1 Global patterns of soil organic carbon distribution in the 20–100 cm soil profile

2 for different ecosystems: A global meta-analysis

Haiyan Wang^{1,2}, Yulong Yin^{1, *}, Tingyao Cai¹, Xingshuai Tian¹, Zhong Chen¹, Kai He¹, 3 Zihan Wang¹, Haiqing Gong¹, Qi Miao¹, Yingcheng Wang¹, Yiyan Chu¹, Qingsong 4 Zhang^{1,2,*}, Minghao Zhuang¹, Zhenling Cui^{1,*} 5 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Nutrient Use and Management, College of Resources and 6 Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, 100193 Beijing, China 7 ² Sanya Institute of China Agricultural University, 572025 Sanya, China 8 *Corresponding author: Zhenling Cui. Email: cuizl@cau.edu.cn 9 Yulong Yin. Email: yinyulong88221@163.com 10 Qingsong Zhang. Email: zhangqs@cau.edu.cn 11 12 Manuscript submitted to: Earth System Science Data 13

14 Abstract

Determining the distribution of soil organic carbon (SOC) in subsoil (depth of 20-100 15 16 cm) is important with respect to the global C cycle and warming mitigation. However, there is still a huge knowledge gap in the dynamics of spatiotemporal changes in SOC 17 in this layer. Combining traditional depth functions and machine-learning methods, we 18 achieved soil β values (the relative rate of decrease in the SOC density with soil depth), 19 and SOC dynamics at high resolution for global ecosystems (cropland, grassland, and 20 forestland). First, we quantified the spatial variability characteristics of soil β values, 21 which indicated the rate at which SOC density decreases with soil depth, and driving 22 23 factors by analyzing 17984 soil profiles (0-100 cm) of globally distributed field observations. Then, based on multiple environmental variables and soil profile data, we 24 25 mapped the grid-level soil β values with machine-learning approaches. Lastly, we evaluated the SOC density spatial distribution in different soil layers to determine the 26 subsoil SOC stocks of various ecosystems. The subsoil SOC density values of cropland, 27 grassland, and forestland were 62 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 52-73), 70 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 57-28 83), and 97 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 80-117), respectively. SOC density decreases with 29 increasing depth, ranging from 30 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 26-35) to 5 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 4-30 7) (at depth intervals of 20-100 cm, in 20 cm increments) for cropland, from 32 Mg ha⁻¹ 31 (95% CI: 27-37) to 7 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 5-9) for grassland, and from 40 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% 32 CI: 34-46) to 13 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 9-17) for forestland. The global subsoil SOC stock 33 was 803 Pg C (95% CI:661-962) (cropland, grassland, and forestland were 74 Pg C (95% 34 CI:62-88), 181 Pg C (95% CI:148-215), and 547 Pg C (95% CI:451-660)), in which an 35 36 average of 57% resided in the top 0–100 cm of the soil profile. This study provides information on the vertical distribution and spatial patterns of SOC density at a 10 km 37 resolution across global ecosystems, providing a scientific basis for future studies 38 pertaining to Earth system models. The dataset is open-access and available at 39 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14787023 (Wang et al., 2025). 40

41 Keyword: Subsoil SOC distribution; Soil profiles; Random Forest; Driving factors;
42 Global ecosystems

43 **1. Introduction**

Soil organic carbon (SOC) plays a pivotal role in global C cycling, climate change 44 mitigation, reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, while simultaneously 45 supporting ecosystem health (Bradford et al., 2016; Lal et al., 2021; Griscom et al., 46 2017). Subsoil, defined here as the soil layer below 20 cm, contains over half of the 47 global SOC stock (Jobbágy & Jackson, 2000; Poffenbarger et al., 2020; Batjes, 1996). 48 Worldwide, the extensive loss of SOC through agricultural practices such as crop 49 50 production and grazing has substantially contributed to rising atmospheric CO₂ levels (Beillouin et al., 2023; Lal, 2020; Qin et al., 2023). Complex polymeric carbon in 51 52 subsoil is vulnerable to decomposition under future warming. Specifically, ecological or trophic limitations of SOC biodegradation in deep soil layers can lead to sharp 53 54 declines in the nutrient supply and biodiversity (Chen et al., 2023). Subsoil is better suited to long-term C sequestration than topsoil. The '4 per 1000' initiative aims to 55 56 boost SOC storage in agricultural soils by 0.4% annually, offering a potential pathway for mitigate climate change and increase food security (Chabbi et al., 2017). Therefore, 57 we think that soils could act as a large sink for fossil-fuel-derived carbon if subsoil 58 carbon sequestration is promoted, particularly in agricultural and managed lands 59 (Button et al., 2022). Despite the importance of subsoil organic carbon dynamics, we 60 were still poorly understood, especially at large scale (Padarian et al., 2022). This is 61 primarily due to the challenges associated with measuring SOC at greater depths, which 62 is difficult, time-consuming and labor-intensive. 63

64 Recent studies have focused on SOC allocation and dynamics at varied depths and the 65 subsoil SOC-Climate feedback cycle of terrestrial ecosystems (Luo et al., 2019; Jia et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). The complexity, uncertainty, and large spatial heterogeneity 66 67 of SOC stock estimation have limited the ability to accurately quantify the SOC stock distribution (Mishra et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022a). To date, three primary methods 68 are commonly used to estimate large-scale SOC stocks: 1) area-weighted averaging 69 based on vegetation inventories and soil survey data (Tang et al., 2018). 2) machine-70 71 learning based on remote-sensing, land-use, and edaphic data and climatic factors as covariates (Ding et al., 2016). 3) depth distribution function-based empirical analysis 72 73 (Wang et al., 2023). The first approach provides the most accurate measurement of the 74 SOC stock, but is time-consuming and labor intensive and is not practical at the global scale. The latter two do not fully consider the vertical distribution of the soil profile or 75

the soil properties of various ecosystems. Extrapolating surface SOC measurements from 0–40 cm or 0–50 cm to predict subsoil SOC at greater depths, such as 0–100 cm or 0–200 cm, introduces significant uncertainty, hindering precise estimation of the global subsoil SOC stock (Wang et al., 2023; Ding et al., 2016).

80 Studies of whole-soil profiles have recorded greater changes in the SOC dynamics of the subsoil under warming (Zosso et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2020; Soong et al., 2021). 81 The amount and quality of C in input soil, such as aboveground litter and root biomass 82 input, could profoundly alter the vertical SOC distribution (Lange et al., 2023; Feng et 83 al., 2022). The β model, in particular, uses simple and flexible functions that capture 84 the relative slope of depth profiles with a single parameter, with the advantage of being 85 able to integrate SOC values from the surface down to a given depth (Jobbágy and 86 Jackson., 2000). The β model was originally applied to vertical root distributions and 87 has been used to fit the steepest reductions with depth (Gale and Grigal, 1987; Jackson 88 89 et al., 1997). Some researchers have used the global average β of 0.9786 to calculate deep soil SOC stocks (Yang et al., 2011; Deng et al., 2014). However, the different 90 91 hydrological conditions, soil type, and ground/underground organic matter have limited the ability to resolve the SOC depth distribution with confidence. 92

93 In this study, we produced spatially resolved global estimates of the depth distribution 94 and stocks of subsoil SOC using the β model as a depth distribution function-based empirical approach for evaluating cropland, grassland, and forestland ecosystems on a 95 96 global scale. First, we collected and analyzed 17984 soil profiles (0–100 cm) of globally 97 distributed observations from 14535 sites to estimate the SOC vertical distribution (soil β values). Then we developed a random forest (RF) model to estimate the spatial 98 variation in grid-level soil β values in the associated ecosystems to resolve the dynamics 99 of the SOC density in different soil layers and subsoil stocks of the global ecosystems. 100

- 101 **2. Methods**
- 102 2.1. Data collection

We conducted peer-reviewed literatures review of studies previously published on SOC stock or SOC content of soil profile between 1980 and 2022 to obtain a database. The Web of Science and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database were searched (article abstracts and key words) using the terms "Soil organic carbon" AND

"subsoil" AND "Soil profile" AND "Deep soil" The criteria were as follows: (1) The 107 research scope is worldwide. (2) The study was conducted in the field. (3) The profiles 108 of multiple sites are reported in the same literature, and the profile of each site is 109 considered as an independent study. (4) Profiles with more than three suitable 110 measurements of organic carbon in the first meter were collected from the analysis for 111 112 there was sufficient detail to characterize the vertical distribution of SOC. (5) The data extracted from included basic site information including location latitude and longitude, 113 soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), soil bulk density (BD), soil pH and CN 114 115 ratio, Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen (MC), Microbial biomass nitrogen (MN), and MC: MN, soil clay content, climate conditions (mean annual precipitation (MAP) 116 and mean annual temperature (MAT)). If the soil organic matter (SOM) rather than SOC 117 was reported, the value was converted to SOC by multiplication with a conversion 118 factor of 0.58 (Don et al., 2011). To extract data presented graphically, the digital 119 120 software GetData Graph Digitizer 2.25 (getdata-graph-digitizer.com) was used. A total of 161 peer-reviewed papers comprising 1,221 soil profiles were included in this dataset, 121 122 including 758 for cropland, 219 for forestland, and 244 for grassland. Additionally, an expanded dataset was sourced from the WoSIS Soil Profile Database, contributing 123 124 7,636 profiles for cropland, 4,534 for forestland, and 4,593 for grassland. The spatial distribution of these profiles is shown in Figure 1. Missing soil and climate factor data 125 from a few sites were either provided by the study authors through direct 126 correspondence, or obtained from the spatial datasets (section 2.2), based on latitude 127 and longitude. These data were analyzed to determine the impact of the environment 128 on soil β values and develop a model to predict global grid-level β values, subsequently, 129 soil profiles SOC density, and calculate SOC stocks. 130

