1	Near real-time atmospheric and oceanic science products of Himawari-8/9		
2	geostationary satellites over the South China Sea		
3			
4	Jian Liu ¹ , Jingjing Yu ¹ , Chuyong Lin ¹ , Min He ¹ , Haiyan Liu ¹ , Wei Wang ² , Min Min ^{2*}		
5			
6			
7	¹ School of Geography and Ocean Science, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Coast		
8	and Island Development, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China, and Southern Marine		
9	Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), Zhuhai 519082, China		
10	² School of Atmospheric Sciences and Guangdong Province Key Laboratory for Climate		
11	Change and Natural Disaster Studies, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai 519082, China		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	*Corresponding author: minm5@mail.sysu.edu.cn		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

Abstract

28 The initial release of near real-time (NRT) atmospheric and oceanic science products from 29 Japanese Himawari-8/9 (H8/9) geostationary (GEO) satellites over the South China Sea (SCS) 30 was unveiled in 2024. The primary objective behind crafting these NRT H8/9 satellite products 31 is to facilitate weather and marine environment monitoring, enhance maritime security, and aid 32 ocean navigation, among other purposes. As part of this investigation, a novel NRT data 33 processing system was devised to generate a variety of regional H8/9 GEO satellite science 34 products within a resolution of 10 minutes and a gridded resolution of $0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$ from 35 November 3, 2022 to the present. This algorithm system was built upon the preceding FengYun (FY) geostationary satellite algorithm testbed (FYGAT), which was the prototype of FY-4 GEO 36 37 meteorological satellite science product operational processing system. These regional H8/9 38 GEO satellite science products encompass a range of crucial data such as cloud mask, fraction, 39 height, phase, optical and microphysical properties, layered precipitable water, sea surface 40 temperature, etc. We subjected these products to rigorous evaluations against high-quality 41 analogous satellite products and reanalysis data spanning one year in 2023. The validations 42 underscore a strong consistency between the H8/9 GEO satellite atmospheric and oceanic science products over the SCS and the referenced products. Nevertheless, slight discrepancies 43 44 in these satellite science products were identified, primarily stemming from variations in 45 sensor/dataset characteristics, retrieval algorithms, and geometric conditions. These outcomes 46 demonstrate the suitability of the first edition of NRT atmospheric and oceanic science products 47 of H8/9 satellites over the SCS in supporting the intended quantitative applications. This NRT GEO satellite data record is publicly accessible through the File Transfer Protocol (FTP) 48 49 provided by the Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai) in 50 China. Free access to the dataset can be found at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25015853 51 (Liu Jian, 2024).

52

27

53 Keywords: Cloud; Geostationary Satellite; South China Sea; Layered Precipitable Water; Sea

- 54 surface temperature.
- 55
- 56

57 1 Introduction

58 The South China Sea is located to the south of mainland China and in the western 59 Pacific Ocean. It stands as the largest and deepest sea area in China, with an average depth of 1212 meters and reaching a maximum depth of 5559 meters. Due to its 60 61 proximity to the equator, the SCS receives a substantial amount of solar radiation, resulting in high local temperatures and humidity. The regional annual average air 62 63 temperature ranges from 298.15 K to 301.15 K. Even during the coldest months, the average temperatures remain above 293.15 K, while extreme high-temperature events 64 65 can reach about 306.15 K. The average sea surface temperature (SST) in the SCS is 66 around 299.15 K, and the seasonal variation is not significant. Furthermore, the South 67 China Sea and the Western Pacific serve as abundant sources of water vapor, leading 68 to considerable precipitation in the SCS. Typhoon-related rainfall accounts for about 69 one-third of the total rainfall in the region. On average, the SCS experiences over 1300 70 mm of rainfall annually, with the majority concentrated in the summer half-year (Wang 71 et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2009; Ding and Liu, 2001).

72 The SCS region experiences a distinct tropical maritime monsoon climate. 73 Beginning in October each year, winter air currents originating from Siberia and the 74 Mongolian Plateau consistently flow toward the SCS (Martin and Howland, 1982). As a result, from November to March of the following year, the SCS region is dominated 75 76 by the northeast monsoon. Starting in April, the SCS is influenced by tropical and 77 equatorial ocean air masses, inducing the prevalence of the southwest monsoon from 78 May to September. Additionally, the SCS is often affected by typhoons during the 79 summer and autumn seasons. About 70% of these typhoons originate from the Western 80 Pacific, east of the Philippines, and the vicinity of the Caroline Islands, while the 81 remaining 30% are generated locally in the sea areas near the Xisha and Zhongsha 82 Islands in the SCS (Ding and Liu, 2001; Wang et al., 2020; Niu and Feng, 2021; Jiang 83 et al., 2023).

Due to the lack of ground-based observations over the SCS, satellites, particularly geostationary (GEO) meteorological satellites, have become the most effective means of observing weather patterns, climate, and environmental changes in oceanic regions. For instance, satellite-based rain rate, SST, outgoing longwave radiation (ORL), and convective clouds, etc. are commonly used to identify the summer monsoon, marine heatwave, rainfall, and convection over the SCS (Liu et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022b; Koseki et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2024). In recent years, countries across the

91 world, such as China, U.S., Japan, and Korea, have made their own remarkable progress 92 in the development of next-generation geostationary meteorological satellites. 93 Enhanced imaging capabilities in spectral, temporal, and spatial resolutions of the next-94 generation GEO meteorological satellite allows for more detailed and accurate 95 observations of cloud formations, atmospheric conditions, and natural disasters like 96 hurricanes and typhoons, such as Fengyun-4A/B (FY-4) operated by the China 97 Meteorological Administration (CMA) and Himawari-8/9 (H8/9) satellites operated by 98 the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) (Yang et al., 2017; Schmit et al., 2017; Husi 99 et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2021). In additional to GEO advanced imager, many nations 100 have equipped their geostationary lightning and infrared hyperspectral sounding 101 detection sensors to track and analyze thunderstorms, lightning activities, atmospheric 102 temperature and humidity profiles, and even wind fields in real-time (Min et al., 2017b; 103 Ma et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022a).

104 Although the JAXA (Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency) official FTP site 105 (ftp.ptree.jaxa.jp) has already offered the freely download links for some H8/9 Level-2 106 (L2) science products, such as cloud phase and optical depth (Husi et al., 2019), from 107 July 7 of 2015, to the present with approximate two hours lag, the relatively low 108 timeliness and lack of variety of operational satellite science products have seriously 109 affected the data quantitative applications in weather and marine environment 110 monitoring over the SCS. Particularly, time-delayed GEO satellite products cannot be 111 utilized in maritime security and navigation fields, which are of vital importance as it 112 ensures the safety of crew members, transportation of goods, protection of the marine 113 environment, etc. (Soldi et al., 2021). However, as recommended by the JMA, the near 114 real-time down-sampling full-disk H8/9 Level-1B (L1B) radiance data (including 14 115 bands with horizontal resolutions of 1 km (visible, VIS) and 4 km (near infrared and 116 infrared, NIR and IR bands), and excluding two VIS bands at 0.47 µm and 0.51 µm) 117 are able to be received by using the compact and exclusive geostationary satellite data 118 receiving antenna from the JMA Himawari-Cast (Xia et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2019). 119 Therefore, based on the received real-time H8/9 full-disk L1B data, the primary goal of 120 this investigation is to develop several NRT L2 Atmospheric aNd Oceanic science 121 products over the SCS (abbreviated as NANO SCS) that are released online. It is the 122 first edition of the NRT H8/9 GEO satellite science products generated by the 123 NANO SCS system. The next sections will be devoted to the introduction and 124 validation of these NRT H8/9 GEO satellite scientific products. Both the NANO SCS 125 satellite data processing and management systems are operated by the Southern Marine

126 Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai) of China.

127 The subsequent sections of this study are meticulously organized as follows. 128 Section 2 briefly introduces the Himawari-8/9 satellites, elucidating the intricate details 129 of the main processing or production flow, as well as shedding light on the remarkable 130 NRT science products specifically tailored for the South China Sea region. Section 3 131 shows some sample results and verification of key science products in terms of 132 accuracy and reliability. Section 4 elucidates data download method. Finally, in Section 133 5, we summarize the main conclusions of this study, while also outlining our future 134 vision plans for further enhancing and expanding the scope of the NANO SCS dataset. 135

