Global Nitrous Oxide Budget 1980-2020

Hanqin Tian[1,2*], Naiqing Pan[1], Rona L. Thompson[3], Josep G. Canadell[4], Parvadha Suntharalingam[5], Pierre Regnier[6], Eric A. Davidson[7], Michael Prather[8], Philippe Ciais[9], Marilena Muntean[10], Shufen Pan[11,1], Wilfried Winiwarter[12, 13], Sönke Zaehle[14], Feng Zhou[15], Robert B. Jackson[16], Hermann W. Bange[17], Sarah Berthet[18], Zihao Bian[19], Daniele Bianchi[20], Alexander F. Bouwman[21], Erik T. Buitenhuis[5], Geoffrey Dutton[22], Minpeng Hu[23], Akihiko Ito[24, 25], Atul K. Jain[26], Aurich Jeltsch-Thömmes[27], Fortunat Joos[27], Sian Kou-Giesbrecht[28, 29], Paul B. Krummel[30], Xin Lan[22,31], Angela Landolfi[32,17], Ronny Lauerwald[33], Ya Li[34], Chaoqun Lu[35], Taylor Maavara[36], Manfredi Manizza[37], Dylan B. Millet[38], Jens Mühle[37], Prabir K. Patra[39, 40, 41], Glen P. Peters[42], Xiaoyu Qin[34], Peter Raymond[43], Laure Resplandy[44], Judith A. Rosentreter[45,46], Hao Shi[34], Qing Sun[27], Daniele Tonina[47], Francesco N. Tubiello[48], Guido R. van der Werf[49], Nicolas Vuichard[9], Junjie Wang[21], Kelley C. Wells[38], Luke M. Western[22,50], Chris Wilson[51,52], Jia Yang[53], Yuanzhi Yao[54], Yongfa You[1], Qing Zhu[55]

[1]Center for Earth System Science and Global Sustainability, Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA
[2]Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA
[3]Norsk Institutt for Luftforskning, NILU, 2007 Kjeller, Norway
[4]Global Carbon Project, CSIRO Environment, Canberra, ACT 2101, Australia
[5]School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK
[6]Department Geoscience, Environment & Society-BGEOSYS, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium
[7]Appalachian Laboratory, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Frostburg, MD 21532, USA
[8]Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
[9]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE, CEA CNRS, UVSQ UPSACLAY, 91198 Gif sur Yvette, France
[10]European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), 21027 Ispra, Varese, Italy
[11]Department of Engineering and Environmental Studies Program, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA
[12]International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria
[13]Institute of Environmental Engineering, University of Zielona Góra, 65-417 Zielona Góra, Poland
[14]Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, 07701 Jena, Germany
[15]Institute for Carbon Neutrality, Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
[16]Department of Earth System Science, Woods Institute for the Environment, and Precourt Institute for Energy, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
[17]GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany

[18]Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM), Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France
[19]School of Geography, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China
[20]Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
[21]Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8a, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 3584CB
[22]Global Monitoring Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO 80305, USA
[23]Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
[24]Graduate School of Life and Agricultural Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan
[25]Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba 305-8506, Japan
[26]Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL61801, USA
[27]Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
[28]Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 324.
[29]Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada B3H 4R2.
[30]CSIRO Environment, Aspendale, Victoria 3195, Australia
[31] Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, CO 80309 USA
[32]Institute of Marine Sciences, National Research Council (ISMAR-CNR), Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133, Rome, Italy;
[33]Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR ECOSYS, 91120 Palaiseau, France
[34]Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China
[35]Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA
[36]School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
[37]Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.
[38]Department of Soil, Water, and Climate, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN 55108, USA
[39]Research Institute for Global Change, JAMSTEC, Yokohama 236 0001, Japan
[40]Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto 603 8047, Japan
[41]Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
[42]CICERO Center for International Climate Research, Oslo 0349, Norway
[43]School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA
[44]Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
[45]Centre for Coastal Biogeochemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Southern Cross University, Lismore 2480, NSW, Australia
[46]Yale Institute for Biospheric Studies, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
[47]Center for ecohydraulics Research, University of Idaho, Boise, Idaho 83702, USA
[48]Statistics Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Via Terme di Caracalla, Rome 00153, Italy
[49]Meteorology and Air Quality Group, Wageningen University and Research Centre, 6708 PB Wageningen, the Netherlands
[50]School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK
[51]School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

[52]National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
[53]Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA
[54]School of Geographic Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China
[55]Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Correspondence to: Hanqin Tian, (hanqin.tian@bc.edu)

Abstract: Nitrous oxide (N_2O) is a long-lived potent greenhouse gas and stratospheric ozone-depleting substance, which has been accumulating in the atmosphere since the pre-industrial period. The mole fraction of atmospheric N_2O has increased by nearly 25% from 270 parts per billion (ppb) in 1750 to 336 ppb in 2022, with the fastest annual growth rate since 1980 of more than 1.3 ppb yr^{-1} in both 2020 and 2021. According to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC AR6), the relative contribution of N_2O to the total enhanced effective radiative forcing of greenhouse gases was 6.4% for 1750-2022. As a core component of our global greenhouse gas assessments coordinated by the Global Carbon Project (GCP), we present a global N_2O budget that incorporates both natural and anthropogenic sources and sinks, and accounts for the interactions between nitrogen additions and the biogeochemical processes that control N_2O emissions. We use Bottom-Up (BU: inventory, statistical extrapolation of flux measurements, process-based land and ocean modelling) and Top-Down (TD: atmospheric measurement-based inversion) approaches. We provide a comprehensive quantification of global N_2O sources and sinks in 21 natural and anthropogenic categories in 18 regions between 1980 and 2020. We estimate that total annual anthropogenic N_2O emissions increased 40% (or 1.9 Tg N yr^{-1}) in the past four decades (1980-2020). Direct agricultural emissions in 2020, 3.9 Tg N yr^{-1} (best estimate) represent the large majority of anthropogenic emissions, followed by other direct anthropogenic sources (including 'Fossil fuel and industry', 'Waste and wastewater', and 'Biomass burning' (2.1 Tg N yr^{-1}), and indirect anthropogenic sources (1.3 Tg N yr^{-1}). For the year 2020, our best estimate of total BU emissions for natural and anthropogenic sources was 18.5 (lower-upper bounds: 10.6–27.0) Tg N yr^{-1}, close to our TD estimate of 17.0 (16.6–17.4) Tg N yr^{-1}. For the period 2010-2019, the annual BU decadal-average emissions for natural plus anthropogenic sources were 18.2 (10.6–25.9) Tg N yr^{-1} and TD emissions were 17.4 (15.8–19.20 Tg N yr^{-1}. The once top emitter Europe has reduced its emissions since the 1980s by 31% while those of emerging economies have grown, making China the top emitter since the 2010s. The observed atmospheric N_2O concentrations in recent years have exceeded projected levels under all scenarios in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6), underscoring the importance of reducing anthropogenic N_2O emissions. To evaluate mitigation efforts and contribute to the Global Stocktake of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, we propose establishing a global network for monitoring and modeling N_2O from the surface through the stratosphere. The data presented in this work can be downloaded from https://doi.org/10.18160/RQ8P-2Z4R (Tian et al. 2023).

