

Permafrost-wildfire interactions: Active layer thickness estimates for 1

paired burned and unburned sites in northern high-latitudes 2

Anna C. Talucci¹, Michael M. Loranty², Jean E. Holloway³, Brendan M. Rogers¹, Heather D. 3

Alexander⁴, Natalie Baillargeon¹, Jennifer L. Baltzer⁵, Logan T. Berner⁶, Amy Breen⁷, Leya Brodt⁸, 4

Brian Buma^{9, 10}, Jacqueline Dean¹, Clement J. F. Delcourt¹¹, Lucas R. Diaz¹¹, Catherine M. Dieleman¹², 5

6

Thomas A. Douglas¹³, Gerald V. Frost¹⁴, Benjamin V. Gaglioti¹⁵, Rebecca E. Hewitt¹⁶, Teresa Hollingsworth^{17,18}, M. Torre Jorgenson¹⁹, Mark J. Lara²⁰, Rachel A. Loehman²¹, Michelle C. Mack²², 7

Kristen L. Manies²³, Christina Minions¹, Susan M. Natali¹, Jonathan A. O'Donnell²⁴, David Olefeldt²⁵, 8

Alison K. Paulson²⁶, Adrian V. Rocha²⁷, Lisa B. Saperstein²⁸, Tatiana A. Shestakova^{29, 30, 1}, Seeta Sistla³¹, Oleg Sizov³², Andrey Soromotin⁸, Merritt R. Turetsky³³, Sander Veraverbeke¹¹, Michelle A. 9

10 Walvoord³⁴

11 12

13 ¹ Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, MA, 02540-1644, USA

- ² Department of Geography, Colgate University, Hamilton, NY, 13346, USA 14
- ³ Department of Geography, Environment and Geomatics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, K1N 6N5, Canada 15
- ⁴ College of Forestry, Wildlife, and Environment, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, 36949, USA 16
- ⁵ Biology Department, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3C5, Canada 17
- ⁶ School of Informatics, Computing, and Cyber Systems, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, 86011, USA 18
- ⁷ International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, 99775-7340, USA 19
- ⁸ Tyumen State University, Tyumen, 625003, Russia 20
- ⁹ Integrative Biology, University of Colorado (Denver), Boulder, CO, 80304, USA 21
- ¹⁰ Environmental Defense Fund, Boulder, CO 80302, USA 22
- ¹¹ Faculty of Science, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, 1081 HV, The Netherlands 23
- 24 ¹² School of Environmental Sciences, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, N3H3Y8, Canada
- 25 ¹³ U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Fort Wainwright, AK, 99703, USA
- 26 ¹⁴ Alaska Biological Research, Inc., Fairbanks, AK, 99708, USA
- ¹⁵ Water and Environmental Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, 99775, USA 27
- 28 ¹⁶ Department of Environmental Studies, Amherst College, Amherst, MA, 01002, USA
- ¹⁷ Pacific Northwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, 99708, USA 29
- ¹⁸ Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula MT, 59801 30
- ¹⁹ Alaska Ecoscience, Fairbanks, AK, 99775, USA 31
- ²⁰ Department(s) of Plant Biology and Geography, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA 32
- 33 ²¹ U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, 99508, USA
- ²² Center for Ecosystem Science and Society and Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, 34 AZ. 86001, USA 35
- ²³ U.S. Geological Survey, Moffett Field, 94035, USA 36
- ²⁴ Arctic Network, National Park Service, Anchorage, AK, 99501, USA 37
- ²⁵ Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2G7, Canada 38
- ²⁶ Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, U.S. Forest Service, Sparks, NV, 89431, USA 39
- ²⁷ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556, USA 40
- ²⁸ Alaska Regional Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK, 99503, USA 41
- 42 ²⁹ Department of Agricultural and Forest Sciences and Engineering, University of Lleida, Av. Alcalde Rovira Roure 191,
- Lleida, Catalonia 25198, Spain 43





- ³⁰ Joint Research Unit CTFC–AGROTECNIO–CERCA, Av. Alcalde Rovira Roure 191, Lleida, Catalonia 25198, Spain
- 45 ³¹ Natural Resources Management & Environmental Sciences, Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo, CA, 93401, USA
- 46 ³² Oil and Gas Research Institute RAS, Moscow, 119333, Russia
- 47 ³³ Renewable and Sustainable Energy Institute, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado
- 48 Boulder, Boulder, CO, 80309-0552, USA
- 49 ³⁴U.S. Geological Survey, Earth System Processes Division, Denver, CO, 80225, USA
- 50
- 51 Correspondence to: Anna C. Talucci (atalucci@woodwellclimate.org)
- 52

53

54

55 Abstract. As the northern high latitude permafrost zone experiences accelerated warming, permafrost has become vulnerable 56 to widespread thaw. Simultaneously, wildfire activity across northern boreal forest and Arctic/subarctic tundra regions impact permafrost stability through the combustion of insulating organic matter, vegetation and post-fire changes in albedo. Efforts 57 to synthesise the impacts of wildfire on permafrost are limited and are typically reliant on antecedent pre-fire conditions. To 58 address this, we created the FireALT dataset by soliciting data contributions that included thaw depth measurements, site 59 60 conditions, and fire event details with paired measurements at environmentally comparable burned and unburned sites. The solicitation resulted in 52,466 thaw depth measurements from 18 contributors across North America and Russia. Because thaw 61 depths were taken at various times throughout the thawing season, we also estimated end of season active layer thickness 62 63 (ALT) for each measurement using a modified version of the Stefan equation. Here, we describe our methods for collecting 64 and quality checking the data, estimating ALT, the data structure, strengths and limitations, and future research opportunities. 65 The final dataset includes 47,952 ALT estimates (27,747 burned, 20,205 unburned) with 32 attributes. There are 193 unique 66 paired burned/unburned sites spread across 12 ecozones that span Canada, Russia, and the United States. The data span fire events from 1900 to 2022. Time since fire ranges from zero to 114 years. The FireALT dataset addresses a key challenge: the 67 68 ability to assess impacts of wildfire on ALT when measurements are taken at various times throughout the thaw season depending on the time of field campaigns (typically June through August) by estimating ALT at the end of season maximum. 69 This dataset can be used to address understudied research areas particularly algorithm development, calibration, and validation 70 for evolving process-based models as well as extrapolating across space and time, which could elucidate permafrost-wildfire 71 72 interactions under accelerated warming across the high northern latitude permafrost zone. The FireALT dataset is available 73 through the Arctic Data Center.

- 74
- 75
- 15
- 76

77 1 Introduction

Permafrost, defined as ground that remains at or below 0°C for two or more consecutive years, has become vulnerable to widespread thaw in response to rapid climate warming at high latitudes. Permafrost temperatures have increased over the last 30 years (Romanovsky et al., 2010, Smith et al., 2022, Calvin et al., 2023) resulting in the thickening of the active layer, which is the uppermost, seasonally thawed layer (Harris and Permafrost Subcommittee, Associate Committee on Geotechnical

82 Research, National Research Council of Canada, 1988, Bonnaventure and Lamoureux 2013). Widespread permafrost thaw and



83 increases in active layer thickness are expected under future climate conditions (Smith and Burgess 2004, Zhang et al., 2008, 84 Derksen et al., 2019, Peng et al., 2023), and these processes are expected to release large amounts of soil carbon to the 85 atmosphere as greenhouse gas emissions (Schaefer et al., 2014, Gasser et al., 2018, Knoblauch et al., 2018, Yokohata et al., 86 2020, Natali et al., 2021, Schuur et al., 2022, See et al., 2024). Changes to permafrost, particularly near-surface permafrost and the active layer, have important implications for ecology, forestry, hydrology, biogeochemistry, climate feedbacks, 87 88 engineering, traditional livelihoods, and community safety (Anisimov and Reneva 2006, O'Donnell et al., 2011b, Rocha and 89 Shaver 2011, Bret-Harte et al., 2013, Hugelius et al., 2014, Jones et al., 2015, Li et al., 2019, Turetsky et al., 2020, Gibson et 90 al., 2021, Huang et al., 2024).

91

92 Climate change is also intensifying high-latitude wildfire regimes (Kasischke et al., 2010, de Groot et al., 2013, Zhang et al., 93 2015, Wotton et al., 2017, Hanes et al., 2019, McCarty et al., 2021, Descals et al., 2022, Phillips et al., 2022, Scholten et al., 94 2022, Zheng et al., 2023, Byrne et al., 2024). Wildfire activity shows interannual variability that is predominantly controlled 95 by subseasonal drying and climate, where prolonged warm and dry conditions in conjunction with fuel accumulation may alter 96 fire regimes and the seasonality of fire (York et al., 2020). The interaction between wildfire and permafrost results in both 97 immediate and long-term effects on the surface energy balance and ground thermal regimes, as well as hydrologic cycling and 98 soil and aquatic biogeochemistry (O'Donnell et al., 2011b, Rocha and Shaver 2011, Bret-Harte et al., 2013, Jones et al., 2015, 99 Li et al., 2019, Hollingsworth et al., 2020, Holloway et al., 2020). These interactions also result in second-order greenhouse 100 gas emissions (O'Donnell et al., 2011c, Jiang et al., 2015, Smith et al., 2015, Jones et al., 2015, Gibson et al., 2018, Li et al., 101 2019) by making stored soil carbon available for mineralization (O'Donnell et al., 2011c, Rocha and Shaver 2011, Bret-Harte 102 et al., 2013, Hugelius et al., 2014, Jones et al., 2015, Li et al. 2019). Biomass combustion during fires removes the insulating 103 surface vegetation (i.e., moss, lichen, low growing shrubs) and soil organic matter, typically reduces evapotranspiration (Rouse 104 1976, Amiro 2001, Chambers and Chapin 2002, Chambers et al., 2005, Amiro et al., 2006), and reduces short-term albedo 105 (i.e., the surface reflectance), resulting in increases in the ground heat flux and the expansion of the active layer (Rocha et al., 106 2012, Jafarov et al., 2013, Nossov et al., 2013, Jiang et al., 2015, Douglas et al., 2016, Fisher et al., 2016, Gibson et al., 2018). Similarly, tree canopy removal reduces shading in the summer and results in more snow on the ground in the winter, both 107 108 leading to higher surface soil temperatures and expansion of the active layer into near-surface permafrost (Rocha et al., 2012, 109 Jafarov et al., 2013, Jiang et al., 2015, Zhang et al., 2015, Douglas et al., 2016, Fisher et al., 2016, Gibson et al., 2018). In 110 contrast, across Arctic tundra, shrub removal from wildfire results in thinner snow due to increased wind exposure, which 111 causes a reduction of the active layer (Wang et al., 2012, Jones et al., 2024).