136 2 Data production

137 2.1 Data

138 The Himawari-8/9 satellites, which are the new-generation and state-of-the-art GEO 139 meteorological satellites operated by the JMA, were successfully launched on October 140 7, 2014, and November 2, 2016, respectively. These advanced satellites operate in a 141 highly sophisticated three-axis stabilized mode, ensuring high spatial-temporal, precise 142 and stable observations. It is worth highlighting that on December 13, 2022, at 05:00 143 UTC, the H9 GEO meteorological satellite seamlessly replaced its predecessor, the H8 144 GEO satellite, marking a significant milestone in GEO satellite operations 145 (https://www.data.jma.go.jp/mscweb/en/index.html). This strategic location allows for 146 comprehensive full-disk observation mode, enabling the satellites to capture detailed 147 imagery of the entire Earth's disk, with a particular focus on the Japanese island and its 148 surrounding areas. The Advanced Himawari Imager (AHI), as a unique and highly 149 advanced optical sensor designed specifically for earth viewing, has 16 independent 150 earth-view bands, covering an extensive range of wavelengths from 0.45 to 13.3 µm. 151 These bands include three visible (VIS) bands, three near-infrared (NIR) bands, and ten 152 infrared (IR) bands, each serving a specific purpose in capturing and analyzing various 153 aspects of the Earth's atmosphere and surface. The AHI routinely operates in two 154 observation modes: a full-disk observation mode that captures full disk images within 155 a 10-minute time interval, and a fast regional scanning mode that allows for swift maneuvering and scanning within a 2.5-minute interval. This regional scanning mode 156 157 is particularly useful for capturing high-resolution imagery of specific regions of 158 interest, enabling detailed analysis and examination of localized weather events. The 159 nominal spatial resolutions of the H8/9-AHI sensor vary depending on the specific band being utilized. For the VIS band at 0.65 µm, the spatial resolution is 0.5 km. The NIR 160 bands have a spatial resolution of 1 km, while the IR bands have a spatial resolution of 161 162 2 km (Husi et al., 2019; Bessho et al., 2016; Letu et al., 2020; Min et al., 2019). In this 163 study, we only used the down-sampling H8/9 L1B radiance data mentioned before to 164 produce NRT dataset. The spatial resolution for the down-sampling VIS band at 0.65 µm was reduced to 1.0 km, while the other bands were down-sampled to 4.0 km. The 165 scope of this investigation covers the South China Sea region, specifically from 0° to 166 167 40°N latitude and 100°E to 140°E longitude. The utilization of IR bands with a spatial 168 resolution of 4.0 km limits the related L2 satellite science products to the same 169 resolution. Therefore, based on the products with the spatial resolution of 4.0 km, the final regional L2 atmospheric and oceanic science products are analyzed and projected 170 171 into a user-friendly gridded resolution of $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$.

172 The NRT GEO satellite retrieval system (or NANO SCS system) developed in this 173 study also utilizes the high-resolution operational numerical weather prediction (NWP) 174 data from Global Forecast System (GFS) as ancillary data, which has a gridded 175 horizontal resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ and encompasses a 41 vertical layers ranging 176 from 1000 to 0.01 hPa within a 3-hour time interval. The GFS NWP data can be 177 effortlessly accessed and downloaded from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric 178 Administration (NOAA) website 179 (https://nomads.ncep.noaa.gov/pub/data/nccf/com/gfs/prod) at four distinct initial forecast times (00 00, 06 00, 12 00, and 18 00 UTC). To ensure optimal efficiency 180 for the operations of subsequent day, only 9 continuous data (ranging from 018, 021, 181 182 024, ... to 042) generated at a fixed initial forecast time of UTC 06 00 are selectively 183 downloaded within a predefined time period each day (Whitaker et al., 2008). 184 We collect and use one year (2023) of Climate Data Records (CDR) from the latest 185 MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) Collection-6.1 Level-2

cloud, land surface temperature (LST), and sea surface temperature (SST) products to validate the NRT H8/9 GEO satellite science products (Platnick et al., 2003; Platnick et al., 2017). MODIS, as a key optical sensor aboard NASA's Terra and Aqua polarorbiting satellites since 1999 and 2002 (respectively), can provide high resolution (1.0 km) L2 science products about the Earth's surface and atmosphere (https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/search). MODIS data are freely available to the 192 public and are widely used by scientists, government agencies, and researchers around

- 193 the world, which are often used to verify the other congeneric satellite products (Min
- 194 et al., 2020). Furthermore, we also compare the NRT layered precipitable water (LPW)
- 195 product over the SCS with matched ERA5 reanalysis data (the fifth-generation
- 196 European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecasts Reanalysis data), Note that,
- 197 ERA5 data assimilate infrared radiances and wind data (through atmospheric motion
- 198 vectors, AMV) from the Himawari satellites (Hersbach et al., 2020), The hourly layered
- 199 specific humidity data for the same year (2023) with a horizontal resolution of
- $200 \quad 0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ have been downloaded freely from the ERA5 dataset. This data will be
- 201 employed for the validation of the layered precipitable water product of H8/9 GEO
- satellite. You can access the data at https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home.

204 2.2 NRT processing flow and science products

205 As extensively discussed in the former study by (Min et al., 2017b), significant strides 206 were made in the development of the operational prototypes of FY-4 GEO satellite 207 science product algorithms. These remarkable advancements were achieved through 208 the collaborative efforts of the scientists in the FY-4 GEO satellite Algorithm Working 209 Group (AWG) in China, who successfully developed two highly robust Fengyun 210 science product algorithm testbeds (or FYGAT) specifically tailored for imagers and 211 sounders. For a comprehensive understanding of the intricate details of FYGAT, 212 interested readers are strongly encouraged to refer to the aforementioned literature written by (Min et al., 2017b). The FYGAT for imager is the key module of the 213 NANO SCS system for rapidly retrieving the first edition of NRT L2 science products 214 215 of H8/9 GEO satellites.

216 Figure 1 shows the comprehensive NRT processing flowchart of the NANO SCS 217 system. The dark gray shading cylinder icons in the figure represent the key processing 218 modules of the system, including retrieval, projection, and drawing modules. Following 219 the synthesis of NRT satellite data, the retrieval module initially retrieves the cloud 220 mask product to identify clear and cloudy-sky pixels within the targeted SCS region. 221 Then, for cloudy-sky pixels, he retrieval module sequentially executes algorithms for 222 retrieving cloud fraction, cloud type/phase, cloud top properties, cloud optical and 223 microphysical properties, and cloud base properties products. However, the accurate 224 retrieval of science products from previous algorithms is crucial for the successful 225 execution of subsequent backend algorithms. For instance, the cloud optical and

-(删除了:
(删除了:
-(删除了: s
\mathcal{A}	删除了: at least
(删除了:.

231 microphysical properties algorithm relies on inputs such as cloud phase and top 232 properties to determine specific ice/water cloud optical and radiative properties lookup tables (LUT) and atmospheric correction methods above the cloud (Platnick et al., 2017; 233 234 Walther et al., 2011) used in retrieval procedure. In a stark contrast, other science 235 algorithms for clear-sky pixels can be executed in parallel as they are independent of 236 each other, such as the algorithms for land surface temperature (LST) and sea surface 237 temperature (SST). It is important to note that due to retrieval efficiency and computing 238 resource limitations, the physics-based layered precipitable water (LPW) algorithm 239 (Zhu et al., 2023) is executed only once every half an hour.

240 Table 1 provides a list of the main NRT H8/9 GEO satellite atmospheric and oceanic 241 science products in the first edition, along with their corresponding variables, generated 242 by the NANO SCS system from 3 November 2022 to the present. It includes the 243 variable name, valid value, and corresponding notes of satellite science products. These 244 products are stored in the Hierarchical Data Format-5 (HDF5) format within a 10-245 minute interval. The NRT GEO satellite science product is typically referred to as "AHI9 L2 CLM 20230815_0650_4000M_proj.hdf5". In this naming convention, the 246 247 abbreviation of "CLM" stands for Cloud Mask (all abbreviations are three characters long), while "20230815 0650" denotes the specific observation time of the satellite 248 249 data, including year, month, day, hour, and minute. Lastly, "4000M proj" indicates the 250 spatial resolution of 4000 meters and projected data. Certain related variables, such as 251 cloud top temperature, pressure, and height, are stored in the same HDF5 format GEO satellite science product file, specifically the CTP (Cloud Top Properties) product file 252 253 (refer to Table 1).

254 Figure 2 displays the quick view images of cloud top height, cloud mask, cloud base 255 height, and cloud optical depth at 03:00 UTC on July 31, 2023, as well as atmospheric 256 total precipitable water (from LPW product) and SST retrieved at clear-sky pixels at 257 10:00 UTC on August 15, 2023, over the SCS. These NRT product images are obtained 258 from the NANO SCS system. The four cloud product subfigures from July 31, 2023, 259 capture the presence of Super Typhoon "Khanun" (its international number: 2306), which originated in the southwestern waters of Guam on July 22, 2023. It has been 260 261 observed that the cloud system of Super Typhoon "Khanun" can reach maximum cloud 262 top heights exceeding 16 km and minimum cloud base height lower than 1 km. The 263 productions of all the NRT satellite science products and quick view images of the 264 NANO SCS system are typically delayed by approximately 17 minutes from the 265 observation time. Besides, a user-friendly quick-view website
266 (http://meteorsatellite.hellosea.org.cn/#/index) has been created to provide users with a
267 convenient way to access and monitor the NRT H8/9 satellite data over the SCS.

268

269 3. Results and validations

270 3.1 Cloud mask and fraction

271 To differentiate between clear-sky and cloudy pixels in satellite earth-view image, 272 the cloud mask (CLM) product is firstly retrieved by the NANO SCS system (refer to 273 Figure 1). This serves as a fundamental and primary L2 scientific output of GEO 274 satellite imaging sensors, playing a crucial role in generating high-quality subsequent 275 satellite products. As mentioned in the previous studies (Liang et al., 2023; Wang et al., 276 2019; Heidinger et al., 2012), we used the new unified cloud mask algorithm (Wang et 277 al., 2019) of early development to retrieve and generate H8/9 CLM product firstly. 278 Utilizing the 0.64, 1.61, 3.88, 7.3, 11.2, and 12.3 µm channels of H8/9-AHI, the CLM 279 algorithm on this GEO satellite will perform 13 distinct cloud/clear-sky tests. These 280 tests are categorized into four groups: solar reflectance (SolRef), infrared (IR), 281 shortwave infrared (SWIR), and spatial uniformity tests (Wang et al., 2019; Xia et al., 282 2024). 283 After successfully retrieving the cloud mask product, similar to the MODIS

algorithm (Zhao and Girolamo, 2006), cloud fraction (CLF) is calculated in a down sampled 5×5 neighboring pixel box as follows:

(1)

286 Cloud Fraction = $100\% \times (A + B)/(5 \times 5)$,

where *A* and *B* represent the total numbers of cloudy and probably cloudy pixels in the same 5×5 neighboring pixel box, respectively. It is noting that the cloud fraction product is also projected into a user-friendly gridded resolution of $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$. More descriptions on these two products can be found in Table 1.