Executive summary

The global N_2O budget has been perturbed through direct and indirect anthropogenic emissions, but also through perturbations to the natural N_2O sources and sinks through climate change, increasing atmospheric CO2 and land cover change. Ice core data show a relatively constant tropospheric N_2O mixing ratio over the past two millennia (Canadell et al., 2021; MacFarling Meure et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2019), followed by an increase from about 270 ppb in 1750 to well above 300 ppb. The tropospheric N_2O mole fractions, precisely measured at a global network of stations, increased from 301 parts per billion (ppb) in 1980 to 333 ppb in 2020 and 336 ppb in 2022. The tropospheric N_2O mole fraction in 2022 is higher than at any time in the last 800,000 years. The current growth rate of atmospheric N_2O is unprecedented with respect to the ice core record covering the last deglacial transition (with decadal to centennial resolution) and likely unprecedented relative to the ice core records of the past 800,000 years. The mean annual tropospheric growth rate increased from 0.76 (0.55-0.95) ppb yr^{-1} in the decade of 2000-2009 to 0.96 (0.79-1.15) ppb yr^{-1} in the decade of 2010-2019. In 2020, the N_2O tropospheric growth rate was 1.33 ppb yr^{-1} (1.38 ppb yr^{-1} in 2021), the highest observed rate since 1980 and over 30% higher than the average in the 2010s.

Global N_2O emissions have significantly increased in the last four decades. The magnitudes of global N_2O emissions estimated by the BU and TD approaches were comparable during the overlapping period 1997–2020, but TD estimates found a larger inter-annual variability and a faster rate of increase. BU approaches estimated that global N_2O emissions increased from 17.4 Tg N yr^{-1} (10.3-24.0 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 1997 to 18.5 Tg N yr^{-1} (10.6-27.0 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 2020, with an average increase rate of 0.043 Tg N yr^{-2} ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, according to TD estimates, global emissions increased from 15.4 Tg N yr^{-1} (13.9-16.7 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 1997 to 17.0 Tg N yr^{-1} (16.6-17.4 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 2020, implying a higher increase rate of 0.085 Tg N yr^{-2} ($p < 0.05$).

According to BU estimates, the increase in global N_2O emissions was primarily due to a 40% increase in anthropogenic emissions from 4.8 (3.1-7.3) Tg yr^{-1} in 1980 to 6.7 (3.3-10.9) Tg yr^{-1} in 2020. Among all anthropogenic sources, direct agricultural emissions made the largest contribution, increasing from 2.2 (1.6-2.8) Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 3.9 (2.9-5.1) Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. The concurrent indirect agricultural N_2O emissions also steadily increased from 0.9 (0.7-1.1) Tg N yr^{-1} to 1.3 (0.9-1.6) Tg N yr^{-1}. In contrast, other direct anthropogenic emissions (including emissions from fossil fuel and biomass burning, industry and wastewater) did not show a significant trend, while fluxes induced by perturbations to climate, atmospheric CO_2, and land cover were negative and caused a reduction of N_2O emissions which grew from -0.4 (-0.9-1.0) Tg yr^{-1} in 1980 to -0.6 (-2.2-1.8) Tg yr^{-1} in 2020. Unlike anthropogenic emissions, global natural land and ocean N_2O emissions were relatively stable. According to the BU approaches, the total amount of global natural N_2O emissions fluctuated between 11.7 and

12.1 Tg yr^{-1} during 1980-2020. Among all sources, natural emissions from shelves, inland waters, and lightning and atmospheric production were assumed to be constant during 1980-2020. According to BU approaches, the total natural emissions from these sources were 1.8 (1.0-3.0) Tg N yr^{-1}

During 2010-2019, similar estimates of global total N_2O emissions were obtained using both BU and TD approaches, with decadal mean values of 18.2 (10.6–25.9) Tg N yr^{-1} and 17.4 (15.8–19.2) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively (Figure 1). According to the BU estimates, natural sources contributed 65% to the total emissions (11.8, 7.3–15.9 Tg N yr^{-1}). Specifically, natural soils contributed the most, with a decadal average of 6.4 (3.9–8.6) Tg N yr^{-1}, followed by open oceans (3.5, 2.5–4.7 Tg N yr^{-1}), the natural source from shelves (1.2, 0.6–1.6 Tg N yr^{-1}), lightning and atmospheric production (0.6, 0.3–1.2 Tg N yr^{-1}), and inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation (0.1, 0.0–0.1 Tg N yr^{-1}). Anthropogenic sources contributed 35% to the total N_2O emissions (6.5, 3.2–10.0 Tg N yr^{-1}). Direct agricultural emissions accounted for 56% of the total anthropogenic emissions (3.6, 2.7–4.8 Tg N yr^{-1}), followed by emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources ((2.1, 1.8–2.4 Tg N yr^{-1}), including 'Fossil fuel and industry' (1.1, 1.0-1.2 Tg N yr^{-1}), 'Waste and wastewater' (0.3, 0.3-0.3 Tg N yr^{-1}), and 'Biomass burning' (0.8, 0.5-1.0Tg N yr^{-1}), and indirect anthropogenic emissions (1.2, 0.9–1.6 Tg N yr^{-1}). Perturbed fluxes from climate/CO2/land cover changes had a net negative effect (i.e., reduced) on N_2O emissions (-0.6, -2.1–1.2 Tg N yr^{-1}). Increased CO_2 and land conversion from mature forest reduced N_2O emissions, but climate change resulted in N2O emission of 0.7 (0.2-1.2) Tg N yr^{-1}.

Among the eighteen regions considered in this study, only Europe, Russia, Australasia, and Japan and Korea had decreasing N_2O emissions. Europe had the largest rate of decrease with an average of -13.2×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} during 1980-2020 (31% reduction), largely resulting from reduced fossil fuel and industry emissions, which changed from 0.49 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.14 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. In addition to the large reduction of fossil fuel and industry emissions in Europe, direct and indirect agricultural emissions also declined during 1980-2020, however, the decreasing trend in direct agricultural emissions had levelled off by the 2000s.

China and South Asia had the largest increase in N_2O emissions from 1980 to 2020. The rates of increase in anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia were 18.9 x 10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} (82% increase) and 14.3 x 10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} (92% increase), respectively. In these two regions, direct nitrogen additions in agriculture made the largest contribution, while other direct and indirect emissions also steadily increased.

The atmospheric chemistry transport models used in this study show an increase in atmospheric N_2O burden from 1527 (1504-1545) Tg N in 2000-2009 to 1606 (1592-1621) Tg N in 2020, and proportional to this, a small increase in the atmospheric loss, from 12.1 (12.0-12.6) Tg N yr^{-1} to 12.9 (12.5-13.2) Tg N yr^{-1}. The estimated increase in atmospheric N_2O burden is comparable to estimates by satellite and photolysis models, showing an increase from

1528 Tg N in the 2000s to 1570 in the 2010s and 1592 Tg N in 2020. The atmospheric chemistry transport models, however, did not show any significant trend in the lifetime, which is in contrast to results based on satellite observations in the stratosphere; these observations indicate that the atmospheric lifetime of N_2O decreased from 119 years in the 2000s to 117 years in the 2010s. The reason for the discrepancy is not yet known and needs to be further investigated.

