Referee 2:

General comments:

This manuscript presents and describes measurements of fluorescent aerosol particles at a remote boreal forest site, accompanied by some interpretative insights. This work has a combination of instrumentation, location and timespan that is rather unique. The manuscript is well written, featuring a comprehensive introduction and an in-depth methodology description. Although the data presentation is concise, it effectively conveys the main observation on the effect of snow coverage on soil emissions of HFAP/FAP. This dataset is particularly valuable to the aeromicrobiology research community, as they contribute to a better understanding of microbiological aerosolization mechanisms. I would recommend the publication of this article but have some minor comments, mostly focusing on how to present the dataset.

We thank Referee 2 for their insightful feedback to our manuscript. Please see our point-bypoint response below, with the points raised by the Referee in black, our responses in blue and the changes made to the manuscript in red.

Specific comments:

For lower concentrations of coarse mode aerosols, the recharge time for the Xenon lamps might not impact non-fluorescent but analyzed particle (excited) concentrations significantly. Even then, a comparison between TAP and excited particles could be valuable even as a general percentage. This is specially useful when accessing the disentanglement between coarse mode aerosol and fluorescent aerosol concentrations. Thus, I would suggest presenting this number, alongside concentration numbers and relative numbers for both snow covered and snowfree periods. These metrics could be quite valuable for other researchers using this dataset.

We agree that this could be valuable information. The differences of the excited to total concentration ratio for the snow free and snow-covered period, however, is minor (median values are 98. 51 % and 98.95 %, respectively). Therefore, we think it is sufficient to present this ratio as a median and mean value for the whole campaign. We added the following sentence at line 118:

Due to the generally low aerosol concentrations at the site, the ratio of excited particles to total particles is high, with a median value of 98.76 % (mean: 97.68 %) for the whole campaign.

A table for representing the variables (such as the different types of HFAP and FAP) could be more useful and clearer than a long paragraph.

We agree that this paragraph is hard to read. We made the description of the variables into a table (new Table 2, see below) and deleted the text where we explained each variable.

Number	Name	Description	Threshold
1	Starttime	Starting date and time of the 30 min measuring	
		interval in dd.MM.yyyy hh:mm (UTC)	-
2	N_TAP	Conc. of total particles	-
3	N_FAP_FL	Conc. of total fluorescent particles	3 σ
4	N_FAP_FL1	Conc. of fluorescent particles in FL1	3 σ
5	N_FAP_FL2	Conc. of fluorescent particles in FL2	3σ
6	N_FAP_FL3	Conc. of fluorescent particles in FL3	3σ
7	N_FAP_A	Conc. of fluorescent particles in A	3σ
8	N_FAP_B	Conc. of fluorescent particles in B	3σ
9	N_FAP_C	Conc. of fluorescent particles in C	3σ
10	N_FAP_AB	Conc. of fluorescent particles in AB	3σ
11	N_FAP_AC	Conc. of fluorescent particles in AC	3σ
12	N_FAP_BC	Conc. of fluorescent particles in BC	3σ
13	N_FAP_ABC	Conc. of fluorescent particles in ABC	3σ
14 - 24	N_HFAP	Same sequence as variables 3 – 13*	9σ
25	SD_TAP	Size distribution of total particles	3σ
26	SD_FAP_FL	Size distribution of total fluorescent particles	3 σ
27	SD_FAP_FL1	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in FL1	3σ
28	SD_FAP_FL2	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in FL2	3σ
29	SD_FAP_FL3	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in FL3	3 σ
30	SD_FAP_A	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in A	3 σ
31	SD_FAP_B	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in B	3 σ
32	SD_FAP_C	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in C	3 σ
33	SD_FAP_AB	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in AB	3 σ
34	SD_FAP_AC	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in AC	3 σ
35	SD_FAP_BC	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in BC	3 σ
36	SD_FAP_ABC	Size distribution of fluorescent particles in ABC	3 σ
37 - 47	SD_HFAP	Same sequence as variables 26 – 36*	9σ

Table 2: Description of variables in the data set. Variables 2 - 47 are reported in cm⁻³. Each size distribution variable (variables 25 - 47) consists of 15 values per time interval for the 15 size channels and is reported as dN/dlogDp. The size channels are indicated as "(lower limit_upper limit)" in μ m in the data set.