112

Post-fire changes in the energy balance and subsequent increases in the active layer thickness have historically recovered to pre-fire conditions as vegetation succession occurred (Rouse 1976, Amiro 2001, Liu et al., 2005, Amiro et al., 2006), with a maximum active layer thickness often observed 5-10 years post-fire (Rocha et al., 2012, Holloway et al., 2020) but may extend up to 30 years post-fire (Gibson et al., 2018). However, this pattern of recovery may be changing alongside climate warming



117 and shifting fire regimes (Brown et al., 2015), and may be further impacted by secondary disturbances (Hayes and Buma, 118 2021). For example, as wildfire burns across permafrost peatlands, not only is there a thicker and warmer active layer but an 119 expansion of year-round unfrozen ground (i.e., taliks) and thermokarst bogs (Gibson et al., 2018). These changes in active 120 layer thickness and hydrologic dynamics can constrain regeneration by prolonging vegetation recovery and inducing shifts in 121 vegetation composition and structure (Baltzer et al., 2014, Dearborn et al., 2021). Further, near-surface permafrost degradation 122 can lead to ground subsidence, which alters surface hydrology, often leading to water inundation and further degradation 123 (Brown et al., 2015). Where wildfires burn across permafrost landforms (e.g., thermokarst, ice rich areas), deep and irreversible 124 thawing could permanently alter the landscape (Burn and Lewkowicz 1990, Lewkowicz 2007, Sannel and Kuhry 2011, 125 Liljedahl et al., 2016, Rudy et al., 2017, Borge et al., 2017, Mamet et al., 2017, Fraser et al., 2018), releasing long stored soil 126 carbon into the atmosphere (Schuur et al., 2015). Currently, emissions from fire-induced permafrost thaw are underestimated 127 by the scientific community and climate models (Natali et al., 2021, Treharne et al., 2022, Schädel et al., 2024), an issue that 128 is exacerbated by modelling challenges and uncertainties associated with permafrost carbon stocks (Hugelius et al., 2014, 129 Turetsky et al., 2020). The change in active layer thickness over time is a critical diagnostic indicator of permafrost conditions 130 (Brown et al., 2000, Shiklomanov et al., 2010) and a vital component of modelling carbon emissions from fire and non-fire 131 related permafrost thaw.

132

133 To provide critical data that can be used for understanding and modelling impacts of wildfire on permafrost, we compiled a 134 dataset of thaw depth measurements from paired burned and unburned sites across the northern high-latitude permafrost zone. 135 This dataset is the first of its kind to focus on paired burned and unburned sites providing a circumpolar/boreal perspective. 136 Climate and ecosystem conditions including drainage, vegetation, and soil characteristics control near-surface permafrost 137 characteristics, and thus in order to detect an influence of wildfire it is necessary to have measurements either pre- and post-138 fire, or unburned control and burned nearby sites with otherwise similar ecosystem properties. Measuring ALT for paired 139 unburned control and nearby burn sites is more realistic due to the stochasticity of wildfire. Further, unburned control sites 140 provide a benchmark for understanding the impact of wildfire in these dynamic systems. Thaw depth increases over the course 141 of the thawing season until it reaches its maximum depth, i.e., active layer thickness (ALT). This means that early to mid-142 season measurements do not capture the full depth of the thawed active layer. As such, the variability in thawing season and 143 measurement timing makes it difficult to compare across space and time. Therefore, we standardised thaw depths taken at 144 different times throughout the thawing season, which resulted in an estimated dataset of ALT. Further, capturing the maximum 145 ALT aids in establishing the full scope of permafrost change because it is a critical indicator of thaw dynamics. Depending on 146 the location ALT could occur anywhere from August through November. This paper provides a description of the data 147 solicitation and compilation, the process for standardising the measurements, and general descriptive statistics on the dataset. 148 Finally, we describe the strengths and limitations of the dataset, future research directions, and protocols for accessing and 149 using this dataset.





151 2 Data and Methods

152 2.1 Data Solicitation and Quality Screening

153 To assemble a dataset capable of widely characterising the influence of wildfire on permafrost, we solicited field measurements 154 of thaw depth from paired burned and unburned sites from researchers working in boreal forest and tundra ecosystems. Thaw depth refers to depth or thickness of the unfrozen surface soil layer anytime during the thawing season, and is typically obtained 155 156 by measuring depth to refusal using a graduated steel probe. A critical component of the data required an ecologically 157 appropriate unburned site(s) within close proximity that shared similar dominant vegetation, drainage, and climatic conditions 158 to be paired with one or more burned sites, meaning the burned site would have had similar pre-fire conditions to the unburned 159 site. We began by soliciting data from members of the Permafrost Carbon Network and their collaborators and then used 160 literature review to identify additional contributors. Data contributors were required to submit metadata (Table S1) and data 161 via a Google form with required attributes that included their last name, country where data were collected, latitude, longitude, 162 biome, vegetation cover class, site identifier, plot identifier, year data were collected, month data were collected, day data was 163 collected, fire identifier, fire year, whether the site was burned or unburned, organic layer depth, thaw depth, whether the probe 164 hit rocks, whether the depth was greater than the probe, contributors assigned a designation of 'thaw' or 'active' to indicate early-mid or late season measurements respectively, slope, topographic position, pairing, and whether surface water was 165 166 present. The solicitation resulted in the contribution of 18 datasets with 52,466 thaw depth measurements covering portions of 167 the northern high-latitude permafrost zones in Canada, Russia, and the United States (Table 1, Fig. 1).

168

169Table 1. Brief description of the data contributions. Table includes the last name of the contributor, geographic location of the data,170fire years that were sampled and relevant citations associated with the data.

Contributor	Country	Location description	Biome	Ecozone	Fire years	Citations
Baillargeon	United States	Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, AK, USA	Tundra	Beringia lowland tundra	1972, 2015	Baillargeon et al., 2022
Breen	United States	Kougarok Tundra fire complex on the Seward Peninsula, AK, USA	Tundra	Beringia upland tundra	1971, 1982, 2002, 2011	Hollingsworth et al., 2020, 2021
Buma	United States	Central Alaska black spruce forest	Boreal	Interior Alaska-Yukon lowland taiga	2005	B. Buma, University of Colorado (Denver), unpublished data, 2005
Delcourt	Russia	Northeast Siberia, Russia	Boreal	East Siberian taiga	2018	Delcourt et al., 2024
Diaz	United States	Alaska, USA	Boreal; Tundra	Interior Alaska-Yukon lowland taiga; Beringia lowland tundra	2022	L.R. Diaz, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, unpublished data, 2022



Baltzer, Dieleman, Turetsky	Canada	Northwest Territories, Canada	Boreal	Muskwa-Slave Lake taiga; Northern Canadian Shield taiga; Northwest Territories taiga	1940, 1960, 1969, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1980, 1981, 2011, 2013, 2014	Dieleman et al., 2022
Douglas, Jorgenson	United States	Interior Boreal near Fairbanks, AK, USA	Boreal	Interior Alaska-Yukon lowland taiga	2005-2020	Douglas et al., 2020
Frost	United States	central Yukon- Kuskokwim Delta, western Alaska	Tundra	Beringia lowland tundra	1971, 1972, 1985, 2006, 2007, 2015	Frost et al., 2020
Gaglioti	United States	The Noatak watershed, which drains the southwestern flank of the Brooks Range in northwestern Alaska	Tundra	Arctic foothills tundra	1972, 1984	Gaglioti et al., 2021
Holloway	Canada	Taiga Plains and Taiga Shield ecozones near Yellowknife, Canada	Boreal	Muskwa-Slave Lake taiga; Northern Canadian Shield taiga; Northwest Territories taiga	2014, 2015	Holloway et al., 2024
Loranty	Russia	Northeastern Siberia Larch forests	Tundra	Chukchi Peninsula tundra	1972	Loranty, et al., 2014
Manies	United States	Interior Alaska, black spruce forests	Boreal	Interior Alaska-Yukon lowland taiga	1999	Harden et al., 2006
Natali	United States	Bonanza Creek, Alaska USA; Anaktuvuk River fire, AK USA; Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, AK	Boreal; Tundra	Interior Alaska-Yukon lowland taiga; Interior Yukon-Alaska alpine tundra; Arctic foothills tundra; Beringia lowland tundra	1983, 2003, 2004, 2007, 2015	Natali et al., 2016, 2018, Natali 2018
O'Donnell	United States	Interior Boreal, AK, USA	Boreal; Tundra	Interior Alaska-Yukon lowland taiga; Interior Yukon-Alaska alpine tundra	1966, 1967, 1990, 2003, 2004	O'Donnell et al., 2009, 2011a, 2011b, 2013
Olefeldt	Canada	Western Boreal Canada	Boreal	Muskwa-Slave Lake taiga; Northwest Territories taiga	1964, 1967, 1975, 1982, 1984, 1995, 2000, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2019	Gibson et al., 2018
Paulson, Alexander	Russia	Northeastern Siberia near Cherskiy, Russia, and Yakutsk, Russia	Boreal	East Siberian taiga; Northeast Siberian taiga	1983, 1984, 1990, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2010, 2015	Alexander et al., 2020
Rocha	United States	North Slope of Alaska	Tundra	Arctic foothills tundra	1977, 1993, 2001, 2007	Rocha and Shaver, 2011
Sizov	Russia	Northwestern Russia, Nadym region of the Yamal-Nenets Autonomous Okrug	Tundra	Yamal-Gydan tundra	2016	Sizov et al., 2020







Figure 1. Map of the northern high latitude permafrost zone showing the percent of thaw depth measurements by ecozones (circle colour, Dinerstein et al., 2017) with the extent of continuous, discontinuous, and sporadic permafrost shown in shades of blue (Brown et al., 1998). Points are sized and labelled with the percent of measurements within each ecozone. The Arctic circle is shown with the thick dashed black line.





We screened the data for issues with units, sign convention, coordinates, and data type (e.g., factor, integer). Where we required categorical variables, we ensured these were spelled in a consistent manner and that the correct unique number of variables were returned. We mapped the data to check inaccurate site coordinates and checked discrepancies, such as missing negative signs from longitude, with contributors. We used histograms of measurement depths to identify any outliers in the data, several of which were removed after confirming with the contributors that they were the result of typographic errors. Data contributors were asked to note if any measurements hit rock, and, when noted, these observations were excluded from the final dataset.

184

194

185 **2.2 Estimating Active Layer Thickness**

186 Over the course of the growing season, the depth of the thawing front increases as the active layer expands to its maximum. 187 Therefore, measurements taken throughout the thaw season are not directly comparable with one another. Therefore, we 188 standardised thaw depths taken at different times throughout the thawing season, which resulted in an estimated dataset of 189 ALT. To do so, we estimated ALT using a modified version of the Stefan equation, used by Holloway and Lewkowicz (2020) 190 and described by Riseborough et al. (2018) and Bonnaventure and Lamoureux (2013). Estimating ALT (Fig. 2) allows thaw 191 depth measurements collected during different times in the growing season to be comparable and used to understand the full 192 effects of wildfire on the active layer across paired sites in a given measurement year and for some of the sites across multiple 193 years.









197 ALT was estimated based on air thawing degree days (TDD; i.e., days above zero degrees Celsius during the thawing season). 198 Daily mean air temperatures were extracted from ERA5-Land daily aggregates (Muñoz Sabater 2019) accessed through 199 Google Earth Engine (Gorelick et al., 2017). Instrumental air temperature data are sparse across the northern high-latitude 200 regions. We selected the ERA5-Land (Muñoz Sabater, 2019) dataset since it is available for the full region and time series, accessible through Google Earth Engine, and has been evaluated against meteorological station data (Rantanen et al., 2023, 201 202 Clelland et al. 2024). Across the circum-Arctic and Asian boreal ERA5-Land validation studies indicate a warming bias in 203 winter months of a half a degree Celsius (Rantanen et al., 2023, Clelland et al. 2024), whereas validation studies in summer 204 indicate a slight cooling trend of ~0.2 degrees Celsius (Rantanen et al., 2023). Due to the scarcity of meteorological stations 205 across the Northwestern Territories, we provide additional validation for air temperature data from ERA5-Land using shielded 206 air temperatures at a height of 1.5 m that were measured at six sites using Onset Corporation (USA) Hobo Pro U23-003 loggers 207 (accuracy $\pm 0.21^{\circ}$ C; precision $\pm 0.02^{\circ}$ C). All air temperature data were aggregated from 2-hour samples to daily averages and 208 sites included thaw depth measurements (Holloway 2020). We calculate Pearson's correlation coefficient (R), bias (defined as 209 the summation of modelled minus measured divided by the number of data points), and the root mean square error (RMSE). 210 The correlation is ~ 0.99 , with a warming bias of 0.54 degrees Celsius, and a RMSE of 2.23 degrees Celsius (Fig. S2).

