1 TITLE

² Seismic survey in urban area: the activities of the EMERSITO INGV ³ emergency group in Ancona (Italy) following the 2022 M_w 5.5 Costa ⁴ Marchigiana-Pesarese earthquake

5

Authors: Daniela Famiani (1), Fabrizio Cara (1), Giuseppe Di Giulio (2), Giovanna Cultrera
7 (1), Francesca Pacor (3), Sara Lovati (3), Gaetano Riccio (4), Maurizio Vassallo (2), Giulio
8 Brunelli (3), Antonio Costanzo (11), Antonella Bobbio (5), Marta Pischiutta (8), Rodolfo
9 Puglia (3), Marco Massa (3), Rocco Cogliano (4), Salomon Hailemikael (1), Alessia Mercuri
10 (1), Giuliano Milana (1), Luca Minarelli (2), Alessandro Di Filippo (5), Lucia Nardone (5),
11 Simone Marzorati (10), Chiara Ladina (10), Debora Pantaleo (10), Carlo Calamita (10),
12 Maria Grazia Ciaccio (1), Antonio Fodarella (4), Stefania Pucillo (4), Giuliana Mele (1),
13 Carla Bottari (6), Gaetano De Luca (7), Luigi Falco (4), Antonino Memmolo (4), Giulia
14 Sgattoni (9), Gabriele Tarabusi (9)

15

- **16** Affiliation:
- 17 (1) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Roma1, Roma, Italy.
- 18 (2) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Roma1, L'Aquila, Italy.
- 19 (3) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy.
- 20 (4) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Irpinia, Grottaminarda, Italy.
- (5) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Osservatorio Vesuviano,
 Napoli, Italy.
- (6) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Osservatorio Etneo, Catania,
 Italy.
- (7) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Osservatorio Nazionale
 Terremoti, L'Aquila, Italy.
- 27 (8) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Roma2, Roma, Italy.
- (9) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.
- 29 (10) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Osservatorio Nazionale
- 30 Terremoti, Ancona, Italy.
- 31 (11) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione Osservatorio Nazionale
- 32 Terremoti, Rende, Italy.

33

- 34 Correspondence to:
- 35 Fabrizio Cara fabrizio.cara@ingv.it
- 36 Daniela Famiani daniela.famiani@ingv.it

37 Abstract

38 This paper illustrates the activities of EMERSITO, an emergency task force of the Istituto 39 Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV, Italy) devoted to site effects and 40 microzonation studies, during the seismic sequence that occurred close to the Adriatic coast 41 in Central Italy since November 9th, 2022, following the Mw 5.5 mainshock localised in the 42 sea. In particular, we describe the steps that led to the deployment of a temporary network of 43 seismic stations in the urban area of Ancona, the main city of the Adriatic coastline. Data 44 collected by the temporary Ancona network (identification code 6N, doi: 45 10.13127/sd/qctgd6c-3a, EMERSITO Working Group, 2024) from November 2022 to the end 46 of February 2023 have been preliminary analysed with different techniques to characterise47 the deployment sites, and are now available for further and detailed studies.

48 1. Introduction

49 On November 9th, 2022, at 06:07:24 UTC (07:07:24 local time), a M_w 5.5 earthquake 50 localised in the Adriatic Sea struck the Marchigiana-Pesarese coast in Central Italy (Fig. 1). 51 Due to its magnitude, exceeding the threshold of 5.0, and the closeness to urban areas (Fano 52 and Pesaro are about 30-35 km, Ancona 45 km far from the epicenter), Istituto Nazionale di 53 Geofisica e Vulcanologia (National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology, INGV¹) soon 54 activated the Seismic Crisis Unit to monitor the ongoing seismic sequence. Among several 55 tasks, the Crisis Unit coordinates the INGV emergency task forces² devoted to specific issues 56 and scientific support for the activities of the Civil Protection: SISMIKO³ (Moretti et al. 57 2023), for adding seismic stations in the epicentral area to improve the localization of the 58 seismic events of the sequence, EMERGEO⁴ for investigating the surface geological effects, 59 QUEST⁵ for the macroseismic survey and EMERSITO⁶ for site effects and seismic 60 microzonation studies. In general, the INGV task forces² operate synergistically although 61 with a different intervention timing. In particular, SISMIKO³, EMERGEO⁴ and OUEST⁵ start 62 their activities within a few hours to 1-2 days after the mainshock. EMERSITO⁶ activities, on 63 the contrary, usually start from 2 to 7 days after the main seismic event, depending on the 64 level of damage caused by the mainshock and, therefore, the accessibility to the epicentral 65 area where the site effect are often more evident (Cara et al. 2019).

66

67 In this paper, we focus on the activities of EMERSITO⁶ working group following the M_W 5.5 68 mainshock in the Adriatic sea. The area of the Adriatic coast where the earthquake was felt 69 was very broad, approximately ranging from the cities of Rimini and Ancona that are about 70 90 km far from each other (Fig. 1). However, the level of damage, reported by both the fire 71 brigade and the QUEST⁵ surveys, was very low (maximum IV MCS), so the logistics left us 72 some options to plan an intervention for site effects studies. After several considerations, 73 EMERSITO⁶ decided to deploy a temporary seismic network in the urban area of Ancona, the 74 regional capital of Marche. This choice was driven by: a) the relative high values of peak 75 ground acceleration (PGA) recorded for the mainshock (the maximum PGA has been 76 recorded in Ancona at IV.PCRO station with 197 cm/s² on the EW component); b) the 77 damage and evacuations reported by the fire brigade and the technicians of Marche region; c) 78 the strong lithological heterogeneities in town; d) the scientific interest in improving the 79 approach for the evaluation of the local seismic response in urban areas.

80

81 The deployment of the network started 4 days after the mainshock and was completed in 82 three days, also taking advantage of the presence of an INGV office in Ancona⁷ and with the 83 collaboration of the municipality and of the Marche Region technicians. During the 84 emergency, which lasted from November 2022 to March 2023, EMERSITO⁶ carried out four 85 public reports to describe its activities (Cara et al., 2022a, 2022b, 2022c; Famiani et al., 86 2023).

87

88 In this paper we describe in detail the EMERSITO⁶ network, the data collected and some 89 preliminary analyses.

90

91 2. Deployment of the temporary network

93 2.1 Seismological and geological framework

94 The 2022 M_W 5.5 seismic sequence struck the Adriatic coast and affected some major towns, 95 such as Pesaro, Rimini, Fano, Senigallia and Ancona among others (Fig. 1). This latter city 96 (about 100.000 citizens) is the administrative center of the Marche region and one of the main 97 seaports of the Adriatic Sea. Before this event, in the previous century Ancona was hit by 98 significant earthquakes: in 1930 (epicenter close to Senigallia city, 10-15 km far from 99 Ancona, estimated Mw 5.8 and MCS intensity VIII; Guidoboni et al. 2018, Rovida et al. 2020 100 and 2022; see Fig. 1) and more recently in 1972 by an important seismic sequence 101 (Kissilinger 1972, Console et al. 1973) that lasted 11 months. The shocks of the 1972 102 sequence were short in duration but showed rather high values of PGA; the strongest 103 earthquake occurred on June 14, with magnitude M_w 4.7 and estimated MCS intensity VIII. 104 The epicenter of this event was localized in the Adriatic sea in front of the Ancona seaport 105 (Fig. 1), at about 10 km from Ancona downtown in the NE direction (Rovida et al, 2017). 106 The city experienced diffuse but moderate damage with 7000 of 35000 buildings declared 107 unusable. More than 30.000 people left their homes. At the end of the 1972 sequence, 108 Ancona was the object of the first large-scale seismic monitoring in Italy, with the 109 deployment of a network (Ferraris et al., 1975) followed by an extensive microzonation 110 survey of the area (Calza et al., 1981). The reconstruction, also in downtown, was exemplary 111 for the Italian standards and followed strict anti-seismic rules.

112 During the 2022 mainshock, localized at a distance of about 45 km from Ancona (see Fig. 1), 113 the city experienced some negligible damage and evacuations, as reported by the regional 114 technicians and the Fire Brigade (Fig. 2). As for the 1972 event, higher levels of PGA were 115 recorded during the main shock compared with instrumented sites at similar distance 116 (Engineering Strong Motion Database-ESM⁸, Luzi et al., 2020). A subset of the recorded 117 PGA values are reported in Table 1 (see also Figure 1 for details in the position of the 118 considered instrumented sites).

119

120 Table 1: November 9th, 2022, M_W 5.5 earthquake: PGA recorded by some stations of the two permanent 121 networks in Italy, IV (https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/X0FXnH7QfY) and IT (https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/IT), 122 ordered by epicentral distance. The two stations in Ancona are highlighted in bold. More info about stations of 123 IV and IT networks can be found on the ITalian ACcelerometric Archive (ITACA¹⁷) and on the Site 124 characterization of the permanent stations database (CRISP¹⁸).

Network	Station	Locality	Epicentral distance (km)	Horizontal PGA (cm/s²)	LAT (Decimal degrees)	LON (Decimal degrees)	Sensor type
IV	COR1	Corinaldo	49.3	31.610	43.6318	13.0003	Velocimeter + accelerometer
IT	ANB	Ancona	48.8	166.424	43.592	13.507	Accelerometer
IV	FCOR	Fonte Corniale	48.6	21.796	43.7691	12.8145	Accelerometer
IV	PCRO	Ancona	47.9	197.842	43.6076	13.5323	Accelerometer
IT	CTL	Cattolica	47.3	31.749	43.955	12.736	Accelerometer
IV	CRTC	Cartoceto	44.2	22.409	43.7684	12.8830	Velocimeter + accelerometer
IV	SENI	Senigallia	34.6	139.209	43.7052	13.2331	Velocimeter + accelerometer

IV	FANO	Fano	30.5	52.613	43.8434	13.0183	Accelerometer
----	------	------	------	--------	---------	---------	---------------

127 From a geological point of view, Ancona is characterized by strong lithological heterogeneity 128 and represents a scientifically interesting case for the evaluation of the local seismic response 129 in an urban area. Moreover, the western area of Ancona is built on a deep landslide (Stucchi 130 et al., 2005; Stucchi and Mazzotti, 2009). In 1982, after a period of heavy rain, the landslide 131 moved suddenly (Crescenti et al., 2005), involving several suburban districts of Ancona: 132 Posatora, Borghetto and partially Torrette (Fig. 3). The movement of the landslide damaged 133 two hospitals and the Faculty of Medicine of the University, 280 buildings were destroyed 134 and overall 865 homes damaged, the railway was torn up and the coastal road was damaged 135 along a front of approximately 2.5 kilometers. The disaster forced the authorities to evacuate 136 3,661 people from the affected area. Nowadays the landslide zone, as well the aquifer, is 137 constantly monitored through an early-warning system (Cardellini and Osimani, 2013) and it 138 is still in very slow movement (Agostini et al., 2014).

