinghai Province, cultivated pastures were mainly distributed around Qinghai Lake and in valleys with favorable hydrothermal conditions. In the pilot study region in the Tibet Autonomous Region, cultivated pastures were
primarily located in low-altitude regions such as Shigatse, Lhasa, Shannan, Nyingchi, and Chamdo. Fig. 5b and 6b show the number of valid Landsat OLI observations during the 2021 growing season in the pilot study regions. The RF binary classification model generated the likelihood of each pixel belonging to one of two land cover categories: *cultivated pasture* and *other*. The land cover category with the higher likelihood was assigned to the pixel grid. For instance, Fig. 5c and 6c illustrate the likelihood of each pixel belonging to the *cultivated pasture* category.

The areas of cultivated pastures in the pilot study regions were estimated (Fig. 7a). In the pilot study region in Qinghai Province, cultivated pastures covered 0.422 ± 0.03 Mha, and in the pilot study region of the Tibet Autonomous Region, 0.058 ± 0.03 Mha. In addition, Fig. 5 and 6 provide close-ups illustrating the boundaries of cultivated pastures. The distinct boundaries between *cultivated pasture* and *other* suggested that our RF binary classification method could effectively identify cultivated pastures.



Figure 5. Mapped cultivated pastures in the Qinghai Province pilot study region in 2021. (a) Despite displaying a similar spectrum to natural grasslands during the peak growing season, cultivated pastures were identified by the RF binary classification model. (b) The number of good observations from Landsat OLI in the pilot study region in 2021. Close-up views of the three regions A, B, and C are on the right panel. In particular, region B had fewer than 5 good observations, but this did not prevent the RF binary classification algorithm from identifying cultivated pastures there. (c) The likelihood of each 30-m grid being classified as *cultivated pasture* in the pilot study region. The likelihood was calculated by the RF binary classification algorithm.



Figure 6. Mapped cultivated pastures in pilot study region of the Tibet Autonomous Region in 2021. The panel descriptions are the same as those of Fig. 5.

265 4.2 Accuracy of the mapping method

The likelihood of cultivated pastures being classified as *cultivated pasture* was way higher than being classified as *other* in the pilot study regions of both Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region (Fig. S2). As assessed by our independent random validation sites, the cultivated pasture map in Fig. 5a has an overall accuracy of $96.5\% \pm 0.5\%$ and an F1 spatial consistency score of $80\% \pm 12\%$, the land cover map in Fig. 6a has an overall accuracy of $99.2\% \pm 0.3\%$ and an F1 spatial consistency score of $85\% \pm 14\%$ (Table 4). In the Qinghai Province pilot study region, the producer's accuracy ($92.3\% \pm 2.9\%$) was higher than the user's accuracy ($71.0\% \pm 4.6\%$) for cultivated pastures (Fig. 7b), indicating a higher commission error than omission error. In the pilot study region of the Tibet Autonomous Region, the user's accuracy ($95.6\% \pm 3.1\%$) was higher than the producer's accuracy ($88.2\% \pm 4.6\%$) for cultivated pastures (Fig. 7b), indicating a higher omission error than commission error. To evaluate the overall accuracy of the cultivated pasture mapping, we combined the validation points from

- 275 Qinghai and Tibet, resulting in an accuracy of $97.05\% \pm 0.4\%$ and an F1 spatial consistency score of $82.51\% \pm 14.22\%$ (Precision: $90.04\% \pm 6.18\%$, Recall: $76.74\% \pm 9.91\%$). In the pilot study regions where the climates and landscapes differ substantially, the overall accuracies of our cultivated pastures mapping method were both higher than 95%, indicating that we could use the mapping method to map cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau.
- The importance rankings of input variables in the trained Random Forest models for classifying cultivated pastures revealed 280 consistent patterns across Qinghai and Tibet (Table 5). In both regions, elevation emerged as the most influential variable, contributing 30.1% and 28.4% of the model importance in Qinghai and Tibet, respectively. Vegetation indices such as NDVI, EVI, NDWI, and NDPI also played major roles, collectively accounting for a substantial portion of the variable importance in both regions. For instance, NDVI contributed 14.7% in Qinghai and 18.2% in Tibet. Spectral bands (e.g., B2, B3, B4, B5) had moderate to low importance, while topographic variables such as slope and aspect, along with certain indices like NDBI and
- MNDWI, showed relatively minor contributions. These findings underscore the critical role of both topography and vegetation 285 dynamics in distinguishing cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau.

estimates using remote sensing data matched well with those from the government statistics.

We also compared the area of cultivated pastures mapped using remote sensing with those from the government statistics. In the government statistics reports on grasslands in 2021, there were 13 county-level summaries of the area of cultivated pastures in Qinghai Province, and 12 county-level summaries of the area of cultivated pastures in the Tibet Autonomous Region (Table S1). We compared theses with the areas of cultivated pastures mapped using remote sensing data (Fig. 8). Our

290

ible 4. The error matrix for the cultivated pasture maps in the pilot study regions in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region.						
	Category	Cultivated pasture	Other	User's accuracy		
	Cultivated pasture	0.134	0.036	$71.0\% \pm 4.6\%$		
Qinghai Province	Other	0.011	0.819	$99.3\% \pm 0.3\%$		
	Producer's accuracy	$92.3\% \pm 2.9\%$	$96.8\% \pm 0.5\%$	$96.5\% \pm 0.5\%$		
	Cultivated pasture	0.002	0.002	$95.6\% \pm 3.1\%$		
Tibet Autonomous Region	Other	0.001	0.995	$99.4\% \pm 0.2\%$		
-	Producer's accuracy	$88.2\% \pm 4.6\%$	$99.8\pm0.2\%$	$99.2\% \pm 0.3\%$		

T

Table 5. The importance of each input variable in the trained Random Forest models for classifying cultivated pastur	res in
Qinghai and Tibet.	

