1 **MUDA: dynamic geophysical and geochemical MUltiparametric DAtabase**

Marco Massa [1], Andrea Luca Rizzo [1,2], Davide Scafidi [3], Elisa Ferrari [1], Sara Lovati [1], Lucia Luzi [1] and MUDA WG (*)

3 [1] National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV), Milano department, Italy

[2] University of Milano Bicocca, DISAT, Milano, Italy

[3] University of Genova, DISTAV, Genova, Italy

5 (*) A full list of authors appears at the end of the paper

7 Corresponding author: marco.massa@ingv.it

9 **Abstract**

10 In this paper, the new dynamic geophysical and geochemical MUltiparametric DAtabase

11 (MUDA) is presented. MUDA is a new infrastructure of the National Institute of Geophysics and

12 Volcanology (INGV), published on-line in December 2023, with the aim of archiving and

13 disseminating multiparametric data collected by multidisciplinary monitoring networks. MUDA is a

14 *MySQL* relational database with a web interface developed in *php,* aimed at investigating in quasi real

15 time possible correlations between seismic phenomena and variations in endogenous and

16 environmental parameters. At present, MUDA collects data from different types of sensors such as

17 hydrogeochemical probes for physical-chemical parameters in waters, meteorological stations,

18 detectors of air Radon concentration, diffusive flux of carbon dioxide (CO_2) and seismometers

19 belonging both to the National Seismic Network of INGV and to temporary networks installed in the

20 framework of multidisciplinary research projects. MUDA daily publishes data updated to the previous

21 day and offers the chance to view and download multiparametric time series selected for different

22 time periods. The resultant dataset provides broad perspectives in the framework of future high

23 frequency and continuous multiparametric monitoring as a starting point to identify possible seismic

precursors for short-term earthquake forecasting. MUDA is now quoted with the Digital Object Identifier https://doi.org/10.13127/muda (Massa et al., 2023).

Key words: multiparametric data, monitoring networks, dynamic data base, earthquakes forecasting

1 Introduction

Today, there is an increasing awareness of the role played by the interaction between tectonics and fluid dynamics in triggering seismicity. Yet, the simultaneous monitoring of the relevant key factors is still lacking, even though it could be crucial in recognizing precursory signals. Changes in water chemistry and levels, spring discharges, soil flux regimes (e.g. CO_2, CH_4, Radon) and compositions of dissolved gases in water are well-documented in the literature (e.g. Italiano et al., 2001, 2004; Chiodini et al., 2020; Gori and Barberio, 2022 and references therein), as being pre-, co- and post-seismic modifications as well as markers of the local tectonic stress acting in the crust. These recognized seismic-induced variations in groundwaters and springs led, in recent years, scientists to give more attention to the development of multiparametric monitoring, in order to capture the main evidences concerning abrupt changes in chemical and physical parameters recorded before (and also after) energetic seismic events (Rikitake and Hamada, 2003; Cicerone et al., 2009; Martinelli, 2018 and references therein). The ultimate goal is to find systematic signals that can be assumed as possible "precursors" or indicators that a seismogenetic process is ongoing (Hubbert and Rubey, 1959; Brauer et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2004; Chiarabba et al., 2009; Di Luccio et al., 2010; Malagnini et al., 2012; Keranen and Weingarten, 2018; Napolitano et al., 2020; De Matteis et al., 2021; Gabrielli et al., 2022, 2023; Ventura and Di Giovambattista, 2013). At the Italian scale, several studies have described the utility of groundwater and spring parameters and soil gas emissions to catch seismic-related signals as well. However, only a few studies reported a continuous, high-frequency monitoring, mainly of groundwater level or hydraulic pressure (De Gregorio et al., 2012; Barberio et al., 2017; De Luca et

al., 2018), or as Gori and Barberio (2022) concerning spring monitoring (i.e. temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen and carbon dioxide) or D'Alessandro et al. (2020) on soil Radon emissions related to seismic activity.

MUDA (geophysical and geochemical MUltiparametric DAtabase), a new dynamic multiparametric database published on-line in December 2023 at the web site https://muda.mi.ingv.it (Figure 1), has been developed in such a framework. MUDA is a new infrastructure of the National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV, www.ingv.it) devoted to archive daily and distribute quasi real time geophysical and geochemical multiparametric data recorded in continuous or near-continuous mode at selected sites installed at the most tectonically active Italian areas (Figure 2). MUDA was designed in the framework of INGV Dynamic Planet S2-project (i.e. 3D structure of Italy from multidata analysis. Passive/active seismic, magnetic, magnetotelluric, electrical, gravimetric prospecting, https://progetti.ingv.it/it/pian-din) and its development is ongoing in the framework of the INGV Dynamic Planet GEMME project (Integrated Geological, gEophysical and geocheMical approaches for 3D Modelling of complex seismic site Effects).

The need for an infrastructure capable of acquiring, storing, organising and publishing multiparameter data in near real time arose following the installation of the Garda multiparameter seismic network, PDnet (https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/ZO), installed starting from 2021 as part of the Task-S2 of the INGV Dynamic Planet project (Massa et al., 2021; Ferrari et al., 2024). In this framework, MUDA collects information from different types of sensors, such as seismometers, accelerometers, hydrogeochemical for physical-chemical parameters in waters, geochemical for measuring the diffusive flux of carbon dioxide (CO_2) from the soil or detecting the air Radon concentration, and meteorological stations. The aim is to constraint the influence due to exogenous parameters in order to make potential correlations between seismic phenomena and variations concerning monitored parameters (i.e. groundwater level, temperature, electrical conductivity, CO_2 soil flux, air Radon concentration; Barberio et al., 2017; Chiodini et al., 2020; Mastrorillo et al., 2020).

76   The challenge of MUDA is to provide the end-user a high quality dynamic but also

77   simultaneous and continuous monitoring of groundwater physical parameters, meteorological data

78   and seismic signals, together with gas concentration such as Radon or soil CO_2-CH_4 fluxes (Figure

79   3). In order to furnish the main information for a detailed interpretation of local phenomena in the

80   framework of multi-hazard assessment, the multiparametric data are provided together with all

81   necessary stations and sites metadata, supplied with a complete geological and morphological

82   description (Figure 4).

83

84   **2 Seismotectonic framework and seismicity**

85

86   The multiparametric sites now included in MUDA are located in five main target areas (Figure

87   2): Lake Garda, eastern Alps, Po alluvial basin, Northern and Central Apennine chains (Table 1).

88   Concerning instrumental seismicity (http://terremoti.ingv.it/), in the last 40 years, thousands of small

89   to moderate energy seismic events (Figure 2) occurred in Northern Italy. Despite the low-to-medium

90   seismic hazard of the area (Stucchi et al., 2011), the high level of exposure (e.g. metropolitan areas,

91   industrial plants etc.), the local geological condition and the proximity of active buried seismogenic

92   structure (Burrato et al., 2012) make many portions of North Italy a medium to high seismic risk zone

93   (Massa et al., 2022b, Lai et al., 2020).

94   In particular, the Garda region (Area 1, Figure 2) is characterized by low-to-moderate

95   seismicity with the active tectonic regime located on the margin of the southern Alpine chain

96   controlled by the Africa-Europe convergence. The main active faults affecting the area consist of

97   mainly NNE-SSW trending thrusts (Galadini et al., 2001). For instance, the November 24, 2004,

98   Vobarno M_w 4.8 earthquake (https://terremoti.ingv.it/event/1564989), generated maximum

99   macroseismic intensities (Imax) of VII/VIII (https://emidius.mi.ingv.it/CPTI15-DBMI15/, Locati et

100   al., 2022). It is worth noting that in the past the same area was struck by several powerful events, such

as the October 30, 1901, Salò M_w=5.4 earthquake (https://emidius.mi.ingv.it/CPTI15-DBMI15/, Rovida et al., 2022).

Moving eastwards (Area 2, Figure 2), the highest rate of energetic events in Northern Italy is associated to the South-verging thrust faults typical of the central and East South Alpine Chain (Battaglia et al., 2004; Serpelloni et al., 2005; D'Agostino et al., 2008), due to the North-South convergence between the Adriatic microplate and the Alps. The most recent destructive earthquake occurred in Friuli, during the seismic sequence of May 6, 1976, with M_w= 6.5 (Pondrelli et al., 1999 and reference therein), whereas the largest historical event was the 1695 Asolo earthquake, with an estimated M_w=6.48 (https://emidius.mi.ingv.it/CPTI15-DBMI15/) broadly associated to the thrust system of the Montello area (Danesi et al., 2015).

South of the Alps, the Po alluvial plain (Area 3, Figure 2) represents a very deep foreland basin of two opposing verging fold-and-thrust belts developing in the framework of the African and European plates relative convergence (Pieri and Groppi, 1981; Bigi et al., 1990). Despite the flat morphology, the Po plain is far from being an undeformed domain, since the outermost and most recent thrust fronts of the two belts are buried by the Plio-Quaternary sedimentary sequence (Burrato et al., 2012). The historical and instrumental Italian seismic catalogues show that the southern Po plain is affected by low to moderate seismicity, with M_w up to 5.8 during the 2012 sequence (Luzi et al., 2013). Considering the historical seismicity (Rovida et al., 2020), the central part of the Po plain was struck by the more significant North Italy earthquake on January 3, 1117, with an estimated M_w=6.52.

Moving southwards, the Northern Apennines (Area 4, Figure 2) underwent the regional seismicity associated with the Apennine fronts defined by different arcs of blind, North-verging thrusts and folds (Mazzoli et al., 2015; Chiaraluce et al., 2017), capable of generating moderate energetic seismic events with a maximum magnitude around 6 (i.e. June 5, 1501, M_w 6.05, Rovida et al., 2022). In particular, this area hosts the Nirano site (Table 1), in the Regional Natural Reserve of Salse di Nirano (Giambastiani et al., 2024; Romano et al., 2023), an area lying upon an anticline

127 structure of the North-East verging fold-and-thrust Apennine belt characterized by one of the largest

128 mud volcano fields in Europe (Bonini, 2008; Castaldini et al., 2005) coupled to the emission of CH_4-

129 dominated gases (e.g., Buttitta et al., 2020).

130   Finally, two stations included in MUDA, are installed in the surroundings of the Norcia

131 alluvial basin (Area 5, Figure 2), an area characterized by high seismic hazard and seismicity rate due

132 to dense extensional NW-SE active fault systems (e.g. Galadini et al., 1999, Brozzetti and Lavecchia,

133 1994) capable of generating high-magnitude earthquakes (Galli et al., 2018; 2019), such as the

134 January 14, 1703, M_w=6.9, earthquake or other moderate events such as the 1328, M_w=6.3, the 1730,

135 M_w=5.9, the 1859, M_w=5.5 and the 1979, M_w=5.8, earthquakes (Rovida et al, 2022). The recent

136 instrumental seismicity highlights the two main events occurring on August 24, 2016 and on the

137 October 30, 2016, with M_w 6.0 and 6.5 respectively, in an area a few kilometres of the Norcia plain

138 (e.g. Improta et al., 2019 and reference therein).