139

140 The Ancona area falls in the marginal part of the central Apennines thrust system, where 141 Mio-Plio-Pleistocene terrigenous deposits overlie a mostly carbonate succession referable to 142 the Umbria-Marche succession (Cello and Tondi, 2013). In the periadriatic sector, the 143 geological structures related to the origin of the central Apennine chain are generally buried 144 under the foredeep turbidite successions that sedimented starting from the Miocene age 145 (Bally et al., 1986). In particular, in the area of Ancona (Fig. 4), this foredeep succession is 146 mainly characterized, in its upper part, by Pleistocene gray-blue marly clays (*Argille Azzurre*, 147 FAA formation). During the Late Pliocene there was an intense phase of regional uplift that 148 in the Middle Pleistocene, resulted in the emergence of the external part of the Marche region 149 from the sea level. Subsequently, and in relation to the different climatic phases, there were 150 erosion processes of various intensity (also stasis), and sedimentation. All these phenomena 151 modeled the landscape defining the current morphostructural arrangement of the region and 152 producing alluvial, eluvial-colluvial marine and landscape deposits widely outcropping in the 153 study area.

154 The recent anthropization and urbanization are strongly altering the original morphology, in 155 particular in the coastal area, introducing erosion and accumulation processes that are 156 considerably more rapid and intense than those due to natural causes (Farabollini et al. 2000).

157 The outcropping marine succession in Ancona has been classified into four lithostratigraphic158 units from bottom to top:

- a) *Schlier* formation (SCH)
- b) Chalky-sulfur formation (GES)
- 161 c) *Colombacci* formation (FCO)
- d) *Argille azzurre* formation (FAA)

163 SCH formation (Late Miocene age, hemipelagic origin) diffusely outcrops along the coastline 164 and consists of quite stiff marls and calcareous marls, with expected thickness up to 250 mt.

165 GES unit (Late Miocene, evaporitic origin) consists of bituminous clays, sulfiferous 166 limestones and whitish nodular chalk banks. Also this formation outcrops along the coastline 167 and has a maximum thickness of 40-50m.

168 The *Colombacci* formation (FCO, late Miocene age) is mainly composed of clays and 169 marly-silty clays. The maximum thicknesses are greater than 100 m.

170 FAA formation (early Pliocene-early Pleistocene) widely outcrops in Ancona area (thickness 171 up to 300 m) and it is a pelitic succession that in its upper part consists of massive gray-blue 172 stratified marly clays with rare sand lenses. It is worth noting that this unit has strong lateral 173 and vertical variations.

175 The quaternary deposits in Ancona, according to the 282 sheet of the 1 : 50.000 Geological 176 Map of Italy (Lettieri, 2009), have been merged into the *Musone River* syntheme: the 177 eluvial-colluvial deposits (MUS_{b2}) cover sometimes large sectors of the hillsides, the surfaces 178 of the terraces, and fill the bottom of most of the valleys. Thickness can be up to 10-15m and 179 they consist of fine sediments (sands, clays and silts).

180 Quaternary slope instabilities (Agostini et al., 2014) affect areas at east and west of Ancona, 181 characterized by Plio-Pleistocene clay soils (e.g., Centamore et al., 1982; Cancelli et al., 182 2005; Fiorillo 2003). The landslide deposits, whenever it was possible to represent them on a 183 1:25000 map, have been distinguished as unstable (MUSa1) or stable (MUSa1q). The 184 Ancona landslide, at west of Ancona, represents one of these instabilities.

185

186 The alluvial deposits (MUSbn) comprise the terraces and consist of heterometric silt-gravel 187 units. They are spread over the city of Ancona and their thickness is variable from point to 188 point but of the order of 15-50 m. In the more urbanized areas they can be completely 189 covered by anthropic sediments, 2m thick, consisting of coarse calcareous pebbles mixed to 190 the old natural soil.

191

192 2.2 EMERSITO INGV intervention

EMERSITO⁶ is the INGV task force devoted to site effect and microzonation studies during significant seismic crises in Italy. As for other INGV task forces², EMERSITO⁶ is activated for earthquakes exceeding magnitude 5.0 or whenever the observed damage is likely due to local amplification effects. Since its official constitution in 2015, the group consists of a researchers, technicians and technical collaborators, and involves various INGV departments and offices spread in the italian territory. An operational protocol regulates the operation of the group, organised by two national coordinators that lead a management team that includes a contact person for each INGV office. EMERSITO⁶ worked in the 2016-2017 Central Italy seismic sequence (Cara et al., 2019; Priolo et al. 2020; Milana et al., 2020) and the 2017 Ischia emergency (Nardone et al., 2023), but the group participated, in an unofficial form, also to previous Italian emergencies (San Giuliano di Puglia 2002, Palermo 2002, L'Aquila 20209, Emilia-Romagna 2012), increasing its experience in this research field.

From the beginning of the emergency, EMERSITO⁶ started its activities by organizing itself in specific working groups mainly to collect a variety of information regarding the epicentral area: geology, damage surveys, previous studies on site effects and microzonation, seismic data by nearby stations of the National Seismic Network run by INGV (Rete Sismica Nazionale-RSN; INGV Seismological Data Centre, 2006) and the Italian Strong Motion Network run by the Civil Protection (Rete Accelerometrica Nazionale-RAN, PCM-CPD, 13 1972). This information has been uploaded in an online Web-GIS project (Fig. 5), shared and updatable in real time by all the users located in different offices of INGV. This procedure two useful for sharing the knowledge of the area and the ideas on the intervention through live and virtual meetings, which guided the preliminary field inspections and the deployment of the seismic temporary network.

218

219 The initial planning was carried out remotely considering the available Level 1 Seismic 220 Microzonation study, that incorporates noise measurements, downholes and boreholes with 221 stratigraphy (<u>https://qmap-protciv.regione.marche.it/cs/</u>) and the preliminary evidence of 222 earthquake-induced damage coming from the other INGV Task Forces (SISMIKO³, 223 EMERGEO⁴ and QUEST⁵). QUEST⁵ in particular has provided first indications about the 224 most damaged areas in terms of affected buildings (Tertulliani et al., 2022): they reported a 225 macroseismic intensity of V EMS-98 for Ancona and individuated state of damage up to 226 degree 3 in some buildings in downtown and damage 1-2 degree in a suburban 227 neighbourhood for some recent reinforced concrete buildings (vulnerability class C and D). 228 Afterwards, the Fire Brigade performed a detailed survey for all buildings and public areas, 229 distinguishing the partial and complete banning of buildings and the banning of outdoor 230 public areas (Fig. 2).

Ad hoc site inspections were carried out in collaboration with the INGV Ancona⁷ office, which has become a logistic support for all the task forces. It was then possible to contact institutions, i.e. the Marche Region (Albarello et al., 2022), the Regional Civil Protection, the Municipality of Ancona and the Navy Headquarter in Ancona. They were really collaborative, giving us suitable places for the station deployments, helping in finding further investigations and technical reports in the vicinity of the sites. The final choice of the reals was also made on the basis of fast single-station ambient noise measurements, in order have a first-order evaluation of possible resonance effects.

As aforementioned, the city suffered a low level of damage, then it did not have any major impact on its usual activities. For this reason, installations inside buildings have been preferred to guarantee continuous power supply and security of the seismic stations. We then identified ground floors, basements or courtyards of private and public buildings, such as universities, sports centers, the Palace of the Regione Marche and religious tructures.

245 Although EMERSITO⁶ intervention was not focused on the landslide hazard, we decided to 246 install one station (CMA10) in the western part of Ancona, where the deep landslide moved 247 in 1982.

248

After this preliminary phase, the final configuration of the temporary EMERSITO⁶ network covered the urban area of Ancona municipality and consisted of 11 six-channels digitizers, coupled to velocimetric (Lennartz 3D-5 sec) and accelerometric (Kinemetrics Episensor) sensors. Fig. 4 illustrates the position of the seismic stations in relation with the outcropping seology, while Table 2 shows their location, coordinates, date of installation and data transmission mode. The EMERSITO⁶ temporary seismic network was registered in the Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks (FDSN⁹) with the network code 6N¹⁰. At the same time, station codes have been registered with the International Seismological Center (ISC¹¹).

258 Most of the stations are installed close to the most damaged areas (compare with Fig. 2), 259 CMA06 is in the new industrial area in the south, CMA10 in the 1982 landslide area, close to 260 the district of Posatora.

261

A difficult task was the identification of sites characterized by the presence of outcropping stiff lithologies where to install a reference station. After several tests, we found a possible reference site on the so-called Colombacci formation (FCO), i.e. clay-marls of Miocene age, at about 90 mt from IV.PCRO station, free from clear resonance effects on noise, and histalled the reference station CMA15 (Fig.s 4 and 6).

267 The topography at Ancona downtown is not flat (Fig. 6). The medium elevation is about 268 70mt but there are some hills that reach about 180-250 m and quickly slope towards the 269 Adriatic sea. Stations CMA15 and IV.PCRO are on a hill 140-160 m high whereas station 270 CMA12 was placed on the top of a hill 100 m high that quickly slopes towards the Adriatic 271 sea and where there is also the lighthouse of Ancona (Fig. 6). To avoid possible 272 soil-interaction with the lighthouse, the station was placed at about 30mt from it, inside a 273 building of the Navy facilities.

275

276	Table 2. List of the sites of the 6N seismic network equipped with both accelerometric and velocimetric sensors.
277	The dismissing date of the stations was 24th of February 2023.