131 2.2 Calculation of soil attributes from literature-derived database

Since the 0-1 m soil profile has different layers in the row data, mass-preserving spline 132 method (R Package 'mpspline2') was used to divide the soil profiles into 5 layers with 133 20 cm interval. This function implements for continuous down-profile estimates of soil 134 135 attributes (SOC, TN, Clay, MC, MN, etc.) measured over discrete, often discontinuous depth intervals. In some studies, bulk density data below the 20 cm soil layer were 136 lacking. Notable differences in global SOC stocks estimations were attributed to the 137 values used for soil bulk density. Therefore, we use the database issued by predecessors 138 to generate bulk density data with 0-1m profile at 20 cm interval (Shangguan et al., 139

2014). To calculate SOC content, it is necessary to supplement SOC density with bulk
density data. The equations used to calculate SOC at each research site was the
following:

143
$$SOC \ density = SOC * BD * D * (1 - GC/100)/10$$
 [1]

where SOC is the SOC concentration (g kg⁻¹), BD is the soil bulk density (g cm⁻³), and D is the thickness of the soil layer (at intervals of 20 cm in the first meter), SOC density

146 (Mg C ha⁻¹). GC (>2 mm) is the gravel content (%).

147 **2.3** Calculation of soil β values from literature-derived database

To enhance the comparability of data from different studies, the corresponding soil β values were calculated using Equation 2, which follows the methodology adopted by Yang et al. (2011). The SOC density in the top 0-100 cm was calculated from the initial depth SOC density using Equation 3, which was developed by Jobbágy & Jackson (2000). The equations are as follows:

$$Y = 1 - \beta^d$$
 [2]

154
$$X_{100} = \frac{1 - \beta^{100}}{1 - \beta^{d_0}} * X_{d0}$$
[3]

where Y represents the cumulative proportion of the SOC density from the soil surface to depth d (cm); β is the relative rate of decrease in the SOC density with soil depth; A lower β indicates a steeper decline with depth. X₁₀₀ denotes the SOC density within the upper 100 cm; d₀ represents the depth of the 0-20 cm soil layer; (cm); and X_{d0} is the SOC density of the top 20 cm soil depth.

160 2.4 Spatial gridded datasets

The gridded datasets included forestland, grassland, and cropland areas, climate factors 161 and soil properties. Areas of cropland, forestland, and grassland were obtained from 162 Global Agro-Ecological Zones (GAEZ, https://gaez.fao.org/) at a resolution at 0.083° 163 \times 0.083°. The MAP and MAT were acquired from the Climatic Research Unit Time 164 (CRU TS 4.05; 165 Series ver. (https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/hrg/cru ts 4.05/cruts.2103051243.v4.05/). The 166 spatial SOC, total N, soil clay contents, and soil pH and gravel content were acquired 167 from the Harmonized World Soil Database ver. 1.2 (https://www.fao.org/soils-168 portal/data-hub/soil-lassification/worldreference-base/en/). MC and MN data were 169

obtained from this study (Xu et al., 2013). The BD and gravel content (GC) datasets of
the whole soil profile was acquired from Harmonized World Soils Database version 2.0
(HWSD v2.0) (<u>https://gaez.fao.org/pages/hwsd</u>), whose resolution is 1 km. The
belowground net primary productivity (BNPP) data were sourced from Xiao et al.
(2023). All data were resampled at 0.083° resolution using the "raster" R package
(<u>https://rspatial.org/raster</u>).

176 **2.5** Application of RF modeling to predict spatial β values

We reconstruct the relationships among multiple factors, cropland, grassland and 177 forestland soil β values by RF algorithm. The developed RF models were used to predict 178 179 grid-level soil β values for each ecosystem. Prior to constructing the RF model, the optimal parameter values of m_{try} and *ntrees* were determined through the bootstrap 180 sampling method, which was performed with the "e1071" R package. Predictions of 181 soil β values derived by RF and random-effects regression models were evaluated by 182 10-fold cross-validation. The dataset was divided into 10 subsets of equal size, of which 183 70% were used for model fitting and RF procedures, then predicted with the fitted 184 models using the remaining 30% of the data. The performance of RF models was 185 evaluated based on the coefficient of determination (R^2) and root mean square error 186 (RMSE) according to those following equations: 187

188
$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{q} (y_{p} - \hat{y}_{p})^{2}}{\sum_{p=1}^{q} (y_{p} - \bar{y})^{2}}$$
[4]

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{q} (y_p - \hat{y}_p)^2}{q}}$$
[5]

190 where y_p represents an observed value (p = 1, 2, 3, ...), \hat{y}_p represents the 191 corresponding predicted value (p = 1, 2, 3, ...), \bar{y} represents the mean value of 192 observed values, and q represents the total number of observed values.

193 2.6 Estimating global SOC density and SOC stocks ecosystems across different 194 ecosystems

195 To reveal the dynamics of SOC with depth, we used the globally predicted β values for 196 cropland, grassland, and forestland ecosystems in Equation 3 to calculate cumulative 197 SOC density at specific depths (e.g., 40, 60, 80, and 100 cm). Based on these cumulative 198 values, the SOC density for each 20 cm interval as calculated by subtracting the 199 cumulative SOC density of the shallower depth from the deeper depth. Subsequently, the total carbon stocks for different ecosystems worldwide were calculated by
multiplying the SOC density by the corresponding land area (see Equation 6).

202 $SOC \ stocks = SOC \ density * S_{ecosystem}$ [6]

Where S_{ecosystem} is the areas of cropland, grassland or forestland (ha), SOC stocks (Pg
C).

205 2.7 Uncertainty analysis

A Monte Carlo simulation was used to estimate the overall uncertainty in the estimated 206 spatial SOC density. The uncertainty mainly came from be soil β estimation-related 207 parameters and the RF model. Input parameters in the RF model prediction followed 208 independent normal distributions by assuming the grid value as the mean value and its 209 10 % as the standard deviation. Then, 1,000 random samplings were used to obtain the 210 interval of each grid via Monte Carlo simulations. The sampling value was then used 211 to run the RF model to predict the grid-level soil β with 100 bootstraps to run the RF 212 model. Then we used predicted grid-level soil β to recalculated the distribution of SOC 213 density (SOCD) across different ecosystem. Finally, we calculated the mean along with 214 the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles to establish the 95% confidence interval of SOC density 215 and SOC stocks. 216

217

$$U_i = \frac{CI_i}{x_i} \tag{7}$$

218 Where x_i is the mean of prediction, CI_i is the confidence interval of x_i , U_i is the 219 uncertainty

220 **2.8 Data management and analyses**

One-way analysis of variance at P < 0.05 was applied to identify significant differences 221 in soil β values using SPSS ver. 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. We 222 223 made a database of peer-reviewed publications with Excel 2010 software (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). Weather data analyses were performed using MATLAB 224 R2017a software (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Weather data were analyzed 225 using MATLAB R2017a (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). R software (ver. 3.5.1; R 226 Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria) was used to generate graphs. A publicly 227 available map of China was obtained from the Resource and Environment Data Cloud 228 Platform (http://www.resdc.cn). All map-related operations were implemented using 229 230 ArcGIS 10.2 software (http://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis). All algorithms implemented using the random Forest R package in the R software environment (ver. 3.5.1; R
Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

233 **3. Results**

234 **3.1** Soil β values of the three global ecosystems based on field measurements

We analyzed 17,984 globally distributed soil profiles (0-100 cm) from 14,535 sites, 235 including 5,931 cropland, 4,206 grassland, and 4,398 forestland sites (Figure 1) to 236 237 estimate soil β values, which represent the relative rate of decrease in SOC density with 238 soil depth. This included an additional 8,394 profiles for cropland, 4,753 for forestland, and 4,837 for grassland, obtained from the literature and the WoSIS Soil Profile 239 240 Database. The average soil β values across all observations were 0.9731 for cropland, 0.9772 for grassland, and 0.9790 for forestland (Figure S1), with significant differences 241 observed among the ecosystems. 242



243

Figure 1. Geographic location of the study sites included in the meta-analysis of the 0–100 cm soil profiles. Red, yellow, and blue dots represent cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

