# **1 Global Greenhouse Gas Reconciliation 2022**

Zhu Deng<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Philippe Ciais<sup>4,\*</sup>, Liting Hu<sup>5</sup>, Adrien Martinez<sup>4</sup>, Marielle Saunois<sup>4</sup>, Rona L. Thompson<sup>6</sup>,
Kushal Tibrewal<sup>4</sup>, Wouter Peters<sup>7,8</sup>, Brendan Byrne<sup>9</sup>, Giacomo Grassi<sup>10</sup>, Paul I. Palmer<sup>11,12</sup>, Ingrid T.
Luijkx<sup>7</sup>, Zhu Liu<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Junjie Liu<sup>9,13</sup>, Xuekun Fang<sup>5</sup>, Tengjiao Wang<sup>14</sup>, Hanqin Tian<sup>15</sup>, Katsumasa

5 Tanaka<sup>4,16</sup>, Ana Bastos<sup>17</sup>, Stephen Sitch<sup>18</sup>, Benjamin Poulter<sup>19</sup>, Clément Albergel<sup>20</sup>, Aki Tsuruta<sup>21</sup>, Shamil

6 Maksyutov<sup>16</sup>, Rajesh Janardanan<sup>16</sup>, Yosuke Niwa<sup>16,22</sup>, Bo Zheng<sup>23,24</sup>, Joël Thanwerdas<sup>25</sup>, Dmitry

- 7 Belikov<sup>26</sup>, Arjo Segers<sup>27</sup>, Frédéric Chevallier<sup>4</sup>
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>Institute for Climate and Carbon Neutrality, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- <sup>4</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 13 <sup>5</sup>College of Environmental & Resource Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China
- <sup>6</sup>Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), Kjeller, Norway
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>7</sup>Meteorology and Air Quality Department, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands
- 16 <sup>8</sup>Energy and Sustainability Research Institute Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands
- <sup>9</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
- <sup>10</sup>Joint Research Centre, European Commission, Ispra (VA), Italy
- <sup>11</sup>National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 20 <sup>12</sup>School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 21 <sup>13</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
- 22 <sup>14</sup>Institute of Blue and Green Development, Shandong University, Weihai, China
- 23 <sup>15</sup>International Center for Climate and Global Change Research, School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences, Auburn University,
- 24 Auburn, AL 36849, USA
- <sup>16</sup>Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Onogawa 16-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8506, Japan
- <sup>17</sup>Institute for Earth System Science and Remote Sensing, Leipzig University, 04103 Germany
- 27 <sup>18</sup>Faculty of Environment, Science and Economy, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
- 28 <sup>19</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Biospheric Sciences Laboratory, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- <sup>20</sup>European Space Agency Climate Office, ECSAT, Harwell Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, UK
- 30 <sup>21</sup>Finnish Meteorological Institute, P.O. Box 503, 00101, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>22</sup>Department of Climate and Geochemistry Research, Meteorological Research Institute (MRI), Nagamine 1-1, Tsukuba,
   Ibaraki 305-0052, Japan
- <sup>23</sup>Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Ecological Remediation and Carbon Sequestration, Institute of Environment and Ecology,
- 34 Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, China
- <sup>35</sup> <sup>24</sup>State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Sources and Control of Air Pollution Complex, Beijing 100084, China
- <sup>25</sup>Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland
- 37 <sup>26</sup>Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan
- <sup>27</sup>TNO, Department of Air quality and Emissions Research, P.O. Box 80015, NL-3508-TA, Utrecht, the Netherland
- 39 *Correspondence to*: Philippe Ciais (<u>philippe.ciais@lsce.ipsl.fr</u>); Zhu Liu (<u>zhuliu@tsinghua.edu.cn</u>)
- 40 **Abstract.** In this study, we provide an update of the methodology and data used by Deng et al. (2022) to compare the national
- 41 greenhouse gas inventories (NGHGIs) and atmospheric inversion model ensembles contributed by international research teams

42 coordinated by the Global Carbon Project. The comparison framework uses transparent processing of the net ecosystem 43 exchange fluxes of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) from inversions to provide estimates of terrestrial carbon stock changes over managed 44 land that can be used to evaluate NGHGIs. For methane ( $CH_4$ ), and nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ), we separate anthropogenic emissions 45 from natural sources based directly on the inversion results, to make them compatible with NGHGIs. Our global harmonized 46 NGHGIs database was updated with inventory data until February 2023 by compiling data from periodical UNFCCC 47 inventories by Annex I countries and sporadic and less detailed emissions reports by non-Annex I countries given by National 48 Communications and Biennial Update Reports. For the inversion data, we used an ensemble of 22 global inversions produced 49 for the most recent assessments of the global budgets of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O coordinated by the Global Carbon Project with 50 ancillary data. The  $CO_2$  inversion ensemble in this study goes through 2021, building on our previous report from 1990 to 51 2019, and includes three new satellite inversions compared to the previous study, and an improved managed land mask. As a 52 result, although significant differences exist between the  $CO_2$  inversion estimates, both satellite and in-situ inversions over 53 managed lands indicate that Russia and Canada had a larger land carbon sink in recent years than reported in their NGHGIs, 54 while the NGHGIs reported a significant upward trend of carbon sink in Russia but a downward trend in Canada. For CH<sub>4</sub> and 55  $N_2O$ , the results of the new inversion ensembles are extended to 2020. Rapid increases in anthropogenic  $CH_4$  emissions were 56 observed in developing countries, with varying levels of agreement between NGHGIs and inversion results, while developed 57 countries showed a slow declining or stable trend in emissions. Much denser sampling of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> 58 concentrations by different satellites, coordinated into a global constellation, is expected in the coming years. The methodology 59 proposed here to compare inversion results with NGHGIs can be applied regularly for monitoring the effectiveness of 60 mitigation policy and progress by countries to meet the objective of their pledges. The dataset constructed for this study is 61 publicly available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13887128 (Deng et al., 2024).

#### 62 **1 Introduction**

63 If modeled pathways align with Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) declared prior to COP26 (in 2021) until 2030 64 and do not involve any subsequent increase in ambition, the projected global warming by 2100 would be 2.1-3.4°C (IPCC, 65 2023). The global stocktake coordinated by the secretariat of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 66 (UNFCCC) considers data from national greenhouse gas inventories (NGHGIs) to assess the collective climate progress to 67 curb emissions. It is expected there will be differences in the quality of NGHGIs being reported to the UNFCCC (Perugini et 68 al., 2021). UNFCCC Annex I Parties, which include all OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) 69 countries and several EIT (Economies In Transition) already report annually their emissions following the same IPCC 70 guidelines (IPCC 2006) in a common reporting format, with a time latency of roughly 1.5 years. In contrast, non-Annex I 71 Parties, mostly developing and less developed countries, are currently not required to provide reports as regularly and as 72 detailed as Annex I Parties and in a few cases use different IPCC Guidelines in their National Communications (NC) or 73 Biennial Update Reports (BUR) submitted to the UNFCCC. Non-Annex I Parties are scheduled in 2024 to move to regular

reporting of their emissions in the national inventory reports (NIRs) in the format of common reporting tables

75 (CRTs), following the Paris Agreement's enhanced transparency framework (ETF).

76 The IPCC guidelines for NGHGIs encourage countries to use independent information to verify emissions and removals 77 (IPCC, 1997, 2006, 2019), such as comparisons with independently compiled inventory databases (e.g. IEA, CDIAC, EDGAR, 78 FAOSTAT), or with atmospheric mole fraction measurements interpreted by atmospheric inversion models (see Section 6.10.2 79 in IPCC (2019)). Such verification of 'bottom-up' national reports against 'top-down' atmospheric inversion results is not 80 mandatory. However, a few countries (e.g. Switzerland, United Kingdom, New Zealand, and Australia) have already added 81 inversions as a consistency check of their national reports. In our study, we utilized the latest global inversion results from the 82 budget assessments of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O conducted by the Global Carbon Project (GCP), focusing on three ensembles of 83 inversions with global coverage. Compared to our previous study (Deng et al., 2022), the CO<sub>2</sub> inversion ensemble used in this 84 study has been updated to the global CO<sub>2</sub> budget of Friedlingstein et al. (2022) that includes nine CO<sub>2</sub> inversions using mole 85 fraction data from the surface network and/or retrieval products from the Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT) and 86 Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) satellites. The  $CH_4$  inversion ensemble and N<sub>2</sub>O inversion (Tian et al., 2023) 87 ensemble used in this study are also extended to the 2020. As a result, the new ensembles cover up to 2021 for CO<sub>2</sub>, 2020 for 88 CH<sub>4</sub> and 2020 for N<sub>2</sub>O, compared to 2019, 2017 and 2016 respectively in our previous study (Deng et al., 2022), allowing us 89 to track and analyze the most recent flux variations.

90 Our framework to process the inversion data aims at making them comparable to inventories at countries or groups of countries 91 scale (ie, with an area larger than the spatial resolution of atmospheric transport models typically used for inversions). 92 Atmospheric inversions use *a priori* information for the spatial and temporal patterns of fluxes. Some inversions correct prior 93 fluxes at the spatial resolution of their transport models to match atmospheric observations and use spatial error correlations 94 (usually e-folding length scales) that tie the adjustment of fluxes from one grid cell to its neighbors at distances of tens to 95 hundreds of kilometers. Other inversions adjust fluxes over coarse regions that are larger than the resolution of the transport 96 model, implicitly assuming a perfect correlation of flux errors within these regions, causing an aggregation error (Kaminski et 97 al., 2001). Thus, to minimize aggregation errors, the results of inversions are shown preferentially for selected large area 98 emitter countries or large absorbers in the case of CO<sub>2</sub>. We have selected a different set of countries or groups of countries for 99 each gas, according to their importance in the global emission budget. According to the median of inversion data we used in 100 this study, selected countries collectively represent  $\sim$ 70% of global fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions,  $\sim$ 90% of global land CO<sub>2</sub> sink, 101  $\sim$ 60% of anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and ~55% of anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Fig S1). To more robustly interpret 102 global inversion results for comparison with inventories, we follow the same criterion and choose high-emitting countries 103 covered (if possible) by atmospheric measurements, although most selected tropical countries have few or no atmospheric in-104 situ stations. Uncertainties are given by the spread among inversion models (min-max range given the small number of 105 inversions), and the causes for discrepancies with inventories are analyzed systematically and on a case-by-case basis, 106 considering both individual countries and specific greenhouse gases, for annual variations and for mean budgets over several 107 years.

108 Based on the newly updated inversion results and inventory, and an improvement in the methodology framework proposed in 109 the previous study (Deng et al., 2022), we specifically address the following questions: 1) how do inversion models compare 110 with NGHGIs for the three gases?; 2) what are the plausible reasons for mismatches between inversions and NGHGIs?; 3) did 111 the new maps of managed land masks in this study reduce the mismatch between the inversions and NGHGIs for CO<sub>2</sub> and 112  $N_2O$ ?; 4) what independent information can be extracted from inversions to evaluate the mean values or the trends of 113 greenhouse gas emissions and removals?; 5) does this information exhibit a good agreement with NGHGIs?; and 6) how do 114 satellite-retrieval driven inversion models differ from the surface in-situ and flask sampling driven inversion model results? 115 Sections 2 presents the updated global database of national emissions reports for selected countries and its grouping into 116 sectors, the global atmospheric inversions used for the study, the processing of fluxes from these inversions to make their 117 results as comparable as possible with inventories. The time series of inversions compared with inventories for each gas, with 118 insights on key sectors for CH<sub>4</sub> are discussed in Sections 3 to 5. The discussion (Section 6) focuses on the plausible reasons 119 for mismatches between inversions and NGHGIs, comparison between inversion ensembles in this study and previous study, 120 and different priors applied in the CH<sub>4</sub> inversions. Finally, concluding remarks are drawn on how inversions could be used 121 systematically to support the evaluation and possible improvement of inventories for the Paris Agreement.

#### 122 2 Material and methods

#### 123 **2.1** Compilation and harmonization of national inventories reported to the UNFCCC

All UNFCCC Parties shall periodically update and submit their national GHG inventories of emissions by sources and removals by sinks to the Convention parties. Annex I countries submit their NIRs in common reporting format (CRF) tables every year with a complete time series starting in 1990. Non-Annex I Parties are required to submit their NC roughly every four years after entering the Convention and submit BUR, every two years since 2014. Currently, there are in total 427 submissions of NC and over 166 submissions of BUR (UNFCCC, 2021b, a) (**Fig 1**).



National Communications (NC) submissions: 427

129

- Figure 1. Numbers of non-Annex I parties for each submission round (as of February 28, 2023). The numbers in the middle of the dots denote the numbers of non-Annex I parties for each submission, while the black dots denote the total number of non-Annex I parties, the blue dots denote the numbers of non-Annex I parties who has submitted National Communications (NC), green dots for Biennial Update Reports (BUR), yellow dots for National Inventory Report (NIR), and purple dots for Technical Annex on REDD+. The numbers after the NC and BUR denote the total number of submission reports.
- 135 We collected NGHGIs data submitted to UNFCCC by February 28, 2023. For Annex I countries, data collection is 136 straightforward, as their reports are provided as Excel files under a Common Reporting Format (CRF) until the year 2020 last 137 accessed on February 28, 2023. For non-Annex I countries, the data were directly extracted from the original reports provided 138 in Portable Document Format (PDF) last accessed on February 28, 2023. Data from successive reports for the same country 139 were extracted, except when they relate to the same years, in which case only the latest version is considered. While Annex I 140 countries are required to compile their inventory following IPCC 2006 guidelines and the subdivision between sectors 141 established by the UNFCCC decision (dec. 24/CP.19), non-Annex I countries are increasingly adopting the IPCC 2006 142 Guidelines, although some still utilize the older IPCC 1996 Guidelines, with different approaches and sectors. Consequently, 143 the methods used and the reported sectors may differ among NC and BUR reports.





Figure 2. Number of years covered by NGHGI reports (NC+BUR) in each non-Annex I country (as of February 28, 2023). Emissions
 from Greenland are reported by Denmark.

# 147 **2.2 Atmospheric inversions**

- 148 CO<sub>2</sub> inversions
- 149 Nine CO<sub>2</sub> inversion systems from the 2022 Global Carbon Budget of the GCP (Friedlingstein et al., 2022) are used, including
- 150 CarbonTracker-Europe (CTE) v2022 (van der Laan-Luijkx et al., 2017), Jena Carboscope v2022 (Rödenbeck et al., 2003), the

151 surface air-sample inversion from the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) v21r1 (Chevallier et al., 2005), 152 the inversion from the CAMS Satellite FT21r2 (Chevallier et al., 2005), the inversion from the University of Edinburgh (UoE) v6.1b (Feng et al., 2016), the NICAM-based Inverse Simulation for Monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> (NISMON-CO<sub>2</sub>) v2022.1 (Niwa et al., 153 154 2022), CMS-Flux v2022 (Liu et al., 2021), GONGGA v2022 (Jin et al., 2023), and THU v2022 (Kong et al., 2022). A variety 155 of transport models are used by these systems, which allows for representing a major driver factor behind differences in flux 156 estimates based on atmospheric inversions, particularly their distribution over latitudinal bands. Among the nine inversions, 157 four systems (CAMS Satellite FT21r2, GONGGA v2022, THU v2022, and CMS-Flux v2022) utilize satellite CO<sub>2</sub> column 158 retrievals from GOSAT and/or OCO-2, calibrated to the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) 2019 standards. CMS-159 Flux additionally incorporates in-situ observed CO<sub>2</sub> mole fraction records. The remaining five inversion systems (CAMS 160 v21r1, CTE v2022, Jena Carboscope v2022, UoE v6.1b, and NISMON-CO2 v2022.1) solely rely on CO<sub>2</sub> mole fractions that 161 were observed in-situ or collected in flasks (Schuldt et al., 2021, 2022). The CO<sub>2</sub> inversion records extend up to and including 162 2021. Their flux estimates are available at https://meta.icos-cp.eu/objects/GahdRITjT22GGmq GCi4o wy and details are

summarized in **Table 1**.

