



# Multitemporal characterisation of a proglacial system: a multidisciplinary approach.

Elisabetta Corte<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Ajmar<sup>2</sup>, Carlo Camporeale<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Cina<sup>1</sup>, Velio Coviello<sup>3,5</sup>, Fabio Giulio Tonolo<sup>4</sup>, Alberto Godio<sup>1</sup>, Myrta Maria Macelloni<sup>1</sup>, Stefania Tamea<sup>1</sup>, and Andrea Vergnano<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, 10129, Italy <sup>2</sup>Interuniversity Department of Regional and Urban Studies and Planning, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, 10125, Italy <sup>3</sup>Research Institute for Geo-Hydrological Protection, CNR, Padova, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, 10125, Italy <sup>5</sup>†deceased

Correspondence: Elisabetta Corte (elisabetta.corte@polito.it)

# Abstract.

The recession of Alpine glaciers causes an increase in the extent of proglacial areas that leads to changes in the water and sediment balance morphodynamics and sediment transport. Although the processes occurring in proglacial areas are relevant not only from a scientific point of view but also for the purpose of climate change adaptation, there is a lack of studies on the

- 5 continuous monitoring and multitemporal characterization of these areas. This work offers a multidisciplinary approach that merges the contributions of different scientific disciplines such as hydrology, geophysics, geomatics and water engineering to characterise the Rutor glacier and its proglacial area. We surveyed the glacier and its proglacial area since 2020 with both uncrewed (drone) and crewed aerial photogrammetric flights; we determined the bathymetry of the most downstream proglacial lake and the thickness of the sediments deposited on its bottom. Water depth at four different locations within the hydrographic
- 10 network of the proglacial area and the bedload at the glacier snout were continuously monitored. The synergy of our approach enables the characterisation, monitoring and understanding of a set of complex and interconnected processes occurring in a proglacial area.

# 1 Introduction

Global warming is entailing a rapid decline of the cryosphere globally. The mountain cryosphere, which consists of snow, ice,

15 and permafrost, responds directly and rapidly to climate change and is a key indicator of global warming. The decline of the cryosphere exposes more land and water areas to solar energy, leading to decreasing albedo and to weathering, resulting in increased erosion. The intensity and frequency of precipitation are also changing due to climate change, whereas part of the precipitation has shifted from solid to liquid (e.g. in the European mountain). This shift and the increasing number of dry and warm winter days reduce snow accumulation. In addition, rising air temperature in spring increases snow melt, modifying the

20 local water balance (Carrer et al., 2023; Gizzi et al., 2022).



Most glaciers reached their Holocene maximum extent at the end of the Little Ice Age (LIA) and have receded since then (Grove, 2004). With LIA being a cooler period in the Holocene, lasting from years 1300s to 1950s (Matthews and Briffa, 2005). Glacier mass balance depends on several processes, including snowfall and ice/snow melt. At present, most Alpine glaciers are not in equilibrium with the current climate, so they are undergoing a dramatic mass loss. Glacier retreat is the most visible consequence of climate change. The impacts of global warming are severe for mountain areas because of their

25 most visible consequence of climate change. The impacts of global warming are severe for mountain areas because of their sensitive ecosystems, their topographic condition and the direct response of glaciers to climate change. Alpine glacier retreat is leading to increased exposure of formerly glaciated terrain, entailing the colonization of plants and animals, and changes in morphodynamics and sediment transfer.

Using the terminology defined by Slaymaker (2011), the area encompassing the glacier outline at the end of the Little Ice
30 Age (LIA) and the present-day glacier terminus is the proglacial area. Proglacial areas are considered systems in transition from glacial to non-glacial conditions and are therefore natural laboratories that allow the investigation of the early stages of newly exposed soil development, vegetation succession, and associated soil stability and sediment fluxes (Matthews, 2019). Due to global warming and glacial retreat, disequilibrium occurs between sediment delivery from the glacier and fluvial reworking in proglacial areas (Slaymaker, 2011). Their evolution depends on the interaction between geomorphic processes and vegetation

35 succession. On the one hand, plant colonization stabilizes glacial sediment and reduces sediment fluxes; on the other hand, geomorphic processes disturb and limit vegetation succession.

Studies investigating multiple processes within a proglacial area on a larger scale than a single landform or a hillslope for multiple times are not frequent (Hilger and Beylich, 2019). The integration of all the processes involved in the sediment budget requires a catchment-wide identification, mapping, and quantification of all relevant sediment transport processes, a

40 localization and monitoring of the storage elements in the sediment transport system, and a localization of their interaction areas (Hilger and Beylich, 2019). Glaciers produce a considerable amount of sediment (Hallet et al., 1996), the size of which ranges in size from huge boulders to fine sands, silt and clays (Hallet et al., 1996; Carrivick and Tweed, 2021). Carrivick and Tweed (2021) state that the remobilization of sediment within the proglacial area mainly determines sediment yield in a proglacial area. Guillon et al. (2018) in their study of the Bosson Glacier (FR) found that sediment sources vary according to

45 season; sediment remobilisation within the sandur is the dominant source of sediment in autumn, while during the melt season the main export of sediment comes from the glacial source. Further efforts in integrating multiparametric observations and enhancing interdisciplinary scientific collaboration are needed to predict sediment dynamics in a warming world (Zhang et al., 2022)

Sediment availability is strongly governed by morphology (Cavalli et al., 2018). The land-system elements of a proglacial area have different geomorphic functions and are heterogeneously distributed. These elements can act like sediment sources, stores (short-term storage landforms) and sinks (long-term storage landforms) (Matthews, 2019). In Alpine catchments, runoff depends on rainfall events and snow and glacier melt (Camporese et al., 2014). Glacier response to regional and local climate is heterogeneous in space and time (Carrivick and Tweed, 2021) and so is the water regime. Sediment yield depends on water discharge and sediment availability which are both highly variable in space and time. Moreover, the relationship between water



55 discharge and both bedload and suspended sediment transport can vary throughout the years and within a season also due to climatic conditions (Mao et al., 2018; Coviello et al., 2022).

In this work, to the best of our knowledge, we present the first public dataset of a proglacial area that is the result of hydrological, geophysical, geomatics and water engineering monitoring. This dataset is the result of a multidisciplinary approach and represents the input data to assess the water and sediment balance in the Rutor proglacial area and the morphodynamics

60 occurring in recently exposed soils. The synergy among different disciplines has allowed for achieving a holistic viewpoint in the observation of the evolutive phenomena of the Rutor proglacial area.

# 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Site description

The Rutor glacier lies at the head of the Dora Baltea Valley in La Thuile, near the French-Italian border in northwestern Italy. It has an area of 7,9 km<sup>2</sup> and is the third largest glacier in the Aosta Valley. The Rutor Glacier is considered one of the most representative glaciers due to its geographical position and its morphological and glaciological characteristics. It is mainly oriented to the northwest and lies at an altitude ranging from 2540 m a.s.l. to 3486 m a.s.l. Rocky ridges border the upper part of the glacier, and the "Vedettes du Rutor" divides the accumulation zone into two main cirques. Three tongues form the glacier front, and the eastern one reaches the lowest altitude of the glacier (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** a) Digital Surface Model (DSM) as of 2008 of the Rutor glacier and the L4 lake catchment. The upslope area of L4 outflow (hatched area with continuous black lines) has been mapped using the 2008 model of Valle d'Aosta. The inset shows the location in Italy. b) DSM as of 2021 of the Rutor proglacial area and locations of L1, L2, L3 and L4 proglacial lakes.



