Annual high-resolution grazing intensity maps on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau from 1990 to 2020

3 Jia Zhou^{1,2}, Jin Niu³, Ning Wu¹, Tao Lu^{1*}

4 ¹Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610213, China

- 5 ²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- 6 ³Department of Economics, Brown University, Providence, 02912, USA

7 Correspondence to: Tao Lu (<u>lutao@cib.ac.cn</u>)

8 Abstract. Grazing activities constitute the paramount challenge to grassland conservation over the 9 Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP), underscoring the urgency for obtaining detailed extent, patterns, and 10 trends of grazing information to access efficient grassland management and sustainable development. 11 Here, to inform these issues, we provided the first annual Gridded Dataset of Grazing Intensity maps 12 (GDGI) with a resolution of 100 meters from 1990 to 2020 for the QTP. Five most commonly used machine learning algorithms were leveraged to develop livestock spatialization model, which spatially 13 disaggregate the livestock census data at the county level into a detailed 100 m× 100 m grid, based on 14 15 seven key predictors from terrain, climate, vegetation and socio-economic factors. Among these 16 algorithms, the extreme trees (ET) model performed the best in representing the complex nonlinear 17 relationship between various environmental factors and livestock intensity, with an average absolute 18 error of just 0.081 SU/hm², a rate outperforming the other models by 21.58%~414.60%. By using the ET model, we further generated the GDGI dataset for the QTP to reveal the spatio-temporal 19 20 heterogeneity and variation in grazing intensities. The GDGI indicates grazing intensity remained high 21 and largely stable from 1990 to 1997, followed by a sharp decline from 1997 to 2001, and fluctuated 22 thereafter. Encouragingly, comparing with other open-access datasets for grazing distribution on the 23 QTP, the GDGI has the highest accuracy, with the determinant coefficient (R^2) exceed 0.8. Given its 24 high resolution, recentness and robustness, we believe that the GDGI dataset can significantly enhance 25 understanding of the substantial threats to grasslands emanating from overgrazing activities. 26 Furthermore, the GDGI product holds considerable potential as a foundational source for other 27 researches, facilitating rational utilization of grasslands, refined environmental impact assessments, and 28 the sustainable development of animal husbandry. The GDGI product developed in this study is 29 available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13141090 1085111913672152 (Zhou et al., 2024).

1

带格式的:不取消行号

32 1 Introduction

33 Livestock is a crucial contributor to global food systems through the provision of essential animal proteins and fats, and plays a significant role in supporting human survival and socio-economic 34 35 development (Gilbert et al., 2018; Godfray et al., 2018; Humpenöder et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2022). 36 However, the escalating increase in human demand for meat and dairy products over recent decades has 37 triggered a livestock boom, which in turn has increasingly threatened grassland ecosystems and placed 38 a heavy burden on the environment through overgrazing and land-use change (Tabassum et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2022; Minoofar et al., 2023). It is estimated that up to 300 million hectares of land are used 39 40 globally for grazing and cultivating fodder crops (Tabassum et al., 2016). Grazing activities could alter vegetation phenology and community structure (Dong et al., 2020), and trigger deforestation (García 41 42 Ruiz et al., 2020), grassland degradation (Sun et al., 2020), soil erosion (Shakoor et al., 2021), and 43 associated direct releases in greenhouse gas that lead to climate change feedback (Godfray et al., 2018; 44 Chang et al., 2021). Additionally, livestock are responsible for large-scale dispersion of pathogens, 45 organic matter, and residual medications into soil and groundwater, thereby contaminating the 46 environment (Venglovsky et al., 2009; Tabassum et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2017; Muloi et al., 2022). 47 Consequently, more and more scholars have called attention to provide reliable contemporary dataset to 48 illustrate the spatio-temporal heterogeneity and variation of livestock (Petz et al., 2014; Fetzel et al., 49 2017; Zhang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021).

50 One of the major challenges in monitoring grazing activity at regional or even larger scale, is the 51 determination of the livestock distribution pattern. Despite the importance of geographical grazing 52 information, high spatio-temproal grazing dataset remain unavailable, posing the most critical challenge 53 to grassland management, particularly for vulnerable grassland ecosystems in fragile regions grappling 54 with economic and sustainable development contradictions (Meng et al., 2023; Pozo et al., 2021; Miao et 55 al., 2020; He et al., 2022). In the early 2000s, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United 56 Nations (FAO) launched the Gridded Livestock of the World (GLW) project to facilitate a detailed 57 evaluation of livestock production, aiming to provide pixel-scale livestock densities instead of traditional administrative unit benchmarks (Nicolas et al., 2016). Consequently, the world's inaugural dataset of 58 livestock spatialization map (GLW1) was released in 2007, providing the first globally standardized 59 60 livestock density distribution map at a spatial resolution of 0.05 decimal degrees (≈5 km at the equator) for 2002. It was not until 2014 that an updated GLW2 map with a 1 km resolution for 2006 was 61 62 released, by using a stratified regression approach, superior spatial resolution predictor variables, and more detailed livestock census data (Robinson et al., 2014). Furthermore, an evolutionary step in 63 64 machine learning technology saw Gilbert et al. (2018) using random forests algorithm to forge a global livestock distribution map with a 10-km resolution for 2010 (GLW3), succeeding traditional multivariate 65 66 regression methods and surpassing the precision of previous GLW1 and GLW2 maps. Beyond these 67 global mappings, several maps with different scales have also been published, including intercontinental,

68 national, state or provincial, and local scale (Neumann et al., 2009; Prosser et al., 2011; Van Boeckel et 69 al., 2011; Nicolas et al., 2016). However, these maps are fundamentally coarse due to constraints such as

al., 2011; Nicolas et al., 2016). However, these maps are fundamentally coarse due to constraints such as
 the availability of fine scale and contemporary census data, the grazing spatialization method, as well as

71 the identification of appropriate indicators, thereby limiting their application to local or regional-scale

72 studies (Nicolas et al., 2016; Gilbert et al., 2018; Robinson et al., 2014). Hence, there is an emergent

demand for more refined grazing map products (Mulligan et al., 2020; Martinuzzi et al., 2021).

74 An exemplar of this need can be observed in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP), the world's most 75 elevated pastoral region and an important grazing area in China (Zhan et al., 2023). It was possessing 76 abundant grassland that spans 1.5 million km², accounting for 50.43% of China's total grassland area, 77 with Yak and Tibetan sheep as primary grazing livestock (Feng et al., 2009; Cai et al., 2014; Zhan et al., 78 2023). Over recent decades, the QTP has undergone escalating grassland degradation, leading to many 79 ecological and socio-economic problems, which calls for an urgent need for detailed livestock 80 distribution dataset (Li et al., 2022a). Unfortunately, despite researchers' efforts at mapping the QTP's 81 grazing intensity, current livestock dataset still suffer from coarse spatio-temporal resolution and 82 modelling accuracy. Apart from the aforementioned global grazing dataset, several other maps also 83 cover the QTP. For instance, Liu et al. (2021) generated annual 250-m gridded carrying capacity maps for 2000-2019, by employing multiple linear regressions of livestock numbers, population density, NPP, 84 85 and topographic features. Li et al. (2021) used machine learning algorithms to produce gridded livestock 86 distribution data at 1 km resolution for 2000-2015 in western China at five year interval, based on county-level livestock census data and 13 factors from land use practice, topography, climate, and 87 88 socioeconomic aspects, including grassland coverage, arable land coverage, forest land coverage, desert 89 coverage, NDVI, elevation, slope, daytime surface temperature, precipitation, distance to river, travel 90 time to major cities, population density, and GDP (Li et al., 2021). A contribution from Meng et al. (2023) 91 brought forth annual longer time-series grazing maps by using random forests model, integrating climate, 92 soil, NDVI, water distance, and settlement density to decompose county-level livestock census data to a 93 0.083° (≈10 km at the equator) grid for 1982-2015 (Meng et al., 2023). Similarly, Zhan et al. (2023) also 94 used random forests algorithm to combine eleven influence factors to provide a winter and summer 95 grazing density map at 500 m resolution for 2020 (Zhan et al., 2023).

96 However, although these maps have provided good help in understanding grazing conditions on the 97 QTP, there are currently still no maps that can satisfy the need for fine-scale grassland management 98 with a long time span. In addition, the available livestock distribution maps of the QTP still need 99 improvement in terms of modelling techniques and factor selection to obtain high-precision livestock 100 spatialization data. For example, traditional methods like multiple linear regression, while proven fundamental and widely applicable for livestock spatialization (Robinson et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2022), 101 102 are being challenged by the development of computational science in recent years. Among them, 103 machine learning technology is providing new opportunities towards more accurate predictions of livestock distribution (García et al., 2020). Random forests regression, for instance, is currently widely 104 105 used to construct global, national as well as regional livestock spatialization dataset, and has been proved 106 to have much better accuracy than traditional mapping techniques (Rokach, 2016; Nicolas et al., 2016; 107 Gilbert et al., 2018; Dara et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). Nevertheless, other more 108 advanced machine learning methods with superior feature learning and more robust generalization 109 capabilities, remains largely untapped for modelling geographic data (Ahmad et al., 2018; Heddam et al.,

2020; Long et al., 2022). Thus, exploring the potential application of new advanced machine learning 110 111 technologies in livestock spatialization remains a critical task. Furthermore, selecting the suitable factors 112 that influencing livestock grazing preferences is also the other critical challenge for enhancing the 113 precision of grazing distribution dataset (Meng et al., 2023). Livestock grazing activities are often affected by abiotic and biotic resources, including climatic and environmental factors (Waha et al., 114 115 2018), herd foraging and grazing behaviours (Garrett et al., 2018; Miao et al., 2020), and 116 conservation-oriented policies (Li et al., 2021). For instance, regions exceeding elevations of 5,600 m or 117 slope greater than 40% are customarily unsuitable for grazing (Luo et al., 2013; Mack et al., 2013; 118 Robinson et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019). Moreover, the livestock generally prefer areas abundant in 119 water and pasture resources for foraging (Li et al., 2021). Besides, ecological conservation policies also 120 exert substantial influence, significantly affecting grazing distribution relative to the level of conservation priority. In addition, the health status of the grassland is an important factor influencing 121 122 whether livestock choose to feed or not (Li et al., 2021). Consequently, indicators related to the above 123 aspects are often employed to gauge the spatial heterogeneity of livestock distribution (Allred et al., 2013; 124 Sun et al., 2021; Meng et al., 2023). Nonetheless, some most commonly used indicators like NPP or 125 NDVI can result in misconceptions, as they may not fully characterize the grazing intensity. For example, grasslands with high NPP or NDVI are often preferred by livestock, but this doesn't necessarily correlate 126 127 with grazing intensity in nature reserves due to strict policy restrictions (Veldhuis et al., 2019; O'neill and 128 Abson, 2009; Zhang et al., 2021b). Conversely, areas with sparse grassland cover may support 129 considerable livestock numbers, despite evidence of degradation (Zhang et al., 2021a; Guo et al., 2015). 130 Accordingly, further investigation of novel indicators is imperative to enhance the correlation between 131 grassland and grazing intensity, thereby optimizing the integration of such influencing factors into 132 grazing spatialization models. 133 In summary, the QTP is in pressing need for a high spatio-temporal resolution grazing dataset to