101 I ubie I Trunospherie CO2 miterstons abea mituns staat (Theatmighten et an, 202	164	Table 1	Atmospheric C	CO <sub>2</sub> inversions	used in this study	v (Friedlingstein	n et al., 2022)
---	-----	---------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------

Inversion System	Versio n	Period	Observation	Transport Model
CarbonTracker Europe (CTE): CTE2022_SiB4 (van der Laan-Luijkx et al., 2017)	v2022	2001-2021	Ground-based Obspack GLOBALVIEW plus v7.0 and NRT_v7.2	ТМ5
Jena Carboscope sEXTocNEET (Rödenbeck et al., 2003)	v2022	1960-2021		ТМЗ
Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) (Chevallier et al., 2005)	v21r1	1979-2021		LMDZ v6
The University of Edinburgh (UoE) (Feng et al., 2016)	v6.1b	2001-2021		GEOS-CHEM
the NICAM-based Inverse Simulation for Monitoring CO2 (NISMON-CO2) (Niwa et al., 2022)	v2022.1	1990-2021		NICAN-TM

CMS-Flux (Liu et al., 2021),	v2022	2010-2021	Ground-based & ACOS-GOSAT v9r; OCO-2 v10 scaled to WMO2019	GEOS-CHEM
CAMS-Satellite (Chevallier et al., 2005)	FT21r2	2010-2021	bias-corrected ACOS GOSAT v9 over land until August 2014 + bias- corrected ACO S OCO-2 v10 over land, both rescaled to WMO2019	LMDZ v6
THU (Kong et al., 2022)	v2022	2015-2021	OCO-2 v10r data scaled to WMO2019	GEOS-CHEM
GONGGA (Jin et al., 2023)	v2022	2015-2021	OCO-2 v10r data scaled to WMO2019	GEOS-CHEM

## 165 CH<sub>4</sub> inversions

166 The CH<sub>4</sub> emissions come from the new ensemble of inversions (Saunois et al. 2024) from 2000 to 2020, using seven different 167 inverse systems for a total nine inversions (Table 2). The inverse systems include: CarbonTracker-Europe CH4 (Tsuruta et 168 al., 2017), LMDZ-PYVAR (Yin et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2018), CIF-LMDZ(Berchet et al., 2021), MIROC4-ACTM (Patra 169 et al., 2018; Chandra et al., 2021), NISMON-CH4 (Niwa et al., 2022), NIES-TM-FLEXPART (Maksyutov et al., 2021; 170 Janardanan et al., 2024), and TM5-CAMS (Segers and Houweling, 2017). This ensemble of inversions gathers various 171 chemistry transport models, differing in vertical and horizontal resolutions, meteorological forcing, advection (horizontal 172 transport of air) and convection (vertical transport) schemes, and boundary layer mixing (detailed characteristics can be found 173 in Table S11 in Saunois et al. 2024). Including these different systems is a conservative approach that allows to cover different 174 potential uncertainties of the inversion, among them: model transport, set-up issues, and prior dependency. All inversions 175 except two, use updated common prior emission maps for natural and anthropogenic prior emissions divided into 12 sectors, 176 particularly the EDGAR v6 inventory for prior fossil fuel emissions (Crippa et al., 2021a extrapolated to Jan 1st, 2021), GFED 177 for fires and ecosystem models for wetland emissions. During the production of the inversion simulations, GAINS inventory 178 (Höglund-Isaksson, 2013) was proposed to use another prior for fossil fuel sources, instead of using EDGAR v6 (see 179 Supplementary Text 3 in Saunois et al, 2024). GAINS has higher fossil emissions, in particular over the US and a higher 180 increase of fossil emissions over time in the US (Tibrewal et al., 2024). As Tibrewal et al. showed that inversions are strongly 181 attracted to their priors, comparison between results with GAINS and EDGAR v6 priors is informative about how robust are 182 inversions to their priors when they are used to 'verify' NGHGIs. Some inversions optimize emissions in groups of sectors, 183 and others only provide total gridded emissions (MIROC4-ACTM and TM5-CAMS, details can be found in Table S10 in

- 184 Saunois et al, 2024). For the latter, we computed the emission from each sector within each pixel based on the proportion of
- 185 the prior fluxes. Such processing can lead to significant uncertainties if not all sources increase or change at the same rate in a
- 186 given region/pixel. The inversions assimilating surface stations mole fraction observations provide results since 2000, and
- 187 those assimilating satellite observations from column CH<sub>4</sub> measurements (XCH<sub>4</sub>) of the GOSAT satellite provide results since
- 188 2010, first full year of GOSAT observations. Inversion results were gridded into 1° by 1° monthly emission maps and
- aggregated nationally using a country mask (Klein Goldewijk et al., 2017).
- 190 Table 2 | Atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> inversions used in this study (Saunois et al, 2024)

Inversion system	Abbreviation	Institution	Observations	Period
Carbon Tracker-Europe CH4	CTE	FMI	Surface stations	2000-2020
CIF-LMDz	CIF-LMDz	LSCE/CEA	Surface stations	2000-2020
LMDz-PYVAR	PYVAR-LMDz	LSCE/CEA	GOSAT Leicester v7.2	2010-2020
MIROC4-ACTM	MIROC4-ACTM	JAMSTEC	Surface stations	2000-2020
NISMON-CH4	NISMON-CH4	NIES/MRI	Surface stations	2000-2020
NIES-TM-FLEXPART (NTF)	NIES	NIES	Surface stations	2000-2020
NIES-TM-FLEXPART (NTF)	NIES	NIES	Surface + GOSAT NIES L2 v02.95	2010-2020
TM5-CAMS	TM5	TNO/VU	Surface stations	2000-2020

TM5-CAMS	ТМ5 ТМ	NO/VU GO (coi obs	DSAT ESA ombined w servations)	/CCI v2.3.8 /ith surface	2010-2020
----------	--------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------

# 191 N<sub>2</sub>O inversions

- 192 Four N<sub>2</sub>O inversion systems from the updated GCP Nitrous Oxide Budget (Tian et al., 2023) are used: INVICAT (Wilson et
- al., 2014), PyVAR-CAMS (Thompson et al., 2014), MIROC4-ACTM (Patra et al., 2018, 2022) and GEOS-Chem (Wells et
- 194 al., 2015). The  $N_2O$  inversion results are updated up to 2020.

# **Table 3 | Atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O inversions used in this study** (Tian et al., 2023)

Inversion system	Institution	Period
INVICAT (Wilson et al., 2014)	Univ. Leeds	1995-2020
PyVAR-CAMS (Thompson et al., 2014),	NILU/LSCE	1995-2020
MIROC4-ACTM (Patra et al., 2018, 2022)	JAMSTEC	1997-2019
GEOS-Chem (Wells et al., 2015)	Univ. Minnesota	1995-2019

# 196 Aggregating the gridded inversion results into national totals

To obtain national annual-scale flux estimates, we aggregated the gridded flux maps of each inversion with various native resolutions following the methodology outlined in Chevallier (2021). This involved using the  $0.08^{\circ} \times 0.08^{\circ}$  land country mask

of Klein Goldewijk et al. (2017) to calculate the fraction of each country in each inversion grid box.

# 200 2.3 Processing of CO<sub>2</sub> inversion data for comparison with NGHGIs

# 201 Fossil fuel emissions re-gridding - managed land mask

202 To analyze terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, we subtracted the same fossil fuel emissions (including cement) of GridFEDv2022.2 (Jones

et al., 2022) from the total CO<sub>2</sub> flux of each inversion. This is equivalent to assuming perfect knowledge of fossil emissions,

- adding up to a global total of 9.7 Gt C/yr for the year 2021. The dataset used national annual emissions estimates from the
   2022 global carbon budget (Friedlingstein et al., 2022) which uses the reported NGHGIs data from Annex I countries and are
   assumed to be broadly consistent with the non-Annex I countries. This assumption may lead to underestimating the uncertainty
- 207 of terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes deduced from inversions.

208 As defined in the IPCC Guidelines for NGHGIs (IPCC, 2006), only CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and removals from managed land are 209 reported in NGHGIs as a proxy for human-induced effects (direct effects and indirect effects such as CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization and 210 nitrogen deposition). However, inversion models retrieve all CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (due to both direct and indirect effects, plus the natural 211 interannual variability) over all lands. We thus retained inversions' national estimates of the Net Ecosystem Exchange (NEE)  $CO_2$  flux ( $F_{MI}^{inv NEE}$ ) over managed lands grid cells only (*ML*, here defined as all land except intact forests) because the fluxes 212 213 over unmanaged land are not counted by NGHGIs. We use NEE from the definition of Ciais et al. (2020), representing all non-214 fossil CO<sub>2</sub> exchange fluxes between terrestrial surfaces and the atmosphere. Other work may use Net Biome Production (NBP) 215 with a similar meaning.  $CO_2$  fluxes over unmanaged lands were excluded from the terrestrial  $CO_2$  flux totals that will be 216 compared with NGHGIs, proportional to their presence in each inversion grid box. The new maps of non-intact forests are 217 compiled by Grassi et al. (2023). These maps include official country-managed forest and other managed land areas for Canada 218 and Brazil used for their NGHGIs, and the intact forest map (Potapov et al., 2017) as a substitute for unmanaged land where 219 country-based information is not available. For Russia, we used non-intact forest maps for each province with thresholds 220 adjusted to match the official managed land areas from Russia's NIRs, and assumed that all grasslands were managed. This 221 approach assumes that non-intact forest areas can serve as a reasonably good proxy for managed forests reported in the 222 NGHGIs (Grassi et al., 2021, 2023). It is important to note that this approach is somewhat arbitrary, as highlighted in previous 223 studies (Ogle et al., 2018; Chevallier, 2021; Grassi et al., 2021). However, in the absence of a machine-readable definition of 224 managed plots in many NGHGIs, there is currently no better alternative available.

# Adjusting CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes due to lateral carbon transport by crop and wood products trade and by rivers

In addition to the extraction of fossil CO2 flux and managed land CO<sub>2</sub> flux, there are CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes that are part of  $F_{ML}^{inv NEE}$  but 226 are not counted by NGHGIs. These fluxes are induced by (i) soils to rivers to oceans carbon export  $(F_{ML}^{rivers})$  which has an 227 228 anthropogenic and a natural component (Regnier et al., 2013), and (ii) net anthropogenic export of crop and wood products across each country's boundary ( $F_{ant}^{crop \ trade}$  and  $F_{ant}^{wood \ trade}$ ). The magnitudes of these CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are different between 229 230 countries, and values from the selected countries are presented in Fig S2. We assume that NGHGIs include CO<sub>2</sub> losses from 231 fire (wildfire and prescribed fire) and other disturbances (wind, pests) and from domestic harvesting, as recommended by the 232 IPCC reporting guidelines (IPCC, 2006, 2019) (although some countries, such as Canada and Australia exclude some 233 emissions from these disturbances, and the subsequent removals from the same areas (Grassi et al., 2023)). The adjusted inversion NEE that can be compared with inventories,  $F_{adi}^{inv NEE}$ , is given by: 234

235 
$$F_{adj}^{inv \, NEE} = F_{ML}^{inv \, NEE} - F_{ML}^{rivers} - F_{ant}^{crop \, trade} - F_{ant}^{wood \, trade} \iff F_{ant-nf}^{ni}, \tag{1}$$

where the sign  $\Leftrightarrow$  means 'compared with',  $F_{ant-nf}^{ni}$  is the non-fossil part of the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> flux from NGHGIs,  $F_{tot}^{rivers}$ 236 237 is the sum of the natural and anthropogenic  $CO_2$  flux on land from  $CO_2$  fixation by plants that is leached as carbon via soils 238 and channeled to inland waters to be exported to the ocean or to another country. All countries export river carbon, but some 239 countries also receive river inputs, e.g., Romania receives carbon from Serbia via the Danube River. We estimated the lateral 240 carbon export by rivers minus the imports from rivers entering each country, including dissolved organic carbon, particulate 241 organic carbon and dissolved inorganic carbon of atmospheric origin distinguished from lithogenic, by using the data and 242 methodology described by Ciais et al. (2021). Data are from Mayorga et al. (2010) and Hartmann et al. (2009) and follow the 243 approach of Ciais et al. (2021) proposed for large regions. We also extracted the lateral flux by rivers over the managed land 244 by using the same methodology as inversion  $CO_2$  flux. Thus, in a country that only exports river carbon to the ocean, the amount of carbon exported is equivalent to an atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> sink, denoted as  $F_{ML}^{rivers}$  as in eq. (1), thus ignoring burial, 245 246 which is a small term. Over a country that receives carbon from rivers flowing into its territory, a small national CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing 247 is produced by a fraction of this imported flux. In that case, we assumed that the fraction of outgassed to incoming river carbon 248 is equal to the fraction of outgassed to soil-leached carbon in the RECCAP2 region to which a country belongs, estimated with 249 data from Ciais et al. (2021).

 $F_{ant}^{crop\ trade}$  is the sum of CO<sub>2</sub> sinks and sources induced by the trade of crop products. This flux was estimated from the annual 250 251 trade balance of crop commodities calculated for each country from data from the United Nations Statistics Division of the 252 Food and Agriculture Organization (FAOSTAT) combined with the carbon content values of each commodity (Xu et al., 2021; 253 FAO, 2024). All the traded carbon in crop commodities is assumed to be oxidized as  $CO_2$  in one year, neglecting stock changes 254 of products, and the fraction of carbon from crop products going to waste pools and sewage waters after consumption, thus not necessarily oxidized to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. F<sub>ant</sub><sup>wood trade</sup> is the sum of CO<sub>2</sub> sinks and sources induced by the trade of wood 255 256 products (Zscheischler et al., 2017). Here, we followed Ciais et al. (2021) who used a bookkeeping model to calculate the 257 fraction of domestically produced and imported carbon in wood products that are oxidized in each country during subsequent 258 years, with product lifetimes defined by Mason Earles et al (2012) and encompassing all products (including roundwood and 259 processed products). The underlying assumption in estimating  $CO_2$  fluxes from wood harvest is that the emissions from 260 domestically harvested wood, in addition to imported wood minus exported wood that is not allocated to wood product pools, 261 are released into the atmosphere during the year of harvest. Conversely, wood allocated to wood product pools is gradually 262 released into the atmosphere over time, based on their respective lifetimes. Domestic harvest is assumed to be balanced by an 263 atmospheric  $CO_2$  sink of equivalent magnitude, which is not necessarily the case given that harvest is rarely in equilibrium 264 with forest increment, but inversions NEE will correct for this imbalance in our results, and can thus be compared with NGHGIs. We included in the  $F_{ant}^{crop\ trade}$  flux the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> by domestic animals consuming specific crop products 265 266 delivered as feed. On the other hand, emissions of  $CO_2$  from grazing animals and the decomposition of their manure are 267 supposed to occur in the same grid box where grass is grazed, so that the  $CO_2$  net flux captured by an inversion is comparable

- with grazed grasslands' carbon stock changes of inventories. Emissions of reduced carbon compounds (VOCs,  $CH_4$ , CO) are not included in this analysis (see Ciais et al. (2021) for a discussion of their importance in inversion CO<sub>2</sub> budgets).
- 270 In summary, the purpose of the adjustment of eq. (1) is to make inversion output comparable to the NGHGIs that do not include
- $F_{ML}^{rivers}$ ,  $F_{ant}^{crop\ trade}$  and  $F_{ant}^{wood\ trade}$ . The UNFCCC accounting rules (IPCC, 2006) assume that all the harvested wood products are emitted in the territory of a country that produces them, which is equivalent to ignoring  $F_{ant}^{wood\ trade}$  as a national sink or source of CO<sub>2</sub>, hence the need to remove  $F_{ant}^{wood\ trade}$  from inversion NEE. The adjusted inversion fluxes from eq. (1) depict the national CO<sub>2</sub> stock change which match better the carbon accounting system boundaries of UNFCCC NGHGIs. In the following, we will only discuss adjusted inversion CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes ( $F_{adi}^{inv\ NEE}$ ), but for simplicity call them "inversion fluxes".

## 276 2.4 Processing of CH<sub>4</sub> inversions for comparison with national inventories

277 Most atmospheric inversions derive total net CH<sub>4</sub> emissions at the surface as it is difficult for them to disentangle overlapping 278 emissions from different sectors at the pixel/regional scale based on atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> observations only. However, five of the 279 seven inverse systems solve for some source categories owing to different spatio-temporal distributions between the sectors. 280 For each inversion, monthly gridded posterior flux estimates were provided at 1°x1° grid resolution for the net flux at the surface  $(E_{net}^{inv})$ , the soil uptake at the surface  $(E_{soil}^{inv})$ , the total emission at the surface  $(E_{tot}^{inv})$  and five emitting 'super sectors' 281 which regroup several IPCC sectors: Agriculture & Waste  $(E_{AaW}^{inv})$ , Fossil Fuel  $(E_{FF}^{inv})$ , Biomass & Biofuel Burning  $(E_{BB}^{inv})$ , 282 Wetlands  $(E_{Wet}^{inv})$ , and Other Natural  $(E_{Oth}^{inv})$  emissions. Considering the soil uptake as a 'negative source' given separately, the 283 284 following equations apply:

$$285 \qquad E_{net}^{inv} = E_{tot}^{inv} + E_{soil}^{inv} = E_{AgW}^{inv} + E_{FF}^{inv} + E_{BB}^{inv} + E_{Wet}^{inv} + E_{oth}^{inv} + E_{soil}^{inv}$$
(2)

For inversions solving for net emissions only, the partition to source sectors was created based on using a fixed ratio of sources calculated from prior flux information at the pixel scale. For inversions solving for some categories, a similar approach was used to partition the solved categories to the five aforementioned emitting sectors. Such processing can lead to significant uncertainties if not all sources increase or change at the same rate in a given region/pixel. National values have been estimated using the country land mask described in the CO<sub>2</sub> section, thus offshore emissions are not counted as part of inversion results unless they are in a coastal grid cell.

In our previous study (Deng et al., 2022), four methods were proposed to separate CH<sub>4</sub> anthropogenic emissions from inversions ( $E_{Anth}^{inv}$ ) to compare them with national inventories ( $E_{Anth}^{ni}$ ) aiming to discuss the uncertainties in anthropogenic CH4 emissions associated with the chosen separation methods. These four methods include: (1) summing prior estimates based on inversions for anthropogenic sectors (method 1); (2) subtracting natural emissions from total fluxes (method 2); and (3) subtracting natural emissions derived from other bottom-up assessments from the total inversion flux (methods 3/1 and 3/2, differing only in the bottom-up wetland CH4 data used). The calculations of anthropogenic emissions by each method were performed separately for GOSAT inversions and in-situ inversions. However, the uncertainty from the separation method is 299 generally much smaller than the variability between different inversion models (see Deng et al. (2022) Fig 9). Therefore, we

apply only one method in this study which consists of using inversion partitioning as defined in Saunois et al. (2020):

$$301 \qquad E_{Anth}^{inv} = E_{AgW}^{inv} + E_{FF}^{inv} + E_{BB}^{inv} - E_{wildfires}^{BU} \Leftrightarrow E_{Anth}^{ni}$$
(3)

302 This method has some uncertainties. First, the partitioning relies on prior fractions within each pixel, and second, emissions 303 from wildfires are counted for in the Biomass and Biofuel burning (BB) inversion category while they are not necessarily 304 reported in NGHGIs. The BB inversion category includes methane emissions from wildfires in forests, savannahs, grasslands, 305 peats, agricultural residues, and the burning of biofuels in the residential sector (stoves, boilers, fireplaces). Therefore, we subtracted bottom-up (BU) emissions from wildfires ( $E_{wildfires}^{BU}$ ) based on the GFEDv4 dataset (van Wees et al., 2022) using 306 307 their reported dry matter burned and CH<sub>4</sub> emission factors. Because the GFEDv4 dataset also reports specific agricultural and 308 waste fire emissions data, we assumed that those fires (on managed lands) are reported by NGHGIs, so they were not counted 309 in  $E_{wild fires}^{BU}$ . Figure S3 presents a comparison between our adjusted BB flux and the wood fuel emissions reported by Flammini 310 et al. (2023). This comparison highlights the broader scope and definition of our adjusted BB flux, illustrating the differences 311 in emissions estimation methodologies.

# 312 2.5 Processing of N<sub>2</sub>O inversions for comparison with inventories

- 313 We subtracted estimates of natural N<sub>2</sub>O sources from the N<sub>2</sub>O emission budget ( $E_{tot}^{inv}$ ) of each inversion, to provide inversions
- of anthropogenic emissions  $(E_{ant}^{inv})$  that can be compared with national inventories  $(E_{ant}^{ni})$ :

315 
$$E_{ant}^{inv} = E_{ML}^{inv} - E_{nat}^{aq} - E_{wildfires}^{GFED} \Leftrightarrow E_{ant}^{ni}$$

Here, the natural N<sub>2</sub>O sources include natural emission from freshwater systems  $\begin{pmatrix} aq \\ nat \end{pmatrix}$  and natural emissions from wildfires  $\begin{pmatrix} ni \\ ant \end{pmatrix}$ .