247 **3.2** Impact of soil and climate variables on soil β values

- 248 The soil β value is significantly influenced by the combined effects of various climatic,
- biological, and soil factors. MAT, MAP and BNPP were the most influential driver of
- β values (Figure S2). Higher MAT promoted increases in soil β values and higher MAP
- 251 promoted decreases; however, when the MAT was about 20°C and MAP was about

1000 mm, the soil β values growth and decline rate was substantially reduced (Figures 252 2A and B). BNPP demonstrated a nonlinear relationship: β values decreased with 253 increasing BNPP levels, when BNPP was below 1.5 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and exceed 2 Mg ha⁻¹ 254 ¹ yr⁻¹, the soil β values decreased sharply (Figure 2C). The regression between CN, MC, 255 MN, TN, pH and soil β values was parabolic. When CN >10, MC >100 mg/kg, MN >20 256 mg/kg, TN >3 g/kg and pH <6, the soil β value promoted decreased (Figures D, E, F, 257 G and H). β values remained relatively stable across most clay percentages but showed 258 a decrease when clay content exceeded 30% (Figure 2I). Through comparison and 259 260 analysis, we ultimately selected 9 significant factors (BNPP, pH, Clay, MAT, MAP, TN, MN, MC, CN) for modeling based on their importance and explanatory power 261 (Figure S2). 262



263

Figure 2. Plots A–I show the variables affecting soil β values. MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; BNPP, belowground net primary productivity; CN, the ratio of SOC to TN; MC, microbial biomass carbon; MN, microbial biomass nitrogen; TN, soil total nitrogen; pH, soil pH; Clay, clay content.

268 Shaded bands indicate 95% confidence intervals, and the dashed lines represent the 269 average soil β values.

270 **3.3 Performance of the random forest regression model**

We developed an RF regression model using machine learning techniques to determine 271 grid-level soil β values on a global scale. The model included 9 significant factors 272 (BNPP, pH, Clay, MAT, MAP, TN, MN, MC, CN), as well as the corresponding high-273 spatial-resolution raster datasets (Figures S3–S5). The model performed well, with an 274 adjusted coefficient of determination (R²) of 0.85, 0.86, and 0.90 for cropland, 275 grassland, and forestland, respectively, and the RMSE values are all less than 0.01 276 (Figure 3A, B and C). The predictions and measurements of all samples were also 277 distributed close to the 1:1 line. These validations suggest that the trained RF model is 278 capable of capturing and predicting the spatial pattern of the soil β value on a global 279 scale. 280



281

Figure 3. Grid-level maps showing the predicted global soil β values. Plots A–C reflect the performance of the random forest model as evaluated by the correlation between the observed and predicted responses of soil β values. Plots D–F illustrate the predicted spatial variability of predicted soil β values in cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

287 **3.4 Mapping the global grid-level soil β value**

We predicted the global soil β value using the RF model for 4,057,524 integrated grid-288 289 level, high-spatial-resolution soil and climate raster datasets (cropland, n = 832,827; forestland, n = 1,695,053; and grassland, n = 1,529,644). The average values were 290 0.9716 (95% CI: 0.9692-0.9738), 0.9762 (95% CI: 0.9656-0.9831), and 0.9792 (95% 291 CI: 0.9687-0.9877) for cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively, with CVs of 292 293 4.73%, 1.79%, and 1.94% (Figure 3D, E and F). The ($\beta = 0.9786$) reported by Jobbágy & Jackson (2000) falls within the 95% confidence intervals of grassland and forestland, 294 but not cropland. The results of the predicted soil β indicate that the steeper decline in 295 SOC stocks with increasing depth was greatest for cropland, followed by grassland and 296 297 forestland.

The spatial distribution of soil β values across cropland, grassland, and forest 298 ecosystems reveals both commonalities and notable differences. High β values are 299 300 predominantly distributed in tropical and subtropical regions, including parts of South America, Oceania, and sub-Saharan Africa, whereas low β values are mainly 301 concentrated in temperate regions, particularly in northern and western Europe and 302 eastern and northern North America. Notably, the distribution of high β values varies 303 304 across ecosystems. High β values are primarily observed in sub-Saharan Africa, central 305 North America, and southern Oceania in cropland (Figure 3D). For grassland, mainly concentrated in southeastern South America, southern Africa, and Oceania (Figure 3E). 306 Forestland exhibited the most extensive distribution of high β values, spanning southern 307 South America, central and southern Africa, and Oceania (excluding the central region) 308 (Figure 3F). 309

310 Low β values show slight variation: cropland exhibits a more confined range of low 311 values, mainly in northwestern Europe, while grassland and forestland display broader 312 areas of low values, particularly across eastern and northern North America. These 313 patterns underscore the geographic variability of soil β values, reflecting the complex interplay between environmental and ecological factors shaping these spatialdistributions.

316 **3.5** Spatial variability of the SOC density in subsoil

The estimated values for the global average SOC density of cropland, grassland, and 317 forestland 62 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:52-73), 70 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:57-83), and 97 Mg ha⁻¹ 318 (95% CI:80-117), respectively, for the 20–100 cm layer (Table S1), with considerable 319 spatial variation on the global scale (Figure 4). The larger the soil β value, the more 320 rapidly the SOC density decreased with an increase in soil depth. Spatially, there was 321 geographic variability in the SOC density depending on ecosystems. The higher values 322 323 exhibited similar spatial patterns in each ecosystems type and were distributed mainly in northern and western Europe and northern and eastern North America. 324

325 For cropland, lower SOC density values were predominantly distributed in Eastern and 326 Southwestern Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, Southern Africa, Central North America, and Southern Oceania. In contrast, higher SOC density values were mainly concentrated in 327 temperate regions, such as parts of Europe, Northern North America, and some regions 328 in South America (Figure 4A). For grassland, SOC density showed significant spatial 329 variation, with lower values primarily distributed in Eastern and Southwestern Asia, 330 Eastern and Southern South America, and Oceania. In contrast, higher values were 331 332 concentrated in temperate regions, such as Northern and Western Europe, Northern North America (Figure 4B). For forestland, SOC density displayed clear spatial 333 heterogeneity. Lower values were primarily distributed in Northern South America, 334 Central and Southern Africa, Northeastern Africa, and the Central region of Oceania, 335 336 areas often characterized by tropical or subtropical climates with rapid organic matter 337 decomposition rates (Figure 4C). In contrast, higher values were predominantly found in temperate and boreal forest regions, including northern and Western Europe, 338 Northern North America, and parts of Eastern Asia. The spatial variation in SOC 339 density at multiple depths (20-40, 40-60, 60-80, and 80-100 cm) was also estimated 340 (Figures S6–S8), which exhibited a decreasing trend with increasing depth. 341



342

Figure 4. Grid-level maps showing the predicted global subsoil SOC density for the

344 20–100 cm soil layer. A–C represents cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

345 D shows the SOC density in soil profiles of cropland, grassland, and forestland.

346 **3.6** Uncertainty analysis of subsoil SOC density across ecosystems

Overall, regions with high uncertainty are concentrated in tropical and subtropical areas, such as sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, the Amazon region of South America, and parts of Oceania. In contrast, regions with low uncertainty are primarily located in temperate and boreal areas, including northern Europe, Northern North America, and Northern Asia. Among them, forestland exhibits slightly higher SOC density prediction uncertainty (38%) compared to grassland (37%) and cropland (34%) (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Grid-level maps illustrating the uncertainty of predicted global subsoil SOC
density. A–C represents cropland, grassland, and forestland, respectively.

- 355 density. A–C represents cropland, grassland, and for
- 356 **4. Discussion**

357 4.1 Comparison of high-resolution SOC dynamics

Global estimations of SOC stock reported in the literature exhibit considerable variation. 358 The estimated SOC stocks for cropland, grassland, and forestland (Table 1) in our study 359 align closely with previous studies (Liu et al., 2021; Conant, 2010; Dixon et al., 1994). 360 The SOC stock of all land in the 0–100 cm soil layer was 1418 Pg (95% CI:1276-1577), 361 which was slightly lower than the estimate reported by Sanderman et al. (2017) and 362 Batjes. (1996). However, we believe that our estimation was not underestimated. This 363 discrepancy may be due to the overestimation in (Sanderman et al., 2017), which could 364 be attributed to the suboptimal quality of the training dataset used in their spatial 365 prediction models ($R^2=0.54$). Earlier assessments (Batjes, 1996) relied on databases 366 that included very few soil profiles from regions such as North America, Oceania, or 367 the northern temperate zones. The subsoil SOC stock of all land was 803 Pg (95% 368 369 CI:661-962), which was consistent with other research results (Scharlemann et al., 2014; Roland Hiederer. and Köchy., 2011; Zhou et al, 2024). We found that the subsoil 370 371 contains 57% of total SOC stock in the top 0-1 m soil layer, which is consistent with the percentages cited in previous works (47–55%) (Lal, 2018; Balesdent et al., 2018). 372 373 Overall, this demonstrates the feasibility and accuracy of our methodology, with the estimations proving to be relatively accurate 374