278

Name	Location	Lat	Lon	Installation date	Acquisition mode	Type of installation
CMA05	Piaget School	43.6184 37	13.52708	2022-11-15 10:40	Real Time	basement of a multistore building
CMA06	Paolinelli Sports Center, in the hamlet of Baraccola	43.5537 38	13.511387	2022-11-15 11:32	Real Time	free field
CMA07	Salesian Oratory	43.6057 02	13.503745	2022-11-13 18:03	Real Time	ground floor of a multistore building
CMA08	Economics University	43.6202 28	13.516387	2022-11-14 15:12	Real Time	basement of a multistore building
CMA09	Church of Saints Cosma and Damiano	43.6182 37	13.515918	2022-11-13 11:12	Real Time	basement of a multistore building
CMA10	Via della Grotta (landslide)	43.6030 08	13.480115	2022-11-14 11:18	Real Time	free field
CMA11	Navy	43.5985 42	13.506017	2022-11-14 16:05	Stand Alone	ground floor of a 1-store building
CMA12	Cardeto park (lighthouse)	43.6225 85	13.51589	2022-11-15 10:40	Stand Alone	ground floor of a 1-store building
CMA13	Via Barilatti	43.5938 48	13.502273	2022-11-15 13:33	Stand Alone	basement of a multistore building
CMA14	Raffaello Palace	43.6099 48	13.509390	2022-11-15 16:07	Stand Alone	basement of a multistore building
CMA15	Palascherma	43.6083 72	13.531515	2022-11-15 16:08	Stand Alone	ground floor of a multistore building

279

Figure 7 shows the 1D stratigraphic models under the installation sites, based on the available boreholes close to the stations and to our interpretation about the geological evolution of the rate. The information used for the construction of these 1D stratigraphic models were located at a distance between 5 and 250 meters from the stations, determining different levels of uncertainty in the models, especially for the non-outcropping layers, considering the lateral variability and the different thickness and lithologies encountered.

286 The models reach a depth of 100 meters and are characterized by a variable thickness of 287 altered/fractured layers. In particular, CMA06-CMA07-CMA11 stations, installed in flat 288 valley areas, are composed of fine alluvial unconsolidated deposits (MUSb2) above the 289 clayey formation of Argille Azzurre (FAA).

290 CMA05-CMA08-CMA09-CMA13-CMA14-CMA15 stations are installed in quite flat areas 291 and their stratigraphy featured by fine and more heterometric colluvial unconsolidated 292 deposits (MUSb2, MUSbn) above the clayey (Argille Azzurre FAA) or marly (Schlier, SCH) 293 or clayey/marly (Argille a Colombacci, FCO) geological formations. CMA10 is installed on 294 the 1982 landslide sediments (MUSa1) whereas CMA12 is set on SCH formation in a 295 topographic relief.

297 3. Seismic data collection of the 6N network

3.1 Data availability

299 The installation of the seismic stations was completed in three days and the 6N network was 300 fully operative for 3 months, from November 13th, 2022, until February 24th, 2023.

301 The six stations in real-time acquisition mode (Table 2) transmitted data as well as their state 302 of health (SOH), such as input voltage and quality of GPS signal received, to the 303 EMERSITO⁶ servers. Data availability and SOH were frequently checked with dedicated 304 software tools. During the acquisition period, several maintenance interventions were carried 305 out to download data from stand-alone stations and to verify their correct operation.

306 Raw data were converted into the standard binary *miniSEED* format, and organized in a 307 structured seismic archive (following the SeisComP data structure). Then, data quality and 308 completeness were checked, and all the relevant information was used for creating the 309 metadata volumes with the perspective to upload them in the INGV node of the European 310 Integrated Data Archive portal (EIDA¹³; Danecek et al., 2021).

311 All continuous data have been transferred to EIDA¹³ and are currently available to everyone 312 interested in. The dataset acquired by the EMERSITO⁶ temporary network $6N^{10}$ and 313 described in this manuscript can be accessed under <u>10.13127/sd/qctgd6c-3a</u> (EMERSITO 314 Working Group, 2024), according to a set of rules defined by the INGV data management 315 office (Open Data Portal-ODP¹²) and EMERSITO⁶.

316

317 Figure 8 shows availability of recordings for each station of the 6N network as a function of 318 time. The gaps in the records of some stations were caused by some malfunctions, in general 319 due to power failures; however, data completeness turned out to be quite satisfactory for all 320 the stations, being on average about 97%.

321

322 3.2 Data quality

323 In order to characterize the seismic background noise at the seismic stations of the temporary 324 EMERSITO⁶ $6N^{10}$ network, we computed the Power Spectral Density (PSD) using the 325 three-component continuous signals.

PSD and Probability Density Functions (PDF) were obtained from the waveform data and the corresponding response files using the PPSD¹⁴ class of ObsPy¹⁵, a Python toolbox for Responding (Beyreuther et al., 2010), in which the computation of PSD and PDF is based on the algorithm proposed by McNamara and Buland (2004). For each seismic channel, the software computes the PDF from the distribution of the PSD values at each spectral interval, providing the probability of occurrence of a given seismic signal level in a fixed frequency interval.

We used the 90th percentile curves to get a robust estimate of the noise level and to compare the between different stations, as shown in Figure 9 for the three components of motion. They are often above the reference curves (new high and new low noise models, NHNM and NLNM respectively) as computed by Peterson (1993). This was expected because the stations are located in a highly urbanized area. The high noise level occurs mainly at frequencies above 1 Hz during day times, and there is a strong reduction of the noise level during night times (about 10-15 dB) and also during day times on Christmas holidays (by about 5 dB) (Fig. S1a in Supplementary material).

341

The inspection of spectral and time amplitude levels allowed us to evaluate the suitability of installation sites and find critical situations. In particular, the CMA10 station was initially statical installed inside a shelter that hosts electronic devices for monitoring movements of the active statical situation negatively affected the data quality of this station (Fig. S1b in 346 Supplementary material) with evident disturbances on the recordings. Consequently, the 347 station was moved outside the structure, about 2 meters away from the previous position, 348 obtaining an improvement in the data quality, with more stable and lower amplitude spectra 349 (although some artefacts are still present at about 20 sec).

350

351 3.3 Recorded earthquakes

352 During the operating time of network $6N^{10}$ there were 258 aftershocks of the 353 Marchigiana-Pesarese seismic sequence with $2.0 \le M \le 2.9$, 28 with $3.0 \le M \le 4.0$ and 1 354 with M = 4.2, that was the strongest one after the mainshock (Fig. 10a). Eight M ≥ 3.0 events 355 are related to other local seismic sources in Italy located at a maximum distance of 100km 356 from Ancona (Fig. 10b). Of course not all the local events have been recorded by the stations 357 of network 6N or, although recorded, not all of them have a good quality.

358 Seven $M \ge 4.0$ events have an epicentral distance ranging from 100 to 500 km (Fig. 10c) and 359 the network was also able to record the strong Turkish earthquake that occurred the 6th of 360 February 2022 (Mwpd 7.9) at a distance of about 2200 km from Ancona (Fig. 10d). 361

³⁶² Figure 11 shows an example of the M_W 3.9 aftershock of December 8th at 07:08 UTC ³⁶³ recorded by some $6N^{10}$ stations. The seismograms and the spectrograms highlight clear ³⁶⁴ differences in the site response: CMA12 and CMA15 sites, located on stiff units (FCO and ³⁶⁵ SCH formations, respectively), are characterized by short durations and small amplitudes, ³⁶⁶ whereas stations installed on poor sediments over stiffer materials (CMA10, CMA13 and ³⁶⁷ CMA14) show longer durations and higher amplitudes. The spectrograms also point out ³⁶⁸ frequency variations.

369 Some differences can be also observed for low-frequency events, such as the teleseismic 370 Mwpd 7.9 Turkish earthquake(Fig. 12).

371

372373 4. Preliminary analyses

The recordings of ambient vibrations and earthquakes collected by the 6N¹⁰ network allowed perform some preliminary analyses for characterising the recording sites. Moreover, the distance of data of the temporary networks installed during the emergency, as the 6N one, are and of the permanent networks, in principle increase the chance to improve the estimates of are the earthquakes' parameters (i.e. their localization and focal mechanism).

379 We first present the different techniques used for the analyses and some illustrative results. 380 The overall results for each station of the network are presented as synthetic sheets collected 381 in the supplementary material.

382

4.1 Localization and Focal mechanism improvements

The availability of the local events recorded by network 6N¹⁰, as well of other networks, increase the chance to get better localization and to constrain the calculations of the focal nechanisms, especially for the earthquakes where the first polarities can be depicted.

387 As an example, we used data of two events (see Table 3) recorded simultaneously by 3 388 networks: $6N^{10}$, Y1 (managed by SISMIKO INGV emergency task force; D'Alema et al., 389 2022, Moretti et al., 2023) and IV (RSN; INGV Seismological Data Centre, 2006). For event 390 #33466171 using only data from IV and Y1 it was not possible to calculate the focal 391 mechanism. Therefore we added the 6N data; first, using the phase picks from the 392 seismograms, we relocated the event by using a multi-parameter procedure (Ciaccio et al., 393 2021) that explores the hypocenter solutions space by changing the *a-priori* key conditions 394 that strongly influence the solution convergence in the linearized approach. Then, we 395 computed the double-couple fault plane solutions from P-wave first motion data (FPFIT 396 program, Reasenberg and Oppenheimer, 1985). Finally, because our data allowed a 397 significant increase of the sampling of the focal sphere, the procedure successfully calculated 398 the focal mechanism of the event (Fig. 13). This focal mechanism shows a transpressive 399 solution, is of good quality in terms of uncertainties on strike, dip, rake (quality code QP= A) 400 and station distribution ratio (STDR <0.5), being this last quantity sensitive to the distribution 401 of the data on the focal sphere (Reasenberg and Oppenheimer, 1985).

402 The same procedure was followed for the event #33589291 (Table 3). In this case, the focal 403 solution was already available, but adding 6N data improved the STRD quantity (from 0.6 to 404 0.55) giving greater robustness to the solution.