70 Due to global warming, the Rutor Glacier has gone through a dramatic mass loss. Since its maximum Holocene extent in 1820 (Orombelli, 2005), when its surface area was about 12km<sup>2</sup> (Villa et al., 2007), it has lost 34% of its surface area. Furthermore, a glacier retreat of about 1,5 km from 2005 to 2100, has been evaluated by Strigaro et al. (2016) by considering RCP 8.5 climate scenario. Villa et al. (2007) also estimated the surface area and volume variations of the Rutor Glacier from its maximum expansion until 2004 (Figure 2), which offers an overview of the history of the glacier since the beginning of its recession.

The entire Rutor proglacial area has an extension of about  $4 \text{ km}^2$  (Villa et al., 2007) and is of relevant interest for studying sediment dynamics in proglacial systems due to its geomorphological heterogeneity. Since the end of LIA, the Rutor glacier has retreated, leading to a progressive extension of its proglacial area. The glacier recession has exposed topographic depressions which determined changes in stream networks and the formation of several proglacial lakes. These lakes act as sediment sinks,

- 80 interrupting sediment transfer from the glacier outlet to the lowlands. The altitude from the lowest proglacial lake to the glacier terminus (middle tongue snout) ranges from 2387 m a.s.l. to 2661 m a.s.l. The land-system elements within the Rutor proglacial area include steep slopes, outwash plains (sandurs), and single and braided channels, while the alluvial channel beds and banks vary in size from fine sands, silt and clays to boulders.
- There are currently five proglacial lakes fed superficially by the eastern tongue of the glacier. Two of these have formed in the last five years and are attached to the glacier lobe. The third lake fed by the eastern tongue is L1 (Figure 1), the second largest lake of the Rutor proglacial area. This lake has several inflows, but the main one comes directly from the eastern tongue of the glacier. L1 has a single outflow which, after a distance of 830 meters, flows into a sandur. This sandur is fed by the meltwater of the entire glacier and has a surface area of about 0,1 km<sup>2</sup>. Due to a topographic barrier, the water is forced to flow downstream the outwash plain through a single channel. When the water level in the sandur rises, the above-mentioned
- 90 topographic barrier determines the formation of the L2 lake (2504 m a.s.l.). The water flows from L2 to the L4 proglacial lake (Seracchi lake, 2387 m a.s.l.), through a steep creek with an elevation jump of 100 m.

The outflows of L2 and L3 (Santa Margherita Lake) are the only two surface inflows of the L4 Lake, whose outflow feeds the majestic Rutor cascades. The L4 lake collects all meltwater from the Rutor glacier and is the major and the most downstream proglacial lake of the analyzed area. Its outflow cross-section is quite stable and allows to easily measure the lake outflow.

95 Since the main processes involving the water and sediment budget of the Rutor proglacial area occur upstream and within L4, the study focuses on the basin area upstream of the outflow control section of L4, with an overall catchment area of 18,12 km<sup>2</sup>, whose 44% is glacierized (Figure 1).

The characteristics of the study area described above can be easily observed through a WebGIS available at https://poli.maps. arcgis.com/apps/instant/3dviewer/index.html?appid=0c63aa5cc0e8436ca1e7cd3dc214bc27(last access: 17 January 2023).

Among all the lakes of the area, the Santa Margherita Lake, here named L3, (2422 m a.s.l.) was the most monitored in the past, because of catastrophic outburst floods (Baretti, 1880; Sacco, 1917), which began in the first half of the XV century, showing that the glacier at the time had already retreated (Sacco, 1917).







**Figure 2.** Reconstruction of the Rutor Glacier terminus from its maximum extent in LIA to 2004 (modified from Villa et al., 2007). The areas highlighted in blue, green, yellow and red indicate the current extent of lakes L1, L2, L3 and L4 respectively.

The past evolution of L3 lake testifies the changes that the whole area had gone through due to the glacier retreat since the end of the LIA. These changes have been reported in several documents (e.g., Sacco, 1917; Baretti, 1880; Valbusa and Peretti, 1937), that allow reconstructing the changes of the glacier and its proglacial area.



110

# 2.2 Multidisciplinary framework

The assessment of the sediment budget implies the identification of the different physical processes involved, their geomorphic function and their proportional contribution to the overall sediment production and their effectiveness in supplying sediment to the mainstream (Hilger and Beylich, 2019). The number of processes involved and their spatial and temporal variability makes quantifying the sediment budget of proglacial areas challenging. Most studies either focus on a single landform or hillslope at different times (e.g., Laute and Beylich, 2014; Curry et al., 2006), or they measure river-basin scale production rates at the outlet of the basin (e.g., Hicks et al., 1990; Müller, 1999; Bogen et al., 2015).

Guillon et al. (2018) combined sedimentary measurements with precipitation data to understand present-day suspended sediment storage and erosion processes during one melt season in the Bosson glacier proglacial area. They measured water depth

- 115 and turbidity, deriving water discharge and suspended sediment concentration respectively, in three different stations within the proglacial area. Orwin and Smart (2004) characterized a proglacial channel over a 9-week ablation period by continuously measuring the water depth and turbidity in nine different gauging stations distributed within the proglacial area. Their study confirmed that sediment yield varies spatially and temporally within a proglacial area. Delaney et al. (2018) assessed erosion rates and processes in Griesgletscher's proglacial area. That glacier is located near a hydropower infrastructure so the catch-
- 120 ment has been monitored annually since 1986. To determine volume changes and assess sediment processes in Griesgletscher's proglacial area they used digital surface models (DSMs), reservoir bathymetry and a glacial-hydrological model (GERM). Water discharge measurements were determined by the reservoir's water level. Guillon et al. (2018) and Orwin and Smart (2004) measured both discharge and turbidity at different locations in the proglacial area, providing an explanation for the variation in space and time of proglacial suspended sediment flux but they did not assess the landscape evolution of the geomorphological
- 125 features in proglacial areas. However, although Delaney et al. (2018) identified the sediment processes in the proglacial area using DSMs, they measured water discharge only at the basin outlet.

Since 2004, the environmental agency ARPA of Aosta Valley has been monitoring the mass balance of the Rutor glacier through direct in situ measurements. Starting from 2020, the Glacier Lab of the Turin Polytechnic integrated ARPA surveys with geophysical and geomatics measurements. Since the summer of 2021, the area monitored by the Glacier Lab has increased from 25.2 to 34.5 km<sup>2</sup> to include the proglacial area.

The monitoring activities at the Rutor glacier can be categorized into multitemporal and continuous surveys. An overview these monitoring activities is provided in table 1.

#### 2.2.1 Geomatic survey

135

130

The Rutor glacier was monitored with different geomatics techniques supported by different surveying campaigns, with two aims: i) to provide a common 3D reference system to properly manage all the spatial and temporal datasets of the different research groups involved in the glacier monitoring, and ii) to enable the 4D (3D over the time) monitoring of the extent and morphology of the glacier surface. The geomatics surveys started in 2020 and include both uncrewed (drone) and crewed aerial



140



**Table 1.** Timetable of continuous measurements and field surveys, in the first column the measured quantities/surveys and at the bottom the timeline. Each colour and symbol are characteristic of the measuring station and surveyed area respectively. The arrows between the dates indicate that the measurements are continuous between the dates.



photogrammetric flights as well as topographic measurements in the field. As far as the 2020-2021 period is concerned, the following surveys have been carried out:

# - Aerial flights: $30^{th}$ September 2020 and $13^{rd}$ September 2021, over the whole area

- Drone flights: 9<sup>th</sup> July 2021 and 20<sup>th</sup> July 2021, over the proglacial area

A summary of the flight coverage and technical features is included in Table 2.

Table 2. Photogrammetric flights carried out on the study area between 2020 and 2021.