375 Similar to the findings of Tao et al. (2023) our study reveals a global SOC density pattern with lower values at low latitudes and higher values at high latitudes. The 376 377 vertical migration of organic matter is notably more pronounced in northern permafrost regions compared to other areas. For cropland, consistent with the estimates by Wu et 378 al. (2024) the spatial variation in relative SOC density across China shows higher 379 carbon densities in the Northeast Plain, the Yangtze River Basin, and the southeastern 380 hills, while lower values are observed in the arid regions of Northwest China (e.g., the 381 Taklamakan Desert) and the North China Plain. This pattern aligns well with the trends 382 identified in our study. The FAO report "Global Assessment of Grassland Soil Carbon: 383 Current Stocks and Sequestration Potential" aligns with our findings, highlighting high 384 grassland carbon stocks in central China, Northern Russia, Northern Asia, Southeastern 385 South America, and Central North America. However, our study also identifies Europe 386 as having significant carbon stocks. This is mainly because temperate climate, 387 particularly in Northern and Western Europe, is humid and mild, providing favorable 388 conditions for the formation and accumulation of soil organic matter. Unlike croplands 389 390 and grasslands, forestlands are long-lasting vegetation types, with SOC strongly shaped 391 by local environmental conditions. Zhang et al. (2024) predicted forest SOC stocks 392 across climatic zones and soil types, showing higher stocks in Europe, Russia, and 393 Canada. Mediterranean and temperate regions also have higher SOC than 394 tropical/subtropical regions, consistent with our findings, though their study only 395 considers surface soil.

Additionally, we observed higher SOC density in boreal forests and tundra regions, 396 showing spatial variability consistent with the spatial variation in carbon turnover times 397 reported in other study (Li et al., 2023), particularly in northern high-latitude permafrost 398 and tundra areas. This suggests that in low-temperature environments, longer soil 399 carbon turnover times, and lower microbial activity reduce the decomposition rate of 400 soil organic matter, allowing more SOC to accumulate. The highest SOC density and 401 microbial C/N ratios were found at high latitudes in tundra and boreal forests, probably 402 due to the higher levels of organic matter in soils, greater fungal abundance, and lower 403 404 nutrient availability in cold biomes (Gao et al., 2022).

Our estimated SOC density at 111 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:101-122) for cropland (Table S1) 405 was higher than that reported in other study (Liu et al., 2021), and lower than that of 406 tropical cropland (Reichenbach et al., 2023). For forestland, the SOC stock was 407 estimated at 177 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 150-187) for the 0-100 cm soil layer (overall), 408 consistent with the estimate reported by Dixon et al. (1994), but significantly lower than 409 those observed in mangroves and tropical forestland (Atwood et al., 2017; Reichenbach 410 et al., 2023). For grassland, it was 132 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:119-145) overall, much higher 411 412 than that of (Conant et al., 2017). Finally, on a global scale, the SOC density of all land for the 0–100 cm soil layer was estimated at 136 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI: 123–151), which is 413

significantly higher than the estimate reported by Hiederer & Köchy (2011).

		Topsoil (Pg)	Subsoil (Pg)	Total (Pg)	References
	Global area (10 ⁹ ha)	0–20/30	20/30-100	0–100	
		(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	
Cropland		58	69	127	Liu et al., 2021
Cropland	1.20	59	74 (95% CI:62-88)	133 (95% CI:121-146)	This study
Forestland	4.10	359	787	1146	Dixon et al., 1994
Forestland	5.64	395	547(95% CI:451-660)	942 (95% CI:846-1055)	This study
Grassland				343	Conant, 2010
Grassland	2.59	161	181 (95% CI:148-215)	342 (95% CI:308-376)	This study
All land		684–724	778-824	1462–1548	Batjes, 1996
All land		699	718	1417	Roland Hiederer. and Köchy., 2011
All land		699	716	1416	Scharlemann et al. 2014
All land		863	961	1824	Sanderman et al., 2017
All				1360	Zhou et al, et al., 2024
All land		615	803 (95% CI:661-962)	1418 (95% CI:1276-1577)	This study
416	SOC: soil org	anic carbon, 95%	CI: refers to the confidence in	terval	

415 **Table 1.** Comparisons of the estimated SOC stocks with other studies

417 **4.2** Factors affecting soil β values and spatial variation

418 MAT was the primary drivers of soil β values, exhibiting a significant positive correlation. Specifically, with the increase of MAT, the β value increases, and the 419 decrease of SOC density with depth becomes smaller (Figure 2A). This shows that the 420 higher the β value, the relatively lower the proportion of the SOC storage in the soil 421 surface (consistent with previous research Hartley et al., 2021; Melillo et al., 2017). It 422 is generally accepted that in cold and wet regions, low soil temperatures and/or 423 anaerobic conditions promote the formation of thick organic horizons and peats, 424 resulting in the storage of large amounts of SOC (Garcia-Palacios et al., 2021). Tropical 425 soils have the lowest SOC persistence, while polar/tundra soils and soils dominated by 426 amorphous minerals exhibit the highest SOC abundance and persistence (von Fromm 427 428 et al., 2024). These differences indicate that soil β values are high in low-latitude regions, such as tropical rainforest areas, and low in high-latitude regions, such as the 429 430 tundra, showing a spatial distribution pattern. Climate warming may lead to greater SOC losses in surface soils compared to deeper layers, especially in high-latitude SOC-431

rich systems (Wang et al., 2022). Experimental results of long-term warming show that
soil respiration is sensitive to temperature rise (Xu et al., 2015). It could be driven by
the changes in the temperature dependence for microbial process rates (Karhu et al.,
2014). As field experiments have shown that warming can modify microbial physiology
and resource availability (Poeplau et al., 2017).

We found a significant negative relationship between soil β values and MAP. This 437 suggests that higher precipitation rates are associated with a steeper decrease in SOC 438 density with increasing depth. This is primarily due to the pronounced positive 439 correlation between MAP and the surface SOC density (Liu et al., 2023). In wetter 440 climates where the precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration, there is a strong 441 relationship between mineral-associated SOC concentration and persistence, due to the 442 443 humid soil environments that favor greater root growth and abundance (Heckman et al., 2023). And, the higher the intensity of precipitation, the more susceptible deep soil 444 445 carbon is to loss (Sun et al., 2024).

Additionally, BNPP plays a crucial role in the global land carbon cycle and carbon balance, as it is a major source of SOC. The increase in BNPP, along with greater root exudates and changes in microbial activity, may lead to new carbon accumulation (Zheng et al., 2024), which resulted in a decreasing trend of soil β values.

450 Our results highlight the important role of edaphic properties in explaining variation in soil β values, not just climate and biological factors (Figure S2). The soil CN ratio and 451 soil clay content both exhibited a similar negative correlation with the β value. A higher 452 soil CN ratio may decelerate the decomposition rate of organic matter, thereby 453 454 facilitating an increase in SOC content in warm and arid regions (Spohn et al., 2023), such that the soil β values would trend downward. Under soil CN ratio > 15, warming 455 significantly enhances the development of root biomass (Bai et al., 2023), this could 456 induce a corresponding SOC accumulation. Clay fraction of the soil can absorb litter-457 derived C and microbial-derived C, promoting the accumulation of organic carbon 458 (Hicks Pries et al., 2023). 459

460 Our results showed that for near-neutral pH soils, the β values tend to be stable. In 461 acidic soils, significant losses of SOC occur because microbial growth is more severely 462 constrained, leading to a reduced efficiency in the decomposition and utilization of 463 organic matter by microorganisms (Malik et al., 2018). Salinization and alkalization

impede plant growth, leading to reduced biomass and lower organic matter input into 464 the soil, causing the soil organic carbon content and organic carbon pool to remain very 465 low (Li et al., 2023). The harsh conditions of saline-alkaline soils hinder microbial 466 survival and activity, reducing their efficiency in decomposing and utilizing organic 467 matter. Soil pH had non-linear relationships with microorganisms, tends to be neutral, 468 469 and the abundance of microorganisms is higher (Patoine et al., 2022). The combination of these factors explains the higher β values observed under extreme acidic or alkaline 470 conditions. Thus, near-neutral pH soils, may enhance its carbon storage potential by 471 472 improving microbial growth efficiency and facilitating the channeling of matrix 473 components into biomass synthesis.