211

First, we defined the end of the thaw season for each measurement location and year based on when the five-day mean daily air temperature shifted from above- to below-freezing. We then subtracted 14 days from the end-of-season date to account for the lag between surface freezing and the refreezing of the bottom of the active layer. Typically, the active layer begins to freeze upward while the air temperature is still above zero, requiring approximately 7-14 days until the surface freezes (Osterkamp and Burn 2002). Following the Stefan equation (Freitag and McFadden, 1997), we calculate (A) as the square root of the sum of daily mean air temperature TDD prior to the day of year of the field measurement (i.e., thaw depth), as in Eq. (1):

218

219
$$A = \sqrt{\sum_{TDD \ thaw \ depth=1}^{n} TDD \ Thaw \ depth}, \qquad (1)$$

220

We calculate (B) as the square root of the sum of daily mean air temperature TDD (i.e., days above zero degrees Celsius) prior to the end of thaw season day of year (i.e., ALT) Eq. (2):

223

$$B = \sqrt{\sum_{TDD \ ALT=1}^{n} TDD \ ALT} \quad , \tag{2}$$

225

Finally, we multiplied the field measured depth by the ratio of the first two equations to calculate the estimated ALT Eq. (3):





(3)

- 228 estimated ALT = field measured depth $\times (B \div A)$,
- 229
- An example of the calculation for two sites is provided in Table 3 and shown in Fig. 3.
- 231



232

Figure 3. An example of estimating active layer thickness from two *in situ* thaw depth measurements using seasonal air temperature. Air temperature through the thawing season (green line) for two separate sites, one with an early-season <u>thaw depth</u> measurement (A) and a second with an end-of-season thaw depth measurement (B). For each site, we show the measured thaw depth (blue point) and estimated ALT depth (orange point) for the day of year either measured or estimated. The right y-axis shows thaw depth (cm),

237 the left y-axis shows air temperature and the x-axis shows the day of the year.



Table 3. An example of estimating ALT using Equations 1-3 from two *in situ* thaw depth measurements at two sites (A and B) using the same data as in Fig. 3.

	Site	Α	В
	Timing of measurement	Early season	End of Season
	Year	2015	2015
Data contribution	Month	6	9
	Day	10	11
	Day of year	161	254
	Measurement depth (cm)	34	127
	Day of year first of five consecutive days at zero	299	299
	Day of year to estimate ALT	285	285
Calculated from ERA5 data extracted based on	Eq.1	25.25	45.95
location	Eq.2	48.03	48.03
Estimated ALT	Eq.3 (cm)	65	133

²⁴⁰

Estimates were excluded for observations that hit rock, were greater than the depth of the measurement probe, or were missing the day of month (Table S2). We were unable to convert every early season thaw depth to ALT if the date of measurement was not preceded by at least one day above zero degrees Celsius, in which case these measurements were removed from the estimated dataset. Ultimately, 47,952 of the original 52,466 measurements were included in the estimated dataset.

245

246 **2.3 Quantify uncertainty of estimated ALT**

We quantify uncertainty in our estimates of ALT by calculating Pearson's correlation coefficient (*R*), bias (defined as the summation of modelled minus measured divided by the number of data points), and the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). The bias indicates whether estimated ALT is over or underestimated, while the RMSE provides an average error regardless of sign. We used a separate dataset (n=626) that had repeat thaw depth measurements at the same location taken throughout the thaw season. We used the early season measurements to estimate thaw depths for the date of the late season measurement (as opposed to the end of the thaw season defined using ERA5-Land) following the methodology described in Section 2.2, to quantify the uncertainty in the estimation process.

254 2.4 Spatial attributes

We added spatial attributes to the data through spatial joins. We generated a point shapefile using the latitude and longitude coordinates with the coordinate reference system (CRS) 4326 (i.e., WGS 84). We performed a spatial join to add ecozone data (Dinerstein et al., 2017), retaining the ecozone and biome names. We then performed a second spatial join with permafrost data (Brown et al., 1998), retaining permafrost extent (e.g., continuous, discontinuous, sporadic). We show the distribution of estimated ALT measurements by ecozone (Fig. 4).





261



262

Figure 4. Frequency distribution graphs showing estimated active layer thickness (cm) by ecozones split by North America (A) and Eurasia (B). Map of ecozones for location reference (C; Dinerstein et al., 2017). The y-axis is the count of measurements and the xaxis is the depth in centimetres. Both x- and y-axis vary by panel and y-axes are adjusted to show low counts.

266 **2.5 Data structure and columns**

The resulting dataset includes 32 attributes including attributes from the initial contribution, plus the attributes from the spatial joins and the derived ALT estimates all described in Table 4. The dataset is shared in comma separated values (csv) format with 47,952 rows and 32 columns. For missing values, we used 'NA' and '-9999', for character and numeric fields, respectively.

271

272 Table 4. Description of data attributes and data format.

Attribute	Format	Description
plotId	character	A unique identifier assigned by the data contributor to identify the field plot.
siteId	character	Site name assigned by the data contributor specific to the fieldwork.
lastNm	character	Last name(s) of the person(s) contributing the data provided by the data contributor.
submitNm	character	Last name of the data contributor that submitted the form (single name only).
biome	character	Boreal (B) or tundra (T) assigned by the data contributor.



distur	character	Categorical variable to identify location as burned or unburned provided by the data contributor.
cntryId	character	Dropdown list of two-digit code: Russia (RU), USA (US), Canada (CA), Finland (FI), Norway (NO), Sweden (SE), Iceland (IS), Greenland (GL) assigned by the data contributor.
fireYr	integer	Four-digit year of when the fire event occurred provided by the data contributor.
fireId	character	Unique fire identifier assigned by the data contributor.
gtProbe	character	Permafrost thaw depth exceeds (i.e., greater than [gt]) the length of probe yes (y) or no (n) provided by the data contributor.
hitRock	character	Probe hit rock yes (y) or no (n) provided by the data contributor.
lat	float	Latitude in decimal degrees in WGS 84 provided by the data contributor.
lon	float	Longitude in decimal degrees in WGS 84 provided by the data contributor.
year	integer	Four-digit year the data were collected provided by the data contributor.
month	integer	Two-digit month (values 01-12 accepted) the data were collected provided by the data contributor.
day	integer	Day of month data were collected values(1-31) provided by the data contributor.
orgDpth	integer	Organic layer thickness measured from the ground/moss surface to the organic-mineral interface, as a site mean in cm, provided by the data contributor.
srfH2O	character	A categorical variable describing if plot locations experience seasonal inundation (i.e., standing surface water during the early season but dry by late season). Seasonal inundation (Y: yes) or not (N: no) or unknown (U). Provided by the data contributor.
msrType	character	A categorical variable of thaw (T) or active (A). Active refers to active layer thickness (i.e., maximum seasonal thaw at the end of growing season), and thaw refers to thaw depth (i.e., less than seasonal maximum taken earlier than the end of thawing season). Provided by the data contributor.
msrDoy	integer	Day of year (DOY) for the day of measurement converted from YYYY-MM-DD.
msrDepth	float	The field measurement of the thaw depth or ALT in cm. Provided by the data contributor.
topoPos	character	Categorical variable describing the topographic position of plot locations as upland (U), midslope (M), lowland (L). Provided by the data contributor.
slope	integer	Numeric value indicating slope angle provided by the data contributor.
vegCvr	character	Evergreen needle-leaf (EN); broadleaf deciduous (BD); deciduous needle-leaf (DN); mixed needle-leaf majority MNM; mixed (M); mixed broadleaf majority (MBM); barrens (B), graminoid tussock dominated (GT), graminoid non-tussock dominated (GNT), prostrate shrub dominated (P), erect-shrub dominated (S), and wetlands (W). Provided by the data contributor.
resBiome	character	Biome assigned by spatial join with the Resolve data product 'BIOME_NAME' (Dinerstein et al., 2017).
resName	character	Ecozone name assigned by spatial join with the Resolve data product 'ECO_NAME' (Dinerstein et al., 2017).
permaExtent	character	Permafrost extent assigned by spatial join with permafrost ground-ice map 'EXTENT' as C=continuous, D=discontinuous, S=sporadic (Brown et al., 1998).
estDoy	integer	The day of year used to estimate ALT based on when the five-day mean daily air temperature shifted from above- to below-freezing.
estDepth	float	The estimated ALT in cm; calculated using air temperature from ERA5-Land and field measured thaw depth.
paired	character	Identifying code to pair unburned measurements to burned measurements provided by the data contributor.
tsf	integer	Time since fire calculated by subtracting year from fireYr.
tsfClass	character	Binned time since fire (tsf) classes in years as "unburned", "0-3", "4-10", "11-20", "21-40", ">40"

273



275 **2.6** Aggregating to compare burned to unburned measurements

Paired burned and unburned sites are a unique and defining characteristic of this dataset. Data contributors were required to provide details on how their burned measurements paired with unburned measurements. Site characteristics of unburned sites were required to be representative of biogeoclimatic conditions prefire and within close proximity to their paired burned site(s). The dataset includes a code to link burned with unburned sites ('paired'). To examine the difference between burned and unburned sites, measurements were aggregated by ecozone ('resName'), data contributor ('submitNm'), burned or unburned ('distur'), pairing code ('paired'), year of the fire event ('fireYr'), and can be further grouped by time since fire ('tsf') (Table 4).

283 3 Data summary

284 **3.1 General Characteristics of the data**

285 In total, the final dataset includes 47,952 observations from the original 52,466 observations. Thaw depth measurements are 286 predominantly from North America, with 35,794 (19,338 burned, 15,434 unburned) in Alaska and 12,587 (7,528 burned, 4,276 287 unburned) in Canada, and 1,376 (8981 burned, 495 unburned) in Russia. These in situ measurements were collected within the 288 continuous, discontinuous, and sporadic permafrost zones (Fig. 1). Data were contributed with both burned and unburned 289 paired sites with fire years ranging from 1900 to 2022 across 112 fire events. There are 193 unique paired burned/unburned 290 measures based on pair id (76), fire year (37 unique years), fire events (63 unique events), and time since fire spread across 12 291 ecozones. There are 22,500 estimated observations across the boreal forests/taiga and 27,257 estimated observations across 292 the tundra biomes (Fig. 4). There are 27,201 observations from continuous permafrost, 13,798 from discontinuous permafrost, 293 and 8,758 from sporadic permafrost.









Figure 5. The distribution for *in situ* measurements vs. estimated measurements. For day of year (A) and thaw depth (B), we show the distribution for *in situ* measurements vs. estimated measurements using violin plots overlain with boxplots with a red diamond marking the mean. Measured day of year and depths were provided in the raw data contribution. The day of year shows a wide spread of dates, which is caused by the broad geographic extent of the data. Estimated values were calculated to create a dataset that characterises maximum thaw depth (i.e., ALT).