405

406 Table 3. Location and focal mechanism parameters of the two analyzed seismic events. EventID: numerical
407 unique identifier of the INGV earthquakes database (<u>http://terremoti.ingv.it</u>).
408

· .									
	EventID	Date	Magnitude	Latitud e	Longitud e	Depth (km)	Strike	Dip	Rake
	33466171	2022-11-23T 01:59:26	M _L 3.6	43.9337	13.2537	15.75	100	50	30
	33589291	2022-12-08T 05:30:04	M _w 3.6	43.8975	13.2653	15.14	110	40	30

409

410

411 4.2 Data analysis methods

412 413 4.2.1 Horizontal-to-Vertical spectral ratio on noise (HVNSR) and earthquakes (HVSR)

414 The Horizontal-to-Vertical spectral ratio on noise (HVNSR) and earthquakes (HVSR) data 415 play an important role in seismic microzonation and site effects studies (Hailemikael et al., 416 2020). Indeed they are widely used and can provide information on the resonance frequencies 417 of the site, which is related to the thicknesses of the layers and their average shear wave 418 velocity.

419

The HVNSR analysis (Nakamura, 1989), although not able to define the transfer function of the site, can provide useful indications on the possible resonance frequencies and on the susceptibility of a site towards possible amplification phenomena. To estimate the HVNSR at the Ancona network, we used the HVNEA software on the continuous recordings (Vassallo et al., 2023) which takes advantage of the Geopsy software (Wathelet et al., 2020). The computation results in hourly HVNSR curves as average on 120s windows and repeated over the entire duration of the acquisition (about 3 months). In the end, we produced 1.600 to 227 2.200 hourly HVNSR curves for each station.

428

429 The HVSR analysis (Lermo and Chávez-García, 1993) is conceptually similar to HVNSR, 430 but is performed on earthquakes rather than on noise. Similarly to HVNSR, HVSR was 431 performed with the software HVNEA, described in Vassallo et al. (2023). For each event, 432 HVSR is calculated on a 6-second window from the theoretical S-wave arrival time. The 433 averages were obtained by using a subset of events from the INGV earthquake bulletin¹⁶, 434 using a circular search of magnitude M>=3 events at a maximum distance of 50 km from 435 Ancona city (Table 4). With these criteria, the considered earthquakes had a signal-to-noise 436 ratio (SNR) >=3 in the frequency range 0.5-15.0 Hz. The number of selected events ranges 437 from 17 to 29, then the results are indicative.

438

439 Table 4. List of the earthquakes used for HVSR and SSR analysis

440	

#EventID	Time	Latitude (degrees)	Longitude (degrees)	Depth (Km)	Author	MagType	Magnitude	EventLocationName
33378441	2022-11-14T23:10:54. 960000	43.9368	13.3483	5.2	BULLETIN- INGV	M_{L}	3.5	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33389921	2022-11-16T08:57:08. 040000	43.934	13.337	4.4	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33418361	2022-11-19T03:56:03. 320000	43.9767	13.3195	10.8	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.0	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33431491	2022-11-20T05:20:30. 250000	43.9027	13.2642	10.3	SURVEY-IN GV	M_{W}	4.2	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33431631	2022-11-20T05:23:19. 770000	43.9677	13.3185	8.7	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33434911	2022-11-20T09:59:46. 700000	43.9083	13.3353	9.2	SURVEY-IN GV	$M_{\rm L}$	3.3	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33435461	2022-11-20T10:38:54. 300000	43.9625	13.2825	7.9	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.3	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33466171	2022-11-23T01:59:26. 800000	43.91	13.2288	10.2	BULLETIN- INGV	M_L	3.6	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33477031	2022-11-24T17:26:40. 160000	43.925	13.2753	9.1	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33477901	2022-11-24T22:11:30. 200000	43.904	13.2937	9.5	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33533041	2022-12-01T00:03:02. 130000	43.8888	13.3305	9.7	SURVEY-IN GV	$M_{\rm L}$	3.4	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33534141	2022-12-01T04:42:07. 310000	43.8875	13.339	8.8	SURVEY-IN GV	M_{L}	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33584401	2022-12-07T11:06:10. 980000	43.9202	13.3133	10.0	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.0	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33589291	2022-12-08T05:30:05. 540000	43.913	13.297	9.1	BULLETIN- INGV	$M_{\rm W}$	3.6	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33590351	2022-12-08T06:55:41. 970000	43.954	13.3127	9.1	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.0	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33590571	2022-12-08T07:08:18. 650000	43.914	13.2888	8.4	BULLETIN- INGV	$M_{\rm W}$	3.9	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33591681	2022-12-08T08:06:50. 860000	43.9312	13.3175	8.9	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.3	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33645871	2022-12-14T08:34:05. 690000	44.0173	13.2392	9.1	SURVEY-IN GV	M _L	3.0	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33683471	2022-12-19T07:37:13. 480000	43.8762	13.3748	8.8	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.3	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33771681	2022-12-31T00:37:35. 720000	43.9827	13.3077	8.8	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.1	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33804101	2023-01-04T15:55:18. 660000	43.939	13.275	9.5	BULLETIN- INGV	M_L	3.5	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)

33804361	2023-01-04T16:01:18. 420000	43.9262	13.2773	8.7	SURVEY-IN GV	$M_{\rm L}$	3.3	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33870151	2023-01-12T07:06:14. 500000	43.9117	13.2668	9.6	BULLETIN- INGV	$M_{\rm L}$	3.6	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
33959201	2023-01-21T18:52:37. 040000	43.9348	13.3682	7.7	SURVEY-IN GV	$M_{\rm L}$	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Anconetana (Ancona)
33977501	2023-01-25T14:30:20. 590000	43.9682	13.3052	7.9	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.0	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
34020401	2023-02-02T04:18:22. 520000	43.9823	13.3227	7.0	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.2	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
34024531	2023-02-02T14:49:37. 610000	43.9583	13.2907	7.2	SURVEY-IN GV	M_L	3.1	Costa Marchigiana Pesarese (Pesaro-Urbino)
34161341	2023-02-21T00:07:20. 490000	43.2798	13.3392	7.4	BULLETIN- INGV	M_{W}	3.6	1 km NW Pollenza (MC)

442 443

4.2.2 Directional amplification in frequency and time domain

444 Directional amplification effects imply that there is a preferential direction of amplification of 445 the horizontal Fourier spectra, reported as a strike from the geographic north, as firstly 446 proposed by Bonamassa and Vidale (1991). In the time domain, they correspond to linearly 447 polarized ground motion, with mean polarization along the direction of maximum 448 amplification.

449 In this work, directional amplification effects are preliminarily investigated in the frequency 450 domain through the calculation of rotated horizontal-to-vertical spectral ratios both on noise 451 (HVNSR) and earthquakes (HVSR), and in the time domain by using the covariance matrix 452 analysis (Kanasewich, 1980; Jurkevics 1988).

453 The use of rotated spectral ratios was first introduced by Spudich et al. (1996) and 454 subsequently exploited by several authors to detect the horizontal polarization of ground 455 motion on topography and in fault zones (e.g., Rigano et al., 2008; Di Giulio et al., 2009; 456 Pischiutta et al., 2012) or on sedimentary basins (Theodoulidis et al., 2018).

457 For the computation on noise, we used the Geopsy software (Whatelet et al., 2020) applying 458 an anti-trigger algorithm to select the most stationary part of the signals, as well as a cosine 459 taper and a Konno-Ohmachi smoothing filter with coefficient b = 40 (Konno and Ohmachi, 460 1998). We calculated HVNSR after rotating the NS and EW components by steps of 10°, 461 from 0° to 180°.

462 For earthquakes we considered the same list in Table 4 used for HVSR analysis. We first cut 463 a portion of each event, a 6-seconds long window, including the S and early coda waves. 464 Then, we computed the direction of maximum amplification as the azimuth at which the 465 HVSR peak reaches the maximum value. Conventionally, the directional amplification effect 466 is considered significant if the ratio between the maximum and minimum amplitude levels at 467 the frequency peak exceeds 1.5 (Pischiutta et al., 2018). The complete values retrieved by the 468 rotated HVNSR and HVSR are given in the Supplementary material (Tables S1 and S2, 469 corresponding to results from earthquake and ambient noise recordings, respectively).

⁴⁷¹ The covariance matrix method in the time domain (Jurkevics, 1988) is an alternative method ⁴⁷² to estimate the ground motion polarization both on noise and earthquakes, in particular when ⁴⁷³ directional peaks have been observed with the rotated HVNSR or HVSR. The method results ⁴⁷⁴ in the estimation of the polarization ellipsoid. In order to give a quantitative evaluation on 475 how much elongated the polarization ellipsoids is, we apply the hierarchical criterion 476 proposed by Pischiutta et al. (2012), which results are given in the supplementary material 477 (Tables S1 and S2, corresponding to results from earthquake signals and ambient noise, 478 respectively).

479 480

4.2.3 Horizontal-to-Horizontal spectral ratio (SSR)

The Horizontal-to-Horizontal spectral ratios (SSR) technique is based on the assumption that the ratio between horizontal Fourier spectra from earthquakes recorded at a given site and at a bedrock site represent a good estimate of the transfer function of the site. The implicit support that the contribution of the source and the crustal propagation is the same for the two sites, and that the spectrum of the rock site (i.e. the reference station) is free from the amplification effects (Borcherdt, 1970; Cara et al., 2011). For these reasons, this technique is believed to give the seismic response of a given site, not only limited to the resonance effects tas as for HVNSR or HVSR.

489 For network 6N¹⁰ we chose CMA15 station as the most suitable reference site, being installed 490 on an outcropping geological bedrock (FCO, Colombacci Formation). Moreover, its 491 recordings are characterized by short duration, small amplitudes and no resonance frequency 492 peaks (see Figures 11 and 14).

