1 Global patterns of soil organic carbon distribution in the 20 - 100 cm soil profile

2 for different ecosystems: A global meta-analysis

Haiyan Wang^{1,2}, Yulong Yin^{1, *}, Tingyao Cai¹, Xingshuai Tian¹, Zhong Chen¹, Kai He¹, 3 Zihan Wang¹, Haiqing Gong¹, Qi Miao¹, Yingcheng Wang¹, Yiyan Chu¹, Qingsong 4 Zhang^{1,2,*}, Minghao Zhuang¹, Zhenling Cui^{1,*} 5 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Nutrient Use and Management, College of Resources and 6 Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, 100193 Beijing, China 7 ² Sanya Institute of China Agricultural University, 572025 Sanya, China 8 *Corresponding author: Zhenling Cui. Email: <u>cuizl@cau.edu.cn</u> 9 10 Yulong Yin. Email: yinyulong88221@163.com 11 Qingsong Zhang. Email: zhangqs@cau.edu.cn 12

13 Manuscript submitted to: Earth System Science Data

14 Abstract

15 Determining the distribution of soil organic carbon (SOC) in subsoil (depth of 20-100 16 cm) is important with respect to the global C cycle and warming mitigation. However, 17 there is still a huge knowledge gap in the dynamics of spatiotemporal changes in SOC 18 in this layer. Combining traditional depth functions and machine-learning methods, we 19 achieved soil β values (the relative rate of decrease in the SOC density with soil depth), 20 and SOC dynamics at high resolution for global ecosystems (cropland, grassland, and 21 forestland). First, we quantified the spatial variability characteristics of soil β values, 22 which indicated the rate at which SOC density decreases with soil depth, and driving 23 factors by analyzing 17984 soil profiles (0-100 cm) of globally distributed field 24 observations. Then, based on multiple environmental variables and soil profile data, we 25 mapped the grid-level soil β values with machine-learning approaches. Lastly, we 26 evaluated the SOC density spatial distribution in different soil layers to determine the 27 subsoil SOC stocks of various ecosystems. The subsoil SOC density values of cropland, grassland, and forestland were 62 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 52-73), 70 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 57-28 29 83), and 97 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 80-117), respectively. SOC density decreases with 30 increasing depth, ranging from 30 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 26-35) to 5 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 4-31 7) (at depth intervals of 20-100 cm, in 20 cm increments) for cropland, from 32 Mg ha⁻¹ 32 (95% CI: 27-37) to 7 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 5-9) for grassland, and from 40 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% 33 CI: 34-46) to 13 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 9-17) for forestland. The global subsoil SOC stock 34 was 803 Pg C (95% CI:661-962) (cropland, grassland, and forestland were 74 Pg C (95% CI:62-88), 181 Pg C (95% CI:148-215), and 547 Pg C (95% CI:451-660)), in which an 35 36 average of 57% resided in the top 0 – 100 cm of the soil profile. This study provides 37 information on the vertical distribution and spatial patterns of SOC density at a 10 km resolution across global ecosystems, providing a scientific basis for future studies 38 39 pertaining to Earth system models. The dataset is open-access and available at 40 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14787023 (Wang et al., 2025).

41 Keyword: Subsoil SOC distribution; Soil profiles; Random Forest; Driving factors;
42 Global ecosystems

43 **1. Introduction**

44 Soil organic carbon (SOC) plays a pivotal role in global C cycling, climate change 45 mitigation, reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, while simultaneously 46 supporting ecosystem health (Bradford et al., 2016; Lal et al., 2021; Griscom et al., 47 2017). Subsoil, defined here as the soil layer below 20 cm, contains over half of the 48 global SOC stock (Jobbágy & Jackson, 2000; Poffenbarger et al., 2020; Batjes, 1996). 49 Worldwide, the extensive loss of SOC through agricultural practices such as crop 50 production and grazing has substantially contributed to rising atmospheric CO₂ levels 51 (Beillouin et al., 2023; Lal, 2020; Qin et al., 2023). Complex polymeric carbon in 52 subsoil is vulnerable to decomposition under future warming. Specifically, ecological 53 or trophic limitations of SOC biodegradation in deep soil layers can lead to sharp 54 declines in the nutrient supply and biodiversity (Chen et al., 2023). Subsoil is better 55 suited to long-term C sequestration than topsoil. The '4 per 1000' initiative aims to 56 boost SOC storage in agricultural soils by 0.4% annually, offering a potential pathway 57 for mitigate climate change and increase food security (Chabbi et al., 2017). Therefore, 58 we think that soils could act as a large sink for fossil-fuel-derived carbon if subsoil 59 carbon sequestration is promoted, particularly in agricultural and managed lands 60 (Button et al., 2022). Despite the importance of subsoil organic carbon dynamics, we 61 were still poorly understood, especially at large scale (Padarian et al., 2022). This is 62 primarily due to the challenges associated with measuring SOC at greater depths, which 63 is difficult, time-consuming and labor-intensive.

64 Recent studies have focused on SOC allocation and dynamics at varied depths and the 65 subsoil SOC-Climate feedback cycle of terrestrial ecosystems (Luo et al., 2019; Jia et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). The complexity, uncertainty, and large spatial heterogeneity 66 67 of SOC stock estimation have limited the ability to accurately quantify the SOC stock 68 distribution (Mishra et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022a). To date, three primary methods 69 are commonly used to estimate large-scale SOC stocks: 1) area-weighted averaging 70 based on vegetation inventories and soil survey data (Tang et al., 2018). 2) machine-71 learning based on remote-sensing, land-use, and edaphic data and climatic factors as 72 covariates (Ding et al., 2016). 3) depth distribution function-based empirical analysis 73 (Wang et al., 2023). The first approach provides the most accurate measurement of the 74 SOC stock, but is time-consuming and labor intensive and is not practical at the global 75 scale. The latter two do not fully consider the vertical distribution of the soil profile or the soil properties of various ecosystems. Extrapolating surface SOC measurements from 0 - 40 cm or 0 - 50 cm to predict subsoil SOC at greater depths, such as 0 - 100 cm or 0 - 200 cm, introduces significant uncertainty, hindering precise estimation of the global subsoil SOC stock (Wang et al., 2023; Ding et al., 2016).

80 Studies of whole-soil profiles have recorded greater changes in the SOC dynamics of 81 the subsoil under warming (Zosso et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2020; Soong et al., 2021). 82 The amount and quality of C in input soil, such as aboveground litter and root biomass 83 input, could profoundly alter the vertical SOC distribution (Lange et al., 2023; Feng et 84 al., 2022). The β model, in particular, uses simple and flexible functions that capture 85 the relative slope of depth profiles with a single parameter, with the advantage of being 86 able to integrate SOC values from the surface down to a given depth (Jobbágy and 87 Jackson., 2000). The β model was originally applied to vertical root distributions and 88 has been used to fit the steepest reductions with depth (Gale and Grigal, 1987; Jackson 89 et al., 1997). Some researchers have used the global average β of 0.9786 to calculate 90 deep soil SOC stocks (Yang et al., 2011; Deng et al., 2014). However, the different 91 hydrological conditions, soil type, and ground/underground organic matter have limited 92 the ability to resolve the SOC depth distribution with confidence.

93 In this study, we produced spatially resolved global estimates of the depth distribution 94 and stocks of subsoil SOC using the β model as a depth distribution function-based 95 empirical approach for evaluating cropland, grassland, and forestland ecosystems on a 96 global scale. First, we collected and analyzed 17984 soil profiles (0 - 100 cm) of 97 globally distributed observations from 14535 sites to estimate the SOC vertical 98 distribution (soil β values). Then we developed a random forest (RF) model to estimate 99 the spatial variation in grid-level soil β values in the associated ecosystems to resolve 100 the dynamics of the SOC density in different soil layers and subsoil stocks of the global 101 ecosystems.

102 **2.** Methods

103 2.1. Data collection

We conducted peer-reviewed literatures review of studies previously published on SOC
stock or SOC content of soil profile between 1980 and 2022 to obtain a database. The
Web of Science and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database were
searched (article abstracts and key words) using the terms "Soil organic carbon" AND

108 "subsoil" AND "Soil profile" AND "Deep soil" The criteria were as follows: (1) The 109 research scope is worldwide. (2) The study was conducted in the field. (3) The profiles 110 of multiple sites are reported in the same literature, and the profile of each site is 111 considered as an independent study. (4) Profiles with more than three suitable 112 measurements of organic carbon in the first meter were collected from the analysis for 113 there was sufficient detail to characterize the vertical distribution of SOC. (5) The data 114 extracted from included basic site information including location latitude and longitude, 115 soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), soil bulk density (BD), soil pH and CN 116 ratio, Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen (MC), Microbial biomass nitrogen (MN), 117 and MC: MN, soil clay content, climate conditions (mean annual precipitation (MAP) 118 and mean annual temperature (MAT)). If the soil organic matter (SOM) rather than SOC 119 was reported, the value was converted to SOC by multiplication with a conversion 120 factor of 0.58 (Don et al., 2011). To extract data presented graphically, the digital 121 software GetData Graph Digitizer 2.25 (getdata-graph-digitizer.com) was used. A total 122 of 161 peer-reviewed papers comprising 1,221 soil profiles were included in this dataset, 123 including 758 for cropland, 219 for forestland, and 244 for grassland. Additionally, an 124 expanded dataset was sourced from the WoSIS Soil Profile Database, contributing 125 7,636 profiles for cropland, 4,534 for forestland, and 4,593 for grassland. The spatial 126 distribution of these profiles is shown in Figure 1. Missing soil and climate factor data 127 from a few sites were either provided by the study authors through direct 128 correspondence, or obtained from the spatial datasets (section 2.2), based on latitude 129 and longitude. These data were analyzed to determine the impact of the environment 130 on soil β values and develop a model to predict global grid-level β values, subsequently, 131 soil profiles SOC density, and calculate SOC stocks.