301

302 3.2 Estimated ALT

303 The estimated ALT provides a temporally consistent measurement capable of quantifying the effects of wildfire on active layer 304 dynamics temporally and spatially. The data show the shift from measured thaw depth to estimated ALT characterised by a 305 narrower range of dates and depth measurements (Fig. 5A & 5B). The day of year is condensed for the estimated measures 306 (Fig. 5A), which was anticipated since the contributed data were collected throughout the thawing season resulting in a wide 307 spread due to the broad geographic extent of the data whereas the estimated data were truncated to the later part of the thaw 308 season, resulting in a narrow range of days. The uncertainty in the estimated ALT varies with biome and disturbance (Table 5, 309 Fig. 6). Boreal burned values tend to underestimate by about five percent, whereas unburned values tend to overestimate by 310 about 15 percent. For the tundra, burned and unburned values tend to be overestimated by 19.6 and 22.8 percent respectively. 311 The sample size is much smaller for the tundra biome for estimating uncertainty.

312

Table 5. Quantifying uncertainty for estimated ALT. We report the root mean square error (RMSE), percent uncertainty, mean residual error as an indication of bias, and sample size for burned and unburned sites in the validation dataset. Negative values indicate an overestimation and positive values indicate an underestimation.

Biome	Disturbance	RMSE	Percent uncertainty	Mean residual error (bias)	Sample size
Boreal	Burned	22.8	4.6	5.7	413



ន្ល Earth System	
Science	scus
Data	sion
	S

Boreal	Unburned	20.3	14.5	-8.4	212
Tundra	Burned	29.2	19.6	13.9	20
Tundra	Unburned	5.6	22.8	12.5	6

316



Figure 6. Quantifying uncertainty of ALT estimates. Panel (A) and (B) show observed depths compared to estimated depths split by unburned and burned sites with the orange line showing a slope of one. Panel (C) shows the bias by plot identifier, where zero indicates no difference between the observed and estimated values. Negative values indicate an underestimation and positive values indicate an overestimation with the mean shown by the blue diamond. Unburned plots are ub2, ub3, and UbMp80.





322

323 **3.3 Difference in estimated ALT between burned and unburned sites**

324 By aggregating the burned and unburned pairings, we show the percent difference in estimated ALT between burned and 325 unburned sites post-fire (Fig. 7, S3, S4). Most sites show a thickening of the active layer post-fire compared to adjacent unburned sites. Generally, across boreal sites the mean percent difference shows a thickening of the active layer in the two 326 327 decades following fire, followed by a recovery in the subsequent decades (e.g., time since fire 21-40 and >40). The magnitude 328 of difference varies by biome and permafrost extent. In the boreal forest continuous permafrost region, the means follow this 329 general trend of expansion followed by recovery, however, there is very limited and no data at 4-10 years and >40 years, 330 respectively. The boreal forest discontinuous permafrost region follows the general trend, whereas the boreal forest sporadic 331 permafrost region shows a lower percent difference in the two decades following fire where the active layer does expand but 332 not to the same extent as seen in the continuous or discontinuous permafrost following a varied recovery at 21-40 and >40 333 years. The tundra biome follows the same general trend that the boreal sites do where mean percent difference shows a 334 thickening of the active layer in the two decades following fire, followed by a recovery in the subsequent decades (e.g., time 335 since fire 21-40 and >40). This trend is most distinct for tundra sites with continuous permafrost, whereas sites with 336 discontinuous permafrost show a bit more variability for 11-20, 21-40, and >40 years. The tundra sites with discontinuous 337 permafrost have a sample of one for 21-40 and >40 years, which makes it challenging to fully understand the recovery trend. The trend of post-fire thickening of the active layer followed by recovery illustrates the effect of climate on permafrost 338 339 recovery. The variability in the extent of the thickening of the active layer across permafrost zones might provide insight to 340 potential future patterns. Specifically, the reduced thickening seen in the warmer boreal sporadic region might be a future 341 pattern that we see extending to the boreal discontinuous zone as the climate continues to warm.







343

Figure 7. Percent difference in estimated ALT between burned and unburned paired sites in the years following wildfire. The percent difference is calculated (unburned-burned)/((unburned + burned)/2) * 100. Negative values indicate that the burned sites have a thicker active layer than the unburned site, while values around zero show little difference in ALT, and positive values indicate that unburned sites have a thicker active layer than the burned ALT. The red diamond indicates the mean based on paired burnedunburned and then aggregated by time since fire class, permafrost extent, and biome. The box and whisker plots show the split in quantiles. See Supplemental Materials to see a similar plot by ecozone (Fig. S3 and S4).

4 Strengths, Limitations, and Opportunities

351 4.1 Strengths

352 The FireALT dataset (Talucci et al., 2024) offers paired burned and unburned sites that can be aggregated and viewed both

353 spatially and temporally to provide critical insights for understanding wildfire impacts on ALT, a feature commonly used to

- 354 determine permafrost conditions. Field data collection is often spatially and temporally opportunistic, making comparisons of
- 355 disparate datasets difficult. For example, several geographically similar sites had depth measurements collected across a wide





356 range of dates throughout August and September, but these measurements were not necessarily capturing the maximum ALT 357 and therefore not comparable. Further, it is challenging to compare early to end of season thaw depth measurements (Holloway and Lewkowicz 2020). By estimating ALT, the data can be used to extrapolate beyond individual measurements and provide 358 359 broader understanding of spatial and temporal feedbacks between wildfires, permafrost, and climate. Additionally, data include 360 several environment attributes, e.g., organic layer depth, slope, topographic position, and whether surface water was present. 361 Future analyses could integrate these environmental variables to expound upon the relationship between environmental 362 variables, ALT, and wildfire. Finally, we show a general expansion of the active layer following fire followed by recovery 40 363 years post-fire but the magnitude of expansion and recovery vary by biome and permafrost zone, pointing to the role of 364 vegetation, permafrost conditions, and climate on active layer dynamics in response to wildfire (Brown et al., 2015). Climate 365 has changed over the time period of the fire events captured within this dataset. Generally, the data indicates that we may 366 expect the active layer to fully recover 40 years post-fire, but that may change for more recent fires. The boreal sporadic zone 367 experiences less expansion of the active layer with a less distinct recovery, which demonstrates how climate influences active 368 layer recovery in warmer regions. This illustrates how climate influences permafrost recovery, and with a warming climate, 369 we may expect to see patterns more like this in boreal discontinuous permafrost zone.

370

371 4.2 Limitations

372 Estimating ALT is crucial for spatial-temporal evaluations of wildfire-permafrost interactions due to the variability in thaw 373 depth throughout the thaw season. However, uncertainties arise in the estimated ALT from the data we integrate to make those 374 calculations. Air temperature can be a reliable metric for calculating maximum ALT (Osterkamp and Burn 2002, Holloway 375 and Lewkowicz 2020), but the coarse resolution climate data and in situ weather station gaps (Clelland et al. 2024), as well as 376 the lack of accounting for disturbance effects on air temperature (Kurylyk and Hayashi, 2016, Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021, 377 Helbig et al., 2024), all impact the accuracy of the estimated ALT. The Stefan equation assumes negligible soil heat capacity 378 and thus can overestimate thaw depth, and it also does not account for fire altering the surface energy balance (e.g., reducing 379 albedo, loss of canopy and shading) and heat fluxes (e.g., loss of above-ground biomass), all of which increase thaw depths 380 and can contribute to underestimations of ALT (Kurylyk and Hayashi, 2016). Our quantification of uncertainty supports this 381 underestimation bias for burned sites and over estimation for unburned sites in the boreal biome. Further, the lack of inclusion 382 of frozen water content in the Stefan equation may affect early season measurements due to the zero curtain, where the rate of 383 thawing may not scale directly with air temperature (Osterkamp, 1987, Romanovsky and Osterkamp, 2000). These effects 384 likely vary between tundra and boreal sites. These are dynamic systems with multiple feedbacks that influence the freeze-thaw 385 cycle and the timing of maximum thaw depth. Interannual variability in ALT is dependent on temperature, precipitation, and 386 fluctuations in thaw season length, which are a source of uncertainty in our approach (Shur et al., 2005). Although there are 387 uncertainties, estimating ALT allows for valuable comparisons between sites that are not feasible with the raw data.



389 Burn severity is a critical component of wildfire that impacts ALT and permafrost stability through combustion of the 390 insulating organic matter, vegetation and post-fire changes in albedo (Rocha and Shaver 2011, Alexander et al., 2018). We do 391 not account for burn severity in the data, which could strongly influence differences we see between burned and unburned 392 ALT. Burn severity could be estimated using the organic depth measurement in the data, but the organic depth will be influenced by time since fire or through the integration of satellite imagery that could be used as a proxy for burn severity. 393 394 However, vegetation indices that estimate burn severity (e.g., differenced Normalized Burn Ratio [dNBR]) are typically better 395 correlated with aboveground burn severity while less indicative of burn depth (e.g., Delcourt et al., 2021). Recent research 396 which has shown combinations of remote sensing proxies, dNBR, and land surface temperature could be used in conjunction 397 with these field measurements to estimate changes in ALT across fire scars (Diaz et al., 2024). Additionally, the ice content of 398 permafrost may impact the interaction between wildfire and permafrost, with direct effects on ALT particularly where 399 subsidence is involved or where the increase in ALT contributes to the degradation of ice-rich permafrost (e.g., Yedoma) in 400 the short-term (Nelson et al., 2021, Strauss et al., 2021, Jones et al., 2024).

401 **4.3 Representativeness of the data**

402 The data included in our dataset are predominantly from North America, and there are large spatial gaps across the northern 403 high latitude permafrost region (Fig. S5). For example, Russia is underrepresented despite containing 65% of the northern 404 high-latitude permafrost (Anisimov and Reneva 2006, Streletskiy et al., 2019) and a majority of the burned area within the northern permafrost region (Loranty et al., 2016). The lack of data for this region is further exacerbated by the Russian invasion 405 406 of Ukraine (López-Blanco et al., 2024), which has impacted international collaborations. Additionally, some of the spatial 407 gaps could be a function of the submission criteria that required a burned/unburned pair. Due to the remoteness of northern 408 high latitude fires, field campaigns may be constrained spatially and temporally based on accessibility of field sites and timing 409 of field campaigns. Opportunistic site selection introduces bias into the dataset; however, this is unavoidable for the data 410 synthesis effort that relies on contributions of existing data.

411 **4.4 Future research opportunities**

412 There is opportunity to expand this dataset to increase the spatio-temporal coverage of the data to better understand impacts 413 of wildfire on permafrost dynamics. While we touch on how ALT differs across burned and unburned sites across the northern 414 high latitude permafrost zone, further investigation is warranted on the role of wildfire on permafrost dynamics. We have 415 identified several understudied research areas that could be augmented with this dataset. First, the dataset could be used to 416 further investigate the geospatial distribution of permafrost recovery following fire across the northern high latitude permafrost 417 zone. Second, these data could be used to determine the probability (i.e., likelihood) of permafrost recovery after wildfire as a 418 function of ecotype or ecoclimatic zone, permafrost classification, fire rotation period, and/or climate. Third, the data could 419 aid in determining the soil C consequences of temporary or permanent post-fire permafrost degradation. Fourth, investigations 420 could be structured to identify changes in wildfire activity that affects the likelihood of permafrost recovery/degradation and





421 associated soil C vulnerability using predictive mapping. Fifth, the data could be used to develop an organic layer deficit value 422 that would represent the difference between the organic layer thickness in the burn scar with the organic layer thickness in the 423 unburned control site. Sixth, this dataset could be augmented with quantification of subsidence and the combination of that 424 with ALT to understand how much new permafrost is exposed to seasonal thaw as a result of fire. Finally, there is the 425 opportunity for this dataset to be used in algorithm development, calibration, and validation for evolving process-based models 426 that are trying to capture the impact of fires on permafrost.