134 address urgent and realistic challenges. But the existing livestock dataset specific to the QTP are fraught 135 with several insufficient, predominantly concerning rough resolution, relatively backward census data, 136 as well as conventional methods in livestock spatialization. Moreover, the discrepancies in predictive indicators and modelling approaches within these dataset discourage their application in time-series 137 analysis. Consequently, the generation of high-resolution and high-quality grazing map products has 138 139 emerged as the most pressing challenge for the QTP. Here, we aim to (1) establish a methodological 140 framework by using more rational models and indicators than traditional studies to achieve fine-scale 141 livestock spatialization; (2) select the grazing spatialization model with good performance by 142 incorporating multi-source data with advanced machine learning techniques; and (3)-ultimately, 143 provide an annual grazing intensity dataset with 100 m resolution spanning from 1990-2020. These maps can not only provide fundamental dataset with finer spatio-temporal resolution to address the limitations 144 145 of existing grazing intensity maps, but enhance a better understanding of sustainable management practices as well as other grassland-related issues across the QTP. 146

147 2 Data and methods

148 2.1 Study area

Known as the Asia's water tower and the world's third pole, the QTP is geographically situated
between 73°19~104°47′ east longitude and 26°00′~39°47′ north latitude, with a total area of about 2.61
million square kilometers (Figure 1). Its jurisdiction encompasses 182 counties within six provincial

152 regions of China, including Tibet Autonomous Region, Qinghai Province, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous

153 Region, Gansu Province, Sichuan Province, and Yunnan Province (Meng et al., 2023). Elevation on the

154 QTP predominantly ranges between 3,000 m and 5,000 m, with an average altitude exceeding 4,000 m.

155 With grasslands constituting over half of its land cover, the QTP emerges as one of the most important 156 pastoral areas in China. Alpine steppe, alpine meadow, and temperate steppe characterize the main

157 grassland types on the QTP (Han et al., 2019; Zhai et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2023b). The complex

geographical and climatic conditions of the QTP contributes to the markedly heterogeneous grassland 158

159 distribution, which correspondingly lead to the high heterogeneity in livestock distribution. Moreover,

160 social and economic development, coupled with policy initiatives directed towards grassland restoration,

161 have noticeably impacted the livestock numbers on the QTP over recent decades (Li et al., 2021; Li et al.,

162 2016).



163 Figure 1. The geographic zoning map of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) superposed with grassland vegetation.

164 Boundaries for the six provinces used for statistical analysis are also shown.

165 2.2 Data source

166 2.2.1 Census livestock data

167 The county-level census livestock data for the period between 1990 and 2020 were obtained from 168 the Bureau of Statistics of each county across the QTP (Table 1). The data includes the number of cattle, 169 sheep, horse and mule, with the exception of counties in Yunnan Province, which lack data for the 170 years from 1990 to 2007, and Ganzi Prefecture in Sichuan Province, which lack data for the years from 171 1990 to 1999, and Muli county in Sichuan Province, which lack data for the years from 1990 to 2007. 172 For these counties belonging to the same prefecture, including counties in Ganzi and Aba prefectures in 173 Sichuan Province, we used the livestock census data at the prefecture-level to carry out spatialization. 174 For these counties in Yunnan Province, since they belong to different municipalities, it is not reasonable 175 to replace them with municipal-level data. For these counties without livestock census data for some 176 years, we supplemented the missing data by linear interpolation with grazing density data in available 177 year. In total, livestock data were available for 182 counties, and 4,998 independent records were 5

finally generated. Furthermore, the respective quantities of different livestock types are converted toStandard Sheep Units (SU), in compliance with the Chinese national regulations (Meng et al., 2023).

Due to the difficulty of collecting township-level census livestock data, the validation data at the township scale collected in this study only involved these townships of Baching County (2010-2018) and Gaize County (2018-2020) in Tibet, and Hongyuan County in Sichuan Province (2008). The township-level census livestock data cumulatively involves 18 townships with a total of 112 records, and were only used for auxiliary validation of the simulation results.

The validation data at the pixel scale also encompass a total of 112 records from 68 sites, which were collected from literatures, questionnaires and field surveys. Specifically, 93 records at 49 sites spanning the 1990-2021 period were obtained from 17 literatures, 19 records at 19 sites were obtained from the questionnaires and the field survey in 2021. The detailed information for these records can be

189 found in the Supplementary files (Figure S3 and Table S3).

Table 1. Summary of the livestock data used in this study				
	Variables	Scale	Time	Sources
		County	1990-2020	Statistical bureau
	Livestock numbers	Township	2008-2020	Statistical bureau
		Pixel	1990-2021	Literatures, questionnaires and field surveys

191 2.2.2 Factors affecting grazing activities

190

192 Livestock grazing activities are often affected by abiotic and biotic resources, including climatic and environmental factors (Waha et al., 2018), herd foraging and grazing behaviours (Garrett et al., 193 194 2018; Miao et al., 2020). For instance, high-altitude and steep hillsides are unsuitable for grazing due to terrain constraints, and the distribution of herders directly affects the grazing areas (Luo et al., 2013; 195 Mack et al., 2013; Robinson et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019). Moreover, the livestock generally prefer 196 197 areas abundant in water and pasture resources for foraging (Li et al., 2021). Therefore, in this study, 198 topography, climatic, environmental and socio-economic impacts were considered as influential factors 199 on grazing activities (Li et al., 2021; Meng et al., 2023).

200 We utilized correlation analysis and the Random Forest importance ranking tool to eliminate 201 redundant environmental factors and determine the contribution of each factor. Ultimately, altitude, 202 slope, distance to water source, population density, air temperature, precipitation and human-induced impacts on NPP (HNPP) was selected as indicators (Table 2). Specifically, elevation is derived from the 203 204 DEM dataset accessible via the Resource and Environmental Data Cloud Platform of the Chinese 205 Academy of Sciences (https://www.gscloud.cn), which also facilitated slope calculation. Rivers and 206 lakes were obtained from the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center (https://data.tpdc.ac.cn), and the 207 nearest Euclidean distance from each pixel to rivers or lakes is calculated accordingly. Meteorological 208 elements such as daily air temperature and precipitation were downloaded from the China 209 Meteorological Data Service Center (http://data.cma.cn). For the grid dataset that is not conditionally 210 available, including population density, temperature, precipitation and HNPP, we detailed the creation 211 process in the Supplementary file. All datasets utilized in this study were harmonized to consistent coordinate systems and resolutions (WGS 1984 Albers, 100 m). 212

213 Table 2. Summary of factors affecting grazing activities on the QTP.

Variables	Format	Period	Time Resolution	Spatial Resolution	Source
			6		

Altitude	GeoTIFF			30m	https://www.gscloud.cn
Slope	GeoTIFF		——	30m	https://data.tpdc.ac.cn
Water source	Shapefile	1990-2020	Annual		https://data.tpdc.ac.cn
Population density	GeoTIFF	1990-2020	Annual	100m	See supplementary file
Temperature	GeoTIFF	1990-2020	Annual	100m	See supplementary file
Precipitation	GeoTIFF	1990-2020	Annual	100m	See supplementary file
HNPP	GeoTIFF	1990-2020	Annual	100m	See supplementary file

214 2.3 Methodological framework

We adopted a comprehensive methodological framework for mapping high-resolution grazing intensity on the QTP. <u>This study applied FAO's assumption that the relationship between environmental</u> factors and livestock intensity is identical at both the administrative and pixel level. Three major steps are included to predict the distribution pattern of grazing intensity: (1) identifying factors affecting grazing activities and extracting theoretical suitable areas for livestock grazing, (2) building grazing spatialization model, and (3) filtering the model and correcting the grazing map. An exhaustive

explanation of each step is provided in Figure 2.



223

Figure 2. Flowchart of creating grazing intensity maps using different methods and source products.

224 2.3.1 Identifying factors and theoretical suitable areas for grazing

225 In this study, we assumed that grazing activities are confined solely to grassland. Consequently, the 226 potential grazing areas for each year were identified on the basis of grassland boundaries, which was 227 extracted from the 30 m annual land cover dataset (CLCD) (Yang and Huang, 2021). Furthermore, 228 grassland with slope over 40% and elevation higher than 5,600 m respectively, were considered 229 unsuitable for grazing and were therefore excluded from the potential grazing area in the subsequent 230 simulations (Robinson et al., 2014). In addition, the grassland with population density greater than 50 231 inhabitants km⁻² were also excluded (Li et al., 2018). The remaining isolated grassland was thus 232 categorized as theoretical feasible grazing regions.

The spatial patterns of abiotic and biotic resources, incorporating food availability, environmental stress, and herder preference critically affect grazing activities (Meng et al., 2023). In light of this, seven influencing factors in four aspects were selected for grazing intensity mapping (Figure 2-1).

236 2.3.2 Building grazing spatialization model

237 By performing regional statistics, the annual average values for each grazing influence factor were 238 extracted from the theoretically suitable grazing areas at the county scale-, and were further used as 239 independent variables in the model construction. The dependent variable for the model was acquired by 240 determining the livestock density within each county, followed by a logarithmic transformation of the 241 values to normalize the distribution of the dependent variable. Consequently, a total of 4,998 samples 242 were derived from the aforementioned independent and dependent variables. Of these samples, 70% 243 were allocated for model training, while the remaining 30% comprised the test sets, serving to validate 244 the model's performance. Subsequently, we built grazing spatialization models using five machine 245 learning algorithms at the county scale, including Support Vector regression (SV) (Cortes and Vapnik, 246 1995; Lin et al., 2022), K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) (Cover and Hart, 1967), Gradient Boosting 247 regression (GB) (Friedman, 2001; Pan et al., 2019), Random Forests (RF) (Breiman, 2001) and Extra 248 Trees regression (ET) (Geurts et al., 2006; Ahmad et al., 2018) (see Supplementary file for details). 249 Lastly, to assess the accuracy of the spatialized livestock map, the predicted livestock intensity values 250 were juxtaposed with the livestock statistical data from each respective county.