The effects of TN, MC, MN on soil β values exhibited the same trend, which initially 474 475 increased and then decreased. The TN stock in the soil exhibits a significant positive correlation with the SOC stock (Feng et al., 2018), leading to a reduction in the β value 476 477 in nitrogen-enriched soils. MC had positive relationships with the SOC content across the large spatial scale, because of microbes should be considered not only as a 478 controlling factor of the consumption of SOC, but also as an influencing factor of the 479 production of SOC (Tao et al., 2023). Microbial necromass has been identified as a 480 major contributor to SOC formation across global ecosystems (Wang et al., 2021a). 481 Evidence from China shows that microbial residues contribute a larger proportion of 482 SOC in subsoils than in topsoil (Wen et al., 2023). Therefore, in soil profiles with a 483 high microbial carbon and nitrogen, the soil β value is smaller, indicating a steeper 484 decrease in SOC density with increasing depth. 485

486 **4.3** Challenges and opportunities: Deep soil SOC sequestration

More and more studies have shown about the necessity to better understand subsoil 487 SOC dynamics. Biotic controls on SOC cycling become weaker as mineral controls 488 predominate with depth (Hicks Pries et al., 2023). The topsoil is rich in carbohydrates 489 and lignin, while the subsoil is rich in protein and lipids, the decrease rate of the ratio 490 of the microbially derived carbon to plant-derived carbon with SOM content was 23%-491 492 30% slower in the subsoil than in the topsoil (Huang et al., 2023). Warming stimulates microbial metabolic activity on structurally complex organic carbon, resulting in a 493 larger loss of subsoil polymeric SOC compared to topsoil (Zosso et al., 2023). However, 494 long-term experiments may not be long enough to quantify SOC dynamics in subsoil, 495

large-scale research methods and machine learning are particularly important and 496 necessary. Based on measured soil profile data and environmental variables, Wang et 497 al.(2021b) employed machine learning methods to assess SOC stocks and spatial 498 distribution of subsoil in frozen soil areas in the third pole region. The investigation of 499 deep soil organic carbon is inherently complex and involves intricate and time-intensive 500 methodologies. This complexity results in a paucity of research data, which 501 consequently introduces considerable uncertainties into model-derived predictions. To 502 avoid under- or overestimation of the SOC stocks of an ecosystem, it is important to 503 504 consider the subsoil when formulating sequestration policies for the whole soil profile (Button et al., 2022), as the "4 per 1000" approach for the top 30 to 40 cm soil layer 505 provides an incomplete representation of the soil profile (Rumpel et al., 2018). It may 506 be essential to sample the soil deeper (e.g. 0-100 cm) and incorporate deep soils into 507 future manipulations, measurements and models. 508

509 In addition, researchers had quantified the contribution of optimizing crop redistribution and improved management, and topsoil carbon sequestration in offsetting 510 anthropogenic GHG emissions and climate change (Wang et al., 2022b; Rodrigues et 511 al., 2021; Yin et al., 2023), the ability and consequence of subsoil SOC sequestration 512 of crop management remains to be further studied. Conducting global-scale subsoil 513 SOC dynamics studies will fill the knowledge gap to develop appropriate soil C 514 sequestration strategies and policies to help the world cope with climate change and 515 food security (Amelung et al., 2020; Bossio et al., 2020). As such, it is crucial that 516 future research efforts focus on SOC sequestration efficiency with climate change, 517 518 considering the entire soil profile.

519 4.4 Strengths and limitations

520 Our research establishes a scientific foundation for further study of SOC dynamics, sequestration, and emissions reduction across soil profiles, offering significant insights 521 for achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), notably SDG2 (Zero Hunger), 522 SDG13 (Climate 523 Action), and SDG15 (Life on Land) 524 (https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals). To our knowledge, this is the first study to present global high-resolution maps illustrating the spatial distribution of 525 SOC density within soil profiles, derived from soil β values informed by soil properties 526 and climatic conditions. We observed pronounced variations in SOC density across 527

ecosystems, with forestland demonstrating the highest densities, followed by grassland
and cropland. However, the observed differences in SOC dynamics across these
ecosystems were primarily attributed to the dominant biogeochemical properties of the
soils (Reichenbach et al., 2023).

532 In our analysis, we incorporated a broad spectrum of environmental variables, including climatic factors and soil physicochemical properties, to examine subsoil SOC dynamics 533 across different ecosystems. The variability in SOC density decline across soil profiles 534 535 with depth in most areas underscores the imperative for refined soil management practices. Enhancing carbon sequestration in deeper soil horizons constitutes a 536 537 promising avenue for future research. For example, increasing plant diversity and crop 538 diversification has reinforced SOC stocks in subsoil, with this benefit amplifying over 539 time (Lange et al., 2023, Xu et al., 2023). Current research has shed light on certain aspects of subsoil SOC sequestration mechanisms and turnover dynamics (Luo et al., 540 541 2019; Li et al., 2023). However, implementing targeted policies—such as incorporating organic materials and biochar-remains essential for augmenting the SOC 542 sequestration potential of deeper soils (Button et al., 2022). These strategies could play 543 544 a critical role in synergistically enhancing soil fertility and mitigating greenhouse gas 545 emissions.

Some important aspects of SOC stocks were not included in this study. For instance, 546 547 microbial necromass is a key contributor to SOC accumulation (Zhou et al., 2023). Due to difficulties in obtaining management data for grasslands and forestlands, we did not 548 549 account for potential management-specific factors on soil β value estimations. For 550 example, N fertilizer application, irrigation amount, soil tillage practices, and organic carbon inputs (straw return, crop residues, and litterfall) may influence the vertical 551 552 movement of SOC. Moreover, organic carbon inputs can alter SOC decomposition rates, particularly in deeper soil layers (Cardinael et al., 2018). 553

We also acknowledge that soil layers may not always reach 1 meter, especially in mountainous areas. Due to the lack of global soil thickness data, this limitation may lead to overestimation or underestimation of soil carbon storage in some regions. Focusing on 1-meter profiles provides a reasonable approximation of SOC storage across different ecosystems. Although this approach may not fully capture the variation in soil thickness in high mountain areas, it enables us to gain valuable insights into SOC dynamics within the global carbon cycle. Future studies will incorporate more detailedsoil thickness data to improve our understanding of SOC distribution.

562 **5. Data availability**

The data of "global patterns of soil organic carbon distribution in the 20-100 cm soil 563 profile for different ecosystems: a global meta-analysis" are available at 564 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14787023 (Wang et al., 2025). The file named 565 "Rawdata.xlsx" contains data sourced from the literature. The file name is "GE β .tif", 566 567 GE represents global ecosystems, which including cropland (CL), grassland (GL), and 568 forestland (FL). "FL β .tif" represents the spatial distribution of β for forestland at 20-100 cm depth. The file name is "GE d SOCD.tif", where SOCD represents soil organic 569 570 carbon density, d represents soil depth, for example, "FL 20-100 SOCD.tif" represents the spatial distribution of SOCD for forestland at 20-100 cm depth. 571

572 **6. Conclusion**

Accurately quantifying the distribution of soil profile SOC stocks is crucial for C 573 sequestration and mitigation. Herein, machine learning was applied to the β model to 574 estimate SOC stocks in soil profiles at depths of 20-100 cm. The subsoil SOC density 575 values of cropland, grassland, and forestland were estimated to be 62 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% 576 CI:52-73), 70 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:57-83), and 97 Mg ha⁻¹ (95% CI:80-117), respectively, 577 with significant geographic variability across different ecosystems. Additionally, The 578 global subsoil SOC stock was 803 Pg C (95% CI:661-962) (cropland, grassland, and 579 forestland were 74 Pg C (95% CI:62-88), 181 Pg C (95% CI:148-215), and 547 Pg C 580 (95% CI:451-660), in which an average of 57% resided in the top 0–100 cm of the soil 581 profile. This dataset provides a valuable resource for refining existing Earth system 582 models and enhancing prediction accuracy. Furthermore, it offers critical insights into 583 global SOC dynamics and the spatial variability of SOC within entire soil profiles. Our 584 585 findings also serve as a valuable reference for decision-makers in developing more effective carbon budget management strategies. 586

587 Author contributions

588 The study was completed with cooperation between all authors. ZC and YY conceived 589 and designed the research. HW: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, data 590 curation, visualization, conducted data analysis and wrote original draft. XT: 591 methodology, data curation, visualization, TC: investigation, data curation, 592 conceptualization, investigation. ZC, KH, ZW, HG, QM, YW, YC, MZ contributed to 593 the scientific discussions. ZC and QZ: conceptualization, supervision, funding 594 acquisition.

595 **Competing interests.**

596 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

597 Disclaimer. Publisher's note

598 Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in 599 published maps and institutional affiliations.

600 Acknowledgements.

This work was financially supported by the PhD Scientific Research and Innovation
Foundation of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (HSPHDSRF-2022-05013), and the Hainan Provincial Joint Project of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and
Technology City (2021JJLH0015), and the National Key Research and Development
Program of China (2021YFD1900901).

606 **Financial support.**

This work was supported by the PhD Scientific Research and Innovation Foundation of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (HSPHDSRF-2022-05-013), and the Hainan Provincial Joint Project of Sanya Yazhou Bay Science and Technology City (2021JJLH0015), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFD1900901).