	Index	Importance	Index	Importance	Index	Importance	Index	Importance
	Elevation	30.1%	B3	8.3%	B7	0.8%	Aspect	0.1%
Oinchai	NDVI	14.7%	B5	5.4%	B4	0.6%	B1	0.1%
Qiligilai	EVI	12.0%	NBR	3.1%	NDBI	0.4%		
	NDWI	10.6%	MNDWI	2.8%	B6	0.4%		
	NDPI	9.1%	B2	1.2%	Slope	0.3%		
	Elevation	28.4%	B2	7.6%	NDBI	1.1%	B7	0.2%
Tibot	NDVI	18.2%	B4	4.8%	Slope	0.5%	Aspect	0.1%
Tibet	EVI	12.3%	B3	3.3%	B6	0.5%		
	NDPI	9.8%	B5	2.6%	B1	0.3%		
	NDWI	8.3%	NBR	1.8%	MNDWI	0.2%		



Figure 7. The areas and classification accuracies of the mapped cultivated pastures in the pilot study regions in Qinghai province and the Tibet autonomous region.



Figure 8. Comparison of the areas of cultivated pastures mapped using remote sensing with those from the government statistics at the county level for 2021 in (a) Qinghai Province and (b) the Tibet Autonomous Region. The government statistics of cultivated pasture areas were from Qinghai Forestry and Grassland Bureau and the Tibet Autonomous Region Agriculture and Rural Affairs Department.

305 4.3 The spatial and temporal distribution of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau

Using the Landsat data from 1988 to 2021 over the Tibetan Plateau, we mapped the annual distribution of cultivated pastures in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region (Fig. 9). Generally, cultivated pastures mainly appeared in certain regions on the plateau: (1) in Qinghai Province, the regions are the Qinghai Lake area (the counties of Gangcha, Haiyan, Huangyuan, Gonghe, Guide, Dulan, and Wulan), the Qilian Mountain area (the counties of Qilian and Menyuan), the Three-

310 River Headwaters area (the counties of Guinan, Tongde, Xinghai, Maqin, Dari, and Jiuzhi), as well as the Yushu area; (2) in the Tibet Autonomous Region, the regions are northern Tibet (the counties of Bange, Nima, Dinqing, Naqu, and Gaize), southeastern Tibet (the counties of Longzi, Qunar, and Basu), and the watersheds of the rivers Yarlung Tsangpo, Lhasa, and Nianchu (the counties of Gongga, Linzhou, Dangxiong, Kangma, Nanmuling, and Saga). The cultivated pastures in Qinghai were more clustered, while in Tibet they were more dispersed.

- 315 Cultivated pastures in Qinghai Province existed longer than in the Tibet Autonomous Region (Fig. 10). In Qinghai Province, many cultivated pastures existed for more than 20 years from 1988 to 2021, especially around the Qinghai Lake. In the Tibet Autonomous Region, cultivated pastures were generally in existence for less than 10 years. Some of the cultivated pastures were established in very recent years, coinciding with the introduction of regional farming policies promoting the development of cultivated pastures (Fig. S4).
- 320 There were government statistics data for cultivated pasture areas at the province level in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region from 2001 to 2021. But only in the years from 2010 to 2017 did the government's statistical caliber of cultivated pastures roughly align with our definition of cultivated pastures, and the cultivated pasture areas reported by government statistics were reasonably close to those mapped using remote sensing from 2010 to 2017 (Fig. 11). Since the statistical criteria for cultivated pastures used by local governments do not fully align with our definition, we focused the 325 comparison between our results and government statistics at the county level on correlation metrics rather than absolute or relative errors (Fig. 8 and Table S1). The coefficients of determination were 0.75 for Qinghai and 0.77 for Tibet, indicating the reliability of our results.

The average area of cultivated pastures on the plateau from 1988 to 2021 was approximately 1.0 Mha, with ~ 0.7 Mha in Qinghai and ~ 0.3 Mha in Tibet. From 1988 to 2021, there had been an increasing trend in the area of cultivated pastures on

330 the plateau based on our mapping results using remote sensing data (Fig. 11a), and the increasing trend was mostly due to the expansion of cultivated pastures in Qinghai (Fig. 11b and 11c). The increasing rate of the area of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau was 33.5 ± 2.5 Kha per year. In most counties of Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region, cultivated pasture areas did not change much from 1988 to 2021 (Fig. 12). Cultivated pastures expanded substantially in Gonghe, Gangcha, Guinan, and Xinghai, while contracted notably in Karuo and Luolong (Table S2).



Figure 9. The maps of cultivated pastures in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region on the Tibetan Plateau from 1988 to 2021 (selected years are displayed for brevity, and the whole time series can be found in Fig. S3 of the supplementary material).



340 Figure 10. The number of years that *cultivated pasture* existed in each 30-m grid in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region from 1988 to 2021.



