A global surface CO$_2$ flux dataset (2015–2022) inferred from OCO-2 retrievals using the GONGGA inversion system
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Abstract. Accurate assessment of the size and distribution of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) sources and sinks is important for efforts to understand the carbon cycle and support policy decisions regarding climate mitigation actions. Satellite retrievals of the column-averaged dry-air mole fractions of CO$_2$ (XCO$_2$) have been widely used to infer spatial and temporal variations of carbon fluxes through atmospheric inversion techniques. In this study, we present a global spatially resolved terrestrial and ocean carbon flux dataset for 2015–2022. The dataset was generated by the Global ObservatioN-based system for monitoring Greenhouse GAses (GONGGA) atmospheric inversion system through the assimilation of Orbiting Carbon Observatory 2 (OCO-2) XCO$_2$ retrievals. We describe the carbon budget, interannual variability, and seasonal cycle for the global scale and a set of TransCom regions. The 8-year mean net biosphere exchange and ocean carbon fluxes were $-2.22 \pm 0.75$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ and $-2.32 \pm 0.18$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, absorbing approximately 23% and 24% of contemporary fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions, respectively. The annual mean global atmospheric CO$_2$ growth rate was $5.17 \pm 0.68$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) measurement ($5.24 \pm 0.59$ PgC yr$^{-1}$). Europe has the largest terrestrial sink among the 11 TransCom land regions, followed by Boreal Asia and Temperate Asia. The dataset was evaluated by comparing posterior CO$_2$ simulations with the observations from Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON) and Observation Package (ObsPack). Compared with CO$_2$ simulations using the unoptimized fluxes, the bias and root mean square error of posterior CO$_2$ simulations were largely reduced across the full range of locations, confirming that the GONGGA system improves the estimates of spatial and temporal variations in carbon fluxes by assimilating OCO-2 XCO$_2$ data. This dataset will improve the broader understanding of global carbon cycle dynamics and their response to climate change. The dataset can be accessed at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8368846 (Jin et al., 2023a).
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1 Introduction

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations are rapidly rising, mainly because of increases in anthropogenic emissions. Land and oceans can absorb substantial amounts of CO₂ and thus mitigate global warming. During the past decade (2012–2021), approximately one fourth of total CO₂ emissions were absorbed by the land and oceans, respectively (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). However, there are large uncertainties in estimates of the size, spatial distribution, and interannual variability of land and ocean fluxes (Piao et al., 2009b; Eldering et al., 2017; Hauck et al., 2020; Piao et al., 2020). Accurate estimates of these fluxes at global and regional scales are essential for improving overall knowledge regarding the current status of the carbon cycle and projecting long-term changes (Zscheischler et al., 2017).

There are many methods for the estimation of global and regional carbon budgets, including the inventory method, the eddy covariance method, the ecosystem process modelling method, and the atmospheric inversion method (Piao et al., 2022). The first three methods upscale the site-level ground observations using statistical or process-based models; they are usually regarded as bottom-up approaches. In contrast, atmospheric inversion infers carbon fluxes by combining information from atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, prior flux estimates, and atmospheric transport (Bousquet et al., 2000; Gurney et al., 2002), which is regarded as a top-down approach. Atmospheric inversion is appropriate for assessments of global and regional carbon fluxes because spatiotemporal variations in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations contain the signatures of sources and sinks at large spatial scales. However, inversion accuracy is limited by the numbers and distributions of atmospheric CO₂ observations, uncertainties regarding the atmospheric transport model and the CO₂ emission inventories (such as fossil fuel combustion emissions), and insufficient knowledge of prior flux uncertainties (Liu et al., 2021; Piao et al., 2022).