Photogrammetric flight	Date of acquisition	Covered area	Extent (km <sup>2</sup> )	Average flight height (m)	GSD (m)	Number of Images
Aerial	30/09/2020	Glacier and a portion of the proglacial area	25.2	818	0.07	867
Drone	9/07/2021	L1, L2 and L4	2.6	126	0.03	1480
Drone	20/07/2021	L1 and L4	0.4	89.2	0.02	369
Drone	20/07/2021	Glacier front and lower part	1.1	159	0.04	623
Aerial	13/09/2021	Glacier and proglacial area	34.5	877	0.06	1100

The geomatics surveys were carried out in parallel with the activities of the other Glacier Lab teams, to acquire in-situ data and enable the implementation of integrated multidisciplinary monitoring of the Rutor Glacier.



More specifically, during the summer campaigns in 2021, three photogrammetric flights (one in  $9^{th}$  July 2021 and two in  $20^{th}$ ) were carried out with the DJI Phantom 4 UAV multirotor platform (using the drone's built-in camera equipped with a 1" RGB sensor) to survey the proglacial lakes (see Table 2).

After the summer of 2021, at the end of the hydrological year 2020/21, manned photogrammetric flights were carried out by the Digisky company over the glacier and proglacial area, using a medium-format PhaseOne camera iXM-RS150F installed

150 onboard an ultralight aircraft. The camera has a focal length of 50 mm, a sensor size of 40 x 53.5 mm and a resolution of 151.3 MP. More flights were needed to ensure cloud-free coverage of the glacier area. The 2021 photogrammetric survey was the repetition of a previous flight, carried out at the end of September 2020 with a smaller coverage (without a complete coverage of L2, L3 and L4 lakes).

During the activities in the field, a set of artificial photogrammetric markers, either squared (0.5 m x 0.5 m) plastered markers or crosses painted on stable rocks, were positioned (or painted) and measured with a Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) and static Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) positioning approach. The markers were distributed on the periglacial area (to ensure stability over time), around L4 and along the L1 until the glacier front on the eastern tongue. Moreover, around the top part of the glacier area, a set of 1 m x 1 m makers were positioned during the September 2021 campaign to enable a straightforward identification on aerial images.

- 160 Unlike drone flights which were oriented exploiting a direct georeferencing approach, the camera positions of aerial flights were not geo-tagged with proper accuracy. It was, therefore, necessary to exploit the artificial markers to georeference the 3D model accurately over the entire glacier area. The cartographic reference system adopted for all the 3D models is ETRF2000/UTM32N; the ellipsoidal height was reduced to orthometric height by applying the Italian geoid model ITALGEO05. Due to a large number of well-distributed ground control points, the 2021 aerial survey was considered the reference model (referred to as 'Model
- 165 Zero') to be used for multitemporal analyses. The 2020 survey was, therefore, co-registered (i.e., georeferenced in the same reference system, enabling the overlap of all the derivative products) with the 2021 survey.

To assess the advantages and disadvantages of a multiplatform, multiscale and multitemporal analysis, a Pleiades veryhigh-resolution satellite stereo-pair acquired in 2017 was also used. The satellite multispectral imagery (including visible and near-infrared data with a nominal GSD of 0.71 m resampled to 0.50 m) was processed to extract two orthoimages and one DSM.

# 2.2.2 Geophysical survey

170

The bathymetry of Seracchi Lake and the thickness of the sediments deposited on its bottom were determined by using a Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) (Sambuelli et al., 2015) supported by Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) measurements (He et al., 2021).

Both systems are based on the principle of the propagation of high-frequency electromagnetic signals, in the bandwidth between 30 MHz and 1 GHz. The signal propagation in natural media depends on the electromagnetic properties of the media (dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity). In a low-conductivity material, the signal propagates with a velocity related to the dielectric permittivity, according to  $v = c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ , where c is the electromagnetic wave velocity in vacuum and  $\varepsilon$  is the



relative dielectric permittivity of the material (Psarras, 2018). The velocity is usually estimated in the time domain: a signal
pulse is excited by an antenna (in GPR) or TDR device, and it propagates into the medium; part of the energy carried out by
the signal is scattered back (or reflected) when a contrast of electromagnetic impedance is encountered. The amount of energy
that is reflected depends on the contrast of electrical conductivity or dielectric permittivity between two different media. The
backscattered signal is then collected by an antenna (receiving antenna in GPR) or by an oscilloscope in the case of TDR
devices. In the GPR, the amplitude of the signal that is backscattered at the interface between two different media defines
the reflectivity of the target. The GPR approach for detecting the bathymetry of a lake is based on the reflectivity of the lake
bottom, based on the contrast of dielectric permittivity between water and sediments of the lake bottom.

The dielectric permittivity of water depends on the temperature, and it is slightly affected by salinity; typical values at low temperatures are around 80 (relative values, referring to the dielectric permittivity of vacuum), corresponding to an e.m. waves velocity in water of around 0.033 m/ns. In our case, with a 6-degree temperature and a relative permittivity of 83.3, the wave velocity was estimated to be 0.0327 m/ns. High porosity sediments could exhibit dielectric permittivity in the range between

velocity was estimated to be 0.0327 m/ns. High porosity sediments could exhibit dielectric permittivity in the range between 35 and 40. This means that the water-sediments interface should exhibit good reflectivity, given the contrast of dielectric permittivity values.

The GPR antenna had a central frequency of 200 MHz, which provides the best possible resolution while avoiding the energy dispersion that occurs in water at frequencies higher than 200 MHz (Bradford et al., 2007). The GPR system was installed on an inflatable rowing boat and the boat was moved to cover the whole area of the lake. The analysis of the GPR travel times provided the sections of the water depth and sediment thickness, which were interpolated into a bathymetric model.

The TDR probe, installed on a rod, was inserted in the lake bottom sediments at several locations and measured their electrical conductivity and dielectric permittivity. The valence of the TDR survey is double:

- to corroborate the interpretation of the GPR sections, because the punctual values of dielectric permittivity give an estimation of the electromagnetic wave velocity in the sediment (v), necessary to convert the GPR travel times into thickness of the sediments itself. Also, the dielectric permittivity can be used to define the expected reflectivity of the water-sediments interface and to estimate the sediment porosity, since they are considered fully saturated.
  - to assess the spatial variability of the type of sediments by measuring their electrical conductivity. In fact, the electrical conductivity of the lake sediments depends on the porosity, water salinity and temperature, and texture of the sediments; the electrical conductivity is a good indicator of the presence of finer material, as the bulk electrical conductivity usually increases due to the contribution of the surface electrical conduction of the finer particles.

To validate the GPR and TDR measurements, geotechnical analyses (grain size distribution and Atterberg's limits) were performed on a few sediment samples collected at the locations shown in Figure 10.

# 2.2.3 Hydraulic monitoring

210 The hydrography of the Rutor proglacial area is made complex by a sequence of flat and steep areas, by the presence of several proglacial lakes differently connected and by the contribution from three tongues of the glacier. In order to assess the partial

9

205

200



and total runoff, four instruments were installed to measure the water depth at different locations in the study area. The location of these water pressure gauges was determined by the accessibility and the geometry of the channel or lake and the presence of stable rocks or banks on which to install the instruments.

215

Two types of instruments were installed: i) a self-contained, water logger and transmitter measuring water level and temperature (OTT ecoLog 1000); ii) a combined measurement of water level, temperature, and conductivity (OTT CTD). The four locations of the gauges stations, from upstream to downstream, are L1 emissary, L2, L3 emissary, and the outflow of L4 (Figure 3). Water depth allows one to retrieve from direct velocity measurements the water discharge, and conductivity measurements allow water characterization for surface or groundwater flow, which is a matter of interest for L3 and L4. Therefore, the two OTT CTDs were installed in L1 and L3 emissaries.