Several major uncertainties have been identified as follows: 1) inversion estimates are the most uncertain in the areas of South America, Africa, central and southern Asia, as well as Australasia, where the inversions are poorly constrained by observations. 2) Large uncertainties exist in the estimates of soil N_2O emissions from tropical ecosystems in the Amazon Basin, the Congo Basin, and Southeast Asia, as well as in regions with high fertilizer application rates and emissions, including Eastern China, Northern India, and the US Corn Belt. 3) The largest uncertainties in the estimates of ocean emissions are found in the equatorial Pacific, the Benguela upwelling region of the Atlantic, and the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean. The highest uncertainty in the equatorial upwelling and low-oxygen waters is associated with high sub-surface N_2O production. 4) The N_2O fluxes from atmospheric CO_2, mature forest conversion and biomass burning are poorly understood and quantified. The relatively sparse distribution of current N_2O observation sites underscores the necessity of establishing more sites and regular aircraft profiles, especially in tropical and subtropical regions, to better constrain emission estimates from inversion models.

Based on this analysis and associated uncertainties, we propose the urgent development of a comprehensive Terrestrial and Ocean N_2O Flux Monitoring and Analysis Network to better resolve spatio-temporal patterns and reduce uncertainties in N_2O emissions. Such a development is a requirement to better constrain the future contribution of N_2O to climate change and guide policy choices to reduce N_2O emissions.



Figure 1. Global N_2O Budget during 2010-2019. The coloured arrows represent N_2O fluxes (in Tg N yr^{-1} for 2010–2019) as follows: red, direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector (agriculture); orange, emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources; maroon, indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions; brown, perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 or land cover; green, emissions from natural sources. The anthropogenic and natural N_2O sources are derived from BU estimates. The blue arrows represent the surface sink and the observed atmospheric chemical sink, of which about 1% occurs in the troposphere. The total budget (sources + sinks) does not exactly match the observed atmospheric accumulation, because each of the terms has been derived independently and we do not force TD agreement by rescaling the terms. This imbalance readily falls within the overall uncertainty in closing the N_2O budget, as reflected in each of the terms. The N_2O sources and sinks are given in Tg N yr^{-1}. Copyright the Global Carbon Project.

1 Introduction

Nitrogen (N) is an essential element for the survival of all living organisms, required by numerous biological molecules such as nucleic acids, proteins, and chlorophyll (Galloway et al., 2021; Scheer et al., 2020). The addition of excess reactive N compounds to terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems stimulates emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O), which is the most important depleting substance of stratospheric ozone (World Meteorological Organization, 2022) and a long-lived potent greenhouse gas with an atmospheric lifetime of more than 100 years (Myhre et al., 2013; Prather et al., 2015). Atmospheric N_2O mole fractions have increased by nearly 25% since the pre-industrial era, from 270 parts per billion (ppb) in 1750 (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006) to 336 ppb in 2022, and an increase of 35 ppb (10%) since 1980 (Figure 2). The current mole fraction is higher than at any time in



Figure 2. Global mean atmospheric N_2O dry mole fraction (atmospheric concentration) (1980-2022) and its annual growth rate (1995-2022) estimated by AGAGE, NOAA and CSIRO observing networks. The blue and black dash lines represent the mean annual growth rate in the 2000s and 2010s, respectively.

the last 800,000 years (Schilt et al. EPSL, 2010). The increase rate of atmospheric N_2O in the 20th century is unprecedented over the past 20,000 years, covering the last glacial-interglacial transition, and likely unprecedented compared to the lower resolution ice core records of the past 800,000 years (Joos and Spahni, PNAS, 2007; Schilt et al., EPSL, 2010, Canadell et al., AR6, WGI, Chapter 5). The observation networks of AGAGE (Prinn et al., 2018), NOAA (Hall et al., 2007) and CSIRO (Francey et al., 2007) all show an overall increasing trend in the growth rate of atmospheric N_2O: the mean annual growth rate increased from 0.76 (0.55-0.95) ppb yr^{-1} in the 2000s to 0.96 (0.79-1.15) ppb yr^{-1} in the 2010s, with significant seasonal and interannual variations. In 2020, the N_2O atmospheric growth rate was 1.33 ppb yr^{-1} (1.38 ppb yr^{-1} in 2021), higher than any previous observed year, and more than 30% higher than the average value in the 2010s.

Due to the rapid increase of global N_2O emissions, observed atmospheric N_2O mole fractions in recent years have begun to exceed the predicted levels under all scenarios in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) for the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2021; Gidden et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2020). N_2O emissions are expected to continue increasing in the coming decades due to the growing demand for food, feed, fiber and energy, and a rising source from waste generation and industrial processes (Davidson & Kanter, 2014; Reay et al., 2012). Reducing N_2O emissions will contribute to the mitigation of global warming and the recovery of stratospheric ozone (Jackson et al., 2019). It is noted that although increased stratospheric NO_x due to rising levels of N_2O can lead to incremental stratospheric O_3 loss but is unlikely to cause catastrophic ozone loss the way that anthropogenic halogens did, because stratospheric NO_x from N_2O has offset halogen-catalyzed stratospheric ozone loss through various buffering reactions, e.g., the formation of halogen reservoir species like $ClONO_2$ (Wennberg et al., 1994; Nevison et al., 1999; Ravishankara et al., 2009). Significant reductions of N_2O emissions are required along with net CO_2-emissions to stabilize the global climate system. For pathways consistent with the remaining carbon budget of 1.5°C, 1.7°C and 2°C stabilization, and assuming that all GHGs should be cut in equal proportion to their contribution to anthropogenic radiative forcing, global N_2O emissions need to be reduced by 22%, 18% and 11 %, respectively, by 2050 (Rogelj and Lamboll, 2024). In addition, N_2O mitigation could reduce ozone loss comparable to the depletion potential of the global chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) stock in old air conditioners, refrigerators, insulation foams and other units (UNEP 2013). All in all, implementing N_2O mitigation will contribute to achieving a set of United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2016).

Nitrification and denitrification are the two key microbial processes controlling N_2O production (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Kuypers et al., 2018; Firestone and Davidson, 1989), making the largest contribution to global N_2O emissions (Syakila & Kroeze, 2011; Tian et al., 2020); abiotic processes also play a