427 **5 Data use guidelines & availability**

The FireALT dataset (Talucci et al., 2024) are publicly available for download through the Arctic Data Center under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International copyright (CC BY 4.0). Data should be appropriately referenced by citing this paper and the dataset (see Arctic Data Center). Users of the data are invited to ask questions by contacting the dataset developers. We recommend that researchers planning to use this data as a core portion of their analysis collaborate with the data developers and relevant individual site contributors. The data are available for download as a csv file through the Arctic Data Center (https://doi.org/10.18739/A2W950Q33).

434

435 6 Conclusions

436 The FireALT dataset offers a collection of paired burned and unburned sites with measured thaw depths and estimated ALT. 437 By estimating ALT, we address a key challenge: the ability to assess impacts of wildfire on ALT when measurements are 438 taken at various times throughout the thaw season depending on the time of field campaigns (typically June through August). 439 This dataset can be utilised for future research activities that can expand understanding of the feedbacks between permafrost, 440 wildfire, and global climate systems. Changes to the active layer serve as an important diagnostic indicator that requires 441 continuous monitoring under the current dynamic climate conditions to further understand temporary or permanent changes to permafrost and subsequent losses in carbon storage. These types of data synthesis efforts are crucial for addressing 442 443 understudied research areas particularly algorithm development, calibration, and validation for evolving process-based models 444 as well as extrapolating across space and time, which will elucidate permafrost-wildfire interactions under accelerated warming 445 across the high northern latitude permafrost zone.

446 Author contributions

The FireALT dataset was conceptualised during the 2019 Permafrost Carbon Network meeting by ACT, BMR, DO, KLM,
LTB, MAW, MJL, MML with additional input by ACT, AKP, AVR, BMR, JAO, JEH, KLM, LTB, MAW, MJL, MRT, NB,





REH, SMN, SV for the methods. Data curation was carried out by AB, ACT, AKP, AS, AVR, BB, BVG, CJFD, CM, CMD,
DO, GVF, HDA, JAO, JEH, JLB, KLM, LB, LBS, LRD, LTB, MCM, MML, MRT, MTJ, NB, OS, RAL, REH, SMN, SS,
SV, TAD, TAS, TH. Formal analysis was performed by ACT, JEH, MML. ACT and MML provided project management.
BMR, MML provided supervision. Visualisations were created by ACT, JEH, JD. ACT, JEH, MML wrote the original draft.
All authors contributed to the realisation of the permafrost wildfire data and participated in the editing of the manuscript.

454

455 **Competing Interests**

456 S. Veraverbeke is a member of the editorial board of ESSD. The contact author declares that they and all other co-authors have
457 no competing interests.

458

459 Acknowledgments

460 A. C. Talucci acknowledges Christina Shintani and Greg Fiske at Woodwell Climate Research Center for their cartographic 461 feedback and funding support from the NSF Arctic System Science (award no. 2116864). J. E. Holloway acknowledges Antoni 462 Lewkowicz at the University of Ottawa for the support for field data collections. B.M. Rogers recognizes support from the 463 Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (grant no. 8414), NSF Arctic System Science (award no. 2116864), and funding 464 catalysed by the Audacious Project (Permafrost Pathways). J. O'Donnell acknowledges Jennifer Harden and support from the 465 U.S. Geological Survey for field data collections. D. Olefeldt acknowledges Carolyn Gibson for her field work contributions 466 to the contributed data. L. T. Berner was supported by the NASA Arctic Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (80NSSC22K1244 467 & 80NSSC22K1247). S.M. Natali acknowledges John Wood and the Polaris Project team for field support, and funding from 468 NSF (1417700, 1915307, 1561437) and NASA (NNX15AT81A). T.A. Douglas acknowledges the U.S. Department of 469 Defense's Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (Project RC18- 1170) and Environmental Science 470 and Technology Certification Program (Project RC22-D3-7408) as well as the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development 471 Center Basic Research Portfolio through Program Element PE 0601102A/T14/ST1409. S. Sistla and N. Baillargeon 472 acknowledge support from NSF 2218742. J.L. Baltzer acknowledges funding through the Government of the Northwest 473 Territories' Cumulative Impacts Monitoring Program Project 170, Canada First Research Excellence Fund's Global Water 474 Futures program (project Northern Water Futures), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council's Discovery Grant 475 funding, and the Canada Research Chairs program. Data collection was conducted under Aurora Research Institute's Scientific 476 Research License numbers 16815, 16755, 16311, 16018, 15879, and 15609. C. J. F. Delcourt acknowledges funding from the 477 Dutch Research Council (NWO) through a Vidi grant (grant no. 016.Vidi.189.070) and from the European Research Council 478 (ERC) through a Consolidator grant under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant no.





479 101000987), both awarded to S. Veraverbeke. T. A. Shestakova acknowledges funding from the Beatriu de Pinòs Programme 480 of the Government of Catalonia (2020 BP 00126). K. Manies acknowledges the support of the U.S. Geological Survey Earth 481 Surface Dynamics Program. A.K. Paulson and H. D. Alexander acknowledge Seth Robinson, Eric Borth, Sarah Frankenberg, 482 Aaron Lewis, Brian Izbicki, Clark Thompson, Jill Young, Amanda Ruland, and Elena Forbath for assistance with field work 483 and Valetin Spektor, Nikita Zimov, Sergei Davydov, and Sergei Zimov for contributing extensive knowledge of the region 484 and logistics support. We also acknowledge NSF OPP-2100773. G. V. Frost acknowledges funding from the Western Alaska 485 Landscape Conservation Cooperative (WALCC) award F16AC01215, NASA Arctic Boreal Vulnerability Experiment contract 486 NNH16CP09C. B.V. Gaglioti acknowledges Park Williams for fieldwork, and NSF Award 2124824 and the Joint Fire Science 487 Program Project 20-2-01-13 for funding. Thanks to Benjamin Maglio and Dana Brown for their assistance in reviewing this 488 manuscript. Thanks to the Arctic Data Center team for their assistance with archiving the dataset. Any use of trade, firm, or 489 product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

490

491 References

Alexander, H. D., Natali, S. M., Loranty, M. M., Ludwig, S. M., Spektor, V. V., Davydov, S., Zimov, N., Trujillo, I., and
Mack, M. C.: Impacts of increased soil burn severity on larch forest regeneration on permafrost soils of far northeastern Siberia,
Forest Ecology and Management, 417, 144–153, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.03.008</u>, 2018.

495

Alexander, H. D., Paulson, A. K., DeMarco, J., Hewitt, R., Lichstein, J., Loranty, M. M., Mack, M. C., McEwan, R.,
Frankenberg, S., and Robinson, S.: Fire influences on forest recovery and associated climate feedbacks in Siberian Larch
Forests, Russia, 2018-2019, https://doi.org/10.18739/A2XG9FB90, 2020.

499

Amiro, B. D.: Paired-tower measurements of carbon and energy fluxes following disturbance in the boreal forest, Global
Change Biology, 7, 253–268, <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00398.x</u>, 2001.

502

Amiro, B. D., Orchansky, A. L., Barr, A. G., Black, T. A., Chambers, S. D., Chapin Iii, F. S., Goulden, M. L., Litvak, M., Liu,
H. P., McCaughey, J. H., McMillan, A., and Randerson, J. T.: The effect of post-fire stand age on the boreal forest energy
balance, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 140, 41–50, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.02.014</u>, 2006.

506

Anisimov, O. and Reneva, S.: Permafrost and Changing Climate: The Russian Perspective, AMBIO: A Journal of the Human
 Environment, 35, 169–175, https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2006)35[169:PACCTR]2.0.CO;2, 2006.



510	Baillargeon, N., Pold, G., Natali, S. M., and Sistla, S. A.: Lowland tundra plant stoichiometry is somewhat resilient decades
511	following fire despite substantial and sustained shifts in community structure, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 54, 525-
512	536, https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2022.2121246, 2022.
513	
514	Baltzer, J. L., Veness, T., Chasmer, L. E., Sniderhan, A. E., and Quinton, W. L.: Forests on thawing permafrost: fragmentation,
515	edge effects, and net forest loss, Global Change Biology, 20, 824–834, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12349, 2014.
516	
517	Bonnaventure, P. P. and Lamoureux, S. F.: The active layer: A conceptual review of monitoring, modeling techniques and
518	changes in a warming climate, Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment, 37, 352-376,
519	https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133313478314, 2013.
520	
521	Bret-Harte, M. S., Mack, M. C., Shaver, G. R., Huebner, D. C., Johnston, M., Mojica, C. A., Pizano, C., and Reiskind, J. A.:
522	The response of Arctic vegetation and soils following an unusually severe tundra fire, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 368, 20120490,
523	https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0490, 2013.
524	
525	Brown, D. R. N., Jorgenson, M. T., Douglas, T. A., Romanovsky, V. E., Kielland, K., Hiemstra, C., Euskirchen, E. S., and
526	Ruess, R. W.: Interactive effects of wildfire and climate on permafrost degradation in Alaskan lowland forests, JGR
527	Biogeosciences, 120, 1619–1637, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003033, 2015.
528	
529	Brown, J., Ferrians, O., Heginbottom, J. A., and Melnikov, E.: Circum-Arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground-Ice Conditions,
530	Version 2 [Data Set], <u>https://doi.org/10.7265/skbg-kf16</u> , 1998.
531	
532	Brown, J., Hinkel, K. M., and Nelson, F. E.: The circumpolar active layer monitoring (calm) program: Research designs and
533	initial results, Polar Geography, 24, 166–258, https://doi.org/10.1080/10889370009377698, 2000.
534	
535	Byrne, B., Liu, J., Bowman, K. W., Pascolini-Campbell, M., Chatterjee, A., Pandey, S., Miyazaki, K., Van Der Werf, G. R.,
536	Wunch, D., Wennberg, P. O., Roehl, C. M., and Sinha, S.: Carbon emissions from the 2023 Canadian wildfires, Nature, 633,
537	835–839, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07878-z</u> , 2024.
538	
539	Burn, C. R. and Lewkowicz, A. G.: Canadian Landform Examples - 17: Retrogressive thaw slumps, Canadian Geographies /
540	/ Géographies canadiennes, 34, 273–276, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0064.1990.tb01092.x</u> , 1990.
541	
542	Calvin, K., Dasgupta, D., Krinner, G., Mukherji, A., Thorne, P. W., Trisos, C., Romero, J., Aldunce, P., Barrett, K., Blanco,
543	G., Cheung, W. W. L., Connors, S., Denton, F., Diongue-Niang, A., Dodman, D., Garschagen, M., Geden, O., Hayward, B.,