Currently, atmospheric inversions use either ground-based or space-based observations. Ground-based in situ and flask observations have higher precision, but they are unevenly distributed. Most ground-based observations are mainly concentrated in North America and Europe (Peters et al., 2007; Chevallier et al., 2010; Lauvaux et al., 2016). Inversions using in situ and flask observations can consistently constrain surface CO₂ fluxes at the global scale and at some regional scales (for well-sampled continents), but their uncertainty rapidly increases at the sub-continental scale or when considering continents with sparse observations (Peylin et al., 2013; Byrne et al., 2017; Crowell et al., 2019). For example, there are only eight sites in the Chinese mainland under the World Meteorological Organization/GLOBAL Atmosphere Watch program (Wang et al., 2020), and Chinese land sinks constrained by in situ CO₂ observations can differ by up to an order of magnitude (Chen, 2021; Wang et al., 2022a; Wang et al., 2022b). The space-based column-averaged CO₂ dry-air mole fraction (XCO₂) retrievals serve as an emerging data stream for atmospheric inversions. Satellite XCO₂ retrievals have broader spatial coverage than in situ and flask observations; accordingly, they fill observational gaps over areas with few stations. The two most widely used satellites dedicated to measure CO₂ are Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT) (Yokota et al., 2009) and Orbiting Carbon Observatory 2 (OCO-2) (Crisp et al., 2004). GOSAT retrievals have been used in multiple inversions and were shown to be able to reduce the uncertainty of flux estimates in regions where surface CO₂ observations are sparse (Takagi et al., 2011; Basu et al., 2013; Chevallier et al., 2014). The OCO-2 team updates satellite
retrievals roughly once per year. Refinements of instrument error characterization, retrieval algorithms, and bias correction procedures have led to substantial improvements in the accuracy and precision of satellite-retrieved XCO₂ data through these updates (O'dell et al., 2018; Kiel et al., 2019); the single sounding random error of official OCO-2 retrievals is now better than 1 ppm (Eldering et al., 2017; Wunch et al., 2017). These improvements in XCO₂ retrievals have a transformative effect on satellite-based estimates of global carbon fluxes (O'dell et al., 2018; Miller and Michalak, 2020). For example, the OCO-2 version 7 retrievals—the basis of early inversion studies using OCO-2 data—are fit to constrain land carbon fluxes at continental and hemispheric scales (Miller et al., 2018; Crowell et al., 2019). Chevallier et al. (2019) showed that the OCO-2 version 9 retrievals have similar performance in terms of constraining carbon fluxes to the inversions that use observations from surface stations when the inverted fluxes and CO₂ concentrations are compared with independent aircraft data. More recently, the OCO-2 team has released the retrieval product for version 11r. The effectiveness and potential applications of these updated satellite retrievals in efforts to infer surface CO₂ fluxes require continuous and persistent investigation.

In this study, we used the GONGGA (Global ObservatioN-based system for monitoring Greenhouse GAses) inversion system (Jin et al., 2023b) to generate a global dataset of terrestrial ecosystem and ocean carbon fluxes from 2015 to 2022 by assimilating OCO-2 XCO₂ retrievals (v11r). Here, we present the prior and posterior global 3-hourly gridded terrestrial ecosystem and ocean carbon fluxes at a spatial resolution of 2° latitude × 2.5° longitude. Gridded fluxes from fossil fuel emissions and biomass burning emissions are also available for inferring the total fluxes.

This paper is organized as follows: section 2 describes the methods and data used; section 3 describes the format and content of the dataset; section 4 analyzes the key characteristics of global and regional carbon cycles; section 5 evaluates posterior fluxes using TCCON and ObsPack observations; section 6 introduces data availability; and section 7 summarizes the paper.

2 Methods and Data

2.1 The GONGGA inversion system

GONGGA is an atmospheric inversion system that constrains gridded carbon fluxes with atmospheric CO₂ observations and transport simulations (Jin et al., 2023b). The assimilated observations are OCO-2 v11r XCO₂ retrievals (O'dell et al., 2012; O'dell et al., 2018; Gunson and Eldering, 2020), and the transport model is GEOS-Chem v12.9.3 (Sutharalingam et al., 2004; Nassar et al., 2010; Nassar et al., 2013). The spatial resolution of GEOS-Chem is 2° latitude × 2.5° longitude, with 47 layers in the vertical direction from the surface to the top of the atmosphere. The model is driven by Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications 2 (MERRA-2) meteorological data provided by the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (Gelaro et al., 2017). Four types of carbon fluxes are used to drive the atmospheric CO₂ simulations, including terrestrial ecosystem carbon fluxes (i.e., net ecosystem exchange, NEE), atmosphere-ocean carbon exchange, fossil fuel carbon emissions, and biomass burning carbon emissions. NEE and ocean carbon fluxes are optimized by GONGGA,
whereas fossil fuel emissions and biomass burning emissions are assumed to be well known and not optimized, which is a usual convention in global atmospheric inversions (Peters et al., 2007; Nassar et al., 2011; Crowell et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021).