role in the production of N_2O. We categorize the processes governing N_2O sources and sinks in 23 different categories (Figure 3): (1) $F_{fossil\ fuel}$: N_2O emissions from fossil fuel combustion; (2) $F_{industry}$: N_2O emissions from the chemical industry; (3) $F_{waste\ water}$: N_2O emissions from wastewater treatment and discharge; (4) $F_{natural\ inland\ waters}$: Natural N_2O emissions from inland waters (rivers, lakes and reservoirs); (5) $F_{human\ inland\ waters}$: Anthropogenic N_2O from inland waters (rivers, lakes and reservoirs); (6) $F_{direct\ soil\ emission}$: Direct N_2O emissions from agricultural soils; (7) $F_{manure\ left\ on\ pasture}$: N_2O emissions from manure left on pasture; (8) $F_{manure\ management}$: N_2O emission from manure management; (9) $F_{aquaculture}$: N_2O emissions from coastal and freshwater aquaculture; (10) $F_{land\ use/land\ cover\ changes}$: N_2O emission/reduction due to land cover change/deforestation; (11) $F_{natural\ soil\ emissions}$: Natural soil N_2O emission; (12) $F_{biomass\ burning}$: N_2O emissions from biomass burning; (13) $F_{surface\ uptake}$: Surface N_2O uptake; (14) $F_{N\ deposition\ land}$: Indirect N_2O emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions on land; (15) $F_{cliamte\ effect}$: Perturbed N_2O fluxes from climate change; (16) $F_{cliamte\ effect}$: Perturbed N_2O fluxes from CO_2 change; (17) $F_{shelves}$: N_2O emission from continental shelves; (18) F_{oceans}: N_2O emission from open ocean; (19) $F_{N\ deposition\ ocean}$: N_2O emissions from anthropogenic N deposition on oceans; (20) $F_{lightning\ \&\ atmospheric\ production}$: Lightning and atmospheric production of N_2O; (21) $F_{stratospheric\ sink}$: Stratospheric N_2O sink; (22) $F_{natural\ coastal\ water}$: Natural N_2O emissions from estuaries and coastal vegetation; (23) $F_{N\ dep\ \&\ leaching}$: N_2O emissions from nitrogen deposition and leaching to estuaries and coastal vegetation. There is also a small amount of N_2O emission from termite mounds, but such an N_2O flux is not quantified in the current budget analysis due to limited data.

Biogenic N_2O emissions from land are regulated by multiple environmental factors, including soil moisture, temperature, oxygen status, pH, vegetation type, topography, atmospheric CO_2 concentration, and soil N and C availability (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Li et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2022; H. Yu et al., 2022). The effects of these environmental factors on N_2O emissions have strong spatial and temporal heterogeneity, making up-scaling field N_2O measurements to regional and global scales difficult. Studies using atmospheric N_2O inverse modeling suggest a greater source of N_2O from land and ocean in the colder and wetter La Nina conditions and vice versa in the warmer and drier El Niño conditions (Patra et al., 2022; Thompson et al., 2014). Ongoing environmental changes such as ocean warming (and associated changes in stratification and ice coverage), decreasing pH (i.e. increasing acidification), loss of dissolved oxygen (i.e. deoxygenation), and eutrophication due to increasing anthropogenic inputs of nutrients via rivers and atmospheric deposition of nitrogen aerosols, might significantly alter the production and consumption of N_2O in the upper ocean, its distribution pattern and, ultimately, its release to the atmosphere (Bange et al., 2019, 2022; Wilson et al., 2019), exerting in the long term a small but uncertain feedback on global warming (Battaglia and Joos, GBC, 2018, Forster et al., 2021) .

In this study, we construct a comprehensive global and regional N_2O budget based on the processes and framework shown in Figure 3 and following the framework of Tian et al. (2020). The figure summarizes the pathways of N_2O formation, consumption, emission and absorption, and it helps to guide consistent estimations and comparisons of N_2O budgets among regions and upscaling of regional budgets to the globe. N_2O fluxes are grouped into two major categories based on the sources.

The first category is natural N_2O fluxes (blue arrows in Figure 3), which are N_2O fluxes in the absence of climate change and anthropogenic disturbances, and include natural soil emissions, soil uptake, N_2O emission from natural disturbances causing wetland loss and degradation, lightning, and atmospheric production. This category also includes natural emissions from inland waters, coastal ecosystems, and the ocean.

The second category is anthropogenic N_2O fluxes (red arrows in Figure 3). The direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector ("agroecosystems" box in Figure 3) include emissions from direct application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers and manure (henceforth "direct soil emissions"), manure left on pasture, manure management and aquaculture, while other direct anthropogenic sources include fossil fuel combustion and industry, waste and wastewater, and biomass burning. Indirect N_2O emissions derive from anthropogenic nitrogen additions such as atmospheric nitrogen deposition (NDEP) on land and ocean, and the effects of anthropogenic loads of reactive nitrogen in inland waters, estuaries, and coastal vegetation.

In the anthropogenic N_2O fluxes category, we also consider N_2O fluxes from the anthropogenic perturbations in climate, CO_2 and land-use/land-cover (from hereon perturbation fluxes). In terrestrial ecosystems, perturbation fluxes can be caused by increasing CO_2 concentration, climate change (e.g., warming-induced thawing of permafrost), and land-use change (e.g., converting natural lands to lands for human uses, such as croplands, mining, logging, and the post-deforestation pulse effect, the long-term effect of reduced mature forest area). N_2O emissions can either increase or decrease during land conversion depending on the type and phase of the land-use change. For example, when tropical forests are first converted to agriculture there is often a pulse of N_2O emissions for the first year or for as long as five years, depending upon the circumstances; following deforestation, emissions decline below those of the original forest if pastures degrade and if croplands are not fertilized, such as in slash-and-burn agriculture (Davidson and Artaxo, 2004, Meurer et al., 2016). When agriculture is abandoned and a secondary forest is allowed to regrow, N_2O emissions gradually increase but usually remain lower than those of the original mature forest or from fertilized croplands (Davidson et al., 2007, Sullivan et al., 2019).



Figure 3. N_2O sources and sinks and flux partitions contributing to the global N_2O budget. Upwards pointing arrows indicate a source to the atmosphere and downward pointing arrows represent a sink.

Numerous efforts have estimated individual sources and sinks of N_2O across global ecosystems. Prominently, anthropogenic N_2O emissions have been annually reported for the past two decades by Annex I Parties (developed countries) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (Reports | UNFCCC). As a result of the Paris Agreement, over 190 signatory countries are now required to report their national GHG inventory biannually, if not already reported annually, with sufficient detail and transparency to track progress towards their Nationally Determined Contributions. However, national GHG inventories only provide a partial picture of the observed changes in atmospheric N_2O. They do not cover natural sources and have large uncertainties in the emission factors and activity data. Additionally, data are limited in many regions of the world, e.g., South America and Africa (Tian et al. 2020).

Tian et al. (2020) built the first comprehensive global N_2O budget using multiple BU (BU) and TD (TD) methods as part of a partnership between the Global Carbon Project (GCP) and the International Nitrogen Initiative (INI). Based on Tian et al. (2020) and the budget framework established in Figure 3, our study presents an improved and updated global N_2O budget and its regional attribution to 18 land regions and the global ocean. The budgets cover the decades of 1980-89, 1990-99, 2000-09, 2010-2019, with a complete budget extension to 2020 and atmospheric N_2O changes in 2021 and 2022. The work allows us to explore the relative temporal and spatial importance of multiple sources and sinks that drive the atmospheric burden of N_2O, their uncertainties, and interactions between anthropogenic and natural forcings. This study also consolidates the international scientific capacity and networks that contribute to this assessment with the aim to provide improved and updated N_2O budgets at regular intervals.

This global effort builds from and contributes to the set of global GHG assessments that the GCP has established including regular updates of the carbon (CO_2-C), methane (CH_4), and now N_2O budgets, and other biogeochemical budgets of global significance. The budgets have been designed to: a) support global and national scientific assessments (e.g., IPCC, WCRP annual reports), b) align scientific research and data products to support climate mitigation and sustainability policy needs, and c) contribute to the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement to track progress towards national determined contributions and the ultimate goal of achieving net-zero GHG emissions. Integration of all GHGs in robust and shared methodological approaches and data delivery platforms are central goals of GCP.