612 **References**

- Amelung, W., Bossio, D., de Vries, W., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Amundson, R.,
- Bol, R., Collins, C., Lal, R., Leifeld, J., Minasny, B., Pan, G., Paustian, K., Rumpel,
- 615 C., Sanderman, J., van Groenigen, J. W., Mooney, S., van Wesemael, B., Wander,
- 616 M., and Chabbi, A.: Towards a global-scale soil climate mitigation strategy, Nat.
- 617 Commun., 11, 5427, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18887-7, 2020.
- 618 Atwood, T. B., Connolly, R. M., Almahasheer, H., Carnell, P. E., Duarte, C. M., Ewers
- Lewis, C. J., Irigoien, X., Kelleway, J. J., Lavery, P. S., Macreadie, P. I., Serrano,
 O., Sanders, C. J., Santos, I., Steven, A. D. L., and Lovelock, C. E.: Global patterns
- in mangrove soil carbon stocks and losses, Nat. Clim. Chang., 7, 523-528,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3326, 2017.
- Bai, T., Wang, P., Qiu, Y., Zhang, Y., and Hu, S.: Nitrogen availability mediates soil
 carbon cycling response to climate warming: A meta-analysis, Glob. Change Biol.,
 29, 2608-2626, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16627, 2023.
- Balesdent, J., Basile-Doelsch, I., Chadoeuf, J., Cornu, S., Derrien, D., Fekiacova, Z.,
 and Hatté, C.: Atmosphere–soil carbon transfer as a function of soil depth, Nat.,
 559, 599-602, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0328-3, 2018.
- Batjes, N. H.: Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 47,
 151-163, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1996.tb01386.x, 1996.
- Beillouin, D., Corbeels, M., Demenois, J., Berre, D., Boyer, A., Fallot, A., Feder, F.,
 and Cardinael, R.: A global meta-analysis of soil organic carbon in the
 Anthropocene, Nat. Commun., 14, 3700, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-02339338-z, 2023.
- Bossio, D. A., Cook-Patton, S. C., Ellis, P. W., Fargione, J., Sanderman, J., Smith, P.,
 Wood, S., Zomer, R. J., von Unger, M., Emmer, I. M., and Griscom, B. W.: The
 role of soil carbon in natural climate solutions, Nat. Sustain., 3, 391-398,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0491-z, 2020.
- Bradford, M. A., Wieder, W. R., Bonan, G. B., Fierer, N., Raymond, P. A., and Crowther,
 T. W.: Managing uncertainty in soil carbon feedbacks to climate change, Nat. Clim.
 Chang., 6, 751-758, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3071, 2016.

- 642 Button, E. S., Pett-Ridge, J., Murphy, D. V., Kuzyakov, Y., Chadwick, D. R., and Jones,
- D. L.: Deep-C storage: Biological, chemical and physical strategies to enhance
 carbon stocks in agricultural subsoils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 170, 108697.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108697, 2022.
- Cardinael, R., Guenet, B., Chevallier, T., Dupraz, C., Cozzi, T., and Chenu, C.: High
 organic inputs explain shallow and deep SOC storage in a long-term agroforestry
 system combining experimental and modeling approaches, Biogeosciences., 15,
 297-317, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-297-2018, 2018.
- Chabbi, A., Lehmann, J., Ciais, P., Loescher, H. W., Cotrufo, M. F., Don, A., 650 SanClements, M., Schipper, L., Six, J., Smith, P., and Rumpel, C.: Aligning 651 Clim. 7, 652 agriculture and climate policy, Nat. Chang., 307-309, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3286, 2017. 653
- Chen, J., Luo, Y., and Sinsabaugh, R. L.: Subsoil carbon loss, Nat. Geosci., 16, 284285, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01164-9, 2023.
- 656 Conant, R. T.. Challenges and opportunities for carbon sequestration in grassland
 657 systems: a technical report on grassland management and climate change
 658 mitigation, 2010.
- Conant, R. T., Cerri, C. E. P., Osborne, B. B., and Paustian, K.: Grassland management
 impacts on soil carbon stocks: a new synthesis, Ecol. Appl., 27, 662-668,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1473, 2017.
- Deng, L., Liu, G. B., and Shangguan, Z. P.: Land-use conversion and changing soil
 carbon stocks in China's 'Grain-for-Green' Program: a synthesis, Glob. Change
 Biol., 20, 3544-3556, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12508, 2014.
- Ding, J., Li, F., Yang, G., Chen, L., Zhang, B., Liu, L., Fang, K., Qin, S., Chen, Y., Peng,
- Y., Ji, C., He, H., Smith, P., and Yang, Y.: The permafrost carbon inventory on the
 Tibetan Plateau: a new evaluation using deep sediment cores, Glob. Change
 Biol., 22, 2688-2701, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13257, 2016.
- Dixon, R. K., Solomon, A. M., Brown, S., Houghton, R. A., Trexier, M. C., and
 Wisniewski, J.: Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems, Science., 263,
- 671 185-190, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.263.5144.185, 1994.

Don, A., Schumacher, J., and Freibauer, A.: Impact of tropical land-use change on soil
organic carbon stocks – a meta-analysis, Glob. Change Biol., Glob Change Biol.,
17, 1658-1670, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02336.x, 2011.

G75 Jobbágy E., and Jackson., R. B.:
G76 The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and veg
G77 etation, Ecol. Appl., 10, 423-436, https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761, 2000.

- Feng, J., He, K., Zhang, Q., Han, M., and Zhu, B.: Changes in plant inputs alter soil
 carbon and microbial communities in forest ecosystems, Glob. Change Biol.,28,
 3426-3440, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16107, 2022.
- Feng, J., Wu, J., Zhang, Q., Zhang, D., Li, Q., Long, C., Yang, F., Chen, Q., and Cheng,
 X.: Stimulation of nitrogen-hydrolyzing enzymes in soil aggregates mitigates
 nitrogen constraint for carbon sequestration following afforestation in subtropical
 China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 123, 136-144,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.05.013, 2018.
- Gale, M. R. and Grigal, D. F.: Vertical root distributions of northern tree species in
 relation to successional status, Can. J. For. Res., 17(8): 829-834,
 https://doi.org/10.1139/x87-131, 1987.
- Gao, D., Bai, E., Wang, S., Zong, S., Liu, Z., Fan, X., Zhao, C., and Hagedorn, F.:
 Three-dimensional mapping of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in soil microbial
 biomass and their stoichiometry at the global scale, Glob Change Biol., 28, 67286740, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16374, 2022.
- 693 García-Palacios, P., Crowther, T. W., Dacal, M., Hartley, I. P., Reinsch, S., Rinnan, R.,
- Rousk, J., Hoogen, J., Ye, J. S., and Bradford, M. A.: Evidence for large microbialmediated losses of soil carbon under anthropogenic warming, Nat. Rev. Earth
 Environ., 2(7), 507-517, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00178-4, 2021.
- 697 Georgiou, K., Jackson, R. B., Vindušková, O., Abramoff, R. Z., Ahlström, A., Feng, W.,
- 698 Harden, J. W., Pellegrini, A. F. A., Polley, H. W., Soong, J. L., Riley, W. J., and
- 699 Torn, M. S.: Global stocks and capacity of mineral-associated soil organic carbon,
- 700 Nat. Commun., 13, 3797, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31540-9, 2022.

- 701 Griscom, B. W., Adams, J., Ellis, P. W., Houghton, R. A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D. A., Schlesinger, W. H., Shoch, D., Siikamaki, J. V., Smith, P., Woodbury, P., Zganjar, 702 703 C., Blackman, A., Campari, J., Conant, R. T., Delgado, C., Elias, P., Gopalakrishna, T., Hamsik, M. R., Herrero, M., Kiesecker, J., Landis, E., Laestadius, L., Leavitt, 704 S. M., Minnemeyer, S., Polasky, S., Potapov, P., Putz, F. E., Sanderman, J., Silvius, 705 M., Wollenberg, E., and Fargione, J.: Natural climate solutions, Proc. Natl. Acad. 706 Sci. U. S. A., 114, 11645-11650, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114, 2017. 707 708 Hartley, I. P., Hill, T. C., Chadburn, S. E., and Hugelius, G.: Temperature effects on carbon storage are controlled by soil stabilisation capacities, Nat. Commun., 12, 709 6713, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27101-1, 2021. 710 Heckman, K. A., Possinger, A. R., Badgley, B. D., Bowman, M. M., Gallo, A. C., Hatten, 711 712 J. A., Nave, L. E., SanClements, M. D., Swanston, C. W., Weiglein, T. L., Wieder, W. R., and Strahm, B. D.: Moisture-driven divergence in mineral-associated soil 713
- carbon persistence, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 120, e2210044120,
 https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.2210044120, 2023.
- Hicks Pries, C. E., Ryals, R., Zhu, B., Min, K., Cooper, A., Goldsmith, S., Pett-Ridge,
 J., Torn, M., and Berhe, A. A.: The deep soil organic carbon response to global
 change, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 54, 375-401,
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102320-085332, 2023.
- Huang, W., Kuzyakov, Y., Niu, S., Luo, Y., Sun, B., Zhang, J., and Liang, Y.: Drivers of
 microbially and plant-derived carbon in topsoil and subsoil, Glob. Change Biol.,
 29, 6188-6200, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16951, 2023.
- Jackson, R. B., Mooney, H. A., and Schulze, E. D.: A global budget for fine root biomass,
 surface area, and nutrient contents, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 94, 7362-7366,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.14.73, 1997.
- Jia, J., Cao, Z., Liu, C., Zhang, Z., Lin, L., Wang, Y., Haghipour, N., Wacker, L., Bao, 726 H., Dittmar, T., Simpson, M. J., Yang, H., Crowther, T. W., Eglinton, T. I., He, J. 727 S., and Feng, X.: Climate warming alters subsoil but not topsoil carbon dynamics 728 grassland, Glob. Change Biol.,25, 4383-4393. 729 in alpine https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14823, 2019. 730