GONGGA uses the nonlinear least squares four-dimensional variational data assimilation (NLS-4DVar) method (Tian and Feng, 2015; Tian et al., 2018) to minimize the following cost function:

\[ J(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x - x_p)^T (B)^{-1} (x - x_p) + \frac{1}{2} (y - h(x))^T R^{-1} (y - h(x)). \]

(1)

where \( x \) is the state vector that contains the variables to be optimized and \( x_p \) is its prior estimate, \( B \) is the prior error covariance matrix, \( y \) gathers the XCO₂ retrievals, \( h(\cdot) \) is the observation operator, and \( R \) is the observation error covariance matrix. As a hybrid assimilation method, NLS-4DVar combines the advantages of the conventional four-dimensional variational (4D-Var) method and ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF); it can achieve high inversion accuracy with low computational cost and complexity (Tian and Feng, 2015; Tian et al., 2018). GONGGA adopts a novel dual-pass inversion strategy, successively optimizing initial CO₂ concentrations and surface carbon fluxes within each inversion cycle of 14 days; this distinguishes model–data mismatches caused by errors from initial CO₂ concentrations and surface fluxes (Jin et al., 2023b). Note that during the flux optimization, the state vector \( x \) gathers gridded scaling factors for NEE and ocean carbon fluxes. In this study, GONGGA was run from September 6, 2014 to December 31, 2022. The 2014 results were regarded as spin-up, whereas the 8-year results spanning 2015–2022 comprised the dataset.

2.2 Prior CO₂ fluxes and uncertainties

The prior CO₂ fluxes include NEE, ocean carbon fluxes, fossil fuel emissions, and biomass burning emissions. The prior NEE was simulated by ORCHIDEE-MICT (Guimberteau et al., 2018). The prior ocean carbon fluxes were from the CT2022 pCO₂-Clim prior data, which were derived from the Takahashi et al. (2009) climatology of seawater pCO₂. Fossil fuel emissions were from the monthly Global Carbon Budget Gridded Fossil Emissions Dataset (GCP-GridFED; version 2023.1) (Jones et al., 2021). Biomass burning emissions were from the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED, version 4.1s) 0.25° × 0.25° monthly data scaled with daily factors (Randerson et al., 2017; Van Der Werf et al., 2017). For estimation of prior flux uncertainties, we first used a prior perturbation ensemble to approximate the prior scaling factor error covariance matrix, then calculated the prior flux uncertainties through the matrix multiplication between the scaling factor error covariance matrix and prior fluxes. The posterior flux uncertainties were calculated in the same manner, using the ensemble of posterior scaling factors and prior fluxes. The difference between the prior and posterior flux uncertainties was regarded as the difference in perturbation ensemble. For detailed steps, see Text S1 in the Supplement.

2.3 OCO-2 column CO₂ observations

We used OCO-2 Level 2 Lite v11r XCO₂ products (O’dell et al., 2012; O’dell et al., 2018; Gunson and Eldering, 2020) retrieved by the Atmospheric Carbon Observations from Space (ACOS) algorithm (Connor et al., 2008) to constrain the
surface carbon fluxes. The OCO-2 satellite carries high-resolution spectrometers that return high-precision measurements of reflected sunlight received within the CO$_2$ and O$_2$ bands in the short-wave infrared spectrum (Crisp et al., 2012). The OCO-2 spacecraft flies in a 705-km-altitude sun-synchronous orbit with a 16-day (233 orbits) ground track repeat cycle. OCO-2 has a footprint of 1.29 × 2.25 km$^2$ at nadir mode and acquires eight cross-track footprints, creating a swath width of 10.3 km.

Before assimilation, the XCO$_2$ retrievals were filtered with the xco2_quality_flag parameter provided by the OCO-2 Lite products; xco2_quality_flag = 0 (1) denotes good (bad) retrieval quality. Only retrievals with good quality were selected. Additionally, because the spatial resolution of the transport model is significantly coarser than the spatial resolution of OCO-2 retrievals, observation thinning was performed to reduce sampling error. We set the threshold of the number of daily observations to 20,000. If the number of good retrievals exceeded the threshold within a single day, excess data were removed. For example, if there were 60,000 good retrievals in one day, one of every three sequential retrievals was selected according to sounding ID. Furthermore, to ensure consistency between ground- and satellite-based observations, OCO-2 retrievals were scaled to the official World Meteorological Organization (WMO) X2019 standards, in accordance with instructions provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, https://docs.google.com/document/d/e/2PACX-1vQ0JqK72fAOThaJwJylLLgI0f2qHYdgNsIYAs6T2cMGumwVliSK7lurIYKCMOFgzIfyuxKYYWlm5Fe/pub, last access: September 12, 2023).

2.4 Evaluation of posterior fluxes

Generally, it is difficult to directly verify the posterior fluxes because of the lack of direct flux observations that exhibit a footprint size comparable with the spatial resolution of global inversion models (typically several hundred kilometers). Instead, we compared the simulated CO$_2$ concentrations driven by posterior fluxes with atmospheric CO$_2$ observations to achieve indirect verification (e.g., Wang et al. (2019); Wu et al. (2020); Liu et al. (2021)). In this study, we performed these comparisons using observations from TCCON and ObsPack.