139

140 **3 State of the art**

141

142   At present, in Italy and Europe, the seismological communities in general are fairly advanced

143 in their running of both network data management and seismic data sharing. In Italy, the main seismic

144 network is represented by the National Seismic Network (RSN,

145 https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/IV, Margheriti et al., 2020), managed by INGV and

146 sometimes integrated for real time data exchange by many local or regional networks (Massa et al.,

147 2022). The RSN permanent network is codified through the IV code assigned by the International

148 Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks, FDSN (https://www.fdsn.org/). The RSN station codes

149 are registered at International Seismological Center, ISC (http://www.isc.ac.uk/), while data, recorded

150 following the SEED (Standard for the Exchange of Earthquake Data,

151 http://www.fdsn.org/seed_manual/SEEDManual_V2.4.pdf) format, are shared (Danacek et al., 2022)

152 through the EIDA-Italia node (European Integrated Data Archive, https://eida.ingv.it/it/). In Italy,

153 INGV provides many websites and thematic databases for real time data quality and distribution,

154 such as EIDA-Italia, ISMDq (INGV Strong Motion Data quality, https://ismd.mi.ingv.it , Massa et

155 al., 2022), ITACA (ITalian Accelerometric Archive, https://itaca.mi.ingv.it, Pacor et al., 2011), ESM

156 (Engineering Strong Motion database, https://esm-db.eu/, Luzi et al., 2016), BSI (Italian Seismic

157 Bulletin, https://terremoti.ingv.it/bsi, Marchetti et al., 2016), TDMT (Time Domain Moment Tensor,

158 https://terremoti.ingv.it/tdmt, Scognamiglio et al., 2009), ShakeMaps (https://shakemap.ingv.it/,

159 Michelini et al., 2020), etc.

160 Differently, the geochemical community has still not developed a so capillary network of automatic

161 stations for data acquisition, management and sharing, as the seismic community does. This mostly

162 depends on the fact that only a few geochemical parameters/tracers can be measured directly in the

163 field and in near real-time [e.g., diffusive flux of CO_2 from the soil through accumulation chamber

164 method (Chiodini et al., 1998; Carapezza et al., 2004; Inguaggiato et al., 2011a; Rizzo et al., 2015),

165 Radon concentration in atmosphere or from the soil with specific Geiger counters, concentration of

166 H_2O, CO_2, SO_2, H_2S, CH_4, halogens in atmosphere through MULTIGAS sensors (Aiuppa et al., 2005;

167 Shinohara et al., 2005) or FTIR technique (e.g., Allard et al., 2005), SO_2 flux in atmosphere by DOAS

168 and UV techniques (Burton et al., 2009; Aiuppa et al., 2021)]. It must be also highlighted that most

169 of the automatic measurements of geochemical parameters above reported were developed and

170 applied in volcano monitoring, while only recently the geochemical community is moving to apply

171 some of those tracers to seismic monitoring. In terms of hydrogeochemical monitoring, apart the

172 physical-chemical parameters in water (e.g., temperature, water level, electric conductivity, and

173 others such as pH and Eh but with less precision and accuracy) for which automatic sensors exist

174 since long time, the automatic and high-frequency measurement of the water's composition is limited

175 to a few sensors developed in the last decade or so, which mostly focus on the concentration of a few

176 gas species dissolved in waters (e.g., CO_2, CH_4, total gas pressure; De Gregorio et al., 2005,

177 Inguaggiato et al., 2011b). As for gas sensors, most of the automatic measurements of water's

178 composition were developed for volcano monitoring applications.

179   At present, in Italy, hydrogeochemical and geochemical seismic monitoring is limited to

180   selected areas or sites, and it is essentially performed by several departments of INGV in the

181   framework of individual initiative such as the Alto Tiberina Near Fault Observatory (TABOO,

182   https://ingv.it/en/monitoring-and-infrastructure-a/monitoring-networks/the-ingv-and-its-

183   networks/taboo, Chiaraluce et al., 2014) or recent and on-going INGV projects such as the Dynamic

184   Planet (https://progetti.ingv.it/it/pian-din), FURTHER (https://progetti.ingv.it/en/further), MYBURP

185   (https://progetti.ingv.it/it/pian-din#myburp-modulation-of-hydrology-on-stress-buildup-on-the-

186   irpinia-fault), Multiparametric Networks or Rebuilding Central Italy, DL50 and related tasks (e.g.

187   Idro-DEEP CO_2, Idro-Calabria, Idro-Nord), concerning the groundwater continuous monitoring (e.g.

188   springs and thermal waters) of different areas of mainly Central and Southern Italian Apennines

189   (https://www.pa.ingv.it/index.php/progetti/)   and   Radon   monitoring   (IRON   project,

190   https://ingv.it/monitoraggio-e-infrastrutture/reti-di-monitoraggio/l-ingv-e-le-sue-reti/iron).   In

191   particular, the Alto Tiberina Near Fault Observatory is managed by EPOS (European Plate Observing

192   System) research infrastructure (https://www.epos-eu.org/) of which the mission is to foster the

193   integration of solid earth data and their by-products made by the entire European scientific

194   community: in this case, seismological, geophysical, geodetic and geochemical data recorded by

195   TABOO-Near Fault Observatory are accessible via the FRIDGE European web portal

196   (https://fridge.ingv.it/index.php).

197   Further local monitoring initiatives are provided by other institutions or Universities through

198   the installation of geochemical stations and probes in different parts of the national territory such as

199   in Tuscany, by the IGG-CNR (Institute of Geoscience and Earth Resources,

200   https://www.igg.cnr.it/en), in Southern Italy, by the IMAA CNR (Institute of methodologies for

201   environmental   analysis,   https://www.cnr.it/en/institute/055/institute-of-methodologies-for-

202   environmental-analysis-imaa) or Central Italy, by the Earth Sciences Department (DES,

203   https://www.dst.uniroma1.it/en) of Sapienza University of Rome (Martinelli et al., 2021).

204   Consequently, at a national scale the hydrogeochemical and geochemical monitoring is not

205   organized by using an ad hoc reference institutional database or web portal able to homogeneously

206   archive and distribute high quality multiparametric data to the scientific community. At present and

207   at the best of our knowledge, the only existent databases focus only on mapping gas emissions (e.g.,

208   MaGa, http://www.magadb.net/) or thermal springs, without archiving data from a regular

209   monitoring. A first attempt was recently made in the framework of an agreement between the National

210   Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV) and the National System for Environmental

211   Protection (SNPA, https://www.snpambiente.it/, comprising the Regional Environmental Protection

212   Agencies, ARPA, and the Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, ISPRA,

213   https://www.isprambiente.gov.it/), with the aim of sharing data from the continuous monitoring of

214   water wells and springs, in particular the piezometric level, temperature, electrical conductivity,

215   salinity and total dissolved solids (Comerci et al., 2019).

216

217   **4 MUDA database**

218

219   MUDA is a dynamic and relational multiparametric database designed and built using a table

220   structure that can correlate data of a different nature (i.e. seismic, hydrogeochemical, geochemical,

221   meteorological). It is adaptable to further types of data from other projects and capable of integrating

222   perfectly with those already acquired via both real time and off-line transmission vectors (Figure 5).

223   The MUDA database is based on MySQL (https://www.mysql.com/it/), a popular and efficient open-

224   source relational database management system for handling large amounts of data. Particular attention

225   has been paid to optimising and, above all, integrating all the different types of data taken from

226   different sources while trying to maintain a certain structural uniformity, also open to possible future

227   new implementation.

228   Data collection takes place separately for each type of monitoring station (Table 1), each according

229   to its preferred channels (email, ftp system, Application Programming Interface API, Structured

230 Query Language SQL) with the effort to improve each procedure, avoid data loss and minimise the

231 time taken to receive data.

232 Data are acquired and then archived on a centralised server from which all pre-processing procedures

233 are then carried out to insert this data, after appropriate checks and automatic analysis, into the MUDA

234 database (see next chapter for details). All data downloaded from the remote stations, after the check

235 and processing phase are stored in files before the population of the MySQL database. This is also

236 convenient to have a native and complete data backup, for future requirements.

237 The MUDA database is structured to consider all the different types of monitoring stations at the same

238 multiparametric site through a univocal internal site code, linked to all different types of data. At the

239 same time, however, the independence of the data of each different station is also maintained, as each

240 individual site may have its own particular condition and metadata. For each type of monitoring

241 station, the MUDA database includes 2 tables, one for the station metadata and the other for the

242 recorded data, linked by a unique station id.

243

244 **4.1 Processing of raw data**

245

246 The MUDA project currently includes 5 types of data: hydrogeochemical, meteorological,

247 Radon, CO_2 and seismic. All data are pre-processed to align each time series to the common UTC

248 (Coordinated Universal Time) time. Hydrogeochemical, seismic, meteorological and Radon data are

249 moreover resampled in order to have a representative data each few minutes (i.e. from 1 to 5), namely

250 a good enough interval to see possible cross-correlation signals on different parameters. A data

251 resample is a priori necessary in order to, at first, homogenize data for viewing and comparison, but

252 also to allow the web page to have a fast response to any query involving long time periods (actually

253 up to a maximum of 30 days) of continuous and high frequency multiparametric recordings. In

254 particular, while hydrogeochemical, gas and meteorological data are uploaded into MUDA database

255 as raw data with only a consistency check, the seismic data are pre-processed in order to obtain

256   waveforms metadata to be included into MUDA database and to be easily comparable in terms of

257   time series to the other parameters.

258   The processing of raw data for each of the 5 parameters included in MUDA is described in detail in

259   the following.

260

261   The hydrogeochemical data are acquired by two different types of instrumentation, the first

262   provided by Van Essen Instruments (https://www.vanessen.com/) and the second by STS-Italia S.r.l.

263   (https://www.sts-italia.it/). Data recorded by Van Essen Instruments and STS-Italia are set to sample

264   records everyone and ten minutes, respectively. In both cases, groundwater level (m), electrical

265   conductivity (µS/cm), and temperature (C°) are achieved using probes (e.g. CTD-Diver®,

266   https://www.vanessen.com/) installed in correspondence of wells or springs by using weir

267   flowmeters; the recorded chemical and physical parameters are sent at defined time intervals to the

268   remote company head offices and then to the INGV acquisition centre by proprietary API or email.

269   At present, remote stations send data in ASCII format twice a day (i.e. 9 a.m. and 9 p.m., Central

270   European Time, CET) as an email attachment or by ftp-protocol. Depending on the target

271   instrumentation, before populating the MUDA database, a pre-processing is necessary: as an example,

272   the Van Essen probes for water wells need a barometric compensation to account for atmospheric

273   pressure variations in order to provide the corrected water level value (Ferrari et al., 2024). Automatic

274   data acquiring and processing tools have been developed in Python (https://www.python.org/), while

275   automatic tools for populating the MUDA database have been developed in PHP language

276   (https://www.php.net).

277

278   The meteorological data included in MUDA are provided by Davis Vantage Vue®

279   instrumentation (https://www.davisinstruments.com/). Each single meteorological station, placed

280   near the water well, provides information on atmospheric pressure (mbar), temperature (C°), humidity

281   (%), rainfall amount (mm), wind speed and direction. Also in this case, data take advantage of

GPRS/LTE technologies and are gathered by a dedicated WeatherLink Live cloud platform, making them available in real time on the dedicated website (https://www.weatherlink.com/). With samples every one minute, data are archived into the Davis server-cloud and then shared by payment to the end-users (e.g. INGV server) through proprietary Application Programming Interface (API) set into an automated ad-hoc developed python tool, suited for all the different kinds of meteorological instrumentation at each site. Just as for hydrogeochemical data, meteorological parameters are then automatically inserted into the MUDA database twice a day (i.e. 9 a.m. and 9 p.m. CET) using a procedure developed in PHP.

The Radon data are provided by the IRON network (Italian Radon mOnitoring Network, https://ingv.it/monitoraggio-e-infrastrutture/reti-di-monitoraggio/l-ingv-e-le-sue-reti/iron, Cannelli et al., 2018). Stations, placed next to the water well, measure the concentration of gas in the air using a photodiode detector (AER Plus, Algade), with a sensitivity of 15 Bq/m^3 by counts/hour. Data are measured and acquired every 4 hours together with temperature and humidity, Radon data are transmitted in real time by the Sigfox (https://www.sigfox.com) 0G-technology and archived at the Sigfox cloud, with the exception of particularly remote sites where a periodic local data downloading is also necessary. Radon data are provided in csv format, where the concentration is measured in Bq/m^3, and then uploaded into the MUDA database using an ad-hoc developed PHP tool. In this case, the procedure is manually started after each single data downloading.

The CO_2 soil flux measurements are acquired using an accumulation chamber provided by Thearen S.r.l (https://thearen.com/). The permanent stations have a no-stationary flux chamber and are equipped with an infrared analyser measuring CO_2 concentrations ($g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot d^{-1}$) in a time frame of three minutes. A single CO_2 flux measure is returned each hour already corrected for pressure (mbar) and temperature (C°) recorded inside the chamber. Soil temperature and humidity (%) and meteorological parameters (atmospheric pressure, temperature, humidity, rain, wind speed and

308   direction) are acquired concurrently. Data are sent to the head company server-cloud through a

309   dedicated modem with automatic data transmission. Data are acquired daily by the INGV acquisition

310   centre through an ad-hoc server to server link using an internal INGV-VPN (Virtual Private Network)

311   connection. Data provided in csv format, are then daily automatically inserted into the MUDA

312   database by using an ad-hoc developed PHP tool.