⁴⁹³ In order to automate the calculation, a script implemented in a Python environment and based ⁴⁹⁴ on the ObsPy¹⁵ framework (Beyreuther et al., 2010) was used. The code allows to: (1) extract ⁴⁹⁵ the signal related to a seismic event over a time window of definable duration (6s in this case) ⁴⁹⁶ starting from the arrival of the S wave, which has been estimated using the technique ⁴⁹⁷ proposed by Akazawa (2004); (2) calculate the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR); (3) process the ⁴⁹⁸ signals with a Konno and Ohmachi (1998) filter and, finally, calculate the SSR ratios. The ⁴⁹⁹ iterative application was applied on the same list of HVSR analysis taking into account the ⁵⁰⁰ simultaneous presence of events on both the considered site and the reference site (Table 4). ⁵⁰¹

502 4.3 Summary results

503 This subsection illustrates the results of the techniques described in the previous sections, by 504 using three selected stations as representative of the network: CMA08, CMA14 and CMA15. 505 The results for all the stations of the 6N network are given as synthetic sheets and collected in 506 the supplementary material (Figures from S3 to S13). Moreover, the results can be can be 507 accessed and downloaded in electronic format at Zenodo under:

1) HVNSR curves: <u>10.5281/zenodo.14704661</u> (Cara and Famiani, 2025)

2) HVSR curves: <u>10.5281/zenodo.14672464</u> (Cara and Famiani, 2025)

3) SSR curves: <u>10.5281/zenodo.14672943</u> (Cara and Famiani, 2025)

- 4) Rotated HVNSR curves: <u>10.5281/zenodo.14700835</u> (Pischiutta et al., 2025)
- 5) Rotated HVSR curves: <u>10.5281/zenodo.14701171</u> (Pischiutta et al., 2025).
- 513

514 Figure 14 shows the HVNSR, HVSR and SSR results for the three considered stations. In the 515 following we summarize some preliminary conclusions:

- 516
- a) HVNSR amplitudes are relatively low (about 2 in average) and no clear resonance
 peaks are observed.
- b) HVNSR and HVSR of station CMA15 are flat, as expected for a reference site.
- c) HVSR curves of CMA08 and CMA14 are slightly different from HVNSR ones: the
 amplitudes are higher and also the frequency peaks depicted by the two techniques are
 different. It should be considered that the number of earthquakes used for HVSR is
 not very high, therefore the result is only indicative.

d) SSR analysis shows very different outcomes than HVSR analysis. This behavior
could be due to the choice of the reference site (CMA15), and/or to possible 2- or
3-dimensional site effects not accounted for by the HVSR technique.

527

The analysis of HVNSR carried out over the entire recording period was also important to see assess the temporal stability of the spectral peaks at each site (see Fig. S2 in Supplementary material). There was no relevant variation of the peak frequencies whereas the peak sin amplitude shows temporal variations up to 20%. These variations are mostly related to see and above 4 Hz.

534 Results of directional and polarization analyses, on both earthquake and noise, are shown in535 Figure 15 for two stations, CMA08 and CMA14.

536 For station CMA08 the rotated HVNSR and HVSR highlights the presence of a directional 537 peak at about 3-4 Hz, and along N90°-110° azimuth (roughly, E-W direction). The pattern is 538 more complex at station CMA14 (Fig. 15, bottom panels), where earthquakes and noise give 539 slightly different outcomes. Earthquake recordings show two clear peaks in the HVSR 540 analysis, the former at 2.6 Hz, with maximum amplification roughly N-S and the latter at 4.4 541 Hz that is not directional. Circular histograms of polarization azimuths obtained from filtered 542 earthquake signals in the frequency band 1-3 Hz, show a similar trend in N-S direction.

543

544 6. Data Availability

545 Data described in this manuscript can be accessed under 10.13127/sd/qctgd6c-3a 546 (EMERSITO Working Group, 2024).

547

548 7. Discussion and conclusions

549 The aims of this work were to illustrate the seismic dataset collected by the 6N temporary 550 network at Ancona, stored and available from the EIDA database, describe the intervention of 551 the EMERSITO working group and focus on the difficulties that can be encountered in urban 552 contexts during emergency activities, and finally to present the preliminary results that can be 553 achieved during a seismic sequence.

554

555 The overall results of HVSR and polarization analysis on both earthquakes and noise are 556 summarized in Figure 16.

557 As aforementioned, the HV on noise does not detect some frequency peaks, which are 558 evident only by earthquake data (CMA05, CMA06, CMA09, and CMA14), and, for some 559 other peaks, displays lower amplitude and/or no directionality (CMA05, CMA07, CMA09, 560 CMA12, CMA14). HVNSR and HVSR for station CMA10, which is set on the 1982 561 landslide, have a shape with no clear resonance peak.

562

563 In terms of directional motion the results between noise and earthquakes are fully consistent 564 only at stations CMA08, CMA11, and CMA15.

565

Table 5 lists, for each 6N¹⁰ station, the outcropping lithology, the number of peaks observed 567 on HVSRs and for each one, the peak frequency and amplitude values. When amplification is 568 found to be directional, the direction of maximum amplification and polarization is given as 569 well.

570 The lowest resonance frequency value from data analysis (Table 5), observed at the sites 571 CMA07, CMA11 and CMA15, is around 1.5 Hz (frequency range 1-2.5 Hz in Fig. 16) and 572 related to thick clay deposits (Fig. 7). The majority of sites show f_0 values in the range 2.5-5 573 Hz. Higher frequencies ($f_0 > 5$ Hz) are observed at two stations (CMA12 and CMA05) closest 574 to the sea in the northern direction, where the Schlier marly Formation is nearly outcropping 575 (Fig. 7).

576
577 Table 5. Synthesis of results of directional analysis (frequency and amplitude values of resonance peaks)
578 obtained from HVSR and HVNSR analysis.

	Summary of HVSR and HVNSR analyses								
590	Station	Site conditions	N. peaks	#	Frequenc y peak (Hz)	Ampl ·	Direction max ampl. (degrees)	Notes	
200	CMA05	SCH - Schlier Fm.	2	1	5.2∻5.6	2.7 + 4.1	30÷36	HVSRs indicate no directionality	
581		Marly limestones and clays (Miocene)		2	9.7	2.8÷3.6	12÷20	Peak evident only on HVSRs	
	CMA06	MUSbn - Musone Fm.	2	1	1.2÷1.3	2.4+2.9	none		
500		Terrace deposits (Holocene)		2	3.5÷3.7	2.7÷4.7	none	Peak evident only on HVSRs	
502	CMA07	MUSbn - Musone Fm.	1	1	1.6÷2.2	2.1÷3.5	30÷60	HVNSRs have lower amplitudes than HVSRs	
583		Terrace deposits (Holocene)							
	CMA08	Musb2- Musone Fm.	1	1	2.8÷3.9	2.3÷3.5	80÷110		
E01		Eluvio-colluvial deposits (Holocene)							
304	CMA09	Musb2 Musone Fm.	2	1	1.7÷2.4	2.1÷3.3	170	HVNSRs have lower amplitudes than HVSRs and no directionality	
		Eluvio-colluvial deposits (Holocene)		2	3.5÷3.7	2.7÷4.7	80	Peak evident only on HVSRs	
585	CMA10	Musa1 - Musone Fm.	3	1	2.6÷2.7	2.1	none	Peak evident only on HVSRs	
		Active landslide deposits		2	4.1∻4.4	2.3	0	Peak evident only on HVSRs	
586		(Holocene)		3	5.3÷7.5	2÷3.2	none	Broadband peak	
000	CMA11	MUSbn - Musone Fm.	1	1	1.4÷1.5	2.1÷3.3	none		
507		Terrace deposits (Holocene)							
587	CMA12	SCH - Schlier Fm.	1	1	8.8∻9.6	2.5÷3.7	100	HVSRs indicate no directionality	
588		Marly limestones and clays (Miocene)							
500	CMA13	MUSbn - Musone Fm.	1	1	1.4÷2.6	2÷3.6	10	HVNSRs have lower amplitudes than HVSRs and no directionality	
590		Terrace deposits (Holocene)							
307	CMA14	FAA - Argille Azzurre Fm.	2	1	2.2÷2.6	2÷2.7	140÷170	HVNSRs have lower amplitudes than HVSRs	
590		Marly and silty clays (Pleistocene)		2	4.4÷4.5	2.5÷3.2	none	Peak evident only on HVSRs	
570	CMA15	FCO - Colombacci Fm.	no peaks						
		Marly clays with conglomeratic levels (Miocene)	r						

<mark>592</mark>

593 However, it is important to say that for a complete geological-based interpretation, the 594 earthquake database collected during the experiment needs to be fully analyzed, with a 595 detailed search of M<3.0 events with SNR>=3, to have more robust statistics.

596

597 At the stage of the activities of EMERSITO during the seismic sequence, we can infer some 598 points to be investigated in detail in future papers:

- a) The HVNSR technique was a good method to test the functioning of the stations and
 the variability in an urban context, but it seems that for this case study, where the
 geological features do not show strong impedance contrast, is not very suitable for
 revealing resonance effects.
- b) Also the HVSR technique, even if it has to be refined with a greater number of
 earthquakes, shows similar trends of HVNSR but with higher amplitudes and more
 evident peaks.
- c) The SSRs are strongly different from HVNSR and HVSR. Also SSR has to be refined
 with a greater number of earthquakes, but the role of the reference station needs to be
 investigated. If the SSRs will result reliably, the next step will be to compare these
 amplification estimates with numerical simulations based on the available geological
 profiles for each site. Therefore, the use of 1D, 2D and maybe 3D simulations
 hopefully will explain the observed amplification pattern.
- d) Although the role of landslide sediments in the amplification pattern is out of the aim
 of this work, we believe that specific and multidisciplinary studies based on extensive
 measurements in the unstable zones of the city are needed. It has to be taken into
 account that in unfavorable hydrological conditions, seismic waves of a possible
 moderate-to-strong earthquake could trigger the landslide movements.
- e) All the stations (except CMA06 and CMA14 situated in external courtyards) are
 installed in the basement floors into buildings, then the interaction between soil and
 structures can have played a role in the observed results.