291 A pixel-to-pixel validation was performed on the H8/9 satellite CLM product over 292 the SCS using one year of MODIS data from the NANO SCS system. To quantitatively 293 assess the quality of the GEO satellite CLM product, we employed four significant 294 scores: the probability of detection (POD) or recall rate, the false-alarm ratio (FAR), 295 the hit rate (HR) or accuracy, and the Kuiper's skill score (KSS). These metrics were 296 divided into PODcld, PODclr, FARcld, and FARclr, indicating clear and cloudy pixels 297 respectively. For detailed equations and meanings, please refer to previous literature 298 (Wang et al., 2019). In Figure 3a~3d, we present two cloud mask comparison samples

299 between H9/AHI GEO satellite and MODIS at 05:10 and 17:20 UTC on January 8, 300 2023. It is evident that the CLM results from H9/AHI align well with the latest MODIS official products across both land and sea. Additionally, Figure 3e displays the POD, 301 302 FAR, HR, and KSS scores of H9/AHI results for all matched pixels over land and ocean. 303 Notably, both PODcld and HR exceed 0.90, consistent with our prior study (Wang et 304 al., 2019), indicating a relatively high-quality CLM product. Moreover, considering 305 that cloud fraction depends on the cloud mask product (refer to Eq. (1)), we opted 306 against using similar products for verification in this analysis.

307

308 *3.2 Cloud type and phase*

309 Cloud type and phase as thermodynamics characteristics signify the state of water 310 vapor and minuscule particles within the cloud. It plays a critical role in weather and 311 climate research as different cloud phases influence the reflection and absorption of 312 solar radiation, consequently impacting Earth's energy balance and climate change 313 (Mülmenstädt et al., 2021). Due to the similarities in detection channels (using 7.3, 8.5, 314 11.2, and 12.3 µm channels), the cloud type and phase (CLP) retrieval algorithm 315 developed here for H8/9-AHI was based on the corresponding algorithm used for U.S. 316 new-generation Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES-R) 317 (Pavolonis et al., 2005; Pavolonis, 2010b). The physical foundation of this algorithm is 318 the radiative transfer equation or forward model for cloudy-sky at a specific infrared 319 wavelength λ , which can be expressed as follows (Min et al., 2020):

320 $I_{obs}(\lambda) = \varepsilon(\lambda)I_{ac}(\lambda) + \varepsilon(\lambda)T_{ac}(\lambda)B(\lambda, t_{eff}) + I_{clr}(\lambda)[1 - \varepsilon(\lambda)], \qquad (2)$

321 where I_{obs} is the observed radiance, I_{clr} is the clear-sky radiance, and I_{ac} is the above-

322 cloud upwelling atmospheric radiance, respectively. *I*_{clr} can be precisely simulated by

323 the coupled fast IR radiative transfer model in the FYGAT system with the input of

- 324 matched GFS NWP data. ε and T_{ac} respectively represent the cloud emissivity and
- 325 above-cloud transmittance. B and t_{eff} are the Planck function and the cloud effective
- 326 temperature, respectively.

327 From Eq. (2), a pair of effective cloud emissivity from two different channels can be

328 used to calculate the ratio of effective absorption optical thickness τ_{abs} of cloud, which

330 Parol et al., 1991):

331
$$\beta_{obs} = \frac{\ln[1-\varepsilon(\lambda_1)]}{\ln[1-\varepsilon(\lambda_2)]} = \frac{\tau_{abs}(\lambda_1)}{\tau_{abs}(\lambda_2)},$$
(3)

³²⁹ is known as the beta ratio (β) and written as follows (Heidinger and Pavolonis, 2009;

332 Actually, this parameter represents the ratio of the effective absorption optical depth at

333 two different channels or wavelengths. It can describe β_{obs} by utilizing the computed

334 single scattering properties of cloud particles, along with a given cloud particle size

- distribution and optical properties. (Parol et al., 1991). The β_{theory} can be expressed as follows:
- 337 $\beta_{theory} = \frac{[1-\omega(\lambda_1)g(\lambda_1)]\alpha_{ext}(\lambda_1)}{[1-\omega(\lambda_2)g(\lambda_2)]\alpha_{ext}(\lambda_2)},$

(4)

where ω , g, and α_{ext} are the single scattering albedo, asymmetry parameter, and 338 339 extinction cross section, respectively. Considering the weak impact of multiple 340 scattering, Parol et al., (1991) demonstrated a good approximation of $\beta_{theory} \approx \beta_{obs}$ in the 341 range of 8~15 µm. Eq. (4) is independent of satellite observed radiance, cloud altitude, 342 or cloud optical thickness. By using β ratio instead of brightness temperature difference 343 (BTD), it not only considers the contribution of clear-sky conditions to radiation but 344 also provides a method to link observations with theoretical cloud particle distribution 345 and optical properties. 346 Based on the differences in β ratios (i.e. β [8.5/11.2µm], β [12.3/11.2µm], and

347 β [7.3/11.2µm]) between ice and water clouds, this algorithm effectively identifies cloud 348 type and phase by integrating cloud emissivity ε with observed brightness temperature. 349 More details of this algorithm can be found from the previous literatures (Pavolonis, 350 2010a; Pavolonis, 2010b). The six specific cloud types of this CLP product include 351 liquid water (cloud top temperature>273K), supercooled water (liquid water clouds 352 with cloud top temperature<273K), mixed (which encompass both ice and water 353 clouds), optically thick ice, optically thin ice, and multilayered ice clouds. The cloud 354 phase product can be defined by summarizing the first three types of clouds and ice 355 phase clouds using the last three different ice clouds (see Table 1).

Figure 4 illustrates the cloud phase comparisons between the H9/AHI GEO satellite and MODIS at 05:10 UTC on January 8, 2023, and 04:30 UTC on July 10, 2023. This comparison reveals consistent results between the two products. Notably, in Figures 4a and 4c, the new H9/AHI cloud phase product identifies some newly added mixed-phase cloud targets, a feature lacking in the MODIS official cloud phase product (King et al., 1997). However, despite this addition, the distribution pattern of cloud phases remains consistent between the two products as depicted in Figure 4. The POD and FAR for ice

- 363 and water clouds (Lai et al., 2019) are 0.94/0.17 and 0.68/0.14, respectively.
- 364

365 *3.3 Cloud top and base properties*

366 Cloud geometry thickness (CGT), including top and base heights (CTP and CBP), 367 enables the profiling of the vertical structure of clouds, which is vital for understanding global weather and climate systems (Viúdez-Mora et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022). 368 369 Using the same beta ratio (β) theory discussed in Section 3.2, the optimal estimation 370 (OE) method (Rodgers, 2000), and observed brightness temperatures (BT) at 11.2, 12.3, 371 and 13.3 µm channels, a classical one-dimensional variational (1DVAR) algorithm 372 applies a cost function ζ (refer to Eq. 5) to estimate the cloud top temperature (CTT), 373 which can be written as follows :

374 $\zeta = [x - x_a]^T Cov_a^{-1} [x - x_a] + [y - M(x)]^T Cov_y^{-1} [y - M(x)],$ (5)

375 where *x*, *y*, *x*_a, M(x), Cov_a , and Cov_y , represent the posterior state vectors, the 376 observation vectors (include BT_{11µm}, BTD_{11-12µm}, and BTD_{11-13.3µm}), the priori state or

first guessed vectors (include CTT, cloud emissivity ε at 11µm, and β [12/11µm]), the forward radiative transfer model (based on Eq. (2) in the CLP retrieval algorithm), and

the error covariance matrices of the priori state vectors (x_a) and the differences between observations and the forward radiative transfer model of M(x), respectively. As a

381 nonlinear least squares fitting problem, the classical Levenberg-Marquardt iteration 382 method is used here to minimize the cost function of ζ , which can be written as follows

383 (Levenberg, 1944):

$$\delta x = (Cov_a^{-1} + K^T Cov_y^{-1} K)^{-1} (K^T (Cov_y^{-1} [y - M(x)]) + Cov_a^{-1} [x_a - x]),$$
(6)

385 where K signifies the Jacobi or Kernel matrix. The optimal values of CTT, cloud 386 emissivity, and β [12/11µm] will be obtained when the iteration converges the satellite 387 observation vectors of y. It is worth noting that the beta ratio (β) plays a specific role in 388 this retrieval algorithm by analytically solving equations in the Jacobi matrix stated in 389 Eq. (6), thereby resulting in a significant enhancement of operational processing 390 efficiency. After obtaining the optimal CTT, the matched GFS-NWP temperature 391 profile is utilized to interpolate the corresponding cloud top height and pressure. For 392 more detailed information on the CTP retrieval algorithm of H8/9-AHI, please refer to 393 the study from Min et al., 2020.