313

314   The Seismic data are acquired by selected stations of the Italian National Seismic Network

315   (RSN, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/IV) and the multiparametric network of Northern Italy

316   (PDnet, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/ZO) placed near the water well used for

317   hydrogeochemical data. Recorded data are codified following the international standard commonly

318   used by the seismological community, namely the FDSN (https://www.fdsn.org/) network-station

319   code   and   SEED   (Standard   for   the   Exchange   of   Earthquake   Data,

320   http://www.fdsn.org/seed_manual/SEEDManual_V2.4.pdf)   format   supported   by   European

321   Integrated Data Archive, EIDA (https://eida.ingv.it/it/) and maintained by the FDSN. Data are

322   transmitted by different technologies (LTE, satellite, etc.) to the INGV Milano acquisition centre

323   where they are archived through a Seiscomp4 (https://www.seiscomp.de/doc/apps/seedlink.html)

324   client to improve the SeedLink real time data acquisition protocol. Data are archived in the standard

325   binary miniSEED format (http://ds.iris.edu/ds/nodes/dmc/data/formats/miniseed) and organized in a

326   structured archive. Seismic data are pre-processed every night considering the 24 hours of all

327   miniSEED files recorded by stations on the previous day and then checked for quality before being

328   automatically included in the MUDA database by using an ad-hoc developed PHP tool.

329   In dependence of the adopted sampling rate (i.e. 100 Hz for seismometers and 200 Hz for

330   accelerometers) the amount of continuous data stream per day, relative to a single channel at each

331   single station, ranges between 6 and 10 Mbyte for seismometers and between 15 and 20 Mbyte for

332   accelerometers. Considering many seismic stations, the result is a total daily archive in the order of

333   Gigabytes, not easy to organize in the framework of a quasi-real time data distribution provided by a

334 thematic web portal. In this framework, also considering the sampling rate of the other

335 multiparametric instrumentation (i.e. spanning from one record per minute to one record every four

336 hours), the continuous seismic data streams are processed in order to conform the contents to the web

337 portal requirements before inclusion in the MUDA database. In particular, every night at 2 a.m.

338 miniSEED files relative to the 24-hour recordings of the previous day are selected at each station and

339 processed by using an ad-hoc procedure developed by merging the Bash and SAC (Seismic Analysis

340 Code, https://ds.iris.edu/files/sac-manual/) scripting languages.

341 The processing scheme starts by downloading at each single recording station 24 hours of miniSEED

342 files; data are then separated into 288 sub-windows, each one with length of 5 minutes starting from

343 the origin time of each single miniSEED file (usually corresponding to the 00:00:00 UTC if the station

344 works well). Then, for each 5-minute windows, raw data recorded in counts are converted to the

345 proper unit of measurements (cm/s for seismometers and gal for accelerometers) and the sensor

346 response curves are removed by deconvolution and finally filtered using a 4th order Butterworth filter

347 in the range 0.1 - 20 Hz. For each single sub-window relative to a specific channel recorded by a

348 specific station, the RMS (Root Mean Square, e.g. Goldstain et al., 2003), the maximum ground

349 shaking in terms of velocity (cm/s), the mean amplitude value of the whole FFT (cm/s/Hz) (Fast

350 Fourier Transform, e.g. Bormann 2012) and the maximum amplitude of the FFT for frequency

351 interval spanning from 0.1 Hz to 20 Hz, are calculated, providing for each parameter 288 values per

352 day, corresponding to the daily upload of hydrogeochemical and meteorological data. In particular,

353 the RMS is calculated for the entire time windows using the following equation:

354

355 $$x_{RMS} = \sqrt{[1/n \, (x1^2 + x2^2 + \ldots + xn^2 \,)]} \qquad (1)$$

356

357 where x is the amplitude of the single sample, and n the number of samples of the trace considered.

358 Daily time series of RMS, PGV, FFT-mean and FFT(f) are finally uploaded into the MUDA database

359 using an ad-hoc developed PHP tool.

360
361
362 **4.2 Data availability and dissemination**

363

364   MUDA publishes and shares the available data recorded at each site through a specific web

365 interface developed in PHP (https://www.php.net/) to easily and effectively interact with MUDA SQL

366 database, and using a responsive design in HTML5, capable of adapting automatically to any device

367 on which it is displayed (i.e. PC, tablet, smartphone, etc.). As a final step, the data publication required

368 assigning a regular DOI associated to the DB and provided by INGV data management office through

369 a standard procedure. The final DOI of MUDA is https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/ku7Xm12Yy9. Data

370 have been licensed using the Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0. MUDA web portal publishes

371 multiparameter data daily and updated to the previous day. It offers the chance to view and download

372 dynamic time series for all available data and for different time periods, up to a maximum of 30 days.

373 In reference to longer periods, an e-mail request can be sent to: muda@ingv.it.

374   The web portal has a main page showing an interactive map of Northern and Central Italy, as

375 at present MUDA acquires data from automatic stations located in this part of the Country, where the

376 multiparametric stations are indicated by triangles with pop-ups showing the main features (i.e.

377 coordinates and available instrumentation) of the target site, including the direct access to the dynamic

378 data viewing (Figure 3) and to the single station page (Figure 4). The home page on the top right

379 corner shows a pop-up menu with selectable thematic layers including the reference seismic hazard

380 map of the national territory in terms of peak ground acceleration (MPS04 working group,

381 http://zonesismiche.mi.ingv.it/, Stucchi et al., 2011), the seismogenic areas and the active faults taken

382 from the Database of Individual Seismogenic Sources database (DISS, https://diss.ingv.it/, DISS

383 working   group   2021),   recent   (https://terremoti.ingv.it/)   and   historical

384 (https://emidius.mi.ingv.it/CPTI15-DBMI15/) seismicity bulletins, and the location of seismic

385 stations of the National Seismic Network (RSN, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/IV) managed

386 by INGV.

387   The *View & Download DATA* web page, accessible from the horizontal tool bar of the home

388   page, opens the dynamic data viewing (Figure 3). Users can select for each multiparameter site the

389   time series to be displayed backwards in time (i.e. 1 day, 7 days, 15 days, 30 days) starting from a

390   selected date. Once the site and period have been chosen, the available data automatically appear

391   synchronised with respect to UTC (Coordinated Universal Time). From top to bottom, these are:

392   hydrogeochemical data from well or spring sensors showing water temperature (°C), electrical

393   conductivity (μS/cm) and the value of the water column (m) above the sensors; meteorological data

394   showing air temperature (°C) and rainfall (mm); seismometric data showing the RMS, the maximum

395   ground velocity values (cm/s), the average FFT amplitude values, the Fourier spectrum values for

396   frequency bands selected in the interval 0.1 - 20 Hz; Radon gas emissions (Bq/m^3) and soil CO_2 flux

397   ($g·m^{-2}·d^{-1}$). All interactive graphs can be zoomed with the left mouse button and they enable selecting

398   individual functions using pop-up layers. In each graph, in the top right-hand corner, it is possible to

399   view the individual image in full screen and download the selected data in csv (Comma Separated

400   Values) format as well as the images in pdf (Portable Document Format), png (Portable Network

401   Graphic), jpeg (Joint Photographic Experts Group), svg (Scalable Vector Graphics) formats. The last

402   selectable item, on the right of this page, gives the possibility of viewing a single parameter, for a

403   more detailed observation.

404   A further topic of the MUDA web portal is the single station web page (i.e. link *STATIONS*),

405   also reachable from the horizontal tool bar. This web page is designed to provide a first and general

406   geophysical and geochemical characterization of each multiparametric site. In particular, each single

407   station web page shows, on the left, a thematic map indicating the location of the monitoring site.

408   Below the map, the two links provide a geological and morphological setting of the area. For each

409   recording site, a portion selected from the geological map at a 1:100,000 scale (Società Geologica

410   Italiana, http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/cartografia/) is provided, with topographic base at

411   1:25,000 scale (Istituto Geografico Militare,

412   http://www.igmi.org/prodotti/cartografia/carte_topografiche/). Concerning morphology, for each

recording site, a topographic map (i.e. Slope and Ridge) is proposed by considering the available digital elevation model (ASTER GDEM with a cell size of 10 m, https://www.earthdata.nasa.gov/news/new-aster-gdem). Starting from the processed DEM, the slope map was constructed with three topographic classes (0°–15°, 15°-30°, and >30°), considering the break values defined in the current Italian seismic code (NTC, 2018). The ridgelines were extracted using the Topographic Position Index (TPI) algorithm (Weiss, 2001; Pessina and Fiorini, 2014). On the right, the web page shows thematic tables relative to the installed instrumentation. At each site, besides the general information on coordinates and technical features of the instruments, a geophysical characterization of the site is also provided in terms of polarized horizontal to vertical spectral ratio (HVSR) on ambient noise (Nakamura, 1989) and each single log performed in the wells regarding water electrical conductivity and temperature as a function of the available stratigraphy together with the main features of monitored well or spring. Finally, in each station web page, graphs relative to the whole hydrogeochemical time series are also downloadable.

5 Data Records

At present (i.e. March 31, 2024), MUDA includes data from 25 multiparametric sites (Table 1) located in Northern and Central Italy (Figure 2), both already monitored by permanent INGV infrastructures or installed in the framework of recent INGV research projects. It is worth mentioning that not all multiparametric sites are characterized by homogeneous multiparametric instrumentation (Figure 6). In any case, all available data are always sent in real time to the INGV acquisition centres. In particular, concerning seismic data, the multiparametric sites include 7 stations belonging to the permanent National Seismic Network (RSN, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/IV) and 12 stations belonging to the temporary multiparametric network of Northern Italy (PDnet, FDSN code ZO, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/ZO), installed in the framework of the INGV Dynamic Planet S2-project. These sites are located in Northern Italy, around the Lake Garda, at the southern

limit of the Eastern Italian Alps and in the central portion of the Po Plain (Figure 2). Two further seismic stations (PDN11, PDN12, table 1) have recently been installed in the framework of the INGV Dynamic Planet GEMME (Integrated Geological, gEophysical and geocheMical approaches for 3D Modelling of complex seismic site Effects) project, in Norcia basin (Apennine chain in central Italy) and its surroundings (Figure 2). Finally, one seismic station (PDN10, table 1) has been installed in cooperation with the Dynamic Planet PROMUD (Definition of a multidisciplinary monitoring PROtocol for MUD volcanoes) project, in the Salse di Nirano Reserve (Italian Northern Apennines, Figure 2).

In general, seismic stations are equipped with high dynamic 24-bit digital recording systems coupled to enlarged (5s owner period) or broadband (120s owner period) seismometers. In particular, at Oppeano multiparametric site (Table 1), borehole instrumentation is installed at 150 m depth. Even if in some cases accelerometer sensors are coupled to the target seismometer (velocimeter), MUDA includes only seismometric data due to their higher sensitivity and best resolution with respect to possible occurrences of natural phenomena such as micro seismicity, local weak motion earthquake occurrences and/or teleseisms or environmental modifications (i.e. landslides, low atmospheric pressure, tides etc.).

Hydrogeochemical data are recorded in all 25 multiparametric sites (Table 1), at both wells and springs set up in the framework of INGV Dynamic Planet projects (S2 and GEMME) and INGV Multiparametric Networks or the Rebuilt central Italy, DL50 project. The Toppo site (Table 1), installed in the eastern Alps in the framework of the Eccsel Eric consortium (https://www.eccsel.org/), is managed by OGS (National Institute of Oceanography and Applied geophysics, https://www.ogs.it/en) in cooperation with the University of Ferrara (Department of Physics and Earth Science, http://fst.unife.it/en) and the INGV. At present, data included in MUDA are recorded at 22 wells and 3 springs (Recoaro, Recoaro1, Feltre, see table 2). In general, wells have depths ranging from a few meters (< 10 m) to a maximum of 300 m for Toppo and Mirandola sites (Table 2). The instrumented wells have a mean depth between 5 and 150 m. Monitored sites are

mainly characterized by cold waters whose average temperatures range from 10.3 to 17.4 °C, except for TRIPONZO site that owns thermal water (~ 30 °C; Table 2). A wide variety of water electrical conductivity values (~ 130-88000 µS/cm; Table 2) are illustrative of heterogeneous compositions and salt contents. Information on the presence of borehole pump (Table 2), frequency and intensity of water pumping were carefully collected from well owners. Water level, temperature and electrical conductivity changes are observed because of pumping for water chemical analysis (e.g., Balconi) or irrigation purposes (e.g., Norcia) or functioning of spa (e.g., Triponzo). These anthropogenic variations must be accounted for when analysing groundwater data. A comprehensive example of data-processing able to recognize in groundwater time series both natural phenomena and anthropogenic noise signals is reported in Ferrari et al. (2024) for the site of Balconi.

All sites monitored by hydrogeochemical instrumentation are also equipped with a meteorological station able to capture the main atmospheric variations.