(4)

318 In our previous study, intact forest grid cells (assumed unmanaged) from Potapov et al. (2017) and lightly grazed grassland 319 areas from Chang et al. (2021) were removed from the gridded N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in proportion to their presence in each inversion 320 grid box. Here we used the new managed land mask defined in Section 2.3 to filter gridded N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inversions to obtain  $E_{ML}^{inv}$ . We verified that the inversion grid box fractions classified as unmanaged do not contain point source emissions 321 322 from the industry, energy, and diffuse emissions from the waste sector, to make sure that we do not inadvertently remove 323 anthropogenic sources by masking unmanaged pixels. From the EDGARv4.3.2 inventory (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2019), 324 we found that  $N_2O$  from wastewater handling covers a relatively large area that might be partly located in unmanaged land. 325 But the corresponding emission rates are more than 1 order of magnitude smaller than those from agricultural soils. For other 326 sectors, only very few of the unmanaged grid boxes contain point sources, and none of them have an emission rate that is 327 comparable with agricultural soils (managed land). Thus, our assumption that emissions from these other anthropogenic sectors 328 are primarily over managed land pixels is solid (other sectors include: the power industry; oil refineries and transformation 329 industry; combustion for manufacturing; aviation; road transportation no resuspension; railways, pipelines, off-road transport; 330 shipping; energy for buildings; chemical processes; solvents and products use; solid waste incineration; wastewater handling;

331 solid waste landfills).

The flux  $E_{not}^{aq}$  is the natural emission from freshwater systems given by a gridded simulation of the DLEM model (Yao et al., 332 333 2019) describing pre-industrial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from N leached by soils and lost to the atmosphere by rivers in the absence of 334 anthropogenic perturbations (considered as the average of 1900-1910). Natural emissions from lakes were estimated only at a 335 global scale by Tian et al. (2020), and represent a small fraction of rivers' emissions. Therefore, they are neglected in this study. The flux  $E_{wild fires}^{GFED}$  is based on the GFED4s dataset (van Wees et al., 2022) using their reported dry matter burned and 336 N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors. Because the GFED dataset reports specific agricultural and waste fire emissions data, we assume that 337 those fires (on managed lands) are reported by NGHGIs so they were not counted in E<sup>GFED</sup><sub>wildfires</sub> just like for CH4 emissions. 338 Note that there could also be a background natural N<sub>2</sub>O emission from natural soils over managed lands ( $E_{managed land}^{soil}$ ) which 339 340 is not necessarily reported by NGHGIs. We did not try to subtract this flux from managed land emissions because we assumed 341 that, after a land use change from natural to fertilized agricultural land, background emissions decrease and become very small compared to N-fertilizers induced anthropogenic emissions. In a future study, we could use for  $E_{mana \, aed \, land}^{soil}$  the estimate 342 343 given by simulations of pre-industrial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the NMIP ensemble of dynamic vegetation models with carbon-344 nitrogen interactions (number of models; n = 7). Namely, their simulation S0 in which climate forcing is recycled from 1901-345 1920; CO<sub>2</sub> is at the level of 1860, and no anthropogenic nitrogen is added to terrestrial ecosystems (Tian et al., 2019).

346 Another important point to ensure a rigorous comparison between inversion and NGHGI data is whether anthropogenic indirect 347 emissions (AIE) of N<sub>2</sub>O are reported in NGHGI reports. This is not always the case even though UNFCCC parties are required 348 to report these in their NGHGIs according to the IPCC guidelines. For example, South Africa's BUR3 did not report indirect 349 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions due to the lack of activity data. AIE arise from anthropogenic nitrogen from fertilizers leached to rivers and 350 anthropogenic nitrogen deposited from the atmosphere to soils. AIEs represent typically 20% of direct anthropogenic emissions 351 and cannot be ignored in a comparison with inversions. For Annex I countries, AIEs are systematically reported, generally 352 based on emission factors since these fluxes cannot be directly measured, and we assumed that indirect emissions only occur 353 on managed land. For non-Annex I countries, we checked manually from the original NC and BUR documents if AIE was 354 reported or not by each non-Annex I country. If AIEs were reported by a country, they were used as such to compare NGHGI 355 data with inversion results, and grouped into the agricultural sector. If they were not reported, or if their values were outside 356 plausible ranges, AIE were independently estimated by the perturbation simulation of N fertilizers leaching, CO<sub>2</sub> and climate 357 on rivers and lakes fluxes in the DLEM model (Yao et al., 2019), and by the perturbation simulation of atmospheric nitrogen 358 deposition on N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from the NMIP model ensemble (Tian et al., 2019).

# 359 **2.6 Grouping sectors for comparison**

The bottom-up NGHGIs are compiled based on activity data (statistics) following the IPCC 1996/2006 Guidelines (IPCC, 1997, 2006) with detailed information on subsectors. However, the top-down inversions can only distinguish between very

362 few groups of sectors at most. Thus, in this study, we aggregated NGHGI sectors into some 'super sectors' to make inversions 363 and inventories comparable for each GHG (**Table 2**). For CO<sub>2</sub>, the inversions are divided into two aggregated super-sectors: 364 fossil fuel and cement CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and adjusted net land flux. Inversions use a prior gridded fossil fuel dataset as 365 summarized in Section 1.2, thus, in this study, we compare only the net land flux between inversions and inventories. To 366 calculate the net land flux over managed lands from NGHGIs, we subtracted fossil emissions from the IPCC/CRF 1. Energy 367 and 2. Industrial Processes (or 2. Industrial Processes and Product Use) sectors from the Total GHG emissions including 368 LULUCF/LUCF (or Total national emissions and removals) sector. For CH<sub>4</sub>, we compare inversions and inventories based on 369 three super sectors, including *Fossil*, Agriculture and Waste, and Total Anthropogenic. To compare with NGHGIs, we group 370 the IPCC/CRF sectors of 1. Energy and 2. Industrial Processes (or 2. Industrial Processes and Product Use) by excluding 371 Biofuel Burning (reported under 1. Energy sector) into the super sector of Fossil; we group sectors of 4. Agriculture (or 3. 372 Agriculture) and 6. Waste (or 5. Waste) into the super sector of Agriculture and Waste; and we aggregate anthropogenic flux 373 from Fossil and Agriculture and Waste and Biofuel Burning into Anthropogenic. For  $N_2O$ , we grouped the NGHGI sectors 374 into Anthropogenic flux being the sum of 1. Energy + 2. Industrial Processes (or 2. Industrial Processes and Product Use) + 375 4. Agriculture (or 3. Agriculture) + 6. Waste (or 5. Waste) + Anthropogenic Indirect Emissions. 376 Table 2. Grouping of NGHGIs sectors into aggregated 'super-sectors' for comparisons with inversions. \* Biofuel burning is likely not

included in NGHGIs but under *1.A.4 Other Sectors* if it is reported. \*\* Field burning of agricultural residues is reported in Annex I countries
 under the Agricultural sector. Note that indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are reported by Annex I countries but not systematically by non-Annex I
 ones

Gas	Super-Sectors	Inversions	NGHGIs (IPCC/CRF)
CO <sub>2</sub>	Net Land Flux (adjusted)	Total - Fossil - lateral C	Non-Annex I (IPCC): Total GHG emissions including LULUCF/LUCF - (Energy + Industrial Processes)
			Annex I (CRF): Total national emissions and removals) - (Energy + Industrial Processes and Product Use)
CH4	Anthropogenic	Fossil + Agriculture & Waste + Biofuel Burning	Energy + Industrial Processes + Agriculture + Waste + Biofuel Burning*
	Fossil	Fossil	Energy + Industrial Processes - Biofuel Burning*

	Agriculture and Waste	Agriculture & Waste	Agriculture + Waste - Field burning of agricultural residues**
N2O	Anthropogenic	Total - pre-industrial inland waters	Agriculture + Waste direct + anthropogenic indirect emissions (AIE = anthropogenic N leached to inland waters + anthropogenic N deposited from atmosphere) + energy and industry

#### 380 **2.7 Choice of example countries for analysis**

For the analysis, we selected 12 countries (or groups of countries) based on specific criteria for each aggregated sector. Firstly, each chosen country had to possess a sufficiently large land area, as the limitations of coarse-spatial-resolution inversions make it difficult to reliably estimate GHG budgets for smaller countries. Additionally, it was preferable for the selected countries to have some coverage provided by the in situ global network of monitoring stations.

385 For  $CO_2$ , we focus on the land  $CO_2$  fluxes of large fossil fuel  $CO_2$  emitters. Although inversions do not allow to verify fossil 386 emissions in these countries as they are used as a fixed prior map of emissions, it is crucial to compare the magnitude of 387 national land  $CO_2$  sinks with fossil fuel  $CO_2$  emissions in those large emitters. It is important to note that fitting net fluxes to 388 changes in atmospheric CO2 and then subtracting the prior fossil fuel (FF) fluxes can result in errors in the residual values, 389 which are typically attributed exclusively to the sum of all non-FF fluxes. Additionally, we included two large boreal forested 390 countries (Russia - RUS and Canada - CAN), two tropical countries with large forest areas (Brazil - BRA and the Democratic 391 Republic of Congo - COD), two large countries with ground-based stations (Mongolia - MNG and Kazakhstan - KAZ), and 392 two large dry Southern Hemisphere countries also with high rankings in fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (South Africa - ZAF and 393 Australia - AUS), both of which possess atmospheric stations to constrain their land  $CO_2$  flux.

394 For CH<sub>4</sub>, we first ranked countries (or groups of countries) based on their total anthropogenic, fossil, and agricultural emissions. 395 This study includes China (CHN), India (IND), the United States (USA), the European Union (EUR), Russia (RUS), Argentina 396 (ARG) and Indonesia (IDN), all of which are among the top emitters of both fossil fuel and agricultural CH<sub>4</sub> and possess large 397 areas. Criteria of large land areas and the presence of atmospheric stations is crucial for in situ inversions. The advantage of 398 utilizing GOSAT in CH<sub>4</sub> atmospheric inversions is its ability to provide observations over countries where surface in-situ data 399 are sparse or absent, such as in the tropics. This allows us to consider countries with limited or few ground-based observations. 400 Small countries were excluded due to the coarse spatial resolution. However, among the selected countries, Venezuela, with an area of 916,400 km<sup>2</sup>, was chosen specifically for the analysis of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. Despite being relatively small, Venezuela 401 402 is a large producer of oil and gas, potentially allowing for inversions using GOSAT satellite observations to constrain its 403 emissions. In major oil- and gas-extracting countries that have negligible agricultural and wetland emissions like Kazakhstan

404 (KAZ), grouped in this study with Turkmenistan (TKM) into KAZ&TKM; Iran (IRN); and Persian Gulf countries (GULF),

405 fossil emissions should be easier to separate by inversions and thus to be compared with NGHGIs.

For  $N_2O$ , we selected the top 12 emitters based on the NGHGIs reports. Anthropogenic  $N_2O$  emissions in most of these

407 countries are predominantly driven by the agricultural sector, which accounts for a share (including indirect emissions) ranging
 408 from 6% in Venezuela (VEN) to 95% in Brazil (BRA) of their total NGHGIs emissions.

409 Together, the selected countries (or groups of countries) with a different selection for each gas, account for more than 90% of

410 the global land  $CO_2$  sink, 60% of the global anthropogenic  $CH_4$  emissions (around 15% of fossil fuel emissions and

411 approximately 40% of agriculture and waste emissions separately), and 55% of the global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, as

412 estimated by the NGHGIs.

413 Table 3. Lists of countries or groups of countries are analyzed and displayed in the result section for each aggregated sector.

414 Argentina (ARG), Australia (AUS), BRA (Brazil), Bangladesh (BGD), Canada (CAN), China (CHN), Columbia (COL), Democratic

415 Republic of the Congo (COD), Indonesia (IDN), India (IND), Iran (IRN), European Union (EUR), Kazakhstan (KAZ), Mexico (MEX),

416 Mongolia (MNG), Nigeria (NGA), Pakistan (PAK), Russia (RUS), South Africa (ZAF), Sudan (SDN), Thailand (THA), United States

417 (USA), Venezuela (VEN), GULF = Saudi Arabia + Oman + United Arab Emirates + Kuwait + Bahrain + Iraq + Qatar, KAZ&TKM =

Kazakhstan + Turkmenistan. For CH<sub>4</sub>, acronyms underlined denotes the countries appear in both *Anthropogenic* and *Fossil* or *Agriculture and Waste* sectors.

Gas	Super Sector	Country List
CO <sub>2</sub>	Net Land Flux	AUS, BRA, CAN, CHN, COD, EUR, IND, KAZ, MNG, RUS, USA, ZAF
CH₄	Anthropogenic	<u>ARG,</u> AUS, <u>BRA, CHN, EUR, IDN, IND, IRN, MEX</u> , <u>PAK, RUS, USA</u>
	Fossil	<u>CHN</u> , <u>EUR</u> , GULF, <u>IDN, IND, IRN</u> , KAZ&TKM, <u>MEX</u> , NGA, <u>RUS, USA,</u> VEN
	Agriculture and Waste	<u>ARG,</u> BGD, <u>BRA, CHN, EUR, IDN, IND, MEX, PAK, RUS</u> , THA, <u>USA</u>
N <sub>2</sub> O	Anthropogenic	AUS, BRA, CHN, COD, COL, EUR, IDN, IND, MEX, SDN, USA, VEN





Fig 3 presents the time series of land-to-atmosphere  $CO_2$  fluxes for the selected countries listed in **Table 2**. The median of inversions across the 12 countries shows significant interannual variability, reflecting the impact of climate variability on terrestrial carbon fluxes and annual variations of land-use emissions. In this paper, for inversion results covering a time interval, we present the data as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, where the mean is the multi-year average of the median flux values from the inversion models, and the standard deviation represents the interannual variability.

- 440 The adjustments of lateral CO<sub>2</sub> flux generally tend to lower land carbon sinks or increase land carbon emissions, especially in 441 China (CHN), United States (USA), European Union (EUR), Russia (RUS), Canada (CAN), India (IND), and Brazil (BRA). 442 In these countries, adjusting inversions by  $CO_2$  fluxes induced by river carbon transport and by the trade of crop and wood 443 products tends to lower CO<sub>2</sub> sinks, especially for large crop exporters like the USA and CAN. The adjusted net lateral transport 444 fluxes for these countries are 48 (CHN), 143 (USA), 86 (EUR), 63 (RUS), 72 (CAN), 75 (IND), and 145 (BRA) Tg C/vr, 445 which represent 20%, 38%, 48%, 11%, 41%, 94%, and 60% of the managed land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes before lateral transport 446 adjustments, respectively. However, even with these adjustments, in countries of temperate latitudes, the median values of the 447 five in-situ-alone inversion ensemble all indicate a net carbon sink during the 2010s, such as CHN with a sink of  $180 \pm 100$ 448 Tg C/yr, USA (210  $\pm$  180 Tg C/yr), EUR (90  $\pm$  50 Tg C/yr), RUS (490  $\pm$  100 Tg C/yr) and CAN (110  $\pm$  40 Tg C/yr). In CHN, 449 despite only 5 reported values to UNFCCC, NGHGIs show a good agreement with the inversion results, with both NGHGIs 450 and inversions exhibiting an overall increase in carbon sink over the study period. However, during 2015-2021, the median 451 values of the satellite-based inversion ensemble show a higher carbon sink of  $320 \pm 60$  Tg C/yr than those from in-situ inversion 452 results  $(220 \pm 50 \text{ Tg C/yr})$  in CHN. In IND, there are also only five reported estimates from the NGHGIs. The in-situ inversion 453 results indicate that India exhibited fluctuations between being a carbon source and a carbon sink during the period of 2001-454 2014 (40  $\pm$  70 Tg C/yr). During 2015-2019, the in-situ inversion results in IND show a median carbon sink of 65  $\pm$  20 Tg C/yr, 455 however, the median reverted to being a carbon source of 90 Tg C/yr (ranging from a sink of 350 to a source of 260) in 2020. 456 In contrast, the median values of satellite-based inversion ensemble indicate a carbon source of  $65 \pm 64$  Tg C/yr during 2015-457 2021 in IND.
- 458 As Annex I countries, USA, EUR, RUS, CAN, and Kazakhstan (KAZ) have continuously reported annual NGHGIs since 459 1990. The NGHGIs reported values for the USA and CAN indicate a decline trend (Mann-Kendall Z=-0.6, p<0.01) of carbon 460 sinks by an annual average rate of 0.7 Tg C/yr<sup>2</sup> and 0.5 Tg C/yr<sup>2</sup>. Like in Deng et al. 2022, we found that the carbon sink of 461 Canada's managed land is significantly larger ( $-130 \pm 50$  Tg C/yr over 2001-2021 from in-situ inversions) than the NGHGIs 462 reports (5  $\pm$  4 Tg C/yr over 2001-2021). Part of this difference could be due to the fact that Canada decides in its inventory not 463 to report fire emissions as they are considered to have a natural cause. Doing so, Canada also excludes recovery sinks after 464 burning and those recovery sinks could surpass on average fire emissions, although remote sensing estimates of post fire 465 biomass changes suggest that fire emissions have exceeded regrowth on average in Western Canada and Alaska until  $\approx 2010$ 466 (Wang et al., 2021). One reason for the difference may be that the NGHGI used old growth curves for forests, potentially 467 underestimating the actual forest growth. Another reason for the difference may be shrubland and natural peatland carbon 468 uptake and possibly an underestimated increase of soil carbon in the national inventory. For the USA we have a good agreement