*HFAP refers to particles exceeding the 9 σ threshold

Fluorescent aerosols are highly size dependent, smaller particles will seldom present higher than 9 times the background fluorescent signals. Thus, there is not much to gain by presenting fluorescent aerosol timelines by size distribution plots. I would suggest presenting them in total concentration instead. This will make daily cycles (if present) and overall seasonality more easily distinguishable. Or, to have an overlay concentration plot, to not remove the insights deriving from the size distribution (such as the bimodal distribution). Another important aspect that could be explored is presenting the relative contributions of the different FAP/HFAP categories to the overall TAP. This would help disentangle biological emissions from other emissions, given that the mechanisms might not be the same. We thank the referee for this comment. We agree that presenting the concentrations and fractions as timeseries might be more useful than the size distributions. We therefore added a new figure (now Fig. 3, see below) in which we address all the above suggestions:

In Fig. 3 (b), we plotted the concentration of TAPs, FAPs and HFAPs (as 30 min average data points (time resolution of the data set) and a 24 h running median, to guide the eye).

Furthermore, we added the fraction of FAPs and HFAPs in TAPs in Fig. 3 (c).

We feel that presenting all FAP and HFAP types as fractions in TAPs would be overwhelming. Therefore, we present the fraction of particle types in FAPs and HFAPs as stacked fractions. This way, one can easily see the change in contribution of every type (Fig. 3 (d) and (e)).

To better see the change in concentrations from snow free to snow-covered period, we present HFAP_ABC concentration as an example together with snow depth (Fig. 3 (f)).

Furthermore, to address the next comment, we added wind speed and wind direction data in Fig. 3 (a).

We added the following text:

Figure 3 provides an overview of concentrations of TAP and (H)FAP, fractions of (H)FAP, as well as wind speed, wind direction and snow depth over the whole measurement period. Figure 3 (a) shows wind speed and wind direction data measured at Sammaltunturi station. Figure 3 (b) displays the concentrations of TAP, FAP_FL and HFAP_FL. Both FAP_FL and HFAP_FL concentrations decrease over time by up to two orders of magnitude, while TAP concentrations exhibit no clear seasonal trend. The fraction of HFAP_FL in TAP decreases much more substantially over time than the fraction of FAP_FL (Fig. 3 (c)). Figure 3 (d) and Figure 3 (e), show the stacked fractions of FAP types within FAP_FL and HFAP types within HFAP_FL, respectively, revealing changes in the contribution of different particle types. The contribution of AB and ABC particles is much higher at the beginning of the campaign for both cases. ABC (and AB for HFAPs) dominate (H)FAPs until mid of October, after which A and B particles become the dominant contributors for the remainder of the campaign.

From October 24, 2022 the surrounding ground was covered in snow. Snow depth was measured at Kenttärova research station located at 67.987° N, 24.243° E at 347 m above sea level inside a spruce forest approximately 5.5 km east of the Sammaltunturi station. In Fig. 3 (f), the concentration of HFAP_ABC is plotted alongside snow depth. The data indicates that snow cover has a strong influence on (H)FAP concentrations, particularly for the ABC and AB types: Once the ground is snow-covered, the concentration of HFAP_ABC decreases rapidly and remains low, with the exception of a brief increase coinciding with a short melting period.

And changed the following paragraph slightly to

Figure 4 shows boxplots of the concentrations of TAPs, FAPs and HFAPs during the snow free period (September 9 – October 23, 2022) and the snow-covered period (October 24 – December 13, 2022). Permanent snow cover leads to a pronounced decrease in the concentrations of FAPs (Fig. 4 (a)) and especially HFAPs (Fig. 4 (b)). In Fig. 5, the relative change of median concentrations from the snow free period to the snow-covered period is plotted for TAP, HFAP_FL and most HFAP subtypes. While the median concentration for TAP is 33 % lower during snow-covered time compared to the snow free period (the mean concentration increases, see Fig. 3 (a)), the reduction is much more pronounced for the highly fluorescent particle types: HFAP_AB and HFAP_ABC both show reductions exceeding 94 %. The influence of other

meteorological variables on HFAP concentrations is discussed in Gratzl et al. (2025), which includes evaluations based on this data set.

We also added the sentence

Wind speed, wind direction and snow depth data were taken from https://en.ilmatieteenlaitos.fi/download-observations (last access: April 11, 2025).

to the data availability statement.



Figure 3: Overview over concentrations and fraction of TAPs, FAPs and HFAPs and meteorological variables. (a) Wind speed and wind direction, (b) TAP, FAP and HFAP concentrations, (c) FAP and HFAP fractions in TAP. Markers in (b) and (c) represent data with the temporal resolution of the data set, while lines represent 24 hour running medians., (d) Stacked fractions of all FAP types, (e) stacked fractions of HFAP types and (f) concentration of HFAP_ABC and snow depth.