251 2.3.3 Correcting the grazing map

257

We further used the optimal model to predict the geographical distribution of grazing density across the QTP. To maintain better consistency between the predicted livestock number and the census data, the estimated results were adjusted using the census livestock numbers at the county scale as a control according to Equation (1). Consequently, the corrected and refined map is presented as the final grazing intensity map in this study.

$$L_{correction} = \frac{L_{CCensus}}{L_{Carid}} \times L_{grid} \tag{1}$$

258 where $L_{correction}$ is the predicted pixel-scale livestock number after adjustment, L_{Cgrid} represents the

estimated livestock number for each county, $L_{CCensus}$ is the census livestock number for each county, and L_{grid} refers to the predicted livestock number at the pixel scale.

261 2.4 Accuracy evaluation

We used three accuracy validation indexes to evaluate the performance of five machine learning algorithms, including coefficients of determination (R^2), mean absolute error (MAE), and root mean square error (RMSE), by through a comparison of the predicted value with the census data. The definitions of three metrics are presented in Equation (2) to (4).

266
$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i} - \overline{V}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i} - \overline{C})^{2}}$$
(2)

267
$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |C_i - P_i|$$
(3)

268
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_i - P_i)^2}$$
(4)

269 where C_i and P_i are the census livestock data and the predicted value for county *i*, respectively; \overline{C}

270 represents the mean census value for all county; and *n* gives the total number of counties.

271 2.5 uncertainties evaluation

Uncertainty in our grazing intensity maps can stem from multiple sources, such as the constraints of
cross-scale modeling and the intrinsic inaccuracies of the input data. To quantify these uncertainties, we
utilized the Monte Carlo (MC) method, conducting 100 iterations of simulation. Subsequently, we
evaluated uncertainty through the Mean Relative Error (MRE) and assessed the model's robustness
using the Standard Deviation (STD), following established methodologies (Yang et al., 2020;
Alexander et al., 2017; Mcmillan et al., 2018). The definitions for these metrics are delineated in
Equations (5) to (7).

279
$$MC = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)$$
(5)

280
$$MRE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_i \cdot \bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right|$$
(6)

281
$$STD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \cdot \overline{x})}$$
(7)

where x_i are random samples, $f(x_i)$ is the function evaluated at x_i , and n is the number of simulations. \bar{x} represents the mean value for all simulation maps.

284 3 Results

285 3.1 Performances of models

286 Table 3 summarizes the efficiency of the five used machine learning models with considering all three accuracy evaluators of R^2 , MAE and RMSE. It can be seen that the ET model performs the best, 287 with its R² exceeding 0.955, and MAE (0.081 SU/hm²) and RMSE (0.164 SU/hm²) significantly lower 288 289 than the value of RF, GB, KNN and SVM models. Figure 3 illustrates the correlation between the 290 census livestock data and the livestock numbers predicted by the model for each county from 1990 to 291 2020. It demonstrated that the ET-predicted data displayed a distribution pattern consistent with that of 292 other models, but the scatter points of the ET model were more convergent to the 1:1 diagonal line, 293 indicating a superior fit compared to the other models. These comparisons suggest that the ET model 294 possesses superior robustness and can, therefore, provide stable estimations of livestock intensity on 295 the QTP.



设置了格式:图案:清除

300 Figure 3. Scatterplots of model-predicted livestock numbers and census grazing data (scaled by logarithm) at the

- 301 county sealelevel. The red solid line and the black solid line are the fitting line and the 1:1 diagonal line,
- 302 respectively.





Figure 4. Accuracy of the ET-predicted grazing intensity results at spatial resolution of 100 m from 1990 to 2020.
(a) absolute error (AE) between the predicted and the census data at the county scale from 1990 to 2020; (b)
comparison of the predicted and census data of the whole QTP from 1990 to 2020; (c) spatial distribution of the
mean absolute error (MAE) during 1990 to 2020 for each county.

308 Using the ET model, we projected the spatio-temporal distribution of grazing intensity across the 309 QTP from 1990 to 2020 at a 100 m × 100 m resolution. To validate the accuracy of these predictive 310 maps, we up scaled the pixel-level predictions to the county level and compared them against livestock 311 census data (Figures 4a and 4b). The results clearly show a high degree of consistency between the 312 predicted livestock intensity and the county-level census data, especially in areas with lower grazing 313 intensity (Figures 4a and 4b). Specifically, while the mean census data indicated 2.983 SU/hm² for 314 livestock intensity, our county-level predictions yielded an average of 3.106 SU/hm², with a MAE of 315 0.123 SU/hm², a RMSE of 0.580 SU/hm², and an R² value of 0.669. Additionally, 76.31% of the 316 counties (n_=_3,814) exhibited data discrepancies of no more than 0.6 SU/hm², and 91.74% (n_=_4,585) 317 had discrepancies under 1.0 SU/hm². Regarding spatial distribution, areas with data discrepancies of 318 less than 0.3 SU/hm² were predominantly located in the northwest and southeast regions of the QTP. In 319 certain counties of the northeast and southwest, the variations were even below 1.0 SU/hm² (Figure 4c).

320 **3.2** Evaluation of uncertainties

We have chosen the Mean Relative Error (MRE) as a key metric for evaluating the simulation 321 accuracy of grazing intensity within the QTP. Employing Monte Carlo simulations spanning the period 322 from 1990 to 2020, our research findings demonstrate that the average MRE for grazing intensity 323 324 across the QTP ranged between 6.84% and 9.08% (Figure 5a). The spatial distribution of MRE indicates that the majority of the plateau exhibits low error margins. For example, in 2020, areas with 325 326 an MRE of less than 5% accounted for 35.86% of the total grassland area, while those with an MRE 327 below 10% constituted 75.84%. Only 3.38% of the grasslands had an MRE exceeding 20%, with these 328 regions primarily located in the southwestern portion of the QTP (Figure 5b). Moreover, the robustness analysis suggests that the majority of regions within the QTP display relatively stable grazing intensity

330 trends. For instance, the overall standard deviation (STD) in 2020 was 0.059 SU/hm², with the

northwest region demonstrating remarkable stability, reflected in an STD of less than 0.005 SU/hm².
 Although some areas within the Yarlung Zangbo River Basin and the eastern part of Qinghai Province

experienced higher variability, their STD was still maintained below 0.3 SU/hm² (Figure 5c).

years 1990 | 721% | 53



335 Figure 5. Uncertainty analysis of grazing intensity maps based on ET and Monte Carlo methods. (a) MRE of

336 grazing intensity maps from 1990 to 2020, (b) spatial distribution of MRE, (c) spatial distribution of STD.

337 3.3 Validation of the GDGI dataset

334

After employing county-level livestock census as a benchmark for quality control, we obtained the 338 339 annual Gridded Dataset of Grazing Intensity maps (GDGI) across the QTP spanning 31 years from 340 1990 to 2020. We firstly confirmed the accuracy of the GDGI dataset based on 112 field grazing 341 intensity records at 68 sites (see Table S3 in Supplementary file for details), which ranged from 0 to 342 5.61 sheep unit per hectare (SU/hm²), and covered three main grasslands on the QTP: the alpine steppe 343 (N=62), alpine meadow (N=46), and alpine desert steppe (N=4). The GDGI dataset was assessed by 344 undertaking a comparative accuracy assessment between it and the field grazing intensity data (Figure 345 6a). It can be seen that in general, our dataset was highly consistent with the reference ground-truth 346 validation data, with $R^2 = 0.804$, MAE = 0.572 SU/hm², and RMSE = 0.953 SU/hm². Moreover, the 347 absolute errors between the GDGI data and the field grazing intensity data were relatively small, with 348 more than half of the records having an error below 0.3 SU/hm², 78.57% below 1.0 SU/hm² and 89.29% 349 below 1.5 SU/hm² (Figure 6b).



Figure 6. Validation of the GDGI dataset using 112 field grazing intensity records at the pixel scale: (a) linear
 fitting results; (b) absolute error (AE) distribution.

353 We further validated the precision of the GDGI dataset using the township-level livestock census 354 data. Encouragingly, the evaluation results showed that the GDGI dataset has excellent performance at 355 the township scale (Figure 7a), with R^2 of 0.867, MAE of 0.208 SU/hm², and RMSE of 0.276 SU/hm². 356 In addition, similarly to the census data, the GDGI dataset indicated that some townships with few 357 grasslands area are still under high grazing pressure (Figure 7b and 7c).





361 3.4 Spatio-temporal variations of grazing intensity

In terms of the temporal trends of grazing intensity, the GDGI dataset overall exhibited consistent trends with the livestock census data (Figure 8d-8f). Specifically, the census data indicated the livestock numbers remained high and largely stable from 1990 to 1997, followed by a sharp decline from 1997 to 2001, and then remained a period of fluctuation post-2001, which was successfully captured by the GDGI dataset. Moreover, the spatial heterogeneity of grazing intensity within the counties over the QTP was also effectively reflected by the GDGI dataset, a characteristic not illustrated by the census dataset. For example, areas of high grazing intensity were concentrated in the northeastern and south-central regions of the plateau, mainly including the eastern part of QinghaiProvince, the southwestern part of Gansu Province, the northwestern part of Sichuan Province, and the

arr eastern region of the Tibet Autonomous Region (Figure 8e and 8f).

Over the past 31 years, 63.95% of the plateau's grassland showed a decreasing trend in grazingintensity, with 49.80% showing significant decreases, primarily located in the eastern Sanjiangyuan

area and the southwestern region of the QTP (Figure 8e and 8f). Meanwhile, grazing intensity was

increasing in 36.05% of the grassland, but most of them (60.16%) did not reach the level of

376 significance and were mainly distributed in the northeastern plateau (Figure 8e and 8f).