2 Methodology and Data

2.1 Definitions, terminology and unit of N_2O sources and sinks

This study provides an estimation of the global N_2O budget considering all quantifiable sources, sinks and perturbations, a total of 21 N_2O fluxes. To simplify our analysis, we further grouped these fluxes into six major categories: (1) 'natural baseline fluxes': this is the source in the absence of climate change and anthropogenic disturbances and includes emissions from soils, surface uptake, shelf and ocean emissions, lightning and atmospheric production, and emissions from inland waters, estuaries, and coastal vegetation; (2) direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector ('agriculture'), which includes emissions from direct application of nitrogen fertilizers and manure (henceforth 'direct soil emissions'), manure left on pasture, manure management and aquaculture; (3) 'perturbed fluxes from climate/CO_2/land cover change' which includes the effects of CO_2, climate, the post-deforestation pulse, and the long-term effect of reduced mature forest area; (4) indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions including atmospheric nitrogen deposition (NDEP) on the land, atmospheric NDEP on the ocean, and effects of anthropogenic loads of reactive nitrogen in inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation; (5) other direct anthropogenic sources including fossil fuel and industry, waste and wastewater, and biomass burning; and (6) the atmospheric sink in the stratosphere (via photolysis and oxidation by O^1D). Our anthropogenic N_2O emission categories are aligned with those compiled by the national greenhouse gas inventories using IPCC 2006 methodologies and reported to the UNFCCC (Table A1).

In this study, N_2O fluxes are expressed in teragrams of N_2O-N per year: 1 Tg N_2O-N yr^{-1} (1 Tg N yr^{-1}) $=10^{12}$ g N_2O-N $yr^{-1}=1.57\times10^{12}$ g N_2O yr^{-1}, with change rates in N_2O fluxes expressed in the unit of Tg N_2O-N yr^{-2} (Tg N yr^{-2}) which represent the first derivative of annual N_2O fluxes calculated by the linear regression method. Atmospheric N_2O is expressed as dry air mole fractions, in parts per billion (ppb), with atmospheric N_2O annual increases expressed in parts per billion per year (ppb yr^{-1}). The conversion factor from the unit "ppb yr^{-1}" to the unit "Tg Nyr^{-1}" is 4.79 Tg N ppb^{-1} (Prather, et al., 2012). Unless specified, uncertainties are reported in brackets as minimum and maximum values of all estimates, following Tian et al., (2020).

We focus on N_2O fluxes and their change rates during three periods: 1997-2020, 1980-2020 and 2010-2019. 1980-2020 is the entire study period, we report temporal variations in BU estimates of N_2O emissions from different sources to depict the overall trends of these fluxes. 1997-2020 is the overlapping period of BU and TD approaches, we compare BU and TD estimates during this period to exam their consistency. 2010-2019 is the most recent decade, we report the magnitudes of emissions from different sources to give best estimates of their latest status and relative importance.

2.2 Definition of Regions

As anthropogenic emissions are often reported at the country level, we divide global land into 18 regions and define these regions based on a country list (Table A2). This approach is compatible with all TD and BU approaches considered here. The number of regions was close to the widely used TransCom inter-comparison map (Gurney et al., 2004), but with subdivisions to separate the contribution of important countries or regions to the global N_2O budget (such as China, South Asia and the United States). This regionalization is also compatible with the REgional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes (Poulter et al. 2022) after aggregation into ten regions. The 18 regions are United States (USA), Canada (CAN), Central America (CAM), Northern South America (NSA), Brazil (BRA), Southwest South America (SSA), Europe (EU), Northern Africa (NAF), Equatorial Africa (EQAF), Southern Africa (SAF), Russia (RUS), Central Asia (CAS), Middle East (MIDE), China (CHN), Korea and Japan (KAJ), South Asia (SAS), Southeast Asia (SEAS), and Australasia (AUS). The region definition is the same as that used for the GCP methane and N_2O budgets (Saunois et al., 2020; Stavert et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2019).

2.3 Overview of methods used for global N_2O budget synthesis

Four major methods are available to estimate large-scale N_2O emissions: atmospheric inversion models (method 1), activity and emission factor-based inventories (method 2), empirical-based algorithms and machine learning algorithms (method 3), and process-based ecosystem models (method 4). Atmospheric inversion models (method 1), a TD approach, utilizes measurements of atmospheric N_2O mixing ratios combined with atmospheric transport models, driven by meteorological fields, to estimate the emissions of N_2O (Thompson et al., 2014). Atmospheric inversion models usually use Bayesian statistics, which starting from a prior emission estimate, find the optimal N_2O emissions, that is those that best agree with observed atmospheric N_2O mixing ratios, while at the same time being guided by the prior emission and observation uncertainties (Nevison et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2019). TD approaches generally only estimate the total N_2O emission, which is spatially and temporally resolved, but do not constrain the contributions from different sources. The other three methods belong to BU approaches, which are capable of quantifying N_2O emissions from different sources. Emission activity and factor-based inventories (method 2) use a prescribed emission factor (EF) to calculate N_2O emissions. This approach has been widely used in national emission inventories and global studies (Davidson, 2009; Oreggioni et al., 2021; Crippa et al., 2021; Winiwarter et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the fixed EFs cannot capture the nonlinear response of agricultural soil N_2O emissions to N inputs (Gerber et al., 2016), and also cannot fully reflect the dependence of EFs on climate, management practices, soil physical and biochemical conditions (e.g., Marzadri et al 2022). Therefore, a spatially referenced nonlinear model (SRNM) was developed to simulate N_2O emissions in response to fertilizer application

under various environmental and management conditions, which outperformed the default EF method (Zhou et al., 2015). In recent years, machine learning algorithms (method 3) have been applied to estimate soil N_2O emissions. A random forest model was used to estimate global terrestrial background N_2O emissions (Yin et al., 2022) and N_2O emissions from intensively managed cropping systems (Saha et al., 2021). Moreover, a machine-learning-based stochastic gradient boosting model was developed to predict global terrestrial nitrification and its fraction in N_2O emissions (Pan et al., 2021).

Compared with the three above-mentioned methods, process-based ecosystem models (method 4) have two notable advantages (Xu et al. 2020; Tian et al. 2019): (1) they are capable of modelling the key processes affecting N_2O production and emission such as autotrophic nitrification, denitrification, plant nitrogen uptake, ammonia volatilization, nitrate leaching, soil thermal and hydrological processes, although their accuracy in representing these processes needs further improvement; and (2) they integrate various driving factors controlling soil N_2O emissions, such as fertilizer use, atmospheric N deposition, land use change, climate change, and atmospheric CO_2 concentration change and thus can disentangle the effects of different driving factors. Although multiple process-based models estimated global soil N_2O emissions, large discrepancies exist in these estimates due to the diverse parameterizations of biogeochemical processes in different models, our limited understanding of the mechanisms responsible for N_2O emissions, and the uncertainties in input data. The N_2O Model Intercomparison Project (NMIP) was launched (Tian et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2019) to develop a multi-model ensemble estimation of global soil N_2O emissions during 1861-2016 and quantified the contributions of different driving factors.