345 Figure 11. The time series of cultivated pasture areas based on remote sensing and the government statistics data for (a) the Tibetan Plateau, (b) Qinghai Province, and (c) the Tibet Autonomous Region. The red dots in the China Pratacultural Statistics time series correspond to the years when the cultivated pasture statistical caliber aligned with our definition of cultivated pasture in the remote sensing mapping efforts.



Figure 12. Trend of cultivated pasture areas from 1988 to 2021in each county of Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous
 Region. Cultivated pastures expanded substantially in Gonghe, Gangcha, Guinan, and Xinghai, while contracted notably in Karuo and Luolong.

5 Discussion

355

In this study, with decent accuracy, we successfully mapped the distribution of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau for the first time. Compared to previous efforts aimed at mapping only certain types of cultivated pastures (Parente et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2021), this method could map general cultivated pastures.

5.1 The method for cultivated pasture mapping

A distinctive feature of our mapping method is the use of spectral-temporal metrics derived from remote sensing time series data, rather than the complete time series of all valid observations during the growing season. While prior studies (e.g., Wang et al., 2022) have relied on dense time series data to characterize vegetation dynamics, our approach condenses these data into

360 a set of statistical descriptors (e.g., median, maximum, minimum, standard deviation) of key vegetation indices. These spectraltemporal metrics serve as compact representations of phenological patterns and temporal variability in vegetation reflectance, which are especially informative in distinguishing cultivated pastures from other land cover types. This strategy reduces data dimensionality and computational load while retaining the essential temporal information relevant for classification, thereby enhancing both efficiency and accuracy.

- 365 Another critical strength of our approach lies in the volume and structure of the training data. Our dataset was built from training polygons collected through extensive fieldwork, rather than from isolated training points. Polygons offer a more comprehensive sampling of spectral variability within each land cover type and provide more training samples to the classifier. improving generalization. In contrast, previous studies (e.g., Wang et al., 2022) often used sparse point-based training data, which may not adequately capture the heterogeneity of cultivated pasture across large regions.
- 370 Furthermore, we chose to use remote sensing data from a single growing season rather than multi-year composite datasets (e.g., Potapov et al., 2022). This decision was informed by the unique land management practices on the Tibetan Plateau, where inter-annual crop rotation is prevalent among cultivated pastures. Although multi-year composites are useful in mitigating atmospheric noise such as cloud contamination, they risk introducing classification errors due to the temporal inconsistency of land cover resulting from rotation. By focusing on a single year, we ensure that the remote sensing signatures 375 align with the actual land cover state at the time of classification.

Finally, we implemented an independent validation strategy based on an external reference dataset (Yang et al., 2021) rather than relying on internal cross-validation. While cross-validation is common in remote sensing applications (Ashourloo et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2022), it can lead to over-optimistic accuracy estimates if the same spatial samples are used for both training and validation (Friedl et al., 2000; Foody, 2002). By separating the training and validation datasets, our assessment

provides a more realistic and conservative estimate of classification performance, especially across diverse pasture types. 380

5.2 Accuracy assessment of mapped cultivated pastures

The accuracy assessment of the binary classification model is essential in the cultivated pasture mapping practice (Olofsson et al. 2014; Stehman et al. 2019). In this study, the RF binary classification model demonstrated a good ability to identify cultivated pastures, with an overall accuracy of $97.05\% \pm 0.4\%$ and an F1 spatial consistency score of 0.83 ± 0.14 . This was 385 superior to a recent study mapping the spatial extents of green fodder lands in the northeastern Tibetan Plateau using Landsat data with overall accuracies of 94.2%, 93.1%, and 96.6% in 2010, 2015, and 2019 (Yang et al., 2021). The cultivated pasture mapping accuracy obtained in this study (overall accuracy of $97.05\% \pm 0.4\%$) was close to that reported by Wang et al. (2022). Wang et al. (2022) solely mapped oat pastures at the county scale in Shandan Racecourse in the northeastern Tibetan Plateau with an overall accuracy of 98%. Our results were also better than another effort mapping native and non-native grasslands using MODIS NDVI time series data in Canada, which achieved an overall accuracy of 73% (McInnes et al., 2015).

390

395

We found that the differences in the spatial fragmentation of cultivated pastures in Qinghai Province and the Tibet Autonomous Region could affect the accuracy of the cultivated pasture maps. During our field visits, we noticed that the spatial distribution of cultivated pastures in the Tibet Autonomous Region was much more fragmented and dispersed than in Qinghai Province. In Oinghai Province, the cultivated pastures were sometimes in the shape of long stripes and next to conventional croplands, which might cause the mixed pixel problem and lower the identification accuracy of cultivated pastures (user's

accuracy of $71.0\% \pm 4.6\%$ in Qinghai vs. user's accuracy of $95.6\% \pm 3.1\%$ in Tibet), since the spectral characteristics of conventional croplands and cultivated pastures are very similar during the peak growing season (Yang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022).

5.3 Comparison of the mapped cultivated pasture areas with government statistics

400 We found that the areas of cultivated pastures identified through remote sensing were comparable to the areas of cultivated pastures reported in government statistics for Qinghai and Tibet (e.g. Li and Wang, 2017). For example, in 2021, the year for which we trained the RF binary classification model for cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau, we mapped 1.57 Mha cultivated pastures in Qinghai and Tibet, while the area of cultivated pastures in 2017 reported in the government statistics was 1.640 Mha. We used the statistics data of cultivated pastures in 2017 because it was the closest year in which the statical caliber used by the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics* agreed with our definition of cultivated pastures.