132 2.2 Calculation of soil attributes from literature-derived database

133 Since the 0-1 m soil profile has different layers in the row data, mass-preserving spline 134 method (R Package 'mpspline2') was used to divide the soil profiles into 5 layers with 135 20 cm interval. This function implements for continuous down-profile estimates of soil 136 attributes (SOC, TN, Clay, MC, MN, etc.) measured over discrete, often discontinuous 137 depth intervals. In some studies, bulk density data below the 20 cm soil layer were 138 lacking. Notable differences in global SOC stocks estimations were attributed to the 139 values used for soil bulk density. Therefore, we use the database issued by predecessors 140 to generate bulk density data with 0-1m profile at 20 cm interval (Shangguan et al., 141 2014). To calculate SOC content, it is necessary to supplement SOC density with bulk
142 density data. The equations used to calculate SOC at each research site was the
143 following:

144
$$SOC \ density = SOC * BD * D * (1 - GC/100)/10$$
 [1]

where SOC is the SOC concentration (g kg⁻¹), BD is the soil bulk density (g cm⁻³), and
D is the thickness of the soil layer (at intervals of 20 cm in the first meter), SOC density

147 (Mg C ha⁻¹). GC (>2 mm) is the gravel content (%).

148 2.3 Calculation of soil β values from literature-derived database

149 To enhance the comparability of data from different studies, the corresponding soil β 150 values were calculated using Equation 2, which follows the methodology adopted by 151 Yang et al. (2011). The SOC density in the top 0-100 cm was calculated from the initial 152 depth SOC density using Equation 3, which was developed by Jobbágy & Jackson 153 (2000). The equations are as follows:

$$Y = 1 - \beta^d$$

155
$$X_{100} = \frac{1 - \beta^{100}}{1 - \beta^{d_0}} * X_{d0}$$
[3]

where Y represents the cumulative proportion of the SOC density from the soil surface to depth d (cm); β is the relative rate of decrease in the SOC density with soil depth; A lower β indicates a steeper decline with depth. X₁₀₀ denotes the SOC density within the upper 100 cm; d₀ represents the depth of the 0-20 cm soil layer; (cm); and X_{d0} is the SOC density of the top 20 cm soil depth.

161 2.4 Spatial gridded datasets

162 The gridded datasets included forestland, grassland, and cropland areas, climate factors 163 and soil properties. Areas of cropland, forestland, and grassland were obtained from Global Agro-Ecological Zones (GAEZ, https://gaez.fao.org/) at a resolution at 0.083° 164 \times 0.083°. The MAP and MAT were acquired from the Climatic Research Unit Time 165 166 (CRU TS 4.05; Series ver. (https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/hrg/cru ts 4.05/cruts.2103051243.v4.05/). 167 The spatial SOC, total N, soil clay contents, and soil pH and gravel content were acquired 168 from the Harmonized World Soil Database ver. 1.2 (https://www.fao.org/soils-169 170 portal/data-hub/soil-lassification/worldreference-base/en/). MC and MN data were obtained from this study (Xu et al., 2013). The BD and gravel content (GC) datasets of
the whole soil profile was acquired from Harmonized World Soils Database version 2.0
(HWSD v2.0) (<u>https://gaez.fao.org/pages/hwsd</u>), whose resolution is 1 km. The
belowground net primary productivity (BNPP) data were sourced from Xiao et al.
(2023). All data were resampled at 0.083° resolution using the "raster" R package
(<u>https://rspatial.org/raster</u>).

177 2.5 Application of RF modeling to predict spatial β values

190

We reconstruct the relationships among multiple factors, cropland, grassland and 178 179 forestland soil β values by RF algorithm. The developed RF models were used to predict 180 grid-level soil β values for each ecosystem. Prior to constructing the RF model, the 181 optimal parameter values of m_{try} and *ntrees* were determined through the bootstrap 182 sampling method, which was performed with the "e1071" R package. Predictions of soil β values derived by RF and random-effects regression models were evaluated by 183 184 10-fold cross-validation. The dataset was divided into 10 subsets of equal size, of which 185 70% were used for model fitting and RF procedures, then predicted with the fitted models using the remaining 30% of the data. The performance of RF models was 186 evaluated based on the coefficient of determination (R^2) and root mean square error 187 188 (RMSE) according to those following equations:

189
$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{q} (y_{p} - \hat{y}_{p})^{2}}{\sum_{p=1}^{q} (y_{p} - \bar{y})^{2}}$$
[4]

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{q} (y_p - \hat{y}_p)^2}{q}}$$
[5]

191 where y_p represents an observed value (p = 1, 2, 3, ...), \hat{y}_p represents the 192 corresponding predicted value (p = 1, 2, 3, ...), \bar{y} represents the mean value of 193 observed values, and q represents the total number of observed values.

194 2.6 Estimating global SOC density and SOC stocks ecosystems across different 195 ecosystems

196 To reveal the dynamics of SOC with depth, we used the globally predicted β values for 197 cropland, grassland, and forestland ecosystems in Equation 3 to calculate cumulative 198 SOC density at specific depths (e.g., 40, 60, 80, and 100 cm). Based on these cumulative 199 values, the SOC density for each 20 cm interval as calculated by subtracting the 200 cumulative SOC density of the shallower depth from the deeper depth. Subsequently, the total carbon stocks for different ecosystems worldwide were calculated bymultiplying the SOC density by the corresponding land area (see Equation 6).

203 $SOC \ stocks = SOC \ density * S_{ecosystem}$ [6]

Where S_{ecosystem} is the areas of cropland, grassland or forestland (ha), SOC stocks (Pg
C).

206 2.7 Uncertainty analysis

207 A Monte Carlo simulation was used to estimate the overall uncertainty in the estimated 208 spatial SOC density. The uncertainty mainly came from be soil β estimation-related 209 parameters and the RF model. Input parameters in the RF model prediction followed 210 independent normal distributions by assuming the grid value as the mean value and its 211 10 % as the standard deviation. Then, 1,000 random samplings were used to obtain the 212 interval of each grid via Monte Carlo simulations. The sampling value was then used 213 to run the RF model to predict the grid-level soil β with 100 bootstraps to run the RF model. Then we used predicted grid-level soil β to recalculated the distribution of SOC 214 215 density (SOCD) across different ecosystem. Finally, we calculated the mean along with the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles to establish the 95% confidence interval of SOC density 216 217 and SOC stocks.

218

$$U_i = \frac{CI_i}{x_i} \tag{7}$$

219 Where x_i is the mean of prediction, CI_i is the confidence interval of x_i , U_i is the 220 uncertainty

221 2.8 Data management and analyses

One-way analysis of variance at P < 0.05 was applied to identify significant differences 222 223 in soil β values using SPSS ver. 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. We 224 made a database of peer-reviewed publications with Excel 2010 software (Microsoft 225 Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). Weather data analyses were performed using MATLAB 226 R2017a software (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Weather data were analyzed using MATLAB R2017a (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). R software (ver. 3.5.1; R 227 228 Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria) was used to generate graphs. A publicly 229 available map of China was obtained from the Resource and Environment Data Cloud 230 Platform (http://www.resdc.cn). All map-related operations were implemented using ArcGIS 10.2 software (http://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis). All algorithms implemented 231

using the random Forest R package in the R software environment (ver. 3.5.1; R
Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

234 **3. Results**

235 3.1 Soil β values of the three global ecosystems based on field measurements

236 We analyzed 17,984 globally distributed soil profiles (0-100 cm) from 14,535 sites, 237 including 5,931 cropland, 4,206 grassland, and 4,398 forestland sites (Figure 1) to 238 estimate soil β values, which represent the relative rate of decrease in SOC density with 239 soil depth. This included an additional 8,394 profiles for cropland, 4,753 for forestland, 240 and 4,837 for grassland, obtained from the literature and the WoSIS Soil Profile 241 Database. The average soil β values across all observations were 0.9731 for cropland, 242 0.9772 for grassland, and 0.9790 for forestland (Figure S1), with significant differences 243 observed among the ecosystems.



244

Figure 1. Geographic location of the study sites included in the meta-analysis of the
0–100 cm soil profiles. Red, yellow, and blue dots represent cropland, grassland, and
forestland, respectively.