- 469 between inversions (-290  $\pm$  180 Tg C/yr for in-situ over 2001-2021) and the NGHGIs data (-220  $\pm$  10 Tg C/yr over 2001-2021) 470 with the inversion showing much more interannual variability, the US being a net source of carbon in the years 2011, 2015 471 and 2016 from the median of in-situ inversons. The lower variability in the NGHGIs data reflects the 5-years averaging of C 472 stock changes by the national forest inventory. In EUR, the new in-situ inversion ensemble gives a lower carbon sink than the 473 previous one (red line in Fig 3, see discussion in section 6.1), now being in good agreement ( $-80 \pm 60$  Tg C/yr) with NGHGIs 474  $(-85 \pm 10 \text{ Tg C/yr})$  over 2001-2021. The OCO-2 satellite inversions give a higher sink than in-situ inversions by  $-200 \pm 80 \text{ Tg}$ 475 C/yr, possibly because the in-situ surface network does not cover Eastern European countries which have a larger NEE than 476 Western European ones, whereas OCO-2 data have a more even coverage of the continent, as discussed by Winkler et al. 477 (2023) (see their Fig. 2 showing that OCO-2 inversions have a similar NEE than in-situ ones in Western Europe but a larger 478 mean NEE uptake in Eastern Europe).
- 479 In contrast, the NGHGIs in RUS reports a rapid trend of increasing sink by a rate of 4.6 Tg  $C/yr^2$  (Mann-Kendall Z=0.69, 480 p < 0.01) during 1990-2020, supported by the significant strong correlation with the medians of in-situ inversion ensemble 481 (p=0.7, p<0.01) during 2001-2020. However, the median values for both the in-situ (480 ± 100 Tg C/yr) and satellite-based 482  $(450 \pm 90 \text{ Tg C/yr})$  inversion ensemble over RUS indicate larger larger land carbon sinks than those reported in the NGHGIs 483  $(180 \pm 10 \text{ Tg C/yr})$  during 2011-2020. For KAZ, the NGHGIs suggest that managed land is a slight carbon source (6 ± 5 Tg 484 C/yr) during 2000-2020. However, the median values for both satellite-based and in-situ inversion ensemble indicate a carbon 485 sink of 50  $\pm$  30 Tg C/yr and 60  $\pm$  30 Tg C/yr, respectively, during 2015-2021 and 2001-2021. It is worth noting that the 486 satellite-based inversion results for USA, CAN, and KAZ all exhibit shifts in their fluxes between 2010 and 2015 compared 487 to the results after 2015. This is attributed to the use of different satellite data and the number of different ensembles during 488 these periods. Before 2015, only GOSAT was available, and only 2 out of 4 systems were available. After the OCO-2 record 489 started, in September 2014, the satellite-driven inversion set only assimilated OCO-2. This indicates that inversion results 490 based on GOSAT data are not consistent at the country scale with OCO-2 inversions. As a result, we can compare OCO-2 491 inversions with NGHGIs since 2015, but not the trends from inversions using GOSAT and/or OCO-2 inversions since 2009. 492 In BRA, both the NGHGIs reports ( $240 \pm 170$  Tg C/yr during 1990-2016) and inversion results (in-situ:  $350 \pm 190$  Tg C/yr 493 during 2001-2021; satellite-based:  $280 \pm 120 \text{ Tg C/yr}$  during 2015-2021) indicate that the country has been a net carbon source 494 since 1990. The carbon source from managed land in Brazil increased from the late 1990s, reaching a peak around 2005 495 according to NGHGIs (677 Tg C/yr). This evolution is confirmed by in-situ inversions with a source peaking in 2005 (~650 496 Tg C/yr). The net carbon source from inversions then decreased from 2005 to 2011, which is consistent with the observed 497 reduction in deforestation due to forest protection policies implemented by the Brazilian government. This is an encouraging 498 result as the inversions did not explicitly consider land use emissions in their prior assumptions, although some included an 499 estimate of carbon released by fires in their prior which is part of land-use emissions in Brazil. Since NEE is defined as all 500 land fluxes except fossil fuel emissions, NEE from all inversions nevertheless include land use emissions from deforestation, 501 degradation emissions and fire emissions including fires from deforestation, degradation and other fires. After 2011, inversions 502 show a new increase in land emissions, with a peak during the 2015-2016 El Niño. There have been higher average land

- 503 emissions thereafter. These ongoing changes may be attributed to various factors such as the legacy effects of drought leading 504 to increased tree mortality (Aragão et al., 2018), higher wildfire emissions (Naus et al., 2022; Gatti et al., 2023), carbon losses 505 from forest degradation, and climate change-induced reductions in forest growth due to regional drying and warming in the 506 southern and eastern parts of the Amazon (Gatti et al., 2021). From 2011 to 2016, the NGHGIs reports indicate that carbon 507 emissions from Brazilian managed lands were stable at around 47 Tg C/vr. However, the medians of in-situ inversions suggest 508 that carbon emissions rapidly increased from ~100 Tg C/yr in 2011 to ~600 Tg C/yr in 2016, which peaked in 2015 (~610 Tg 509 C/yr). From 2016 to 2021, the medians for both in-situ and satellite inversion results show a decrease in carbon emissions from 510 2016 to 2018 but a transient peak in 2019, a year with large fires (Gatti et al., 2023) (in-situ: 480 Tg C/yr; satellite: 270 Tg 511 C/yr). Then carbon emissions decreased again until 2021, which experienced wetter conditions and fewer fires (Peng et al., 512 2022); The in-situ inversion results show a continuous decrease to -10 Tg C/yr in 2021, while the satellite inversion results 513 showed a persistent source carbon anomaly of 300 Tg C/yr. We emphasize moreover that available CO<sub>2</sub> observations from a 514 network of aircraft vertical sampling (Gatti et al., 2021) were not used to constrain the inverse models used here.
- 515 For Democratic Republic of the Congo (COD), the available NGHGIs data indicates that before 2000, the country's managed 516 lands were a net carbon sink (50 Tg C/yr in 1994 and 30 Tg C/yr in 1999). Since 2000, the NGHGIs reports indicated three 517 stages of different levels of CO<sub>2</sub> flux, which COD managed land was a carbon source during 2000-2010 (~95 Tg C/yr), a larger 518 carbon source during 2011-2014 (~135 Tg C/yr), and a very small sink during 2015-2018 (~-1 Tg C/yr). The medians of in-519 situ inversion ensemble indicate a similar annual average carbon source ( $70 \pm 45$  Tg C/yr) during 2001-2021 with the NGHGIs, 520 despite the few observations over Africa (Byrne et al., 2023). In the recent decade, satellite inversion results from 2015 to 2021 521 indicate a smaller source ( $30 \pm 55$  Tg C/yr) compared to the in-situ results ( $85 \pm 25$  Tg C/yr). Moreover, the satellite inversion 522 results indicate a sink anomaly in 2020 (-60 Tg C/yr) which is not found in the in-situ inversions. The sink anomaly in 2020 523 from the satellite inversions is consistent with wetter conditions during that year over COD.
- For South Africa (ZAF), the NGHGIs show a stable very small sink of 3 Tg C/yr during 1990-2010 that doubled from 4 Tg C/yr in 2010 to 8 Tg C/yr in 2017, while the in-situ inversion results indicate large fluctuations from a carbon sink (especially peaked in 2006, 2009, 2011, 2017 and 2021) to a small carbon source (e.g., in 2013, and 2018-2019). From 2015 to 2021, the satellite-based inversion results are consistent with the in-situ results for annual variability ( $\rho$ =0.8, p<0.05), which is a good sign of the consistency between different atmospheric observing systems. During the transition to El Niño conditions and drought from 2014 to 2015, however, the satellite-based inversion results indicate a switch from a carbon sink to a source anomaly of 50 Tg C/yr in ZAF which is not seen in the in-situ inversions.
- In Australia (AUS), the NGHGIs data shows a land source of carbon from 1990 to 2012, which decreased over time (from 48 Tg C/yr in 1990 to 1 Tg C/yr in 2012) and changed into a carbon sink since 2013 (that increased from a sink of 1 Tg C/yr in 2013 to 15 Tg C/yr in 2020). However, the in-situ inversions indicate fluctuations between a carbon source and a sink with an annual average small sink of  $10 \pm 71$  Tg C/yr observed over the period of 2001-2021, except for 2009-2011, the medians of in-situ inversions reveal a strong carbon sink of  $105 \pm 35$  Tg C/yr. Between 2010 and the strong La Niña year of 2011, the medians of in-situ inversion ensemble from the previous study (Deng et al., 2022) showed an increase in carbon uptake of

537 145%. This high carbon sink persisted in 2012, which was a dryer year with maximum bushfire activity. However, in this 538 study, the medians of updated in-situ inversion ensemble indicate that there is a sink anomaly in 2011 followed by a source 539 anomaly in 2013, which appears to be more realistic. 2019 was the driest and hottest year recorded in Australia, including 540 extreme fires at the end of 2019 (Byrne et al., 2021). As a result, the medians for both in-situ and satellite inversion ensemble 541 show a carbon source anomaly in 2019, with 55 Tg C/yr (ranging from a sink of 1060 to a source of 480) and 200 Tg C/yr 542 (raging from a sink of 120 to a source of 320) respectively. When it comes to the wet La Niña year of 2021, the medians for 543 both in-situ and satellite inversion ensemble indicate that AUS managed land became a carbon sink of 130 Tg C/yr (ranging 544 from a sink of 1120 to a source of 25) and 150 Tg C/yr (ranging from a sink of 260 to a source of 40).

545 Last, we give the global comparison between NGHGIs and inversions, using NGHGIs data compiled for all countries by Grassi 546 et al. (2023) which include Annex I countries reports, non-Annex I NC, BUR and NDCs. The river correction is the only one 547 that changes the global NEE, because the global mean of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from wood and crop products is close to zero. The river-548 induced CO<sub>2</sub> uptake over land that is removed from inversion NEE is equal to the C flux transported to the ocean at river 549 mouths (0.9 GtC/yr in our estimate, close to the value of Regnier et al. 2022). The (in-situ) inversions without the river 550 correction give a global NEE sink of 1.8 GtC/yr over 2001-2020, managed land: 1.3 GtC/yr (72% of total), unmanaged land: 551 0.5 GtC/yr (28%). The in-situ inversions with the river correction study give a global NEE sink of 0.91 GtC/yr, managed land 552 0.51 GtC/yr (56% of total), unmanaged land 0.4 GtC/yr (44% of the total). This is an important update from Deng et al. 2022 553 where the river CO2 flux correction was not applied separately to managed / unmanaged lands. Because managed lands have 554 a much larger area than unmanaged ones and because of the spatial patterns of the CO2 sinks in the river correction are 555 distributed with MODIS NPP which has low values in unmanaged lands of northern Canada and Russia, the river correction 556 reduces strongly the C storage change with respect to NEE over managed lands, and marginally in unmanaged lands. Inventory 557 data recently compiled by Grassi et al. (2023) indicates a similar global land sink (on managed land) of 0.53 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> with gap-558 filled data during the same period than the inversions with our improved river correction.

#### 559 **4 Results for anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions**

#### 560 **4.1 Total anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions**



 -- Median of Inversions (in-situ)
 Range of Inversions (in-situ)
 Median of Inversions (in-situ), (Deng et al, 2022)

 -- Median of Inversions (satellite)
 Range of Inversions (satellite)
 National inventory

561

566

Figure 4. Total anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for the 12 top emitters: China (CHN), India (IND), United States (USA), Brazil (BRA), Russia (RUS), European Union (EUR), Indonesia (IDN), Pakistan (PAK), Argentina (ARG), Iran (IRN), Mexico (MEX), and Australia (AUS). The black dots denote the reported values from NGHGIs. The light and dark blue lines/areas denote the median and maximum-minimum ranges of in-situ and satellite-based CH<sub>4</sub> inversions based on EDGARv6.0 as the prior respectively.

567 Fig 4 presents the variations in anthropogenic  $CH_4$  emissions for the 12 selected countries, where these emissions are summing the sectors of agriculture and waste, fossil fuels, and biofuel burning. The distribution of emissions is highly skewed even 568 569 among the top 12 emitters, with the largest and most populated countries such as China (CHN), India (IND), United States 570 (USA), Brazil (BRA), Russia (RUS), and European Union (EUR) which emits more than 10 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr annually, while other 571 countries have smaller emissions (ranging from 3 to 10  $CH_4/yr$ ) that are more challenging to quantify through inversions. 572 During 2010-2020, CHN has the highest total anthropogenic emissions at around  $50 \pm 4$ Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, followed by IND with 573 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, USA with  $24 \pm 1$ Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, BRA with  $24 \pm 1$ Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, EUR with  $19 \pm 1$  $30 \pm 1$ Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, 574 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and RUS with  $13 \pm 1$ Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, according to the medians of satellite-based Indonesia (IDN) with  $14 \pm 1$ 575 inversion ensemble based on EDGARv6.0 as prior. The remaining countries have emissions of approximately 5 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr. In

- 576 general, the difference between NGHGIs and inversions aligns in the same direction based on both satellite and in-situ 577 inversions. This provides some confidence for using inversions to evaluate NGHGIs as the satellite observations are 578 independent from in situ networks. Overall, satellite-based inversions may be more robust across most countries due to better 579 observation coverage, except in EUR and the USA where the in-situ network is more extensive.
- 580 Developing countries, such as CHN, IND, BRA, IDN, Pakistan (PAK), Iran (IRN) and Mexico (MEX), show a rapid increase 581 in anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions supported by reported values from NGHGIs and results from inversions. In CHN, the reported 582 values from NGHGIs (when available) generally align with the results obtained through inversions (e.g., during 2010-2015, 583 NGHGIs:  $54 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, in-situ:  $58 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, satellite-based:  $48 \pm 3$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr). During 2010-2020, the median 584 values for the in-situ and satellite-based inversion ensemble show a similar increase trend at an annual growth rate of 0.28 Tg  $CH_4/yr^2$  and 0.26 Tg  $CH_4/yr^2$  respectively, although the medians of in-situ inversion ensemble (58 ± 2 Tg  $CH_4/yr^2$ ) were slight 585 586 higher than the satellite-based ensemble ( $50 \pm 3$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr). However, in 2020, the medians of the emission estimates for both 587 in-situ and satellite-based inversions reveal a rapid increase by 9% and 11% compared to 2019 in CHN, indicating a possible 588 surge in anthropogenic methane emissions for that year, possibly an artifact from the fact that the decreased OH sink in 2020 589 is not well accounted for here. Indeed OH interannual variability were not prescribed to all inversions, and when accounted 590 for the OH interannual variability prescribed (based on Patra et al., 2021) was much smaller than those suggested by recent 591 studies (e.g., Peng et al., 2022). As a result overestimating the sink in the inversions leads to overestimated surface emissions. 592 The surge in emissions could also be due to spin-down, the last six month to one year of inversions being less constrained by 593 the observations, even though the inversion period covered up to June 2021.
- In IND, PAK and MEX, there is good agreement (r>0.8, p<0.01) between the in-situ and satellite-based inversion ensembles (respectively,  $31 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and  $30 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in IND,  $8 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and  $7 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in PAK, and  $6 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and  $6 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in MEX), while both of them present a significant increasing trend of anthropogenic methane emissions in these countries (Mann-Kendall p<0.05). However, when comparing to NGHGIs values, the inversion results in IND and PAK indicate >50% larger emissions than the values reported from the NGHGIs during 2010-2020. In contrast, values reported from the NGHGIs (~6 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) by MEX also show good agreement with the inversion results.
- 600 In BRA, IDN and Argentina (ARG), the medians for in-situ and satellite-based inversion ensembles show good consistency 601 (r=0.8, p<0.01) in these two countries, while satellite-based inversion results are generally higher than the in-situ inversion 602 results. Specifically, in BRA, the satellite-based inversions  $(24 \pm 1 \text{ Tg CH}_4/\text{yr})$  were 16% higher than the in-situ inversions (21 603  $\pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) and 52% higher than the NGHGIs estimation (~17 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) during 2010-2020, possibly owing to difficulties 604 for inversions to separate between natural (wetlands, inland waters) and anthropogenic sources in this country, and possible 605 flaws in the prior used for natural and anthropogenic fluxes. In IDN, NGHGIs reported a significant continuous upward trend 606 at an annual average growth of 0.3 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, with a noticeable positive outlier in 2000. The medians for both in-situ and 607 satellite-based inversion ensembles also indicate an upward trend in IDN, but both of them present sudden dips in 608 anthropogenic methane emissions in 2015 and 2019 by  $15 \sim 23\%$  and  $16 \sim 25\%$ , compared to the previous year respectively. It 609 is unlikely that anthropogenic activities could contribute such large year to year variations except for different flooded areas

- 610 used for rice paddies. In ARG, the satellite-based inversion results also indicate two sudden dips in 2016 and 2019, however,
- 611 such pattern was not found in the in-situ inversion results. A cause of year to year variations from inversions is the lack of in-612 situ sites and variable cloud cover affecting the density of GOSAT data.
- Regarding IRN, NGHGIs only provided data for three years (1994, 2000, and 2010), making it difficult to compare with inversion results. However, NGHGIs show a rapid growth in anthropogenic  $CH_4$  emissions (+9.4%/yr) during this period. There are significant differences between inversion results and for IRN, with satellite inversions generally giving lower emissions than in-situ inversions and different trends. Satellite inversions suggest a declining trend between 2010 and 2015, followed by a fluctuating increase until 2020. In contrast, in-situ-based inversions (by any nearby measurement stations, thus
- 618 likely reflecting the prior trend) show a rapid rise in emissions after 2010, reaching a peak in 2018, followed by a decline.
- 619 NGHGIs for RUS indicate that anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions have been reduced during the 1990s and remained stable since
- 620 2000 (12.0 ± 0.3 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr during 2000-2020), which is similar with the trend observed from satellite-based inversion results
- 621 (12.7  $\pm$  0.9 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr during 2000-2020). However, in 2016, there was a sudden increase of emissions in satellite inversion
- results (+14% increase from 12.5 Tg CH4/yr in 2015 to 14.2 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in 2016), followed by a gradual decline, and then a
- new increase in 2020 (+11% increase from 12.8 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in 2019 to 14.3 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in 2020). This recent change was not
- 624 observed in the in-situ inversion results or the NGHGIs.
- 625 For USA, Australia (AUS), and EUR, NGHGIs reported a slow declining trend (EUR: 0.4 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr; USA: 0.2 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr;
- $AUS: -0.04 \text{ Tg CH}_4/\text{yr}$ ) in anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. In the case of the USA, inversion-derived emissions are slightly
- 627 lower than NGHGIs (in-situ-based: 9% lower during 2000-2020; satellite-based: 11% lower during 2010-2020). However,
- both ground-based and satellite-based inversions indicate that anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions have remained relatively steady
- 629 since 2000, without reflecting the slow decline reported by NGHGIs. In EUR, NGHGIs indicate that anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub>
- 630 emissions have been decreasing rapidly since 1990 (-1.4%/yr), consistent with the trend obtained from inversion results.
- 631 However, in-situ inversion emissions are on average slightly higher than NGHGIs, and this difference has been gradually
- 632 increasing from 8% in the 2000s to 15% in the 2010s.