Four sites (i.e. Montelungo, Bondo, Norcia, Triponzo, table 1) are currently equipped with instrumentation able to record the Radon concentration in the air. Sensors for Radon monitoring are part of the IRON network (Italian Radon mOnitoring Network, https://ingv.it/monitoraggio-e-infrastrutture/reti-di-monitoraggio/l-ingv-e-le-sue-reti/iron) of INGV. Finally, just one site (i.e. Nirano, table 1) is equipped with instrumentation for Carbon Dioxide (CO_2) soil flux measurements.

In general, the multiparametric sites show co-located instrumentation, with a few exceptions due to logistic difficulties during the site installation or other technical problems (e.g. sites with a very high level of background seismic ambient noise or working pump installed in well). In all cases, the reference seismic station is installed in the same geological, morphological and hydrogeological context as the other instruments, possibly co-located or at least in the proximity. Hydrogeochemical stations installed in a narrow area (e.g., Bulgarelli, Medolla, Mirandola and Secchia sites, table 2) might refer to the same seismic station.

5.1 Data quality check

In order to verify the completeness and correctness of the recorded data, we carried out several checks.

Concerning seismic data included in MUDA, the results of the processing procedure to produce 5 minutes interval data are verified to check the capacity of the proposed processing scheme to represent a real marker to detect earthquakes or environmental phenomena. Figure 7 reports an exhaustive example at the Oppeano site for events recorded by the seismic station on December 29, 2020. Figure 7 shows in the panel *a* (top) the occurrences of subsequent events in a narrow time window spanning from 2020/12/29 14:02:40 to 15:36:57 UTC. The first evident transient is related to the regional M_w=6.3 Croatia earthquake on 2020/12/29 at 12:19:54 UTC (https://terremoti.ingv.it/event/25870121). A few hours later, a small sequence of three local weak motion was recorded 12 km South West of Oppeano and localised by the INGV bulletin at 2020/12/19 14:02:40, 14:44:51 and 15:36:47 UTC with magnitudes of M_L=3.4, M_L=2.8 and M_w=3.9, respectively (https://terremoti.ingv.it/event/25871441). In the bottom of panel *a* the results of our detector procedure in terms of FFT interval is shown for the 288 data points of the 2020/12/29. It is evident how all transients have been clearly recognized by marked peaks: in particular, the regional event, occurring about 400 km East of the Oppeano well highlights a notable contribution at low frequency, showing a clear peak for the FFT around 0.1 Hz. On the contrary, the local seismicity is well described by peaks detected at higher frequency content, in particular ranging from 1 to 10 Hz. In this case, it is worth noting how the high frequency content of FFTs also highlight variations in ambient noise level between night and day. In the panel *b* of figure 7, the results of the detection procedure are presented in terms of relative RMS, ground motion velocity and averaged FFTs (from top to bottom).

In order to publish reliable results, all continuous seismic data streams for all seismic stations are checked daily for quality by the interoperability between MUDA and ISMDq (INGV Strong Motion Data quality, http://ismd.mi.ingv.it, Massa et al., 2022). In this way all seismic stations

included in MUDA are checked for gaps (%), availability (%) and ambient noise level variation in terms of PSD (Power Spectral Density) and PDF (Probability Density Function), in dB, as calculated by McNamara and Buland (2004). Through ISMDq, it is possible to build temporal time series with a maximum time length of up to 2 years for all stations included in MUDA in order to check at first the correct functioning of the stations, the accuracy of used metadata, daily and seasonal variations of ambient noise level and transient or permanent anthropic disturbances. In particular, in case of failure in data transmission, the continuous monitoring of data gaps and availability allow us to retrieve data directly from station memories thereby avoiding important gaps in the data.

Hydrogeochemical and geochemical data are checked daily for availability and gaps usually due to a temporary lack of data transmission platforms (i.e. cellular line or satellite), in particular during rainy and stormy days or more rarely due to malfunctioning of the instrumentation. In the first case, the recorders, thanks to their internal memory and datalogging capacity, are able to archive data up to a maximum of 30 days. If needed, the recovery of data is possible through a manual download. Subsequently data are uploaded into the MUDA database by calling the same ad-hoc developed tools for populating the database for each parameter, with appropriate flags. In some cases, water level time series show unusual abrupt peaks (i.e. spurious spikes that are filtered) due to some problems during the compensation of atmospheric pressure performed by the recording system in order to obtain the correct values of water level: in general, misalignments in pressure compensation lead to wrong water level values, usually with differences of ~ 10 m, as a consequence of the measured atmospheric pressure usually around or slightly above 1000 mbar, considering that all the stations are within a few hundred meters above sea level.

Other data included in MUDA (i.e. meteorological, Radon, CO_2 flux) do not need particular processing. In any case, for all meteorological, Radon and CO_2 measurements, data are always checked for gaps and possible spurious peaks that are deleted. In particular, meteorological data regarding the pluviometry are archived and uploaded into MUDA as a single sample recorded each minute, or even better, in some cases, at each individual movement of the rain gauge's tipping bucket

543 (0.2 mm every time). In these cases, before publishing the rain values on the web site, data are

544 cumulated in intervals of 1 hour directly in the SQL query made by the web portal, in order to better

545 highlight heavy storms or other particular meteorological phenomena.

546

547 **6 Multiparametric monitoring**

548

549 In this section, some examples of comparison among multiparametric data are presented and

550 discussed in the framework of their possible applications for research and services devoted to natural

551 hazard risk reduction.

552 An interesting example of multiparametric monitoring, concerning groundwater level

553 variation presumably related to large landslide phenomena has been collected at the Bondo (Table 1)

554 site and aquifer (Lake Garda area, Figure 2), where on the November 1, 2023, the water column above

555 the sensor in the well abruptly increased by ~ 20 m. This significant modification, also combined with

556 the diminishing temperature and electrical conductivity (Figure 8, panel *a*), happened

557 as a consequence of 2 days of intense rainfall with measured values of precipitation up to 400 mm in

558 a narrow area surrounding Bondo. It is important to highlight that the stratigraphy below Bondo is

559 mostly made of fractured-carsified limestones belonging to the Dolomia Principale formation (Upper

560 Triassic). To explain such a notable groundwater level variation, additional and contemporary natural

561 phenomena should be hypothesized; on the base of the information provided by the local media, in

562 the same period the area was affected by diffuse landslides. In particular, between October 31 and

563 November 1, 2023, a clear seismic transient (Figure 8, panel *b*) was recorded on all 3 components of

564 ZO.PDN3 station (Table 2), characterized by very long duration (i.e. some hours), higher amplitudes

565 with respect to the local ambient noise level (i.e. the Peak Ground Velocity, PGV was equal to 0.01

566 cm/s, comparable to a local earthquake with magnitude ranging from 2.5 and 3.0), prevalent high

567 frequency content (~ 5-30 Hz) and a strong polarisation with preferential amplification of motion

568 along the NS direction (Figure 8, panel *c*). In this case, the recorded transient at ZO.PDN3 could in

fact be attributable to local and diffuse landslides that could have modified the volume and/or the extent of the aquifer and eventually the water flow infiltration and circulation through the rock fractures by influencing the water level and leading the aquifer to be more sensitive to meteorological events.

Meteorological events, in particular the intense rainfall period, also seem to have a strong influence on Radon emission measurements, usually adopted as a possible marker in case of a tectonic event. An example can be observed considering the complete Radon time series at the Bondo site where data highlight a positive correlation with the seasonality, with increasing values in summer and decreasing values in winter, following the trend of both atmospheric pressure and temperature. At least during the monitored period, at the Bondo site no correlation with local seismicity appears to be noticeable, while clear correlations between Radon outliers and the rainfall period are evident (Figure 9, panel *a*). It is worth mentioning how not all Radon sensors show the same behaviour with respect to the season. At the Montelungo site (Table 1), for instance, data show a complete anti-correlation with the seasons (Figure 9, panel *b*), with lower values in summer and higher values in winter, probably as a consequence of the different local geological and morphological setting.

A further example regards the CO_2 flux variation, measured at the Nirano site (Table 1) in October 2023 (Figure 10, panel *a*) during an intense period of weak motion earthquakes localized very close to ZO.PDN10 station, installed in the area of the Salse di Nirano regional park. Starting from June 2023, the area of Nirano showed an increase in the local seismicity. In the period 2023/06/01 to 2023/11/15, 32 earthquakes with local magnitude (M_L) in the range 2.0-3.5 were recorded with a maximum epicentral distance from Nirano of 30 km. In particular, the strong events with $M_L=3.5$ occurred on October 30, 2023 (04:25:53 UTC). Considering the CO_2 time series recorded at Nirano and a time period spanning from October 15 to November 15, 2023, it is possible to highlight the presence of many CO_2 data points with values exceeding the limit of +1 standard deviation (Figure 10, panel *b*), with respect to the average values of the period. Many outliers were recorded just before and also soon after the $M_L=3.5$ target earthquake. It worth noting that this

595   evidence should be carefully evaluated also considering other parameters (for instance, atmospheric

596   pressure, soil moisture and temperature), even though no relevant rainfall episodes occurred in that

597   period.

598   Further case studies concern the correlation between meteorological (i.e. temperature and

599   rainfall) and groundwater parameters. Understanding water level fluctuation patterns is one of the

600   pillars for designing adaptive management practices that can mitigate the impacts of extreme water

601   levels on infrastructure and associated economic activities (e.g. Gerten et al., 2013, Alley et al., 2002,

602   Taylor et al., 2009; Russo and Lall, 2017). Groundwater recharge is difficult to estimate, especially

603   in fractured aquifers, because of the spatial variability of the soil properties and because of the lack

604   of data at basin scale. A possible solution consists in inferring recharge directly from the observation

605   in boreholes (Guillaumot et al., 2022), even if the direct measures in wells overlook the impact of

606   lateral groundwater redistribution in the aquifer. When evaluating the effect of exogenous parameters

607   on groundwaters, rainfall is the main factor in promptly influencing all monitored groundwater

608   parameters (e.g. Mancini et al., 2022, Guillaumot et al., 2022), with a variable aquifer response with

609   respect comparable amounts of precipitation in the same period. Figure 11 shows an example of

610   groundwater recordings related to the meteorological event of October 2023 at Volargne and Fonte

611   sites (table 1). It is worth noting how in the first site (Figure 11, panel *a*) a gradual and moderate rise

612   in water level is contrasted by a faster and larger (less than 2 hours) increase in water level at the

613   Fonte site (Figure 11, panel *b*), which shows an intense influence of the rainfall, also proved by the

614   extremely variable temperature and conductivity records not observed in the other sites (Ferrari et al.,

615   2024). The light grey box in Figure 11 highlights another instant response of Fonte groundwater to

616   precipitation which is even more sharper than the one described above and also involves electrical

617   conductivity decrease and temperature increase.

618   The atmospheric temperature is moreover proven to affect groundwater temperature,

619   especially in aquifers down to ~ 20 m depth (e.g. Lee and Hahn, 2006, 2021; Taylor and Stefan, 2009;

620   Menberg et al., 2014). Monitoring sites having at least 1 year of recordings are taken into account to

analyse groundwater temperature seasonal oscillations and correlations to air temperature. In our case, the absence of seasonality is detected at the Bondo, Montelungo and Volargne sites (Table 1) where the constant groundwater temperatures could be explained by the aquifer depth (~ 50 m). In other cases, such as the Casaglia site (Table 1), despite the depth of aquifer of a few meters below ground level, the relevant water (~ 40 m) column above the hydrogeochemical sensor dampened possible temperature fluctuations (Bucci et al., 2020; Egidio et al., 2022). On the contrary, at the Balconi site (Table1), despite the aquifer depth is greater than 50 m, a nearly seasonal variation characterized by maximum values reached in summer and minima in winter, is observed, in agreement with the measured air temperature periodicity (Ferrari et al., 2024). However, it should be evaluated whether this temperature variation in specific seasons is directly attributable to meteorological reasons or to anthropogenic causes, due to intense irrigation occurring in the area of Balconi during the most dry and hot months of the year.

7 Usage Notes and conclusions

The technical validation allowed us to obtain a reliable and homogenous dataset of continuous multiparametric time series and associated metadata. For hydrogeochemical, meteorological, Radon and CO_2, data are published in a raw format after a pre-processing whose main scope was just to detect gaps and spurious peaks to be deleted from the time series. Seismic data are published after applying a 5-minute resampling to the raw miniseed 24-hour continuous data and then by converting the velocity ground motion (cm/s) in RMS and FFT discrete time series. The raw seismic waveforms are however downloadable from the EIDA-Italia webservice (https://eida.ingv.it/it/).