Acknowledgements. We thank the people of Ancona who hosted the instruments. 620 In particular we thank the Navy and the Regione Marche for all the support, facilities 621 and information that they have made available. 622 acknowledge of We gratefully the Laboratory ESITO INGV 623 (https://www.ingv.it/monitoraggio-e-infrastrutture/laboratori/laboratorio-effetti-di-sito 624) for the technical support during the experiment. 625 We also thank the Italian Department of Civil Defence (DPC) for the economic 626 support and a special thanks to the INGV group, in particular Massimo Fares, Diego 627 Franceschi and Ivano Carluccio that helped us in the procedure to share the data of the 628 network 6N in EIDA and Mario Locati for the INGV data policy task. 629 630 631 **Details on dataset access.** 632 The dataset uploaded to EIDA can be requested in two ways: 633 1) Using the Orfeus Data Center WebDC3 Web Interface 634 635 **Repository**: http://www.orfeus-eu.org/webdc3/ 636 Go to the "Explore Stations" tab, set Network Type as "All temporary nets" 637 and Network Code as "6N*+ (2022) - Emersito Seismic Network in Ancona 638 (Central Italy)". Select the HN (velocimetric data) or EH (accelerometric data) 639 channels or both. Then press "Search". A list of the available seismic stations 640 appears, it is possible to select all or only the desired stations. 641 Go to the "Submit request" tab and set the appropriate Time Selection 642 Window. If you wish to download the complete records, set the time windows 643 from 9-11-2022 to 28-02-2023 which include the whole recording period of 644 the network 6N. Unfortunately the Orfeus Data Center limits the maximum 645 size of data that can be downloaded for each request at about 1.5Gb. This 646 means that it is possible to download up to 30 days of the HN (velocimetric) 647 channels or up to 15 days of the EH (accelerometric) channels of one station at 648 a time. Anyhow, to reduce the waiting times we suggest halving the request, 649 e.g. 15 days for station and HN channels.

- e.g. 15 days for station and HN channels.
 You can also choose to request for the miniseed data only, the metadata in XML format or the metadata in text format.
- 653 If everything is ok go to the "Download Data" tab, where you can follow the 654 status of the FDSNWS requests. At the end click on the "SAVE" button to 655 download the requested data.
- Using the INGV Web Services, based on FDSN specifications, directly from
 a browser. Details on how using these web services can be found at the web
 page <u>https://terremoti.ingv.it/en/webservices_and_software</u>.

- **Author's contribution**: F. Cara, G. Di Giulio, M. Vassallo, G. Cultrera, G. Riccio, S. Lovati and F. Pacor are the coordinators of the Emersito task force. They designed and managed the experiment, so they contributed to the project administration and the conceptualization tasks. D. Famiani has been charged as scientific manager for the experiment, supervised by the coordinators. She wrote the initial draft of this manuscript that was revised and completed by the coordinators, in particular by F. Cara, G. Cultrera, G. Di Giulio and F. Pacor.
- G. Di Giulio, M. Vassallo, D. Famiani, G. Brunelli, A. Bobbio, M. Pischiutta, S.
 Hailemikael, A. Mercuri, G. Milana, L. Minarelli, A. Di Filippo, L. Nardone, S.
 Marzorati, C. Ladina, D. Pantaleo, and C. Calamita contributed to the investigation,
 finding the sites, deploying the seismic stations and maintaining them.
- M. Vassallo, G. Riccio, A. Costanzo, A. Bobbio, M. Pischiutta, M. Massa, R. Puglia,
 S. Hailemikael, A. Mercuri, G. Milana, M.G. Ciaccio, S. Pucillo, G. Sgattoni and C.
 Ladina contributed to the formal analysis.
- G. Riccio was in charge of data curation.
- 674 G. Brunelli contributed to the definition of 1D stratigraphy models under the 675 investigated sites.
- R. Cogliano contributed to the maintenance of the web-gis whereas S. Pucillo, A.
 Fodarella, G. Brunelli and D. Famiani helped in finding resources to add to the
 web-gis.
- 679 G. Mele and C. Bottari helped the coordinators in the initial dissemination of the 680 experiment, useful also for the writing of this manuscript.
- L. Falco G. and A. Memmolo contributed to the instrumental part, in particular in the setting of the real-time stations.
- 683 M. Massa, G. Mele and C. Bottari, G. De Luca, G. Sgattoni and G. Tarabusi 684 contributed to the initial ideas about the experiment and also to the resources.
- **Competing interests**: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

686	Footnotes
687	¹ <u>https://www.ingv.it/en/index.php</u>
688	² <u>https://www.ingv.it/en/monitoring-and-infrastructure/emergencies/emergency-groups</u>
689	³ <u>https://sismiko.ingv.it/</u>
690	⁴ <u>https://emergeo.ingv.it</u>
691	⁵ <u>https://quest.ingv.it</u>
692	⁶ <u>http://emersitoweb.rm.ingv.it/index.php/it/</u>
693	⁷ <u>http://www.an.ingv.it/</u>
694	⁸ <u>https://esm-db.eu/#/event/INT-20221109_0000046</u>
695	⁹ <u>https://www.fdsn.org/</u>
696	¹⁰ <u>https://fdsn.org/networks/detail/6N_2022/</u>
697	¹¹ <u>http://www.isc.ac.uk</u>
698	¹² <u>https://data.ingv.it/en/</u>
699	¹³ <u>https://eida.ingv.it/en/</u>
700	¹⁴ https://docs.obspy.org/packages/autogen/obspy.signal.spectral_estimation.PPSD.html
701	¹⁵ <u>https://docs.obspy.org/</u>
702	¹⁶ <u>http://terremoti.ingv.it/en/</u>
703	¹⁷ <u>https://itaca.mi.ingv.it/</u>
704	¹⁸ <u>http://crisp.ingv.it/</u>
705	
706	
707	

708	References
709	Agostini, A., Tofani, V., Nolesini, T., Gigli, G., Tanteri, L., Rosi, A., Cardellini, S., and Casagli,
710	N.: A new appraisal of the Ancona landslide based on geotechnical investigations and stability
711	modelling, Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology,
712	https://doi.org/10.1144/qjegh2013-028, 2014.
713	
714	Akazawa, T.: A technique for automatic detection of onset time of P-and S-phases in strong
715	motion records, 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, Canada, Aug.
716	1-6. https://www.iitk.ac.in/nicee/wcee/article/13_786.pdf [Accessed on 8 Dec 2023], 2004.
717	
718	Albarello, D., Pacitti, P., Schiaroli, A., Tiberi, P., Fantozzi, P. L., Pieruccini, P., and Madiai, C.: La
719	microzonazione sismica delle Marche. 10 anni di attività, Regione Marche. ISBN
720	978-88-95554-40-2, https://hdl.handle.net/2158/1325073, 2022.
721	
722	Bally, A. W., Burbi, L., Cooper, C., and Ghelardoni, R.: Balanced sections and seismic reflection
723	profiles across the central Apennines, Mem. Soc. Geol. It., 35, 257-310, 1986.
724	
725	Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., Megies, T., Behr, Y., and Wassermann, J.: ObsPy: A
726	Python Toolbox for Seismology, Seismological Research Letters, 81(3): 530–533,
727	https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.81.3.530, 2010
728	
729	Bonamassa, O., and Vidale, J. E.: Directional site resonances observed from aftershocks of the 18
730	October 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake sequence, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 81, 1945–1958,
731	https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0810051945, 1991.
732	
733	Borcherdt R. D.: Effects of local geology on ground motion near San Francisco Bay, Bulletin of
734	the Seismological Society of America, 60(1): 29–61, https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0600010029,
735	1970
736	
737	Calza, W., Maistrello, M., Marcellini, A., Morganti, C., Rampoldi, R., Rossi, B., Stucchi M., and
738	Zonno, G.: Elementi di microzonazione dell'area anconetana, Pubbl. Prog. Final. Geodin., 430, 68
739	pp., 1981.
740	
741	Cancelli, A., Marabini, F., Pellegrini, M. and Tonnetti, G.: Incidenze delle frane sull'evoluzione
742	della costa adriatica da Pesaro a Vasto, Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana, 27, 555–568,
743	1984
744	
745	Cara, F., Di Giulio, G., Cavinato, G.P. et al.: Seismic characterization and monitoring of Fucino
746	Basin (Central Italy), Bull Earthquake Eng, 9, 1961–1985,
747	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-011-9282-2, 2011.
748	
749	Cara, F., Cultrera, G., Riccio, G. et al.: Temporary dense seismic network during the 2016 Central
750	Italy seismic emergency for microzonation studies, Sci Data, 6, 182,
751	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0188-1, 2019.
752	
753	Cara, F., Di Giulio, G., Bagh, S. et al.: Gruppo Operativo EMERSITO-Evento sismico Costa
754	Marchigiana 2022, Rapporto N. 1 del 09/11/2022, http://hdl.handle.net/2122/15783. in Italian.
755	2022a.
756	