The time series of the area of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau mapped through remote sensing from 1988 to 2021 was likely driven by the implementation of ecological and agricultural policies (Fig. S4; Schils et al. 2022; Zhou et al. 2020). However, the time series of the area of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau reported in the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics* did not exhibit any correlation with the implementation of ecological and agricultural policies, and was severely

- 410 distorted by the shift of the statistical caliber of cultivated pastures in it. The areas of cultivated pastures reported in the annual *China Pratacultural Statistics* for the years from 2010 to 2017 were substantially higher than those for the remaining years (Fig. 9); nevertheless, they were close to the remote sensing estimates in the period from 2010 to 2017. The time series of the areas of cultivated pastures mapped by remote sensing from 1988 to 2021 on the Tibetan Plateau was more realistic than the government statistics data. A sharp increase in the area of cultivated pastures for Qinghai is reported in the 2013 government
- 415 statistics (Fig. 11b), suggesting a potential shift in the statistical criteria for cultivated pastures that year. In contrast, our results show a more gradual increase, which likely reflects a more consistent and accurate representation of the actual expansion of cultivated pastures on the Plateau. Our findings indicate that government statistics warrant further scrutiny in future policy development related to cultivated pastures.

The increasing trend in the area of cultivated pastures estimated through remote sensing in this study agrees with a previous relevant regional study of cultivated pasture mapping on the Tibetan Plateau. Yang et al. (2021) found a rapid expansion of green fodder lands in the northeastern Tibetan Plateau from 1.63 Kha in 2010 to 13.61 Kha in 2019.

5.4 Limitations and future prospects

The time series of cultivated pasture maps on the Tibetan Plateau were produced for the first time; nevertheless, the mapping method and the maps had several limitations and could be improved in future.

425 (1) The remote sensing data used in this study were Landsat data with a spatial resolution of 30 m. While Landsat data have been widely utilized for land cover classification, the 30 m spatial resolution may be insufficient for accurately capturing small cultivated pastures with dimensions smaller than 30 m. Specifically, long and narrow cultivated pastures, often found on

the Tibetan Plateau, may not be well-represented in the 30-meter resolution map. In some cases, these small patches were adjacent to conventional croplands, leading to mixed pixel problems. When the proportion of cultivated pastures in these mixed

- 430 pixels was less than 50%, the pixels were typically classified as croplands. This issue could potentially be alleviated with higher spatial resolution data, such as Sentinel-2 imagery, which has a spatial resolution of 10 m and became available in 2015 (Phiri et al., 2020). However, we opted not to use Sentinel-2 data, as our focus was on the long-term spatial distribution of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau.
- (2) We employed quantile metrics of the remote sensing time series for cultivated pasture classification, a method that has proven successful in capturing cultivated pasture dynamics. The input features derived from these metrics were more effective compared to those used in previous studies, such as Wang et al. (2022), which relied on monthly NDVI, EVI, NDPI, SR, and SAVI data for select months. While the latter approach utilized limited spectral information, our method incorporated a broader range of spectral indices, thus enhancing the overall classification accuracy.

(3) As with many optical remote sensing studies, our research was affected by atmospheric disturbances such as cloud cover and cloud shadows, which can reduce the number of valid observations, particularly in certain regions and time periods. While we incorporated all available Landsat data during the growing season, the quality and density of the time series varied spatially and temporally, which may have impacted the consistency of the analysis.

(4) To manage the computational burden associated with processing extensive time series data, we utilized descriptive statistical metrics (i.e., 25%, 50%, and 75% quantiles) of the remote sensing data for the growing season. While this approach
helped maintain classification accuracy while reducing computation time, it may have resulted in the loss of finer temporal phenological details that could be captured through the analysis of the full time series.

(5) The Random Forest (RF) algorithm, which we employed for binary classification, is a powerful method known for its ability to handle complex relationships in the data. However, its performance heavily depends on the quality and representativeness of the training data. We are confident that the large volume of training data collected during extensive fieldwork, which encompassed a variety of cultivated pasture types, contributed significantly to model performance.

(6) Although we validated the cultivated pasture maps for 2021 in two pilot study regions with different climates, landscapes, soil properties, and ecological conditions, and observed a good match with government statistics at both the county and provincial levels, the validation for maps spanning 1988 to 2021 could benefit from further feedback. Additional validation efforts by other researchers or practitioners in different regions and under varying local conditions could provide important insights for refining and improving the cultivated pasture mapping methodology.

455

450

6 Data Availability

The cultivated pasture maps generated in this study can be accessed at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14271782 (Han et al., 2024). All maps are at the 30 m ($\sim 0.00027^{\circ}$) spatial resolution under the EPSG:4326 (WGS84) spatial reference system.