#### 634

635 Figure 5. CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the fossil fuel sector from the top 12 emitters of this sector: China (CHN), Russia (RUS), United States 636 (USA), European Union (EUR), Iran (IRN), India (IND), Indonesia (IDN), Persian Gulf countries (GULF = Saudi Arabia + Iraq + 637 Kuwait + Oman + United Arab Emirates + Bahrain + Qatar), Kazakhstan & Turkmenistan (KAZ&TKM), Venezuela (VEN), 638 Nigeria (NGA), and Mexico (MEX). The black dots denote the reported value from the NGHGIs. In the NGHGI data shown in Fig 5 for 639 GULF, Saudi Arabia reported four NGHGIs in 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2012, Iraq reported one in 1997, Kuwait reported three in 1994, 2000, 640 and 2016. Oman reported one in 1994. United Arab Emirates reported four in 1994, 2000, 2005 and 2014. Bahrain reported three in 1994. 641 2000 and 2006, and Qatar reported one in 2007. The reported values are interpolated over the study period to be summed up and plotted in 642 the figure. For KAZ&TKM, the reported values of Turkmenistan during 2001-2003, 2005-2009, 2011-2020 are interpolated and added to 643 annual reports from Kazakhstan, an Annex I country for which annual data are available. Other lines, colors and symbols as Fig 4.

644

**Fig 5** presents the fossil CH<sub>4</sub> emissions for the top 12 emitters from the fossil sector based on EDGARv6.0 as the prior. The largest emitter is China (CHN), mainly from the sub-sector of coal extraction, followed by Russia (RUS) and the United States (USA). In CHN, the in-situ  $(20 \pm 2 \text{ Tg CH}_4/\text{yr})$  and satellite inversions  $(17 \pm 1 \text{ Tg CH}_4/\text{yr})$  emissions in the 2010s are 24% and 35% lower than in the NGHGIs (~26 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr), respectively. The NGHGIs in CHN suggest a decrease from 28 in 2012 to 24 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr in 2014. However, both in-situ and satellite inversion results indicate an increasing trend since 2018. In India (IND) and Indonesia (IDN), NGHGIs report a decreasing trend during the study period, while inversions suggest a rapid 651 increase in IDN and a stable value in IND after a peak in 2012. In IND, satellite inversions suggest a peak of fossil  $CH_4$ 652 emissions during 2011-2012, which then dropped in 2013 and remained stable afterward. In IDN, both in-situ and satellite 653 inversions indicate a fluctuating trend, with a significant drop between 2015 and 2019. In RUS, both in-situ and satellite 654 inversion-based estimates of fossil fuel emissions are higher than NGHGIs, and show an increasing trend, while NGHGIs 655 report a decreasing trend. This discrepancy may be due to inversion problems for separating between wetland emissions and 656 gas extraction industries both located in the Yamal peninsula area, or leaks not captured in NGHGIs. In USA, NGHGIs overall 657 show a significant declining trend (Mann-Kendall Z=-0.8, p<0.01). In-situ inversion estimates of fossil fuel emissions are 26% 658 lower than NGHGIs during 2000-2010, and remained consistent until around 2011. Nearly all in-situ inversions show a jump 659 in fossil fuel emissions in 2011. In the European Union (EUR), both NGHGIs and inversion results demonstrate a consistent 660 declining trend. However, starting from 2010, both in-situ and satellite inversions are higher than NGHGIs reports.

661 Major oil-producing countries in the persian Gulf are too small compared to the model resolution to be studied individually. 662 Hence, NGHGIs from the GULF countries (Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Kuwait, Oman, United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, and Qatar) 663 were grouped and show much lower emissions compared to inversion results. In the 2010s, in-situ and satellite inversions 664 estimate that emissions in GULF were 9 times and 8 times higher than the estimates reported in NGHGIs, respectively. This 665 huge under-reporting of emissions in GULF could be partly attributed to the omission of ultra-emitters in NGHGIs. The ultra-666 emitters defined by Lauvaux et al. (2022) are namely all short-duration leaks from oil and gas facilities (e.g., wells, compressors) with an individual emission  $>20 \text{ t CH}_4/h$ , each event lasting generally less than one day. Such leaks are often 667 668 random occurrences and difficult to quantify, which is why most countries do not account for these significant and episodic 669 events in the national inventories. Indeed, recent studies by Lauvaux et al. (2022) have identified more ultra-emitters and larger 670 emission budgets from ultra-emitters in Qatar, Kuwait, and Iraq. In KAZ&TKM, grouped together because of their rather small 671 individual areas, both in-situ ( $3 \pm 0.2$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) and satellite ( $3 \pm 0.1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) inversions estimate emissions to be 2 times 672 higher than NGHGIs (1.5 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) in the 2010s. Similarly, KAZ is located downwind of TKM, which has a high share of 673 ultra-emitters. The global inversions operating at a coarse resolution may misallocate emissions from TKM to KAZ. It is worth 674 noting that KAZ has two in-situ stations for CH<sub>4</sub> measurements, whereas the GULF countries lack in-situ station networks. 675 On the other hand, the GOSAT satellite provides a dense sampling of atmospheric column  $CH_4$  in the Persian Gulf region due 676 to frequent cloud-free conditions. Therefore, GOSAT inversions can be considered more accurate than in-situ inversions for 677 Iran (IRN), GULF countries, and Kazakhstan & Turkmenistan (KAZ&TKM). Additionally, it is important to note that GOSAT 678 inversions generally give lower emissions than in-situ inversions in those countries. Venezuela (VEN) is a rare case where 679 NGHGIs report much higher CH<sub>4</sub> emissions than inversions. While the uncertainty of GOSAT inversions (model spread) has 680 decreased compared to the results reported by Deng et al. 2022, the gap between inversions and NGHGIs has increased. In 681 2010, NGHGIs reports of fossil CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in VEN were 298% higher than GOSAT inversions and 326% than in-situ 682 inversions. We do not have a clear explanation for this large difference, except that VEN has strongly decreased oil and gas 683 extraction due to sanctions curbing its crude production from 2.7 mb/d in 2015 to 0.6 mb/d in 2020 (OPEC, 2023), which may 684 not be reflected in their NGHGIs. In Nigeria (NGA) and Mexico (MEX), NGHGIs estimates fall between the median of insitu and satellite inversions during 2010-2020. However, in MEX, the in-situ inversion was 50% lower than NGHGIs in the

686 2000s and showed a sudden large increase in 2010.

# 687 **4.3 Agriculture and waste CH<sub>4</sub> emissions**



688

Figure 6. CH4 emissions from agriculture and waste for the 12 largest emitters in this sector, China (CHN), India (IND), Brazil
(BRA), United States (USA), European Union (EUR), Pakistan (PAK), Indonesia (IDN), Russia (RUS), Argentina (ARG), Thailand
(THA), Mexico (MEX), and Bangladesh (BGD). The black dots denote the reported estimates from NGHGIs. Other lines, colors, and
symbols as Fig 4.

693

**Fig 6** presents CH<sub>4</sub> emissions of the Agriculture and Waste sector for the top 12 emitters of this sector. In all countries except for the United States (USA) and Russia (RUS), the values reported by NGHGIs are systematically lower than the inversion results. The results from the previous ensemble of in-situ inversions (red dotted line) are consistent with those of the inversions used in this study except in the USA where previous inversions are 3.2 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr higher, in RUS where they show a drop after 2015 although they remain in the range from the new satellite and in-situ inversions, and in Mexico (MEX) where they are systematically lower by 1.6 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr. 700 In China (CHN), the most recent NGHGIs reports in 2012 and 2014 estimate agriculture and waste emissions at 28 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr, 701 which is close to satellite inversions ( $28 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) but 22.4% lower than the median in-situ inversions ( $35 \pm 1$  Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr) 702 and closer to their minimum value. The trend in agricultural and waste emissions is consistent between inversions and NGHGIs 703 for CHN. In India (IND), inversions consistently show higher emissions than NGHGIs by approximately 50% and indicate an 704 increasing trend during 2000-2020, whereas the NGHGI last communication being for 2016, it does not allow us to give a 705 recent trend. According to the national inventory of IND, enteric fermentation is the primary source of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the 706 agriculture and waste sector, contributing 61% of emissions, with rice cultivation accounting for 20% and waste contributing 707 16%. A similar pattern is observed in Bangladesh (BGD), where agricultural emissions are dominated by rice production (48%) 708 in 2012) and enteric fermentation (42% in 2012). Satellite and in-situ inversions estimate emissions in BGD are nearly double 709 than those reported by NGHGIs during 2001 and 2012, the last communication. The significant discrepancies between 710 inversions and NGHGIs in IND and BGD may be attributed to potential underestimation of livestock or waste CH<sub>4</sub> emissions 711 by NGHGIs. NGHGIs utilized the Tier 1 method and associated emission factors from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National 712 Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC, 2006). However, a recent study (Chang et al., 2021) found that estimates using revised 713 Tier 1 or Tier 2 methods from the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 714 (IPCC, 2019) give livestock emissions 48%-60% and 42%-61% higher for IND and BGD by 2010, respectively, compared to 715 Tier 1 IPCC (2006) methods, which would bring bottom up emissions closer to inversions. In Brazil (BRA), both satellite and 716 in-situ inversions consistently estimate larger emissions than the NGHGIs by 34% and 29%, respectively, and show a 717 consistent increasing trend over their study periods. In the USA, the medians of satellite and in-situ inversions are slightly 718 lower than those of NGHGIs, but they exhibit a similar trend throughout the study period. The trend of inversions is comparable 719 to the one of the NGHGIs in BRA during their period of overlap, although there is no NGHGIs communication later than 2016. 720 In Argentina (ARG), Pakistan (PAK) and Thailand (THA), the medians of in-situ inversions show good consistency with 721 satellite inversion results. Nevertheless, in-situ inversion emissions in the 2010s are, on average, 47% higher in PAK, 20% 722 higher in ARG, and 64% higher in THA compared to the NGHGIs reports. In European Union (EUR), emissions from 723 agriculture and waste were reported to have significantly decreased over time in the NGHGI data, mainly from solid waste 724 disposal (Petrescu et al., 2021), a trend that is captured by inversions and is close to the one of the NGHGIs over the study 725 period. In contrast, emissions from agriculture and waste in RUS are reported to have a positive trend after 2010 by the NGHGI, 726 with in-situ inversions producing a consistent trend from 2000 to 2014 but a sharp decrease thereafter, while satellite inversions 727 are producing stable emissions, albeit lower than the NGHGIs and in-situ inversions after 2010.



Figure 7. Anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes of the top 12 emitters: China (CHN), Brazil (BRA), India (IND), United States (USA), Democratic Republic of the Congo (COD), European Union (EUA), Indonesia (IDN), Mexico (MEX), Colombia (COL), Sudan (SDN), Australia (AUS), and Venezuela (VEN). The black dots denote the anthropogenic emissions from the UNFCCC national greenhouse gas inventories. The thick orange lines and the light orange areas denote the median and the maximum-minimum ranges of anthropogenic fluxes respectively among all N<sub>2</sub>O inversions. We restricted our analysis to data starting from 1997 because it was the year when data from the all four inversion models are available.

736

737 We present the 12 countries/regions with the largest anthropogenic  $N_2O$  emissions in the world (Fig 7), which in total 738 contribute approximately 55% of global anthropogenic  $N_2O$  emissions. The estimates from both NGHGIs and inversions in 739 China (CHN), United States (USA), and European Union (EUR) demonstrate a relatively close match between NGHGIs and 740 inversions (in-situ only). These three large emitting countries/regions exhibit different trends in their anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O 741 emissions. In CHN, both NGHGIs and inversions indicate an increasing trend in anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. In the USA, 742 anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions seem to have reached a state of relative stability, with NGHGIs and inversion results showing 743 similar mean values and lack of trends. In EUR, both NGHGIs and inversions show a declining trend in anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O 744 emissions, but from 2010 to 2020, the NGHGIs estimates are lower (20%) than the median values derived from inversion 745 models, that is, the negative trend from inversions is less pronounced than the one of NGHGIs. Most other selected countries 746 display higher anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inversions than from NGHGIs (i.e., Brazil (BRA), India (IND), Democratic 747 Republic of the Congo (COD), Indonesia (IDN), Mexico (MEX), Colombia (COL), Sudan (SDN), Venezuela (VEN)). These 748 discrepancies in anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are possibly attributable to factors that have been analyzed in our previous study 749 (Deng et al., 2022). Firstly, nearly all these non-Annex 1 countries utilize Tier 1 emission factors (EFs), which may 750 underestimate emissions when soil and climate dependence are taken into account (Cui et al., 2021). This has been noted in 751 previous studies (Philibert et al., 2013; Shcherbak et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020). Furthermore, the observed concave response 752 of cropland soil emissions as a function of added N fertilizers may also contribute to underestimated emissions in NGHGIs, as 753 the relationship is non-linear and higher than the linear relation used by NGHGIs in Tier 1 approaches (Zhou et al., 2015). In 754 an improved reporting framework, EFs should also account for both natural and anthropogenic components, as they cannot be 755 distinguished through field measurements, from which EFs are derived. However, in practice, EFs are mostly based on 756 measurements made in temperate climates and soils from established croplands with few "background" emissions. 757 Consequently, there could be a systematic underestimation of default IPCC EFs from tropical climates and for recently 758 established agricultural lands, for which the IPCC EFs also have a huge uncertainty of up to  $\pm 75\% - 100\%$ . Another factor that 759 might contribute to the discrepancy is the omission of emissions from reactive nitrogen contained in organic fertilizers 760 (manure), for which NGHGIs do not provide specific details for non-Annex 1 reports. Lastly, anthropogenic indirect emissions 761 (AIEs) from atmospheric nitrogen deposition and leaching of human-induced nitrogen additions to aquifers and inland waters 762 are reported by Annex 1 countries using simple emission factors, but non-Annex 1 countries do not consistently report AIE. 763 However, in Australia (AUS), the gap between inversions and NGHGIs has even expanded compared to our previous study. 764 We do acknowledge that the density of the N<sub>2</sub>O in-situ network in tropical countries and around AUS is so low that inversions 765 most likely are attracted to their priors. The use of a lower prior could thus also be consistent with scarce atmospheric 766 observations, and we have only a low confidence on  $N_2O$  inversion results for tropical countries and AUS.





Figure 8. Net CO<sub>2</sub> land fluxes during the period of a) 2011-2015; and b) 2016-2020 in China (CHN), United States (USA), European Union (EUR), Russia (RUS), Canada (CAN), Kazakhstan (KAZ), Mongolia (MNG), India (IND), Brazil (BRA), Democratic Republic of the Congo (COD), South Africa (ZAF), and Australia (AUS). Blue boxes denote the in-situ inversion results from Deng et al. (2022) processed from Global Carbon Budget 2020 (Friedlingstein et al., 2020). Light green boxes denote the in-situ inversion results processed in this study, while dark green boxes denote the satellite inversion results. Black boxes denote the NGHGIs reported values. The white lines in

- the boxes denote the medians of the land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. Note that the inversion results here have been adjusted by the lateral flux before the
- comparison. Additionally, we extend the comparison with national land use change emissions from global bookkeeping models in Fig S4. In this section, we compare four different estimates of land  $CO_2$  fluxes during the period 2010-2020 (**Fig 8**), including: 1)
- medians of in-situ inversion results from our previous study (Deng et al., 2022), 2) medians of in-situ and 3) satellite-based
- inversion results processed in this study based on the Global Carbon Budget 2022 (Friedlingstein et al., 2022), and 4) NGHGIs.
- This enables a comparison of the median and range of our in-situ inversion results (n=5) with those from previous study (n=6), and assesses the performance differences between satellite-based (n=4) and in-situ inversion models. To ensure a fair

comparison and avoid anomalies in the satellite-based inversion results during 2010-2015 when some of these inversions used

783 GOSAT after 2010 and then OCO-2 after 2015, we separate the analysis into two periods: 2011-2015 and 2016-2020.

782

- 784 The variations of yearly land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes span a comparable range between the current and previous in-situ inversion 785 ensembles, indicating that consistency of the inversion results, but the uncertainty within the new in-situ inversion ensemble 786 was not improved. However, examining the median values, results from the new in-situ inversion ensemble may be closer to 787 NGHGIs in most countries (such as China (CHN), United States (USA), European Union (EUR), Canada (CAN), Kazakhstan 788 (KAZ), India (IND)). This suggests that the new in-situ inversion ensemble used in this study has partially narrowed down the 789 gaps between inversion results and NGHGIs compared to the previous one. However, in Russia (RUS) and Brazil (BRA), the 790 difference between the median of in-situ inversion ensembles and NGHGIs has enlarged. For example, in RUS, median the 791 new in-situ inversion ensemble indicate a larger carbon sink than those from Deng et al. (2022), while the difference between 792 median of in-situ inversions and NGHGIs increases 51% during 2011-2015 (from 208 Tg C/yr to 314 Tg C/yr) and 49% during 793 2016-2020 (from 168 Tg C/yr to 249 Tg C/yr). Conversely, in BRA, median of the new in-situ inversion ensemble indicate a 794 larger carbon source, while the difference increases over 100% during 2011-2015 (from 200 Tg C/yr to 423 Tg C/yr) and 795 nearly 300% during 2016-2020 (from 56 Tg C/yr to 223 Tg C/yr).
- 796 As for the inversion ensemble used in this study, in most countries, the variations of yearly land CO2 fluxes also span a similar 797 range between satellite-based inversion ensemble and in-situ inversion ensemble. However, in the cases of USA, RUS, CHN 798 and BRA, the spread of satellite-based inversion results are narrower than those of in-situ inversion results, indicating a better 799 consistency among available satellite-based inversion models, at least when similar satellite data are assimilated. In addition, 800 in most cases, smaller differences were found between the median of inversion results and the NGHGIs. For countries with 801 dense surface monitoring networks such as in the USA and EUR, the satellite-based inversion results show good agreement 802 in-situ inversion results. However, for countries with sparse station coverage like Kazakhstan (KAZ) and Mongolia (MNG), 803 satellite-based inversion results could provide more reliable estimates due to more extensive spatial sampling from satellites, 804 although the medians of satellite-based inversion results indicate larger carbon sinks and larger differences compared with 805 NGHGIs (than for in-situ inversion results). In USA and CAN, the difference during 2011-2015 (only GOSAT period) between 806 in-situ and satellite-based inversion ensembles is larger than that during 2016-2020 (OCO-2 period). This can be attributed to 807 the use of different satellite data during these periods and different numbers of ensemble members. Before 2015, only GOSAT

- 808 was available, and only 2 out of 4 systems. The inversion of OCO-2 data starting in 2014 resulted in a better alignment among
- 809 OCO-2 ACOS v10 inversions, indicating the in-situ and satellite evaluations were similar (Byrne et al., 2023).