248 3.2 Impact of soil and climate variables on soil β values

- 249 The soil β value is significantly influenced by the combined effects of various climatic,
- 250 biological, and soil factors. MAT, MAP and BNPP were the most influential driver of
- 251 β values (Figure S2). Higher MAT promoted increases in soil β values and higher MAP
- 252 promoted decreases; however, when the MAT was about 20°C and MAP was about

253 1000 mm, the soil β values growth and decline rate was substantially reduced (Figures 254 2A and B). BNPP demonstrated a nonlinear relationship: β values decreased with increasing BNPP levels, when BNPP was below 1.5 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and exceed 2 Mg ha⁻¹ 255 ¹ yr⁻¹, the soil β values decreased sharply (Figure 2C). The regression between CN, MC, 256 257 MN, TN, pH and soil β values was parabolic. When CN >10, MC >100 mg/kg, MN >20 mg/kg, TN >3 g/kg and pH <6, the soil β value promoted decreased (Figures D, E, F, 258 259 G and H). β values remained relatively stable across most clay percentages but showed 260 a decrease when clay content exceeded 30% (Figure 2I). Through comparison and 261 analysis, we ultimately selected 9 significant factors (BNPP, pH, Clay, MAT, MAP, 262 TN, MN, MC, CN) for modeling based on their importance and explanatory power



264

263

(Figure S2).

Figure 2. Plots A–I show the variables affecting soil β values. MAT, mean annual
temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; BNPP, belowground net primary
productivity; CN, the ratio of SOC to TN; MC, microbial biomass carbon; MN,
microbial biomass nitrogen; TN, soil total nitrogen; pH, soil pH; Clay, clay content.

Shaded bands indicate 95% confidence intervals, and the dashed lines represent the
average soil β values.

271 **3.3** Performance of the random forest regression model

We developed an RF regression model using machine learning techniques to determine 272 273 grid-level soil β values on a global scale. The model included 9 significant factors (BNPP, pH, Clay, MAT, MAP, TN, MN, MC, CN), as well as the corresponding high-274 spatial-resolution raster datasets (Figures S3–S5). The model performed well, with an 275 adjusted coefficient of determination (R²) of 0.85, 0.86, and 0.90 for cropland, 276 grassland, and forestland, respectively, and the RMSE values are all less than 0.01 277 278 (Figure 3A, B and C). The predictions and measurements of all samples were also 279 distributed close to the 1:1 line. These validations suggest that the trained RF model is 280 capable of capturing and predicting the spatial pattern of the soil β value on a global 281 scale.



282

Figure 3. Grid-level maps showing the predicted global soil β values. Plots A–C reflect the performance of the random forest model as evaluated by the correlation between the observed and predicted responses of soil β values. Plots D–F illustrate the predicted spatial variability of predicted soil β values in cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

288 **3.4** Mapping the global grid-level soil β value

289 We predicted the global soil β value using the RF model for 4,057,524 integrated grid-290 level, high-spatial-resolution soil and climate raster datasets (cropland, n = 832,827; 291 forestland, n = 1,695,053; and grassland, n = 1,529,644). The average values were 292 0.9716 (95% CI: 0.9692-0.9738), 0.9762 (95% CI: 0.9656-0.9831), and 0.9792 (95% 293 CI: 0.9687-0.9877) for cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively, with CVs of 294 4.73%, 1.79%, and 1.94% (Figure 3D, E and F). The ($\beta = 0.9786$) reported by Jobbágy 295 & Jackson (2000) falls within the 95% confidence intervals of grassland and forestland, 296 but not cropland. The results of the predicted soil β indicate that the steeper decline in 297 SOC stocks with increasing depth was greatest for cropland, followed by grassland and 298 forestland.

299 The spatial distribution of soil β values across cropland, grassland, and forest 300 ecosystems reveals both commonalities and notable differences. High β values are 301 predominantly distributed in tropical and subtropical regions, including parts of South 302 America, Oceania, and sub-Saharan Africa, whereas low β values are mainly 303 concentrated in temperate regions, particularly in northern and western Europe and 304 eastern and northern North America. Notably, the distribution of high β values varies 305 across ecosystems. High β values are primarily observed in sub-Saharan Africa, central 306 North America, and southern Oceania in cropland (Figure 3D). For grassland, mainly 307 concentrated in southeastern South America, southern Africa, and Oceania (Figure 3E). 308 Forestland exhibited the most extensive distribution of high β values, spanning southern 309 South America, central and southern Africa, and Oceania (excluding the central region) 310 (Figure 3F).

311 Low β values show slight variation: cropland exhibits a more confined range of low 312 values, mainly in northwestern Europe, while grassland and forestland display broader 313 areas of low values, particularly across eastern and northern North America. These 314 patterns underscore the geographic variability of soil β values, reflecting the complex 315 interplay between environmental and ecological factors shaping these spatial316 distributions.

317 3.5 Spatial variability of the SOC density in subsoil

The estimated values for the global average SOC density of cropland, grassland, and 318 forestland 62 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:52-73), 70 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:57-83), and 97 Mg ha⁻¹ 319 320 (95% CI:80-117), respectively, for the 20–100 cm layer (Table S1), with considerable 321 spatial variation on the global scale (Figure 4). The larger the soil β value, the more 322 rapidly the SOC density decreased with an increase in soil depth. Spatially, there was 323 geographic variability in the SOC density depending on ecosystems. The higher values 324 exhibited similar spatial patterns in each ecosystems type and were distributed mainly 325 in northern and western Europe and northern and eastern North America.

326 For cropland, lower SOC density values were predominantly distributed in Eastern and 327 Southwestern Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, Southern Africa, Central North America, and 328 Southern Oceania. In contrast, higher SOC density values were mainly concentrated in 329 temperate regions, such as parts of Europe, Northern North America, and some regions 330 in South America (Figure 4A). For grassland, SOC density showed significant spatial 331 variation, with lower values primarily distributed in Eastern and Southwestern Asia, 332 Eastern and Southern South America, and Oceania. In contrast, higher values were 333 concentrated in temperate regions, such as Northern and Western Europe, Northern 334 North America (Figure 4B). For forestland, SOC density displayed clear spatial heterogeneity. Lower values were primarily distributed in Northern South America, 335 336 Central and Southern Africa, Northeastern Africa, and the Central region of Oceania, 337 areas often characterized by tropical or subtropical climates with rapid organic matter 338 decomposition rates (Figure 4C). In contrast, higher values were predominantly found 339 in temperate and boreal forest regions, including northern and Western Europe, 340 Northern North America, and parts of Eastern Asia. The spatial variation in SOC 341 density at multiple depths (20-40, 40-60, 60-80, and 80-100 cm) was also estimated 342 (Figures S6–S8), which exhibited a decreasing trend with increasing depth.

12



343

Figure 4. Grid-level maps showing the predicted global subsoil SOC density for the

345 20–100 cm soil layer. A–C represents cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

346 D shows the SOC density in soil profiles of cropland, grassland, and forestland.

347 **3.6** Uncertainty analysis of subsoil SOC density across ecosystems

Overall, regions with high uncertainty are concentrated in tropical and subtropical areas, such as sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, the Amazon region of South America, and parts of Oceania. In contrast, regions with low uncertainty are primarily located in temperate and boreal areas, including northern Europe, Northern North America, and Northern Asia. Among them, forestland exhibits slightly higher SOC density prediction uncertainty (38%) compared to grassland (37%) and cropland (34%) (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Grid-level maps illustrating the uncertainty of predicted global subsoil SOC

density. A–C represents cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

357 **4. Discussion**

358 4.1 Comparison of high-resolution SOC dynamics

359 Global estimations of SOC stock reported in the literature exhibit considerable variation. The estimated SOC stocks for cropland, grassland, and forestland (Table 1) in our study 360 361 align closely with previous studies (Liu et al., 2021; Conant, 2010; Dixon et al., 1994). The SOC stock of all land in the 0 - 100 cm soil layer was 1418 Pg (95% CI:1276-362 1577), which was slightly lower than the estimate reported by Sanderman et al. (2017) 363 364 and Batjes. (1996). However, we believe that our estimation was not underestimated. 365 This discrepancy may be due to the overestimation in (Sanderman et al., 2017), which 366 could be attributed to the suboptimal quality of the training dataset used in their spatial prediction models ($R^2=0.54$). Earlier assessments (Batjes, 1996) relied on databases 367 368 that included very few soil profiles from regions such as North America, Oceania, or 369 the northern temperate zones. The subsoil SOC stock of all land was 803 Pg (95% 370 CI:661-962), which was consistent with other research results (Scharlemann et al., 2014; 371 Roland Hiederer. and Köchy., 2011; Zhou et al, 2024). We found that the subsoil 372 contains 57% of total SOC stock in the top 0-1 m soil layer, which is consistent with 373 the percentages cited in previous works (47 - 55%) (Lal, 2018; Balesdent et al., 2018). 374 Overall, this demonstrates the feasibility and accuracy of our methodology, with the 375 estimations proving to be relatively accurate