**Figure 3.** Orthophoto of the Rutor proglacial area and the snout of the Rutor eastern tongue. The red polygon in the upper left orthophoto shows the position of the area enlarged in the right figure. The lakes (L1,L2,L3 and L4), the gauging stations and the geophones network are indicated.

220

- The upslope areas of the 4 sensors installed are:
- 5.3 km<sup>2</sup> for L1 gauging station,
- 12.6 km<sup>2</sup> for L2 gauging station,
- 4.9 km<sup>2</sup> for L3 gauging station,

# $- 18 \text{ km}^2 \text{ for L4 gauging station.}$

Since the area covered by the photogrammetric flight excluded a portion of the upstream area of L1 and L3 gauging stations, these areas were determined using the 2008 DSM of Aosta Valley, available at https://mappe.partout.it/pub/geonavit/geodownload.asp?carta=DSM08 (last access: 17 January 2023).





230

At all the cross sections of the gauging stations, with the exception of L2, the flow velocity was measured with a current meter. At L2, due to the high flow velocity during the summer season, direct measurements are not safe for the operator. To derive the discharge from the water level measurements, a stage-discharge (or rating) curve has to be developed. Flow velocity measurements were taken with an Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV) current meter in the cross-section of gauging station L4 for a total of 9 surveys.



Figure 4. In the left-hand figure an Orthophoto of L4 with a red rectangle indicating the location of the enlarged area in the right-hand figure. In the latter, the L4 measuring station, the cross-section where the ADV measurements were taken and the DIATI 71 spot height are shown. In the bottom figure, the longitudinal cross-section of the L4 outfall shows the reference water depth of the emissary (h) and the reference total head measured in the lake (H).

L4 is the largest and the most downstream lake of the area collecting the whole meltwater of the Rutor Glacier and the 235 suspended sediment of the upstream area. Monitoring the water level and the outflow of L4 is crucial to assess the water and sediment budget of the Rutor proglacial area. To monitor the water level in the lake, the relationship between the water level recorded continuously in the L4 gauging station and the water level in L4 was determined. A spot height was placed on a rock near the shore of the lake (Figure 4); the water level of the lake was assessed by measuring the altitude difference with the spot height (DIATI 71) using a laser level and a levelling staff. A total of 15 altitude difference measurements were taken during the 2022 summer campaigns. The position of the instrument at L4 gauging station and the geometry of the L4 outfall cross-section 240 were measured with a RTK positioning approach (Table 3). This made it possible to determine the position of the measuring point of the instrument and to establish a reference elevation against which to assign the water depth in the outfall cross-section (h) and the water depth in the lake (H). The elevation of the bed of the L4 emissary, where the ADV measurements were taken, is considered the reference elevation; the water depth in the outfall (h) and in L4 (H) was assessed by subtracting the orthometric elevation of the bed of the L4 emissary from their geodetic elevation. 245



Table 3. Orthometric height of spot height DIATI 71, L4 gauging station measuring point and reference elevation.

	Orthometric height (m a.s.l.)
DIATI 71	2388.14
Measuring point at L4 gauging station	2386.50
Reference elevation	2386.12

## 2.2.4 Bedload monitoring

Quantitative sediment transport estimation in proglacial streams is challenging due to frequent geomorphic changes associated with snow cover/melt and glacier dynamics. A growing number of studies investigate the use of seismic techniques to obtain continuous, indirect measurements of bedload transport (e.g., Bakker et al., 2020; Coviello et al., 2018; Schmandt et al., 2013). Geophones installed near a stream channel detect seismic waves produced by two different seismic sources: coarse particles impacting on the channel bed and flow turbulence. We use a low-cost and easy-to-install geophone network to investigate the temporal variability of the hydro-sedimentary export from the snout of the Rutor glacier. Data are recorded with a DATA-

- CUBE3 (solar power supply, 24-bit converter, GPS-based time synchronization) with a sampling frequency of 200 Hz and stored on site. On 10 July 2021, we deployed a temporary monitoring network composed of three single-component geophones
  (4.5 Hz) installed along the proglacial stream draining the eastern tongue of the Rutor glacier. The geophones were installed a
- few meters from the right bank of the channel, about 200 m downstream of the glacier snout (Figure 5). The monitored channel reach (main channel in Figure 5) features a wetted perimeter of about 10 m and a slope of 2°. An ephemeral stream channel crosses the area monitored by Geo 1, which is the sensor located at the smallest distance from the main channel, (about 3 m). This ephemeral stream is a tributary of the main channel and likely activates during intense rainstorm events. On the other side of the ephemeral stream are installed Geo 2 and Geo 3, at a distance of 6 m and 8 m from the main channel, respectively.

# 3 Results

250

The dataset derived from the results presented in the following sections is also accessible in a WebGIS (available at https://poli. maps.arcgis.com/apps/instant/3dviewer/index.html?appid=0c63aa5cc0e8436ca1e7cd3dc214bc27, last access: 17 January 2023) through which it is possible to find the link to the open repository according to the location of the monitored/surveyed point.

## 265 3.1 Orthophotos and DSMs products

The images acquired with the photogrammetric drone and aerial flights were processed to obtain a 3D model of the terrain and additional cartographic products, i.e. orthophotos and DSMs. The use of a Rover Base System and the presence of measured markers enabled the extraction of 3D data with centimetric accuracy (including the vertical component). 2021 drone orthoimages and DSMs have a 2D spatial resolution lower than 0.04 m: the mosaic of such metric products provides a very detailed







**Figure 5.** View on the monitored reach of the proglacial stream draining the eastern tongue of the Rutor glacier. Red dots indicate the location of the geophones, and the dashed blue line is the limits of the ephemeral stream flowing into the main channel.

270 model of the area covering the path of the water melted from the eastern glacier tongue towards the periglacial lakes (Figure 6, right).



Figure 6. Aerial orthophoto as of September 2021 (left) and Drone high-resolution mosaic of orthophotos of 9<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>th</sup>July 2021 (right)





2020 and 2021 aerial orthoimages have a slightly lower spatial resolution (about 0.07 m) with respect to drone ones, while the aerial DSMs have a spatial resolution of about 0.2 m. Figure 6 clearly shows the larger coverage of the aerial orthoimage (left) with respect to the drone one (right).

275

A multi-temporal analysis was carried out by means of i) a comparison of drone and aerial orthoimages to highlight the eastern glacial front retreat and ii) a difference of the aerial DSMs to estimate glacier surface elevation differences. Both analyses confirmed that the eastern tongue is the one undergoing the most significant mass loss and an apparent front retreat.

The multitemporal orthoimage comparison (Figure 7, including additional data sources in different years) shows that the front is receding annually. The glacier tongue front has receded by more than 200 m in 9 years (archive dataset acquired in 2012 available at http://www.pcn.minambiente.it/mattm/servizio-wms/, last access: 17 January 2023) and about 100 m from 2017 to 2021.



Figure 7. Multitemporal analysis of eastern glacial front retreat

The aerial DSMs were preliminary compared to the LiDAR DSM as of 2008 available on Valle d'Aosta Geoportal to verify the consistency of the produced model, checking the stability of the periglacial rocky areas. Subsequently, 2021 and 2020 DSMs were subtracted to quantify glacier ablation and displacement (Figure 8). The comparison of 2020 and 2021 aerial DSM showed considerable subsidence in the lower part of the glacier, with a marked decrease in glacial volume. The same elevation profile from 2020 and 2021 is compared in Figure 8. Both profiles show a decrease of the glacier surface in the three



glacial tongues and throughout the lower part of the glacier, with the most significant decrease in the eastern (about 4 meters difference).