811<br/>812CANBRARUS812Figure 9. Net CO2 land fluxes during the period of 2015-2020 in Canada (CAN), Brazil (BRA), and Russia (RUS). 'IFL' stands for<br/>using the intact forest landscape data as a mask for non-managed land to extract land CO2 flux from managed land and 'ML' indicates the<br/>adjusted mask used by Grassi et al. (2023) to extract land CO2 flux from managed land. The 'in-situ' stands for inversion results using in-<br/>situ observations, and 'satellite represents inversions using satellite observations. Note that the inversion results here have been adjusted by<br/>the lateral flux before the comparison.

817 Following the method proposed by Grassi et al. (2023), we updated in this study the managed land mask for Canada (CAN) 818 and Brazil (BRA) by using maps of managed land derived from NGHGI, and for Russia (RUS) by adjusting tree-cover 819 threshold in the tree cover map from Hansen et al. (2013) to match the average area of managed land per Oblast (province) 820 that is used for the NGHGIs. Thus, the new mask is now more consistent with the definition of managed land in the NGHGIs 821 for these three countries, so that can further analyze the impacts of different definitions of managed land masks to separate the 822 managed land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in inversions (Fig 9). Generally, in Russia (RUS) and Canada (CAN), the managed land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes 823 extracted from the new mask are closer to NGHGIs than those separated by the previous mask used by Deng et al. 2022. In 824 addition, in Brazil (BRA), adjusting the national managed land mask resulted in greater land carbon emissions, increasing the 825 gap with NGHGIs. However, the improvement of the managed land mask in this study is still not able to explain all the existing 826 discrepancy between inversion estimates and NGHGIs, in which the sources and reasons for these differences and uncertainties 827 still need further analysis. We also observe in Fig. 9 that the impact of our new managed land mask compared to the previous 828 one, is qualitatively similar whether it is applied to in-situ inversions or satellite inversions gridded flux fields.

830



Figure 10. Annual average of anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from in-situ (G) and satellite (S) inversions and national greenhouse gas inventories (N) during the period of 2010-2020. G' and S' denote the anthropogenic CH<sub>4</sub> flux from the in-situ and satellite inversion ensembles in the previous study (Deng et al., 2022) respectively, while G and S denote the fluxes from the in-situ and satellite inversion ensembles used in this study. N denotes the estimates from NGHGIs. Grey, yellow, and brown bars represent the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from the sectors of fossil fuel combustion, agriculture and waste, and biomass burning respectively. On top of NGHGI emissions, emissions from ultraemitters (red) are added to NGHGI estimates (diagnosed from S5P-TROPOMI measurements for the period 2019–2020; Lauvaux et al., 2022).

838 In our previous study, we found that satellite inversion models appear to have a better agreement with NGHGIs than in-situ 839 stations based inversion models, and on the other hand, that differences between inversion models and NGHGIs in large oil-840 and gas-producing countries suggest an underestimation of national reports, possibly due to the omission of ultra-emitting 841 sources by NGHGIs. With the new inversion ensemble in this study, we confirm those results (Fig 10). In countries such as 842 China (CHN), India (IND), and Russia (RUS), the updated inversion model set provides estimates that are closer to NGHGIs, 843 but differences still exist, and the reasons for these differences are not the same. For example, differences in anthropogenic 844 methane emissions in IND are mainly due to differences in agricultural and waste methane flux with the new inversion 845 ensemble used in this study. In RUS, the updated inversion ensemble shows lower fossil fuel emissions, reducing the 846 differences with NGHGIs for this sector, but higher agricultural and waste emissions than in Deng et al. (2022). Nevertheless, 847 the updated fossil fuel emission flux is still higher than the NGHGIs estimate for RUS. The remaining differences may be 848 attributed to ultra-emitting sources or underestimated emission factors for some components of the oil and gas extraction and 849 distribution industry in RUS. Conversely, in GULF (GULF = Saudi Arabia + Iraq + Kuwait + Oman + United Arab Emirates

- 850 + Bahrain + Qatar), the new inversion model ensemble consistently reflects higher fossil fuel emission fluxes than NGHGIs
- 851 like in our previous study, and expands the difference in estimates of artificial methane flux between inversion models and
- 852 NGHGIs, possibly indicating more methane leakage.

# 853 **6.4 Influence of the prior used in CH<sub>4</sub> inversions**



<sup>854</sup> 

Figure 11. Total anthropogenic CH4 fluxes for the 12 top emitters: China (CHN), India (IND), United States (USA), Brazil (BRA), Russia (RUS), European Union (EUR), Indonesia (IDN), Pakistan (PAK), Argentina (ARG), Iran (IRN), Mexico (MEX), and Australia (AUS). The black dots denote the reported values from NGHGIs. The light blue lines/areas denote the median and maximumminimum ranges of in-situ CH4 inversions based on EDGARv6.0 as the prior and the dark blue ones of satellite inversions, respectively. The light purple lines/areas denote the median and maximum-minimum ranges of in-situ CH4 inversions based on GAINS (Höglund-Isaksson et al., 2020) as the prior and the dark purple ones of satellite inversions, respectively.

861

The use of different priors can also influence the inversion results of the data. **Fig 11** presents the sets of inversion results using EDGAR (blue) and GAINS (purple) as priors. In most countries, the median values of the two inversion result sets are similar. However, in countries such as Russia (RUS), United States (USA), Iran (IRN), Mexico (MEX), significant differences are observed between the two inversion result sets, which may primarily stem from the differences in the inversion results for fossil CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (**Fig 12**). In RUS and USA, the inversion results using GAINS as priors are consistently higher than those 867 using EDGAR as priors. In RUS, the satellite inversion results using GAINS as priors are higher by 45% during 2010-2020, 868 and the ground-based inversion results are higher by 75% during 2000-2020. In the case of the USA, the inversion results 869 using GAINS as priors exhibit a completely different trend compared to the ones obtained using NGHGIs and EDGAR as 870 priors. The inversion results using GAINS as priors, both from satellite and ground-based measurements, show a rapid growth 871 trend by increasing 24% from 2010 to 2020. In IRN and MEX, the inversion results using GAINS as priors are lower than 872 those using EDGAR as priors. For IRN, the differences between satellite inversion results using different priors are not 873 significant, and the trends are similar. However, the ground-based inversion results are very close between 2000-2013, but 874 after 2013, a steep increase is observed in the ground-based inversion results using GAINS as priors. On the other hand, in 875 MEX, the ground-based inversion results are similar, but the satellite inversion results using GAINS as priors are relatively 876 lower by 14% averagely. Such discrepancies may arise from differences in inventory methodologies and the resulting 877 estimations. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1 in Tibrewal et al. (2024), similar discrepancies were found between the 878 two inventories in these countries, which reports a higher estimation from GAINS in RUS and USA compared to EDGAR 879 during 2011-2020, and a lower estimation in IRN. As noted in Tibrewal et al. (2024), EDGAR is based on various versions of 880 National Inventory Reports (NIR) that utilize different combinations of emission factors from the IPCC, while GAINS employs 881 an independent estimation approach. This highlights the critical role of prior data selection in determining the accuracy of CH4 882 emission estimates.



883

Fig 12. Annual average of anthropogenic CH4 emissions from in-situ and satellite inversions based on two different priors during the period of 2010-2020. GE and SE denote the anthropogenic CH4 flux from the in-situ and satellite inversion ensembles based on EDGARv6.0 as the prior, while GG and SG represent the in-situ and satellite CH4 inversions based on GAINS as the prior.

#### 888 6.5 Comparing anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O flux with the previous study



889

Figure 13. Anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes during the period of 2005-2015 in China (CHN), Brazil (BRA), India (IND), United States
(USA), Democratic Republic of the Congo (COD), European Union (EUR), Indonesia (IDN), Mexico (MEX), Colombia (COL), SDN
(Sudan), Australia (AUS), and Venezuela (VEN). Blue boxes denote the in-situ inversion results from Deng et al. 2022 processed from
Global Carbon Budget 2020 (Friedlingstein et al., 2020). Dark yellow boxes denote the inversion results processed in this study. Black boxes
denote the NGHGIs reported values.

895

896 The updated  $N_2O$  inversion results show systematically higher anthropogenic emissions than the previous  $N_2O$  inversion results 897 (Deng et al, 2022), resulting in larger discrepancies between  $N_2O$  inversion results and NGHGIs in most countries in Fig 13, 898 Countries such as Brazil (BRA), Democratic Republic of the Congo (COD), Indonesia (IDN), Colombia (COL), Sudan (SDN), 899 Australia (AUS), and Venezuela (VEN) exhibit significant differences. These discrepancies may be attributed to the use of 900 lower IPCC default emission factors in the national inventories of these tropical countries, leading to lower NGHGI results. 901 The IPCC default emission factors are derived from measurements primarily conducted in temperate regions of the Northern 902 Hemisphere (e.g., Europe and the United States (USA)), which explains the better alignment of inversion results with 903 inventories in those regions. Notably, , in the case of the USA, the median of the updated  $N_2O$  inversion results is very close 904 to NGHGIs. The median of the N2O inversion results from Deng et al. (2022) was 42% lower than the NGHGIs between 2005 905 and 2015, whereas the median of the updated inversion models is only 4% lower. This demonstrates improved consistency in 906 the updated inversion system results for the USA. Additionally, in countries such as India (IND), IDN, COL, COD, Sudan 907 (SDN), and VEN, our  $N_2O$  inversion results have a larger distribution compared to the previous study, indicating that the new 908  $N_2O$  inversion ensemble (n=4) has less consistency in these countries compared to the previous ensemble (n=3).

# 909 Conclusions

910 This study reconciles the gap between atmospheric inversions and UNFCCC NGHGIs for each of the three greenhouse gases, 911 based on the post-processing framework we proposed in our previous study (Deng et al., 2022). We update inversion results 912 and NGHGIs datasets to present the most-up-to-date discrepancies between these two estimates. For CO<sub>2</sub>, we updated the 913 inversion results up to 2021, added a new inversion ensemble including inversions based on satellite observations, and applied 914 a new mask of national managed land based on NGHGI reports in Russia, Brazil and Canada. For CH<sub>4</sub>, we compared NGHGIs 915 and CH<sub>4</sub> inversion results up to 2020 by splitting the anthropogenic fluxes from inversions by aggregating prior estimates from 916 each sector or by removing fluxes of natural processes and discussed the uncertainties by using different priors in  $CH_4$ 917 inversions. For N<sub>2</sub>O, we updated the inversion results up to 2019 and included the MIROC4-ACTM N<sub>2</sub>O inversion, also 918 separated the fluxes from managed land by using the same method on CO<sub>2</sub>.

919 In the case of CO<sub>2</sub>, we updated the managed land mask for Canada, Brazil, and Russia based on maps derived from NGHGIs 920 and adjusted tree-cover thresholds. The analysis of different managed land mask definitions shows that the new mask, which 921 is more consistent with the definition of managed land in the NGHGIs for these countries, improves the agreement between 922 managed land CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and NGHGIs in Russia and Canada. However, in Brazil, the new mask increases the gap between 923 the estimated land carbon emissions and NGHGIs. Further analysis is needed to understand the sources and reasons for 924 discrepancies and uncertainties between inversion estimates and NGHGIs. Thus, we still recommend that countries should 925 report their managed land in a spatially explicit manner to enable a better evaluation of national emission reports using 926 inversions (and other observation-based approaches), and countries should also follow the recommendations of the IPCC 2006 927 Guidelines encouraging countries to use atmospheric data as an independent check on their national reports (IPCC 2006, 2019). 928 Three additional satellite-based inversion results have been introduced for comparison with the in-situ inversion results and 929 NGHGIs. In some countries, the satellite-based inversions demonstrate better consistency with NGHGIs compared to the in-930 situ inversion models.

931 For CH<sub>4</sub>, despite the large spread of inversions, both in-situ and GOSAT inversions show systematic differences with NGHGIs. 932 We also found that Kazakhstan and Turkmenistan in Central Asia and the Gulf countries in the Middle East, characterized by 933 oil- and gas-producing industries, report much less CH<sub>4</sub> emissions than atmospheric inversions estimates. While in this region, 934 there are few ground stations, and inversions depend on their prior fluxes, the fact that GOSAT and in-situ based inversions 935 point to NGHGI emissions being underestimated suggests areas for future research to constrain the emissions of these 936 countries. We recommend here to develop regional campaigns (such as those performed in Alvarez et al. (2018)), to refine 937 emission factors, and to track regional oil, gas and coal basins emissions and ultra-emitter site-level emissions using new tools 938 (such as moderate and high-resolution satellite imagery).

For  $N_2O$ , the prevalence of large tropical natural sources, being outside the responsibility of countries if they are located on unmanaged lands, has been overlooked before. For example, nearly half of the forests in Brazil are unmanaged according to its national inventory report. We did not solve this problem, but highlighted it and proposed a new method to remove natural 942 emissions from inversion total emissions. As many non-Annex I countries, which will have to produce inventories for the 943 global stocktake are tropical countries with a very active nitrogen cycle and large natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, a decoupling will 944 exist between targeted emissions reductions and the observed growth rate of  $N_2O$ : it may hamper the eventual effectiveness of 945 mitigation policies, that are directly reflected in the UNFCCC NGHGIs reports, especially for this greenhouse gas. It is fair to 946 say that the uncertainty from the spread of different inversions is large enough that inversions cannot 'falsify' N2O NGHGIs 947 in most instances. Nevertheless, for CH<sub>4</sub> in countries around the Persian Gulf and Central Asia, and to some extent in Russia, 948 and for N<sub>2</sub>O in tropical countries, Mexico and Australia, we found that NGHGIs emissions are significantly lower than 949 inversions, which suggests that activity data or emission factors may need to be re-evaluated. Despite their large spread, 950 inversions have the advantage of providing fluxes that are consistent with the accurately observed growth rates of each 951 greenhouse gas in the atmosphere. The uncertainty of inversions is mainly a systematic bias due to internal settings or to the 952 choice of a transport model. It does not mean that inversions cannot be used for monitoring interannual variability and trends 953 of fluxes, in response to mitigation efforts, since most of their bias should have a small temporal component.

954 The study of global inversions at the country scale rather than at the traditional subcontinent scale (e.g. the "Transcom3" 955 regions" of Gurney et al. (2002)) obviously pushes inversions close to the limit of their domain of validity, even in the case of 956 large countries. The densification of observation networks and systems, especially from space, increases the observational 957 information available at all spatial scales and gradually makes it possible to study smaller countries and reduce uncertainties 958 of inversion results. This densification must be accompanied by a corresponding increase in the horizontal resolution of 959 inversion systems (both the transport model and the control vector to be optimized). Note that the spatial resolution of most 960 inverse models such as those contributing to the global carbon/methane/nitrous oxide budget is larger than 1 degree (see Table 961 A4 in Friedlingstein et al. (2022), Table S6 in Saunois et al. (2020), and Table 1 in Tian et al. (2023)). They will likely soon 962 have to go below one degree on a global scale to remain competitive for this type of study, despite the high computational 963 challenge posed by the atmospheric inversion of long-lived tracers.

## 964 Data availability

Processed GHG (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O) data from inverse models and UNFCCC NGHGIs are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13887128 (Deng et al., 2024).

- 967 This dataset contains 5 data files:
- The file *Inversions\_CO2\_v2022.csv* includes the NEE CO2 flux from managed lands for the nine CO2 inverse models. It includes 8 fields: years (from 1960 to 2021), country, value (unit: Tg C/yr), sector ("land": without the adjustment of lateral C flux; "land\_cor": with later C flux adjustment), source, gas, observation ("in-situ": in-situbased; "satellite": satellite-based), version ("CO2\_ML\_v2022" only).
- 972 The file *Inversions\_CH4\_v2022.csv* includes CH4 flux from anthropogenic sources for the six CH4 inverse models.
  973 It includes 8 fields: years (from 2000 to 2020), country, value (unit: Tg CH4/yr), sector ("agrw": agriculture and

- waste; "fos": fossil fuel; "ant": anthropogenic=agrw+fos), source, gas, observation ("in-situ": in-situ-based;
  "satellite": satellite-based), version ("CH4\_2022\_V1": use EDGAR as priors; "CH4\_2022\_V2": use GAINS as
  priors).
- The file *Inversions\_N2O\_v2022.csv* includes the anthropogenic N2O flux from managed lands for the four N2O inverse models. It includes 8 fields: years (from 1995 to 2020), country, value (unit: TgN2O/yr), sector ("ant" only, for anthropogenic), source, gas, observation ("in-situ" only, for in-situ-based), version ("N2O ML v2022" only).
- 980 The file *lateral\_CO2\_v2022.csv* includes the national lateral C flux from river and trade.
- The file *NGHGIs\_v2022.csv* includes the national inventory data collected from UNFCCC NGHGIs (unit: Gg/yr)

## 982 Author contribution

PC, FC, MS, RLT, and ZD designed and coordinated the study. PC, MS, RLT, and FC designed the framework of atmosphere
inversion data processing. ZD, PC, LH, MS, RLT, and FC performed the post-processing and analysis and wrote the paper.
ZD, LH, and TW compiled the national greenhouse gas inventories. MS, RLT, HT, and FC gathered the global atmosphere
inversion datasets of CO2, CH4, and N2O. GG contributed the managed land mask of Brazil and Canada. FC processed the
atmosphere inversion data with masks of managed lands and country boundaries. AT, SM, RJ, YN, BZ, JT, DB and AS
contribute the unpublished CH4 inversion data. All authors contributed to the full text.

## 989 **Competing interests**

At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Earth System Science Data.