376 Similar to the findings of Tao et al. (2023) our study reveals a global SOC density 377 pattern with lower values at low latitudes and higher values at high latitudes. The 378 vertical migration of organic matter is notably more pronounced in northern permafrost regions compared to other areas. For cropland, consistent with the estimates by Wu et 379 380 al. (2024) the spatial variation in relative SOC density across China shows higher 381 carbon densities in the Northeast Plain, the Yangtze River Basin, and the southeastern 382 hills, while lower values are observed in the arid regions of Northwest China (e.g., the 383 Taklamakan Desert) and the North China Plain. This pattern aligns well with the trends 384 identified in our study. The FAO report "Global Assessment of Grassland Soil Carbon: 385 Current Stocks and Sequestration Potential" aligns with our findings, highlighting high 386 grassland carbon stocks in central China, Northern Russia, Northern Asia, Southeastern 387 South America, and Central North America. However, our study also identifies Europe 388 as having significant carbon stocks. This is mainly because temperate climate, 389 particularly in Northern and Western Europe, is humid and mild, providing favorable 390 conditions for the formation and accumulation of soil organic matter. Unlike croplands 391 and grasslands, forestlands are long-lasting vegetation types, with SOC strongly shaped 392 by local environmental conditions. Zhang et al. (2024) predicted forest SOC stocks 393 across climatic zones and soil types, showing higher stocks in Europe, Russia, and 394 Canada. Mediterranean and temperate regions also have higher SOC than 395 tropical/subtropical regions, consistent with our findings, though their study only 396 considers surface soil.

397 Additionally, we observed higher SOC density in boreal forests and tundra regions, 398 showing spatial variability consistent with the spatial variation in carbon turnover times 399 reported in other study (Li et al., 2023), particularly in northern high-latitude permafrost 400 and tundra areas. This suggests that in low-temperature environments, longer soil 401 carbon turnover times, and lower microbial activity reduce the decomposition rate of 402 soil organic matter, allowing more SOC to accumulate. The highest SOC density and 403 microbial C/N ratios were found at high latitudes in tundra and boreal forests, probably 404 due to the higher levels of organic matter in soils, greater fungal abundance, and lower 405 nutrient availability in cold biomes (Gao et al., 2022).

Our estimated SOC density at 111 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:101-122) for cropland (Table S1) 406 407 was higher than that reported in other study (Liu et al., 2021), and lower than that of 408 tropical cropland (Reichenbach et al., 2023). For forestland, the SOC stock was 409 estimated at 177 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 150-187) for the 0-100 cm soil layer (overall), 410 consistent with the estimate reported by Dixon et al. (1994), but significantly lower than 411 those observed in mangroves and tropical forestland (Atwood et al., 2017; Reichenbach 412 et al., 2023). For grassland, it was 132 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:119-145) overall, much higher 413 than that of (Conant et al., 2017). Finally, on a global scale, the SOC density of all land for the 0–100 cm soil layer was estimated at 136 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 123–151), which is 414 415 significantly higher than the estimate reported by Hiederer & Köchy (2011).

		Topsoil (Dg)	Subsoil (Da)	Total (Da)	Pafarancas
	<u>C1 1 1</u>	Topson (Fg)	Subson (rg)	Total (Fg)	Kelelelices
	Global area (10^9ha)	0–20/30	20/30-100	0–100	
		(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	
Cropland		58	69	127	Liu et al., 2021
Cropland	1.20	59	74 (95% CI:62-88)	133 (95% CI:121-146)	This study
Forestland	4.10	359	787	1146	Dixon et al., 1994
Forestland	5.64	395	547(95% CI:451-660)	942 (95% CI:846-1055)	This study
Grassland				343	Conant, 2010
Grassland	2.59	161	181 (95% CI:148-215)	342 (95% CI:308-376)	This study
All land		684–724	778-824	1462–1548	Batjes, 1996
All land		699	718	1417	Roland Hiederer. and Köchy., 2011
All land		699	716	1416	Scharlemann et al., 2014
All land		863	961	1824	Sanderman et al., 2017
All				1360	Zhou et al, et al., 2024
All land		615	803 (95% CI:661-962)	1418 (95% CI:1276-1577)	This study
417	SOC: soil organic carbon, 95% CI: refers to the confidence interval				

416 **Table 1.** Comparisons of the estimated SOC stocks with other studies

418 4.2 Factors affecting soil β values and spatial variation

419 MAT was the primary drivers of soil β values, exhibiting a significant positive 420 correlation. Specifically, with the increase of MAT, the β value increases, and the 421 decrease of SOC density with depth becomes smaller (Figure 2A). This shows that the 422 higher the β value, the relatively lower the proportion of the SOC storage in the soil 423 surface (consistent with previous research Hartley et al., 2021; Melillo et al., 2017). It 424 is generally accepted that in cold and wet regions, low soil temperatures and/or 425 anaerobic conditions promote the formation of thick organic horizons and peats, 426 resulting in the storage of large amounts of SOC (Garcia-Palacios et al., 2021). Tropical soils have the lowest SOC persistence, while polar/tundra soils and soils dominated by 427 428 amorphous minerals exhibit the highest SOC abundance and persistence (von Fromm 429 et al., 2024). These differences indicate that soil β values are high in low-latitude 430 regions, such as tropical rainforest areas, and low in high-latitude regions, such as the 431 tundra, showing a spatial distribution pattern. Climate warming may lead to greater SOC losses in surface soils compared to deeper layers, especially in high-latitude SOC-432

rich systems (Wang et al., 2022). Experimental results of long-term warming show that
soil respiration is sensitive to temperature rise (Xu et al., 2015). It could be driven by
the changes in the temperature dependence for microbial process rates (Karhu et al.,
2014). As field experiments have shown that warming can modify microbial physiology
and resource availability (Poeplau et al., 2017).

438 We found a significant negative relationship between soil β values and MAP. This 439 suggests that higher precipitation rates are associated with a steeper decrease in SOC 440 density with increasing depth. This is primarily due to the pronounced positive 441 correlation between MAP and the surface SOC density (Liu et al., 2023). In wetter 442 climates where the precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration, there is a strong 443 relationship between mineral-associated SOC concentration and persistence, due to the 444 humid soil environments that favor greater root growth and abundance (Heckman et al., 445 2023). And, the higher the intensity of precipitation, the more susceptible deep soil 446 carbon is to loss (Sun et al., 2024).

447 Additionally, BNPP plays a crucial role in the global land carbon cycle and carbon 448 balance, as it is a major source of SOC. The increase in BNPP, along with greater root 449 exudates and changes in microbial activity, may lead to new carbon accumulation 450 (Zheng et al., 2024), which resulted in a decreasing trend of soil β values.

451 Our results highlight the important role of edaphic properties in explaining variation in 452 soil β values, not just climate and biological factors (Figure S2). The soil CN ratio and 453 soil clay content both exhibited a similar negative correlation with the β value. A higher 454 soil CN ratio may decelerate the decomposition rate of organic matter, thereby 455 facilitating an increase in SOC content in warm and arid regions (Spohn et al., 2023), 456 such that the soil β values would trend downward. Under soil CN ratio > 15, warming 457 significantly enhances the development of root biomass (Bai et al., 2023), this could 458 induce a corresponding SOC accumulation. Clay fraction of the soil can absorb litter-459 derived C and microbial-derived C, promoting the accumulation of organic carbon 460 (Hicks Pries et al., 2023).

461 Our results showed that for near-neutral pH soils, the β values tend to be stable. In 462 acidic soils, significant losses of SOC occur because microbial growth is more severely 463 constrained, leading to a reduced efficiency in the decomposition and utilization of 464 organic matter by microorganisms (Malik et al., 2018). Salinization and alkalization 465 impede plant growth, leading to reduced biomass and lower organic matter input into the soil, causing the soil organic carbon content and organic carbon pool to remain very 466 467 low (Li et al., 2023). The harsh conditions of saline-alkaline soils hinder microbial 468 survival and activity, reducing their efficiency in decomposing and utilizing organic 469 matter. Soil pH had non-linear relationships with microorganisms, tends to be neutral, 470 and the abundance of microorganisms is higher (Patoine et al., 2022). The combination 471 of these factors explains the higher β values observed under extreme acidic or alkaline 472 conditions. Thus, near-neutral pH soils, may enhance its carbon storage potential by 473 improving microbial growth efficiency and facilitating the channeling of matrix 474 components into biomass synthesis.

475 The effects of TN, MC, MN on soil β values exhibited the same trend, which initially 476 increased and then decreased. The TN stock in the soil exhibits a significant positive 477 correlation with the SOC stock (Feng et al., 2018), leading to a reduction in the β value 478 in nitrogen-enriched soils. MC had positive relationships with the SOC content across 479 the large spatial scale, because of microbes should be considered not only as a 480 controlling factor of the consumption of SOC, but also as an influencing factor of the 481 production of SOC (Tao et al., 2023). Microbial necromass has been identified as a 482 major contributor to SOC formation across global ecosystems (Wang et al., 2021a). 483 Evidence from China shows that microbial residues contribute a larger proportion of 484 SOC in subsoils than in topsoil (Wen et al., 2023). Therefore, in soil profiles with a 485 high microbial carbon and nitrogen, the soil β value is smaller, indicating a steeper 486 decrease in SOC density with increasing depth.