460 7 Conclusions

Cultivated pastures are crucial forage sources for livestock on the Tibetan Plateau. Additionally, they have significant implications for the region's ecological conservation and restoration efforts. In this study, we mapped cultivated pastures from 1988 to 2021 on the Tibetan Plateau using satellite remote sensing data for the first time. The mapping method performed satisfactorily with an overall accuracy of $97.05\% \pm 0.4\%$ and an F1 spatial consistency score of $82.51\% \pm 14.22\%$ (Precision:

465 90.04% \pm 6.18%, Recall: 76.74% \pm 9.91%). At both the province and county levels, the cultivated pasture area estimated in this study matched well with government statistics. The area of cultivated pastures on the Tibetan Plateau experienced a notable increasing trend from 1988 to 2021, at a rate of 33.5 \pm 2.5 Kha per year. The cultivated pasture mapping method can be adopted to identify cultivated grasslands in other regions of the world.

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5xxxx/essd-xxxxx-supplement.

470 Author Contributions. J-SH and JB designed the research. BH, TY, YT, and JB performed the analysis. BH and MG collected the field data and remote sensing data. BH, JB, and J-SH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors participated in the review and editing of the manuscript.

Competing Interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgements. We gratefully acknowledge all data providers whose data have been used in this study and would like to thank the topical editor and the anonymous referees for their constructive comments.

Financial Support. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 32192461 and 32130065) and the Consulting Project of the Chinese Academy of Engineering (Grant no. 2023-XY-28, 2024-XZ-56, and GS2023ZDI01).

References

- Aghighi, H., Azadbakht, M., Ashourloo, D., Shahrabi, H. S., and Radiom, S.: Machine learning regression techniques for the silage maize yield prediction using time-series images of Landsat 8 OLI, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens., 11, 4563-4577, https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2018.2823361, 2018.
- 485 Ashourloo, D., Shahrabi, H. S., Azadbakht, M., Aghighi, H., Matkan, A. A., and Radiom, S.: A novel automatic method for alfalfa mapping using time series of Landsat-8 OLI data, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens., 11, 4478-4487, https://doi.org/10.1109/jstars.2018.2874726, 2018.
 - Bardgett, R. D., Bullock, J. M., Lavorel, S., Manning, P., Schaffner, U., Ostle, N., Chomel, M., Durigan, G., Fry, E. L., Johnson, D., Lavallee, J. M., Provost, G. L., Luo, S., Png, K., Sankaran, M., Hou, X., Zhou, H., Ma, L., Ren, W., Li, X., Ding, Y.,

490 Li, Y., and Shi, H.: Combatting global grassland degradation, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 2, 720-735, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00207-2, 2021.

Breiman, L.: Random Forests, Mach. Learn., 45, 5-32, https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010933404324, 2001.

- Chang, J., Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Smith, P., Herrero, M., Havlík, P., Obersteiner, M., Guenet, B., Goll, D. S., Li, W., Naipal, V., Peng, S., Qiu, C., Tian, H., Viovy, N., Yue, C., Zhu, D.: Climate warming from managed grasslands cancels the cooling
- 495 effect of carbon sinks in sparsely grazed and natural grasslands, Nat. Commun., 12, 118, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20406-7, 2021.
 - Chen, H., Ju, P., Zhu, Q., Xu, X., Wu, N., Gao, Y., Feng, X., Tian, J., Niu, S., Zhang, Y., Peng, C., and Wang, Y.: Carbon and nitrogen cycling on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 3, 701–716, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00344-2, 2022.
- 500 Chen, L., Jiang, L., Jing, X., Wang, J., Shi, Y., Chu, H., and He, J.-S.: Above and belowground biodiversity jointly drive ecosystem stability in natural alpine grasslands on the Tibetan Plateau, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 30, 1418-1429, https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13307, 2021.
 - Cheng, Y., Cai, Y., and Wang, S.-Q.: Yak and Tibetan sheep dung return enhance soil N supply and retention in two alpine grasslands in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Biol. Fertil., 52, 413-422, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1088-6, 2016.
- 505 Ding, L., Kapp, P., Cai, F., Garzione, C. N., Xiong, Z., Wang, H., and Wang, C.: Timing and mechanisms of Tibetan Plateau uplift, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 3, 652-667, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00318-4, 2022.
 - Dong, J., Xiao, X., Menarguez, M. A., Zhang, G., Qin, Y., Thau, D., Biradar, C., and Moore, B.: Mapping paddy rice planting area in northeastern Asia with Landsat 8 images, phenology-based algorithm and Google Earth Engine, Remote Sens. Environ., 185, 142-154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.016, 2016.
- 510 Dong, S., Shang, Z., Gao, J., and Boone, R.: Enhancing the ecological services of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau's grasslands through sustainable restoration and management in era of global change, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 326, 107756, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107756, 2022.
 - Fang, J., Bai, Y., Li, L., Jiang, G., Huang, J., Huang, Z., Zhang, W., and Gao, S.: Scientific basis and practical ways for sustainable development of China's pasture regions (in Chinese), Chin. Sci. Bull., 61, 155-164,
- 515 https://doi.org/10.1360/N972015-00718, 2016.
 - Farr, T., Rosen, P., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M., Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J., Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D., and Alsdorf, D.: The shuttle radar topography mission. Rev. Geophys., 45, RG2004, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005rg000183, 2007.
- Fisher, R. J., Sawa, B., and Prieto, B.: A novel technique using LiDAR to identify native-dominated and tame-dominated
 grasslands in Canada, Remote Sens. Environ., 218, 201-206, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.10.003, 2018.
 - Foody, G. M.: Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment, Remote Sens. Environ., 80, 185-201, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0034-4257(01)00295-4, 2002.