- Karhu, K., Auffret, M. D., Dungait, J. A., Hopkins, D. W., Prosser, J. I., Singh, B. K.,
 Subke, J. A., Wookey, P. A., Ågren, G. I., Sebastia, M. T., Gouriveau. F., Bergkvist,
 G., Meir, P., Nottingham, A. T., Salinas, N., and Hartley, I. P.: Temperature
 sensitivity of soil respiration rates enhanced by microbial community response,
 Nature, 513(7516), 81-84, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13604, 2014.
- Lal, R.: Digging deeper: A holistic perspective of factors affecting soil organic carbon
 sequestration in agroecosystems, Glob Change Biol., 24, 3285-3301.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14054, 2018.
- Lal, R.: Managing soils for negative feedback to climate change and positive impact on
 food and nutritional security, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 66, 1-9,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2020.1718548, 2020.
- Lal, R., Monger, C., Nave, L., and Smith, P.: The role of soil in regulation of climate,
 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci., 376, 20210084,
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2021.0084, 2021.
- Lange, M., Eisenhauer, N., Chen, H., and Gleixner, G.: Increased soil carbon storage
 through plant diversity strengthens with time and extends into the subsoil, Glob.
 Change Biol., 29, 2627-2639, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16641, 2023.
- Li, J. Q., Pei, J. M., Pendall, E., Reich, P. B., Noh, N. J., Li, B., Fang, C. M., and Nie,
 M.: Spatial heterogeneity and environmental predictors of permafrost region soil
 organic carbon stocks, Sci. Adv., 7, eaaz5326,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001242, 2021.
- Liu, Y., Ge, T., van Groenigen, K. J., Yang, Y., Wang, P., Cheng, K., Zhu, Z., Wang,
 J.,Li, Y., Guggenberger, G., Sardans, J., Penuelas, J., Wu, J., and Kuzyakov, Y.:
 Rice paddy soils are a quantitatively important carbon store according to a global
 synthesis, Commun. Earth Environ., 2, 154, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-02100229-0, 2021.
- Liu, Z., Zhou, Q., Ma, Q., Kuang, W., Daryanto, S., Wang, L., Wu, J., Liu, B., Zhu, J.,
 Cao, C., Li, X., Kou, Z., Shou, W., Qian, J., Liu, M., Xin, Z., Cui, X., and Liang,
 W. Scale effect of climate factors on soil organic carbon stock in natural grasslands
 of northern China, Ecol. Indic., 146, 109757,

- 761 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109757, 2023.
- Li, J., Ding, J., Yang, S., Zhao, L., Li, J., Huo, H., Wang, M., Tan, J., Cao, Y., Ren, S.,
 Liu, Y., and Wang, T.: Depth-dependent driver of global soil carbon turnover times,
 Soil Biol. Biochem., 185, 109149, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109149,
 2023.
- Li, S., Zhao, L., Wang, C., Huang, H., and Zhuang, M.: Synergistic improvement of
 carbon sequestration and crop yield by organic material addition in saline soil: A
 global meta-analysis, Sci. Total Environ., 891, 164530,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164530, 2023.
- Luo, Z., Wang, G., and Wang, E.: Global subsoil organic carbon turnover times
 dominantly controlled by soil properties rather than climate, Nat. Commun., 10,
 3688, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11597-9, 2019.
- Luo, Z., Luo, Y., Wang, G., Xia, J., and Peng, C.: Warming-induced global soil carbon
 loss attenuated by downward carbon movement, Glob Change Biol., 26, 7242775 7254, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15370, 2020.
- Malik, A. A., Puissant, J., Buckeridge, K. M., Goodall, T., Jehmlich, N., Chowdhury,
 S., Gweon, H. S., Peyton, J. M., Mason, K. E., van Agtmaal, M., Blaud, A., Clark,
 I. M., Whitaker, J., Pywell, R. F., Ostle, N., Gleixner, G., and Griffiths, R. I.: Land
 use driven change in soil pH affects microbial carbon cycling processes, Nat.
 Commun., 9, 3591, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05980-1, 2018.
- Melillo, J. M., Frey, S. D., DeAngelis, K. M., Werner, W. J., Bernard, M. J., Bowles, F.
 P., Pold, G., Knorr, M. A., and Grandy, A. S.: Long-term pattern and magnitude of
 soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world, Science,
 358(6359), 101-105, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan287, 2017.
- Mishra, U., Hugelius, G., Shelef, E., Yang, Y. H., Strauss, J., Lupachev, A., Harden, J. 785 W., Jastrow, J. D., L., P. C., Riley, W. J., Schuur, E. A. G., Matamala, R., Siewert, 786 M., Nave, L. E., Koven, C. D., Fuchs, M., Palmtag, J., Kuhry, P., Treat, C. C., and 787 Zubrzycki, S.: Spatial heterogeneity and environmental predictors of permafrost 788 region soil organic carbon stocks. Sci. Adv., 7, 789 1-12, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz5236, 2021. 790

- Padarian, J., Minasny, B., McBratney, A., and Smith, P.: Soil carbon sequestration
 potential in global croplands, PeerJ., 10, e13740,
 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13740, 2022.
- Patoine, G., Eisenhauer, N., Cesarz, S., Phillips, H. R. P., Xu, X., Zhang, L., and Guerra,
 C. A.: Drivers and trends of global soil microbial carbon over two decades, Nat.
 Commun., 13, 4195, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31833-z, 2022.
- Poeplau, C., Kätterer, T., Leblans, N. I., and Sigurdsson, B. D.: Sensitivity of soil carbon
 fractions and their specific stabilization mechanisms to extreme soil warming in a
 subarctic grassland, Global Change Biology, 23(3), 1316-1327,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13491, 2017.
- Poffenbarger, H. J., Olk, D. C., Cambardella, C., Kersey, J., Liebman, M., Mallarino,
 A., Six, J., and Castellano, M. J.: Whole-profile soil organic matter content,
 composition, and stability under cropping systems that differ in belowground
 inputs, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 291 106810,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106810, 2020.
- Qin, S., Yuan, H., Hu, C., Li, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Dong, W., Clough, T., Luo, J.,
 Zhou, S., Wrage-Mönnig, N., Ma, L., and Oenema, O.: Anthropogenic N input
 increases global warming potential by awakening the "sleeping" ancient C in deep
 critical zones, Sci. Adv., 9, 1-8, https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.add0041, 2023.
- Reichenbach, M., Fiener, P., Hoyt, A., Trumbore, S., Six, J., and Doetterl, S.: Soil 810 carbon stocks in stable tropical landforms are dominated by geochemical controls 811 812 and not by land use. Glob. Change Biol., 29, 2591-2607, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16622, 2023. 813
- Rodrigues, L., Hardy, B., Huyghebeart, B., Fohrafellner, J., Fornara, D., Barančíková,
 G., Bárcena, T. G., De Boever, M., Di Bene, C., Feizienė, D., Kätterer, T., Laszlo,
 P., O'Sullivan, L., Seitz, D., and Leifeld, J.: Achievable agricultural soil carbon
 sequestration across Europe from country-specific estimates, Glob. Change Biol.,
 27, 6363-6380, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15897, 2021.
- 819Roland Hiederer. and Köchy., M.: Global Soil Organic Carbon Estimates and the820HarmonizedWorldSoilDatabase,EuropeanUnion.,