³⁷⁷

378Figure 8. Validation of the GDGI maps using the census grazing data from 1990 to 2020: (a) violin plot of the379census data and the predicted value; (b-c) spatial distribution in SU per pixel; (d) temporal change in SU per year380(only including 124 counties with livestock census data); (d-f) spatial distribution of SU changes tested by sen's381slope and Mann-Kendall. Note: ESI for Extremely Significant Increase (slope>0 & p < 0.01); SI for Significant382Increase (slope_>_0 & $p_< 0.05$); NSI for Non-significant increase (slope>0 & p < 0.05); ESD for Extremely383Significant Decrease (slope<0 & p < 0.01); SD for Significant decrease (slope<0 & p < 0.05); NSD for384Non-significant decrease (slope<0 & p > 0.05).

385 4 Discussion

386 4.1 Comparison with other grazing intensity maps

To further assess the effectiveness and reliability of the developed GDGI dataset, the mapping
results were juxtaposed with seven publicly available grazing intensity maps covering the QTP (Table
It can be seen that despite their public availability, these maps lacked both in spatial and temporal

-{	设置了格式:	字体:	倾斜
-{	设置了格式:	字体:	倾斜
-{	设置了格式:	字体:	倾斜
-{	设置了格式:	字体:	倾斜
Y	设置了格式:	字体:	倾斜
1	设置了格式:	字体:	倾斜

resolution when juxtaposed with the GDGI maps. Our analysis was extended to four openly accessible 390 391 gridded livestock datasets, including GI-Sun (Sun et al., 2021), ALCC (Liu, 2021), GI-Meng (Meng et al., 2023) and GLWs (Gilbert et al., 2018). A commonality among all five maps was the consistency 392 393 for the spatial patterns of grazing intensity, with prevalent high and low intensities in the northeast and 394 northwest regions, respectively (Figure 9). However, these maps differed significantly in terms of 395 accuracy. As the grazing intensity maps of GLWs and ALCC were produced based on the livestock 396 census data in 2001 and 2015, an accuracy comparison for the corresponding years was conducted 397 among the five datasets both at county and township scale. Observations at the county scale indicate 398 that all four datasets, with the exception of GI-Sun, are largely in alignment with the county census 399 data (Figure 9b). When examined at the township scale, GI-Sun and GLW demonstrate the most significant discrepancies, with MRE surpassing 68%. ALCC and GI-Meng follow, recording MREs of 400 401 30.69% and 38.80%, respectively. Additionally, the GDGI shows the highest degree of accuracy in 402 relation to the township census data, as indicated by the lowest MAE and RMSE values (Figure 9c). 403 Moreover, the GDGI dataset spanning 31 years (1990-2020) earmarked it as a more suitable choice for 404 long-term studies in comparison to the other four datasets. Regarding spatial distribution, the overall 405 patterns of these grazing maps are largely consistent, exhibiting higher density patterns in the southeast 406 and lower in the northwest. However, notable discrepancies are still apparent in the finer details. In 407 general, in terms of visually representing458 the spatial distribution of livestock, the GDGI maps 408 exhibit the best performance.

409 The above advantageous of the GDGI dataset are understandable. Several potential factors may 410 contribute to the improved accuracy of the GDGI. First, the livestock census data used in GDGI is 411 more detailed, aiding in enhancing the accuracy of the estimation results. Specifically, GI-sun, ALCC, 412 GI-Meng and GDGI all use county-level livestock statistics to map grazing intensity, whereas GLW3 413 and GLW4 are based on provincial-level census data to map, which results in their accuracy lagging 414 significantly behind the four other datasets (Nicolas et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2021). Second, grazing 415 densities are estimated by dividing the number of livestock from the statistical data, after a mask 416 excluding theoretical unsuitable grazing areas. However, these maps differ in their definitions of suitable grazing areas. In this study, as with the GI-sun and GI-Meng maps, we considered grazing to 417 418 occur only on grasslands, and further excluded unsuitable areas such as high elevations and steep 419 slopes. This kind of definition is clearly more reasonable than the GLW series, which removed only 420 water bodies, urban core areas, and protected areas with relatively tight regulations of human activity 421 (Mcsherry and Ritchie, 2013; He et al., 2022). However, the GI-Meng dataset considers the core areas 422 of protected areas as grazing-free region, it does not match the actual situation on the QTP (Jiang et al., 423 2023; Li et al., 2022b; Zhao et al., 2020). Those different thresholds for the definition of suitable 424 grazing areas are account for the fact each map has different theoretical grazing regions. Third, the 425 selection of models and environmental factors may also be a significant contributing factor, leading to 426 variations in predictive accuracy. For instance, different algorithms were employed, including linear 427 regression and machine learning methods (Nicolas et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021). Additionally, the 428 environmental factors considered varied; specifically, the GDGI utilized the Human-induced Net 429 Primary Productivity (HNPP) to represent grasslands, whereas other maps relied on Net Primary 430 Productivity (NPP) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) (Allred et al., 2013; Sun et al., 431 2021; Meng et al., 2023). Third, the selection of models and environmental factors may 432 contributing factor, which also leads to differences in prediction accuracy acr ss maps. For instar 433 different algorithms were applied linear regression and machine learning (Nicolas et al., 2016; Li et

域代码已更改

434 al., 2021). The environmental factors also varied. Specifically, when representing grasslands, GDGI 435 employed HNPP, whereas other maps used NPP and NDVI (Allred et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2021; Meng 436 et al., 2023). Third, these maps decompose the livestock census data to pixels based on different 437 mathematical theories, which also leads to differences in prediction accuracy across maps. Specifically, 438 ALCC used a multivariate linear regression algorithm to predict grazing intensity, which has been 439 shown to be significantly inferior to the RF machine learning method employed by GI-Meng, GLW3 440 and GLW4-(Nicolas et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021). In this study, we used the ET model to predict 441 livestock numbers and achieved higher accuracy accordingly. Finally, differences in the selection of 442 factors affecting livestock distribution across maps may also lead to differences in map accuracy. 443 Specifically, GI sun only used the NPP as indicator, but it is not simply linearly related to grazing 444 intensity (Sun et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2022; Gilbert et al., 2018). ALCC considered the population 445 density, NPP, and terrain as indicators, which are also incomplete considerations of the influencing 446 factors. On the other hand, GLW series dataset considered 12 factors, such as NDVI, EVI, population 447 distribution and elevation. GI-Meng dataset incorporated 14 factors including NDVI, soil PH, available 448 nitrogen, available phosphorus, and available potassium. However, GLWs and GI-Meng ignored the 449 decrease in the prediction accuracy due to redundancy among the factors. In this study, we selected 450 factors related to grazing activities including terrain, climate, environment and social factor, and 451 constructed a prediction model with seven factors including population density, elevation, elimate, and 452 HNPP. Unlike other livestock products, this study used HNPP for the first time to replace the 453 commonly used NPP, or NDVI, or EVI as indicator, which has be proved to be more accurately 454 expressed the relationship between livestock and grassland (Huang et al., 2022).

域代码已更改

设置了格式:图案:清除(白色)

Dataset	Accessibility	Census	Temporal resolution	Spatial resolution	Period (years)	Method	Livestock type
GDGI	Yes	County	annual	100 m	1990-2020 (31)	ET	Standard SU
GLW3	Yes	Province/sub-Province	annual	0.083°(≈10 km)	2001 (1)	RF	Cattle, ducks, pigs, chickens,
GLW4	Yes	Province/sub-Province	annual	0.083°(≈10 km)	2015 (1)	RF	sheep, goats
GI-Sun	Yes	County	five-year interval	1 km	1990-2015 (6)	LRA	Standard SU
ALCC	Yes	Province/sub-Province	annual	250 m	2000-2019 (20)	MLR	Standard SU
GI-Meng	Yes	County	annual	0.083°(≈10 km)	1982-2015 (34)	RF	Standard SU
GI-Li	No	County	five-year interval	1 km	2000-2015 (4)	DNN	Cattle and sheep
GI-Zhan	No	County	season	15″ (≈500 m)	2020 (2)	RF	Standard SU

455 Table 4. Summary of map-derived parameters for this study and other seven public gridded livestock datasets covering the QTP.

456 Note: LRA is the abbreviation of linear regression analysis.



458 Figure 9. Comparisons of different grazing datasets for the years 2001 and 2015: (a) spatial patterns; (b) predicted livestock number and census data at county scale; (c) accuracy evaluation
 459 between predicted livestock number and census data at township scale.

460 4.2 Spatial heterogeneity of grazing intensities

In general, the multiyear average grazing intensity on the QTP increased from west to east during 1990 to 2020, with broad spatial heterogeneity (Figure 8). Highest grazing intensity was found mainly in the northeastern and south-central regions of the Plateau (mostly higher than 5.0 SU/hm²), while they were lowest in the northwest (mostly less than 1.0 SU/hm²). Over the past 31 years, the average grazing intensity decreased across most of the Plateau, but 36.05% of the entire QTP grassland still encountered continuous grazing intensity increase, especially in the northeastern regions (Figure 8).

The spatial heterogeneity of grazing intensities on the QTP may be attributed to the following 467 468 reasons. First, complex geographic and climatic conditions on the OTP determine the heterogeneity of grassland, which in turn affects livestock distribution (Wang et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2022). In general, 469 470 the grazing intensity patterns shown in the GDGI maps are basically consistent with the stocking rate 471 threshold patterns in the QTP grasslands, both decreased from east to west (Zhu et al., 2023a). This 472 phenomenon partially reflects the heterogeneity of the grasslands, as the alpine meadows and the 473 steppes mainly distributed in the east and the west, respectively. Second, the dynamics of 474 socio-economic development are obviously another important factors determining grazing intensity. In 475 areas falling behind in terms of the socio-economic indicators, herders prefer to increase livestock in 476 efforts to improve household incomes, leading to greater pressure on grasslands in these regions (Fang and Wu, 2022). In addition, the perceived increases in human population also resulted in the 477 478 considerably increased need to more livestock (Wei et al., 2022).

479 The grazing intensity dynamics across the QTP are partly reflective of the impacts of various 480 management policies that have been implemented over distinct periods. For example, a significant 481 increase in grazing intensity on the QTP was observed in the early 1990s, potentially a direct result of 482 the introduction of the household contract responsibility system. Moreover, the grazing intensity 483 experienced a pronounced decline from 1997 to 2001, as illustrated in Figure 8d, indicative of the 484 adverse effects of natural disasters. Notably, the severe snowstorms that struck Naqu in the central QTP 485 during 1997-1998 are documented to have caused the mortality of over 820,000 livestock (Ye et al., 486 2020). Figure 8d further delineates a considerable upsurge in grazing intensity on the QTP between 2000 and 2010, aligning with the trends reported by Sun et al. (2021) and Li et al. (2021). This 487 488 observed increase may be attributed to a rebound in grazing activity following the aforementioned 489 natural disasters. In addition, Figure 8d indicates a sustained decrease in grazing intensity post-2010 490 across the plateau, which can be predominantly ascribed to the implementation of extensive ecological 491 conservation projects.