**Figure 8.** (a) Difference between the DSM of 2020 and 2021. The black line refers to the cross-section A-A', whose 2020 (red) and 2021 (blue) elevation profiles are shown in panel (b), with a zoom-in on the central tongue of the glacier in panel (c).

290

Additionally, a comparison with the 2008 DSM shows a lowering of glacier surface up to 50 meters in glacial front areas. As far as very high-resolution satellite stereo pairs are concerned, they enable the extraction of 3D information with a lower vertical accuracy (metric level) with respect to aerial and drone data. Nevertheless, the coverage of a much larger area (in the range of hundreds of square kilometres) enables a multiscale and multiplatform approach to identify the most critical areas where to focus the monitoring activities in the field (Macelloni et al., 2022; Giulio Tonolo et al., 2020).

# 3.2 Bathymetry and lake bed sediment distribution

- 295 The outcome of the GPR survey is a series of georeferenced x-depth sections of the lake. The radar reflections depict two main interfaces: the "water fine sediments" interface, which represents the lake bottom, and a second deeper interface, which separates the fine sediments from the underlying ground layer. In the example in Figure 9, the first (lake bottom) interface starts at near 0 depth at the left border of the picture, deepening until 250 ns (3.5 m) in the centre of the picture, and then ascending to 0 depth in the right part. The average water depth of the lake was 3.9 meters and the maximum depth was around 11 meters
- 300 in July 2021. The x-y-z locations of the first interface, representing the lake bottom, detected in all the GPR sections, were interpolated to produce a bathymetry map (Figure 10, which also displays the sediment thickness distribution and the electrical





conductivity measurements). The perimeter of the lake, retrieved from the 6-cm-resolution orthophoto, was useful to fix the 0-depth in the interpolation process.



Figure 9. Example of a GPR section of the Seracchi Lake. Relevant reflections are the water - fine sediments interface and, deeper, the fine sediments - coarse sediments interface).

305

The deeper interface in Figure 9 is fairly distinguishable and runs parallel to the first interface, deepening until 350 ns. In the left and centre of the image, the sediment thickness is more than double compared to the right part of the image. Under the second interface, many sparse reflections are visible, thus the underlying layer is probably not formed by compact rock, but by coarse debris or sediments, possibly a heterogeneous glacial till. The second deeper interface was interpreted as the bottom of the fine sediment layer. To convert the radar two-way travel times to the thickness of this layer, we needed an estimation of the signal propagation velocity in the fine sediments. The TDR probe measured a fairly uniform average relative electrical permittivity of 36 +- 3, which was converted to a propagation velocity of about 0.05 m/ns. Similarly to 310 bathymetry, an interpolation process produced a final map of the thickness distribution. Figure 10 shows that a major sediment accumulation has happened in the zones near the glacier inflows (from the Southeast). Aside from this, the fine sediment layer is quite homogeneously distributed all around the lake, with an average of 1.6 meters of thickness. Unfortunately, the zones where the water was deeper than 6-7 meters could not be penetrated with sufficient energy and the second interface was lost. This is the main limitation of the GPR survey, which restricts its range of applicability to other proglacial lakes, is the depth 315 of investigation. We expect that after 15 meters of depth even the first interface could not be detected anymore, in similar conditions (200 MHz antenna, low-conductivity water).

The TDR probe measured, other than the permittivity, also the electrical conductivity of the sediments. The locations of measurements, which also correspond to the permittivity measurements, are shown in Figure 9. This property had a uniform value, except in small areas near the inflows. This means that the type of sediment in those zones is different from the rest of 320 the lake. Thanks to sediment sampling (locations in Figure 9) and grain size distribution analysis, together with the electrical conductivity distribution, we reconstructed that the fine sediment layer is fairly uniform around the lake and contains around







Figure 10. Results of the GPR and TDR geophysical survey. In a blue colour scale, the bathymetry of the lake. The brown contour lines indicate the areas where the sediment layer is thicker (in particular near the inflows from the glacier). The yellow-to-blue points indicate the TDR measurements of electrical conductivity. The electrical permittivity is not shown here but it is fairly uniform (average = 36). The three black flags indicate the locations of manual sediment samplings. Colour scale according to Crameri et al. (2020)

50 % of clayish-sized material, while near the inflows there is coarser gravel because the flow velocity does not allow the fine particles to sediment.

#### 325 3.3 Hydrometric monitoring

The ecoLog1000 and CTDs instruments were first installed in July 2021 and June 2022, respectively. The measuring periods of each sensor are shown in a time: measured-quantity diagram in Table 1.

At the L4 gauging station, a set of velocity-based discharge measurements (Q) taken in the summer of 2021 and 2022 were related to the corresponding water depth measured at the gauge (h), in order to plot the stage-discharge diagram (Fig. 11(a);

- details of the procedure followed to determine the stage-discharge relationship are given in Appendix A). Discharge measure-330 ments were also used to calibrate the lake outflow curve, i.e., the relationship between the hydraulic head (H) in the lake and the flowing discharge (see Fig. 11(c)). For this purpose, a linear fitting between the water depth at the gauge (h) and the Hydraulic head in the lake (H) was also calibrated (Fig. 11(b),  $R^2 \sim 0.98$ ), since the water levels in the lake and in the control cross-section in the stream are strictly related but not equal, due to the head-dependant outflow process and water speed.
- 335 Thanks to these results it has been possible to reconstruct the high-resolution (10-minute acquisition time) temporal sequence of discharge flowing from the lake and primarily driven by the glacier melt. Figure 12(a) shows this temporal sequence.







Figure 11. (a) Discharge measurements in L4 emissary and the corresponding water depth (h). (b) Measurements of total head H and the corresponding water depth h. The linear interpolation equation and the coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) are reported. (c) Discharge measurements and the corresponding total head H and the stage-discharge curve for L4 emissary.

340

Using meteorological data from the Grande Tête weather station managed by ARPA Valle d'Aosta (data available at https://presidi2.regione.vda.it/str\_dataview\_download, last access: 19 January 2023), we observed that water level and water temperature are strongly correlated with air temperature, and this correlation is higher in summer. The average air temperature recorded between May and August 2022 is 3 degrees higher than in 2021. Consequently, the average flow measured in July and August in the L4 outfall in 2022 is higher (by 52%) than that measured in 2021 for the same period. The difference between the amplitude of the water level fluctuation in 2022 and 2021 is more pronounced in early summer (Fig. 12(a)), due to the different air temperature in May 2021 and 2022, which was on average 5 degrees higher in 2022 than in 2021 (Fig. 12(b)), which led to an earlier discharge of meltwater in 2022 than in the previous year.

- 345 The water discharge caused by glacier melt has a strong daily periodicity, perturbed occasionally by rainfall events. Unlike the contribution of glacier melt to water discharge, the contribution of rainfall is not periodic within the day, thus altering the otherwise daily periodic flow pattern in glacier-fed watercourses. The auto-correlation functions of the water depth time series measured at L4 and L2 highlight the daily periodicity that is strongly related to the glacier melt (Fig. 13 (a) and (b)). However, the amplitude of these functions in 2021 is smaller than in 2022 and their daily means cross earlier the zero axis (about 5 350 days in L4 and 2 days in L2). This fact can be attributed to the different sizes and numbers of precipitation events in the two
- years (Fig. 12). Rainfall in 2021 was more frequent than in 2022, and the July-August cumulative rainfall was 238,6 mm and 82,6 mm in 2021 and 2022, respectively. Accordingly, as the frequency of precipitation increases, the auto-correlation function decreases.