For the first time, at least in Italy, high frequency and continuous multiparametric data are dynamically updated daily and published soon after for end users. Data can be used for different purposes, ranging from i) information regarding environmental and meteorological temporal trends with respect the global climate change problematic; ii) details on local aquifer features and seismicity;

iii) recommendations for the civil protection; iv) multiparametric geophysical, environmental and geochemical data for research studies. In particular, all seismic stations included in MUDA-db with code ZO (PDnet, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/ZO) contribute with the national seismic monitoring by sharing a continuous data stream in real time to the INGV National Seismic Network (https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/IV). In addition, the dense ZO network installed around Lake Garda contribute significantly to improving the minimum magnitude threshold detection of the area as reported in Ferrari et al. (2024).

Seismic data, together with all geological, morphological and geophysical information collected at each site included in MUDA, can moreover be used to investigate the site response in terms of seismic amplification, in particular for sites installed in the central part of the Po Plain, a deep basin characterized by a significant thickness of incoherent alluvial deposits. Seismic events recorded at each station can also be used for local investigations into the micro seismicity of the area, seismic source recognition or to improve the available seismic velocity models at a local scale.

Hydrogeochemical and geochemical data will be used in the framework of a recent agreement between the National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV) and the National System for Environmental Protection (SNPA, comprising the Regional Environmental Protection Agencies - ARPA and ISPRA), aimed at gathering information on seismic activity and aquifer/spring status from various acquisition sources, in some cases reaching a near real time monitoring through the SINAnet facility (https://www.snpambiente.it/attivita/sistema-informativo-nazionale-ambientale/).

In general, the multiparametric monitoring is the basis by which to understand and identify possible seismic precursors, an objective not yet achieved in earthquake studies. In particular, the short-term earthquake forecasting, remains elusive and largely unattained. An effective solution for such a major issue might be found, in the future, in systematic high frequency and continuous measurements with multiparametric networks operating over the long term. Owing to the influx from deep crustal fluids in active tectonic areas, groundwater monitoring may especially be considered a

672 fundamental tool for investigating pre-seismic signals of rocks undergoing accelerated strain (e.g.

673 King, 1986; Skelton, A. et al. 2014, Barberio et al., 2017).

674

675 **8 Data Availability**

676

677 Data and metadata presented and described in this manuscript can be accessed

678 under https://doi.org/10.13127/muda (Massa et al., 2023).

679

680 **9 Code Availability**

681

682 All the procedures to acquire and process data coming from multiparametric remote stations

683 have been specifically developed for the MUDA project in Bash scripting language, Python and PHP

684 language, using, when necessary, proprietary API taken from the manufacturers of the installed

685 remote instrumentation, as detailed in the text. Seismic data are acquired and archived through a

686 Seiscomp4 (https://www.seiscomp.de/doc/apps/seedlink.html) client, thereby improving the

687 SeedLink real time data acquisition protocol. Some processing steps on the seismic data are

688 undertaken using the Seismic Analysis Code (SAC,

689 https://ds.iris.edu/ds/nodes/dmc/software/downloads/sac/), a software designed for both real time and

690 off-line seismological analyses of time series data. The MUDA database is developed with MySQL

691 open source software. The MUDA web portal is developed in PHP and HTML5 languages, and all

692 data are published under the Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0 licence.

693

694

695

696

697

Team list

MUDA working group is at present composed by the main authors and:

Marino Domenico Barberio [4], Gianfranco Tamburello [5], Gioia Capelli Ghioldi [5], Santi Mirenna [1], Ezio D'Alema [1], Anna Figlioli [1], Pellegrino Moretti [1], Claudio Ventura Bordenca [1], Fabio Varchetta [1], Antonio Piersanti [4], Valentina Cannelli [4], Gianfranco Galli G. [4], Rodolfo Puglia [1].

[1] National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV), Milano department, Italy

[4] National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV), Roma1 department, Roma, Italy

[5] National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV), Bologna department, Italy

Author contributions

This study started from an original idea by MM and ALR. MM, ALR, EF and SL contributed to all phases of site installations, data acquisition, processing and archiving. DS developed MySQL-Db and the associated web page, as well as the procedures to upload data into MUDA-db. SL and EF contributed to organising the technical data sheet related to each multiparametric site now available at each single station web page. MM developed the procedures for multiparametric data pre-processing and seismic data post processing. All authors participated in the preparation of the manuscript draft and in the phases of revision.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

  We would like to thank all those who contributed to the site search phase and the installation of the PDnet network. In particular: Prof. Tullia Bonomi (Milano Bicocca University), Engr. Michela Biasibetti and Engr. Bruno Pannuzzo (Acque Bresciane company), Engr. Massimo Carmagnani and Engr. Ignazio Leone (Acque Veronesi company), Engr. Giovanni Lepore and Fabrizio Brunello (Azienda Gardesana Servizi company), Engr. Paolo Pizzaia (Alto Trevigiano Servizi company), Arch. Luca Bertanza (municipality of Tremosine Garda, BS), Arch. Umberto Minuta (municipality of Dolcè, VR), Geom. Elena Beraldini (municipality of Negrar, VR), Dr. Marzia Conventi (municipality of Fiorano Modenese, MO - Dir. Riserva Salse di Nirano), Mr. Salviani (Norcia), Bagni Triponzo Terme S.p.A. (municipality of Cerreto di Spoleto), Dr. Luca Martelli and Dr. Paolo Severi (Emilia Romagna Region). Particular thanks go to OGS (National Institute of Oceanography and Applied geophysics, https://www.ogs.it/en) and University of Ferrara (Department of Physics and Earth Science, http://fst.unife.it/en) for sharing data recorded at the TOPPO site in the framework of the ECCSEL-ERIC (European Research Infrastructure for CO_2 Capture, Utilisation, Transport and Storage (CCUS), https://www.eccsel.org/) consortium.

The production of the seismic data published in the MUDA database involves many INGV colleagues covering different tasks in the data production chain, from the correct operation of the seismic stations to data acquisition, data processing, archiving and subsequent distribution. We would like to thank all the colleagues of the INGV sections and offices who contribute daily to the management of the National Seismic Network (RSN, https://eida.ingv.it/it/networks/network/IV), as well as providing access to the data they produce. Finally, we thank the ESSD topic Editor, the reviewers, Dr. Simona Petrosino, Dr. Galina N. Kopylova and Dr. Polina Lemenkova and the ESSD editorial team.

References

750

751   Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S. Chemical mapping of a fumarolic field: La Fossa

752   Crater, Vulcano Island (Aeolian Islands, Italy). Geophys. Res. Lett. 32 (13), 1-4 (2005).

753

754   Allard, P., Burton, M., Murè F., Spectroscopic evidence for a lava fountain driven by previously

755   accumulated magmatic gas. Nature 433, 407-410, doi:10.1038/nature03246 (2005).

756

757   Alley, W.M., Healy, R.W., LaBaugh, J.W., Reilly, T.E., Flow and storage in groundwater systems.

758   Science 296 (5575), 1985-90, doi: 10.1126/science.1067123 (2002).

759

760   Barberio, M.D., Barbieri, M., Billi, A., Doglioni, C., Petitta, M., Hydrogeochemical changes before

761   and during the 2016 Amatrice Norcia seismic sequence (central Italy). Sci. Rep. UK 7:11735, 1-12.

762   doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11990-8 (2017).

763

764   Battaglia, M., Murray, M.H., Serpelloni, E., Bürgmann, R., The Adriatic region: an in dependent

765   microplate within the Africa-Eurasia collision zone. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, L09605,

766   doi:10.1029/2004GL019723 (2004).

767

768   Bigi, G., Costantino, D., Parotto, M., Sartori, R., Scandone, P., Structural Model of Italy. Firenze,

769   Società Elaborazioni Cartografiche (S.EL.CA.). Consiglio Nazionale Delle Ricerche Progetto

770   Finalizzato Geodinamica, Scala 1:500.000, 9 Fogli (1990).

771

772   Bonini, M., Elliptical mud volcano caldera as stress indicator in an active compressional setting

773   (Nirano, Pede-Apennine margin, northern Italy). Geology 36, 131-134, doi:10.1130/G24158A.1

774   (2008).

775

776   Bormann, P., (Editor) New manual of seismological observatory practice (NMSOP-2), IASPEI, GFZ

777   German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, https://gfzpublic.gfz-potsdam.de/ (see data and

778   resources), doi:10.2312/GFZ.NMSOP-2 (2012).

779

780   Bräuer, K., Kämpf, H., Strauch, G., Weise, S.M., Isotopic evidence (^{3}He/^{4}He, ^{13}C$_{CO2}$) of fluid-

781   triggered intraplate seismicity. J. Geophys. Res. 108(B2), 1-11, doi:10.1029/2002JB002077 (2003).

782

783   Brozzetti, F., Lavecchia, G., Seismicity and related extensional stress field: the case of the Norcia

784   Seismic Zone (Central Italy). Annales Tectonicae 8 (1), 36-57 (1994).

785

786   Bucci, A., Lasagna, M., De Luca, D.A., Acquaotta, F., Barbero, D., Fratianni, S., Time series analysis

787   of underground temperature and evaluation of thermal properties in a test site of the Po plain (NW

788   Italy). Environ. Earth Sci. 79,185, doi:10.1007/s12665-020-08920-9 (2020).

789

790   Burton, M.R., Caltabiano, T., Murè, F., Salerno, G.G., Randazzo, D., SO2 flux from Stromboli during

791   the 2007 eruption: results from the FLAME network and traverse measurements. J. Volcanol.

792   Geotherm. Res. doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.11.025 (2009).

793

794   Burrato, P., Vannoli, P., Fracassi, U., Basili, R., Valensise, G., Is blind faulting truly invisible?

795   Tectonic-controlled drainage evolution in the epicentral area of the May 2012, Emilia-Romagna

796   earthquake sequence (northern Italy). Annals of Geophysics 55, 4, 525-531 (2012).

797

798   Buttitta, D., Caracausi, A., Chiaraluce, L., Favara, R., Morticelli, M.G., Sulli, A., Continental

799   degassing of helium in an active tectonic setting (northern Italy): the role of seismicity. Scientific

800   Reports 10, Article number:162 (2020).

801

802   Cannelli, V., Piersanti, A., Galli, G., Melini, D., Italian Radon mOnitoring Network (IRON): A

803   permanent network for near real-time monitoring of soil radon emission in Italy. Annals of geophysics

804   4/61, 10.4401/ag-7604 (2018).

805

806   Carapezza, M.L, Inguaggiato, S., Brusca, L., Longo, M., Geochemical precursors of Stromboli 2002–

807   2003 eruptive events. Geophys Res Lett 31(7):10.1029/2004GL019614 (2004).

808

809   Castaldini, D., Valdati, J., Ilies, D.C., Chiriac, C., Bertogna, I., Geo-tourist map of the natural reserve

810   of Salse di Nirano (Modena Apennines, Northern Italy). Italian Journal of Quaternary Sciences 18(1),

811   245-255 (2005).

812

813   Cicerone, R.D., Ebel, J.E., Britton, J., A systematic compilation of earthquake precursors.

814   Tectonophysics 476, 371-396, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.06.008 (2009).

815

816   Chiarabba, C., Piccinini, D., De Gori, P., Velocity and attenuation tomography of the Umbria Marche

817   1997 fault system: Evidence of a fluid-governed seismic sequence. Tectonophysics 476, 73-84,

818   doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.04.004 (2009).

819

820   Chiaraluce, L., Collettini, C., Cattaneo, M., Monachesi, G., The shallow boreholes at The

821   AltotiBerina near fault Observatory (TABOO; northern Apennines of Italy), Volume 17, Scientific

822   Drilling, 17, 31-35, https://doi.org/10.5194/sd-17-31-2014 (2014).

823

824   Chiaraluce, L., Di Stefano, R., Tinti, E., Scognamiglio, L., Michele, M., Casarotti, E., Cattaneo, M.,

825   De Gori, P., Chiarabba, C., Monachesi, G., Lombardi, A., Valoroso, L., Latorre, D., Marzorati, S.

826   The 2016 Central Italy Seismic Sequence: A First Look at the Mainshocks, Aftershocks, and Source

827   Models. Seismological Research Letters 88 (3), 757–771 (2017).

828

829 Chiodini, G., Cioni, R., Guidi, M., Raco, B., Marini, L., Soil CO2 flux measurements in volcanic and

830 geothermal areas. Appl. Geochem. 13, 543-552 (1998).