492 4.3 Implications for grazing management

500

493 Nearly half of the grasslands on the QTP have been reported to be degraded over the past four 494 decades (Wang et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2020), with some reports even indicating that the degraded 495 grassland has reached 90% (Wang et al., 2021). It is widely recognized that overgrazing is the 496 predominant and most pervasive unsustainable human activity continuing to drive grassland 497 degradation on the QTP (Wang et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). Generally, these degraded grassland on 498 the QTP can be effectively restored by adaptive management (Wang et al., 2022). However, better 499 management of grasslands requires a deeper understanding of the anthropogenic activities, which still

19

501 According to the GDGI maps generated in this study, high-intensity grazing activities are mainly 502 concentrated in the northeastern as well as the south-central part of the QTP, with the grazing intensity 503 in some areas even nearly more than ten times than the average value of the entire plateau (Figure 6b), 504 and have exceeded the stocking rate threshold of these grasslands (Zhu et al., 2023a). Population 505 growth and the related increasing livelihood demands is one of the main reasons for this increase. To 506 meet daily needs and enhance household income, the herders have endeavored to increase livestock, 507 thereby intensifying grazing pressures on the grasslands over the QTP (Fang and Wu, 2022; Abu 508 Hammad and Tumeizi, 2012). Although the current average grazing intensity in the northwest QTP 509 (around 1.0 SU/hm²) is below their average stocking rate threshold (around 1.5 SU/hm²) (Zhu et al., 510 2023a), the grassland management should still be given adequate attention. Because as the most arid areas with low stocking rate threshold on the QTP, the grazing intensity in this region has been 511 512 increasing in recent years. Nevertheless, it must be noted that the stocking rate threshold may exceed 513 the carrying capacity, because it is predicted to lead to an extreme grassland degradation (Zhu et al., 514 2023a). The GDGI dataset also showed a similar pattern between the grazing intensity data and the 515 WorldPop data near the built-up areas, indicating higher grazing intensity around settlements than other 516 regions on the QTP. In addition, the GDGI dataset also indicate that from 1990 to 2020, although the grazing intensity of the Plateau has generally decreased, the hotspot areas for grazing activities have 517 518 remained almost unchanged. This implies that these regions should be the focus of adaptive grassland 519 management to effectively prevent grassland degradation, mainly based on the grass-livestock balance which varies by time and space. 520

Encouragingly, the GDGI dataset show that the grazing intensity for two-thirds of the entire QTP 521 522 grassland decreased over the past 31 years, which is also consistent with other studies (Sun et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021). Recent decades of biodiversity protection, active restoration projects as well as 523 524 management measures, such as nature reserves, grazing exclusion, part grazing ban combined with 525 fencing enclosure, are believed to have driven these decrease (Deng et al., 2017; Li and Bennett, 2019). 526 In addition, most grassland in the eastern Sanjiangyuan, the mid-eastern Changtang, and the northern 527 foothills of the Himalayas, showed a significant decrease with grazing intensity (Figure 6e), indicating 528 the importance of protected areas on preventing overstock and grassland degradation. Meanwhile, the 529 GDGI maps also show that the grazing density varies greatly among protected areas, possibly owing to 530 the difference in policy implementation. For instance, it can be seen from the GDGI maps that grazing 531 intensity are increasing in some protected areas, especially several wetland nature reserves on the Zoige 532 plateau (Figure 6e). Moreover, the average grazing intensity in all nature reserves on the QTP has 533 overall increased from 1990 to 2020, although their increase rate is much lower than the non-protected 534 areas (0.0125 SU/hm²·10a vs. 0.0304 SU/hm²·10a), which implies that grassland management in

535 protected areas still needs to be strengthened on the QTP.

536 The grazing initiatives in alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) on the QTP 537 can benefit from the GDGI dataset. Firstly, determination a reasonable stocking rate is vital to prevent 538 overstocking of the pastures, which will possibly induce extreme grassland degradation (Zhu et al., 539 2023a). Stocking rate determination can be optimized by using our grazing intensity maps and the 540 stocking rate threshold maps of the QTP. Secondly, the GDGI maps can contribute to strategic 541 placement of fence, which is a common practice adopted to prevent grassland degradation on the QTP. 542 Building fences in areas with high grazing intensity and exceeding the carrying capacity can improve 543 the effectiveness of fence construction (Zhou et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023). Thirdly, the GDGI **设置了格式:**字体:倾斜

dataset can provide a solid support for promoting effective nature reserve management, which in total 544 545 covering nearly one third of the entire QTP. For example, the GDGI maps showed that grazing activities still exist in most nature reserves on the Plateau, although most of them have significantly 546 547 lower grazing intensities compared with their adjacent non-protected areas. By using the GDGI maps, 548 the conflict between ecological protection and grazing activities in nature reserves can be alleviated. 549 Finally, our grazing intensity maps can act as a basic dataset to support other grassland-related policies. 550 Currently, these policies on the QTP often adopt a one-size-fits-all approach to determine the carrying 551 capacity and carry out ecological compensation, which may lead to overstock or unfair financial 552 distribution (Wang et al., 2022). The grassland management strategies balancing carrying capacity and 553 stocking rates are more likely to result in optimal management choices for policymakers and 554 stakeholders, and our GDGI maps can contribute to this decision-making processes.

555 4.4 Uncertainties and limitations

Although this study has collected as reliable datasets as possible, users of the GDGI products should be cognizant of inherent uncertainties and limitations within these datasets. Notably, the mean relative error of the GDGI dataset spanning 1990 to 2020 was recorded at 4.2% (Figure 4a), calculated from the average errors across 182 counties within the QTP that had accessible livestock census data. Furthermore, approximately 8.26% of grassland areas exhibited a relative error exceeding 1.0 SU/hm² (Figure 4b). Such discrepancies arise from several limitations that were subsequently propagated to the final grazing intensity maps, thereby contributing to the dataset's overall uncertainties.

Firstly, the estimations of grazing intensities were fundamentally conservative, primarily due to the 563 564 lack of comprehensive input data. Livestock numbers, derived from year-end data at the county level, 565 inadvertently led to underestimations of grazing intensity by not accounting for livestock off-take rates. 566 Likewise, the evaluation focused solely on livestock grazing intensity, excluding wild herbivores and 567 forage-dependent livestock, which potentially underestimate actual grazing pressures on the QTP. 568 Additionally, despite identifying seven main factors influencing livestock distribution, the study did not 569 encompass all potential factors, such as fencing, forage availability, road proximity, and season 570 transformation in grazing practices. Moreover, to align with county-scale livestock census data, we 571 averaged the environmental factors at the county-scale. Although this approach have been widely used 572 on the hypothesis that a consistent causal relationship between livestock intensity and environmental 573 factors persists across various scales (Robinson et al., 2014; Nicolas et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021; Meng 574 et al., 2023), it might oversimplify the intricate dynamics between grazing intensity and lead to a 575 certain degree of estimation inaccuracies. In addition, the reliance on linear extrapolation to 576 Supplementary missing gridded 100-m population density data from 1990-1999 introduced further

577 uncertainties due to the limited resolution (1-km) and interval (5-year) of the ChinaPop dataset.

578 Secondly, the modeling process for mapping grazing intensity also suffered from several challenges. 579 Specifically, this study adopted the FAO's assumption that the relationship between environmental 580 factors and livestock intensity is uniform across both administrative and pixel levels. However, it is 581 unlikely that these relationships are entirely consistent across scales, and the county-level model's 582 approach inevitably smooths spatial details, potentially reducing the precision of the data. Furthermore, 583 For instance, this research employs the FAO's assumption that the relationship between environmental 584 factors and livestock intensity is identical at both the administrative and pixel level. Nevertheless, it is 585 improbable for the relationship at these two scales to be completely consistent, and the county level 586 model unavoidably smooths spatial details, leading to a reduction in data precision. What's more, the

设置了格式:字体颜色:自动设置

ET model was trained with a limited sample size of 4,998 and applied to a vast area consisting of 150 587 588 million pixels, which could compromise the model's accuracy. In addition, despite the ET model's design to reduce overfitting risks by using randomly selected features and partition decision, the 589 590 potential for overfit effects still remained, particularly when faced with a high number of output classes 591 or insufficient sample sizes (Geurts et al., 2006; Galelli and Castelletti, 2013). In fact, this limitation 592 was evident in this study, as the generalization capability of the ET model was restricted by the 593 disparity between the number of training samples and the total number of pixels, leading to predictions 594 that often exceeded actual livestock census (Figure 4a).

595

596 Thirdly, our methodological framework for high-resolution gridded grazing dataset mapping was 597 developed based on the assumption that all grassland were accessible to livestock. However, in reality, 598 the amount of available grassland was less due to fencing and grazing bans on the QTP (Zhan et al., 599 2023). Moreover, transhumant herders generally follow a seasonal calendar for summer pastures and 600 winter pastures on the QTP. However, we did not consider this seasonal movements due to data 601 limitations, which further restrict the analysis of seasonal livestock distribution patterns (Kolluru et al., 602 2023). Additionally, the model's reliance on human population as a proxy for livestock locations 603 overlooked the possibility of high grazing intensity in areas with low human populations on the QTP, 604 particularly in regions designated for summer pastures.

Finally, it is important to note that gathering livestock census data in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau presents significant challenges, leading to a scarcity of livestock validation data in this study, particularly at the township and pixel scales. This limitation may, to some extent, impact the reliability of the grazing intensity data we have presented.

In summary, all these limitations associated with input data, the modeling process, and the methodological framework collectively contribute to the uncertainties and reduce accuracy of the GDGI maps. We henceforth recommend that future research should aim to incorporate more detailed data, consider additional influential factors, enhance key dataset's time-series consistency, and refine

the methodological framework to improve the accuracy of grazing intensity mapping.