#### 3.4 **Bedload monitoring**

Preliminary results show how an array of single-component geophones installed close to the flow path can detect both daily 355 and longer-period fluctuations in bedload and water flow. The geophone signal mirrors well the flow of daily cycles with







**Figure 12.** (a) Discharge time series in L4 emissary in 2021 and in 2022. (b) Geophone 3 signal envelope in 2021 and in 2022 calculated on a time window of 1-min .(c) Daily precipitation (solid line) and 10-day moving mean of air temperature (dashed-dotted lines) measured at La Thuile-Grande Tête weather station in 2021 and in 2022.

fluctuations within a period of 24 hours and permits the identification of time intervals characterized by intense transport (Figure 12b). Raw seismic signals were filtered in the band 5-95 Hz and then the envelope was calculated as the average of the absolute value of the filtered signal over a time window of 1 min (Figure 12b).

360

Results highlight the signal fluctuations and suggest that intense runoff with bedload transport occurred during specific days (i.e., 13 July, 28 July, 4 August, 7 August, and 12-13 August). The larger flood event was detected on 7 August 2021 (Figure 14), during which a marked increase of the seismic power was observed (i.e., one order of magnitude) compared to time periods characterized by low water flow and no bedload transport. In 2021, we directly observed the absence of bedload transport in three days (10 July, 20 July and 13 September). During the 2022 season, we performed direct measurements of bedload transport at the glacier mouth by means of portable samplers on the occasion of one day of intense glacier melt (14

365







Figure 13. Level fluctuations recorded in 2021 (blue) and 2022 (magenta) by measuring stations L4 (a) and L2 (b) and the corresponding autocorrelation function in the panels (c) and (d) respectively.

July) and at the end of the monitoring season (16 September). Bedload traps (4 mm mesh size,  $20 \times 30$  cm opening, (Bunte et al., 2004)) were deployed simultaneously at 2 positions. Measured unit bedload rates feature a large variability ranging from 0.02 to 16.2 kg/m/min in a few hours, as already observed in glacierized basins (Coviello et al., 2022). Bedload samples were sieved and weighed to obtain the grain size distribution. The total bedload transport rate  $Q_s$  (kg/min above 4 mm) for each sampling period (ranging from 2 to 30 min) was estimated as width-weighted averages based on the available positions sampled. The dataset of direct measurements will be expanded in 2023 and used to calibrate the seismic data and extract quantitative information on the bedload export from the glacier.







Figure 14. Waveforms recorded on 7 August 2021 and power spectra of a specific portion of the signal (blue boxes, from noon to midnight UTC).

#### Data availability.

Five different datasets were produced. These datasets are listed below and accessible in a WebGIS (available at https: 375 //poli.maps.arcgis.com/apps/instant/3dviewer/index.html?appid=0c63aa5cc0e8436ca1e7cd3dc214bc27, last access: 14 March 2023) through which the link to the open archive can be found according to the location of the monitored/surveyed point:

- The orthophotos and DSMs database is available on the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7713299 (Corte et al. (2023b));
- The footprints of the various glacial fronts obtained from the elaborated cartographic products database is available on the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7713146 (Corte et al. (2023c))

380



- The bathymetry and sediment thickness of L4 database is available on the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.7682072 (Corte et al. (2023a));
- The dataset of the water depth measured by the instrument installed at gauging stations L1, L2, L3 and L4 and the relationship between the water depth and the wetted area at gauging station L4 is available on the Zenodo repository at https://zenodo.org/record/7697100 (Corte et al. (2023d));

385

 The geophones monitoring database is available on the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7708800 (Corte et al. (2023e)).

Our objective is to increase and update the dataset by continuing to monitor and survey the Rutor Glacier and its proglacial area over the years through this multidisciplinary approach.

# 390 4 Discussion and conclusions

At present, to the best of our knowledge, there is a lack of studies in the literature on proglacial areas involving multitemporal geospatial surveys with continuous monitoring during the ablation period, which merge the contributions of different disciplines. At the same time, very few cases exist of continuous monitoring of streamflow at high frequency and high altitude. In this work, a multidisciplinary and multitemporal approach was presented to characterise the Rutor glacier and its proglacial

- 395 area. The dataset presented in this paper completely accessible in a free-access repository as well as through a WebGIS application – includes: a multitemporal geospatial dataset composed by 3D models of the entire glacial and proglacial area at very high spatial resolution and positional accuracy (both in the range of few centimetres and based on different geomatics techniques); a dataset of water depths measured at four different locations within the hydrographic network of the proglacial area with a temporal resolution of 10 minutes and the wetted area associated with different water depths in the cross-section
- 400 of L4 outfall; geotechnical analyses performed on the L4 sediments (e.g. particle size distribution and Atterberg limits) and maps of the bathymetry and sediment thickness of L4; geophone data collected along the proglacial stream fed by the right tongue of the glacier, which are transformed into signal envelopes computed as the average of the absolute values of the raw data calculated over a 1-minute time window.
- It is instructive to summarise some direct examples of the synergies involved in a multidisciplinary approach for the investi-405 gated area. Firstly, the comparison of multitemporal 3D geospatial data determined that the eastern tongue is losing mass faster 405 than the other, leading to the intensification of measurements at L1 and nearby the eastern tongue of the glacier. Secondly, 406 the orthoimage based on the photogrammetric drone surveys carried out at the same time as the geophysical survey, enabled 407 the accurate extraction of the lake perimeter, which - integrated with the data acquired from the GPR - resulted in an accurate 408 bathymetry of the lake and allowed to get the exact outline of the zero-depth points at the time of the investigation. Thirdly,
- 410 continuous hydraulic monitoring at the L4 gauging station and the relationship between the water depth measured by the sensor and the lake's depth provided the volume change of L4 over time. In addition, combining the bathymetry map with the DSM of the surrounding area will enable the determination of the water volume of L4 when the water level is higher than at the time of



(A2)

the geophysical survey. Lastly, the extracted products of the crewed aerial photogrammetric flights allowed the Environmental Agency (ARPA VDA) to develop the mass balance for the hydrological years under consideration. The comparison of different
DSMs sets the basis for continuous monitoring over time, in which the 2021 model will serve as a reference for future comparisons. It is important to stress that the accurate georeferencing of all the acquired data with respect to the same Datum plays a crucial role in the data integration phase and in enabling the multitemporal analyses.

Future modelling of the water flow and sediment transport in L4 may be based on the bathymetry map combined with the inflow and outflow measurements. The GPR and TDR surveys, with a few ground-proof sediment sampling, evidenced that

- 420 in about 140 years since the birth of the lake, a fine sediment layer thick 1.6 m on average was deposited on the lake bottom. The future sediment transport deserves further investigation, because it may change due to the rapid shrinking of the Rutor glacier, whose bedrock erosion is the source of the fine sediment found in the lake. An approach to model these changes could involve temporal monitoring of water turbidity as a proxy of the concentration of suspended sediment in the various inflows and outflows of the interconnected water bodies.
- 425 The multidisciplinary approach and the dataset herein presented enable the characterisation, monitoring and understanding of a set of complex processes that take place in the studied area, allowing the authors to shed light on interconnected phenomena with a broader perspective than a single scientific discipline approach. Indeed, the results of a combined effort often go beyond the sum of each contribution.

# Appendix A: Stage-discharge relationship for L4

430 The procedure followed to measure the velocity is reported in ISO 748:2007. The methods used to determine the discharge from current-meter measurements are classified in ISO 748:2007 as the graphical method and the arithmetic method. The latter, which is more suitable for computations carried out in the field, includes two methods: the mean-section method and the mid-section method. The discharge was determined by applying both arithmetic methods and averaging the two results.