831

832 Chiodini, G., Cardellini, C., Di Luccio, F., Selva, J., Frondini, F., Caliro, S., Rosiello, A., Beddini, G.,

833 Ventura, G., Correlation between tectonic CO_2 Earth degassing and seismicity is revealed by a 10-

834 year record in the Apennines, Italy. Sci. Adv. 6:eabc2938, 1-7, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc2938 (2020).

835

836 Comerci, V., Doglioni, C., Italiano, F., Baiocco, F., Barberio, M.D., Caracausi, A., Cuiuli, E., Guerra,

837 M., Infantino, V., Insolvibile, M., Marcaccio, M., Martinelli, G., Menichetti, S., Onorati, G., Petitta,

838 M., Palumbo, V., Peleggi, M., Richieri, F., Scaramella, A., Scotti, E., Testa, M., Towards a national

839 hydrogeochemical monitoring system: a further tool to investigate geological hazards. Misc. INGV

840 49, 1-338 (2019).

841

842 D'Alessandro, A., Scudero, S., Siino, M., Alessandro, G., Mineo, R., Long-term monitoring and

843 characterization of soil radon emission in a seismically active area. Geochem. Geophy. Geosy.

844 21:e2020GC009061, doi:10.1029/2020GC009061 (2020).

845

846 D'Agostino, N., Avallone, A., Cheloni, D., D'Anastasio, E., S Mantenuto, Selvaggi, G., Active

847 tectonics of the Adriatic region from GPS and earthquake slip vectors. Jour. Geophys. Res. 113 (B12),

848 B12413 (2008).

849

850 Danecek, P., Pintore, S., Mazza, S., Mandiello, A., Fares, M., Carluccio, I., ... & Michelini, A., The

851 Italian node of the European integrated data archive. Seismological Society of America, 92(3), 1726-

852 1737, doi:https://doi.org/10.1785/0220200409 (2021).

853

854   Danesi, S., Pondrelli, S., Salimbeni, S., Cavaliere, A., Serpelloni, E., Danacek P., Lovati, S., Massa,

855   M., Active deformation and seismicity in the Southern Alps (Italy): The Montello hill as a case study.

856   Tectonophysics 653, 95-108, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.03.028 (2015).

857

858   De Gregorio, S., Gurrieri, S., Valenza, M., A PTFE membrane for the in situ extraction of dissolved

859   gases in natural waters: Theory and applications. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 6, Q09005,

860   doi:10.1029/2005GC000947 (2005).

861

862   De Gregorio, S., Federico, C., Cappuzzo, S., Favara, R., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Boschi, E., Stress-

863   induced temperature variations in groundwater of the Monferrato area (north-western Italy).

864   Geofluids 12, 142-149, doi:10.1111/j.1468-8123.2011.00348.x (2012).

865

866   De Luca, G., Di Carlo, G., Tallini, M., A record of changes in the Gran Sasso groundwater before,

867   during and after the 2016 Amatrice earthquake, central Italy. Sci. Rep. UK 8:15982, 1-16,

868   doi:10.1038/s41598-018-34444-1 (2018).

869

870   De Matteis, R., Convertito, V., Napolitano, F., Amoroso, O., Terakawa, T., Capuano, P., Pore fluid

871   pressure imaging of the Mt. Pollino region (southern Italy) from earthquake focal mechanisms.

872   Geophys. Res. Lett. 48:e 2021GL094552, doi:10.1029/2021GL094552 (2021).

873

874   Di Luccio, F., Ventura, G., Di Giovambattista, R., Piscini, A., Cinti, F.R., Normal faults and thrusts

875   reactivated by deep fluids: The 6 April 2009 M_w 6.3 L'Aquila earthquake, central Italy. J. Geophys.

876   Res. 115, 1-15, doi:10.1029/2009JB007190 (2010).

877

878   DISS Working Group Database of Individual Seismogenic Sources (DISS), Version 3.3.0: A

879   compilation of potential sources for earthquakes larger than M 5.5 in Italy and surrounding areas.

880   Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV) https://doi.org/10.13127/diss3.3.0 (2021).

881

882   Egidio, E., Mancini, S., De Luca, D.A., Lasagna, M., The Impact of Climate Change on Groundwater

883   Temperature of the Piedmont Po Plain (NW Italy). Water 14:2797, doi:10.3390/w14182797 (2022).

884

885   Ferrari E., Massa M., Rizzo A.L., Lovati S., Di Michele F., Multiparametric stations for real-time

886   monitoring and long-term assessment of natural hazards. Front. Earth Sci., Sec. Geohazards and

887   Georisks, Volume 12, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2024.1412900 (2024).

888

889   The Italian multiparametric network for detection and monitoring of earthquake-related crustal fluids

890   alterations. EGU meeting, Wien, session SM3.4, 14-19 April (2024).

891

892   Gabrielli, S., Akinci, A., Ventura, G., Napolitano, F., Del Pezzo, E., De Siena, L., Fast changes in

893   seismic attenuation of the upper crust due to fracturing and fluid migration: the 2016–2017 Central

894   Italy seismic sequence. Front. Earth Sci. 10:909698, doi: 10.3389/feart.2022.909698 (2022).

895

896   Gabrielli, S., Akinci, A., De Siena, L., Del Pezzo, E., Buttinelli, M., Maesano, F.E., Maffucci, R.,

897   Scattering attenuation images of the control of thrusts and fluid overpressure on the 2016–2017

898   Central   Italy   seismic   sequence.   Geophys.   Res.   Lett.   50:e2023GL103132,

899   doi:10.1029/2023GL103132 (2023).

900

901   Galadini, F., Galli, P., The Holocene paleoearthquakes on the 1915 Avezzano earthquake faults

902   (central Italy): implications for active tectonics in the central Apennines. Tectonophysics 308, 1-2,

903   143-170 (1999).

904

905   Galadini, F., Messina, P., Plio-Quaternary changes of the normal fault architecture in the Central

906   Apennines (Italy). Geodinamica Acta, 321-344, https://doi.org/10.1080/09853111.2001.10510727

907   (2001).

908

909   Galli, P., Galderisi, A., Ilardo, I., Piscitelli, S., Scionti, V., Bellanova, J., & Calzoni, F., Holocene

910   paleoseismology of the Norcia fault system (central Italy). Tectonophysics 745, 154-169,

911   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.08.008 (2018).

912

913   Galli, P., Galderisi, A., Peronace, E., Giaccio, B., Hajdas, I., Messina, P., Pileggi, D., Polpetta, F. The

914   Awakening of the Dormant Mount Vettore Fault (2016 Central Italy Earthquake, Mw 6.6):

915   Paleoseismic Clues on Its Millennial Silences. Tectonics https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005326

916   (2019).

917

918   Gerten, D., Hoff, H., Rockström, J., Jägermeyr, J., Kummu, M., Pastor, A.V., Towards a revised

919   planetary boundary for consumptive freshwater use: role of environmental flow requirements.

920   Environmental Sustainability 5, issue 6, 551-558 (2013).

921

922   Giambastiani, B.M.S., Chiapponi, E., Polo, F., Nespoli, M., Piombo, A., Antonellini, M., Structural

923   control on carbon emissions at the Nirano mud volcanoes – Italy. Marine and Petroleum Geology

924   163, 106771 (2024).

925

926   Goldstein, P., Dodge, D., Firpo, M., Minner, L., SAC2000: Signal processing and analysis tools for

927   seismologists and engineers, Invited contribution to "The IASPEI International Handbook of

928   Earthquake and Engineering Seismology", Edited by WHK Lee, H. Kanamori, P.C. Jennings, and C.

929   Kisslinger, Academic Press, London (2003).

930

931 Gori, F., Barberio, M.D., Hydrogeochemical changes before and during the 2019 Benevento seismic

932 swarm in central-southern Italy. J. Hydrol. 604:127250, 1-10, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127250

933 (2022).

934

935 Guillaumot, L., Longuevergne, L., Marçais, J., Lavenant, N., Bour, O., Frequency domain water table

936 fluctuations reveal impacts of intense rainfall and vadose zone thickness on groundwater recharge.

937 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 26, issue 22, HESS, 26, 5697–5720,

938 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-5697-2022 (2022).

939

940 Hubbert, M.K., Rubey, W.W., Role of fluid pressure in mechanics of overthrust faulting: mechanics

941 of fluid-filled porous solids and its application to overthrust faulting. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 70(2), 115-

942 166, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1959)70[115:ROFPIM] 2.0.CO;2 (1959).

943

944 Improta, L. and co-Authors Multi-segment rupture of the 2016 Amatrice-Visso-Norcia seismic

945 sequence (central Italy) constrained by the first high-quality catalog of Early Aftershocks. Scientific

946 Reports, 9, 6921 (2019).

947

948 Inguaggiato, S., Vita, F., Rouwet, D., Bobrowski, N., Morici, S., Sollami, A., Geochemical evidence

949 of the renewal of volcanic activity inferred from CO2 soil and SO2 plume fluxes: the 2007 Stromboli

950 eruption (Italy). Bulletin of Volcanology http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00445-010-0442-z (2011a).

951

952 Inguaggiato, S., Calderone, L., Inguaggiato, C., Morici, S. and Vita, F., Dissolved CO_2 in natural

953 waters: development of an automated monitoring system and first application to Stromboli volcano

954 (Italy). Annals of Geophysics 54(2), doi: 10.4401/ag-5180 (2011b).

955

956 Italiano, F., Martinelli, G., Rizzo, A., Geochemical evidence of seismogenic-induced anomalies in the

957 dissolved gases of thermal waters: A case study of Umbria (Central Apennines, Italy) both during and

958 after the 1997-1998 seismic swarm. Geochem. Geophy. Geosy. 5(11), 1-11,

959 doi:10.1029/2004GC000720 (2004).

960

961 Italiano, F., Martinelli, G., Nuccio, P.M., Anomalies of mantle-derived helium during the 1997-1998

962 seismic swarm of Umbria-Marche, Italy. Geophy. Res. Lett. 28(5), 839-842,

963 doi:10.1029/2000GL012059 (2001).

964

965 Keranen, K.M., Weingarten, M., Induced seismicity. Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc. 46, 149-174.

966 doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-082517-010054 (2018).

967

968 King, C.Y., Gas geochemistry applied to earthquake prediction: An overview, Journal of Geophysical

969 Research. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB091iB12p12269 (1986).

970

971 Lai, C.G., Poggi, V., Famà, A., Zuccolo, E., Bozzoni, F., Meisina, C., Bonì, R., Martelli, L., Massa,

972 M., Mascandola, C., Petronio, L., Affatato, A., Baradello, L., Castaldini, D., Cosentini, R.M., An

973 inter-disciplinary and multi-scale approach to assess the spatial variability of ground motion for

974 seismic microzonation: the case study of Cavezzo municipality in Northern Italy, Eng. Geol. 274,

975 105722, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2020.105722 (2020).

976

977 Lee, H.A., Hamm, S.Y., Woo, N.C., Pilot-scale groundwater monitoring network for earthquake

978 surveillance and forecasting research in Korea. Water 13:2448, 1-19, doi:10.3390/w13172448

979 (2021).

980

981 Locati, M., Camassi, R., Rovida, A., Ercolani, E., Bernardini, F., Castelli, V., Caracciolo, C.H.,

982 Tertulliani, A., Rossi, A., Azzaro, R., D'Amico, S., Conte, S., Rocchetti, E., Antonucci, A., Database

983 Macrosismico Italiano (DBMI15), versione 4.0. Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia

984 (INGV), https://doi.org/10.13127/dbmi/dbmi15.4 (2022).

985

986 Luzi, L., Pacor, F., Ameri, G., Puglia, R., Burrato, P., Massa, M., Augliera, P., Castro, R.,

987 Franceschina, G., Lovati, S. Overview on the strong motion data recorded during the May-June 2012

988 Emilia seismic sequence. Seism. Res. Lett., 84, 4, 629-644 (2013).

989

990 Luzi, L., Puglia, R., Russo, E., D'Amico, M., Felicetta, C., Pacor, F., Lanzano, G., Çeken, U.,

991 Clinton, J., Costa, G., et al. The European strong-motion database: a platform to access accelerometric

992 data. Seismol. Res. Lett. 87, 4, doi: 10.1785/0220150278 (2016).

993

994 Malagnini, L., Pio Lucente, F., De Gori, P., Akinci, A., Munafo', I., Control of pore fluid pressure

995 diffusion on fault failure mode: Insights from the 2009 L'Aquila seismic sequence. J. Geophys. Res-

996 Sol. Ea. 117, 1-15, doi:10.1029/2011JB008911 (2012).

997

998 Mancini, S., Egidio, E., De Luca, D.A., Lasagna, M., Application and comparison of different

999 statistical methods for the analysis of groundwater levels over time: Response to rainfall and resource

1000 evolution in the Piedmont Plain (NW Italy). Science of the total Environment 846, 157479 (2022).