487 **4.3** Challenges and opportunities: Deep soil SOC sequestration

488 More and more studies have shown about the necessity to better understand subsoil 489 SOC dynamics. Biotic controls on SOC cycling become weaker as mineral controls 490 predominate with depth (Hicks Pries et al., 2023). The topsoil is rich in carbohydrates 491 and lignin, while the subsoil is rich in protein and lipids, the decrease rate of the ratio 492 of the microbially derived carbon to plant-derived carbon with SOM content was 23%-493 30% slower in the subsoil than in the topsoil (Huang et al., 2023). Warming stimulates 494 microbial metabolic activity on structurally complex organic carbon, resulting in a 495 larger loss of subsoil polymeric SOC compared to topsoil (Zosso et al., 2023). However, 496 long-term experiments may not be long enough to quantify SOC dynamics in subsoil,

497 large-scale research methods and machine learning are particularly important and 498 necessary. Based on measured soil profile data and environmental variables, Wang et 499 al.(2021b) employed machine learning methods to assess SOC stocks and spatial 500 distribution of subsoil in frozen soil areas in the third pole region. The investigation of 501 deep soil organic carbon is inherently complex and involves intricate and time-intensive 502 methodologies. This complexity results in a paucity of research data, which 503 consequently introduces considerable uncertainties into model-derived predictions. To 504 avoid under- or overestimation of the SOC stocks of an ecosystem, it is important to 505 consider the subsoil when formulating sequestration policies for the whole soil profile 506 (Button et al., 2022), as the "4 per 1000" approach for the top 30 to 40 cm soil layer 507 provides an incomplete representation of the soil profile (Rumpel et al., 2018). It may 508 be essential to sample the soil deeper (e.g. 0-100 cm) and incorporate deep soils into 509 future manipulations, measurements and models.

510 In addition, researchers had quantified the contribution of optimizing crop 511 redistribution and improved management, and topsoil carbon sequestration in offsetting 512 anthropogenic GHG emissions and climate change (Wang et al., 2022b; Rodrigues et 513 al., 2021; Yin et al., 2023), the ability and consequence of subsoil SOC sequestration 514 of crop management remains to be further studied. Conducting global-scale subsoil 515 SOC dynamics studies will fill the knowledge gap to develop appropriate soil C 516 sequestration strategies and policies to help the world cope with climate change and 517 food security (Amelung et al., 2020; Bossio et al., 2020). As such, it is crucial that 518 future research efforts focus on SOC sequestration efficiency with climate change, 519 considering the entire soil profile.

520 4.4 Strengths and limitations

521 Our research establishes a scientific foundation for further study of SOC dynamics, 522 sequestration, and emissions reduction across soil profiles, offering significant insights 523 for achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), notably SDG2 (Zero Hunger), 524 SDG13 (Climate SDG15 Action), and (Life on Land) 525 (https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals). To our knowledge, this is the 526 first study to present global high-resolution maps illustrating the spatial distribution of 527 SOC density within soil profiles, derived from soil β values informed by soil properties 528 and climatic conditions. We observed pronounced variations in SOC density across

529 ecosystems, with forestland demonstrating the highest densities, followed by grassland 530 and cropland. However, the observed differences in SOC dynamics across these 531 ecosystems were primarily attributed to the dominant biogeochemical properties of the 532 soils (Reichenbach et al., 2023).

533 In our analysis, we incorporated a broad spectrum of environmental variables, including 534 climatic factors and soil physicochemical properties, to examine subsoil SOC dynamics 535 across different ecosystems. The variability in SOC density decline across soil profiles 536 with depth in most areas underscores the imperative for refined soil management 537 practices. Enhancing carbon sequestration in deeper soil horizons constitutes a 538 promising avenue for future research. For example, increasing plant diversity and crop 539 diversification has reinforced SOC stocks in subsoil, with this benefit amplifying over 540 time (Lange et al., 2023, Xu et al., 2023). Current research has shed light on certain 541 aspects of subsoil SOC sequestration mechanisms and turnover dynamics (Luo et al., 542 2019; Li et al., 2023). However, implementing targeted policies—such as incorporating 543 organic materials and biochar-remains essential for augmenting the SOC 544 sequestration potential of deeper soils (Button et al., 2022). These strategies could play 545 a critical role in synergistically enhancing soil fertility and mitigating greenhouse gas 546 emissions.

547 Some important aspects of SOC stocks were not included in this study. For instance, 548 microbial necromass is a key contributor to SOC accumulation (Zhou et al., 2023). Due 549 to difficulties in obtaining management data for grasslands and forestlands, we did not 550 account for potential management-specific factors on soil β value estimations. For 551 example, N fertilizer application, irrigation amount, soil tillage practices, and organic 552 carbon inputs (straw return, crop residues, and litterfall) may influence the vertical 553 movement of SOC. Moreover, organic carbon inputs can alter SOC decomposition rates, 554 particularly in deeper soil layers (Cardinael et al., 2018).

We also acknowledge that soil layers may not always reach 1 meter, especially in mountainous areas. Due to the lack of global soil thickness data, this limitation may lead to overestimation or underestimation of soil carbon storage in some regions. Focusing on 1-meter profiles provides a reasonable approximation of SOC storage across different ecosystems. Although this approach may not fully capture the variation in soil thickness in high mountain areas, it enables us to gain valuable insights into SOC dynamics within the global carbon cycle. Future studies will incorporate more detailedsoil thickness data to improve our understanding of SOC distribution.

563 **5. Data availability**

564 The data of "global patterns of soil organic carbon distribution in the 20-100 cm soil 565 profile for different ecosystems: a global meta-analysis" are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14787023 (Wang et al., 2025). The file named 566 "Rawdata.xlsx" contains data sourced from the literature. The file name is "GE β .tif", 567 568 GE represents global ecosystems, which including cropland (CL), grassland (GL), and 569 forestland (FL). "FL β .tif" represents the spatial distribution of β for forestland at 20-570 100 cm depth. The file name is "GE d SOCD.tif", where SOCD represents soil organic 571 carbon density, d represents soil depth, for example, "FL 20-100 SOCD.tif" represents 572 the spatial distribution of SOCD for forestland at 20-100 cm depth.

573 **6. Conclusion**

574 Accurately quantifying the distribution of soil profile SOC stocks is crucial for C 575 sequestration and mitigation. Herein, machine learning was applied to the β model to 576 estimate SOC stocks in soil profiles at depths of 20-100 cm. The subsoil SOC density values of cropland, grassland, and forestland were estimated to be 62 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% 577 CI:52-73), 70 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:57-83), and 97 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:80-117), respectively, 578 579 with significant geographic variability across different ecosystems. Additionally, The 580 global subsoil SOC stock was 803 Pg C (95% CI:661-962) (cropland, grassland, and 581 forestland were 74 Pg C (95% CI:62-88), 181 Pg C (95% CI:148-215), and 547 Pg C 582 (95% CI:451-660), in which an average of 57% resided in the top 0–100 cm of the soil 583 profile. This dataset provides a valuable resource for refining existing Earth system 584 models and enhancing prediction accuracy. Furthermore, it offers critical insights into 585 global SOC dynamics and the spatial variability of SOC within entire soil profiles. Our 586 findings also serve as a valuable reference for decision-makers in developing more 587 effective carbon budget management strategies.

588 Author contributions

589 The study was completed with cooperation between all authors. ZC and YY conceived 590 and designed the research. HW: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, data 591 curation, visualization, conducted data analysis and wrote original draft. XT: 592 methodology, data curation, visualization, TC: investigation, data curation, 593 conceptualization, investigation. ZC, KH, ZW, HG, QM, YW, YC, MZ contributed to 594 the scientific discussions. ZC and QZ: conceptualization, supervision, funding 595 acquisition.

596 **Competing interests.**

597 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

598 Disclaimer. Publisher's note

599 Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in 600 published maps and institutional affiliations.

601 Acknowledgements.

This work was financially supported by the PhD Scientific Research and Innovation
Foundation of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (HSPHDSRF-2022-05013), and the Hainan Provincial Joint Project of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and
Technology City (2021JJLH0015), and the National Key Research and Development
Program of China (2021YFD1900901).

607 Financial support.

This work was supported by the PhD Scientific Research and Innovation Foundation of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (HSPHDSRF-2022-05-013), and the Hainan Provincial Joint Project of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (2021JJLH0015), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFD1900901).