Friedl, M. A., Woodcock, C., Gopal, S., Muchoney, D., Strahler, A. H., and Barker-Schaaf, C.: A note on procedures used for accuracy assessment in land cover maps derived from AVHRR data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 21, 1073-1077,

525 https://doi.org/10.1080/014311600210434, 2000.

- Fuglie, K., Peters, M., and Burkart, S.: The extent and economic significance of cultivated forage crops in developing countries, Front. Sustainable Food Syst., 5, 712136, https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.712136, 2021.
- Gao, B.: NDWI—A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space, Remote Sens. Environ., 58, 257-266, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0034-4257(96)00067-3, 1996.
- 530 García, M. J. L., and Caselles, V.: Mapping burns and natural reforestation using thematic mapper data, Geocarto Int., 6, 31-37, https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049109354290, 1991.
 - Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., and Moore, R.: Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone, Remote Sens. Environ., 202, 18-27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031, 2017.
 - Han, B., Bi, J., Tao, S., Yang, T., Tang, Y., Ge, M., Wang, H., Jin, Z., Dong, J., Nan, Z., and He, J.-S.: An annual 30 m cultivated pasture dataset of the Tibetan Plateau from 1988 to 2021. Zenodo [dataset].
- 535 cultivated pasture dataset of the Tibetan Plateau from 1988 to 2021, Zenodo [dataset], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14271782, 2024
 - He, C., Braun, J., Tang, H., Yuan, X., Acevedo-Trejos, E., Ott, R. F., and de Quay, G. S.: Drainage divide migration and implications for climate and biodiversity, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 5, 1-16, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00511-z, 2024.
- 540 He, J.-S., Dong, S., Shang, Z., Sundqvist, M., Wu, G., and Yang, Y.: Above-belowground interactions in alpine ecosystems on the roof of the world, Plant Soil., 458, 1-6, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04761-4, 2020.
 - Hou, L., Xia, F., Chen, Q., Huang, J., He, Y., Rose, N., and Rozelle, S.: Grassland ecological compensation policy in China improves grassland quality and increases herders' income, Nat. Commun., 12, 4683, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24942-8, 2021.
- 545 Jia, J., Cao, Z., Liu, C., Zhang, Z., Lin, L., Wang, Y., Haghipour, N., Wacker, L., Bao, H., Dittmar, T., Simpson, M. J., Yang, H., Crowther, T. W., Eglinton, T. I., He, J.-S., and Feng, X.: Climate warming alters subsoil but not topsoil carbon dynamics in alpine grassland, Glob. Change Biol., 25, 4383-4393, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14823, 2019.
 - Kumar, S., Singh, A. K., Singh, R., Ghosh, A., Chaudhary, M., Shukla, A. K., Kumar, S., Singh, H. V., Ahmed, A., and Kumar,
 R. V.: Degraded land restoration ecological way through horti-pasture systems and soil moisture conservation to sustain productive economic viability, Land Degrad. Dev., 30, 1516-1529, https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3340, 2019.
 - Li, C., Fu, B., Wang, S., Stringer, L. C., Wang, Y., Li, Z., Liu, Y., and Zhou, W.: Drivers and impacts of changes in China's drylands, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 2, 858-873, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00226-z, 2021.
 - Li, X., and Wang, J. (Eds.): China Pratacultural Statistics, China Agricultural Press, Beijing (in Chinese), 2017.
 - Li, Y., Degen, A. A., Sun, T., Wang, W., Bai, Y., Zhang, T., Long, R., and Shang, Z.: Three years of cultivating or fencing
- 555 lands have different impacts on soil nutrients and properties of a subalpine meadow in the Tibetan plateau, Catena., 186, 104306, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2019.104306, 2020.

López García, M. J. and Caselles, V.: Mapping burns and natural reforestation using thematic mapper data, Geocarto Int., 6, 31-37, https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049109354290, 1991.

McInnes, W. S., Smith, B., and McDermid, G. J.: Discriminating native and non-native grasses in the dry mixed grass prairie

- 560 with MODIS NDVI time series, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens., 8, 1395-1403, https://doi.org/10.1109/jstars.2015.2416713, 2015.
 - Moon, M., Richardson, A. D., and Friedl, M. A.: Multiscale assessment of land surface phenology from harmonized Landsat 8 and Sentinel-2, PlanetScope, and PhenoCam imagery, Remote Sens. Environ., 266, 112716, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112716, 2021.
- 565 Olofsson, P., Foody, G. M., Herold, M., Stehman, S. V., Woodcock, C. E., and Wulder, M. A.: Good practices for estimating area and assessing accuracy of land change, Remote Sens. Environ., 148, 42-57, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.02.015, 2014.
 - Parente, L., and Ferreira, L.: Assessing the spatial and occupation dynamics of the Brazilian pasturelands based on the automated classification of MODIS images from 2000 to 2016, Remote Sens., 10, 606, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10040606,

570 2018.

- Parente, L., Ferreira, L., Faria, A., Nogueira, S., Araújo, F., Teixeira, L., and Hagen, S.: Monitoring the brazilian pasturelands: A new mapping approach based on the landsat 8 spectral and temporal domains, Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf., 62, 135-143, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2017.06.003, 2017.
- Parente, L., Mesquita, V., Miziara, F., Baumann, L., and Ferreira, L.: Assessing the pasturelands and livestock dynamics in
- 575 Brazil, from 1985 to 2017: A novel approach based on high spatial resolution imagery and Google Earth Engine cloud computing, Remote Sens. Environ., 232, 111301, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111301, 2019.
 - Phiri, D., Simwanda, M., Salekin, S., Nyirenda, V. R., Murayama, Y., and Ranagalage, M.: Sentinel-2 Data for Land Cover/Use Mapping: A Review, Remote Sens., 12, 2291, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12142291, 2020.