2.4.1 TCCON XCO$_2$ retrievals

TCCON is a network of ground-based Fourier transform spectrometers that record direct solar spectra in the near-infrared spectral region. From these spectra, accurate and precise column-averaged CO$_2$ abundances are retrieved and reported (Wunch et al., 2011). TCCON XCO$_2$ retrievals are estimated to have precisions better than 0.25% (i.e., ~1 ppm) (Wunch et al., 2011). These retrievals have been used as primary validation data for several satellite missions, including GOSAT and OCO-2 (Wunch et al., 2011; Wunch et al., 2017). Here, we used GGG2020 version data (Wunch et al., 2015). There are 27 TCCON sites with observations covering the inversion period; the site locations are shown in Figure 1a.
2.4.2 ObsPack CO₂ observations

ObsPack is a framework that combines atmospheric greenhouse gas observations from various sampling platforms (e.g., surface, aircrafts, towers, or ships) and strategies (e.g., flask or in situ), ensuring consistent data quality (Masarie et al., 2014). In this study, we used the surface flask and aircraft observations from obspack_co2_1_GLOBALVIEWplus_v8.0_2022-08-27 in 2015–2021 and obspack_co2_1_NRT_v8.1_2023-02-08 in 2022, both of which are established according to the WMOX2019 scale (Cox et al., 2022). Surface flask observations are usually made on a weekly basis. During the 2015–2022 period, surface flask observations from 57 sites with parameter CT_assim = 1 or 2 were used for evaluation (Fig. 1b). Observations may be reported by multiple institutes at a single site. Here, we only used data from the NOAA laboratory and ignored duplicate records from other sites. Based on the spatial distribution of surface flask sites, we evaluated terrestrial carbon fluxes in six regions: North America, South America, Europe, Africa, East Asia, and Australia (Fig. 1b).

Aircraft observations contain data from the Comprehensive Observation Network for TRace gases by AIrLiner (CONTRAIL) program, Carbon in Arctic Reservoirs Vulnerability Experiment (CARVE) program, and several localized measurements concentrated in North America (Fig. 1b). The CONTRAIL program is Japan’s unique aircraft observation project that measures atmospheric CO₂ concentrations using instruments onboard Japan Airlines (JAL) commercial airliners. In the ObsPack v8.0 dataset, the CONTRAIL program contains aircraft measurements between Japan and Australia from 2015 to 2021. The CARVE program was a NASA Earth Venture Suborbital-1 mission, which collected airborne measurements of atmospheric CO₂ in the Alaskan Arctic from 2011 to 2015. We used CO₂ observations above the planetary boundary layer (altitude > 1 km) for evaluations to avoid effects on local emissions related to aircraft ascent and descent.

![Figure 1. Spatial distributions of (a) TCCON sites and (b) ObsPack sites used for flux evaluations. Rectangles show the ranges of the six regions used for comparisons with surface flask observations.](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-449)

3 Dataset description

Here, we present a global dataset that contains surface carbon fluxes from 2015 to 2022. The flux files contain NEE, ocean carbon fluxes, fossil fuel emissions, and biomass burning emissions. The NEE and ocean carbon fluxes include prior and
posterior estimates. The corresponding gridded uncertainties of NEE and ocean fluxes are also included in the flux files. The global gridded fluxes are 3-hourly with a resolution of $2^\circ$ latitude $\times$ $2.5^\circ$ longitude.

4 Results

4.1 Global carbon budget

Here, we quantify the five major components of the global carbon budget, including the fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions ($E _ {FOS}$), biomass burning emissions ($E _ {FIRE}$), atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration growth rate ($G _ {ATM}$), ocean CO$_2$ sink ($S _ {OCEAN}$), and terrestrial CO$_2$ sink ($S _ {LAND}$) (Fig. 2); in this paper, $S _ {LAND}$ refers to NEE. During the 2015–2022 period, $E _ {FOS}$ was $9.71 \pm 0.20$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, with a minimum of $9.44$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ in 2020 and a maximum of $9.94$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ in 2022; $E _ {FIRE}$ was $1.86 \pm 0.22$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, with a minimum of $1.47$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ in 2022 and a maximum of $2.14$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ in 2019. Over this 8-year period, NEE had substantial interannual variability ($-4.08 \pm 0.53$ PgC yr$^{-1}$); the sinks were significantly weaker in 2015 and 2016 than in other years. The annual mean NEE in 2015–2016 was $-3.35$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, whereas the NEE in 2017–2022 was $-4.33$ PgC yr$^{-1}$. The reduced terrestrial carbon sink was mainly related to the El Niño event during 2015–2016, which caused substantial carbon release in the tropics (Wang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017; Piao et al., 2020; Dannenberg et al., 2021). Compared with NEE, interannual variation in the ocean sink was much smaller ($-2.32 \pm 0.18$ PgC yr$^{-1}$). From 2015 to 2022, the terrestrial biosphere ($S _ {LAND} + E _ {FIRE}$) and ocean absorbed approximately 23% and 24% of total fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions, respectively, resulting in a $G _ {ATM}$ of $5.17 \pm 0.68$ PgC yr$^{-1}$.