We still think that the size distributions are valuable information and that they would improve the manuscript. Therefore, we added a new Figure 6 (as a replacement for former Figure 5 and Figure 6 which showed the time series of FAPs, HFAPs and their subtypes). In this new figure, we present size distributions of FAPs, HFAPs and some types as means from the snow free period. This way, it is clear, that the higher concentrations from FAPs compared to HFAPs mainly come from the smaller particle sizes, that are fluorescent in FAPs, but not in HFAPs. We added the following text:

Figure 6 presents the mean size distributions (dN/dlogDp) of FAP_FL, HFAP_FL and selected types from the snow free period. For FAPs (Fig. 6 (a)), the maximum of the distribution occurs in the smallest size channel (0.50 – 0.66 μ m) for all types except FAP_AB and FAP_ABC. The higher fluorescence threshold applied to HFAPs results in the exclusion of most fluorescent particles < 1 μ m (Fig. 6 (b)). Among the small particles that do exceed this higher fluorescence threshold, the majority are classified as B particles. A comparison of the size distributions of FAPs and HFAPs, indicates that the higher concentrations of FAPs, relative to HFAPs, can be attributed to the greater proportion of smaller fluorescent particles present in the FAP population.



Figure 6: Mean size distributions for the snow free period of (a) FAP_FL and some FAP types and (b) HFAP_FL and some HFAP types.

It is mentioned in the campaign overview that meteorological (and air mass trajectory) data is available. However it is not presented in the plots. Given that FAP/HFAP are greatly associated with the coarse mode portion of the aerosol population which is mainly wind driven, a wind speed / direction overlay or as another subplot would be greatly appreciated as it would increase the insight on the effect of the snow layer.

We added wind speed and wind direction to (new) Fig. 3, as addressed above. In the meantime, we submitted a research article following this paper to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics which is available as a preprint, in which we analyze the relations between meteorology and air mass origin with HFAPs in detail (doi of the preprint: https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2025-1599). We added the following sentence at the end of the paragraph in which Figure 4 is discussed (see last comment):

The influence of other meteorological variables on HFAP concentrations is discussed in Gratzl et al. (2025), which includes evaluations based on this data set.

Typeset suggestions:

Line 14 – Intrinsic fluorescence is dependent on size, composition, excitation wavelength and detection limit. I would suggest switching the word "most" to something softer sounding or explicitly mentioning the caveats.

We changed the sentence to "Since biological aerosol particles **can** exhibit intrinsic fluorescence, ..."

Line 26 - Replace "contains" with "are the".

Done!

Line 59-62 – Sentence starting with "Thus, traditional methods..." seems cluttered. Breaking the sentence down into 2 could improve clarity.

We separated this sentence into two sentences, now reading

Thus, traditional methods of aerobiologists might not be suitable for ice nucleation research, as they only detect intact and big fungal spores and pollen grains with low time resolution. Therefore, these methods potentially overlook important contributors to biological INPs, especially in the size range below about 2 µm in diameter (Fernández-Rodríguez et al., 2018).

Line 67 – Remove "weak" as the main differentiation between biological and non-biological fluorescent aerosol is mainly focused on emission spectra rather than strength.

We removed the "weak".

Line 93 - Is it meant "sheath" flow instead of "sheet"?

Yes, it was supposed to be sheath. We changed it.

Line 151 – Paragraph formatting seems off. Also, it seems like the sentence is disconnected. We agree and deleted the sentence in line 151.

Line 201 – (dd.MM.yyyy) seems redundant given that values bigger than 12 are present for dd.

We agree! We changed the x-axis label of Figure 2 to "Date" and used the same label for the new Figure 3.

Line 262 – "set" is bold.

It is no longer bold.

Other Changes:

We deleted *Black Carbon^d*, from Table 1. as a reference for a nonbiological contributor to A particles, as it was a wrong reference.

We separated the two references at line 403 by a paragraph:

Hoose, C. and Möhler, O.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on atmospheric aerosols: a review of results from laboratory experiments, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 9817–9854, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9817-2012, 2012.

Hughes, D. D., Mampage, C. B., Jones, L. M., Liu, Z., and Stone, E. A.: Characterization of atmospheric pollen fragments during springtime thunderstorms, Environmental Science and Technology Letters, 7, 409–414, 2020.

We increased the font of the labels of Figure 2.



Figure 2: Temporal evolution of the 3 σ threshold intensities for the three channels. A particle was considered fluorescent if its intensity in any channel was higher than the threshold intensity.