613 **References**

- 614 Amelung, W., Bossio, D., de Vries, W., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Amundson, R.,
- 615 Bol, R., Collins, C., Lal, R., Leifeld, J., Minasny, B., Pan, G., Paustian, K., Rumpel,
- 616 C., Sanderman, J., van Groenigen, J. W., Mooney, S., van Wesemael, B., Wander,
- 617 M., and Chabbi, A.: Towards a global-scale soil climate mitigation strategy, Nat.
- 618 Commun., 11, 5427, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18887-7, 2020.
- 619 Atwood, T. B., Connolly, R. M., Almahasheer, H., Carnell, P. E., Duarte, C. M., Ewers
- Lewis, C. J., Irigoien, X., Kelleway, J. J., Lavery, P. S., Macreadie, P. I., Serrano,
 O., Sanders, C. J., Santos, I., Steven, A. D. L., and Lovelock, C. E.: Global patterns
- in mangrove soil carbon stocks and losses, Nat. Clim. Chang., 7, 523-528,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3326, 2017.
- Bai, T., Wang, P., Qiu, Y., Zhang, Y., and Hu, S.: Nitrogen availability mediates soil
 carbon cycling response to climate warming: A meta-analysis, Glob. Change Biol.,
 29, 2608-2626, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16627, 2023.
- Balesdent, J., Basile-Doelsch, I., Chadoeuf, J., Cornu, S., Derrien, D., Fekiacova, Z.,
 and Hatté, C.: Atmosphere–soil carbon transfer as a function of soil depth, Nat.,
 559, 599-602, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0328-3, 2018.
- Batjes, N. H.: Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 47,
 151-163, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1996.tb01386.x, 1996.
- Beillouin, D., Corbeels, M., Demenois, J., Berre, D., Boyer, A., Fallot, A., Feder, F.,
 and Cardinael, R.: A global meta-analysis of soil organic carbon in the
 Anthropocene, Nat. Commun., 14, 3700, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-02339338-z, 2023.
- Bossio, D. A., Cook-Patton, S. C., Ellis, P. W., Fargione, J., Sanderman, J., Smith, P.,
 Wood, S., Zomer, R. J., von Unger, M., Emmer, I. M., and Griscom, B. W.: The
 role of soil carbon in natural climate solutions, Nat. Sustain., 3, 391-398,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0491-z, 2020.
- Bradford, M. A., Wieder, W. R., Bonan, G. B., Fierer, N., Raymond, P. A., and Crowther,
 T. W.: Managing uncertainty in soil carbon feedbacks to climate change, Nat. Clim.
 Chang., 6, 751-758, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3071, 2016.

- 643 Button, E. S., Pett-Ridge, J., Murphy, D. V., Kuzyakov, Y., Chadwick, D. R., and Jones,
- D. L.: Deep-C storage: Biological, chemical and physical strategies to enhance
 carbon stocks in agricultural subsoils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 170, 108697.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108697, 2022.
- 647 Cardinael, R., Guenet, B., Chevallier, T., Dupraz, C., Cozzi, T., and Chenu, C.: High
 648 organic inputs explain shallow and deep SOC storage in a long-term agroforestry
 649 system combining experimental and modeling approaches, Biogeosciences., 15,
 650 297-317, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-297-2018, 2018.
- Chabbi, A., Lehmann, J., Ciais, P., Loescher, H. W., Cotrufo, M. F., Don, A., 651 652 SanClements, M., Schipper, L., Six, J., Smith, P., and Rumpel, C.: Aligning climate Nat. Clim. 7, 653 agriculture and policy, Chang., 307-309, 654 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3286, 2017.
- 655 Chen, J., Luo, Y., and Sinsabaugh, R. L.: Subsoil carbon loss, Nat. Geosci., 16, 284656 285, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01164-9, 2023.
- 657 Conant, R. T.. Challenges and opportunities for carbon sequestration in grassland
 658 systems: a technical report on grassland management and climate change
 659 mitigation, 2010.
- Conant, R. T., Cerri, C. E. P., Osborne, B. B., and Paustian, K.: Grassland management
 impacts on soil carbon stocks: a new synthesis, Ecol. Appl., 27, 662-668,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1473, 2017.
- Deng, L., Liu, G. B., and Shangguan, Z. P.: Land-use conversion and changing soil
 carbon stocks in China's 'Grain-for-Green' Program: a synthesis, Glob. Change
 Biol., 20, 3544-3556, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12508, 2014.
- Ding, J., Li, F., Yang, G., Chen, L., Zhang, B., Liu, L., Fang, K., Qin, S., Chen, Y., Peng,
- Y., Ji, C., He, H., Smith, P., and Yang, Y.: The permafrost carbon inventory on the
 Tibetan Plateau: a new evaluation using deep sediment cores, Glob. Change
 Biol., 22, 2688-2701, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13257, 2016.
- 670 Dixon, R. K., Solomon, A. M., Brown, S., Houghton, R. A., Trexier, M. C., and
- 671 Wisniewski, J.: Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems, Science., 263,
- 672 185-190, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.263.5144.185, 1994.

Don, A., Schumacher, J., and Freibauer, A.: Impact of tropical land-use change on soil
organic carbon stocks – a meta-analysis, Glob. Change Biol., Glob Change Biol.,
17, 1658-1670, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02336.x, 2011.

676JobbágyE.,andJackson.,R.B.:677The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and veg678etation, Ecol. Appl., 10, 423-436, https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761, 2000.

- Feng, J., He, K., Zhang, Q., Han, M., and Zhu, B.: Changes in plant inputs alter soil
 carbon and microbial communities in forest ecosystems, Glob. Change Biol.,28,
 3426-3440, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16107, 2022.
- Feng, J., Wu, J., Zhang, Q., Zhang, D., Li, Q., Long, C., Yang, F., Chen, Q., and Cheng,
 X.: Stimulation of nitrogen-hydrolyzing enzymes in soil aggregates mitigates
 nitrogen constraint for carbon sequestration following afforestation in subtropical
 China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 123, 136-144,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.05.013, 2018.
- Gale, M. R. and Grigal, D. F.: Vertical root distributions of northern tree species in
 relation to successional status, Can. J. For. Res., 17(8): 829-834,
 https://doi.org/10.1139/x87-131, 1987.
- Gao, D., Bai, E., Wang, S., Zong, S., Liu, Z., Fan, X., Zhao, C., and Hagedorn, F.:
 Three-dimensional mapping of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in soil microbial
 biomass and their stoichiometry at the global scale, Glob Change Biol., 28, 67286740, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16374, 2022.

694 García-Palacios, P., Crowther, T. W., Dacal, M., Hartley, I. P., Reinsch, S., Rinnan, R.,

- Rousk, J., Hoogen, J., Ye, J. S., and Bradford, M. A.: Evidence for large microbialmediated losses of soil carbon under anthropogenic warming, Nat. Rev. Earth
 Environ., 2(7), 507-517, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00178-4, 2021.
- 698 Georgiou, K., Jackson, R. B., Vindušková, O., Abramoff, R. Z., Ahlström, A., Feng, W.,
- Harden, J. W., Pellegrini, A. F. A., Polley, H. W., Soong, J. L., Riley, W. J., and
- 700 Torn, M. S.: Global stocks and capacity of mineral-associated soil organic carbon,
- 701 Nat. Commun., 13, 3797, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31540-9, 2022.

- 702 Griscom, B. W., Adams, J., Ellis, P. W., Houghton, R. A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D. A., 703 Schlesinger, W. H., Shoch, D., Siikamaki, J. V., Smith, P., Woodbury, P., Zganjar, 704 C., Blackman, A., Campari, J., Conant, R. T., Delgado, C., Elias, P., Gopalakrishna, 705 T., Hamsik, M. R., Herrero, M., Kiesecker, J., Landis, E., Laestadius, L., Leavitt, 706 S. M., Minnemeyer, S., Polasky, S., Potapov, P., Putz, F. E., Sanderman, J., Silvius, 707 M., Wollenberg, E., and Fargione, J.: Natural climate solutions, Proc. Natl. Acad. 708 Sci. U. S. A., 114, 11645-11650, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114, 2017. 709 Hartley, I. P., Hill, T. C., Chadburn, S. E., and Hugelius, G.: Temperature effects on 710 carbon storage are controlled by soil stabilisation capacities, Nat. Commun., 12, 711 6713, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27101-1, 2021. 712 Heckman, K. A., Possinger, A. R., Badgley, B. D., Bowman, M. M., Gallo, A. C., Hatten, 713 J. A., Nave, L. E., SanClements, M. D., Swanston, C. W., Weiglein, T. L., Wieder, W. R., and Strahm, B. D.: Moisture-driven divergence in mineral-associated soil 714
- 715 carbon persistence, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 120, e2210044120,
 716 https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.2210044120, 2023.
- Hicks Pries, C. E., Ryals, R., Zhu, B., Min, K., Cooper, A., Goldsmith, S., Pett-Ridge,
 J., Torn, M., and Berhe, A. A.: The deep soil organic carbon response to global
 change, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 54, 375-401,
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102320-085332, 2023.
- Huang, W., Kuzyakov, Y., Niu, S., Luo, Y., Sun, B., Zhang, J., and Liang, Y.: Drivers of
 microbially and plant-derived carbon in topsoil and subsoil, Glob. Change Biol.,
 29, 6188-6200, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16951, 2023.
- Jackson, R. B., Mooney, H. A., and Schulze, E. D.: A global budget for fine root biomass,
 surface area, and nutrient contents, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 94, 7362-7366,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.14.73, 1997.
- Jia, J., Cao, Z., Liu, C., Zhang, Z., Lin, L., Wang, Y., Haghipour, N., Wacker, L., Bao,
 H., Dittmar, T., Simpson, M. J., Yang, H., Crowther, T. W., Eglinton, T. I., He, J.
- 729 S., and Feng, X.: Climate warming alters subsoil but not topsoil carbon dynamics
- 730 in alpine grassland, Glob. Change Biol.,25, 4383-4393,
- 731 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14823, 2019.