We compared the GONGGA-estimated global carbon budget with results from the measurements and Global Carbon Budget 2022 (hereafter referred to as GCB2022) (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). The $G _ {ATM}$ directly estimated from atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration measurements provided by the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratories Global Monitoring Laboratory (ESRL/GML) was $5.24 \pm 0.59$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ during 2015–2022 (Dlugokencky and Tans, 2022), which corroborates the GONGGA estimate. We also compared net biosphere exchange (NBE, the net carbon flux of all the land–atmosphere exchange processes except fossil fuel emissions) and ocean fluxes from the GONGGA inversion and GCB2022 for the period 2015–2021, as GCB 2022 does not contain data for 2022. Note that the GCB2022 estimated land and ocean sinks are from process models (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). While comparing GONGGA inversion results with the process models of GCB2022, lateral carbon transport through the land–ocean aquatic continuum (LOAC) of $0.65 \pm 0.35$ PgC yr$^{-1}$ (Regnier et al. 2022) was adjusted to ensure the consistency between bottom-up and top-down methods. The adjusted mean NBE from GONGGA during 2015–2021 was $-1.42 \pm 0.53$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, and the mean ocean sink was $-2.94 \pm 0.17$ PgC yr$^{-1}$, which were within the range of the results from GCB2022 (NBE: $-1.38 \pm 0.65$ PgC yr$^{-1}$; $S _ {OCEAN}$: $-2.93 \pm 0.06$ PgC yr$^{-1}$).
Figure 2. Global carbon budget estimated by GONGGA and atmospheric CO$_2$ growth rate from NOAA during 2015–2022.

4.2 Global distribution and regional fluxes

Figure 3 shows the global distributions of GONGGA annual mean NBE and ocean carbon fluxes during 2015–2022. Terrestrial carbon sinks were mainly in temperate North America, central South America, southern Africa, Europe, boreal Asia, India, eastern China, and most of Australia. Terrestrial carbon sources mainly occurred over western America, the eastern Amazon, central Africa, Southeast Asia, the southeastern coast of Australia, and New Zealand. The ocean sources mainly occurred over tropical oceans and the high-latitude Southern Ocean; the equatorial Pacific was the most prominent source area. Sinks mainly occurred over mid-latitude regions of both hemispheres and the high-latitude northern ocean. Generally, NBE had a more complex spatial distribution and higher uncertainty, compared with ocean carbon fluxes. Therefore, we explored the distribution and attribution of NBE over 11 TransCom land regions (Fig. 4) (Gurney et al., 2004).
Here, we present the GONGGA-estimated annual mean (2015–2022) NBE for 11 TransCom land regions and their comparison with OCO-2 model intercomparison project (MIP) v10 inversions (Fig. 5). OCO-2 MIP v10 (Crowell et al., 2019; Peiro et al., 2022) includes an ensemble of 14 atmospheric inversions using the same set of OCO-2 v10r retrievals and observation uncertainties for the period of 2015–2020, each of which is characterized by distinct transport models, data assimilation algorithms, and prior fluxes (Table S1). We used OCO-2 MIP v10 results from the inversions that assimilate...
land nadir and land glint (LNLG) satellite retrievals, and those assimilate in situ (IS) measurements. Here, in situ inversions are used to provide a baseline against satellite-driven results.