614 5 Data availability

615 The annual gridded grazing intensity maps of the QTP spanning from 1990 to 2020 are accessible 616 following the link: at 617 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1085111913141090 (Zhou et al., 2024). 618 Each map is catalogued by year and recorded in GeoTIFF format, with values represented in SU/hm² 619 per year. These datasets, with a spatial resolution of 100 m and annual temporal resolution, utilize the 620 WGS-1984-Albers geographic coordinate system. To streamline data transfer and download processes, 621 the comprehensive 31-year dataset has been compressed into a ZIP file, readily available for download 622 and compatible with Geographic Information System (GIS) software for viewing.

623 6 Conclusions

In this study, we introduce a framework utilizing ET machine learning algorithms to achieve fine-scale livestock spatialization, subsequently generating the GDGI dataset across the QTP. The GDGI has a spatial resolution of 100 m and expands 31 years from 1990 to 2020. It is consistent with county livestock census data of the QTP, and has a relatively higher precision than previous datasets **设置了格式:**字体颜色:深红

628	with MAE of 0.006 SU/hm ² based on 4,998 independent test samples. In addition, tthe accuracy
629	evaluations at both pixel-level and township-level underscore the outstanding reliability and
630	applicability of the GDGI dataset, which can successfully capture the spatial heterogeneity and
631	variation in grazing intensities in greater details. Moreover, comparisons between the GDGI dataset and
632	other existing grazing map products further proved the robust and efficient of our dataset, and
633	demonstrate the validity of the proposed framework in the research of livestock spatialization.
634	Nonetheless, it is imperative for data users to recognize that the GDGI may still contain inherent
635	uncertainties. Our Monte Carlo simulations have estimated the average MRE for grazing intensity
636	across the QTP to vary between 6.84% and 9.08%. The GDGI dataset, as presented in this study, can
637	enhance the understanding of grazing activities on the QTP. This, in turn, can aid in the rational
638	utilization of grasslands and facilitate the implementation of informed and sustainable management
639	practices.
640	However, data users should be aware that the GDGI still <mark>harbors</mark> some potential uncertainties.
641	Monte Carlo simulations indicate that the average MRE for grazing intensity across the QTP ranged
642	from 6.84% to 9.08%. Notably, the data before 2001 show a sharp decline and should be interpreted
643	with caution. The GDGI dataset presented in this study can address existing limitations and enhance the
644	understanding of grazing activities on the QTP. This, in turn, can aid in the rational utilization of

645 grasslands and facilitate the implementation of informed and sustainable management practices.

646 Supplementary.

1

For gridded datasets influencing grazing that are not directly available, or that do not meet
spatio-temporal resolution requirements—such as those pertaining to population density, temperature,
precipitation, and HNPP—we have delineated the processing or creation procedures in the

650 Supplementary file.

651 Author contributions.

T.L. conceived the research; J.Z. and J.N. performed the analyses and wrote the first draft of the
paper; N.W. and T.L. reviewed and edited the paper before submission. All authors made substantial
contributions to the discussion of content.

- 655 Competing interests.
- 656 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 657 Acknowledgements.
- We would like to thank the Bureau of Statistics of each county over the QTP for providing the census livestock data.
- 660 Financial support.
- 661 This research was supported by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research662 Program (STEP), Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (grant no.

设置了格式:字体颜色:自动设置

设置了格式: 字体:(默认)Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 红色 663 2019QZKK0402) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42071238).

664 References

- Abu Hammad, A. and Tumeizi, A.: Land degradation: socioeconomic and environmental causes and
 consequences in the eastern Mediterranean, Land. Degrad. Dev., 23, 216-226,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.1069</u>, 2012.
- Ahmad, M. W., Reynolds, J., and Rezgui, Y.: Predictive modelling for solar thermal energy systems: A
 comparison of support vector regression, random forest, extra trees and regression trees, J. Clean.
 Prod., 203, 810-821, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.207, 2018.
- Alexander, P., Prestele, R., Verburg, P. H., Arneth, A., Baranzelli, C., Batista e Silva, F., Brown, C.,
 Butler, A., Calvin, K., and Dendoncker, N.: Assessing uncertainties in land cover projections, Glob.
 Chang. Biol., 23, 767-781, 2017.
- Allred, B. W., Fuhlendorf, S. D., Hovick, T. J., Dwayne Elmore, R., Engle, D. M., and Joern, A.:
 Conservation implications of native and introduced ungulates in a changing climate, Glob. Chang.
 Biol., 19, 1875-1883, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12183</u>, 2013.
- Breiman, L.: Random Forests, Mach. Learn., 45, 5-32, <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324</u>,
 2001.
- Cai, Y. J., Wang, X. D., Tian, L. L., Zhao, H., Lu, X. Y., and Yan, Y.: The impact of excretal returns
 from yak and Tibetan sheep dung on nitrous oxide emissions in an alpine steppe on the
 Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Soil. Biol. Biochem., 76, 90-99,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.008, 2014.
- Chang, J. F., Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Smith, P., Herrero, M., Havlík, P., Obersteiner, M., Guenet, B., Goll,
 D. S., Li, W., Naipal, V., Peng, S. S., Qiu, C. J., Tian, H. Q., Viovy, N., Yue, C., and Zhu, D.: Climate
 warming from managed grasslands cancels the cooling effect of carbon sinks in sparsely grazed and
 natural grasslands, Nat. Commun., 12, 118, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20406-7, 2021.
- Chen, Y. Z., Ju, W. M., Mu, S. J., Fei, X. R., Cheng, Y., Propastin, P., Zhou, W., Liao, C. J., Chen, L. X.,
 Tang, R. J., Qi, J. G., Li, J. L., and Ruan, H. H.: Explicit Representation of Grazing Activity in a
 Diagnostic Terrestrial Model: A Data Process Combined Scheme, J. Adv. Model. Earth. Sy., 11,
 957-978, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018ms001352, 2019.
- 691 Cortes, C. and Vapnik, V.: Support-vector networks, Mach. Learn., 20, 273-297,
 692 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018</u>, 1995.
- Cover, T. and Hart, P.: Nearest neighbor pattern classification, Ieee. T. Inform. Theory., 13, 21-27,
 https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1967.1053964, 1967.
- Dara, A., Baumann, M., Freitag, M., Hölzel, N., Hostert, P., Kamp, J., Müller, D., Prishchepov, A. V.,
 and Kuemmerle, T.: Annual Landsat time series reveal post-Soviet changes in grazing pressure,
 Remote. Sens. Environ., 239, 111667, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111667</u>, 2020.
- Deng, L., Zhou, S. G., Wu, P., Gao, L., and Chang, X.: Effects of grazing exclusion on carbon
 sequestration in China's grassland, Earth-Sci. Rev., 173, 84-95,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.08.008</u>, 2017.
- Dong, S. K., Shang, Z. H., Gao, J. X., and Boone, R. B.: Enhancing sustainability of grassland
 ecosystems through ecological restoration and grazing management in an era of climate change on
- 703 Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 287, 106684,
- 704 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106684, 2020.

- Fang, X. N. and Wu, J. G.: Causes of overgrazing in Inner Mongolian grasslands: Searching for deep
 leverage points of intervention, Ecol. Soc., 27, <u>https://doi.org/10.5751/es-12878-270108</u>, 2022.
- Feng, R. Z., Long, R. J., Shang, Z. H., Ma, Y. S., Dong, S. K., and Wang, Y. L.: Establishment of
 Elymus natans improves soil quality of a heavily degraded alpine meadow in Qinghai-Tibetan
 Plateau, China, Plant. Soil., 327, 403-411, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0065-3</u>, 2009.
- Fetzel, T., Havlik, P., Herrero, M., Kaplan, J. O., Kastner, T., Kroisleitner, C., Rolinski, S., Searchinger,
 T., Van Bodegom, P. M., Wirsenius, S., and Erb, K. H.: Quantification of uncertainties in global
 grazing systems assessment, Global. Biogeochem. Cy., 31, 1089-1102,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gb005601, 2017.
- Friedman, J. H.: Greedy function approximation: a gradient boosting machine, Ann. Stat., 29,
 1189-1232, <u>https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1013203451</u>, 2001.
- Galelli, S. and Castelletti, A.: Assessing the predictive capability of randomized tree-based ensembles
 in streamflow modelling, Hydrol. Earth. Syst. Sc., 17, 2669-2684,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2669-2013, 2013.
- García, R., Aguilar, J., Toro, M., Pinto, A., and Rodríguez, P.: A systematic literature review on the use
 of machine learning in precision livestock farming, Comput. Electron. Agr., 179, 105826,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105826, 2020.
- García Ruiz, J. M., Tomás Faci, G., Diarte Blasco, P., Montes, L., Domingo, R., Sebastián, M., Lasanta,
 T., González Sampériz, P., López Moreno, J. I., Arnáez, J., and Beguería, S.: Transhumance and
 long-term deforestation in the subalpine belt of the central Spanish Pyrenees: An interdisciplinary
 approach, Catena., 195, 104744, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104744, 2020.
- Garrett, R. D., Koh, I., Lambin, E. F., le Polain de Waroux, Y., Kastens, J. H., and Brown, J. C.:
 Intensification in agriculture-forest frontiers: Land use responses to development and conservation
 policies in Brazil, Global. Environ. Chang., 53, 233-243,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.09.011</u>, 2018.
- Geurts, P., Ernst, D., and Wehenkel, L.: Extremely randomized trees, Mach. Learn., 63, 3-42, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-006-6226-1, 2006.
- Gilbert, M., Nicolas, G., Cinardi, G., Van Boeckel, T. P., Vanwambeke, S. O., Wint, G. R. W., and
 Robinson, T. P.: Global distribution data for cattle, buffaloes, horses, sheep, goats, pigs, chickens and
 ducks in 2010, Sci. Data., 5, 180227, https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.227, 2018.
- Godfray, H. C. J., Aveyard, P., Garnett, T., Hall, J. W., Key, T. J., Lorimer, J., Pierrehumbert, R. T.,
 Scarborough, P., Springmann, M., and Jebb, S. A.: Meat consumption, health, and the environment,
 Science., 361, 243, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5324</u>, 2018.
- Guo, Z. L., Li, Z., and Cui, G. F.: Effectiveness of national nature reserve network in representing
 natural vegetation in mainland China, Biodivers. Conserv., 24, 2735-2750,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-015-0959-8</u>, 2015.
- Han, Y. H., Dong, S. K., Zhao, Z. Z., Sha, W., Li, S., Shen, H., Xiao, J. N., Zhang, J., Wu, X. Y., Jiang,
 X. M., Zhao, J. B., Liu, S. L., Dong, Q. M., Zhou, H. K., and Yeomans, J. C.: Response of soil nutrients and stoichiometry to elevated nitrogen deposition in alpine grassland on the
- 743 Indutents and stolenonicity to erevated introgen deposition in apple grassiand on the
 744 Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Geoderma., 343, 263-268, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.050</u>,
 745 2019.
- He, M., Pan, Y. H., Zhou, G. Y., Barry, K. E., Fu, Y. L., and Zhou, X. H.: Grazing and global change
 factors differentially affect biodiversity ecosystem functioning relationships in grassland
- 748 ecosystems, Glob. Chang. Biol., 28, 5492-5504, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16305</u>, 2022.