## 991 Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the atmosphere inversion model developers Chris Wilson, Christian Rödenbeck, Kelley Wells, Liesbeth Florentie, Naveen Chandra, Peter Bergamaschi, Prabir Patra, and Yi Yin for the availability of their global CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O inversion data and acknowledge many other data providers (measurements, models, inventories, atmospheric inversions, hybrid products, etc.) that are directly or indirectly used in this synthesis. The PyVAR-CAMS N2O modelling results were funded through the Copernicus Atmospheric Monitoring Service, implemented by ECMWF on behalf of the European Commission and were generated using computing resources from LSCE. Rona Thompson would also like to acknowledge the support of Frederic Chevallier in providing the PyVAR-CAMS N2O inversion results.

# 999 References

1000 Aragão, L. E. O. C., Anderson, L. O., Fonseca, M. G., Rosan, T. M., Vedovato, L. B., Wagner, F. H., Silva, C. V. J., Silva Junior, C. H. L.,

- 1001 Arai, E., Aguiar, A. P., Barlow, J., Berenguer, E., Deeter, M. N., Domingues, L. G., Gatti, L., Gloor, M., Malhi, Y., Marengo, J. A.,
- 1002 Miller, J. B., Phillips, O. L., and Saatchi, S.: 21st Century drought-related fires counteract the decline of Amazon deforestation
- 1003 carbon emissions, Nat. Commun., 9, 536, 2018.
- 1004 Berchet, A., Sollum, E., Thompson, R. L., Pison, I., Thanwerdas, J., Broquet, G., Chevallier, F., Aalto, T., Berchet, A., Bergamaschi, P.,
- 1005 Brunner, D., Engelen, R., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Gerbig, C., Groot Zwaaftink, C. D., Haussaire, J.-M., Henne, S., Houweling, S.,
- 1006 Karstens, U., Kutsch, W. L., Luijkx, I. T., Monteil, G., Palmer, P. I., van Peet, J. C. A., Peters, W., Peylin, P., Potier, E., Rödenbeck,
- 1007 C., Saunois, M., Scholze, M., Tsuruta, A., and Zhao, Y.: The Community Inversion Framework v1.0: a unified system for
- 1008 atmospheric inversion studies. Geoscientific Model Development, 14, 5331–5354, 2021.
- 1009 Byrne, B., Liu, J., Lee, M., Yin, Y., Bowman, K. W., Miyazaki, K., Norton, A. J., Joiner, J., Pollard, D. F., Griffith, D. W. T., Velazco, V.
- 1010 A., N. M. Deutscher, Jones, N. B., and Paton-Walsh, C.: The carbon cycle of southeast Australia during 2019–2020: Drought, fires, 1011 and subsequent recovery, AGU Advances, 2, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021av000469, 2021.
- 1012 Byrne, B., Baker, D. F., Basu, S., Bertolacci, M., Bowman, K. W., Carroll, D., Chatterjee, A., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Cressie, N., Crisp,
- 1013 D., Crowell, S., Deng, F., Deng, Z., Deutscher, Nicholas M, Dubey, M. K., Feng, S., García, O. E., Griffith, D. W. T., Herkommer,
- 1014 B., Hu, L., Jacobson, A. R., Janardanan, R., Jeong, S., Johnson, M. S., Jones, D. B. A., Kivi, R., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Maksyutov, S.,
- 1015 Miller, J. B., Miller, S. M., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Oda, T., O'Dell, C. W., Oh, Y.-S., Ohyama, H., Patra, P. K., Peiro, H., Petri, C.,
- 1016 Philip, S., Pollard, D. F., Poulter, B., Remaud, M., Schuh, A., Sha, M. K., Shiomi, K., Strong, K., Sweeney, C., Té, Y., Tian, H.,
- 1017 Velazco, V. A., Vrekoussis, M., Warneke, T., Worden, J. R., Wunch, D., Yao, Y., Yun, J., Zammit-Mangion, A., and Zeng, N.:
- 1018 National CO<sub>2</sub> budgets (2015–2020) inferred from atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> observations in support of the global stocktake. Earth System 1019 Science Data, 15, 963–1004, 2023.
- 1020 Chandra, N., Patra, P. K., Bisht, J. S. H., Ito, A., Umezawa, T., Saigusa, N., Morimoto, S., Aoki, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Fujita, R.,
- 1021 Takigawa, M., Watanabe, S., Saitoh, N., and Canadell, J. G.: Emissions from the Oil and Gas Sectors, Coal Mining and Ruminant 1022 Farming Drive Methane Growth over the Past Three Decades, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 99, 309–337, 1023
- 2021.
- 1024 Chang, J., Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Smith, P., Herrero, M., Havlík, P., Obersteiner, M., Guenet, B., Goll, D. S., Li, W., Naipal, V., Peng, S., 1025 Qiu, C., Tian, H., Viovy, N., Yue, C., and Zhu, D.: Climate warming from managed grasslands cancels the cooling effect of carbon 1026 sinks in sparsely grazed and natural grasslands, Nat. Commun., 12, 118, 2021.
- 1027 Chevallier, F.: Fluxes of carbon dioxide from managed ecosystems estimated by national inventories compared to atmospheric inverse 1028 modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gl093565, 2021.

- 1029 Chevallier, F., Fisher, M., Peylin, P., Serrar, S., Bousquet, P., Bréon, F.-M., Chédin, A., and Ciais, P.: Inferring CO2sources and sinks
- 1030 from satellite observations: Method and application to TOVS data, J. Geophys. Res., 110, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jd006390,
- 1031 2005.
- 1032 Ciais, P., Yao, Y., Gasser, T., Baccini, A., Wang, Y., Lauerwald, R., Peng, S., Bastos, A., Li, W., Raymond, P. A., Canadell, J. G., Peters,
- 1033 G. P., Andres, R. J., Chang, J., Yue, C., Dolman, A. J., Haverd, V., Hartmann, J., Laruelle, G., Konings, A. G., King, A. W., Liu, Y.,
- 1034 Luyssaert, S., Maignan, F., Patra, P. K., Peregon, A., Regnier, P., Pongratz, J., Poulter, B., Shvidenko, A., Valentini, R., Wang, R.,
- 1035 Broquet, G., Yin, Y., Zscheischler, J., Guenet, B., Goll, D. S., Ballantyne, A.-P., Yang, H., Qiu, C., and Zhu, D.: Empirical estimates
- 1036 of regional carbon budgets imply reduced global soil heterotrophic respiration, Natl Sci Rev, 8, nwaa145, 2021.
- 1037 Cui, X., Zhou, F., Ciais, P., Davidson, E. A., Tubiello, F. N., Niu, X., Ju, X., Canadell, J. G., Bouwman, A. F., Jackson, R. B., Mueller, N.
- D., Zheng, X., Kanter, D. R., Tian, H., Adalibieke, W., Bo, Y., Wang, Q., Zhan, X., and Zhu, D.: Global mapping of crop-specific
  emission factors highlights hotspots of nitrous oxide mitigation, Nat Food, 2, 886–893, 2021.
- 1040 Deng, Z., Ciais, P., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A., Saunois, M., Qiu, C., Tan, C., Sun, T., Ke, P., Cui, Y., Tanaka, K., Lin, X., Thompson, R. L.,
- 1041 Tian, H., Yao, Y., Huang, Y., Lauerwald, R., Jain, A. K., Xu, X., Bastos, A., Sitch, S., Palmer, P. I., Lauvaux, T., d'Aspremont, A.,
- 1042 Giron, C., Benoit, A., Poulter, B., Chang, J., Petrescu, A. M. R., Davis, S. J., Liu, Z., Grassi, G., Albergel, C., Tubiello, F. N.,
- Perugini, L., Peters, W., and Chevallier, F.: Comparing national greenhouse gas budgets reported in UNFCCC inventories against
  atmospheric inversions, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 1639–1675, 2022.
- Deng, Z., Ciais, P., Hu, L., Wang, T., Martinez, A., Saunois, M., Thompson, R., and Chevallier, F.: Global greenhouse gas reconciliation
   2022, 2024. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13887128
- 1047 FAO: Trade, FAOSTAT, 2024. available at: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Feng, L., Palmer, P. I., Parker, R. J., N. M. Deutscher, Feist, D. G., Kivi, R., Morino, I., and Sussmann, R.: Estimates of European uptake
   of CO2 inferred from GOSAT XCO2 retrievals: sensitivity to measurement bias inside and outside Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16,
- 1050 1289–1302, 2016.
- Flammini, A., Adzmir, H., Karl, K., and Tubiello, F. N.: Quantifying greenhouse gas emissions from wood fuel use by households, Earth
  Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 2179–2187, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2179-2023, 2023.
- 1053 Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le
- 1054 Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M.,
- 1055 Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie, L., Forster, P.
- 1056 M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris, I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A.,

- 1057 Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A.,
- 1058 Lienert, S., Liu, Z., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien,
- 1059 K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan,
- 1060 I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton, A. J., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P.,
- 1061 Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Zaehle, S.: Global carbon budget 2020, Earth
- 1062 Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3269–3340, 2020.
- 1063 Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Gregor, L., Hauck, J., Le Quéré, C., Luijkx, I. T., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P.,
- 1064 Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Schwingshackl, C., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Alkama, R., Arneth, A.,
- 1065 Arora, V. K., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Cronin, M., Evans, W., Falk,
- 1066 S., Feely, R. A., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gkritzalis, T., Gloege, L., Grassi, G., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö., Harris, I., Hefner, M.,
- 1067 Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G. C., Iida, Y., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Jersild, A., Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein Goldewijk, K.,
- 1068 Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lindsay, K., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Marland, G., Mayot, N., McGrath, M. J.,
- 1069 Metzl, N., Monacci, N. M., Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pan, N., Pierrot, D., Pocock,
- 1070 K., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Rodriguez, C., Rosan, T. M., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Shutler, J. D.,
- 1071 Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sun, Q., Sutton, A. J., Sweeney, C., Takao, S., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, X., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B.,
- 1072 Tsujino, H., Tubiello, F., van der Werf, G. R., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof, R., Whitehead, C., Willstrand Wranne, A., et al.: Global
- 1073 Carbon Budget 2022, Earth System Science Data, 14, 4811–4900, 2022.
- 1074 Gatti, L. V., Basso, L. S., Miller, J. B., Gloor, M., Gatti Domingues, L., Cassol, H. L. G., Tejada, G., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Nobre, C.,
- Peters, W., Marani, L., Arai, E., Sanches, A. H., Corrêa, S. M., Anderson, L., Von Randow, C., Correia, C. S. C., Crispim, S. P., and
  Neves, R. A. L.: Amazonia as a carbon source linked to deforestation and climate change, Nature, 595, 388–393, 2021.
- 1077 Gatti, L. V., Cunha, C. L., Marani, L., Cassol, H. L. G., Messias, C. G., Arai, E., Denning, A. S., Soler, L. S., Almeida, C., Setzer, A.,
- 1078 Domingues, L. G., Basso, L. S., Miller, J. B., Gloor, M., Correia, C. S. C., Tejada, G., Neves, R. A. L., Rajao, R., Nunes, F., Filho, B.
- 1079 S. S., Schmitt, J., Nobre, C., Corrêa, S. M., Sanches, A. H., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Anderson, L., Von Randow, C., Crispim, S. P.,
- 1080 Silva, F. M., and Machado, G. B. M.: Increased Amazon carbon emissions mainly from decline in law enforcement, Nature, 621,
- 1081 318–323, 2023.
- 1082 Grassi, G., Stehfest, E., Rogelj, J., van Vuuren, D., Cescatti, A., House, J., Nabuurs, G.-J., Rossi, S., Alkama, R., Viñas, R. A., Calvin, K.,
- 1083 Ceccherini, G., Federici, S., Fujimori, S., Gusti, M., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Korosuo, A., Perugini, L., Tubiello,
- 1084 F. N., and Popp, A.: Critical adjustment of land mitigation pathways for assessing countries' climate progress, Nat. Clim. Chang., 11,

1085 425–434, 2021.

- 1086 Grassi, G., Schwingshackl, C., Gasser, T., Houghton, R. A., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Cescatti, A., Ciais, P., Federici, S., Friedlingstein, P.,
- 1087 Kurz, W. A., Sanz Sanchez, M. J., Abad Viñas, R., Alkama, R., Bultan, S., Ceccherini, G., Falk, S., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Knauer,
- 1088 J., Korosuo, A., Melo, J., McGrath, M. J., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Poulter, B., Romanovskaya, A. A., Rossi, S., Tian, H., Walker, A. P.,
- 1089 Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Pongratz, J.: Harmonising the land-use flux estimates of global models and national inventories for 2000–
- 1090 2020, Earth System Science Data, 15, 1093–1114, 2023.
- 1091 Hartmann, J., Jansen, N., Dürr, H. H., Kempe, S., and Köhler, P.: Global CO2-consumption by chemical weathering: What is the
- 1092 contribution of highly active weathering regions?, Glob. Planet. Change, 69, 185–194, 2009.
- Höglund-Isaksson, L., Gómez-Sanabria, A., Klimont, Z., Rafaj, P., and Schöpp, W.: Technical potentials and costs for reducing global
  anthropogenic methane emissions in the 2050 timeframe –results from the GAINS model, Environ. Res. Commun., 2, 025004, 2020.
- 1095 IPCC: Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Inventories, IPCC/OECD/IEA, Paris, France, 1997.
- 1096 IPCC: 2006 IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IGES, 2006.
- 1097 IPCC: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, edited by: Buendia, E., Tanabe, K.,
- Kranjc, A., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M., Ngarize, S., Osako, A., Pyrozhenko, Y., Shermanau, P., and Federici, S., Intergovernmental
   Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Switzerland, 2019.
- 1100 IPCC: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report, IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 2023.
- 1101 Janardanan, R., Maksyutov, S., Wang, F., Nayagam, L., Sahu, S., Mangaraj, P., Saunois, M., Lan, X., and Matsunaga, T.: Country-level
- methane emissions and their sectoral trends during 2009-2020 estimated by high-resolution inversion of GOSAT and surface
  observations, Environmental Research Letters, 19, 10.1088/1748-9326/ad2436, 2024
- 1104 Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Dentener, F., Bergamaschi, P., Pagliari, V., Olivier, J. G. J.,
- 1105 Peters, J. A. H. W., van Aardenne, J. A., Monni, S., Doering, U., Petrescu, A. M. R., Solazzo, E., and Oreggioni, G. D.: EDGAR
- 1106 v4.3.2 Global Atlas of the three major greenhouse gas emissions for the period 1970–2012, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 959–1002,
  1107 2019.
- 1108 Jin, Z., Wang, T., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Ding, J., and Tian, X.: Constraint of satellite CO2 retrieval on the global carbon cycle from a
  - 1109 Chinese atmospheric inversion system, Sci. China Earth Sci., 66, 609–618, 2023.
  - 1110 Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Peters, G. P., Janssens-Maenhout, G., De-Gol, A. J., Dou, X., Liu, Z., Pickers, P., Ciais, P., Patra, P. K.,
  - 1111 Chevallier, F., and Le Quéré, C.: Gridded fossil CO2 emissions and related O2 combustion consistent with national inventories,
  - 1112 2022.

- Kaminski, T., Rayner, P. J., Heimann, M., and Enting, I. G.: On aggregation errors in atmospheric transport inversions, J. Geophys. Res.
  D: Atmos., 106, 4703–4715, 2001.
- Klein Goldewijk, K., Beusen, A., Doelman, J., and Stehfest, E.: Anthropogenic land use estimates for the Holocene HYDE 3.2, Earth
  Syst. Sci. Data, 9, 927–953, 2017.
- Kong, Y., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Global and regional carbon budget for 2015–2020 inferred from OCO-2 based on an
  ensemble Kalman filter coupled with GEOS-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 10769–10788, 2022.
- 1119 van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Velde, I. R., van der Veen, E., Tsuruta, A., Stanislawska, K., Babenhauserheide, A., Zhang, H. F., Liu,
- 1120 Y., He, W., Chen, H., Masarie, K. A., Krol, M. C., and Peters, W.: The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation Shell (CTDAS) v1.0:
- 1121 implementation and global carbon balance 2001–2015, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2785–2800, 2017.
- Lauvaux, T., Giron, C., Mazzolini, M., d'Aspremont, A., Duren, R., Cusworth, D., Shindell, D., and Ciais, P.: Global assessment of oil and
   gas methane ultra-emitters, Science, 375, 557–561, 2022.
- 1124 Liu, J., Baskaran, L., Bowman, K., Schimel, D., Bloom, A. A., Parazoo, N. C., Oda, T., Carroll, D., Menemenlis, D., Joiner, J., Commane,
- R., Daube, B., Gatti, L. V., McKain, K., Miller, J., Stephens, B. B., Sweeney, C., and Wofsy, S.: Carbon Monitoring System Flux
  Net Biosphere Exchange 2020 (CMS-Flux NBE 2020), Earth System Science Data, 13, 299–330, 2021.
- 1127 Maksyutov, S., Oda, T., Saito, M., Janardanan, R., Belikov, D., Kaiser, J. W., Zhuravlev, R., Ganshin, A., Valsala, V. K., Andrews, A.,
- 1128 Chmura, L., Dlugokencky, E., Haszpra, L., Langenfelds, R. L., Machida, T., Nakazawa, T., Ramonet, M., Sweeney, C., and Worthy,
- 1129 D.: Technical note: A high-resolution inverse modelling technique for estimating surface CO2 fluxes based on the NIES-TM-
- 1130 FLEXPART coupled transport model and its adjoint, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 1245–1266, 2021.
- 1131 Mason Earles, J., Yeh, S., and Skog, K. E.: Timing of carbon emissions from global forest clearance, Nat. Clim. Chang., 2, 682–685, 2012.
- 1132 Mayorga, E., Seitzinger, S. P., Harrison, J. A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Fekete, B. M., Kroeze, C., and Van
- Drecht, G.: Global Nutrient Export from WaterSheds 2 (NEWS 2): Model development and implementation, Environmental
  Modelling & Software, 25, 837–853, 2010.
- Naus, S., Domingues, L. G., Krol, M., Luijkx, I. T., Gatti, L. V., Miller, J. B., Gloor, E., Basu, S., Correia, C., Koren, G., Worden, H. M.,
  Flemming, J., Pétron, G., and Peters, W.: Sixteen years of MOPITT satellite data strongly constrain Amazon CO fire emissions,
- 1137 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 14735–14750, 2022.
- 1138 Niwa, Y., Ishijima, K., Ito, A., and Iida, Y.: Toward a long-term atmospheric CO2 inversion for elucidating natural carbon fluxes:
- technical notes of NISMON-CO2 v2021.1, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 9, 1–19, 2022.
- 1140 Ogle, S. M., Domke, G., Kurz, W. A., Rocha, M. T., Huffman, T., Swan, A., Smith, J. E., Woodall, C., and Krug, T.: Delineating managed