For the 11 TransCom regions, we estimated that Europe had the strongest terrestrial carbon sink, followed by Boreal Asia, Temperate Asia, Temperate North America, Temperate South America, Southern Africa, Boreal North America, and Australia, whereas Tropical South America, Northern Africa and Tropical Asia were terrestrial carbon sources. All GONGGA and OCO-2 MIP LNLG and IS consistently indicated that Europe was the largest terrestrial sink. GONGGA showed good agreement with OCO-2 MIP inversions for most regions, and divergences occur mainly in the northern high latitudes and in the equatorial regions (e.g., Boreal North America and Northern Africa). The nearly neutral terrestrial carbon uptake from GONGGA in these regions may be related to the prior NBE adopted and limited number of high-quality OCO-2 retrievals. According to the prior estimates, Boreal North America was a net terrestrial carbon source and Northern Africa was a net terrestrial sink during 2015–2022 (Fig. S1), in contrast to the OCO-2 MIP results. After the assimilation of OCO-2 retrievals, the posterior NBE in these two regions were closer to OCO-2 MIP results, but the improvements were limited. These findings were also partly related to the limited constraints from OCO-2 observations. For example, in Boreal North America, satellites cannot measure XCO₂ in dark high-latitude areas in winter. In Northern Africa, OCO-2 also has difficulties in accurately measuring XCO₂ over the desert because of its high albedo, demonstrated by its high proportion of bad retrievals (xco₂_quality_flag = 1) (Zhang et al., 2016). Therefore, the posterior fluxes in these two regions mirrored the prior fluxes during the time period with few OCO-2 retrievals. Notably, even in OCO-2 MIP inversions, the ensemble spread was prominent, indicating the difficulty of inversion in these regions using current satellite or in situ observations (Table S2).

In Africa, Southern America, Tropical Asia, and Australia, fire emissions significantly contributed to the regional carbon balance (Fig. S2). Accordingly, these regions were either net carbon sources or carbon neutral. In recent decades, ~50% of fire-related carbon emissions and ~70% of global burned areas occurred across African subtropical savannah systems (Giglio et al., 2013; Andela and Van Der Werf, 2014). In the Amazon, the mean gross emissions from forest fires from 2003 to 2015 was 454 ± 496 Tg CO₂ yr⁻¹, which may counteract the decline of Amazon deforestation carbon emissions (Aragão et al., 2018). Southeast Australia experienced intensive and geographically extensive wildfires during the 2019–2020 summer season, and the fires released substantial amounts of CO₂ into the atmosphere (Van Der Velde et al., 2021). These examples show that fire can have substantial negative impacts on the environment and climate (Moritz et al., 2014; Bowman et al., 2017).
4.3 Interannual variability and seasonal cycle

Here, we analyzed the interannual variability (IAV) and seasonal cycle of NBE at global and regional scales. We divided the globe into three large latitude bands: northern extratropics (30–90°N), tropics (30°S–30°N), and southern extratropics (90–30°S). The global net terrestrial carbon flux has a prominent year-to-year variability (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). We estimated that the magnitude of global NBE IAV was 0.63 PgC yr\(^{-1}\) during the 2015–2022 period. We calculated the contribution of each latitude band to the global IAV using Eq. (1) from Ahlström et al. (2015). The contribution of the tropics to the global NBE IAV was 100.8%, whereas the contributions of the northern and southern extratropics were −13.2% and 12.4%, respectively. A positive (negative) score here indicates the variation is in the same (opposite) phase as the global IAV. The scores from our estimate indicated that the global IAV arises from the tropics. Considering the short time series of the carbon cycle, the latitudinal contributions in this study are qualitative, rather than quantitative. The dominant role of tropical terrestrial ecosystems in the signal of the global carbon cycle IAV is consistent with previous results based on multiple observations and models (Baker et al., 2006; Rödenbeck et al., 2018a, b; Jung et al., 2020). Piao et al. (2020) reviewed and analyzed the regional contribution to global net terrestrial carbon flux IAV from 1980 to 2017 with process-based land carbon cycle models, atmospheric inversion models, and FLUCOM data products. The contributions of the tropics to the global IAV obtained by these three methods were 83.4%, 71.7%, and 69.7%, respectively. In addition to the short time series, the inclusion of the 2015–2016 strong El Niño event in the period is an important reason for the large contribution score of the tropics in our estimate. Climatic variations are the main factors that drive the IAV of the net terrestrial carbon flux (Braswell et al., 1997; Zeng et al., 2005; Raupach et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2017). El Niño is the major climatic mode that modulates global temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation (Gu and Adler, 2011); thus, it drives the
IAV of the carbon cycle (Bacastow, 1976; Rayner et al., 2008). The characteristics of hot and dry climate conditions in El Niño years are the primary reasons for the lower net carbon uptake or net carbon release by terrestrial ecosystems (Jones et al., 2001; Piao et al., 2009a), which is particularly evident in the tropics (Fig. S3) (Liu et al., 2017; Jin et al., 2023b). During 2015–2016, tropical land released 0.66 PgC yr\(^{-1}\) \(\text{CO}_2\) into the atmosphere, whereas it is a net terrestrial sink in normal years (−0.52 PgC yr\(^{-1}\)).

![Image](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-449)

**Figure 6.** Interannual variability of NBE over the globe, northern extra-tropics (30–90°N), tropics (30°S–30°N), and southern extra-tropics (90–30°S) during 2015–2022. Shadowed area represents the uncertainty of NBE in each region.