- Heddam, S., Ptak, M., and Zhu, S. L.: Modelling of daily lake surface water temperature from air
 temperature: Extremely randomized trees (ERT) versus Air2Water, MARS, M5Tree, RF and
 MLPNN, J. Hydrol., 588, 125130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125130, 2020.
- Hu, Y., Cheng, H., and Tao, S.: Environmental and human health challenges of industrial livestock and
 poultry farming in China and their mitigation, Environ. Int., 107, 111-130,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.07.003, 2017.
- Huang, X. T., Yang, Y. S., Chen, C. B., Zhao, H. F., Yao, B. Q., Ma, Z., Ma, L., and Zhou, H. K.:
 Quantifying and Mapping Human Appropriation of Net Primary Productivity in Qinghai Grasslands
 in China, Agriculture., 12, 483, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12040483</u>, 2022.
- Humpenöder, F., Bodirsky, B. L., Weindl, I., Lotze Campen, H., Linder, T., and Popp, A.: Projected
 environmental benefits of replacing beef with microbial protein, Nature., 605, 90-96,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04629-w</u>, 2022.
- Jiang, M. J., Zhao, X. F., Wang, R., Yin, L., and Zhang, B. L.: Assessment of Conservation
 Effectiveness of the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau Nature Reserves from a Human Footprint Perspective
 with Global Lessons, Land., 12, 869, https://doi.org/10.3390/land12040869, 2023.
- Kolluru, V., John, R., Saraf, S., Chen, J. Q., Hankerson, B., Robinson, S., Kussainova, M., and Jain, K.:
 Gridded livestock density database and spatial trends for Kazakhstan, Sci. Data., 10, 839,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02736-5</u>, 2023.
- Kumar, P., Abubakar, A. A., Verma, A. K., Umaraw, P., Adewale Ahmed, M., Mehta, N., Nizam Hayat,
 M., Kaka, U., and Sazili, A. Q.: New insights in improving sustainability in meat production:
 opportunities and challenges, Crit .Rev. Food. Sci., 1-29,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2022.2096562</u>, 2022.
- Li, M. Q., Liu, S. L., Wang, F. F., Liu, H., Liu, Y. X., and Wang, Q. B.: Cost-benefit analysis of
 ecological restoration based on land use scenario simulation and ecosystem service on the
 Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, Glob. Ecol. Conserv., 34, e02006,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02006, 2022a.
- Li, P. and Bennett, J.: Understanding herders' stocking rate decisions in response to policy initiatives,
 Sci. Total. Environ., 672, 141-149, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.407</u>, 2019.
- Li, Q., Zhang, C. L., Shen, Y. P., Jia, W. R., and Li, J.: Quantitative assessment of the relative roles of
 climate change and human activities in desertification processes on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau based
 on net primary productivity, Catena., 147, 789-796, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.09.005</u>,
 2016.
- Li, S., Wu, J., Gong, J., and Li, S.: Human footprint in Tibet: Assessing the spatial layout and
 effectiveness of nature reserves, Sci Total Environ, 621, 18-29,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.216, 2018.
- Li, T., Cai, S. H., Singh, R. K., Cui, L. Z., Fava, F., Tang, L., Xu, Z. H., Li, C. J., Cui, X. Y., Du, J. Q.,
 Hao, Y. B., Liu, Y. X., and Wang, Y. F.: Livelihood resilience in pastoral communities:
 Methodological and field insights from Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Sci. Total. Environ., 838, 155960,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155960, 2022b.
- Li, X. H., Hou, J. L., and Huang, C. L.: High-Resolution Gridded Livestock Projection for Western
 China Based on Machine Learning, Remote. Sens., 13, 5038, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13245038</u>,
 2021.
- 791 Lin, G. C., Lin, A. J., and Gu, D. L.: Using support vector regression and K-nearest neighbors for 792 short-term traffic flow prediction based on maximal information coefficient, Inform. Sciences., 608,

793 517-531, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2022.06.090</u>, 2022.

- <u>Liu, B. T.: Actual livestock carrying capacity estimation product in Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (2000-2019)</u>,
 <u>National Tibetan Plateau Data Center. [Dataset]</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.11888/Ecolo.tpdc.271513</u>,
 2021.
- Long, S. J., Wei, X. L., Zhang, F., Zhang, R. H., Xu, J., Wu, K., Li, Q. Q., and Li, W. W.: Estimating
 daily ground-level NO2 concentrations over China based on TROPOMI observations and machine
 learning approach, Atmos. Environ., 289, 119310, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2022.119310</u>,
 2022.
- Luo, J. F., Hoogendoorn, C., van der Weerden, T., Saggar, S., de Klein, C., Giltrap, D., Rollo, M., and
 Rys, G.: Nitrous oxide emissions from grazed hill land in New Zealand, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 181,
 58-68, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.09.020</u>, 2013.
- Ma, C., Xie, Y., Duan, H., Wang, X., Bie, Q., Guo, Z., He, L., and Qin, W.: Spatial quantification
 method of grassland utilization intensity on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau: A case study on the Selinco
 basin, J. Environ. Manage., 302, 114073, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114073, 2022.
- Mack, G., Walter, T., and Flury, C.: Seasonal alpine grazing trends in Switzerland: Economic
 importance and impact on biotic communities, Environ. Sci. Policy., 32, 48-57,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2013.01.019, 2013.
- Martinuzzi, S., Radeloff, V. C., Pastur, G. M., Rosas, Y. M., Lizarraga, L., Politi, N., Rivera, L., Herrera,
 A. H., Silveira, E. M. O., Olah, A., and Pidgeon, A. M.: Informing forest conservation planning with
 detailed human footprint data for Argentina, Glob. Ecol. Conserv., 31, e01787,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01787, 2021.
- McMillan, H. K., Westerberg, I. K., and Krueger, T.: Hydrological data uncertainty and its implications,
 Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 5, e1319, 2018.
- McSherry, M. E. and Ritchie, M. E.: Effects of grazing on grassland soil carbon: a global review, Glob.
 Chang. Biol., 19, 1347-1357, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12144, 2013.
- Meng, N., Wang, L. J., Qi, W. C., Dai, X. H., Li, Z. Z., Yang, Y. Z., Li, R. N., Ma, J. F., and Zheng, H.:
 A high-resolution gridded grazing dataset of grassland ecosystem on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau in
 1982-2015, Sci. Data., 10, 68, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-01970-1, 2023.
- Miao, L. J., Sun, Z. L., Ren, Y. J., Schierhorn, F., and Müller, D.: Grassland greening on the Mongolian
 Plateau despite higher grazing intensity, Land. Degrad. Dev., 32, 792-802,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3767</u>, 2020.
- Minoofar, A., Gholami, A., Eslami, S., Hajizadeh, A., Gholami, A., Zandi, M., Ameri, M., and Kazem,
 H. A.: Renewable energy system opportunities: A sustainable solution toward cleaner production and
 reducing carbon footprint of large-scale dairy farms, Energ. Convers. Manage., 293, 117554,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117554, 2023.
- Mulligan, M., van Soesbergen, A., Hole, D. G., Brooks, T. M., Burke, S., and Hutton, J.: Mapping
 nature's contribution to SDG 6 and implications for other SDGs at policy relevant scales, Remote.
 Sens. Environ., 239, 111671, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111671, 2020.
- 831 Muloi, D. M., Wee, B. A., McClean, D. M. H., Ward, M. J., Pankhurst, L., Phan, H., Ivens, A. C.,
- 832 Kivali, V., Kiyong'a, A., Ndinda, C., Gitahi, N., Ouko, T., Hassell, J. M., Imboma, T., Akoko, J.,
- 833 Murungi, M. K., Njoroge, S. M., Muinde, P., Nakamura, Y., Alumasa, L., Furmaga, E., Kaitho, T.,
- 834 Öhgren, E. M., Amanya, F., Ogendo, A., Wilson, D. J., Bettridge, J. M., Kiiru, J., Kyobutungi, C.,
- 835 Tacoli, C., Kang'ethe, E. K., Davila, J. D., Kariuki, S., Robinson, T. P., Rushton, J., Woolhouse, M. E.
- 836 J., and Fèvre, E. M.: Population genomics of Escherichia coli in livestock-keeping households across

带格式的: 缩进: 左侧: 0 厘米, 悬挂缩进: 2 字符, 首行缩进: -2 字符 **设置7株式:** 超链接, 字体: (默认) Calibri, 检查拼 写和迅华 a rapidly developing urban landscape, Nat. Microbiol., 7, 581-589,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01079-y</u>, 2022.