The power law is the one that best represents the stage-discharge measurements (Figure 11):

Water discharge can be written as a function of the wetted area of the cross-section:

435 
$$Q = 12.118 \times h^{4.0042}, \quad R^2 = 0.925.$$
 (A1)

The lowest water discharge measured corresponds to a water depth in the cross-section of 0.49 m. In fact, the power law describes well the Q - h relationship when h is greater than half a metre. For shallower water depths, the power law returns a flow rate that is too low for the geometry of the cross-section considered. Consequently, for h < 0.49 m, the stage-discharge curve was obtained by taking into account the geometry of the cross-section.

440

$$Q = k \times \Omega^m,$$

where Q is the discharge, k is a flow resistance coefficient,  $\Omega$  is the wetted area and m is a coefficient dependent on the crosssection geometry. To obtain the expression of the coefficient m, the stage-discharge relationship and Chézy's equation were







Figure A1. The wetted area and the corresponding wetted perimeter for water depths between h = 0 and h = 52 cm.

expanded using the Taylor series and set equal each other, thus obtaining:

445 
$$m = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Omega_0}{B_0} \left(\frac{dB}{d\Omega}\right)_{\Omega_0}.$$
 (A3)

This coefficient depends on the wetted area  $(\Omega)$  and the wetted perimeter (B) of the cross-section.

The geometry of the cross-section of L4's emissary was determined through an RTK survey. The measurements of the three coordinates of the points within the cross-section bed were with steps of about 20 cm along the cross direction.

When visualizing the cross-section geometry and the curve that describes how the wet perimeter changes with the wet area
(Figure A1), it is clear that two different stage-discharge relationships, corresponding to two different water depth intervals, must be considered:

$$Q = k_1 \times \Omega(h)^{m_1}, \quad 0 \text{ m} \le h \le 0.34 \text{ m}$$
(A1)

$$Q = k_2 \times \Omega(h)^{m_2}, \quad 0.34 \text{ m} \le h \le 0.49 \text{ m}.$$
 (A2)

For each interval, the coefficient m was calculated as the mean of all the values determined at each point within the corre-455 sponding interval. Considering the first interval from  $h_1$  to  $h_n$  and the second from  $h_{n+1}$  to  $h_l$ , the coefficients were calculated according to:

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Omega_i}{B_i} \left(\frac{dB}{d\Omega}\right)_{\Omega_i}, \quad 0 \text{ m} \le h \le 0.34 \text{ m} = 1.487$$
(A3)

$$m_2 = \frac{1}{l-n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{l} \frac{5}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Omega_i}{B_i} \left(\frac{dB}{d\Omega}\right)_{\Omega_i} = 1.0609, \quad 0.34 \text{ m} \le h \le 0.49 \text{ m}.$$
 (A4)

The k coefficients were calculated by imposing the continuity stage-discharge relationship respectively at h = 0.34 cm and 460 h = 0.49 cm, thus obtaining  $k_1 = 0.232$  and  $k_2 = 0.257$ . The definitive stage-discharge relationship is given by three different





relationships corresponding to three different water depth intervals:

$Q = 0.232 \times \Omega^{1.487},  0 \text{ m} \le h \le 0.34 \text{ m}$	(A5)
$Q = 0.257 \times \Omega^{1.069},  0.34 \text{ m} \le h \le 0.49 \text{ m}$	(A6)
$Q = 12.118 \times h^{4.0042},  h \ge 0.49 \text{ m}.$	(A7)

465 A1

## Author contributions.

Conceptualization & Supervision (AC, CC, AG, ST); Investigation (All authors); Data Curation (EC, VC, MMM, AV); Visualization (AA, EC, MMM, FGT, AV); EC prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

## Competing interests.

470 The authors declare that no competing interests are present

*Acknowledgements.* We thank Umberto Morra di Cella (ARPA - Valle d'Aosta) for the collaboration and interesting discussions, and Francesco Comiti and Matthias Bonfrisco (Free University of Bozen) for supporting bedload measurements. The research has been funded by the European Union with the Alcotra-Interreg project R.I.T.A and the Italian Ministry for Education and Research (MIUR), through the project "Department of Excellence".



# 475 References

480

495

Bakker, M., Gimbert, F., Geay, T., Misset, C., Zanker, S., and Recking, A.: Field Application and Validation of a Seismic Bedload Transport Model, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf., 125, e2019JF005416, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005416, 2020.

Baretti, M.: Il ghiacciaio ed i laghi del Rutor, Tipografia Editrice di G. Candeletti, 1880.

- Bogen, J., Xu, M., and Kennie, P.: The impact of pro-glacial lakes on downstream sediment delivery in Norway, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 40, 942–952, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3669, 2015.
- Bradford, J. H., Johnson, C. R., Brosten, T., McNamara, J. P., and Gooseff, M. N.: Imaging thermal stratigraphy in freshwater lakes using georadar, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L24 405, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032488, 2007.
  - Bunte, K., Abt, S. R., Potyondy, J. P., and Ryan, S. E.: Measurement of Coarse Gravel and Cobble Transport Using Portable Bedload Traps, J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE, 130, 879–893, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2004)130:9(879), 2004.
- 485 Camporese, M., Penna, D., Borga, M., and Paniconi, C.: A field and modeling study of nonlinear storage-discharge dynamics for an Alpine headwater catchment, Water Resour. Res., 50, 806–822, 2014.
  - Carrer, M., Dibona, R., Prendin, A. L., and Brunetti, M.: Recent waning snowpack in the Alps is unprecedented in the last six centuries, Nat. Clim. Chang., pp. 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01575-3, 2023.
- Carrivick, J. L. and Tweed, F. S.: Deglaciation controls on sediment yield: Towards capturing spatio-temporal variability, Earth-Sci. Rev.,
   221, 103 809, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103809, 2021.
  - Cavalli, M., Heckmann, T., and Marchi, L.: Sediment Connectivity in Proglacial Areas, pp. 271–287, Geography of the Physical Environment, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018.
    - Corte, E., Ajmar, A., Camporeale, C., Cina, A., Coviello, V., Giulio Tonolo, F., Godio, A., Macelloni, M. M., Oggeri, C., Tamea, S., and Vergnano, A.: Bathymetry, sediment thickness, and geotechnical-geophysical properties of sediments of Lake Seracchi in Rutor proglacial area, Zenodo repository, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7682072, last access: 6 March 2023, 2023a.
  - Corte, E., Ajmar, A., Camporeale, C., Cina, A., Coviello, V., Giulio Tonolo, F., Godio, A., Macelloni, M. M., Tamea, S., and Vergnano, A.: Orthophoto and DSM Rutor Glacier, Zenodo repository, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7713299, last access: 6 March 2023, 2023b.
    - Corte, E., Ajmar, A., Camporeale, C., Cina, A., Coviello, V., Giulio Tonolo, F., Godio, A., Macelloni, M. M., Tamea, S., and Vergnano, A.:
- Rutor glacier fronts footprints, Zenodo repository, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7713146, last access: 6 March 2023, 2023c.
   Corte, E., Ajmar, A., Camporeale, C., Cina, A., Coviello, V., Giulio Tonolo, F., Godio, A., Macelloni, M. M., Tamea, S., and Vergnano, A.: Hydrometric data in the Rutor proglacial area, Zenodo repository, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.7697100, last access: 6 March 2023, 2023d.
- Corte, E., Ajmar, A., Camporeale, C., Cina, A., Coviello, V., Giulio Tonolo, F., Godio, A., Macelloni, M. M., Tamea, S., Vergnano,
  A., Bonfrisco, M., and Comiti, F.: Geophone data in the Rutor proglacial area (Valle d'Aosta, Italy), Zenodo repository, Dataset,

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7708800, last access: 6 March 2023, 2023e.