544	Jones, C., Jotzo, F., Krug, T., Lasco, R., Lee, YY., Masson-Delmotte, V., Meinshausen, M., Mintenbeck, K., Mokssit, A.,
545	Otto, F. E. L., Pathak, M., Pirani, A., Poloczanska, E., Pörtner, HO., Revi, A., Roberts, D. C., Roy, J., Ruane, A. C., Skea, J.,
546	Shukla, P. R., Slade, R., Slangen, A., Sokona, Y., Sörensson, A. A., Tignor, M., Van Vuuren, D., Wei, YM., Winkler, H.,
547	Zhai, P., Zommers, Z., Hourcade, JC., Johnson, F. X., Pachauri, S., Simpson, N. P., Singh, C., Thomas, A., Totin, E., Arias,
548	P., Bustamante, M., Elgizouli, I., Flato, G., Howden, M., Méndez-Vallejo, C., Pereira, J. J., Pichs-Madruga, R., Rose, S. K.,
549	Saheb, Y., Sánchez Rodríguez, R., Ürge-Vorsatz, D., Xiao, C., Yassaa, N., Alegría, A., Armour, K., Bednar-Friedl, B., Blok,
550	K., Cissé, G., Dentener, F., Eriksen, S., Fischer, E., Garner, G., Guivarch, C., Haasnoot, M., Hansen, G., Hauser, M., Hawkins,
551	E., Hermans, T., Kopp, R., Leprince-Ringuet, N., Lewis, J., Ley, D., Ludden, C., Niamir, L., Nicholls, Z., Some, S., Szopa, S.,
552	Trewin, B., Van Der Wijst, KI., Winter, G., Witting, M., Birt, A., Ha, M., et al.: IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis
553	Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
554	Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland., Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
555	Change (IPCC), <u>https://doi.org/10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647</u> , 2023.
556	
557	Chambers, S. D., Beringer, J., Randerson, J. T., and Chapin, F. S.: Fire effects on net radiation and energy partitioning:
558	Contrasting responses of tundra and boreal forest ecosystems, J. Geophys. Res., 110, 2004JD005299,
559	https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005299, 2005.
560	
561	Chambers, S. D. and Chapin, F. S.: Fire effects on surface-atmosphere energy exchange in Alaskan black spruce ecosystems:
562	Implications for feedbacks to regional climate, J. Geophys. Res., 107, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000530, 2002.
563	
564	Clelland, A. A., Marshall, G. J., and Baxter, R.: Evaluating the performance of key ERA-INTERIM , ERA5 and ERA5-LAND
565	climate variables across Siberia, Intl Journal of Climatology, 44, 2318–2342, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.8456, 2024.
566	
567	Dearborn, K. D., Wallace, C. A., Patankar, R., and Baltzer, J. L.: Permafrost thaw in boreal peatlands is rapidly altering forest
568	community composition, Journal of Ecology, 109, 1452-1467, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13569</u> , 2021.
569	
570	de Groot, W. J., Flannigan, M. D., and Cantin, A. S.: Climate change impacts on future boreal fire regimes, Forest Ecology
571	and Management, 294, 35-44, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.09.027, 2013.
572	
573	Delcourt, C. J. F., Combee, A., Izbicki, B., Mack, M. C., Maximov, T., Petrov, R., Rogers, B. M., Scholten, R. C., Shestakova,
574	T. A., Van Wees, D., and Veraverbeke, S.: Evaluating the Differenced Normalized Burn Ratio for Assessing Fire Severity
575	Using Sentinel-2 Imagery in Northeast Siberian Larch Forests, Remote Sensing, 13, 2311, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13122311</u> ,
576	2021.
3//	



578

579 Sass-Klaassen, U., and Veraverbeke, S.: Burned and Unburned Boreal Larch Forest Site Data, Northeast Siberia, 580 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10840088, 2024. 581 582 Derksen, C., Burgess, D., Duguay, C., Howell, S., Mudryk, L., Smith, S., Thackeray, C., and Kirchmeier-Young, M.: Changes 583 in snow, ice, and permafrost across Canada, in: Canada's Changing Climate Report, Government of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, 584 194-260, 2019. 585 586 Descals, A., Gaveau, D. L. A., Verger, A., Sheil, D., Naito, D., and Peñuelas, J.: Unprecedented fire activity above the Arctic Circle linked to rising temperatures, Science, 378, 532–537, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn9768, 2022. 587 588 589 Diaz, L. R., Delcourt, C. J. F., Langer, M., Loranty, M. M., Rogers, B. M., Scholten, R. C., Shestakova, T. A., Talucci, A. C., 590 Vonk, J. E., Wangchuk, S., and Veraverbeke, S.: Environmental drivers and remote sensing proxies of post-fire thaw depth in 591 Eastern Siberian larch forests, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-469, 21 March 2024. 592 593 Dieleman, C.M., Day, N.J., Holloway, J.E., Baltzer, J., Douglas, T.A., Turetsky, M.R.. Carbon and nitrogen cycling dynamics 594 following permafrost thaw in the Northwest Territories, 845, 157288, https://doi-org./10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157288, 2022 595 596 597 Dinerstein, E., Olson, D., Joshi, A., Vynne, C., Burgess, N. D., Wikramanayake, E., Hahn, N., Palminteri, S., Hedao, P., Noss, 598 R., Hansen, M., Locke, H., Ellis, E. C., Jones, B., Barber, C. V., Hayes, R., Kormos, C., Martin, V., Crist, E., Sechrest, W., 599 Price, L., Baillie, J. E. M., Weeden, D., Suckling, K., Davis, C., Sizer, N., Moore, R., Thau, D., Birch, T., Potapov, P., 600 Turubanova, S., Tyukavina, A., De Souza, N., Pintea, L., Brito, J. C., Llewellyn, O. A., Miller, A. G., Patzelt, A., Ghazanfar, 601 S. A., Timberlake, J., Klöser, H., Shennan-Farpón, Y., Kindt, R., Lillesø, J.-P. B., Van Breugel, P., Graudal, L., Voge, M., Al-602 Shammari, K. F., and Saleem, M.: An Ecoregion-Based Approach to Protecting Half the Terrestrial Realm, BioScience, 67, 603 534-545, https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix014, 2017. 604 605 Douglas, T. A., Jorgenson, M. T., Brown, D. R. N., Campbell, S. W., Hiemstra, C. A., Saari, S. P., Bjella, K., and Liljedahl, 606 A. K.: Degrading permafrost mapped with electrical resistivity tomography, airborne imagery and LiDAR, and seasonal thaw 607 measurements, GEOPHYSICS, 81, WA71-WA85, https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2015-0149.1, 2016. 608

Delcourt, C. J. F., Rogers, B. M., Akhmetzyanov, L., Izbicki, B., Scholten, R. C., Shestakova, T., van Wees, D., Mack, M. C.,

- Douglas, T. A., Turetsky, M. R., and Koven, C. D.: Increased rainfall stimulates permafrost thaw across a variety of Interior
 Alaskan boreal ecosystems, npj Clim Atmos Sci, 3, 28, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-0130-4, 2020.
- 611



- 612 Fisher, J. P., Estop-Aragonés, C., Thierry, A., Charman, D. J., Wolfe, S. A., Hartley, I. P., Murton, J. B., Williams, M., and 613 Phoenix, G. K.: The influence of vegetation and soil characteristics on active-layer thickness of permafrost soils in boreal 614 forest, Glob Change Biol, 22, 3127–3140, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13248, 2016. 615 616 Fraser, R., Kokelj, S., Lantz, T., McFarlane-Winchester, M., Olthof, I., and Lacelle, D.: Climate Sensitivity of High Arctic 617 Permafrost Terrain Demonstrated by Widespread Ice-Wedge Thermokarst on Banks Island, Remote Sensing, 10, 954, 618 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10060954, 2018. 619 620 Freitag, D. and McFadden, T.: Introduction to Cold Regions Engineering, 166–169, 1997. 621 622 Frost, G. V., Loehman, R. A., Nelson, P. R., and Paradis, D. P.: ABoVE: Vegetation Composition across Fire History Gradients on the Y-K Delta, Alaska, https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1772, 2020. 623 624 625 Gaglioti, B. V., Berner, L. T., Jones, B. M., Orndahl, K. M., Williams, A. P., Andreu-Hayles, L., D'Arrigo, R. D., Goetz, S. 626 J., and Mann, D. H.: Tussocks Enduring or Shrubs Greening: Alternate Responses to Changing Fire Regimes in the Noatak 627 River Valley, Alaska, J Geophys Res Biogeosci, 126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG006009, 2021. 628 629 Gasser, T., Kechiar, M., Ciais, P., Burke, E. J., Kleinen, T., Zhu, D., Huang, Y., Ekici, A., and Obersteiner, M.: Path-dependent 630 reductions in CO2 emission budgets caused by permafrost carbon release, Nature Geosci, 11, 830-835, 631 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0227-0, 2018. 632 633 Gibson, C. M., Brinkman, T., Cold, H., Brown, D., and Turetsky, M.: Identifying increasing risks of hazards for northern land-634 users caused by permafrost thaw: integrating scientific and community-based research approaches, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 064047, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abfc79, 2021. 635 636 Gibson, C. M., Chasmer, L. E., Thompson, D. K., Quinton, W. L., Flannigan, M. D., and Olefeldt, D.: Wildfire as a major 637 driver of recent permafrost thaw in boreal peatlands, Nat Commun, 9, 3041, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05457-1, 638 639 2018. 640 Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., and Moore, R.: Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale 641 642 geospatial analysis for everyone, Remote Sensing of Environment, 202, 18-27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031, 643 2017. 644
 - 27



645	Hanes, C. C., Wang, X., Jain, P., Parisien, MA., Little, J. M., and Flannigan, M. D.: Fire-regime changes in Canada over the
646	last half century, Can. J. For. Res., 49, 256–269, https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2018-0293, 2019.
647	
648	Harden, J. W., Manies, K. L., Turetsky, M. R., and Neff, J. C.: Effects of wildfire and permafrost on soil organic matter and
649	soil climate in interior Alaska: EFFECTS OF WILDFIRE AND PERMAFROST ON SOIL, Global Change Biology, 12, 2391-
650	2403, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01255.x, 2006.
651	
652	Harris, S. A. and Permafrost Subcommittee, Associate Committee on Geotechnical Research, National Research Council of
653	Canada (Eds.): Glossary of permafrost and related ground-ice terms, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 156 pp., 1988.
654	
655	Hayes, K. and Buma, B.: Effects of short-interval disturbances continue to accumulate, overwhelming variability in local
656	resilience, Ecosphere, 12, e03379, https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3379, 2021.
657	
658	Helbig, M., Daw, L., Iwata, H., Rudaitis, L., Ueyama, M., and Živković, T.: Boreal Forest Fire Causes Daytime Surface
659	Warming During Summer to Exceed Surface Cooling During Winter in North America, AGU Advances, 5, e2024AV001327,
660	https://doi.org/10.1029/2024AV001327, 2024.
661	
662	Hollingsworth, T. N., Breen, A. L., Hewitt, R. E., and Mack, M. C.: Does fire always accelerate shrub expansion in Arctic
663	tundra? Examining a novel grass-dominated successional trajectory on the Seward Peninsula, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine
004 665	Research, 55, 95–109, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15250450.2021.1899562</u> , 2021.
666	Hollingsworth T. N. Breen, A. Mack, M. C. and Hewitt, R. F. Seward Peninsula post-fire vegetation and soil data from
667	multiple burns occurring from 1971 to 2012: "SPANEire" Study Sites 2020
668	induple builts becurring noin 1971 to 2012. SI ART ne Study Sites, 2020.
669	Holloway, L. Impacts of forest fire on permafrost in the discontinuous zones of northwestern Canada, University of Ottawa
670	Ottawa Ontario 2020
671	
672	Holloway, J. F. and Lewkowicz, A. G. Half a century of discontinuous permatrost persistence and degradation in western
672	Conside Dermafrost and Dericles Drocess 21, 25, 06 https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2017.2020
674	Canada, remanost and rengiae riccess, 51 , $85-90$, <u>mtps.//doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2017</u> , 2020.
675	Holloway LE Lowkowing A.C. Douglas T.A. Li V. Typotoky M.D. Doltgon L.L. and En H. Lynnest of wildfing on
073 676	nonoway, J. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Dougias, I. A., Li, A., Turetsky, M. K., Baltzer, J. L., and Jin, H.: Impact of wildfire on
0/0	permanost and scapes: A review of recent advances and future prospects, remainst and rengiac process, 31, 3/1–382,
0//	<u>nups://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2048,</u> 2020.