Piao, S., Wang, X., Park, T., Chen, C., Lian, X., He, Y., Bjerke, J. W., Chen, A., Ciais, P., Tømmervik, H., Nemani, R. R., and

- 580 Myneni, R. B.: Characteristics, drivers and feedbacks of global greening, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 1, 14-27, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0001-x, 2020.
 - Potapov, P., Turubanova, S., Hansen, M. C., Tyukavina, A., Zalles, V., Khan, A., Song, X.-P., Pickens, A., Shen, Q., and Cortez, J.: Global maps of cropland extent and change show accelerated cropland expansion in the twenty-first century, Nat. Food., 3, 19-28, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00429-z, 2022.
- 585 Roy, D. P., Kovalskyy, V., Zhang, H. K., Vermote, E. F., Yan, L., Kumar, S. S., and Egorov, A.: Characterization of Landsat-7 to Landsat-8 reflective wavelength and normalized difference vegetation index continuity, Remote Sens. Environ., 185, 57-70, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.12.024, 2016.
 - Roy, D. P., Wulder, M. A., Loveland, T. R., Woodcock, C. E., Allen, R. G., Anderson, M. C., Helder, D., Irons, J. R., Johnson, D. M., Kennedy, R., Scambos, T. A., Schaaf, C. B., Schott, J. R., Sheng, Y., Vermote, E. F., Belward, A. S., Bindschadler,
- 590 R., Cohen, W. B., Gao, F., Hipple, J. D., Hostert, P., Huntington, J., Justice, C. O., Kilic, A., Kovalskyy, V., Lee, Z. P.,

Lymburner, L., Masek, J. G., McCorkel, J., Shuai, Y., Trezza, R., Vogelmann, J., Wynne, R. H., and Zhu, Z.: Landsat-8: Science and product vision for terrestrial global change research, Remote Sens. Environ., 145, 154-172, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.02.001, 2014.

- Tian, J., Zhang, Z., Philpot, W. D., Tian, Q., Zhan, W., Xi, Y., Wang, X., and Zhu, C.: Simultaneous estimation of fractional
 cover of photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic vegetation using visible-near infrared satellite imagery, Remote Sens.
 Environ., 290, 113549, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113549, 2023.
 - Tucker, C. J.: Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation, Remote Sens. Environ., 8, 127-150, https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(79)90013-0, 1979.
 - Vermote, E., Roger, J.-C., Franch, B., and Skakun, S.: LaSRC (Land Surface Reflectance Code): Overview, application and
- 600 validation using MODIS, VIIRS, LANDSAT and Sentinel 2 data's, IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Symp., pp. 8173-8176, https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2018.8517622, 2018.
 - Vroey, M. D., de Vendictis, L., Zavagli, M., Bontemps, S., Heymans, D., Radoux, J., Koetz, B., and Defourny, P.: Mowing detection using Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 time series for large scale grassland monitoring, Remote Sens. Environ., 280, 113145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113145, 2022.
- 605 Wang, C., Chen, J., Wu, J., Tang, Y., Shi, P., Black, T. A., and Zhu, K.: A snow-free vegetation index for improved monitoring of vegetation spring green-up date in deciduous ecosystems, Remote Sens. Environ., 196, 1-12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.04.031, 2017.
 - Wang, H., Liu, H., Cao, G., Ma, Z., Li, Y., Zhang, F., Zhao, X., Zhao, X., Jiang, L., Sanders, N., Classen, A., and He, J.-S.: Alpine grassland plants grow earlier and faster but biomass remains unchanged over 35 years of climate change, Ecol.
- 610 Lett., 23, 701-710, https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13474, 2020.
 - Wang, P., Wang, J., Elberling, B., Yang, L., Chen, W., Song, L., Yan, Y., Wang, S., Pan, J., and Niu, S.: Increased annual methane uptake driven by warmer winters in an alpine meadow, Glob. Change Biol., 28, 3246-3259, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16120, 2022.
 - Wang, R., Feng, Q., Jin, Z., Ma, K., Zhang, Z., and Liang, T.: Identification and area information extraction of oat pasture
- 615 based on GEE—A case study in the Shandan Racecourse (China), Remote Sens., 14, 4358, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14174358, 2022.
 - Wang, T., and Zhang, W.-H.: Priorities for the development of alfalfa pasture in northern China, Fundam Res., 3, 225-228, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fmre.2022.04.017, 2023.

Wang, Y., Lv, W., Xue, K., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Hu, R., Zeng, H., Xu, X., Li, Y., Jiang, L., Hao, Y., Du, J., Sun, J., Dorji, T.,

620 Piao, S., Wang, C., Luo, C., Zhang, Z., Chang, X., Zhang, M., Hu, Y., Wu, T., Wang, J., Li, B., Liu, P., Zhou, Y., Wang, A., Dong, S., Zhang, X., Gao, Q., Zhou, H., Shen, M., Wilkes, A., Miehe, G., Zhao, X., and Niu, H.: Grassland changes and adaptive management on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 3, 668-683, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00330-8, 2022.