- 1141 land for reporting national greenhouse gas emissions and removals to the United Nations framework convention on climate change,
- 1142 Carbon Balance Manag., 13, 9, 2018.
- Patra, P. K., Takigawa, M., Watanabe, S., Chandra, N., Ishijima, K., and Yamashita, Y.: Improved Chemical Tracer Simulation by
   MIROC4.0-based Atmospheric Chemistry-Transport Model (MIROC4-ACTM), SOLAIAT, 14, 91–96, 2018.
- 1145 Patra, P. K., Dlugokencky, E. J., Elkins, J. W., Dutton, G. S., Tohjima, Y., Sasakawa, M., Ito, A., Weiss, R. F., Manizza, M., Krummel, P.
- 1146 B., Prinn, R. G., O'doherty, S., Bianchi, D., Nevison, C., Solazzo, E., Lee, H., Joo, S., Kort, E. A., Maity, S., and Takigawa, M.:
- Forward and Inverse Modelling of Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide Using MIROC4-Atmospheric Chemistry-Transport Model, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 100, 361–386, 2022.
- Peng, S., Lin, X., Thompson, R. L., Xi, Y., Liu, G., Hauglustaine, D., Lan, X., Poulter, B., Ramonet, M., Saunois, M., Yin, Y., Zhang, Z.,
  Zheng, B., and Ciais, P.: Wetland emission and atmospheric sink changes explain methane growth in 2020, Nature, 612, 477–482,
- 1151 2022.
- 1152 Perugini, L., Pellis, G., Grassi, G., Ciais, P., Dolman, H., House, J. I., Peters, G. P., Smith, P., Günther, D., and Peylin, P.: Emerging
- reporting and verification needs under the Paris Agreement: How can the research community effectively contribute?, Environ. Sci.
  Policy, 122, 116–126, 2021.
- 1155 Petrescu, A. M. R., McGrath, M. J., Andrew, R. M., Peylin, P., Peters, G. P., Ciais, P., Broquet, G., Tubiello, F. N., Gerbig, C., Pongratz,
- 1156 J., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Grassi, G., Nabuurs, G.-J., Regnier, P., Lauerwald, R., Kuhnert, M., Balkovič, J., Schelhaas, M.-J., Denier
- 1157 van der Gon, H. A. C., Solazzo, E., Qiu, C., Pilli, R., Konovalov, I. B., Houghton, R. A., Günther, D., Perugini, L., Crippa, M.,
- 1158 Ganzenmüller, R., Luijkx, I. T., Smith, P., Munassar, S., Thompson, R. L., Conchedda, G., Monteil, G., Scholze, M., Karstens, U.,
- Brockmann, P., and Dolman, A. J.: The consolidated European synthesis of CO2 emissions and removals for the European Union
  and United Kingdom: 1990–2018, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 2363–2406, 2021.
- Philibert, A., Loyce, C., and Makowski, D.: Prediction of N2O emission from local information with Random Forest, Environ. Pollut.,
  1162 177, 156–163, 2013.
- 1163 Potapov, P., Hansen, M. C., Laestadius, L., Turubanova, S., Yaroshenko, A., Thies, C., Smith, W., Zhuravleva, I., Komarova, A.,
- Minnemeyer, S., and Esipova, E.: The last frontiers of wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest landscapes from 2000 to 2013, Sci
  Adv, 3, e1600821, 2017.
- 1166 Regnier, P., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Mackenzie, F. T., Gruber, N., Janssens, I. A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Luyssaert, S.,
- 1167 Andersson, A. J., Arndt, S., Arnosti, C., Borges, A. V., Dale, A. W., Gallego-Sala, A., Goddéris, Y., Goossens, N., Hartmann, J.,
- 1168 Heinze, C., Ilyina, T., Joos, F., LaRowe, D. E., Leifeld, J., Meysman, F. J. R., Munhoven, G., Raymond, P. A., Spahni, R.,

- Suntharalingam, P., and Thullner, M.: Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from land to ocean, Nat. Geosci., 6, 597–607,
  2013.
- Rödenbeck, C., Houweling, S., Gloor, M., and Heimann, M.: CO2 flux history 1982–2001 inferred from atmospheric data using a global
  inversion of atmospheric transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1919–1964, 2003.
- 1173 Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S.,
- 1174 Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M.,
- 1175 Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N.,
- 1176 Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen,
- 1177 T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J.,
- 1178 Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J.,
- 1179 Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A.,
- 1180 Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N.,
- 1181 Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y.,
- 1182Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.: The global methane budget 2000–2017, Earth Syst.
- 1183 Sci. Data, 12, 1561–1623, 2020.
- 1184 Saunois, M., Martinez, A., Poulter, B., Zhang, Z., Raymond, P., Regnier, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Patra, P. K., Bousquet, P.,
- 1185 Ciais, P., Dlugokencky, E. J., Lan, X., Allen, G. H., Bastviken, D., Beerling, D. J., Belikov, D. A., Blake, D. R., Castaldi, S., Crippa,
- 1186 M., Deemer, B. R., Dennison, F., Etiope, G., Gedney, N., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Holgerson, M. A., Hopcroft, P. O., Hugelius, G., Ito,
- 1187 A., Jain, A. K., Janardanan, R., Johnson, M. S., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P., Lauerwald, R., Li, T., Liu, X., McDonald, K. C., Melton,
- 1188 J. R., Mühle, J., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., Pan, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Ramonet, M., Riley, W. J.,
- 1189 Rocher-Ros, G., Rosentreter, J. A., Sasakawa, M., Segers, A., Smith, S. J., Stanley, E. H., Thanwerdas, J., Tian, H., Tsuruta, A.,
- 1190 Tubiello, F. N., Weber, T. S., van der Werf, G., Worthy, D. E., Xi, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and
- Zhuang, Q.: Global Methane Budget 2000–2020, Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2024-115, in
   review, 2024.
- 1193 Schuldt, K. N., Mund, J., Luijkx, I. T., Aalto, T., Abshire, J. B., Aikin, K., Andrews, A., Aoki, S., Apadula, F., Baier, B., Bakwin, P.,
- 1194 Bartyzel, J., Bentz, G., Bergamaschi, P., Beyersdorf, A., Biermann, T., Biraud, S. C., Boenisch, H., Bowling, D., Brailsford, G., van
- den Bulk, P., Chen, G., Chen, H., Chmura, L., Clark, S., Climadat, S., Della Coletta, J., Colomb, A., Commane, R., Conil, S., Cox,
- 1196 A., Cristofanelli, P., Cuevas, E., Curcoll, R., Daube, B., Davis, K., Delmotte, M., DiGangi, J. P., van Dinther, D., Dlugokencky, E.,

- Elkins, J. W., Emmenegger, L., Fang, S., Fischer, M. L., Forster, G., Frumau, A., Galkowski, M., Gatti, L. V., Gehrlein, T., Gerbig,
  C., Gheusi, F., Gloor, E., Gomez-Trueba, V., Goto, D., Griffis, T., Hammer, S., Hanson, C., Haszpra, L., Hatakka, J., Heimann, M.,
  Heliasz, M., Hensen, A., Hermanssen, O., Hintsa, E., Holst, J., Ivakhov, V., Jaffe, D., Joubert, W., Karion, A., Kawa, S. R., Kazan,
  V., Keeling, R., Keronen, P., Kolari, P., Kominkova, K., Kort, E., Kozlova, E., Krummel, P., Kubistin, D., Labuschagne, C., Lam, D.
  - 1201 H. Y., Langenfelds, R., Laurent, O., Laurila, T., Lauvaux, T., Lavric, J., Law, B., Lee, J., Lee, O. S. M., Lehner, I., Leppert, R.,
  - 1202 Leuenberger, M., Levin, I., Levula, J., Lin, J., Lindauer, M., Loh, Z., Lopez, M., Machida, T., et al.: Multi-laboratory compilation of
  - 1203 atmospheric carbon dioxide data for the period 1957-2020; obspack\_co2\_1\_GLOBALVIEWplus\_v7.0\_2021-08-18, 2021.
  - 1204 Schuldt, K. N., Jacobson, A. R., Aalto, T., Andrews, A., Bakwin, P., Bergamaschi, P., Biermann, T., Biraud, S. C., Chen, H., Colomb, A.,
  - 1205 Conil, S., Cristofanelli, P., Delmotte, M., Dlugokencky, E., Emmenegger, L., Fischer, M. L., Hatakka, J., Heliasz, M., Hermanssen,
  - 1206 O., Holst, J., Jaffe, D., Karion, A., Kazan, V., Keronen, P., Kominkova, K., Kubistin, D., Laurent, O., Laurila, T., Lee, J., Lehner, I.,
  - 1207 Leuenberger, M., Lindauer, M., Lopez, M., Mammarella, I., Manca, G., Marek, M. V., De Mazière, M., McKain, K., Miller, C. E.,
  - 1208 Miller, J. B., Mölder, M., Müller-Williams, J., Myhre, C. L., Piacentino, S., Pichon, J. M., Plass-Duelmer, C., Plass-Duelmer, C.,
  - 1209 Ramonet, M., di Sarra, A. G., Scheeren, B., Schumacher, M., Sha, M. K., Sloop, C. D., Smith, P., Steinbacher, M., Sweeney, C.,
  - 1210 Tans, P., Thoning, K., Tørseth, K., Trisolino, P., Viner, B., Vitkova, G., and De Wekker, S.: Multi-laboratory compilation of 1211 atmospheric carbon dioxide data for the year 2022; obspack co2 1 NRT v7.2 2022-06-28, 2022.
  - 1212 Segers, A. and Houweling, S.: Description of the CH4 Inversion Production Chain, Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service, 2017.
  - Shcherbak, I., Millar, N., and Robertson, G. P.: Global metaanalysis of the nonlinear response of soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions to
     fertilizer nitrogen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 111, 9199–9204, 2014.
  - 1215 Thompson, R. L., Chevallier, F., Crotwell, A. M., Dutton, G., Langenfelds, R. L., Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Tohjima, Y., Nakazawa, T.,
  - Krummel, P. B., Steele, L. P., Fraser, P., O'Doherty, S., Ishijima, K., and Aoki, S.: Nitrous oxide emissions 1999 to 2009 from a
    global atmospheric inversion, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1801–1817, 2014.
  - 1218 Tian, H., Yang, J., Xu, R., Lu, C., Canadell, J. G., Davidson, E. A., Jackson, R. B., Arneth, A., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Gerber, S., Ito, A.,
  - 1219 Joos, F., Lienert, S., Messina, P., Olin, S., Pan, S., Peng, C., Saikawa, E., Thompson, R. L., Vuichard, N., Winiwarter, W., Zaehle, S.,
  - 1220 and Zhang, B.: Global soil nitrous oxide emissions since the preindustrial era estimated by an ensemble of terrestrial biosphere
  - 1221 models: Magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty, Glob. Chang. Biol., 25, 640–659, 2019.
  - 1222 Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B.,
  - 1223 Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F.,
  - 1224 Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis, E. T., Chang, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dangal, S. R. S.,

- 1225 Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B., Hall, B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A., Laruelle, G. G.,
- 1226 Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R. G., Raymond, P. A.,
- 1227 Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., and Yao, Y.: A
- 1228 comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks, Nature, 586, 248–256, 2020.
- 1229 Tian, H., Pan, N., Thompson, R. L., Canadell, J. G., Suntharalingam, P., Regnier, P., Davidson, E. A., Prather, M., Ciais, P., Muntean, M.,
- 1230 Pan, S., Winiwarter, W., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., Jackson, R. B., Bange, H. W., Berthet, S., Bian, Z., Bianchi, D., Bouwman, A. F.,
- 1231 Buitenhuis, E. T., Dutton, G., Hu, M., Ito, A., Jain, A. K., Jeltsch-Thömmes, A., Joos, F., Kou-Giesbrecht, S., Krummel, P. B., Lan,
- 1232 X., Landolfi, A., Lauerwald, R., Li, Y., Lu, C., Maavara, T., Manizza, M., Millet, D. B., Mühle, J., Patra, P. K., Peters, G. P., Qin, X.,
- 1233 Raymond, P., Resplandy, L., Rosentreter, J. A., Shi, H., Sun, Q., Tonina, D., Tubiello, F. N., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N.,
- 1234 Wang, J., Wells, K. C., Western, L. M., Wilson, C., Yang, J., Yao, Y., You, Y., and Zhu, Q.: Global Nitrous Oxide Budget 1980–
- 1235 2020, Earth System Science Data Discussions, 1–98, 2023.
- 1236 Tibrewal, K., Ciais, P., Saunois, M., Martinez, A., Lin, X., Thanwerdas, J., Deng, Z., Chevallier, F., Giron, C., Albergel, C., Tanaka, K.,
- 1237 Patra, P., Tsuruta, A., Zheng, B., Belikov, D., Niwa, Y., Janardanan, R., Maksyutov, S., Segers, A., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A., Bousquet,
- 1238 P., and Sciare, J.: Assessment of methane emissions from oil, gas and coal sectors across inventories and atmospheric inversions,
- 1239 Commun. Earth Environ., 5, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01190-w, 2024.
- 1240 Tsuruta, A., Aalto, T., Backman, L., Hakkarainen, J., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., Krol, M. C., Spahni, R., Houweling, S., Laine, M.,
- 1241 Dlugokencky, E., Gomez-Pelaez, A. J., van der Schoot, M., Langenfelds, R., Ellul, R., Arduini, J., Apadula, F., Gerbig, C., Feist, D.
- G., Kivi, R., Yoshida, Y., and Peters, W.: Global methane emission estimates for 2000–2012 from CarbonTracker Europe-CH4 v1.0,
  Geosci, Model Dev., 10, 1261–1289, 2017.
- 1244 UNFCCC: Biennial Update Report submissions from Non-Annex I Parties, available at: https://unfccc.int/BURs, last access: 2 July 2021a.
- 1245 UNFCCC: National Communication submissions from Non-Annex I Parties, available at: https://unfccc.int/non-annex-I-NCs, last access:
   1246 5 December 2021b.
- Wang, J. A., Baccini, A., Farina, M., Randerson, J. T., and Friedl, M. A.: Disturbance suppresses the aboveground carbon sink in North
  American boreal forests, Nat. Clim. Chang., 11, 435–441, 2021.
- 1249 Wang, Q., Zhou, F., Shang, Z., Ciais, P., Winiwarter, W., Jackson, R. B., Tubiello, F. N., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tian, H., Cui, X.,
- Canadell, J. G., Piao, S., and Tao, S.: Data-driven estimates of global nitrous oxide emissions from croplands, Natl Sci Rev, 7, 441–
  452, 2020.
- 1252 van Wees, D., van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Rogers, B. M., Chen, Y., Veraverbeke, S., Giglio, L., and Morton, D. C.: Global

- 1253 biomass burning fuel consumption and emissions at 500 m spatial resolution based on the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED),
- 1254 Geoscientific Model Development, 15, 8411–8437, 2022.
- 1255 Wells, K. C., Millet, D. B., Bousserez, N., Henze, D. K., Chaliyakunnel, S., Griffis, T. J., Luan, Y., Dlugokencky, E. J., Prinn, R. G.,
- 1256 O'Doherty, S., Weiss, R. F., Dutton, G. S., Elkins, J. W., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R., Steele, L. P., Kort, E. A., Wofsy, S. C.,
- 1257 and Umezawa, T.: Simulation of atmospheric N2O with GEOS-Chem and its adjoint: evaluation of observational constraints, Geosci.
- 1258 Model Dev., 8, 3179–3198, 2015.
- Wilson, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Gloor, M., and Chevallier, F.: Development of a variational flux inversion system (INVICAT v1.0) using
  the TOMCAT chemical transport model, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2485–2500, 2014.
- 1261 Winkler, K., Yang, H., Ganzenmüller, R., Fuchs, R., Ceccherini, G., Duveiller, G., Grassi, G., Pongratz, J., Bastos, A., Shvidenko, A.,
- Araza, A., Herold, M., Wigneron, J.-P., and Ciais, P.: Changes in land use and management led to a decline in Eastern Europe's
   terrestrial carbon sink, Communications Earth & Environment, 4, 1–14, 2023.
- Xu, X., Sharma, P., Shu, S., Lin, T.-S., Ciais, P., Tubiello, F. N., Smith, P., Campbell, N., and Jain, A. K.: Global Greenhouse Gas
   Emissions from Plant-and Animal-Based Food, Nature Food, 2021.
- Yao, Y., Tian, H., Shi, H., Pan, S., Xu, R., Pan, N., and Canadell, J. G.: Increased global nitrous oxide emissions from streams and rivers
  in the Anthropocene, Nat. Clim. Chang., 10, 138–142, 2019.
- Yin, Y., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Broquet, G., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Pison, I., and Saunois, M.: Decadal trends in global CO emissions as
   seen by MOPITT, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13433–13451, 2015.
- 1270 Zheng, B., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Yin, Y., Deeter, M. N., Worden, H. M., Wang, Y., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Rapid decline in carbon
  1271 monoxide emissions and export from East Asia between years 2005 and 2016, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 044007, 2018.
- 1272 Zhou, F., Shang, Z., Zeng, Z., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Raymond, P. A., Wang, X., Wang, R., Chen, M., Yang, C., Tao, S., Zhao, Y., Meng, Q.,
- Gao, S., and Mao, Q.: New model for capturing the variations of fertilizer-induced emission factors of N 2 O, Global Biogeochem.
  Cycles, 29, 885–897, 2015.
- 1275 Zscheischler, J., Mahecha, M. D., Avitabile, V., Calle, L., Carvalhais, N., Ciais, P., Gans, F., Gruber, N., Hartmann, J., Herold, M., Ichii,
- 1276 K., Jung, M., Landschützer, P., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Papale, D., Peylin, P., Poulter, B., Ray, D., Regnier, P., Rödenbeck,
- 1277 C., Roman-Cuesta, R. M., Schwalm, C., Tramontana, G., Tyukavina, A., Valentini, R., van der Werf, G., West, T. O., Wolf, J. E.,
- 1278 and Reichstein, M.: Reviews and syntheses: An empirical spatiotemporal description of the global surface–atmosphere carbon fluxes:
- 1279 opportunities and data limitations, Biogeosciences, 14, 3685–3703, 2017.
- 1280