- Karhu, K., Auffret, M. D., Dungait, J. A., Hopkins, D. W., Prosser, J. I., Singh, B. K.,
 Subke, J. A., Wookey, P. A., Ågren, G. I., Sebastia, M. T., Gouriveau. F., Bergkvist,
 G., Meir, P., Nottingham, A. T., Salinas, N., and Hartley, I. P.: Temperature
 sensitivity of soil respiration rates enhanced by microbial community response,
 Nature, 513(7516), 81-84, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13604, 2014.
- Lal, R.: Digging deeper: A holistic perspective of factors affecting soil organic carbon
 sequestration in agroecosystems, Glob Change Biol., 24, 3285-3301.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14054, 2018.
- Lal, R.: Managing soils for negative feedback to climate change and positive impact on
 food and nutritional security, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 66, 1-9,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2020.1718548, 2020.
- Lal, R., Monger, C., Nave, L., and Smith, P.: The role of soil in regulation of climate,
 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 376, 20210084,
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2021.0084, 2021.
- Lange, M., Eisenhauer, N., Chen, H., and Gleixner, G.: Increased soil carbon storage
 through plant diversity strengthens with time and extends into the subsoil, Glob.
 Change Biol., 29, 2627-2639, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16641, 2023.
- Li, J. Q., Pei, J. M., Pendall, E., Reich, P. B., Noh, N. J., Li, B., Fang, C. M., and Nie,
- M.: Spatial heterogeneity and environmental predictors of permafrost region soil
 organic carbon stocks, Sci. Adv., 7, eaaz5326,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001242, 2021.
- Liu, Y., Ge, T., van Groenigen, K. J., Yang, Y., Wang, P., Cheng, K., Zhu, Z., Wang,
 J.,Li, Y., Guggenberger, G., Sardans, J., Penuelas, J., Wu, J., and Kuzyakov, Y.:
 Rice paddy soils are a quantitatively important carbon store according to a global
 synthesis, Commun. Earth Environ., 2, 154, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-02100229-0, 2021.
- Liu, Z., Zhou, Q., Ma, Q., Kuang, W., Daryanto, S., Wang, L., Wu, J., Liu, B., Zhu, J.,
- 759 Cao, C., Li, X., Kou, Z., Shou, W., Qian, J., Liu, M., Xin, Z., Cui, X., and Liang,
- 760 W. Scale effect of climate factors on soil organic carbon stock in natural grasslands
- 761 of northern China, Ecol. Indic., 146, 109757,

- 762 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109757, 2023.
- Li, J., Ding, J., Yang, S., Zhao, L., Li, J., Huo, H., Wang, M., Tan, J., Cao, Y., Ren, S.,
 Liu, Y., and Wang, T.: Depth-dependent driver of global soil carbon turnover times,
 Soil Biol. Biochem., 185, 109149, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109149,
 2023.
- Li, S., Zhao, L., Wang, C., Huang, H., and Zhuang, M.: Synergistic improvement of
 carbon sequestration and crop yield by organic material addition in saline soil: A
 global meta-analysis, Sci. Total Environ., 891, 164530,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164530, 2023.
- Luo, Z., Wang, G., and Wang, E.: Global subsoil organic carbon turnover times
 dominantly controlled by soil properties rather than climate, Nat. Commun., 10,
 3688, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11597-9, 2019.
- Luo, Z., Luo, Y., Wang, G., Xia, J., and Peng, C.: Warming-induced global soil carbon
 loss attenuated by downward carbon movement, Glob Change Biol., 26, 7242776 7254, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15370, 2020.
- Malik, A. A., Puissant, J., Buckeridge, K. M., Goodall, T., Jehmlich, N., Chowdhury,
 S., Gweon, H. S., Peyton, J. M., Mason, K. E., van Agtmaal, M., Blaud, A., Clark,
 I. M., Whitaker, J., Pywell, R. F., Ostle, N., Gleixner, G., and Griffiths, R. I.: Land
 use driven change in soil pH affects microbial carbon cycling processes, Nat.
 Commun., 9, 3591, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05980-1, 2018.
- Melillo, J. M., Frey, S. D., DeAngelis, K. M., Werner, W. J., Bernard, M. J., Bowles, F.
 P., Pold, G., Knorr, M. A., and Grandy, A. S.: Long-term pattern and magnitude of
 soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world, Science,
 358(6359), 101-105, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan287, 2017.
- 786 Mishra, U., Hugelius, G., Shelef, E., Yang, Y. H., Strauss, J., Lupachev, A., Harden, J. 787 W., Jastrow, J. D., L., P. C., Riley, W. J., Schuur, E. A. G., Matamala, R., Siewert, 788 M., Nave, L. E., Koven, C. D., Fuchs, M., Palmtag, J., Kuhry, P., Treat, C. C., and 789 Zubrzycki, S.: Spatial heterogeneity and environmental predictors of permafrost 790 region soil organic carbon stocks, Sci. Adv., 7, 1-12, 791 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz5236, 2021.

- Padarian, J., Minasny, B., McBratney, A., and Smith, P.: Soil carbon sequestration
 potential in global croplands, PeerJ., 10, e13740,
 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13740, 2022.
- Patoine, G., Eisenhauer, N., Cesarz, S., Phillips, H. R. P., Xu, X., Zhang, L., and Guerra,
 C. A.: Drivers and trends of global soil microbial carbon over two decades, Nat.
 Commun., 13, 4195, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31833-z, 2022.
- Poeplau, C., Kätterer, T., Leblans, N. I., and Sigurdsson, B. D.: Sensitivity of soil carbon
 fractions and their specific stabilization mechanisms to extreme soil warming in a
 subarctic grassland, Global Change Biology, 23(3), 1316-1327,
- 801 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13491, 2017.
- Poffenbarger, H. J., Olk, D. C., Cambardella, C., Kersey, J., Liebman, M., Mallarino,
 A., Six, J., and Castellano, M. J.: Whole-profile soil organic matter content,
 composition, and stability under cropping systems that differ in belowground
 inputs, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 291 106810,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106810, 2020.
- Qin, S., Yuan, H., Hu, C., Li, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Dong, W., Clough, T., Luo, J.,
 Zhou, S., Wrage-Mönnig, N., Ma, L., and Oenema, O.: Anthropogenic N input
 increases global warming potential by awakening the "sleeping" ancient C in deep
- 810 critical zones, Sci. Adv., 9, 1-8, https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.add0041, 2023.
- 811 Reichenbach, M., Fiener, P., Hoyt, A., Trumbore, S., Six, J., and Doetterl, S.: Soil 812 carbon stocks in stable tropical landforms are dominated by geochemical controls 813 and not by land use. Glob. Change Biol., 29, 2591-2607, 814 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16622, 2023.
- Rodrigues, L., Hardy, B., Huyghebeart, B., Fohrafellner, J., Fornara, D., Barančíková,
 G., Bárcena, T. G., De Boever, M., Di Bene, C., Feizienė, D., Kätterer, T., Laszlo,
 P., O'Sullivan, L., Seitz, D., and Leifeld, J.: Achievable agricultural soil carbon
 sequestration across Europe from country-specific estimates, Glob. Change Biol.,
 27, 6363-6380, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15897, 2021.
- 820Roland Hiederer. and Köchy., M.: Global Soil Organic Carbon Estimates and the821HarmonizedWorldSoilDatabase,EuropeanUnion.,