679 Huang, B., Lu, F., Wang, X., Zheng, H., Wu, X., Zhang, L., Yuan, Y., and Ouyang, Z.: Ecological restoration is crucial in mitigating carbon loss caused by permafrost thawing on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Commun Earth Environ, 5, 341, 680 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01511-7, 2024. 681 682 683 Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C.-L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, 684 G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: Estimated stocks 685 of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps, Biogeosciences, 11, 6573–6593, 686 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-6573-2014, 2014. 687 688 Jafarov, E. E., Romanovsky, V. E., Genet, H., McGuire, A. D., and Marchenko, S. S.: The effects of fire on the thermal stability 689 of permafrost in lowland and upland black spruce forests of interior Alaska in a changing climate, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 690 035030, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/035030, 2013. 691 692 Jiang, Y., Rocha, A. V., O'Donnell, J. A., Drysdale, J. A., Rastetter, E. B., Shaver, G. R., and Zhuang, Q.: Contrasting soil 693 thermal responses to fire in Alaskan tundra and boreal forest: Contrasting soil thermal responses, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 694 120, 363–378, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003180, 2015. 695 696 Jones, B. M., Grosse, G., Arp, C. D., Miller, E., Liu, L., Hayes, D. J., and Larsen, C. F.: Recent Arctic tundra fire initiates 697 widespread thermokarst development, Sci Rep, 5, 15865, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15865, 2015. 698 699 Jones, B. M., Kanevskiv, M. Z., Shur, Y., Gaglioti, B. V., Jorgenson, M. T., Ward Jones, M. K., Veremeeva, A., Miller, E. A., and Jandt, R.: Post-fire stabilization of thaw-affected permafrost terrain in northern Alaska, Sci Rep. 14, 8499, 700 701 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-58998-5, 2024. 702 703 Kasischke, E. S., Verbyla, D. L., Rupp, T. S., McGuire, A. D., Murphy, K. A., Jandt, R., Barnes, J. L., Hoy, E. E., Duffy, P. 704 A., Calef, M., and Turetsky, M. R.: Alaska's changing fire regime — implications for the vulnerability of its boreal forestsThis 705 article is one of a selection of papers from The Dynamics of Change in Alaska's Boreal Forests: Resilience and Vulnerability 706 in Response to Climate Warming., Can. J. For. Res., 40, 1313–1324, https://doi.org/10.1139/X10-098, 2010. 707 708 Knoblauch, C., Beer, C., Liebner, S., Grigoriev, M. N., and Pfeiffer, E.-M.: Methane production as key to the greenhouse gas 709 budget of thawing permafrost, Nature Clim Change, 8, 309–312, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0095-z, 2018. 710 711 Kurylyk, B. L. and Hayashi, M.: Improved Stefan Equation Correction Factors to Accommodate Sensible Heat Storage during 712 Soil Freezing or Thawing, Permafrost & Periglacial, 27, 189–203, https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1865, 2016. 713



- Lewkowicz, A. G.: Dynamics of active-layer detachment failures, Fosheim Peninsula, Ellesmere Island, Nunavut, Canada,
 Permafrost & Periglacial, 18, 89–103, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.578</u>, 2007.
- 716
- 717 Li, X., Jin, H., He, R., Huang, Y., Wang, H., Luo, D., Jin, X., Lü, L., Wang, L., Li, W., Wei, C., Chang, X., Yang, S., and Yu,
- S.: Effects of forest fires on the permafrost environment in the northern Da Xing'anling (Hinggan) mountains, Northeast China,
- 719 Permafrost & Periglacial, 30, 163–177, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.2001</u>, 2019.
- 720
- Liljedahl, A. K., Boike, J., Daanen, R. P., Fedorov, A. N., Frost, G. V., Grosse, G., Hinzman, L. D., Iijma, Y., Jorgenson, J.
- C., Matveyeva, N., Necsoiu, M., Raynolds, M. K., Romanovsky, V. E., Schulla, J., Tape, K. D., Walker, D. A., Wilson, C. J.,
- 723 Yabuki, H., and Zona, D.: Pan-Arctic ice-wedge degradation in warming permafrost and its influence on tundra hydrology,
- 724 Nature Geosci, 9, 312–318, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2674</u>, 2016.
- 725
- Liu, H., Randerson, J. T., Lindfors, J., and Chapin, F. S.: Changes in the surface energy budget after fire in boreal ecosystems
 of interior Alaska: An annual perspective, J. Geophys. Res., 110, 2004JD005158, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005158</u>,
 2005.
- 729
- López-Blanco, E., Topp-Jørgensen, E., Christensen, T. R., Rasch, M., Skov, H., Arndal, M. F., Bret-Harte, M. S., Callaghan,
 T. V., and Schmidt, N. M.: Towards an increasingly biased view on Arctic change, Nat. Clim. Chang., 14, 152–155,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01903-1</u>, 2024.
- 733
- Loranty, M. M., Lieberman-Cribbin, W., Berner, L. T., Natali, S. M., Goetz, S. J., Alexander, H. D., and Kholodov, A. L.:
 Spatial variation in vegetation productivity trends, fire disturbance, and soil carbon across arctic-boreal permafrost ecosystems,
 Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 095008, <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/095008</u>, 2016.
- 737
- Mamet, S. D., Chun, K. P., Kershaw, G. G. L., Loranty, M. M., and Peter Kershaw, G.: Recent Increases in Permafrost Thaw
 Rates and Areal Loss of Palsas in the Western Northwest Territories, Canada, Permafrost & Periglacial, 28, 619–633,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1951</u>, 2017.
- 741
- McCarty, J. L., Aalto, J., Paunu, V.-V., Arnold, S. R., Eckhardt, S., Klimont, Z., Fain, J. J., Evangeliou, N., Venäläinen, A.,
 Tchebakova, N. M., Parfenova, E. I., Kupiainen, K., Soja, A. J., Huang, L., and Wilson, S.: Reviews and syntheses: Arctic fire
 regimes and emissions in the 21st century, Biogeosciences, 18, 5053–5083, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-5053-2021</u>, 2021.
- 745

Muñoz Sabater, J.: ERA5-Land Daily Aggregated- ECMWF Climate Reanalysis, <u>https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.68d2bb30</u>,
 2019.





748

- Muñoz-Sabater, J., Dutra, E., Agustí-Panareda, A., Albergel, C., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Boussetta, S., Choulga, M.,
 Harrigan, S., Hersbach, H., Martens, B., Miralles, D. G., Piles, M., Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., Zsoter, E., Buontempo, C.,
 and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5-Land: a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for land applications, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13,
 4349–4383, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4349-2021, 2021.
- 753
- Natali, S.: Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta fire: thaw depth, soil temperature, and point-intercept vegetation, Yukon-Kuskokwim
 Delta Alaska, 2015-2019., <u>https://doi.org/10.18739/A2707WP16</u>, 2018.
- 756
- Natali, S., Kholodov, A. L., and Loranty, M. M.: Thaw depth and organic layer depth from Alaska borehole sites, 2015, 2017,
 2018 (ViPER Project), <u>https://doi.org/10.18739/A22J6848J</u>, 2016.
- 759
- Natali, S., Ludwig, S., Minions, C., and Watts, J. D.: ABoVE: Thaw Depth at Selected Unburned and Burned Sites Across
 Alaska, 2016-2017., <u>https://doi.org/0.3334/ORNLDAAC/1579..2018</u>, 2018.
- 762
- 763 Natali, S. M., Holdren, J. P., Rogers, B. M., Treharne, R., Duffy, P. B., Pomerance, R., and MacDonald, E.: Permafrost carbon 764 feedbacks threaten global climate goals, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 118. e2100163118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2100163118, 2021. 765
- 766

769

- Nelson, F. E., Shiklomanov, N. I., and Nyland, K. E.: Cool, CALM, collected: the Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring
 program and network, Polar Geography, 44, 155–166, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/1088937X.2021.1988001</u>, 2021.
- Nossov, D. R., Torre Jorgenson, M., Kielland, K., and Kanevskiy, M. Z.: Edaphic and microclimatic controls over permafrost
 response to fire in interior Alaska, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 035013, <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/035013</u>, 2013.
- O'Donnell, J. A., Harden, J. W., and Manies, K. L.: Soil physical, chemical, and gas flux characterization from Picea mariana
 stands near Erickson Creek, Alaska., U.S. Geological Survey, 2011a.
- 775
- O'Donnell, J. A., Harden, J. W., Manies, K. L., Jorgenson, M. T., and Kanevskiy, M. Z.: Soil data from fire and permafrost thaw chronosequences in upland Picea mariana stands near Hess Creek and Tok, Alaska., US Geological Survey, 2013.
- O'Donnell, J. A., Harden, J. W., McGUIRE, A. D., Kanevskiy, M. Z., Jorgenson, M. T., and Xu, X.: The effect of fire and
 permafrost interactions on soil carbon accumulation in an upland black spruce ecosystem of interior Alaska, Global Change
 Biology, 17, 1461–1474, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02358.x, 2011b.





782

- O'Donnell, J. A., Harden, J. W., McGuire, A. D., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Exploring the sensitivity of soil carbon dynamics
 to climate change, fire disturbance and permafrost thaw in a black spruce ecosystem, Biogeosciences, 8, 1367–1382,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1367-2011, 2011c.
- 786
- Osterkamp, T. E.: Freezing and thawing of soils and permafrost containing unfrozen water or brine, Water Resources Research,
 23, 2279–2285, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/WR023i012p02279</u>, 1987.
- 789
- 790 Osterkamp, T. E. and Burn, C. R.: Permafrost, in: Encyclopedia of Atmospheric Sciences, Academic Press, 2002.
- 791

795

799

802

Peng, X., Zhang, T., Frauenfeld, O. W., Mu, C., Wang, K., Wu, X., Guo, D., Luo, J., Hjort, J., Aalto, J., Karjalainen, O., and
 Luoto, M.: Active Layer Thickness and Permafrost Area Projections for the 21st Century, Earth's Future, 11, e2023EF003573,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2023EF003573</u>, 2023.