In contrast, the successful retrieval of cloud base properties requires more inputs such
 as cloud mask, type, top height, and optical and microphysical properties (convert to

cloud water path, CWP, unit = g/m^2) as discussed in Sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4. Wang

397 et al. (2023) have recently developed and improved a new CBP retrieval algorithm for

398 GEO H8/9-AHI, which refers to the CLAVR-x cloud base properties algorithm (Clouds 399 from AVHRR Extended, NOAA's operational cloud processing system for the AVHRR) (Wang et al., 2024; Noh et al., 2017). This algorithm can only be executed during the 400 401 daytime (solar zenith angle < 65°) because it relies on cloud top height (CTH) and cloud 402 water path to calculate the two linear fitting coefficients, namely slope (A_1) and 403 intercept (A2) (Noh et al., 2017). These two coefficients are determined through 404 piecewise fitting using the CTH, CWP, and cloud base height (CBH) data obtained 405 from the joint CloudSat/CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder 406 Satellite Observation) product (Noh et al., 2017). Once the two corresponding fitting 407 coefficients are obtained, the cloud geometric thickness can be calculated as follows: 408 $CGT = A_1 \times CWP + A_2,$ (7)

409 After that, the CBH can be easily calculated using the formula CBH = CTH - CGT.

410 Previous studies have validated the CTH and CBH products obtained through the 411 same algorithms used for the H8 satellite, leveraging joint CloudSat/CALIPSO product 412 (Wang et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2022; Min et al., 2020; Min et al., 2017b). The mean 413 absolute error (MAE) and standard deviation (STD) for GEO satellite CTH are reported 414 as 3.18 km and 3.75 km, respectively, with a noticeable increase associated with higher 415 CTH values. Additionally, the MAE and root-mean-square error (RMSE) for CBH, 416 retrieved by the same GEO CLAVR-x algorithm, stand at 1.938 km and 2.91 km, as reported in prior studies (Wang et al., 2024; Min et al., 2020). In Figure 5, CTH 417 comparisons between the H9/AHI GEO satellite and MODIS are presented for 18:50 418 419 UTC on October 8, 2023, and 04:30 UTC on July 10, 2023. The figure well 420 demonstrates consistent CTH values and horizontal distributions derived from both 421 H9/AHI and MODIS datasets.

422

423 3.4 Cloud optical and microphysical properties

424 The cloud optical thickness (COT or τ_{cld}) and particle effective radius (CER or r_{cld} , 425 unit=µm) (or cloud optical and microphysical properties) primarily characterizes the 426 radiative properties of clouds, highlighting their influence on the equilibrium of Earth's 427 radiation budget (Platnick et al., 2017). These two parameters are commonly used in a 428 general circulation model (GCM) to define cloud parameterization schemes for climate 429 modeling (Chou et al., 1998). The cloud optical and microphysical properties algorithm 430 during the daytime (solar zenith angle $< 65^{\circ}$) utilizes the reflected solar radiation 431 measured by a non-absorbing channel (0.64 μ m) to retrieve cloud optical thickness (τ_{cld}). 432 Additionally, it uses the reflected solar radiation measured by an absorbing channel

433 (2.23 μ m) to retrieve cloud particle effective radius (r_{cld}) (Walther et al., 2011). The

434 fundamental physical principle of this algorithm is to leverage the sensitivities of the

435 non-absorbing and absorbing channels to cloud optical thickness (τ_{cld}) and cloud 436 particle effective radius (r_{cld}) in the atmospheric radiative transfer process, as

437 demonstrated by a previous study (Nakajima and King, 1990).

438 The water and ice cloud optical and radiative properties look-up tables (LUT) with a 439 modified Gamma size distribution for fast retrieval were built based on spherical 440 particle with the scattering properties given by the Mie theory and MODIS Collection-441 6 severely roughened aggregated columns ice crystal (Min et al., 2017a; Platnick et al., 442 2017; Baum et al., 2007), respectively. By utilizing the similar 1DVAR algorithm 443 discussed in Section 3.3, along with water/ice cloud LUTs, observed reflectance at 0.64 444 and 2.23 µm channels, and additional ancillary data, the optimal cloud optical thickness 445 (τ_{cld}) and cloud particle effective radius (r_{cld}) can be iteratively calculated using the OE 446 algorithm (Walther et al., 2011). Differing from Equation (2), the variables or first-447 order partial derivative from forward cloud reflectance model in the Jacobi matrix are 448 derived from a formula for solar reflectance observed by satellite, which can be written as follows (Nakajima and King, 1990): 449

450
$$R_{obs} = R_{cld} + \frac{A_s}{1 - A_s R'_{cld}} T_{cld} T'_{cld},$$
 (8)

451 where R_{obs} is the total cloud bidirectional reflectance function at the top of the 452 atmosphere (TOA). A_s is the albedo at the Lambertian surface of a uniform single-453 layer cloud. R_{cld} and T_{cld} signify the cloud reflectance and downward transmittance 454 (diffuse and direct), respectively. R'_{cld} and T'_{cld} are the cloud spherical albedo and the 455 transmittance below the cloud, respectively. After retrieving τ_{cld} and r_{cld} , ice and liquid 456 cloud water paths (IWP/LWP) are calculated using empirical formulas (Bennartz, 2007; 457 Heymsfield et al., 2007), which are expressed as follows:

458
$$LWP = \frac{5}{9} \tau_{cld} r_{cld} \rho,$$
 (9)
459 $IWP = \frac{\tau_{cld}^{1/0.84}}{0.065},$ (10)

460 where ρ is the density of liquid water (=1.0 g/cm³).

- 461 Figure 6 shows the cloud optical depth and effective radius comparisons between the
- 462 H9/AHI GEO satellite and MODIS (Platnick et al., 2017) at 05:40 UTC on October 30,
- 463 2023. We find consistent retrieval results between these two different COT and CER

删除了:

带格式的: 缩进: 首行缩进: 0.42 厘米

465 products. Besides, Figures 6e and 6f respectively show the comparisons of the one year 466 COT and CER from MODIS and H9/AHI data over the SCS with the related scores, 467 such as MAE, MBE, R and RMSE. The differences are likely to be attributed to the 468 different spatial resolutions and retrieval algorithms used between these two satellite 469 products (Letu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2024). Specifically, in Figure 6f, the CER 470 comparisons reveal more pronounced discrepancies, primarily due to the different ice 471 cloud particle scattering models used in the two retrieval algorithms (Letu et al., 2019).

472

473 3.5 Layered precipitable water and atmospheric instability indices

474 The atmospheric temperature and humidity profiles provide valuable information 475 about the vertical distribution of water vapor and temperature at various altitudes. This 476 is very crucial for studying cloud formation, precipitation patterns, and the intricate 477 processes of the water cycle, and accurate numerical weather forecasting and climate 478 modeling (Charlesworth et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 479 2023). In this investigation, the layered precipitable water (LPW) product obtained 480 from H8/9-AHI only provides clear-sky (refer to the flowchart in Figure 1) temperature 481 and humidity profiles and atmospheric instability indices. The next few satellite 482 products described in Sections 3.6 and 3.7 will also be processed only in clear-sky 483 pixels. The temperature and humidity profiles will be integrated into three distinct 484 layers for the output satellite product (High layer: from 700 to 300 hPa; Middle layer: 485 from 900 to 700 hPa; Low layer: from the surface to 900 hPa).

This physics-based LPW retrieval algorithm uses the BT observations at 6.2, 6.9, 7.3,
8.5, 10.4, 11.2, 12.3, and 13.3 μm channels to retrieve temperature and humidity
profiles. Since the temperature and humidity profiles can only be retrieved from clearsky pixels, we can express the forward IR radiative transfer equation observed by
satellite sensor as follows (Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2000):

491 492

$$\varepsilon_{s}(\lambda) \int_{0}^{p_{s}} B(\lambda) dT'(\lambda; 0, p), \qquad (11)$$

 $I_{obs}(\lambda) = \varepsilon_s(\lambda) B_s(\lambda) T_s(\lambda) - \int_0^{p_s} B(\lambda) dT(\lambda; 0, p) + [1 - 1] dT(\lambda; p) + [1 -$

493 where *T* is the atmospheric transmittance above the pressure *p*. Subscript *s* signifies the 494 surface, $T' = T_s^2/T$. Similar to the OE method mentioned above, the cost function for 495 retrieving temperature and humidity profiles can be written as follows:

496
$$\zeta = [x - x_a]^T \gamma Cov_a^{-1} [x - x_a] + [y - M(x)]^T Cov_y^{-1} [y - M(x)], \qquad (12)$$

497 where the new added variable γ is the regularization parameter (or smoothing factor) 498 compared to Eq. (5). The introduction of the parameter γ aims to achieve faster 499 convergence and improve solution stability. The iterative 1DVAR algorithm can 500 increase or decrease parameter γ by determining the first-order variation of Eq. (11) (Li 501 et al., 2000). The first guessed temperature and humidity profiles for iterative retrieval 502 are obtained from spatial-temporally matched GFS-NWP data.

503 After retrieving the optimal temperature and humidity profiles, it will calculate five 504 atmospheric instability indices, including LI (Lifted Index), CAPE (Convective 505 Available Potential Energy), TT (Total Totals), KI (K Index), and SI (Showalter Index). 506 In weather forecasting, these indices can characterize the degree of development of 507 atmospheric instability features and provide the forecaster with a general idea of the 508 convective forcing. For instance, the LI represents the level of atmospheric thermodynamic instability. A positive LI value indicates stability (0<LI), while a 509 negative LI value suggests varying degrees of instability (-3< LI <0 marginally unstable, 510 511 -6<LI<-3 moderately unstable, -9<LI<-6 very unstable, and LI <-9 extremely unstable) 512 (Fernando et al., 2021). The valid ranges and usages of these five atmospheric 513 instability indices could refer to Table 1 and the study from Li et al., 2012. Note that, 514 considering the specific retrieval efficiency (processing LPW over the SCS region takes 515 approximately 20~25 minutes) of the H8/9-AHI LPW product, we have set the retrieval 516 frequency for LPW to 30 minutes.