The shape of the NBE seasonal cycle varies among regions and different years. In the northern extratropics, the size and phase of the seasonal cycle are very similar in all years, with July having the largest sink and northern winter being a carbon source. In the tropics, however, the seasonal cycles are more flatten and are distinct in different years. The largest deviations of the tropical seasonal cycle from the 8-year mean estimate are in 2016 (\(R^2 = 0.34\), coefficient of determination between annual mean seasonal cycle and the year investigated) and 2019 (\(R^2 = 0.50\)); the most prominent deviations occurred during the peak 2015–2016 El Niño between July 2015 and June 2016 as well as 2019 El Niño between April 2019 and July 2019. The shape of the global seasonal cycle is nearly similar to the shape of the northern extratropics (with 103.6% contribution), whereas the tropics and southern extratropics have opposite phases compared with the global seasonal cycle (with −1.1% and −2.5% contribution, respectively). The dominance of the northern extratropics in the global seasonal cycle is consistent with previous findings (Forkel et al., 2016; Piao et al., 2020).

The amplitude is an important index of the seasonal cycle (i.e., seasonal cycle amplitude, SCA). The peak-to-trough amplitude was calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum monthly NBE in each year. The 8-year mean SCA of NBE for the globe, northern extratropics, tropics, and southern extratropics were 3.55, 3.50, 0.43, and 0.12 PgC month\(^{-1}\), respectively. The larger mean amplitude in northern land ecosystems, compared with other regions, was mainly related to the strong seasonality of gross primary production and ecosystem respiration (Randerson et al., 1997).
5 Dataset evaluation

5.1 Comparison with TCCON observations

In this section, we compare the simulated monthly mean XCO₂ driven by the posterior CO₂ fluxes with the observations from 27 TCCON sites during 2015–2022 (Table 1). The global mean root mean square error (RMSE) and BIAS between simulated and observed XCO₂ were 0.81 and 0.24 ppm, respectively. Through the assimilation of OCO-2 retrievals, the atmospheric CO₂ simulations were considerably improved compared with prior simulations, which exhibited 1.15 ppm RMSE and 0.51 ppm BIAS at the global scale. At most sites, posterior RMSE was < 1 ppm, and BIAS was in the range of −0.5 to 1 ppm (Fig. 8). The maximum simulation deviation occurred at Eureka station (unless otherwise stated, “simulations” hereafter refers to posterior simulations), where an overestimation of simulated XCO₂ was observed in winter. This overestimation was also evident at Ny Ålesund and Sodankylä, which are located in the high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere. Prior simulations generally overestimated CO₂ concentrations, particularly in winter (Fig. S4). Positive deviations were adequately mitigated at most sites after the inversion. However, for the sites mentioned above, considering the lack of satellite retrievals in winter at high northern latitudes, the posterior flux may be poorly constrained and is thus similar to the prior flux. Additionally, the coarse spatial resolution of the transport model is another challenge for the
detection of sub-grid variations in XCO2. For example, Edwards station and Pasadena station are close to each other; thus, they are located in the same grid cell of the transport model. The simulated XCO2 time series at these two sites are similar, and the minor difference mainly arises from the interpolation process (Fig. S5). In contrast, the XCO2 observations are considerably higher at Pasadena station than at Edwards station, with a multi-year mean difference of 0.84 ppm.

Table 1. Geographic locations and references of TCCON sites used for validation. Sites are listed according to latitude from north to south.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Data Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eureka</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>−86.4</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Strong et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ny Ålesund</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Buschmann et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodankylä</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Kivi et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Trout Lake</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>−105.0</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Wunch et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bremen</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Notholt et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harwell</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>−1.3</td>
<td>United</td>
<td>Weidmann et al. (2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlsruhe</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Hase et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Té et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orléans</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Warneke et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garmisch</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Sussmann and Rettinger (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Falls</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>−90.3</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Wennberg et al. (2022d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikubetsu</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>143.8</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Morino et al. (2022b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xianghe</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamont</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>−97.5</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Wennberg et al. (2022b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsukuba</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>140.1</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Morino et al. (2022a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicosia</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Petri et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>−117.9</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Iraci et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Propulsion Laboratory</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>−118.2</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Wennberg et al. (2022a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>−118.1</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Wennberg et al. (2022c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saga</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Shiomi et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hefei</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izana</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>−16.5</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>García et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgos</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Morino et al. (2022c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manaus</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
<td>−60.6</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Dubey et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Réunion Island  -20.9  55.5  France  De Mazière et al. (2022)
Wollongong  -34.4  150.9  Australia  Deutscher et al. (2023)
Lauder  -45.0  169.7  New Zealand  Pollard et al. (2022); Sherlock et al. (2022)

Figure 8. Spatial distributions of (a) root mean square error (RMSE) and (b) BIAS between the posterior monthly XCO₂ simulations and corresponding observations at each TCCON site (simulations minus observations; unit: ppm).
Figure 9. Time series of monthly averaged observations and simulations at each TCCON site.