1001

1002 Marchetti, A. and co-Authors The Italian Seismic Bulletin: strategies, revised pickings and locations

1003 of the central Italy seismic sequence. Annals of Geophysics FAST TRACK 5/59, 10.4401/ag-7169

1004 (2016).

1005

1006 Margheriti, L. and co-Authors Seismic Surveillance and Earthquake Monitoring in Italy.
1007 Seismological Research Letters 92 (3): 1659-1671 (2021).

1008

1009 Martinelli, G., Contributions to a history of earthquake prediction research. In Pre-earthquake
1010 processes: a multidisciplinary approach to earthquake prediction studies. Editors Ouzounov, D.,
1011 Pulinets, S., Hattori, K., Taylor, P. John Wiley & Sons (2018).

1012

1013 Martinelli, G., Ciolini, R., Facca, G., Fazio, F., Gherardi, F., Heinicke, J., Pierotti, L., Tectonic-related
1014 geochemical and hydrological anomalies in Italy during the last fifty years. Minerals 11:107, 1-16,
1015 doi:10.3390/min11020107 (2021).

1016

1017 Massa M., Rizzo L.A., Ferrari E., Lovati S., Scafidi D., Puglia R., Varchetta F., D'Alema E., Mirenna
1018 S., Luzi L., MUDA geophysical and geochemical MUltiparametric DAtabase,
1019 https://doi.org/10.13127/muda (2023).

1020

1021 Massa, M., Marzorati,S., D'Alema, E., Di Giacomo, D., Augliera, P., Site Classification Assessment
1022 for Estimating Empirical Attenuation Relationships for Central-Northern Italy Earthquakes. Journal
1023 Earth. Eng. 11:6, 943-967 (2007).

1024

1025 Massa, M., Rizzo, A.L., Lorenzetti, A., Lovati, S., D'Alema, E., Puglia, R., Carannante, S., Piersanti,
1026 A., Galli, G., Cannelli, V., Luzi, L., blog INGV Terrermoti, https://ingvterremoti.com/2021/12/10/la-
1027 rete-del-lago-di-garda-una-nuova-infrastruttura-dellingv-per-il-monitoraggio-multiparametrico/ (in
1028 Italian) (2021).

1029

1030 Massa, M., Lovati, S., Puglia, R., Brunelli, G., Lorenzetti, A., Mascandola, C., Felicetta, C., Pacor,
1031 F., Luzi, L., Seismo-Stratigraphic Model for the Urban Area of Milan (Italy) by Ambient-Vibration

1032   Monitoring and Implications for Seismic Site Effects Assessment. Frontiers in Earth Science doi:

1033   10.3389/feart.2022.915083 (2022b).

1034

1035   Massa, M., Scafidi, D., Mascandola, C., Lorenzetti, A., Introducing ISMDq—A Web Portal for Real-

1036   Time Quality Monitoring of Italian Strong-Motion Data. Seismol. Res. Lett. 93 (1), 241-256,

1037   doi:10.1785/0220210178 (2022).

1038

1039   Mastrorillo, L., Saroli, M., Viaroli, F., Banzato, F., Valigi, D., Petitta, M., Sustained post-seismic

1040   effects on groundwater flow in fractured carbonate aquifers in Central Italy. Hydrological Processes

1041   https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13662 (2020).

1042

1043   Mazzoli, S., Santini, S., Macchiavelli, C., Ascione, A., Active tectonics of the outer northern

1044   Apennines: Adriatic vs. Po Plain seismicity and stress fields. Journal of Geodynamics 84, 62-76

1045   (2015).

1046

1047   McNamara, D.E., Buland, R.P., Ambient Noise Levels in the Continental United States. Bull. Seism.

1048   Soc. Am. 94, 4, 1517-1527, https://doi.org/10.1785/012003001 (2004).

1049

1050   Menberg, K., Blum, P., Kurylyk, B.L., Bayer, P., Observed groundwater temperature response to

1051   recent climate change. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 18, 4453-4466, doi:10.5194/hess-18-4453-2014

1052   (2014).

1053

1054   Michelini, A., Faenza, L., Lanzano, G., Lauciani, V., Jozinović D., Puglia, R., Luzi, L., The New

1055   ShakeMap in Italy: Progress and Advances in the Last 10 Yr. Seismological Research Letters 91 (1),

1056   317-333 (2020).

1057

1058   Miller, S.A., Collettini, C., Chiaraluce, L., Cocco, M., Barchi, M., Kaus, B.J.P., Aftershocks driven

1059   by a high-pressure CO_2 source at depth. Nature 427, 724-727, doi:10.1038/nature02251 (2004).

1060

1061   Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti Aggiornamento delle Norme Tecniche per le

1062   Costruzioni. Part 3.2.2: Categorie di sottosuolo e condizioni topografiche (in Italian), Gazzetta

1063   Ufficiale n. 42 (2018).

1064

1065   Massa M., Rizzo L.A., Ferrari E., Lovati S., Scafidi D., Puglia R., Varchetta F., D'Alema E., Mirenna

1066   S., Luzi L, MUDA: geophysical and geochemical MUltiparametric Database,

1067   https://doi.org/10.13127/muda (2023).

1068

1069   Nakamura,Y. (1989). A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface using

1070   microtremor on the ground surface, Q. Rep. Railway Tech. Res. Inst. 30, no. 1, 25–33.

1071

1072   Napolitano, F., De Siena, L., Gervasi, A., Guerra, I., Scarpa, R., La Rocca, M., Scattering and

1073   absorption imaging of a highly fractured fluid-filled seismogenetic volume in a region of slow

1074   deformation. Geosci. Front. 11, 989-998, doi:10.1016/j.gsf.2019.09.014 (2020).

1075

1076   Pacor, F., Paolucci, R., Ameri, G., Massa, M., Puglia R., Italian strong motion records in ITACA:

1077   Overview and record processing. Bull. Earthq. Eng. 9, 6, 1741-1759 (2011).

1078

1079   Pessina, V., Fiorini, E., A GIS procedure for fast topographic characterization of seismic recording

1080   stations. Soil Dynam. Earthq. Eng. 63, 248-258 (2014).

1081

1082 Pieri, M., Groppi, G., Subsurface Geological Structure of the Po Plain, Italy. Progetto Finalizzato

1083 Geodinamica/Sottoprogetto "Modello Strutturale" (Rome: Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche Publ.

1084 N° 414) (1981).

1085

1086 Pondrelli, S., Ekström, G., Morelli, A., Seismotectonic re-evaluation of the 1976 Friuli, Italy, seismic

1087 sequence. Journal of Seism., 5, 73-83 (1999).

1088

1089 Rikitake, T., Hamada, K., Earthquake prediction. In: Encyclopaedia of Physical Science and

1090 Technology, 3rd edition, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA 4, 743-760 (2001).

1091

1092 Rizzo, A.L., Federico, C., Inguaggiato, S., Sollami, A., Tantillo, M., Vita, F., Bellomo, S., Longo,

1093 M., Grassa, F., Liuzzo, M., The 2014 Effusive Eruption at Stromboli Volcano (Italy): Inferences From

1094 Soil CO_2 Flux and 3He/4He Ratio in Thermal Waters. Geoph. Res. Lett.

1095 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062955 (2015).

1096

1097 Romano, D., Sabatino, G., Magazù, S., Di Bella, M., Tripodo, A., Gattuso, A., Italiano, F.,

1098 Environmenta Earth Sciences 82, 273 (2023).

1099

1100 Russo, T.A., Lall, U., Depletion and response of deep groundwater to climate-induced pumping

1101 variability. Nature Geoscience 10, 105-108 (2017).

1102

1103 Rovida, A., Locati, M., Camassi, R., Lolli, B., Gasperini, P., The Italian Earthquake Catalogue

1104 CPTI15. Bull. Earthq. Eng. 18 (7), 2953-2984, doi:10. 1007/s10518-020-00818-y (2020).

1105

1106 Scognamiglio, L., Tinti, E., Michelini, A., Dreger, D.S., Cirella, A., Cocco, M., Salvatore, M.,

1107 Piatanesi, A., Fast Determination of Moment Tensors and Rupture History: What Has Been Learned

1108 from the 6 April 2009 L'Aquila Earthquake Sequence. Seism. Res. Lett. 81(6): 892-906 (2009).

1109

1110 Serpelloni, E., Anzidei, M., Baldi, P., Casula, G., Galvani, A., Crustal Velocity and Strain-Rate fields

1111 in Italy and Surrounding Regions: New Results From the Analysis of Permanent and Non- Permanent

1112 GPS Networks. Geophys. J. Int., 161, 3, 861-880 (2005).

1113

1114 Shinohara, H., A new technique to estimate volcanic gas composition: plume measurements with a

1115 portable multi-sensor system. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 143, 319-333 (2005).

1116

1117 Skelton, A., and co-Authors Changes in groundwater chemistry before two consecutive earthquakes

1118 in Iceland. Nature Geoscience 7, 752-756 (2014).

1119

1120 Stucchi, M., Meletti, C., Montaldo, V., Crowley, H., Calvi, G.M., Boschi, E., Seismic Hazard

1121 Assessment (2003-2009) for the Italian building code. Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 101, 1885-1911 (2011).

1122

1123 Taylor, C.A., Stefan, H.G., Shallow groundwater temperature response to climate change and

1124 urbanization. J. Hydrol. 375, 601-612, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.07.009 (2009).

1125

1126 Ventura, G., Di Giovambattista, R., Fluid pressure, stress field and propagation style of coalescing

1127 thrusts from the analysis of the 20 May 2012 M_L 5.9 Emilia earthquake (Northern Apennines, Italy).

1128 Terra Nova 25, 72-78, doi: 10.1111/ter.12007 (2012).

1129

1130 Weiss, A., Topographic position and landform analysis. 21st Annual Esri International User

1131 Conference, San Diego, California, 9-13 July (2001).

1132

1133 **Figure Captions**

1134

1135 **Figure 1 -** MUDA home page: https://muda.mi.ingv.it. Examples of interactive pop-ups are reported

1136 in map indicating the available main options concerning stations data and metadata, Italian seismic

1137 hazard (Stucchi et al., 2011), seismicity rate and both composite and single seismogenic sources

1138 (DISS database, DISS Working Group 2021). The base map is provided by ©OpenStreetMap

1139 contributors 2024. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.

1140

1141 **Figure 2** - Target areas and relative multiparametric sites. Each panel indicates the multiparametric

1142 site (yellow triangles), the Italian seismic hazard map in terms of horizontal peak ground acceleration

1143 (PGA) with 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years on hard ground (Stucchi et al., 2011), the

1144 instrumental seismicity from 1985 (black circles, https://terremoti.ingv.it), the historical seismicity

1145 (red circles, CPTI database, Rovida et al., 2020) and the seismogenic sources (CSS-DISS database,

1146 DISS Working Group 2021). The base maps are provided by ©OpenStreetMap contributors 2024.

1147 Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.

1148

1149 **Figure 3** - Dynamic multiparametric data web page: https://muda.mi.ingv.it/dat.php. From top to

1150 bottom: hydrogeochemical data (light blue panels: water level, m; water electrical conductivity,

1151 µS/cm; water temperature, °C), meteorological data (green panel: soil temperature, °C; rain, mm),

1152 seismic data (light brown panels: RMS, ground motion velocity, cm/s; FFT, cm/s/Hz; FFT(f),

1153 cm/s/Hz), CO_2 data (grey panels: soil flux, $g*m^{-2}*d^{-1}$; humidity, %; soil temperature, °C), Radon data

1154 on air (yellow panel: Bq/m^3). All time series (*csv* format) and each single graph (*pdf*, *png* formats)

1155 are downloadable by using the menu available in the top right corner of each panel.

1156

Figure 4 - Multiparametric site web page: https://muda.mi.ingv.it/stazione.php. The single site web page indicates the main features of both instrumentation and installation, downloadable thematic maps such as the geological map (1:100.000, Società Geologica Italiana http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/cartografia/), the topographic map (base at 1:25.000, Istituto Geografico Militare, http://www.igmi.org/prodotti/cartografia/carte_topografiche/), log and stratigraphy concerning the available wells for water, a preliminary geophysical soil characterization in term of horizontal to vertical spectral ratio performed on ambient seismic noise and the complete time hydrogeochemical time series. Sources for base maps: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA FSA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community.

Figure 5 - MUDA data base scheme and processing flow chart.