517 Figure 7 presents a comparison between the LPW, encompassing total precipitable 518 water and water vapors at low, middle, and high layers, derived from the H9/AHI GEO 519 satellite and ERA5 reanalysis data at 09:00 UTC on January 4, 2023, specifically over 520 the SCS. The right column panel displays associated H9/AHI CAPE, K, LI, and 521 Showalter indices. Except for the water vapors at the high layer (700-300hPa), the 522 remaining LWP products exhibit negligible differences compared to the ERA5 523 reanalysis data in Figure 7.

524 To further validate the LPW products derived from H9/AHI, we conducted 525 comparisons against ERA5 reanalysis data for LPWs over a four-month period 526 mentioned above (January, April, July, and October of 2023). Figure 8 depicts the 527 comparison results for total precipitable water and LPWs at three distinct layers. The 528 correlation coefficients (R) for the LPWs at low, middle, and high layers, along with 529 total precipitable water, are respectively 0.919, 0.784, 0.725, and 0.876. These high correlation coefficients indicate the relatively high quality of this product from theNANO_SCS system.

532

533 *3.6 Land and sea surface temperatures*

Land and sea surface temperatures (LST and SST) are essential variables frequently utilized in climate research community (Cai et al., 2022; Hong et al., 2022). In this study, we incorporated a classical land surface temperature algorithm (Ulivieri and Cannizzaro, 1985) into the NANO_SCS system, using split-windows channels of H8/9-AHI (11.2 and 12.3 μm). This modified algorithm was also implemented as the operational LST algorithm for the FY-4A GEO satellite (Dong et al., 2023) in China Meteorological Administration (CMA), which can be easily expressed as follows:

541
$$LST = C + A_1 B T_{11\mu m} + A_2 (B T_{11\mu m} - B T_{12\mu m}) + A_3 \varepsilon_s + D (B T_{11\mu m} - B T_{12\mu m})$$

542
$$BT_{12\mu m})(sec\theta - 1),$$
 (13)

543 where C, A_{1-3} , and D are the fitting coefficients, respectively. θ represents the satellite 544 zenith angle. ε_s is the surface emissivity. To account for the uncertainties in the LST 545 algorithm caused by water vapor, we conducted regression analysis using MODTRAN V4.2 (Min et al., 2022; Berk et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2023) to derive fitting coefficients 546 547 for four distinct groups: daytime dry, daytime moist, nighttime dry, and nighttime moist 548 conditions. A threshold of water vapor content = 2.0 g/cm^2 was utilized to classify the 549 atmosphere as either dry or moist. This threshold value was obtained from matched 550 GFS-NWP data.

The classical and simplified Non-Linear Sea Surface Temperature (NLSST)
algorithm was used here to retrieve SST of H8/9-AHI (Walton et al., 1998), which is
expressed as follows:

554 $SST = a_0 + a_1BT_{11\mu m} + a_2(BT_{11\mu m} - BT_{12\mu m}) + a_3(BT_{11\mu m} - 555 BT_{12\mu m})(sec\theta - 1),$ (14)

where a_{0-3} are the fitting coefficients. The NOAA latest OISST (optimum interpolation sea surface temperature) are used here to obtain fitting coefficients in Eq. (14) (Huang et al., 2021; Reynolds et al., 2007). This global SST dataset, with a $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$

borizontal resolution, covers the period from 1981 to the present.

560 Figure 9 shows the LST and SST comparisons between H9/AHI GEO satellite and

- 561 MODIS at 18:40 UTC on October 29, 2023. From this figure, we find consistent results
- 562 of LST and SST between our results and MODIS official products. Figures 9e and 9f

also shows the comparisons of the one year LST and SST from MODIS and H9/AHI
data over the SCS. The correlation coefficients (R) of these two products are about 0.96.

566 3.7 Vegetation and water indices

Vegetation and water indices, such as NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation
 Index), NDSI (Normalized Differential Snow Index), NDWI (Normalized Differential
 Water Index), and LSWI (Land Surface Water Index), are commonly utilized for

569 Water Index), and LSWI (Land Surface Water Index), are commonly utilized for 570 climate change, vegetation growth, urbanization, flood monitoring, etc. (Zheng et al.,

571 2021: Hall et al., 1995: Xiao et al., 2006: Gu et al., 2007). In the NANO_SCS system,

these indices are calculated for clear-sky pixels during daytime using H8/9-AHI andare expressed as follows:

574	$NDVI = (Ref_{0.86\mu m} - Ref_{0.64\mu m}) / (Ref_{0.86\mu m} + Ref_{0.64\mu m}),$	(15)
575	$NDSI = (Ref_{0.64\mu m} - Ref_{1.6\mu m}) / (Ref_{0.64\mu m} + Ref_{1.6\mu m}),$	(16)
576	$NDWI = (Ref_{0.86\mu m} - Ref_{2.23\mu m}) / (Ref_{0.86\mu m} + Ref_{2.23\mu m}),$	(17)
577	$LSWI = (Ref_{0.86\mu m} - Ref_{1.6\mu m}) / (Ref_{0.86\mu m} + Ref_{1.6\mu m})$,	(18)

where *Ref* represents the reflectance observed by satellite visible and near infrared
bands during the daytime. Unfortunately, in this study, the lack of a 0.47μm channel
prevents the computation of the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI). Figure 10 shows
the clear-sky NDVI, NDSI, NDWI, and LSWI maps from H9/AHI at 04:00 UTC on

582 December 1, 2023 over the SCS, which were generated by the NANO_SCS system.

584 <u>4</u>. Summary

583

This investigation provides a comprehensive introduction to the key GEO satellite 585 586 science products generated by the NANO SCS system and their evaluation. It offers near-real-time atmospheric and oceanic science products of Himawari-8/9 587 588 geostationary satellites over the South China Sea from November 13, 2022, to the 589 present. Positioned at 140.7°E and 0° latitude, the H8/9 geostationary satellites mainly 590 cover East Asia, Oceania, and the Indian Ocean. The standard NRT Level-2 satellite 591 science products encompass the region between 0° to 40°N latitude and 100°E to 140°E longitude with a grid resolution of $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$ and a 10-minute interval (except for 592 593 LPW products, retrieved every 30 minutes). These products are derived from 14 594 spectral channels with a 4km horizontal resolution.

595 The NANO_SCS system provides a range of atmospheric and oceanic products, 596 including cloud mask, fraction, height, phase, optical and microphysical properties,

删除了:)
删除了:(
删除了:)
删除了:
删除了:(
删除了:)
删除了:
删除了:(

删除了: 🛩

4. Data availability ← The Japanese Himawari-8 (H8) and Himawari-9 (H9) geostationary (GEO) satellites are strategically positioned over the South China Sea (SCS), having been launched on October 7, 2014, and November 2, 2016, respectively. It mainly provides cloud mask, fraction, height, phase, optical and microphysical properties, layered precipitable water, and sea surface temperature products, within a temporal resolution of 10 minutes and a gridded resolution of 0.05° × 0.05°. Users can freely access sample HDF-formatted files and data download instruction in PDF format of the South China Sea datasets at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25015853 (Liu Jian,

2024). Besides, for accessing related NRT satellite products, a quick-view website URL is provided: [http://meteorsatellite.hellosea.org.cn/#/index]. Data can be download via FTP (File Transfer Protocol) using the address

which was hellose and the password 'sml#456@'.↩ 刪除了: 5 626 layered precipitable water, land surface temperature, sea surface temperature, and more. 627 These near-real-time satellite products were rigorously evaluated against independent datasets, including MODIS satellite-based products and ERA5 reanalysis data. The 628 629 results highlight strong consistency between NRT H8/9 geostationary satellite 630 atmospheric and oceanic science products and the reference data from similar sensors 631 and ERA5 over the South China Sea. 632 Future continuation of atmospheric and oceanic science products generated by the 633 NANO SCS system is also operated and secured by the Southern Marine Science and 634 Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai) in China. Preparations are underway for 635 new products such as atmospheric motion vectors (AMV) and quantitative precipitation estimates (QPE) in near-real-time production. Besides, the qualities of current GEO 636 637 satellite products will be further validated and enhanced. Chinese FY-4C GEO satellite, 638 scheduled for launch in 2025 or 2026, will offer higher spatial resolution and additional 639 channels, including an IR hyperspectral sounder, to further extend and improve the 640 NANO SCS-system-based data records for atmospheric and oceanic parameters. 641 642 643 Data availability The Japanese Himawari-8 (H8) and Himawari-9 (H9) geostationary 644 (GEO) satellites are strategically positioned over the South China Sea (SCS), having been launched on October 7, 2014, and November 2, 2016, respectively. It mainly 645 646 provides cloud mask, fraction, height, phase, optical and microphysical properties, 647 layered precipitable water, and sea surface temperature products, within a temporal 648 resolution of 10 minutes and a gridded resolution of $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$. Users can freely 649 access sample HDF-formatted files and data download instruction in PDF format of the 650 South China Sea datasets at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25015853 (Liu Jian, 651 2024), Besides, for accessing related NRT satellite products, a quick-view website URL 652 is provided: [http://meteorsatellite.hellosea.org.cn/#/index]. Data can be downloaded 653 via FTP (File Transfer Protocol) using the address ftp://www.hellosea.org.cn:10021, 654 with the login credentials being 'smlweix' and the password 'sml#456@'. 655

656 657 带格式的: 缩进: 首行缩进: 0 厘米

设置了格式:字体颜色:文字1 **带格式的:**缩进:首行缩进:0字符,定义网格后不调整右 缩进,不调整西文与中文之间的空格,不调整中文和数字 之间的空格,不对齐到网格