- 821 https://doi.org/10.2788/13267, 2011.
- Sanderman, J., Hengl, T., and Fiske, G. J.: Soil carbon debt of 12,000 years of human 822 823 land use. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 114, 9575-9580, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706103114, 2017. 824
- Scharlemann, J. P. W., Tanner, E. V. J., Hiederer, R., and Kapos, V.: Global soil carbon:
 understanding and managing the largest terrestrial carbon pool, Carbon Manag., 5,
 81-91, https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.13.77, 2014.
- Sun, F., Fan, L., Deng, G., Kuzyakov, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Li, Y., Wang, F., Li, Z.,
 Tariq, A., Sardans, J., Penuelas, J., Wang, and M., Peng, C.: Responses of tropical
 forest soil organic matter pools to shifts in precipitation patterns, Soil Biol.
 Biochem., 197, 109530, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2024.109530, 2024.
- Soong, J. L., Castanha, C., Hicks Pries, C. E., Ofiti, N., Porras, R. C., Riley, W. J.,
 Schmidt, M. W. I., and Torn, M. S.: Five years of whole-soil warming led to loss
 of subsoil carbon stocks and increased CO₂ efflux, Sci. Adv., 7(21), doi:
 10.1126/sciadv.abd1343, 2021.
- Spohn, M., Bagchi, S., Biederman, L. A., Borer, E. T., Bråthen, K. A., Bugalho, M. N.,
 Caldeira, M. C., Catford, J. A., Collins, S. L., Eisenhauer, N., Hagenah, N., Haider,
 S., Hautier, Y., Knops, J. M. H., Koerner, S. E., Laanisto, L., Lekberg, Y., Martina,
- J. P., Martinson, H., McCulley, R. L., Peri, P. L., Macek, P., Power, S. A., Risch,
- A. C., Roscher, C., Seabloom, E. W., Stevens, C., Veen, G. F., Virtanen, R., and
 Yahdjian, L.: The positive effect of plant diversity on soil carbon depends on
 climate, Nat. Commun., 14, 6624, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42340-0,
 2023.
- 844 Tang, X., Zhao, X., Bai, Y., Tang, Z., Wang, W., Zhao, Y., Wan, H., Xie, Z., Shi, X., Wu, 845 B., Wang, G., Yan, J., Ma, K., Du, S., Li, S., Han, S., Ma, Y., Hu, H., He, N., Yang, Y., Han, W., He, H., Yu, G., Fang, J., and Zhou, G.: Carbon pools in China's 846 terrestrial ecosystems: New estimates based on an intensive field survey, Proc. 847 848 Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 115, 4021-4026, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700291115, 2018. 849
- 850 Tao, F., Huang, Y., Hungate, B. A., Manzoni, S., Frey, S. D., Schmidt, M. W. I.,

- Reichstein, M., Carvalhais, N., Ciais, P., Jiang, L., Lehmann, J., Wang, Y. P., 851
- Houlton, B. Z., Ahrens, B., Mishra, U., Hugelius, G., Hocking, T. D., Lu, X., Shi, 852
- Z., Viatkin, K., Vargas, R., Yigini, Y., Omuto, C., Malik, A. A., Peralta, G., Cuevas-853
- Corona, R., Di Paolo, L. E., Luotto, I., Liao, C., Liang, Y. S., Saynes, V. S., Huang, 854 X., and Luo, Y.: Microbial carbon use efficiency promotes global soil carbon 855 storage, Nature., 618, 981-985, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06042-3, 856 2023.

857

- Wang, C., Qu, L., Yang, L., Liu, D., Morrissey, E., Miao, R., Liu, Z., Wang, Q., Fang, 858 Y., and Bai, E.: Large-scale importance of microbial carbon use efficiency and 859 necromass to soil organic carbon, Glob. Change Biol., 27, 2039-2048, 860 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15550, 2021a. 861
- Wang, D., Wu, T., Zhao, L., Mu, C., Li, R., Wei, X., Hu, G., Zou, D., Zhu, X., Chen, J., 862 Hao, J., Ni, J., Li, X., Ma, W., Wen, A., Shang, C., La, Y., Ma, X., and Wu, X.: A 863 1 km resolution soil organic carbon dataset for frozen ground in the Third 864 Pole, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 13, 3453-3465, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3453-865 866 2021b.
- Wang, J., Wei, X., Jia, X., Huang, M., Liu, Z., Yao, Y., and Shao, M.: An empirical 867 approach to predict regional organic carbon in deep soils, Sci. China Earth Sci., 868 66, 583-593, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-022-1032-2, 2023. 869
- Wang, M., Guo, X., Zhang, S., Xiao, L., Mishra, U., Yang, Y., Zhu, B., Wang, G., Mao, 870 X., Qian, T., Jiang, T., Shi, Z., and Luo, Z.: Global soil profiles indicate depth-871 872 dependent soil carbon losses under a warmer climate, Nat. Commun., 13, 5514, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33278-w, 2022a. 873
- 874 Wang, Z., Yin, Y., Wang, Y., Tian, X., Ying, H., Zhang, Q., Xue, Y., Oenema, O., Li, S., Zhou, F., Du, M., Ma, L., Batchelor, W. D., Zhang, F., and Cui, Z.: Integrating crop 875 redistribution and improved management towards meeting China's food demand 876 1031-1039, 877 with lower environmental costs, Nat Food., 3, 878 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-022-00646-0, 2022b.
- Wang, H., Yin, Y., Cai, T., Tian, X., Chen, Z., He, K., Wang, Z., Gong, H., Miao, Q., 879 Wang, Y., Chu, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhuang, M., and Cui, Z.: Global patterns of soil 880

- 881 organic carbon distribution in the 20–100 cm soil profile for different ecosystems:
- 882Aglobalmeta-analysis[Dataset],Zenodo.883https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14787023, 2025.
- Wen, S., Chen, J., Yang, Z., Deng, L., Feng, J., Zhang, W., Zeng, X., Huang, Q.,
 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., and Liu, Y.: Climatic seasonality challenges the stability
 of microbial-driven deep soil carbon accumulation across China, Glob. Change
 Biol., 29, 4430-4439, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16760, 2023.
- Wu, J., Liu, S., Peng, C., Luo, Y., Terrer, C., Yue, C., Peng, S., Li, J., Wang, B.,
 Shangguan, Z., and Deng, L.: Future soil organic carbon stocks in China under
 climate change, Cell Reports Sustainability., 1(9), 100179.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crsus.2024.100179, 2024.
- 892 Xia, S., Song, Z., Li, Q., Guo, L., Yu, C., Singh, B. P., Fu, X., Chen, C., Wang, Y., and Wang, H.: Distribution, sources, and decomposition of soil organic matter along a 893 salinity gradient in estuarine wetlands characterized by C:N ratio, $\delta 13C-\delta 15N$, and 894 biomarker. lignin Glob. Change Biol., 27, 417-434, 895 896 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15403, 2021.
- Xiao, L., Wang, G., Chang, J., Chen, Y., Guo, X., Mao, X., Wang, M., Zhang, S., Shi,
 Z., Luo, Y., Cheng, L., Yu, K., Mo, F., and Luo, Z.: Global depth distribution of
 belowground net primary productivity and its drivers. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 32,
 1435–1451. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13705, 2023.
- Xu, X., Shi, Z., Li, D., Zhou, X., Sherry, R. A., and Luo, Y.: Plant community structure
 regulates responses of prairie soil respiration to decadal experimental warming,
 Glob. Change Biol., 21(10), 3846-3853, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12940, 2015.
- Xu, X., Thornton, P. E., and Post, W. M.: A global analysis of soil microbial biomass
 carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial ecosystems, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.,
 22, 737-749, https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12029, 2013.
- Xu, Y., Zhou, J., Liu, C., Jia, R., Ji, H., Dippold, M. A., Zhao, T., Pavinato, P. S., Peixoxo,
 L., Yang, Y., Sui, P., Zeng, Z., and Zang, H.: Long-term rotational and perennial
 cropping benefit soil organic carbon stocks and ecosystem multifunctionality, Ind.

- 910 Crop. Prod., 209, 117980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117980, 2024.
- Yang, Y., Luo, Y., and Finzi, A. C.: Carbon and nitrogen dynamics during forest stand
 development: a global synthesis, New Phytol., 190, 977-989,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03645.x, 2011.
- 914 Yin, Y., He, K., Chen, Z., Li, Y., Ren, F., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Gong, H., Zhu, Q., Shen,
 915 J., Liu, X., and Cui, Z.: Agricultural green development to coordinate food security
 916 and carbon reduction in the context of China's dual carbon goals, Front. Agric.
 917 Sci. Eng., 10(2): 262–267, https://doi.org/10.15302/j-fase-2023496, 2023.
- von Fromm, S. F., Hoyt, A. M., Sierra, C. A., Georgiou, K., Doetterl, S., and Trumbore,
 S. E.: Controls and relationships of soil organic carbon abundance and persistence
 vary across pedo-climatic regions, Glob. Change Biol., 30, e17320.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17320, 2024.
- Zhang, Y., Guo, X., Chen, L., Kuzyakov, Y., Wang, R., Zhang, H., Han, X., Jiang, Y.,
 and Sun, O.: Global pattern of organic carbon in forest soils, Glob. Change Biol.,
 30(6): e17386, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17386, 2024.
- Zhou, Z., Ren, C., Wang, C., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Luo, Y., Luo, Z., Du, Z., Zhu, B.,
 Yang, Y., Jiao, S., Zhao, F., Cai, A., Yang, G., and Wei, G.: Global turnover of soil
 mineral-associated and particulate organic carbon, Nat. Commun., 15, 5329,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-02075-3, 2024.
- Zosso, C. U., Ofiti, N. O. E., Torn, M. S., Wiesenberg, G. L. B., and Schmidt, M. W. I.:
 Rapid loss of complex polymers and pyrogenic carbon in subsoils under wholesoil warming, Nat Geosci., 16, 344-348, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-02301142-1, 2023.