Figure 6 - Single station data set for multiparametric sites having at least 6 months of data and three different types of acquisition. S: seismic data (red); Id: hydrogeochemical data (blue); M: meteorological data (green); R: Radon data (grey); C: CO_2 data (yellow). Yellow and orange stars indicate recorded earthquakes at each site with magnitude (Moment or Local) lower and higher then 4, respectively.

Figure 7 - Quality check of seismic data at Oppeano site (table 1). Panel *a* (top): timeseries recorded on December, 29, 2020, by IV.OPPE station (https://terremoti.ingv.it/instruments/station/OPPE) showing consecutive earthquakes: the first, with M_w=6.3, occurred in Croatia land (https://terremoti.ingv.it/event/25870121) 450 km East of IV.OPPE and the others with epicentres 11 km South-West of IV.OPPE with maximum M_w=3.9 (https://terremoti.ingv.it/event/25871441). Earthquakes origin times (UTC) are reported in the top panel *a*).

The bottom panel *a*) shows the FFT functions calculated considering 15 frequency intervals from 0.1 to 20 Hz, considering 288 consecutive 5 minutes-time windows (i.e. 24 hours) selected on the vertical component of motion.

Panel *b*: from top to bottom, the RMS, the ground motion velocity and the mean FFT calculated for 288 consecutive time windows with duration of 5 minutes. Red, blue and green indicate the vertical, the North-South and the East-West horizontal components of motion. The black solid lines indicate the cumulative functions.

Figure 8 - Example of multiparametric data recorded at Bondo site (table 1). Panel *a* shows the hydrogeochemical data recorded in the time period from October 15 to November 15, 2023: black, red and green solid lines indicate the water level (m), the electrical conductivity (μS/cm) and the water temperature (°C) variations, respectively.

The panel *b* shows the seismic data recorded at ZO.PDN3 station (table 2) on October, 31 and November, 1, 2023, while the panel *c* shows the polarization analysis in terms of rotated horizontal to vertical spectral ratio.

Figure 9 - Radon time series (Bq/m^3, black lines) recorded at Bondo (panel *a*) and Montelungo (panel *b*) multiparametric sites. Soil temperature (°C, green lines) and rain (mm, light blue lines) are also indicated. At the top of each panel seasons are indicated (Aut=Autumn, Win=Winter, Spr=Spring, Sum=Summer).

Figure 10 - Panel *a*: CO$_2$ flux (g*m^{-2}*d^{-1}, black line) recorded at NIRANO multiparametric site. Red and green solid lines indicate the smoothing function of CO$_2$ flux and the temperature (°C), respectively. The orange box indicates the time window represented in the bottom panel.

Panel *b*: detailed monitoring for period October 25 to November 15, 2023, where a seismic sequence, with maximum local magnitude of 3.5 (vertical yellow dashed lines) occurred in correspondence of

1209 the Nirano's Mud-Volcanoes. Dotted and dashed orange lines indicate the mean values +/- 1-standard

1210 deviation of CO_2 flux recorded during the analysed time period. Rain values (mm, light blue) and soil

1211 temperature (°C) are also indicated. Black dots indicate the CO_2 measures ($g*m^{-2}*d^{-1}$).

1212

1213 **Figure 11** - Groundwater recharge at Volargne (panel *a*) and Fonte (panel *b*) sites (table 1) recorded

1214 on October 30, 2023. In both panels, black, red and green solid lines indicate the water level (m), the

1215 electrical conductivity (µS/cm) and the water temperature (°C) variations, respectively, while the blue

1216 solid lines indicate the cumulative rain.

1217

1218 **Table captions**

1219

1220 **Table 1** - Monitoring multiparametric sites at present include in MUDA.

1221

1222 **Table 2** - Main features of hydrogeochemical probes and seismic monitoring stations. Grey cells

1223 indicate well with thermalism (i.e. Triponzo site), while the black cells indicate the borehole seismic

1224 sensor installed 150 m depth at Oppeano site.

1225 In detail,

1226 water level: water depth below ground level at the time of installation;

1227 water column: water column above the sensor at the time of installation;

1228 water temperature: average values of water temperature and specific electrical conductivity. It is

1229 worth noting that for some sites the averages are merely indicative as they refer to short recording

1230 intervals (see Table 1 for installation dates). Specific electrical conductivity is recalculated at 25 °C.

1231 water pump: absence (0) or presence (1) of water pump.

1232

1233

1234

Figure 1

1236

1237

1238

1239 Figure 2

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249 Figure 3

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258   Figure 4

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263   Figure 5

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273   Figure 6

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283   Figure 7

1284

1285

1286   Figure 8

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298   Figure 9

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304
1305
1306   Figure 10
1307
1308
1309

1310

1311

1312   Figure 11

1313

1314

1315

CODE	Municipality	AREA	LAT [°]	LON [°]	idro-geochemical	weather	seismic	Radon	CO2
BALCONI	Pescantina	1	45.4974	10.8763	start 2021-09-23	start 2022-05-26	start 2021-07-13	/	/
BONDO	Tremosine	1	45.8129	10.7377	start 2021-10-13	start 2021-12-13	start 2021-07-22	start 2021-10-14	/
BULGARELLI	Medolla	3	44.8498	11.0627	start 2024-02-28	start 2024-02-28	start 2012-11-28	/	/
CASAGLIA	Ferrara	3	44.9036	11.5406	start 2022-07-29	start 2022-07-29	start 2013-02-08	/	/
CESENA	Malacoda Forlì	4	44.2031	12.1855	start 2024-02-20	/	start 2003-03-01	/	/
FELTRE	Feltre	2	46.0107	11.9511	start 2024-02-20	/	start 2011-11-17	/	/
FONTE	Fonte	2	45.7949	11.8697	start 2023-04-18	start 2023-04-17	/	/	/
GERE	Gardone Riviera	1	45.6422	10.5484	start 2021-10-12 - end 2022-07-30	start 2021-12-02 - end 2023-03-25	start 2021-10-12 - 2023-01-18	/	/
MASER	Maser	2	45.7969	11.9658	start 2023-04-17	start 2023-04-17	start 2011-11-17	/	/
MEDOLLA	Medolla	3	44.8492	11.0734	start 2024-02-20	start 2024-02-28	start 2012-11-28	/	/
MILANO	Milano	3	45.4972	9.1812	start 2024-03-12	start 2024-03-12	start 2012-01-27	/	/
MIRANDOLA	Mirandola	3	44.8812	11.0782	start 2024-02-23	start 2024-02-23	start 2012-11-28	/	/
MONTELUNGO	Desenzano del Garda	1	45.4429	10.5256	start 2021-09-14	start 2021-11-30	start 2021-07-30	start 2022-12-06	/
NIRANO	Fiorano Modenese	4	44.5141	10.8255	start 2023-04-04	start 2023-06-06	start 2023-06-23	/	start 2023-09-27
NIRANO1	Fiorano Modenese	4	44.5002	10.8163	start 2024-02-20	start 2024-02-20	start 2023-06-23	/	/
NORCIA	Norcia	5	42.7838	13.1201	start 2023-12-11	start 2023-12-11	start 2023-12-04	start 2023-12-11	/
OPPEANO	Oppeano	3	45.3082	11.1723	start 2023-06-29	start 2023-06-21	start 2023-02-16	/	/
RECOARO	Recoaro Terme	1	45.6998	11.2217	start 2024-01-30	start 2024-01-30	/	/	/
RECOARO1	Recoaro Terme	1	45.7005	11.2215	start 2024-01-30	start 2024-01-30	/	/	/
RENAZZO	Renazzo	3	44.7624	11.2836	start 2024-02-20	/	start 2003-10-11	/	/
SECCHIA	Concordia Secchia	3	44.9245	11.0183	start 2024-03-14	start 2024-03-20	start 2012-11-28	/	/
TOPPO	Toppo di Travesio	2	46.1985	12.8171	start 2024-02-25	/	/	/	/
TRIPONZO	Triponzo	5	42.8400	12.9480	start 2023-12-12	start 2023-12-12	start 2023-12-04	start 2023-12-12	/
VERONELLO	Bardolino	1	45.5098	10.7645	start 2021-09-14 - end 2022-08-01	start 2021-10-19 - end 2023-04-07	start 2021-07-08 - end 2023-04-07	start 2021-12-17 - end 2022-07-08	/
VOBARNO	Vobarno	1	45.6428	10.5035	start 2023-03-10 - end 2023-06-21	start 2023-03-10 - end 2023-06-21	start 2021-07-08	/	/
VOLARGNE	Dolcè	1	45.5397	10.8235	start 2021-12-16	start 2022-05-20	start 2022-04-12	/	/

Table 1

Table 2

CODE	AREA	Geology (100k)	Topography	SITE TYPE	WELL DEPTH (m)	WATER LEVEL (m)	WATER COLUMN (m)	WATER TEMPERATURE (°C)	WATER SPECIFIC EC^c (µS/cm)	WATER PUMP	SEISMIC NET	SEISMIC CODE	RECORDER	SENSOR
BALCONI	1	fluvio-glacial deposits	plain	well	100	53.3	46.7	14.1	873	1	ZO	PDN2	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
BONDO	1	alluvial deposits	valley	well	180	44	136	11.1	377	0	ZO	PDN3	Reftek-130	LENNARTZ-5s
BULGARELLI	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	8	1.5	6.5	14.5	2639	1	IV	CAVE	GAIA2	TRILLIUM-120s
CASAGLIA	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	130	3.5	126.5	17.2	3616	0	IV	FERS	GAIA2	TELLUS-5s
CESENA	4	alluvial deposits	plain	well	8.3	3.1	5.2	16.0	1338	0	IV	BRSN	GAIA2	LENNARTZ-1s
FELTRE	2	limestone	relief	spring	/	/	/	13.4	488	0	/	/	/	/
FONTE	2	sandstone	relief	well	120	7.7	112.3	13.5	840	0	IV	ASOL	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
GERE	1	fluvio-glacial deposits	valley	well	60	31.3	28.7	10.3	406	1	ZO	PDN6	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
MASER	2	sandstone	relief	well	157	71.3	85.7	13.2	511	0	IV	ASOL	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
MEDOLLA	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	50	3	47	15.3	5190	0	IV	CAVE	GAIA2	TRILLIUM-120s
MILANO	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	152	18	135	14.0	250	0	IV	MILN	GAIA2	TRILLIUM-40s
MIRANDOLA	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	300	5.4	294.6	17.2	87864	1	IV	CAVE	GAIA2	TRILLIUM-120s
MONTELUNGO	1	morainic deposits	hill	well	150	52.8	97.2	15.3	885	0	ZO	PDN4	Reftek-130	LENNARTZ-5s
NIRANO	4	mudstone	hill	mudhole	11	0	11	16.2	12024	0	ZO	PDN10	GAIA2	LENNARTZ-5s
NIRANO1	4	mudstone	hill	mudhole	11	0	11	14.8	2873	0	ZO	PDN10	GAIA2	LENNARTZ-5s
NORCIA	5	alluvial deposits	valley	well	64	30	34	12.0	535	1	ZO	PDN11	Reftek-130	LENNARTZ-5s
OPPEANO	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	60	4.6	55.4	13.9	607	0	ZO	PDN9	SARA-SL06	SARA-SS08-120s
RECOARO	1	sandstone	relief	spring	/	/	/	11.4	2148	0	ZO	PDN13	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
RECOARO1	1	sandstone	relief	spring	/	/	/	11.3	3724	0	ZO	PDN13	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
RENAZZO	3	alluvial deposits	plain	well	6	1.5	4.5	17.4	1193	0	IV	RAVA	GAIA2	LENNARTZ-5s
SECCHIA	3	alluvial deposits	plain	mudhole	1	0	1	17.5	2343	0	IV	CAVE	GAIA2	TRILLIUM-120s
TOPPO	2	alluvial deposits	valley	well	300	114	186	12.7	591	0	/	/	/	/
TRIPONZO	5	limestone	crest	well	53	3.1	49.9	29.7	2487	1	ZO	PDN12	Reftek-130	LENNARTZ-5s
VERONELLO	1	fluvio-glacial deposits	hill	well	198	90	108	14.0	703	0	ZO	PDN1	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
VOBARNO	1	alluvial deposits	valley	well	36	11.2	24.8	13.3	240	0	IV	VOBA	Lunitek ATLAS	TELLUS-5s
VOLARGNE	1	fluvio-glacial deposits	valley	well	99	50	49	12.0	129	0	ZO	PDN8	Reftek-130	LENNARTZ-5s