We consider global N_2O emissions from land and ocean including natural fluxes and anthropogenic emissions based on BU and TD approaches (Figure 4). The BU methods considered include eight process-based terrestrial biosphere models from NMIP2 (global Nitrogen/N_2O Model Inter-comparison Project phase 2), six ocean models (Battaglia and Joos, 2018; Berthet et al., 2023; Buitenhuis et al., 2018; Carroll et al., 2020; Landolfi et al., 2017) and one machine-learning based observational shelf product (Yang et al., 2020), a mix of five approaches relying on meta-analysis, statistical and process-based models for inland waters and coastal ecosystems (Hu et al., 2016; Lauerwald et al., 2019; Maavara et al., 2019, Yao et al., 2020; Marzadri et al., 2021; Marzadri et al., 2022; Rosentreter et al., 2023); four GHG emission databases - Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research EDGAR v7.0 (Crippa et al., 2021, https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_ghg70), FAOSTAT (Tubiello et al., 2015), UNFCCC (https://unfccc.int/reports), GFED4s (van der Werf et al., 2017) (only for biomass burning) - and one statistical model (SRNM) only for cropland soils (Wang et al., 2020). The TD approach consisted of four independent atmospheric inversion frameworks, namely INVICAT (Wilson et al., 2014), PyVAR-CAMS (Thompson et al., 2014), MIROC4-ACTM (Patra et al., 2022), and GEOS-Chem (Wells et al., 2018).



Figure 4. Methodologies used to estimate each of the main flux categories contributing to the global N_2O budget. We use both BU and TD approaches, including 20 BU and four TD estimates of N_2O fluxes from land and oceans. For sources estimated by the BU approach, we include eight process-based terrestrial biosphere modelling studies; six process-based ocean biogeochemical models and one shelf observational product; one nutrient budget model; five inland and coastal water modelling or meta-analysis studies; one statistical model SRNM based on spatial extrapolation of field measurements; and four greenhouse-gas inventories: EDGAR v7.0, FAOSTAT, UNFCCC, and GFED. Previous estimates of surface sink, lightning and atmospheric production, model-based tropospheric sink and observed stratospheric sink are included in the current synthesis. The nutrient budget model provides nitrogen flows in global freshwater and marine aquaculture over the period 1980–2020. Model-based estimates of N_2O emissions from inland and coastal waters include rivers and reservoirs, lakes, estuaries, coastal vegetation (that is, seagrasses, mangroves, and saltmarsh) and coastal upwelling.

Table 1. Methods, spatial and temporal resolution and data sources for the synthesis of the global N_2O budget

Model/Data name	Spatial resolution	Time period	References
Inventories (anthropogenic)			
EDGAR v7.0	0.1°×0.1°	1980-2020	Crippa et al. (2021),
GFED4s	0.25°×0.25°	1997-2020	Van Der Werf et al. (2017)
FAOSTAT	Country-level	1980-2020	Tubiello et al. (2022)
UNFCCC	Country-level	1990-2020	https://di.unfccc.int/time_series
Terrestrial Biosphere models participated in NMIP2 (both anthropogenic and natural)			
CLASSIC	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Asaadi and Arora (2021) Kou-Giesbrecht and Arora (2022)
DLEM	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Tian et al. (2015), Xu et al. (2017)
ELM	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Zhu et al. (2019)
ISAM	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Shu et al. (2020); Xu et al. (2021)
LPX-Bern	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Xu and Prentice (2008), Stocker et al. (2013)
O-CN	1°×1°	1980-2020	Zaehle et al. (2011)
ORCHIDEE	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Vuichard, N., et al. (2019)
VISIT	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Ito et al. (2018)
Ocean Biogeochemical Models (natural)			
Bern-3D	9° × 4.5° × 32 levels	1980-2019	Battaglia and Joos (2018)
NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas	1° × 1° × 75 levels	1980-2020	Berthet et al. (2023); Seferian et al. (2019)
NEMO-PlankTOM10.2	2° × (0.5°−2°) · 30 levels	1980-2016	Buitenhuis et al. (2018)
UVic2.9	3.6° × 1.8° × 19 levels	1980-2020	Landolfi et al. (2017)
Continental shelf products (natural)			
MEM-RF	0.25°×0.25°	1988-2017 mean	Yang et al. (2020)

CNRM-0.25°	0.25°×0.25°	1998-2018 mean	Berthet et al. (2019)
ECCO2-Darwin & ECCO-Darwin	1/3° (ECCO-Darwin) - 1/6°(ECCO2-Darwin)	1998-2013 mean (ECCO-Darwin), 2006-2013 mean (ECCO2-Darwin)	Ganesan et al. (2020) Carroll et al. (2020)
Inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation (both anthropogenic and natural)			
DLEM-TAC	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2019	Yao et al. (2020), Tian et al. (2020)
Mechanistic Stochastic Model	0.5°×0.5°	2000	Lauerwald et al. (2019); Maavara et al. (2019)
Meta analysis- based upscaling	watershed-level 18 regions	2000 1975-2020	Hu et al. (2016) Rosentreter et al. (2023)
Integrated ML & Physical model	0.5°×0.5°	2000	Marzadri et al. (2021)
Atmospheric inversion models			
INVICAT	5.625°×5.625°	1997-2020	Wilson et al. (2014)
PyVAR-CAMS	3.75°×1.875°	1997-2020	Thompson et al. (2014)
MIROC4-ACTM	~2.8°×2.8°	1997-2019	Patra et al. (2018,2022)
GEOS-Chem	5°×4°	1997-2019	Wells et al. (2018)
Other models and datasets (anthropogenic)			
SRNM (direct soil emission)	1/12°×1/12°	1980-2020	Wang et al. (2020)
Bookkeeping method (perturbed fluxes from land cover change)	0.25°×0.25°	1980-2020	Tian et al. (2020), Keller and Reiners (1994)
IMAGE-GNM	Country-level	1980-2020	Bouwman et al. (2011), Bouwman et al. (2013a)

2.4 Model and inventory data synthesis

2.4.1 Natural N_2O fluxes

'Natural soil baseline' emissions were obtained from the ensemble mean of the eight terrestrial biosphere models participated in NMIP-2 that run with pre-industrial land cover (Table 1) : (1) Canadian Land Surface Scheme including Biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) (Asaadi & Arora, 2021; Melton et al., 2020; Kou-Giesbrecht & Arora, 2022), (2) the Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model (DLEM) (Tian, et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017; You et al., 2022), (3) E3SM Land Model (ELM) (Zhu et al., 2019), (4) the Integrated Science Assessment Model (ISAM) (Shu et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021), (5) Land Processes and eXchanges model - Bern (LPX-Bern v1.4) (Lienert and Joos, 2018; Joos et al., 2020), (6) O-CN (Zaehle et al.,2011), (7) Organising Carbon and Hydrology In Dynamic Ecosystems (ORCHIDEE) (Goll et al., 2017), and (8) Vegetation Integrated SImulator for Trace gases (VISIT) (Ito et al., 2018).