- Phillips, C. A., Rogers, B. M., Elder, M., Cooperdock, S., Moubarak, M., Randerson, J. T., and Frumhoff, P. C.: Escalating
 carbon emissions from North American boreal forest wildfires and the climate mitigation potential of fire management, Sci.
 Adv., 8, eabl7161, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abl7161, 2022.
 - Rantanen, M., Kämäräinen, M., Niittynen, P., Phoenix, G. K., Lenoir, J., Maclean, I., Luoto, M., and Aalto, J.: Bioclimatic
 atlas of the terrestrial Arctic, Sci Data, 10, 40, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-01959-w</u>, 2023.
 - Rocha, A. V., Loranty, M. M., Higuera, P. E., Mack, M. C., Hu, F. S., Jones, B. M., Breen, A. L., Rastetter, E. B., Goetz, S.
 J., and Shaver, G. R.: The footprint of Alaskan tundra fires during the past half-century: implications for surface properties and radiative forcing, Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 044039, <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/044039</u>, 2012.
 - 806
 - Rocha, A. V. and Shaver, G. R.: Postfire energy exchange in arctic tundra: the importance and climatic implications of burn
 severity, Global Change Biology, 17, 2831–2841, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02441.x</u>, 2011.
 - 809
 - Romanovsky, V. E. and Osterkamp, T. E.: Effects of unfrozen water on heat and mass transport processes in the active layer
 and permafrost, Permafrost Periglac. Process., 11, 219–239, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1530(200007/09)11:3<219::AID-</u>
 PPP352>3.0.CO;2-7, 2000.
 - 813
 - 814



815 Romanovsky, V. E., Smith, S. L., and Christiansen, H. H.: Permafrost thermal state in the polar Northern Hemisphere during 816 the international polar year 2007–2009: a synthesis, Permafrost & Periglacial, 21, 106–116, https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.689, 817 2010. 818 819 Rouse, W. R.: Microclimatic Changes Accompanying Burning in Subarctic Lichen Woodland, Arctic and Alpine Research, 8, 820 357, https://doi.org/10.2307/1550439, 1976. 821 822 Rudy, A. C. A., Lamoureux, S. F., Treitz, P., Ewijk, K. V., Bonnaventure, P. P., and Budkewitsch, P.: Terrain Controls and 823 Landscape-Scale Susceptibility Modelling of Active-Layer Detachments, Sabine Peninsula, Melville Island, Nunavut: 824 Landscape-Scale Modelling of Active-Layer Detachment Susceptibility, Permafrost and Periglac. Process., 28, 79-91, 825 https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1900, 2017. 826 827 Sannel, A. B. K. and Kuhry, P.: Warming-induced destabilization of peat plateau/thermokarst lake complexes, J. Geophys. 828 Res., 116, G03035, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001635, 2011. 829 830 Schädel, C., Rogers, B. M., Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C. D., Brovkin, V., Burke, E. J., Genet, H., Huntzinger, D. N., Jafarov, 831 E., McGuire, A. D., Riley, W. J., and Natali, S. M.: Earth system models must include permafrost carbon processes, Nat. Clim. 832 Chang., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01909-9, 2024. 833 834 Schaefer, K., Lantuit, H., Romanovsky, V. E., Schuur, E. A. G., and Witt, R.: The impact of the permafrost carbon feedback 835 on global climate, Environ, Res. Lett., 9, 085003, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/8/085003, 2014. 836 837 Scholten, R. C., Coumou, D., Luo, F., and Veraverbeke, S.: Early snowmelt and polar jet dynamics co-influence recent extreme 838 Siberian fire seasons, Science, 378, 1005–1009, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn4419, 2022. 839 840 Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schädel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D., Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., and Vonk, J. 841 842 E.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, Nature, 520, 171–179, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14338, 2015. 843 844 Schuur, E. A. G., Abbott, B. W., Commane, R., Ernakovich, J., Euskirchen, E., Hugelius, G., Grosse, G., Jones, M., Koven, 845 C., Leshyk, V., Lawrence, D., Loranty, M. M., Mauritz, M., Olefeldt, D., Natali, S., Rodenhizer, H., Salmon, V., Schädel, C., 846 Strauss, J., Treat, C., and Turetsky, M.: Permafrost and Climate Change: Carbon Cycle Feedbacks From the Warming Arctic, 847 Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour., 47, 343–371, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-012220-011847, 2022. 848





849 See, C. R., Virkkala, A.-M., Natali, S. M., Rogers, B. M., Mauritz, M., Biasi, C., Bokhorst, S., Boike, J., Bret-Harte, M. S., 850 Celis, G., Chae, N., Christensen, T. R., Murner, S. J., Dengel, S., Dolman, H., Edgar, C. W., Elberling, B., Emmerton, C. A., Euskirchen, E. S., Göckede, M., Grelle, A., Heffernan, L., Helbig, M., Holl, D., Humphreys, E., Iwata, H., Järveoja, J., 851 852 Kobayashi, H., Kochendorfer, J., Kolari, P., Kotani, A., Kutzbach, L., Kwon, M. J., Lathrop, E. R., López-Blanco, E., 853 Mammarella, I., Marushchak, M. E., Mastepanov, M., Matsuura, Y., Merbold, L., Mever, G., Minions, C., Nilsson, M. B., 854 Nojeim, J., Oberbauer, S. F., Olefeldt, D., Park, S.-J., Parmentier, F.-J. W., Peichl, M., Peter, D., Petrov, R., Povatos, R., 855 Prokushkin, A. S., Ouinton, W., Rodenhizer, H., Sachs, T., Savage, K., Schulze, C., Sjögersten, S., Sonnentag, O., St. Louis, 856 V. L., Torn, M. S., Tuittila, E.-S., Uevama, M., Varlagin, A., Voigt, C., Watts, J. D., Zona, D., Zyryanov, V. I., and Schuur, 857 E. A. G.: Decadal increases in carbon uptake offset by respiratory losses across northern permafrost ecosystems, Nat. Clim. 858 Chang., 14, 853-862, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-02057-4, 2024. 859

- Shiklomanov, N. I., Streletskiy, D. A., Nelson, F. E., Hollister, R. D., Romanovsky, V. E., Tweedie, C. E., Bockheim, J. G.,
 and Brown, J.: Decadal variations of active-layer thickness in moisture-controlled landscapes, Barrow, Alaska, J. Geophys.
 Res., 115, G00I04, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001248</u>, 2010.
- 863

866

- Shur, Y., Hinkel, K. M., and Nelson, F. E.: The transient layer: implications for geocryology and climate-change science,
 Permafrost & Periglacial, 16, 5–17, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.518</u>, 2005.
- Sizov, O., Soromotin, A., and Brodt, L.: Temperature of the active layer in the forest-tundra zone in the north of Western
 Siberia (Pangody) forest-tundra zone in the north of Western Siberia, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4285650</u>, 2020.
- 869
- 870 Smith, S. L. and Burgess, M.: Sensitivity of permafrost to climate warming in Canada, Natural Resources Canada, 2004.
- 871

Smith, S. L., Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Burn, C. R., Allard, M., Clow, G. D., Yoshikawa, K., and Throop, J.:
Thermal state of permafrost in North America: a contribution to the international polar year, Permafrost & Periglacial, 21,
117–135, https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.690, 2010.

875

Smith, S. L., Riseborough, D. W., and Bonnaventure, P. P.: Eighteen Year Record of Forest Fire Effects on Ground Thermal
Regimes and Permafrost in the Central Mackenzie Valley, NWT, Canada, Permafrost & Periglacial, 26, 289–303,
https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1849, 2015.

- 879
- 880 Strauss, J., Laboor, S., Schirrmeister, L., Fedorov, A. N., Fortier, D., Froese, D., Fuchs, M., Günther, F., Grigoriev, M., Harden,
- J., Hugelius, G., Jongejans, L. L., Kanevskiy, M., Kholodov, A., Kunitsky, V., Kraev, G., Lozhkin, A., Rivkina, E., Shur, Y.,
- 882 Siegert, C., Spektor, V., Streletskaya, I., Ulrich, M., Vartanyan, S., Veremeeva, A., Anthony, K. W., Wetterich, S., Zimov, N.,



883 and Grosse, G.: Circum-Arctic Map of the Yedoma Permafrost Domain, Front. Earth Sci., 9, 758360, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.758360, 2021. 884 885 Streletskiy, D. A., Suter, L. J., Shiklomanov, N. I., Porfiriev, B. N., and Eliseev, D. O.: Assessment of climate change impacts 886 887 on buildings, structures and infrastructure in the Russian regions on permafrost, Environ. Res. Lett., 14, 025003, 888 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaf5e6, 2019. 889 890 Talucci, A., Loranty, M., Holloway, J., Rogers, B., Alexander, H., Baillargeon, N., Baltzer, J., Berner, L., Breen, A., Brodt, 891 L., Buma, B., Delcourt, C., Diaz, L., Dieleman, C., Douglas, T., Frost, G., Gaglioti, B., Hewitt, R., Hollingsworth, T., 892 Jorgenson, M. T., Lara, M., Loehman, R., Mack, M., Manies, K., Minions, C., Natali, S., O'Donnell, J., Olefeldt, D., Paulson, 893 A., Rocha, A., Saperstein, L., Shestakova, T., Sistla, S., Oleg, S., Soromotin, A., Turetsky, M., Veraverbeke, S., and Walvoord, 894 M.: FireALT dataset: estimated active layer thickness for paired burned unburned sites measured from 2001-2023, 895 https://doi.org/10.18739/A2W950Q33, 2024. 896 897 Treharne, R., Rogers, B. M., Gasser, T., MacDonald, E., and Natali, S.: Identifying Barriers to Estimating Carbon Release From Interacting Feedbacks in a Warming Arctic, Front. Clim., 3, 716464, https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2021.716464, 2022. 898 899 Turetsky, M. R., Abbott, B. W., Jones, M. C., Anthony, K. W., Olefeldt, D., Schuur, E. A. G., Grosse, G., Kuhry, P., Hugelius, 900 901 G., Koven, C., Lawrence, D. M., Gibson, C., Sannel, A. B. K., and McGuire, A. D.: Carbon release through abrupt permafrost 902 thaw, Nat. Geosci., 13, 138-143, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0526-0, 2020. 903 904 Wang, Z., Schaaf, C. B., Chopping, M. J., Strahler, A. H., Wang, J., Román, M. O., Rocha, A. V., Woodcock, C. E., and Shuai, 905 Y.: Evaluation of Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) snow albedo product (MCD43A) over tundra, Remote Sensing of Environment, 117, 264–280, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.10.002, 2012. 906 907 908 Wotton, B. M., Flannigan, M. D., and Marshall, G. A.: Potential climate change impacts on fire intensity and key wildfire 909 suppression thresholds in Canada, Environ. Res. Lett., 12, 095003, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa7e6e, 2017. 910 911 Yokohata, T., Saito, K., Ito, A., Ohno, H., Tanaka, K., Hajima, T., and Iwahana, G.: Future projection of greenhouse gas 912 emissions due to permafrost degradation using a simple numerical scheme with a global land surface model, Prog Earth Planet 913 Sci. 7, 56, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-020-00366-8, 2020. 914 915 York, A., Bhatt, U. S., Gargulinski, E., Grabinski, Z., Jain, P., Soja, A., Thoman, R. L., Ziel, R., Alaska Center for Climate 916 Assessment and Policy (U.S.), International Arctic Research Center, United States. National Oceanic and Atmospheric 917 Administration. Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, and Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere (Fort



- 918 Collins, Colo.): Arctic Report Card 2020: Wildland Fire in High Northern Latitudes, https://doi.org/10.25923/2GEF-3964, 919 2020. 920 921 Zhang, Y., Chen, W., and Riseborough, D. W.: Transient projections of permafrost distribution in Canada during the 21st 922 century under scenarios of climate change, Global and Planetary Change, 60, 443-456, 923 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.05.003, 2008. 924 925 Zhang, Y., Wolfe, S. A., Morse, P. D., Olthof, I., and Fraser, R. H.: Spatiotemporal impacts of wildfire and climate warming on permafrost across a subarctic region, Canada, JGR Earth Surface, 120, 2338-2356, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003679, 926 927 2015.
- 928
- 929 Zheng, B., Ciais, P., Chevallier, F., Yang, H., Canadell, J. G., Chen, Y., Van Der Velde, I. R., Aben, I., Chuvieco, E., Davis, S. J., Deeter, M., Hong, C., Kong, Y., Li, H., Li, H., Lin, X., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Record-high CO 2 emissions from boreal 930
- 932
- 931 fires in 2021, Science, 379, 912–917, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade0805, 2023.