 设置了格式:字体颜色:文字1

 设置了格式:字体颜色:文字1

设置了格式:字体颜色:文字1 **设置了格式:**字体颜色:文字1

带格式的: 缩进: 首行缩进: 0 厘米

658	Author contributions. JL and MM contributed to designing the research; MM, JL, and			
659	WW implemented the research and wrote the original draft; JL supervised the research;			
660	all co-authors revised the paper and contributed to the writing.			
661				
662	Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any			
663	competing interests.			
664				
665	Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to			
666	jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.			
667				
668	Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank JMA, U.S. NASA MODIS group			
669	and ECMWF for freely providing Himawari-8/9 (ftp.ptree.jaxa.jp), MODIS			
670	(https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/search), and ERA5 reanalysis			
671	(https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home) data. The authors also would like to			
672	thank NOAA for freely providing GFS-NWP			
673	(https://nomads.ncep.noaa.gov/pub/data/nccf/com/gfs/) and OISST			
674	(https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/sea-surface-temperature-optimum-			
675	interpolation/v2.1/access/avhrr/) data, and the GOES-R AWG. Besides, we also thanks			
676	Dr. Lixin Dong of China National Satellite Meteorological Center who freely provide			
677	LST algorithm code. This study was supported by the Southern Marine Science and			
678	Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai) (Grant SML2021SP102 and			
679	SML2022SP401), National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants			
680	42175086, FengYun Meteorological Satellite Innovation Foundation under Grant FY-			
681	APP-ZX-2022.0207, Innovation Group Project of Southern Marine Science and			
682	Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai) (No. SML2023SP208). Finally, we			
683	would also like to thank the editor and anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful			
684	suggestions and comments.			
685				
686				
687				
688				
689	_ /			

删除了: ᠳ ᠳ ᠳ

695 References

- Baum, B. A., P. Yang, S. Nasiri, A. J. Heidinger, A. Heymsfield, and J. Li: Bulk
 scattering properties from the remote sensing of ice clouds. Part III: High
 resolution spectral models from 100 to 3250 cm-1, Journal of Applied
- 699 Meteorology and Climatology, *46*, 423 434, doi:10.1175/JAM2473.1, 2007.
- Bennartz, R.: Global assessment of marine boundary layer cloud droplet number concentration from satellite, Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres, *112*, D02201, doi:10.1029/2006JD007547, 2007.
- Berk, A., G. P. Anderson, P. K. Acharya, J. H. Chetwynd, L. S. Bernstein, E. P. Shettle,
 M. W. Matthew, and S. M. Adler-Golden (2000), MODTRAN4 user's manual,
 edited, Air Force Research Laboratory.
- Bessho, K., et al.: An introduction to Himawari-8/9—Japan's new-generation geostationary meteorological satellites, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, 94, 151-183, doi:10.2151/jmsj.2016-009, 2016.
- Cai, W., B. Ng, G. Wang, A. Santoso, L. Wu, and K. Yang: Increased ENSO sea surface
 temperature variability under four IPCC emission scenarios, Nature Climate
 Change, *12*, 228–231, 2022.
- Charlesworth, E., et al.: Stratospheric water vapor affecting atmospheric circulation,
 Nature Communications, *14*, 3925, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39559-2, 2023.
- Chou, M.-D., M. J. Suarez, C.-H. Ho, M. M.-H. Yan, and K.-T. Lee: Parameterizations
 for cloud overlapping and shortwave single-scattering properties for use in
 general circulation and cloud ensemble models, J Climate, *11*, 202-214,
 doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<0202:PFCOAS>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- Ding, Y., and Y. Liu: Onset and the evolution of the Summer Monsoon over the South
 China Sea during SCSMEX Field Experiment in 1998, Journal of the
 Meteorological Society of Japan, V79, 255-276, doi:10.2151/jmsj.79.255, 2001.
- Dong, L., S. Tang, F. Wang, M. Cosh, X. Li, and M. Min: Inversion and validation of FY-4A official land surface temperature product, Remote Sensing, *15*, 2437, doi:10.3390/rs15092437 2023.
- Fernando, M., Millangoda, M., and Premalal, S.: Analyze and Comparison of the
 Atmospheric Instability Using K-Index, Lifted Index Total Totals Index
 Convective Availability Potential Energy (CAPE) and Convective Inhibition
 (CIN) in Development of Thunderstorms in Sri Lanka During Second InterMonsoon, Multi-Hazard Early Warning and Disaster Risks, Cham, 2021//, 603614,
- Gu, Y., Brown, J. F., Verdin, J. P., and Wardlow, B. D.: A five-year analysis of MODIS
 NDVI and NDWI for grassland drought assessment over the central Great Plains
 of the United States, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 2007.
- Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., and Salomonson, V. V.: Development of methods for mapping
 global snow cover using moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer data,
 Remote Sensing of Environment, 54, 127-140, 1995.
- Heidinger, A., and M. Pavolonis: Gazing at cirrus clouds for 25 years through a split
 window, part 1: Methodology, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
 48, 1110-1116, doi:10.1175/2008JAMC1882.1, 2009.
- 739 Heidinger, A. K., A. T. Evan, M. J. Foster, and A. Walther: A naive Bayesian cloud-

- 740detection scheme derived from CALIPSO and applied within PATMOS-x,741Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 51, 1129–1144,
- 742 doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-11-02.1, 2012.
- Hersbach, H., et al.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Quarterly Journal of the Royal
 Meteorological Society, *146*, 1999–2049, doi:10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
- Heymsfield, A. J., S. Matrosov, and B. Baum: Ice water path-optical depth relationships
 for cirrus and deep stratiform ice cloud layers, J Appl Meteorol, *42*, 1369–1390,
 doi:10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<1369:IWPDRF>2.0.CO:2, 2007.
- Hong, F., W. Zhan, F.-M. Göttsche, Z. Liu, P. Dong, H. Fu, F. Huang, and X. Zhang: A
 global dataset of spatiotemporally seamless daily mean land surface
 temperatures: generation, validation, and analysis, Earth System Science Data,
 14, 3091–3113, doi:10.5194/essd-14-3091-2022, 2022.
- Huang, B., C. Liu, V. Banzon, E. Freeman, G. Graham, B. Hankins, T. Smith, and H.M. Zhang: Improvements of the Daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface
 Temperature (DOISST) Version 2.1, J Climate, 34, 2923-2939,
 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0166.1, 2021.
- Husi, L., T. M. Nagao, T. Y. Nakajima, J. Riedi, H. Ishimoto, A. J. Baran, H. Shang, M.
 Sekiguchi, and M. Kikuchi: Ice cloud properties from Himawari-8/AHI nextgeneration geostationary satellite: Capability of the AHI to monitor the DC
 cloud generation process, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
 Sensing, *57*, 3229-3239, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2018.2882803 2019.
- Jiang, J., T. Zhou, Y. Qian, C. Li, F. Song, H. Li, X. Chen, W. Zhang, and Z. Chen:
 Precipitation regime changes in High Mountain Asia driven by cleaner air,
 Nature, doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06619-y, 2023.
- Kim, D., M. Gu, T.-H. Oh, E.-K. Kim, and H.-J. Yang: Introduction of the advanced
 meteorological imager of Geo-Kompsat-2a: In-orbit tests and performance
 validation, Remote Sensing, *13*, 1303, doi:10.3390/rs13071303, 2021.
- King, M. D., S. C. Tsay, S. E. Planick, M. Wang, and K. N. Liou: Cloud retrieval algorithms: Optical thickness, effective particle radius, and thermodynamic phase, NASA MODIS Algorithm Theoretical Basis Documents, 1997.
- Koseki, S., K. Tieh-Yong, and T. Chee-Kiat: Effects of the cold tongue in the South
 China Sea on the monsoon, diurnal cycle and rainfall in the Maritime Continent,
 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, *139*, 1566-1582,
 doi:10.1002/qj.2052, 2013.
- Lai, R., S. Teng, B. Yi, H. Letu, M. Min, S. Tang, and C. Liu: Comparison of cloud
 properties from Himawari-8 and FengYun-4A geostationary satellite
 radiometers with MODIS cloud retrievals, Remote Sensing, *11*, 1703,
 doi:10.3390/rs11141703, 2019.
- Letu, H., T. M. Nagao, T. Y. Nakajima, J. Riedi, H. Ishimoto, A. J. Baran, H. Shang, M.
 Sekiguchi, and M. Kikuchi: Ice cloud properties from Himawari-8/AHI nextgeneration geostationary satellite: Capability of the AHI to monitor the DC
 cloud generation process, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
 Sensing, *57*, 3229-3239, doi:10.1109/tgrs.2018.2882803, 2019.