- Neumann, K., Elbersen, B. S., Verburg, P. H., Staritsky, I., Pérez-Soba, M., de Vries, W., and Rienks, W.
 A.: Modelling the spatial distribution of livestock in Europe, Landscape. Ecol., 24, 1207-1222, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-009-9357-5, 2009.
- Nicolas, G., Robinson, T. P., Wint, G. R., Conchedda, G., Cinardi, G., and Gilbert, M.: Using Random
 Forest to Improve the Downscaling of Global Livestock Census Data, Plos. One., 11, e0150424,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150424</u>, 2016.
- O'Neill, D. W. and Abson, D. J.: To settle or protect? A global analysis of net primary production in parks and urban areas, Ecol. Econ., 69, 319-327, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.08.028</u>, 2009.
- Pan, Y. J., Chen, S. Y., Qiao, F. X., Ukkusuri, S. V., and Tang, K.: Estimation of real-driving emissions
 for buses fueled with liquefied natural gas based on gradient boosted regression trees, Sci. Total.
 Environ., 660, 741-750, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.054, 2019.
- Petz, K., Alkemade, R., Bakkenes, M., Schulp, C. J. E., van der Velde, M., and Leemans, R.: Mapping
 and modelling trade-offs and synergies between grazing intensity and ecosystem services in
 rangelands using global-scale datasets and models, Global. Environ. Chang., 29, 223-234,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.08.007, 2014.
- Pozo, R. A., Cusack, J. J., Acebes, P., Malo, J. E., Traba, J., Iranzo, E. C., Morris-Trainor, Z.,
 Minderman, J., Bunnefeld, N., Radic-Schilling, S., Moraga, C. A., Arriagada, R., and Corti, P.:
 Reconciling livestock production and wild herbivore conservation: challenges and opportunities,
 Trends. Ecol. Evol., 36, 750-761, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.05.002, 2021.
- Prosser, D. J., Wu, J., Ellis, E. C., Gale, F., Van Boeckel, T. P., Wint, W., Robinson, T., Xiao, X., and
 Gilbert, M.: Modelling the distribution of chickens, ducks, and geese in China, Agric Ecosyst
 Environ, 141, 381-389, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.04.002, 2011.
- Robinson, T. P., Wint, G. R., Conchedda, G., Van Boeckel, T. P., Ercoli, V., Palamara, E., Cinardi, G.,
 D'Aietti, L., Hay, S. I., and Gilbert, M.: Mapping the global distribution of livestock, Plos. One., 9,
 e96084, <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096084</u>, 2014.
- Rokach, L.: Decision forest: Twenty years of research, Inform. Fusion., 27, 111-125,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2015.06.005, 2016.
- Shakoor, A., Shakoor, S., Rehman, A., Ashraf, F., Abdullah, M., Shahzad, S. M., Farooq, T. H., Ashraf,
 M., Manzoor, M. A., Altaf, M. M., and Altaf, M. A.: Effect of animal manure, crop type, climate
 zone, and soil attributes on greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural soils-A global meta-analysis,
 J. Clean. Prod., 278, 124019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124019, 2021.
- 871 Sun, J., Liu, M., Fu, B. J., Kemp, D., Zhao, W. W., Liu, G. H., Han, G. D., Wilkes, A., Lu, X. Y., Chen,
- 872 Y. C., Cheng, G. W., Zhou, T. C., Hou, G., Zhan, T. Y., Peng, F., Shang, H., Xu, M., Shi, P. L., He, Y.
- 873 T., Li, M., Wang, J. N., Tsunekawa, A., Zhou, H. K., Liu, Y., Li, Y. R., and Liu, S. L.: Reconsidering
- the efficiency of grazing exclusion using fences on the Tibetan Plateau, Sci. Bull., 65, 1405-1414,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2020.04.035, 2020.
- Sun, Y. X., Liu, S. L., Liu, Y. X., Dong, Y. H., Li, M. Q., An, Y., and Shi, F. N.: Grazing intensity and human activity intensity data sets on the Qinghai - Tibetan Plateau during 1990 - 2015, Geoscience.
 Data. Journal, 9, 140-153, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gdj3.127</u>, 2021.
- Tabassum, A., Abbasi, T., and Abbasi, S. A.: Reducing the global environmental impact of livestock
 production: the minilivestock option, J. Clean. Prod., 112, 1754-1766,

881 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.02.094</u>, 2016.

- Van Boeckel, T. P., Prosser, D., Franceschini, G., Biradar, C., Wint, W., Robinson, T., and Gilbert, M.:
 Modelling the distribution of domestic ducks in Monsoon Asia, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 141, 373-380,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.04.013, 2011.
- Veldhuis, M. P., Ritchie, M. E., Ogutu, J. O., Morrison, T. A., Beale, C. M., Estes, A. B., Mwakilema,
 W., Ojwang, G. O., Parr, C. L., Probert, J., Wargute, P. W., Hopcraft, J. G. C., and Han, O.:
 Cross-boundary human impacts compromise the Serengeti-Mara ecosystem, Science., 363,
- 888 1424-1428, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0564</u>, 2019.
- Venglovsky, J., Sasakova, N., and Placha, I.: Pathogens and antibiotic residues in animal manures and
 hygienic and ecological risks related to subsequent land application, Bioresour. Technol., 100,
 5386-5391, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.03.068</u>, 2009.
- Waha, K., van Wijk, M. T., Fritz, S., See, L., Thornton, P. K., Wichern, J., and Herrero, M.: Agricultural
 diversification as an important strategy for achieving food security in Africa, Glob. Chang. Biol., 24,
 3390-3400, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14158, 2018.
- Wang, R. J., Feng, Q. S., Jin, Z. R., and Liang, T. G.: The Restoration Potential of the Grasslands on the
 Tibetan Plateau, Remote. Sens., 14, 80, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14010080</u>, 2021.
- Wang, Y. F., Lv, W. W., Xue, K., Wang, S. P., Zhang, L. R., Hu, R. H., Zeng, H., Xu, X. L., Li, Y. M.,
 Jiang, L. L., Hao, Y. B., Du, J. Q., Sun, J. P., Dorji, T., Piao, S. L., Wang, C. H., Luo, C. Y., Zhang, Z.
- 899 H., Chang, X. F., Zhang, M. M., Hu, Y. G., Wu, T. H., Wang, J. Z., Li, B. W., Liu, P. P., Zhou, Y.,
- Wang, A., Dong, S. K., Zhang, X. Z., Gao, Q. Z., Zhou, H. K., Shen, M. G., Wilkes, A., Miehe, G.,
 Zhao, X. Q., and Niu, H. S.: Grassland changes and adaptive management on the Qinghai–Tibetan
- 902 Plateau, Nat. Rev. Earth. Env., 3, 668-683, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00330-8</u>, 2022.
- Wang, Y. X., Sun, Y., Wang, Z. F., Chang, S. H., and Hou, F. J.: Grazing management options for
 restoration of alpine grasslands on the Qinghai Tibet Plateau, Ecosphere., 9, e02515,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2515</u>, 2018.
- Wei, Y. Q., Lu, H. Y., Wang, J. N., Wang, X. F., and Sun, J.: Dual Influence of Climate Change and
 Anthropogenic Activities on the Spatiotemporal Vegetation Dynamics Over the Qinghai-Tibetan
 Plateau From 1981 to 2015, Earth's Future., 10, 1-23, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002566, 2022.
- Yang, J. and Huang, X.: The 30 m annual land cover dataset and its dynamics in China from 1990 to
 2019, Earth. Syst. Sci. Data., 13, 3907-3925, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3907-2021</u>, 2021.
- Yang, Y. J., Song, G., and Lu, S.: Assessment of land ecosystem health with Monte Carlo simulation: A
 case study in Qiqihaer, China, J. Clean. Prod., 250, 119522, 2020.
- Ye, T., Liu, W. H., Mu, Q. Y., Zong, S., Li, Y. J., and Shi, P. J.: Quantifying livestock vulnerability to
 snow disasters in the Tibetan Plateau: Comparing different modeling techniques for prediction,
 International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 48, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101578</u>,
 2020.
- 2hai, D. C., Gao, X. Z., Li, B. L., Yuan, Y. C., Jiang, Y. H., Liu, Y., Li, Y., Li, R., Liu, W., and Xu, J.:
 Driving Climatic Factors at Critical Plant Developmental Stages for Qinghai–Tibet Plateau Alpine
 Grassland Productivity, Remote. Sens., 14, 1564, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14071564</u>, 2022.
- Zhan, N., Liu, W. H., Ye, T., Li, H. D., Chen, S., and Ma, H.: High-resolution livestock seasonal distribution data on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau in 2020, Sci. Data., 10, 142, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02050-0, 2023.
- 923 Zhang, B. H., Zhang, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Ding, M. J., Liu, L. S., Li, L. H., Li, S. C., Liu, Q. H., Paudel,
- 924 B., and Zhang, H. M.: Factors Driving Changes in Vegetation in Mt. Qomolangma (Everest):

- 925 Implications for the Management of Protected Areas, Remote. Sens., 13, 4725,
 926 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13224725</u>, 2021a.
- Zhang, R. Y., Wang, Z. W., Han, G. D., Schellenberg, M. P., Wu, Q., and Gu, C.: Grazing induced changes in plant diversity is a critical factor controlling grassland productivity in the Desert Steppe, Northern China, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 265, 73-83, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.05.014</u>, 2018.
- P31 Zhang, W. B., Li, J., Struik, P. C., Jin, K., Ji, B. M., Jiang, S. Y., Zhang, Y., Li, Y. H., Yang, X. J., and
 P32 Wang, Z.: Recovery through proper grazing exclusion promotes the carbon cycle and increases
 p33 carbon sequestration in semiarid steppe, Sci. Total. Environ., 892, 164423,
 p34 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164423</u>, 2023.
- Zhang, Y., Hu, Q. W., and Zou, F. L.: Spatio-Temporal Changes of Vegetation Net Primary Productivity
 and Its Driving Factors on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau from 2001 to 2017, Remote. Sens., 13, 1566,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13081566, 2021b.
- Zhao, X. Q., Xu, T. W., Ellis, J., He, F. Q., Hu, L. Y., and Li, Q.: Rewilding the wildlife in
 Sangjiangyuan National Park, Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Ecosyst. Health. Sust., 6, 1776643,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/20964129.2020.1776643</u>, 2020.
- 241 Zhou, W. X., Li, C. J., Wang, S., Ren, Z. B., and Stringer, L. C.: Effects of grazing and enclosure
 242 management on soil physical and chemical properties vary with aridity in China's drylands, Sci.
 243 Total. Environ., 877, 162946, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162946, 2023.
- 944 Zhu, Q., Chen, H., Peng, C. H., Liu, J. X., Piao, S., He, J. S., Wang, S. P., Zhao, X. Q., Zhang, J., Fang,
- X. Q., Jin, J. X., Yang, Q. E., Ren, L. L., and Wang, Y. F.: An early warning signal for grassland
 degradation on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Nat. Commun., 14, 6406,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42099-4, 2023a.
- Zhu, Y. Y., Zhang, H. M., Ding, M. J., Li, L. H., and Zhang, Y. L.: The Multiple Perspective Response
 of Vegetation to Drought on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Remote. Sens., 15, 902,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15040902, 2023b.
- 951Zhou, J., Niu, J., Wu, N., Lu, T. Annual high-resolution grazing intensity maps on the Qinghai-Tibet952Plateaufrom1990to2020[Dataset].Zenodo.
- 953 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1085111913672152141090,2024.
- 954

域代码已更改