5.2 Comparison with ObsPack observations

Here, we compare posterior CO₂ simulations with ObsPack surface flask and aircraft observations. The global mean RMSE and BIAS between surface flask observations and corresponding simulations were 1.76 and −0.33 ppm, respectively. For most surface flask sites located on the ocean and in tropical and southern extratropical terrestrial regions, RMSE was < 2.0 ppm; BIAS was in the range of −0.5 ppm to 0.5 ppm. The high model–data RMSE values mainly occurred over northern middle latitudes, particularly over Europe and East Asia. Jiang et al. (2022) used GOSAT XCO₂ retrievals to estimate global CO₂ fluxes and also found that posterior CO₂ concentrations could differ from surface observations, mainly in the northern extratropics. Because of limitations regarding the coarse resolutions of global transport models and thus differences in representativeness between simulated CO₂ concentrations and actual observations over land, some sites have significant
data–model mismatches. For example, at the three sites with posterior RMSE values exceeding 4.0 ppm, the observed atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations had strong temporal fluctuations, which were presumably caused by localized and short-term surface fluxes (Fig. S6).

![Figure 10. Spatial distributions of (a) RMSE and (b) BIAS between the posterior monthly XCO$_2$ simulations and corresponding observations at each surface flask site (simulations minus observations; unit: ppm).]

To decrease mismatches in temporal and spatial representativeness between observations and simulations, we compared the monthly observed and simulated CO$_2$ concentrations in six land regions (Fig. 11). The monthly simulations closely agreed with the observations; RMSE was in the range of 0.58 to 2.08 ppm, and BIAS was in the range of $-0.44$ to $-1.27$ ppm. The simulation deviations remained higher for North America, Europe, and East Asia, compared with other regions. In these three regions, there was a significant difference in terms of comparisons with TCCON and ObsPack surface flask observations; mainly positive BIAS arose from TCCON evaluations and negative BIAS arose from ObsPack evaluations. This discrepancy may be related to the nature of the two types of observations. TCCON observations are column-averaged atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations, whereas ObsPack observations are surface atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations. The opposite signs of BIAS between the two comparisons may be related to the imperfect simulation of vertical mixing of GEOS-Chem (Schuh et al., 2019).
Figure 11. Time series of monthly averaged ObsPack surface flask observations and corresponding simulations for the six sub-regions.

For aircraft observations, we calculated the mean statistics of each grid cell (Fig. 12). The simulations closely agreed with the aircraft observations. For most grid cells, the RMSE was < 2.0 ppm; bias was between −1.0 and 1.0 ppm. The simulated deviations over Alaska and Temperate North America were generally larger than over the ocean, similar to the surface flask results.
Figure 12. The (a) BIAS and (b) RMSE between posterior CO$_2$ and aircraft observations at each grid cell (simulations minus observations; unit: ppm).

6 Data availability

The dataset is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8368846 (Jin et al., 2023a). As the satellite XCO$_2$ retrievals, prior carbon fluxes, and meteorological data are persistently improving and updating, we plan to update the dataset annually in the future, aiming to support scientific research and policy making.

7 Summary

Here, we presented a global resolved surface carbon flux dataset during the 2015–2022 period. The dataset includes 3-hourly gridded (2° latitude × 2.5° longitude) NEE and ocean carbon fluxes (prior and posterior), together with prescribed fossil fuel emissions and biomass burning emissions. The dataset was generated by the GONGGA inversion system constrained by OCO-2 XCO$_2$ retrievals. We analyzed the key characteristics of the global and regional carbon cycles in terms of carbon budget, interannual variability, and seasonal cycle. The global annual estimate from GONGGA was consistent with the estimate from GCB2022. Regional fluxes were analyzed based on TransCom partitions. The strongest carbon sinks were observed in Europe, followed by Boreal Asia and Temperate Asia. We validated posterior fluxes by comparing posterior simulated CO$_2$ concentrations with TCCON XCO$_2$ retrievals, as well as ObsPack surface flask and aircraft observations. Both evaluations demonstrated the optimization of posterior fluxes through assimilation of OCO-2 satellite retrievals. In the process of comparison and evaluation, we note that the observation distribution, prior estimate, and transport modeling can have significant effects on inversion results; thus, they require continuous improvement by the research community.
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