- Letu, H., et al.: High-resolution retrieval of cloud microphysical properties and surface
 solar radiation using Himawari-8/AHI next-generation geostationary satellite,
 Remote Sensing of Environment, 239, 111583, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2019.111583,
 2020.
- Levenberg, K.: A method for the solution of certain non-linear problems in least squares,
 Quarterly of Applied Mathematics, 2, 164-168, 1944.
- Li, J., W. P. Menzel, T. J. Schmit, and J. Schmetz: Applications of geostationary hyperspectral infrared sounder observations – progress, challenges, and future perspectives, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0328.1, 2022a.
- Li, J., T. J. Schmit, X. Jin, and G. Martin: GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI)
 Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document For Legacy Atmospheric Moisture
 Profile, Legacy Atmospheric Temperature Profile, Total Precipitable Water, and
 Derived Atmospheric Stability Indices NOAA Goes-R ATBD, 109, 2012.
- Li, J., P. Wang, H. Han, J. Li, and J. Zheng: On the assimilation of satellite sounder data
 in cloudy skies in numerical weather prediction models, Journal of
 Meteorological Research, 30, 169–182, 2016.
- Li, J., W. W. Wolf, W. P. Menzel, W. Zhang, H.-L. Huang, and T. H. Achtor: Global soundings of the atmosphere from ATOVS measurements: The algorithm and validation, Journal of Applied Meteorology, *39*, 1248–1268, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(2000)039<1248:GSOTAF>2.0.CO:2, 2000.
- Li, Y., G. Ren, Q. Wang, L. Mu, and Q. Niu: Marine heatwaves in the South China Sea:
 Tempo-spatial pattern and its association with large-scale circulation, Remote
 Sensing, 14, 5829, doi:10.3390/rs14225829, 2022b.
- Liang, Y., M. Min, Y. Yu, X. Wang, and P. Xia: Assessing diurnal cycle of cloud covers
 of Fengyun-4A geostationary satellite based on the manual observation data in
 China, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, *61*,
 doi:10.1109/TGRS.2023.3256365, 2023.
- Liu, B., Y. Liu, G. Wu, J. Yan, J. He, and S. Ren: Asian summer monsoon onset barrier
 and its formation mechanism, Climate Dynamics, 45, 711–726,
 doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2296-0, 2014.
- Liu Jian, Y. J., Lin Chuyong, He Min, Liu Haiyan, Min Min, Wang Wei (2024), Near
 real-time atmospheric and oceanic science products of Himawari-8/9
 geostationary satellites over the South China Sea, edited, figshare. Dataset.,
 doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25015853</u>.
- Ma, Z., J. Li, W. Han, Z. Li, Q. Zeng, W. P. Menzel, T. J. Schmit, D. Di, and C.-Y. Liu:
 Four dimensional wind fields from geostationary hyperspectral infrared
 sounder radiance measurements with high temporal resolution, Geophys Res
 Lett, 48, e2021GL093794, doi:10.1029/2021GL093794, 2021.
- Martin, D. W., and M. R. Howland: Rainfall over the Arabian Sea during the onset of
 the 1979 monsoon, Nature, *300*, 628–630, 1982.
- 824 Min, M., et al.: Estimating summertime precipitation from Himawari-8 and global 825 forecast system based on machine learning, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience

826 and Remote Sensing, *57*, 2557-2570, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2018.2874950, 2019.

- 827 Min, M., B. Chen, N. Xu, X. He, X. Wei, and M. Wang: Nonnegligible diurnal and 828 long-term variation characteristics of the calibration biases in Fengyun-829 4A/AGRI infrared channels based on the oceanic drifter data, IEEE 830 Transactions Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 60. 1-15, on 831 doi:10.1109/TGRS.2022.3160450, 2022.
- Min, M., J. Deng, C. Liu, N. Lu, X. Hu, L. Chen, J. Guo, P. Zhang, Q. Lu, and L. Wang:
 An investigation of the implications of lunar illumination spectral changes for
 Day/Night Band based cloud property retrieval due to lunar phase transition,
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, *122*, 9233-9244,
 doi:10.1002/2017JD027117, 2017a.
- Min, M., J. Li, F. Wang, Z. Liu, and W. P. Menzel: Retrieval of cloud top properties
 from advanced geostationary satellite imager measurements based on machine
 learning algorithms, Remote Sensing of Environment, 239, 111616,
 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2019.111616 2020.
- Min, M., et al.: Developing the science product algorithm testbed for Chinese nextgeneration geostationary meteorological satellites: Fengyun-4 series, Journal of Meteorological Research, *31*, 708-719, doi:10.1007/s13351-017-6161-z, 2017b.
- Mülmenstädt, J., M. Salzmann, J. E. Kay, M. D. Zelinka, P.-L. Ma, C. Nam, J. Kretzschmar, S. Hörnig, and J. Quaas: An underestimated negative cloud feedback from cloud lifetime changes, Nature Climate Change, *11*, 508–513, doi:10.1038/s41558-021-01038-1, 2021.
- Nakajima, T., and M. D. King: Determination of the optical thickness and effective particle radius of clouds from reflected solar radiation measurements. Part I:
 Theory, J Atmos Sci, 48, 728-750, 1990.
- Niu, Q., and Y. Feng: Relationships between the typhoon-induced wind and waves in
 the northern South China Sea, Geophys Res Lett, 48, e2020GL091665,
 doi:10.1029/2020GL091665, 2021.
- Noh, Y.-J., J. M. Forsythe, S. D. Miller, C. J. Seaman, Y. Li, A. K. Heidinger, D. T.
 Lindsey, M. A. Rogers, and P. T. Partain: Cloud-base height estimation from
 VIIRS. Part II: A statistical algorithm based on A-Train satellite data, Journal of
 Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, *34*, 585–598, doi:10.1175/JTECH-D16-0110.1, 2017.
- Parol, F., J. C. Buriez, G. Brogniez, and Y. Fouquart: Information content of AVHRR
 channels 4 and 5 with respect to the effective radius of cirrus cloud particles, J
 Appl Meteorol, 30, 973–984, 1991.
- Pavolonis, M.: GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) Algorithm Theoretical Basis
 Document For Cloud Type and Cloud Phase Version 2.0, NOAA GOES-R
 ATBD, 1-96, 2010a.
- Pavolonis, M. J.: Advances in extracting cloud composition information from spaceborne infrared radiances-A robust alternative to brightness temperatures. Part I: Theory, Journal of Applied Meteorological Climatology, 49, 1992-2012,
 2010b.

- Pavolonis, M. J., A. K. Heidinger, and T. Uttal: Daytime global cloud typing from AVHRR and VIIRS: Algorithm description, validation, and comparisons J Appl
 Meteorol, 44, 804-826, 2005.
- Platnick, S., M. D. King, S. A. Ackerman, W. P. Menzel, B. A. Baum, J. C. Riédi, and
 R. A. Frey: The MODIS cloud products: Algorithms and examples from Terra,
 IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, *41*, 459-473,
 doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.808301, 2003.
- Platnick, S., et al.: The MODIS cloud optical and microphysical products: Collection 6
 updates and examples from Terra and Aqua, IEEE Transactions On Geoscience
 and Remote Sensing, 55, 502-525, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2016.2610522, 2017.
- Reynolds, R., T. M. Smith, C. Liu, D. Chelton, K. Casey, and M. Schlax: Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface temperature, J Climate, 20, 5473-5496, doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1824.1, 2007.
- 882 Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding: Theory and practice, 2000.
- Schmit, T. J., P. Griffith, M. M. Gunshor, J. M. Daniels, S. J. Goodman, and W. J. Lebair:
 A closer look at the ABI on the GOES-R Series Bulletin of the American
 Meteorological Society, *98*, 681-698 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00230.1, 2017.
- Soldi, G., et al.: Space-based global maritime surveillance. Part I: Satellite technologies,
 IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine 36, 8-28,
 doi:10.1109/MAES.2021.3070862, 2021.
- Ulivieri, C., and G. Cannizzaro: Land surface temperature retrievals from satellite
 measurements, Acta Astronaut, *12*, 985–997, doi:10.1016/00945765(85)90026-8, 1985.
- Viúdez-Mora, A., C.-S. M., J. Calbó, and J. A. González: Modeling atmospheric
 longwave radiation at the surface during overcast skies: The role of cloud base
 height, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, *120*, 199-214,
 doi:10.1002/2014JD022310, 2015.
- Walther, A., W. Straka, and A. K. Heidinger: GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI)
 algorithm theoretical basis document for daytime cloud optical and
 microphysical properties (DCOMP), NOAA Goes-R ATBD, 2011.
- Walton, C. C., W. G. Pichel, J. F. Sapper, and D. A. May: The development and operational application of nonlinear algorithms for the measurement of sea surface temperatures with the NOAA polar-orbiting environmental satellites, Journal of Geophysical Research, *103*, 27999-28012, doi:10.1029/98JC02370,
 1998.
- Wang, B., F. Huang, Z. Wu, J. Yang, X. Fu, and K. Kikuchi: Multi-scale climate
 variability of the South China Sea monsoon: A review, Dynamics of
 Atmospheres and Oceans, 47, 15-37, doi:10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2008.09.004,
 2009.
- Wang, F., M. Min, N. Xu, C. Liu, Z. Wang, and L. Zhu: Effects of linear calibration
 errors at low temperature end of thermal infrared band: Lesson from failures in
 cloud top property retrieval of FengYun-4A geostationary satellite, IEEE
 Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 60, 5001511,

912 doi:10.1109/TGRS.2022.3140348, 2022.