757 758	Cara, F., Di Giulio, G., Cultrera, G., Pacor, F., et al.: Gruppo Operativo EMERSITO-Evento signico Costa Marchigiana 2022 Rapporto N 2 del 13/11/2022 http://hdl.handle.net/2122/15785
750	in Italian 2022b
759 760	in Italian, 20220.
761	Cara, F., Di Giulio, G., Cultrera, G., Pacor, et al.; RAPPORTO N. 3 ATTIVITÀ DEL GRUPPO
762	OPERATIVO EMERSITO A SEGUITO DELL'EVENTO SISMICO Costa Marchigiana Pesarese
763	Mw 5 5 del 9/11/2022, http://hdl handle.net/2122/15795 <i>in Italian 2022c</i>
764	1100000191112022, 1000000000000000000000000000000000000
765	Cara, F. and Famiani D.: Horizontal to vertical spectral ratios (HVNSR) on ambient noise
766	recordings of stations of the 6N network during the activities of the EMERSITO INGV emergency
767	group in Ancona (Italy) following the 2022 MW 5.5 Costa Marchigiana-Pesarese earthquake, doi:
768	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14704661, 2025
769	
770	Cara, F. and Famiani D.: Horizontal to vertical spectral ratios (HVSR) on earthquake recordings of
771	stations of the 6N network during the activities of the EMERSITO INGV emergency group in
772	Ancona (Italy) following the 2022 MW 5.5 Costa Marchigiana-Pesarese earthquake, doi:
773	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14672464, 2025
774	
775	Cara, F. and Famiani D.: Standard spectral ratios (SSR) on earthquake recordings of stations of the
776	6N network during the activities of the EMERSITO INGV emergency group in Ancona (Italy)
777	following the 2022 MW 5.5 Costa Marchigiana-Pesarese earthquake, doi:
778	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14672943, 2025
779	
780	Cardellini, S., and Osimani, P. (2013). The Ancona Early Warning Centre, Instrumentation and
781	Continuous Monitoring of the Landslides. In Landslide Science and Practice (Vol. 2, pp. 57–65).
782	Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31445-2_7
783	
784	Cello, G., and Tondi, E.: Note illustrative della Carta Geologica d'Italia alla scala 1: 50.000,
785	Foglio 282 Ancona, Ancona, Servizio Geologico d'Italia-ISPRA e Regione Marche, pagg. 101, in
786	Italian, SELCA Firenze, 2013.
787	
788	Centamore, E., Coltorti, M. et al.: Aspetti neotettonici e geomorfologici del Foglio 133-134
789	(Ascoli Piceno–Giulianova). CNR-Progetto Finalizzato 'Geodinamica': Contributi conclusivi per
790	la realizzazione della Carta Neotettonica d'Italia, 2, 371–386, 1982.
791	
792	Ciaccio, M. G., Di Stefano, R., Improta, L., Mariucci, M. T., and BSI Working Group:
793	First-Motion Focal Mechanism Solutions for 2015–2019 $M \ge 4.0$ Italian Earthquakes, Frontiers in
794	Earth Science, 9, 630116, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.630116, 2021.
795	
796	Console, R., Peronaci, F., and Sonaglia, A.: Relazione sui fenomeni sismici dell'Anconetano
797	(1972), Ann. Geofis., Suppl. al vol. XXVI, 3-148, https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-5033, in Italian,
798	1973.
799	
800	Crescenti, U., Calista, M., Mangifesta, M. and Sciarra, N.: The Ancona landslide of December
801	1982, Giornale di Geologia Applicata, 1, 53 –62,
802	https://doi.org/10.1474/GGA.2005-01.0-06.0006, 2005.
803	
804	D'Alema, E., Alparone, S., Augliera, P., Biagini, D., Calamita, C., Castagnozzi, A., Cavaliere, A.,
805	Costanzo, A., Della Bina, E., Farroni, S., Galluzzo, D., Gasparini, A., Ladina, C., Lauciani, V.,
806	Mandiello, A. G., Margheriti, L., Marzorati, S., Moretti, M., Pantaleo, D., Zuccarello, L.:

807	Seismic Data acquired by the SISMIKO Emergency Group - Northern Marche Coast - Italy 2022 -
808	T17 [Data set]. Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV),
809	https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/TBLKBA-3U6, 2022.
810	
811	Danecek, P., Pintore, S., Mazza, S., Mandiello, A., Fares, M., and Carluccio, I.: The Italian node
812	of the European integrated data archive, Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(3), 1726–1737,
813	https://doi.org/10.1785/0220200409, 2021.
814	
815	Di Giulio, G., Cara, F., Rovelli, A., Lombardo, G., and Rigano, R.: Evidences for strong
816	directional resonances in intensely deformed zones of the Pernicana fault, Mount Etna, Italy,
817	Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JB006393, 114, B10,
818	2009.
819	
820	DISS Working Group. Database of Individual Seismogenic Sources (DISS), Version 3.3.0: A
821	compilation of potential sources for earthquakes larger than M 5.5 in Italy and surrounding areas.
822	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV). https://doi.org/10.13127/diss3.3.0, 2021.
823	
824	EMERSITO Working Group. (2024). Rete sismica temporanea 6N installata ad Ancona dal
825	gruppo EMERSITO durante la seguenza sismica del 2022 - Costa Marchigiana-Pesarese [Data
826	set]. Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV).
827	https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/OCTGD6C-3A
828	
829	Famiani, D., Cara, F., Cultrera, G., Di Giulio, G., et al.; RAPPORTO N. 4 ATTIVITÀ DEL
830	GRUPPO OPERATIVO EMERSITO A SEGUITO DELL'EVENTO SISMICO Costa
831	Marchigiana Pesarese Mw 5.5 del 9/11/2022, http://hdl.handle.net/2122/16014, in Italian, 2023.
832	
833	Farabollini P., Gentili B., Materazzi M. & Pambianchi G.: Analisi del rischio geo-ambientale: il
834	bacino del fiume Potenza nelle Marche centrali. Atti X Congr. Naz. Geologi "Il territorio Fragile".
835	Roma 7-10 dicembre 2000, 575-584, <i>in Italian</i> , 2001.
836	
837	Ferraris, G., Maistrello, M., Rampoldi, R., Secomandi, P., and Stucchi, M.: The seismological
838	network of Ancona, Boll. Geof. Teor. Appl., 18, 6 8, 299-316, 1975.
839	
840	Fiorillo, F.: Geological features and landslide mechanisms of an unstable coastal slope (Petacciato,
841	Italy), Engineering Geology, 67, 255–267, 2003.
842	
843	Guidoboni, E., Ferrari, G., Mariotti, D., Comastri, A., Tarabusi, G., Sgattoni, G., and Valensise,
844	G.: CFTI5Med, Catalogo dei Forti Terremoti in Italia (461 a.C1997) e nell'area Mediterranea
845	(760 a.C1500), Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV),
846	https://doi.org/10.6092/ingv.it-cfti5, 2018.
847	
848	Hailemikael, S., Amoroso, S., and Gaudiosi, I.: Guest editorial: seismic microzonation of Central
849	Italy following the 2016–2017 seismic sequence. Bull Earthquake Eng 18, 5415–5422.
850	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-020-00929-6, 2020.
851	
852	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV). Rete Sismica Nazionale (RSN) Istituto
853	Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV), https://doi.org/10.13127/SD/X0FXnH7OfY.
854	2005.
855	

856	Jurkevics, A.: Polarisation analysis of three-component array data, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 78,
857	1725–1743, https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0780051725, 1988.
858	
859	Kanasewich, E. R.: Time Sequence Analysis in Geophysics, Third Edition, 532 pp., Univ. of
860	Alberta Press Edmonton Canada 1981
861	
862	Kisslinger C · The Ancona Italy Farthquake Swarm 1972 Farthquake Notes XLIII 4 1972
863	Rissiniger, C.: The Theona, Tary Earthquake Swarn, 1972, Earthquake Fields, ADIR, 1, 1972.
864	Konno, K. and Ohmachi, T.: Ground-motion characteristics estimated from spectral ratio between
865	horizontal and vertical components of microtremor. Bull Seis Soc. Am. 88(1):228–241
944	https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0880010228_1998
000	<u>nups.//doi.org/10.1/65/D55A0660010226</u> , 1996.
007	Lames Land Chávaz Carrás E. L. Site affect evaluation using greatral ratios with only one station
000	Dellatin of the Saismalagical Society of America, 82 (5): 1574, 1504, doi:
869	Builetin of the Seismological Society of America $85(5)$, $15/4-1594$, doi:
870	<u>nttps://doi.org/10.1/85/B55A08300515/4</u> , 1993
871	
872	Lettieri M Progetto CARG - Il Progetto di Cartografia geologica nazionale alla scala 1:50.000:
873	stato di avanzamento (novembre 2008), in <i>Mem. Descr. Carta Geol. d'It. LXXXVIII</i> , (2009), pp.
874	17-20 figg. 3
875	
876	Luzi, L., Lanzano, G., Felicetta, C., D'Amico, M. C., Russo, E., Sgobba, S., Pacor, F., and
877	ORFEUS Working Group 5: Engineering Strong Motion Database (ESM) (Version 2.0), Istituto
878	Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV), <u>https://doi.org/10.13127/ESM.2</u> , 2020.
879	
880	McNamara, D. E., and Buland, R. P.: Ambient noise levels in the continental United States,
881	Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 94(4): 1517–1527,
882	https://doi.org/10.1785/012003001, 2004.
883	
884	Milana, G., Cultrera, G., Bordoni, P. et al.: Local site effects estimation at Amatrice (Central Italy)
885	through seismological methods, Bull Earthquake Eng, 18, 5713-5739,
886	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-019-00587-3, 2020.
887	
888	Moretti, M, Margheriti, L, D'Alema, E. and Piccinini, D.: SISMIKO: INGV operational task force
889	for rapid deployment of seismic network during earthquake emergencies, Front. Earth Sci.,
890	11:1146579, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2023.1146579, 2023.
891	
892	Nakamura, Y.: A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface using microtremor
893	on the ground surface. Railway Technical Research Institute, Quarterly Reports, 30(1), 1989.
894	
895	Nardone, L., Vassallo, M., Cultrera, G. Sapia, V. et al.: A geophysical multidisciplinary approach
896	to investigate the shallow subsoil structures in volcanic environment: The case of Ischia Island.
897	Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 438, 107820.
898	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2023.107820.2023
899	<u> </u>
900	PCM-PCD: Presidency of Council of Ministers - Civil Protection Department: Italian Strong
901	Motion Network [Data set] International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks
902	https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/IT_1972
903	<u></u>
904	Peterson, J. R.: Observations and Modeling of Seismic Background Noise, U.S.G.S. Open File
905	Report, 93-322, 95 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr93322, 1993.