- Coviello, V., Capra, L., Vázquez, R., and Márquez-Ramírez, V. H.: Seismic characterization of hyperconcentrated flows in a volcanic environment, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 43, 2219–2231, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4387, 2018.
- Coviello, V., Vignoli, G., Simoni, S., Bertoldi, W., Engel, M., Buter, A., Marchetti, G., Andreoli, A., Savi, S., and Comiti, F.: Bedload Fluxes
- in a Glacier-Fed River at Multiple Temporal Scales, Water Resour. Res., 58, e2021WR031873, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR031873, 2022.



515

535

- Curry, A. M., Cleasby, V., and Zukowskyj, P.: Paraglacial response of steep, sediment-mantled slopes to post-'Little Ice Age' glacier recession in the central Swiss Alps, J. Quat. Sci., 21, 211–225, https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.954, 2006.
- Delaney, I., Bauder, A., Huss, M., and Weidmann, Y.: Proglacial erosion rates and processes in a glacierized catchment in the Swiss Alps, Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 43, 765–778, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4239, 2018.
- Giulio Tonolo, F., Cina, A., Manzino, A., and Fronteddu, M.: 3D Glacier Mapping by means of satellite stereo images: The Belvedere glacier case study in the Italian Alps, ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, XLIII-B2-2020, 1073–1079, https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLIII-B2-2020-1073-2020, 2020.
- Gizzi, M., Mondani, M., Suozzi, E., Glenda, T., and Lo Russo, S.: Aosta Valley Mountain Springs: A Preliminary Analysis for Understanding
  Variations in Water Resource Availability under Climate Change, Water, 14, https://doi.org/10.3390/w14071004, 2022.
  - Grove, J. M.: Little Ice Ages. Ancient and Modern: 2nd edition, Routledge, 2004.
    - Guillon, H., Mugnier, J. L., and Buoncristiani, J. F.: Proglacial sediment dynamics from daily to seasonal scales in a glaciated Alpine catchment (Bossons glacier, Mont Blanc massif, France), Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 43, 1478–1495, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4333, 2018.
- Hallet, B., Hunter, L., and Bogen, J.: Rates of erosion and sediment evacuation by glaciers: A review of field data and their implications, Glob.
   Planet. Change, 12, 213–235, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181(95)00021-6, impact of Glaciations on Basin Evolution:
   Data and Models from the Norwegian Margin and Adjacent Areas, 1996.
  - He, H., Aogu, K., Li, M., Xu, J., Sheng, W., Jones, S. B., González-Teruel, J. D., Robinson, D. A., Horton, R., Bristow, K., Dyck, M., Filipović, V., Noborio, K., Wu, Q., Jin, H., Feng, H., Si, B., and Lv, J.: A review of time domain reflectometry (TDR) applications
- in porous media, in: Advances in Agronomy, vol. 168, pp. 83–155, Academic Press Inc., https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2021.02.003, 2021.
  - Hicks, D. M., McSaveney, M. J., and Chinn, T. J.: Sedimentation in proglacial Ivory Lake, Southern Alps, New Zealand, Arct. Alp. Res., 22, 26–42, https://doi.org/10.2307/1551718, 1990.
  - Hilger, L. and Beylich, A. A.: Sediment Budgets in High-Mountain Areas: Review and Challenges, chap. 15, pp. 251–269, Springer International Publishing, Cham, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94184-4\_15, 2019.
  - Laute, K. and Beylich, A. A.: Environmental controls, rates and mass transfers of contemporary hillslope processes in the headwaters of two glacier-connected drainage basins in western Norway, Geomorphology, 216, 93–113, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.021, 2014.
- Macelloni, M. M., Corte, E., Ajmar, A., Cina, A., Giulio Tonolo, F., Maschio, P. F., and Pisoni, I. N.: Multi-platform, Multi-scale and Multi temporal 4D Glacier Monitoring. The Rutor Glacier Case Study, in: Geomatics for Green and Digital Transition, edited by Borgogno Mondino, E. and Zamperlin, P., pp. 392–404, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2022.
  - Mao, L., Comiti, F., Carrillo, R., and Penna, D.: Sediment Transport in Proglacial Rivers, in: Geomorphology of Proglacial Systems, Geography of the Physical Environment, pp. 199–217, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018.
- Matthews, J. A.: Geomorphology of Proglacial Systems: Landform and Sediment Dynamics in Recently Deglaciated Alpine Landscapes, vol. 29 (7), Springer Cham, https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683619840576, 2019.
  - Matthews, J. A. and Briffa, K. R.: The 'little ice age': re-evaluation of an evolving concept, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A-Phys. Geogr., 87, 17–36, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0435-3676.2005.00242.x, 2005.
  - Müller, B. U.: Paraglacial sedimentation and denudation processes in an Alpine valley of Switzerland. An approach to the quantification of sediment budgets, Geodin. Acta, 12, 291–301, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0985-3111(00)87046-1, 1999.



- 550 Orombelli, G.: Il ghiacciaio del Rutor (Valle d'Aosta) nella piccola era glaciale, Geogr. Fis. Din. Quat., 2005.
  - Orwin, J. F. and Smart, C. C.: Short-term spatial and temporal patterns of suspended sediment transfer in proglacial channels, small River Glacier, Canada, Hydrol. Process., 18, 1521–1542, 2004.

Psarras, G. C.: Fundamentals of dielectric theories, in: Dielectric Polymer Materials for High-Density Energy Storage, pp. 11–57, Elsevier, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813215-9.00002-6, 2018.

- 555 Sacco, F.: Il ghiacciaio ed i laghi del Rutor, vol. 36, Provveditorato generale dello stato, 1917.
  - Sambuelli, L., Colombo, N., Giardino, M., and Godone, D.: A waterborne GPR survey to estimate fine sediments volume and find optimum core location in a Rockglacier Lake, in: Near Surface Geoscience 2015 - 21st European Meeting of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics, 1, pp. 811–815, European Association of Geoscientists and Engineers, EAGE, https://doi.org/10.3997/2214-4609.201413826, 2015.
- 560 Schmandt, B., Aster, R. C., Scherler, D., Tsai, V. C., and Karlstrom, K.: Multiple fluvial processes detected by riverside seismic and infrasound monitoring of a controlled flood in the Grand Canyon, Geophys. Res. Lett, 40, 4858–4863, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50953, 2013.

Slaymaker, O.: Criteria to Distinguish Between Periglacial, Proglacial and Paraglacial Environments, Quaest. Geogr., 30, 85–94, https://doi.org/doi:10.2478/v10117-011-0008-y, 2011.

Strigaro, D., Moretti, M., Mattavelli, M., Frigerio, I., Amicis, M. D., and Maggi, V.: A GRASS GIS module to obtain an estimation of glacier
behavior under climate change: A pilot study on Italian glacier, Comput. Geosci., 94, 68–76, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2016.06.009, 2016.

Valbusa, U. and Peretti, L.: Relazioni delle Campagne Glaciologiche del 1936, vol. 17, Tipografia Nazionale di G. Bertero e C., 1937.

Villa, F., De Amicis, M., and Maggi, V.: GIS analysis of Rutor Glacier (Aosta Valley, Italy) volume and terminus variations, Geogr. Fis. Din. Quat., 30, 87–95, 2007.

570 Zhang, T., Li, D., East, A. E., Walling, D. E., Lane, S., Overeem, I., Beylich, A. A., Koppes, M., and Lu, X.: Warming-driven erosion and sediment transport in cold regions, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00362-0, 2022.