- Wang, G., S.-P. Xie, T. Qu, and R. X. Huang: Deep South China Sea circulation,
 Geophys Res Lett, *38*, L05601, doi:10.1029/2010GL046626, 2011.
- Wang, M., M. Min, J. Li, B. Chen, H. Lin, Z. Yao, N. Xu, and M. Zhang: Applicability
 of physics-based and machine-learning-based algorithms of geostationary
 satellite in retrieving the diurnal cycle of cloud base height, Atmospheric
 Chemistry and Physics Discussion, 2024.
- Wang, X., Q.-Y. Liu, D. Sui, and D. Wang: The imprint of the ENSO activities on the
 South China Sea wave climate, Ocean Dynamics, 70, 1315–1323,
 doi:10.1007/s10236-020-01400-5, 2020.
- Wang, X., M. Min, F. Wang, J. Guo, B. Li, and S. Tang: Intercomparisons of cloud mask
 product among Fengyun-4A, Himawari-8 and MODIS, IEEE Transactions on
 Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 57, 8827-8839,
 doi:10.1109/TGRS.2019.2923247 2019.
- Whitaker, J. S., T. M. Hamill, X. Wei, Y. Song, and Z. Toth: Ensemble data assimilation
 with the NCEP global forecast system, Monthly Weather Review, *136*, 463–482,
 doi:10.1175/2007MWR2018.1. 2008.
- Xia, P., M. Min, Y. Yu, Y. Wang, and L. Zhang: Developing a near real-time cloud cover retrieval algorithm using geostationary satellite observations for photovoltaic
 plants, Remote Sensing, 15, 1141, doi:10.3390/rs15041141, 2023.
- Xia, P., L. Zhang, M. Min, J. Li, Y. Wang, Y. Yu, and S. Jia: Accurate nowcasting on cloud cover at solar photovoltaic plants using geostationary satellite images, Nature Communications, *15*, 1-10, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-44666-1, 2024.
- Xiao, X., Boles, S., Frolking, S., Li, C., Babu, J. Y., Salas, W., and Moore, B.: Mapping
 paddy rice agriculture in South and Southeast Asia using multi-temporal
 MODIS images, Remote Sensing of Environment, 100, 95-113, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.004, 2006.
- Xu, W., S. A. Rutledge, and K. Chudler: Diurnal cycle of coastal convection in the
 South China Sea region and modulation by the BSISO34, 4297–4314,
 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0308.1, 2021.
- Yang, J., Z. Zhang, C. Wei, F. Lu, and Q. Guo: Introducing the new generation of Chinese geostationary weather satellites, FengYun-4, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, *98*, 1637-1658, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0065.1,
 2017.
- Shao, G., and L. D. Girolamo: Cloud fraction errors for trade wind cumuli from EOSTerra instruments, Geophys Res Lett, *33*, L20802, doi:10.1029/2006GL027088,
 2006.
- 249 Zheng, J., J. Li, T. J. Schmit, J. Li, and Z. Liu: The impact of AIRS atmospheric temperature and moisture profiles on hurricane forecasts: Ike (2008) and Irene
 951 (2011), Advances in Atmospheric Sciences, *32*, 319–335, 2015.
- (2011), Advances in Atmospheric Sciences, 52, 519–555, 2015.
- Zheng, Y., L. Tang, and H. Wang: An improved approach for monitoring urban built-up
 areas by combining NPP-VIIRS nighttime light, NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI,
 Journal of Cleaner Production, 328, 129488, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129488,

955	2021.
956 057	Zhou, R., X. Pan, Z. Xiaohu, X. Na, and M. Min: Research progress and prospects of
957 958	Geophysics and Planetary Physics (In Chinese), 55, 184-194,
959	doi:10.19975/j.dqyxx.2022-077, 2024.
960 061	Zhu, L., R. Zhou, D. Di, W. Bai, and Z. Liu: Retrieval of atmospheric water vapor
962	methods—A case study for typhoon Maria (201808), Remote Sensing, 15, 498,
963	doi:10.3390/rs15020498, 2023.
964	
965	
966	
967	
968	
969	
970	
971	
972	
973	
974	
075	
975	
976	
977	
978	
979	
980	
981	
982	
983	
105	

985 Tables and Figures

- 986 Table 1. Primary NRT H8/9 GEO satellite atmospheric and oceanic science products
- 987 and related variables generated by the NANO_SCS system.

Product Name (Abbr.)	Variable Name	Valid Value	Unit	Note		
Cloud Mask (CLM)	Cloud_Mask	0=Cloudy; 1=Probably cloudy; 2=Probably clear ; 3=Clear	None			
Cloud Fraction (CLF)	Cloud_Fraction	0-100	%	down-sampled 5×5 pixel box		
Cloud Type and Phase (CLP)	Cloud_Type	0=Clear; 1=Spare; 2=Liquid water; 3=Supercooled water; 4=Mixed; 5=Optically thick ice; 6=Optically thin ice; 7=Multilayered ice; 8=Uncertainty	None	1=Spare: pixel with spare cloud cover		
	Cloud_Phase	0=Clear; 1=Liquid water; 2=Supercooled water; 3=Mixed; 4=Ice; 5=Uncertainty	None			
	Cloud_Top_Height	0-30000	m			
Cloud Top Properties	Cloud Top Pressure	0-2000	hPa			
(CTP)	Cloud_Top_Temperature	0-400	K			
	Cloud_Emissivity_at_11µm	0-100	%			
Cloud Ontical and	Cloud_Optical_Depth	0-150	None	only daytime		
Microphysical	Cloud Effective Radius	0-100	μm	only daytime		
Properties (COT)	Cloud_Liquid_Water_Path	0-1000	g/m ²	only daytime		
110000000000000000000000000000000000000	Cloud_Ice_Water_Path	0-1000	g/m ²	only daytime		
Cloud Base Properties	Cloud_Base_Height	0-30000	m	only daytime		
(CBP)	Cloud_Base_Pressure	0-2000	hPa	only daytime		
Sea Surface Temperature (SST)	Sea_Surface_Temperature	0-400	Κ			
Land Surface Temperature (LST)	Land_Surface_Temperature	0-400	K			
	NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index)	0-1.0	None	only daytime		
Vegetation/Water	NDSI (Normalized Differential Snow Index)	0-1.0	None	only daytime		
malees (NDI)	NDWI (Normalized Differential Water Index)	0-1.0	None	only daytime		
	LSWI (Land Surface Water Index)	0-1.0	None	only daytime		
	Total_Precipitable_Water	0-1000	mm			
	Water_Vapor_High	0-1000	mm	700-300hPa		
	Water_Vapor_Middle	0-1000	mm	900-700hPa		
	Water_Vapor_Low	0-1000	mm	Surface-900hPa		
Layered Precipitable	CAPE_Index (Convective	0-10000	J/kg			
water (LPW)	K Index	100 100	v			
	K_IIIUCX	-100-100	N OC			
	Showalter Index	100 100	•	•		
	TT Index (Total totals)	-100-100	°C-	X		
		100 100		1		

删除了: 0-400 删除了: K 删除了: 0-400 删除了: Stored in Celsius 删除了: 5tored in Celsius 删除了: K 删除了: Stored in Celsius



1002 Figure 1. Flowchart of the NANO_SCS system. Dark gray shading represents key

1003 processing module; light gray shading represents satellite science product.





0°

Figure 2. H9/AHI GEO satellite cloud top height (left top panel), cloud mask (right top
panel), cloud base height (left middle panel), cloud optical depth (right middle panel)
at 03:00 UTC on July 31, 2023, and atmospheric total precipitable water (left bottom
panel) and sea surface temperature (right bottom panel) at 10:00 UTC on August 15,
2023 over the NANO_SCS system.



Figure 3. Cloud mask comparisons between (a, c) H9/AHI GEO satellite and (b, d)
MODIS at 05:10 (top panel) and 17:20 (middle panel) UTC on January 8, 2023. (e)
POD, FAR, HR, and KSS scores of H9/AHI results for all the matched pixels over land
(earthy yellow) and sea (blue) in January, April, July, and October of 2023. "clr" and
"cld" respectively signify the clear-sky and cloudy-sky pixels.



Figure 4. Cloud phase comparisons between (a, c) H9/AHI GEO satellite and (b, d)
MODIS at 05:10 UTC (top panel) on January 8, 2023 and 04:30 UTC (bottom panel)



1051 Figure 5. Cloud top height comparisons between (a, c) H9/AHI GEO satellite and (b,

1052 d) MODIS at 18:50 UTC (top panel) on October 8, 2023 and 04:30 UTC (bottom panel)

 on July 10, 2023.





Figure 6. Cloud optical depth (top panel) and effective radius (middle panel)
comparisons between (a, c) H9/AHI GEO satellite and (b, d) MODIS at 05:40 UTC on
October 30, 2023. Comparisons of the one year (2023) (e) cloud optical depth and (f)
effective radius from MODIS and H9/AHI data over the NANO_SCS system. The color
bar represents the total number in every bin at an interval of 0.2 of COT or 0.2 μm of
CER.





Figure 7. ERA5 (first column panel) and H9/AHI GEO satellite (middle column panel)
atmospheric (a, e) water vapor at low layer (Surface-900hPa), (b, f) water vapor at
middle layer (900-700hPa), (c, g) water vapor at high layer (700-300hPa), (d, h) total
precipitable water, (i) H9/AHI CAPE index, (j) H9/AHI K index, (k) H9/AHI LI index,
and (l) H9/AHI Showalter index at 09:00 UTC on January 4, 2023 over the
NANO_SCS system.





Figure 8. Comparisons of the one year (2023) layered precipitable water (LPW) values
(a, Low; b, Middle; c, High; d, Total) from ERA5 reanalysis and H9/AHI data over the
NANO_SCS system. The color bar represents the total number in every bin at an

- 1091 interval of 0.1 mm.





Figure 9. LST (top panel) and SST (middle panel) comparisons between (a, c) H9/AHI
GEO satellite and (b, d) MODIS at 18:40 UTC on October 29, 2023. Comparisons of
the one year (2023) (e) LST and (f) SST from MODIS and H9/AHI data over the
NANO_SCS system. The color bar represents the total number in every bin at an
interval of 0.25 K of LST or SST.



1115 Figure 10. (a) NDVI, (b) NDSI, (c) NDWI, and (d) LSWI maps retrieved by H9/AHI

1116 at 04:00 UTC on December 1, 2023 over the NANO_SCS system.

1117