Wulder, M. A., Loveland, T. R., Roy, D. P., Crawford, C. J., Masek, J. G., Woodcock, C. E., Allen, R. G., Anderson, M. C.,

- Belward, A. S., Cohen, W. B., Dwyer, J., Erb, A., Gao, F., Griffiths, P., Helder, D., Hermosilla, T., Hipple, J. D., Hostert, P., Hughes, M. J., Huntington, J., Johnson, D. M., Kennedy, R., Kilic, A., Li, Z., Lymburner, L., McCorkel, J., Pahlevan, N., Scambos, T. A., Schaaf, C., Schott, J. R., Sheng, Y., Storey, J., Vermote, E., Vogelmann, J., White, J. C., Wynne, R. H., and Zhu, Z.: Current status of Landsat program, science, and applications, Remote Sens. Environ., 225, 127-147, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.02.015, 2019.
- Wulder, M. A., Roy, D. P., Radeloff, V. C., Loveland, T. R., Anderson, M. C., Johnson, D. M., Healey, S., Zhu, Z., Scambos, T. A., Pahlevan, N., Hansen, M., Gorelick, N., Crawford, C. J., Masek, J. G., Hermosilla, T., White, J. C., Belward, A. S., Schaaf, C., Woodcock, C. E., Huntington, J. L., Lymburner, L., Hostert, P., Gao, F., Lyapustin, A., Pekel, J.-F., Strobl, P., and Cook, B. D.: Fifty years of Landsat science and impacts, Remote Sens. Environ., 280, 113195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113195, 2022.
- Ku, H.: Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery, Int. J. Remote Sens., 27, 3025-3033, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600589179, 2006.
 - Yang, T., Han, B., He, X., Ye, Z., Tang, Y., Lin, J., Cui, X., and Bi, J.: Diagnosis of the accuracy of land cover classification using bootstrap resampling, Int. J. Remote Sens., 45, 3897–3912, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2024.2358546, 2024.

Yang, T., Zhang, G. L., Li, Y., Fan, J., Sun, D., Wang, J., Di, Y., You, N., Liu, R., Zhang, Q., and Doughty, R. B.: Satellite

- observed rapid green fodder expansion in northeastern Tibetan Plateau from 2010 to 2019, Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.,
 102, 102394, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2021.102394, 2021.
 - Yao, T., Bolch, T., Chen, D., Gao, J., Immerzeel, W., Piao, S., Su, F., Thompson, L., Wada, Y., Wang, L., Wang, T., Wu, G., Xu, B., Yang, W., Zhang, G., and Zhao, P.: The imbalance of the Asian water tower, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 3, 618-632, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00299-4, 2022.
- 645 Zalite, K., Antropov, O., Praks, J., Voormansik, K., and Noorma, M.: Monitoring of agricultural grasslands with time series of X-band repeat-pass interferometric SAR, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens., 9, 3687-3697, https://doi.org/10.1109/jstars.2015.2478120, 2016.
 - Zha, Y., Gao, J., and Ni, S.: Use of normalized difference built-up index in automatically mapping urban areas from TM imagery, Int. J. Remote Sens., 24, 583-594, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160304987, 2003.
- 650 Zhang, C., Dong, J., and Ge, Q.: Quantifying the accuracies of six 30-m cropland datasets over China: A comparison and evaluation analysis, Comput. Electron. Agric., 197, 106946, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.106946, 2022.
- Zhang, H., Tang, Z., Wang, B., Kan, H., Sun, Y., Qin, Y., Meng, B., Li, M., Chen, J., Lv, Y., Zhang, J., Niu, S., and Yi, S.: A 250 m annual alpine grassland AGB dataset over the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau (2000–2019) in China based on in situ measurements, UAV photos, and MODIS data, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 15, 821-846, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-821-2023, 2023.

- Zhang, P., Jeong, J. H., Yoon, J. H., Kim, H., Wang, S., Linderholm, H. W., Fang, K., Wu, X., and Chen, D.: Abrupt shift to hotter and drier climate over inner East Asia beyond the tipping point, Science., 370, 1095-1099, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3368, 2020.
- Zhang, Y., Woodcock, C. E., Arévalo, P., Olofsson, P., Tang, X., Stanimirova, R., Bullock, E., Tarrio, K. R., Zhu, Z., and Friedl, M. A.: A Global Analysis of the Spatial and Temporal Variability of Usable Landsat Observations at the Pixel Scale,
- Friedl, M. A.: A Global Analysis of the Spatial and Temporal Variability of Usable Landsat Observations at the Pixel Scale,
 Frontiers Remote Sens, 3, 894618, https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.894618, 2022.
 - Zhu, Q., Chen, H., Peng, C., Liu J., Piao, S., He, J.-S., Wang, S., Zhao, X., Zhang, J., Fang, X., Jin, J., Yang, Q.-E., Ren, L., and Wang, Y.: An early warning signal for grassland degradation on the Tibetan Plateau, Nat. Commun., 14, 6406, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42099-4, 2023.
- 665 Zhu, Z., and Woodcock, C. E.: Automated cloud, cloud shadow, and snow detection in multitemporal Landsat data: An algorithm designed specifically for monitoring land cover change, Remote Sens. Environ., 152, 217-234, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.06.012, 2014.