906	
907 908	Pischiutta, M., Salvini, F., Fletcher, J. B., Rovelli, A., and Ben-Zion, Y.: Horizontal polarization of ground motion in the Havward fault zone at Fremont. California: Dominant fault-high angle
900	polarization and fault induced eracks. Cooplys. J. Int. 188(2), 1255, 1272
909	potarization and radit-induced cracks, Geophys. J. Int., 188(5), 1253–1272,
910	$\underline{\text{nttps://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.2011.05319.x}, 2012.$
911	
912	Pischiutta, M., Ciantarra, P., Saivini, F., Cara, F., and Vannoli, P.: A systematic analysis of
913	directional site effects at stations of the Italian seismic network to test the role of local topography,
914	Geophys. J. Int., 214(1), 635–650, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy133</u> , 2018.
915	
916	Pischiutta, M., Cara, F., and Famiani, D.: Rotated horizontal to vertical spectral ratios on ambient
917	noise (HVNSR rot) recordings of stations of the 6N network during the activities of the
918	EMERSITO INGV emergency group in Ancona (Italy) following the 2022 MW 5.5 Costa
919	Marchigiana-Pesarese earthquake, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14/00835</u> , 2025
920	
921	Pischiutta, M., Cara, F., and Famiani, D.: Rotated horizontal to vertical spectral ratios on
922	earthquake (HVSR rot) recordings of stations of the 6N network during the activities of the
923	EMERSITO INGV emergency group in Ancona (Italy) following the 2022 MW 5.5 Costa
924	Marchigiana-Pesarese earthquake, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14701171</u> , 2025
925	
926	Priolo, E., Pacor, F., Spallarossa, D. et al.: Seismological analyses of the seismic microzonation of
927	138 municipalities damaged by the 2016–2017 seismic sequence in Central Italy, Bull Earthquake
928	Eng, 18, 5553–5593, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-019-00652-x</u> , 2020.
929	
930	Reasenberg, P. A., and Oppenheimer, D.: FPFIT, FPPLOT and FPPAGE: FORTRAN Computer
931	Programs for Calculating and Displaying Earthquake Fault-Plane Solutions, US Geological
932	Survey Open-File Report, 85-739, USGS, 109 p., <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/off85739</u> , 1985.
933	
934	Rigano, R., Cara, F., Lombardo, G., and Rovelli, A.: Evidence for ground motion polarization on
935	fault zones of Mount Etna volcano, J. Geophys. Res., 113, B10306,
936	<u>https://doi.org/10.1029/200/JB0055/4</u> , 2008.
937	
938	Rovida, A., Locati, M., Antonucci, A., and Camassi, R. (a cura di): Archivio Storico
939	Macrosismico Italiano (ASMI), Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV),
940	<u>https://doi.org/10.1312//asmi</u> , 2017.
941	
942	Rovida, A., Locati, M., Camassi, R., Lolli, B., and Gasperini P.: The Italian earthquake catalogue
943	CP1115, Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, $18(7)$, 2953-2984,
944	<u>https://doi.org/10.100//s10518-020-00818-y</u> , 2020.
945	
946	Rovida, A., Locati, M., Camassi, R., Lolli, B., Gasperini, P., and Antonucci A.: Catalogo
947	Parametrico dei Terremoti Italiani (CP1115), versione 4.0, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e
948	vuicanologia (INGV), <u>https://doi.org/10.1312//CP11/CP1115.4</u> , 2022.
949	
950	Spudich, P., Hellweg, M., Lee, W. H. K.: Directional topographic site response at Tarzana observed
951	in attershocks of the 1994 Northridge, California, earthquake: Implications for mainshock
952	motions, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 86, 1B, (\$193-\$208),
953	<u>nups://doi.org/10.1/85/B88A08601B8193</u> , 1996.
954	

955	Stucchi, E., Zgur, F., and Baradello, L.: Seismic land-marine acquisition survey on the Great
956	Ancona Landslide, Near Surface Geophysics, 3.4: 235-243, 2005.
957	
958	Stucchi, E., and Mazzotti, A.: 2D seismic exploration of the Ancona landslide (Adriatic Coast,
959	Italy), GEOPHYSICS, 74, no. 5, 2009.
960	
961	Tertulliani, A., Antonucci, A., Berardi, M., Borghi, A., Brunelli, G., Caracciolo, C. H., and
962	Pinzi, S.: Gruppo Operativo Quest Rilievo Macrosismico Mw 5.5 Costa Marchigiana del
963	9/11/2022, Rapporto Finale del 15/11/2022, http://hdl.handle.net/2122/15794, 2022.
964	
965	Theodoulidis, N., Cultrera, G., Cornou, C. et al.: Basin effects on ground motion: the case of a
966	high-resolution experiment in Cephalonia (Greece), Bull Earthquake Eng, 16, 529–560,
967	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-017-0225-4, 2018.
968	
969	Vassallo, M., Riccio, G., Mercuri, A., Cultrera, G., Di Giulio, G.: HV Noise and Earthquake
970	Automatic Analysis (HVNEA), Seismological Research Letters, 94 (1): 350-368,
971	https://doi.org/10.1785/0220220115, 2022.
972	
973	Wathelet, M., Chatelain, J. L., Cornou, C., Di Giulio, G., Guillier, B., Ohrnberger, M., and
974	Savvaidis, A.: Geopsy: A User-Friendly Open- Source Tool Set for Ambient Vibration Processing,
975	Seismol. Res. Lett., 91, 1878–1889, https://doi.org/10.1785/0220190360, 2020.



Figure 1. Left: Map of Italy, the red square indicates the Costa Marchigiana-Pesarese. Right: zoom of the study area with the geological map (1:500.000 scale) and the individual seismogenetic sources, showing: a) the epicenter of the M_w 5.5 of 09/11/2022 event, and the epicenters of the two strongest earthquakes occurred in the previous century that affected Ancona significantly (red stars); b) the main cities in the Adriatic coast (blue dots); c) the accelerometric stations (green triangles) of RAN and RSN seismic networks closest to the M_w 5.5 event.
The individual seismogenic sources are taken from DISS Working Group (2021).



Figure 2. Map of Ancona municipality with the indication of damage as reported by the Fire Brigades. The blue
 triangles are most of the stations of the temporary network 6N installed by the EMERSITO working group. The
 green triangle are the two permanent stations installed at Ancona, IT.ANB and IV.PCRO, respectively.
 © OpenStreetMap contributors 2024. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL)
 v1.0.



994	
995	Figure 3. Map of Ancona municipality with landslide phenomena, as carried out by Italian Institute for
996	Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA) and the Italian Regions and Autonomous Provinces during the
997	project IFFI (Inventory of Landslide Phenomena in Italy). In the map the huge area of the 1982 landslide is
998	highlighted. The magenta dots represent the three districts of Ancona involved in the landslide movement. The
999	blue triangles are most of the stations of the temporary network 6N installed by the EMERSITO working group.
1000	The green triangle are the two permanent stations installed at Ancona, IT.ANB and IV.PCRO, respectively.
1001	The complete IFFI database is available at the website:
1002	https://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/progetti/cartella-progetti-in-corso/suolo-e-territorio-1/iffi-inventario-dei-fenome
1003	<u>ni-franosi-in-italia</u> .

- 1003 <u>ni-franosi-in-italia</u>.
 1004 © OpenStreetMap contributors 2024. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL)
 1005 v1.0.



1018 Figure 4. Geological map (scale 1: 10.000) of Ancona area. Stations of the 6N EMERSITO seismic network (blue triangles) **1019** are superimposed.

1020 © OpenStreetMap contributors 2024. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.



1033 Figure 5. Example of layout used in the online Web-GIS project of EMERSITO, showing the Adriatic coast of Ancona, the **1034** lithological map and the available surveys used in microzonation studies (coloured dots).



Figure 6. Topography map with isoline of the Ancona area. The blue triangles are most of the stations of the 6N EMERSITO **1037** Network, the orange triangles are the two permanent stations of RAN (IT.ANB) and RSN (IV.PCRO).

1038 Tarquini S., Isola I., Favalli M., Battistini A. (2007). C TINITALY, a digital elevation model of Italy with a 10 meters cell
1039 size (Version 1.0) [Data set]. Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV). https://doi.org/10.13127/tinitaly/1.0





1050 Figure 8. Data availability of the stations of the 6N network during the experiment period. 1051



1054 Figure 9. 90th percentile curves of PSD computed for all stations on the three components of motion.1055



1057 Figure 10. Seismicity during the operation of the 6N network: a) Costa Marchigiana-Pesarese seismic sequence; b) Events
1058 of other italian seismic sources within 100km from Ancona; c) Regional events; d) Teleseismic Turkey event.
1059 © OpenStreetMap contributors 2024. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.
1060



1062 Figure 11. Time series and spectrograms of the M_w 3.9 earthquake (EHE components) occurred the 8th of December, 2022
1063 at 07:08:18 UTC for some stations of the 6N network.
1064



1068 Figure 12. Seismic traces of the Mwpd 7.9 Turkish earthquake occurred the 6th of February 2022 (01:17 UTC) recorded by **1069** the real-time 6N EMERSITO stations.



1094 Figure 13. Left: Fault-Plane fit (FPFIT) focal solutions (black) for the earthquakes reported in Table 3. For the second event **1095** (id #33589291) the available Time Domain Moment Tensor (TDMT) is also show in (blue); circles are M>=2.0 earthquakes **1096** of the seismic sequence (see the insert for the different sizes and the correspondence with difference magnitude), and red **1097** stars are M>=5.0 earthquakes.

1098 Right: distribution of polarities, up and down, for the first event in Table 3 (id #33466171). Seismograms recorded by Y1 1099 (green boxes), IV (grey boxes) and 6N (yellow boxes) networks are also shown.



1101 Figure 14. Top: HVNSR (blue lines) and HVSR (red lines) from HVNEA for CMA08, CMA14 and CMA15 stations. **1102** Bottom: SSR for CMA08 and CMA14 stations (red lines). For all plots, the solid lines are the averages, the dotted lines the **1103** average minus and plus one standard deviation.



1107 Figure 15. Directional amplification at two exemplificative stations: CMA08 (top) and CMA14 (bottom), by using seismic **1108** events (left-hand side) and ambient noise recordings (right-hand side). Rotated HVSR and HVNSR are graphed as contour **1109** plots, where the color scale is related to the amplitude level, the x-axis represents frequency, the y-axis the rotation angle (0° **1110** and 180° corresponding to N-S direction, 90° to EW direction). The time-domain polarization analysis is summarized by **1111** means of circular histogram diagrams representing the polarization angle in the horizontal plane, obtained from filtered **1112** signals in the frequency band indicated in the rose diagram.



1118 Figure 16. Summary of the HVSR analyses performed on ambient noise and earthquake recordings, by using only 1119 the mean of the two horizontal components and by calculating rotated components. The circle dimension plotted 1120 above each station is related to the HVSR A_0 value, while its colour indicates the F_0 value. In case of directional 1121 amplification, we also add rose diagrams (gray and white colours are related to results retrieved using earthquakes 1122 and ambient noise, respectively). The results are superimposed to the 1:10.000 geological map.

1123 © OpenStreetMap contributors 2024. Distributed under the Open Data Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0.