Natural emission from 'Inland water, estuaries, coastal vegetation' including inland and coastal waters were obtained from models by Yao et al. (2020), Maavara et al. (2019), Lauerwald et al. (2019), Marzadri et al. (2021), and the meta-analyses by Hu et al. (2016), Rosentreter et al. (2023). Since the data (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, and estuaries) provided by Hu et al. (2016), Maavara et al. (2019), Lauerwald et al. (2019), and Marzadri et al. (2021) are for the year 2000, we assumed that these values are constant during 1980−2020. Yao et al. (2020) provided annual riverine N_2O emissions using DLEM during 1980-2019. Here, we averaged riverine estimates from Yao et al. (2020), Maavara et al. (2019), Hu et al. (2016), and Marzadri et al. (2021), assuming that estimates of Maavara et al. (2019) and Hu et al. (2016) represent emissions from larger rivers only, while Yao et al. (2020) and Marzadri et al. (2021) also account for emissions from streams and small rivers. Note further that the estimate by Marzadri et al. (2021) is not fully global as it excludes river systems North of 60°N. Therefore, we did not use this assessment for the regions of Canada, US, Russia and Europe. DLEM also estimated annual N_2O emissions from global reservoirs, and we averaged these estimates with those from Maavara et al. (2019) to represent emissions from reservoirs during 1980−2020. The estimate for global and regional lakes was based on the long-term averaged values provided by Lauerwald et al. (2019) and an estimate by the DLEM-TAC model (Li et al., 2024). For estuaries, we combined the estimate by Maavara et al. (2019) which relies on a process-based modelling approach with a new meta-data analysis by Rosentreter et al. (2023). The analysis of Rosentreter et al. (2023) is observation-based and includes the contribution of coastal vegetated ecosystems, a contribution not accounted for in Maavara et al. (2019). Estuaries and coastal vegetation data are from studies published between 1975-2020 and we assume fluxes are constant during 1980-2020 (Rosentreter et al. 2023).To disentangle natural and anthropogenic fluxes, we considered the emissions in the year 1900 simulated by DLEM (Yao et al., 2020) as equivalent to the natural emission, assuming that the N load from land was negligible in that period (Kroeze et

al., 1999). Using this approach, we estimated that N_2O emissions from natural sources of rivers, reservoirs, lakes and estuaries accounted for 44% (36%−52%) of the total emissions from inland waters., taking into account all N inputs (i.e., inorganic, organic, dissolved, and particulate forms).

N_2O emissions from continental shelves were calculated using one data-driven estimate and three high-resolution model estimates for various time periods (Resplandy et al., 2023, also see Supplementary Information SI-7), namely an observation-based estimate that relied on a random-forest (RF) algorithm to interpolate N_2O data (Yang et al., 2020), based on a synthesis of over 158,000 observations of N_2O mixing ratio, partial pressure, and concentration in the surface ocean from the MEMENTO database (MEM-RF) (Kock and Bange, 2015), an estimate relying on the high-resolution configuration (Berthet et al., 2019) of the global ocean-biogeochemical component of CNRM-ESM2-1 (CNRM-0.25°), and two estimates relying on the ECCO-Darwin model run at 1/3° (ECCO-Darwin1) and 1/6° (ECCO-Darwin2), respectively. Considering that ECCO-Darwin1 and Darwin2 relied on the same model, their mean N_2O fluxes were used.

Estimates of natural N_2O emissions from open oceans are derived from four global ocean biogeochemistry models including Bern-3D (Battaglia and Joos, 2018), NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas (Berthet et al., 2023), NEMO-PlankTOM10 (Buitenhuis et al., 2018), and UVic2.9 (Landolfi et al., 2017). Towards the N_2O budget synthesis, modeling groups reported gridded monthly fluxes at a $1^o \times 1^o$ resolution for the period 1980-2020. Specific details on ocean model configurations and N_2O parameterizations are reported in the individual model publications.

We combined the estimate from lightning with that from atmospheric production into an integrated category 'Lightning and atmospheric production' (Kolhmann and Poppe, 1999; Dentener and Crutzen, 1994). We simplified the 'Lightning and atmospheric production' category as purely natural, although atmospheric production is affected to some extent by anthropogenic activities such as enhancement of the concentrations of the reactive species NH_3 and NO_2. This category is in any case very small and the anthropogenic enhancement effect is uncertain. The estimate of 'Surface sink' was obtained from Schlesinger (2013) and Syakila et al. (2010).

2.4.2 Direct emissions from nitrogen additions (agriculture)

Agriculture N_2O emissions consist of four components: 'Direct soil emissions', 'Manure left on pasture', 'Manure management', and 'Aquaculture'. Data for 'Direct soil emissions' were obtained as the ensemble mean of N_2O emissions from the average of two inventories (EDGAR v7.0 and FAOSTAT), the SRNM/DLEM models, and the NMIP2/DLEM models. The statistical model SRNM only covers cropland N_2O emissions. Thus, we added the DLEM-based estimate of pasture N_2O emissions into the two estimates of cropland to represent direct

agricultural soil emissions (i.e., SRNM/DLEM or NMIP2/DLEM). 'Manure left on pasture' is the ensemble mean of EDGAR v7.0, FAOSTAT, and DLEM. 'Manure management' emissions are the mean of EDGAR v7.0 and FAOSTAT. FAOSTAT emission factors for N additions are based on the 2006 guidelines. Global N flows (i.e., fish feed intake, fish harvest, and waste) in freshwater and marine aquaculture were obtained from Bouwman et al. (2011), Bouwman et al. (2013a) and Beusen et al. (2016) and based on IMAGE-GNM aquaculture nutrient budget model for the period 1980−2020. We then calculated global aquaculture N_2O emissions as an 1.8% loss of N waste in aquaculture, i.e., the same EF used in Hu et al. (2012) and MacLeod et al. (2019). The uncertainty range of the EF is from 0.5% (Eggleston et al., 2006) to 5% (Williams and Crutzen, 2010), the same range used in the UNEP report (Bouwman et al., 2013b).

2.4.3 Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources.

This category includes 'Fossil fuel and industry', 'Waste and wastewater', and 'Biomass burning'. Both emissions from 'Fossil fuel and industry' and 'Waste and wastewater' were calculated as the ensemble means of EDGAR v7.0 and UNFCCC databases. The 'Biomass burning' emission is the ensemble mean of FAOSTAT, DLEM, and GFED4s databases. In EDGAR v7.0, 'Waste and wastewater' includes 'Waste incineration' and 'Wastewater handling'. We merged 'Transportation', 'Energy', 'Industry', and 'Residential and other sectors' to represent the total emission from 'Fossil fuel and industry'. The FAOSTAT emissions database of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) covers emissions of N_2O from agriculture and land use by country and globally, from 1961 to 2020 for agriculture, and from 1990 for relevant land use categories, i.e., cultivation of histosols, biomass burning, etc., applying only Tier-1 coefficients (Tubiello et al., 2022; 2021; Conchedda and Tubiello, 2020; Prosperi et al., 2020). In addition to the IPCC agriculture burning categories 'Burning crop residues' and 'Burning savannah', we included FAOSTAT estimates for N_2O emissions from deforestation fires, forest fires and peatland fires (Prosperi et al., 2020).