- 822 https://doi.org/10.2788/13267, 2011.
- 823 Sanderman, J., Hengl, T., and Fiske, G. J.: Soil carbon debt of 12,000 years of human 824 land use. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 114, 9575-9580, 825 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706103114, 2017.
- Scharlemann, J. P. W., Tanner, E. V. J., Hiederer, R., and Kapos, V.: Global soil carbon:
 understanding and managing the largest terrestrial carbon pool, Carbon Manag., 5,
 81-91, https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.13.77, 2014.
- Sun, F., Fan, L., Deng, G., Kuzyakov, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Li, Y., Wang, F., Li, Z.,
 Tariq, A., Sardans, J., Penuelas, J., Wang, and M., Peng, C.: Responses of tropical
 forest soil organic matter pools to shifts in precipitation patterns, Soil Biol.
 Biochem., 197, 109530, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2024.109530, 2024.
- Soong, J. L., Castanha, C., Hicks Pries, C. E., Ofiti, N., Porras, R. C., Riley, W. J.,
 Schmidt, M. W. I., and Torn, M. S.: Five years of whole-soil warming led to loss
 of subsoil carbon stocks and increased CO₂ efflux, Sci. Adv., 7(21), doi:
 10.1126/sciadv.abd1343, 2021.
- Spohn, M., Bagchi, S., Biederman, L. A., Borer, E. T., Bråthen, K. A., Bugalho, M. N.,
 Caldeira, M. C., Catford, J. A., Collins, S. L., Eisenhauer, N., Hagenah, N., Haider,
- 839 S., Hautier, Y., Knops, J. M. H., Koerner, S. E., Laanisto, L., Lekberg, Y., Martina,
- J. P., Martinson, H., McCulley, R. L., Peri, P. L., Macek, P., Power, S. A., Risch,
- A. C., Roscher, C., Seabloom, E. W., Stevens, C., Veen, G. F., Virtanen, R., and
 Yahdjian, L.: The positive effect of plant diversity on soil carbon depends on
 climate, Nat. Commun., 14, 6624, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42340-0,
 2023.
- 845 Tang, X., Zhao, X., Bai, Y., Tang, Z., Wang, W., Zhao, Y., Wan, H., Xie, Z., Shi, X., Wu, 846 B., Wang, G., Yan, J., Ma, K., Du, S., Li, S., Han, S., Ma, Y., Hu, H., He, N., Yang, 847 Y., Han, W., He, H., Yu, G., Fang, J., and Zhou, G.: Carbon pools in China's terrestrial ecosystems: New estimates based on an intensive field survey, Proc. 848 849 Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 115, 4021-4026, 850 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700291115, 2018.
- 851 Tao, F., Huang, Y., Hungate, B. A., Manzoni, S., Frey, S. D., Schmidt, M. W. I.,

- 852 Reichstein, M., Carvalhais, N., Ciais, P., Jiang, L., Lehmann, J., Wang, Y. P.,
- 853 Houlton, B. Z., Ahrens, B., Mishra, U., Hugelius, G., Hocking, T. D., Lu, X., Shi,
- Z., Viatkin, K., Vargas, R., Yigini, Y., Omuto, C., Malik, A. A., Peralta, G., Cuevas-
- 855 Corona, R., Di Paolo, L. E., Luotto, I., Liao, C., Liang, Y. S., Saynes, V. S., Huang,
- X., and Luo, Y.: Microbial carbon use efficiency promotes global soil carbon
 storage, Nature., 618, 981-985, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06042-3,
 2023.
- Wang, C., Qu, L., Yang, L., Liu, D., Morrissey, E., Miao, R., Liu, Z., Wang, Q., Fang,
 Y., and Bai, E.: Large-scale importance of microbial carbon use efficiency and
 necromass to soil organic carbon, Glob. Change Biol., 27, 2039-2048,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15550, 2021a.
- Wang, D., Wu, T., Zhao, L., Mu, C., Li, R., Wei, X., Hu, G., Zou, D., Zhu, X., Chen, J.,
 Hao, J., Ni, J., Li, X., Ma, W., Wen, A., Shang, C., La, Y., Ma, X., and Wu, X.: A
 1 km resolution soil organic carbon dataset for frozen ground in the Third
 Pole, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 13, 3453-3465, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-34532021b.
- Wang, J., Wei, X., Jia, X., Huang, M., Liu, Z., Yao, Y., and Shao, M.: An empirical
 approach to predict regional organic carbon in deep soils, Sci. China Earth Sci.,
 66, 583-593, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-022-1032-2, 2023.
- Wang, M., Guo, X., Zhang, S., Xiao, L., Mishra, U., Yang, Y., Zhu, B., Wang, G., Mao,
 X., Qian, T., Jiang, T., Shi, Z., and Luo, Z.: Global soil profiles indicate depthdependent soil carbon losses under a warmer climate, Nat. Commun., 13, 5514,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33278-w, 2022a.
- 875 Wang, Z., Yin, Y., Wang, Y., Tian, X., Ying, H., Zhang, Q., Xue, Y., Oenema, O., Li, S., 876 Zhou, F., Du, M., Ma, L., Batchelor, W. D., Zhang, F., and Cui, Z.: Integrating crop 877 redistribution and improved management towards meeting China's food demand 3, 1031-1039, 878 with lower environmental costs, Nat Food., 879 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-022-00646-0, 2022b.
- 880 Wang, H., Yin, Y., Cai, T., Tian, X., Chen, Z., He, K., Wang, Z., Gong, H., Miao, Q.,
- 881 Wang, Y., Chu, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhuang, M., and Cui, Z.: Global patterns of soil

- 882 organic carbon distribution in the 20–100 cm soil profile for different ecosystems:
- 883Aglobalmeta-analysis[Dataset],Zenodo.884https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14787023, 2025.
- Wen, S., Chen, J., Yang, Z., Deng, L., Feng, J., Zhang, W., Zeng, X., Huang, Q.,
 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., and Liu, Y.: Climatic seasonality challenges the stability
 of microbial-driven deep soil carbon accumulation across China, Glob. Change
 Biol., 29, 4430-4439, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16760, 2023.
- Wu, J., Liu, S., Peng, C., Luo, Y., Terrer, C., Yue, C., Peng, S., Li, J., Wang, B.,
 Shangguan, Z., and Deng, L.: Future soil organic carbon stocks in China under
 climate change, Cell Reports Sustainability., 1(9), 100179.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crsus.2024.100179, 2024.
- 893 Xia, S., Song, Z., Li, Q., Guo, L., Yu, C., Singh, B. P., Fu, X., Chen, C., Wang, Y., and 894 Wang, H.: Distribution, sources, and decomposition of soil organic matter along a 895 salinity gradient in estuarine wetlands characterized by C:N ratio, $\delta 13C-\delta 15N$, and biomarker. 27. 896 lignin Glob. Change Biol., 417-434, 897 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15403, 2021.
- Xiao, L., Wang, G., Chang, J., Chen, Y., Guo, X., Mao, X., Wang, M., Zhang, S., Shi,
 Z., Luo, Y., Cheng, L., Yu, K., Mo, F., and Luo, Z.: Global depth distribution of
 belowground net primary productivity and its drivers. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 32,
- 901 1435–1451. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13705, 2023.
- Xu, X., Shi, Z., Li, D., Zhou, X., Sherry, R. A., and Luo, Y.: Plant community structure
 regulates responses of prairie soil respiration to decadal experimental warming,
 Glob. Change Biol., 21(10), 3846-3853, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12940, 2015.
- Xu, X., Thornton, P. E., and Post, W. M.: A global analysis of soil microbial biomass
 carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial ecosystems, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.,
 22, 737-749, https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12029, 2013.
- Xu, Y., Zhou, J., Liu, C., Jia, R., Ji, H., Dippold, M. A., Zhao, T., Pavinato, P. S., Peixoxo,
 L., Yang, Y., Sui, P., Zeng, Z., and Zang, H.: Long-term rotational and perennial
 cropping benefit soil organic carbon stocks and ecosystem multifunctionality, Ind.
 - 32

- 911 Crop. Prod., 209, 117980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117980, 2024.
- 912 Yang, Y., Luo, Y., and Finzi, A. C.: Carbon and nitrogen dynamics during forest stand
- 913 development: a global synthesis, New Phytol., 190, 977-989,
 914 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03645.x, 2011.
- Yin, Y., He, K., Chen, Z., Li, Y., Ren, F., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Gong, H., Zhu, Q., Shen,
 J., Liu, X., and Cui, Z.: Agricultural green development to coordinate food security
 and carbon reduction in the context of China's dual carbon goals, Front. Agric.
 Sci. Eng., 10(2): 262–267, https://doi.org/10.15302/j-fase-2023496, 2023.
- 919 von Fromm, S. F., Hoyt, A. M., Sierra, C. A., Georgiou, K., Doetterl, S., and Trumbore,
- S. E.: Controls and relationships of soil organic carbon abundance and persistence
 vary across pedo-climatic regions, Glob. Change Biol., 30, e17320.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17320, 2024.
- Zhang, Y., Guo, X., Chen, L., Kuzyakov, Y., Wang, R., Zhang, H., Han, X., Jiang, Y.,
 and Sun, O.: Global pattern of organic carbon in forest soils, Glob. Change Biol.,
 30(6): e17386, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17386, 2024.
- 926 Zhou, Z., Ren, C., Wang, C., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Luo, Y., Luo, Z., Du, Z., Zhu, B.,
- Yang, Y., Jiao, S., Zhao, F., Cai, A., Yang, G., and Wei, G.: Global turnover of soil
 mineral-associated and particulate organic carbon, Nat. Commun., 15, 5329,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-02075-3, 2024.
- Zosso, C. U., Ofiti, N. O. E., Torn, M. S., Wiesenberg, G. L. B., and Schmidt, M. W. I.:
 Rapid loss of complex polymers and pyrogenic carbon in subsoils under wholesoil warming, Nat Geosci., 16, 344-348, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-02301142-1, 2023.

33