2.4.4 Indirect emissions from anthropogenic N additions

This category considers N deposition on land and ocean ('N deposition on land' and 'N deposition on ocean'), as well as the N leaching and runoff from upstream ('Inland and coastal waters'). The emission from 'N deposition on ocean' was provided by Suntharalingam et al. (2012) which includes emission from both open oceans and continental shelves, while emission from 'N deposition on land' was the average of two estimates by NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0 and NMIP2. EDGAR v7.0 provided estimates of indirect emissions from both agricultural and non-agricultural sectors, however, here, we sum the ensemble mean of NMIP2 estimates of indirect emissions

from agricultural sectors with indirect emissions from non-agricultural sector of EDGAR v7.0 (i.e., NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0) to represent N deposition induced soil emissions from both agricultural and non-agricultural sectors. The N_2O emissions from 'Inland and coastal waters' consist of rivers, reservoirs, lakes, estuaries, and continental shelves, which is the ensemble mean of an average of two inventories (EDGAR v7.0 Indirect N_2O emissions - leaching and runoff - and FAOSTAT), and the mean of meta-analysis and models. The anthropogenic emission from inland freshwaters estimated by Yao et al. (2020) considered annual N inputs and other environmental factors (i.e., climate, elevated CO_2, and land cover change). The results in Yao et al. (2020) suggested that 56% of the total N_2O emissions from rivers, reservoirs, estuaries and lakes was attributed to anthropogenic N additions. Empirical methods (empirical models and meta-analysis) adopted this ratio to calculate long-term average anthropogenic N_2O emissions from inland waters, consistent with Tian et al. (2020). Seagrass, mangrove, and saltmarsh N_2O emissions were updated from Rosentreter et al., (2023).

2.4.5 Perturbation of N2O fluxes from climate/CO2/land cover change

The estimate of climate and CO_2 effects on emissions was based on eight NMIP2 models, and we used SH1−SH7 and SH1−SH8 to model the effects of CO_2 and climate on global terrestrial soil N_2O emissions, respectively. The effect of land cover change on N_2O dynamics includes the reduction due to 'Long-term effect of reduced mature forest area' and the additional emissions due to 'Post-deforestation pulse effect'. The two estimates were based on the book-keeping approach and the DLEM model simulation. The book-keeping method is developed by (Houghton et al., 1983) for accounting for carbon flows due to land use. In the original bookkeeping model developed by Houghton et al. (1983), land conversion and the affected carbon pools are tracked each year. The initial values of carbon pools are set for each type of land use. Annual changes of carbon pools in areas affected by land use change or some land management practices (like wood harvest and fire management) are prescribed in the model using response curves, which are usually a function of the age of the newly converted land use. These response curves are specific for each type of land cover type and land use change and do not include the effects of environmental changes (Houghton and Castanho, 2023). For each age cohort, it either gains carbon (afforestation or reforestation) or loses carbon (deforestation) until its carbon pools reach a new stable state (the response curve converges). A similar book-keeping method was developed to account for N_2O emission due to deforestation. Here different from the original bookkeeping model calculating carbon fluxes through tracking changes in vegetation or soil pools, the response curves directly tracking annual N_2O emissions after deforestation, which are also a function of the age of newly converted land use, were developed in our bookkeeping method (The details refer to Supplementary Information SI-9).

Table 2. Simulation design of NMIP2.

Historical	Climate	CO_2	Land cover	Irrigation	Ndep	Nfer	ManureN
SH0	1901-1920	1850	1850	1850	1850	1850	1850
SH1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
SH2	•	•	•	•	•	•	1850
SH3	•	•	•	•	•	1850	•
SH4	•	•	•	•	1850	•	•
SH5	•	•	•	1850	•	•	•
SH6	•	•	1850	•	•	•	•
SH7	•	1850	•	•	•	•	•
SH8	1901-1920	•	•	•	•	•	•
SH9	1901-1920	1850	1850	1850	1850	•	•
SH10	•	1850	1850	1850	1850	1850	1850
SH11	•	•	1850	1850	•	1850	1850
SH12	•	•	•	1850	•	1850	1850

Note: For historical simulations, "•" indicates the forcing during 1850-2020 is included in the simulation, "1901-1920" indicates the 20-year mean climate condition during 1901-1920 will be used over the entire simulation period, and "1850" indicates the forcing will be fixed in 1850 over the entire period. Climate data is only available from 1901; we assume the 20-yr average value between 1901 and 1920 for the years 1850-1900. N deposition is available only from 1850. Manure N is available only from 1860; we assume manure N at the 1860 value for years 1850-1860. N fertilizer before 1910 was zero.

2.4.6 Atmospheric production of reactive nitrogen

N_2O production in the atmosphere is a relatively small component of the global budget. N_2O is produced by the gaseous phase oxidation of NH_3 in the troposphere, however, there are few published estimates of this source and it remains poorly constrained. In this paper, we refer to the two known published estimates, which are 0.4 Tg N yr^{-1} (Kolhmann and Poppe, 1999) and 0.6 (0.3-1.1) Tg N yr^{-1} (Dentener and Crutzen, 1994), that are derived using global models of atmospheric chemistry and transport. Since human activities have greatly affected the atmospheric abundance of NH_3 a significant portion of this source may be considered anthropogenic. Lightning

production of NO_x indirectly leads to N_2O emission through its oxidation and subsequent deposition on land and ocean. A recent study estimated the global lightning production of NO_x to be 9 Tg N yr^{-1} (Nault et al. 2017), which is larger than previous estimates of 5 (2-8) Tg N yr^{-1} (Schumann and Huntrieser et al. 2007). In this study, we assume an effective emission factor of 1% (de Klein et al. 2006) and using the median estimate of 5 Tg N yr^{-1} of NOx, we estimate a global source of N_2O of 0.05 (0.02-0.09) Tg N yr^{-1}. There is also N_2O production from N2 +O(1D), which amounts to about 2% of the atmospheric source in the stratosphere (Estupiñán et al. 2005).

2.5 Atmospheric observation data synthesis

2.5.1 Atmospheric burden and trends from tropospheric observations

The monthly tropospheric N_2O mole fraction and their growth rates are derived from three different atmospheric observational networks: The Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE, Prinn et al. 2018), The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO, Francey et al. 2003) and the National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, Dutton et al. 2023; Lan et al. 2022). Further information on the three networks' stations, instruments, calibration, uncertainties and access to data are provided in the Supplementary Information, SI-12 Atmospheric N_2O Observation Networks.

The atmospheric burden and its rate of change during 1980−2020 were derived from mean maritime surface abundance (mole fraction) of N_2O (Prather et al., 2023) with a conversion factor of 4.79 Tg N ppb^{-1} (Prather, et al., 2012). Combining uncertainties in measuring the annual mean surface mole fraction, which are <1 ppb (Dlugokencky et al., 1994), with those of converting surface mole fractions to a global mean abundance, we estimate a ±1.4 % uncertainty in the absolute burden (Prather et al., 2012). The uncertainty in the ppb-to-Tg conversion does not affect the trend uncertainty. This uncertainty is estimated to be ±0.2 ppb or ±1 Tg N between any two years over any recent period, based on the combined NOAA and AGAGE record of surface N_2O taken from Table 2.1 of the IPCC AR5 (Hartmann et al., 2013). Thus, the uncertainty in the burden change between two decades (e.g., 2000s to 2010s) is bounded by ±1 Tg N (<0.1 %).

2.5.2 Atmospheric loss rates and trends from stratospheric observations

The NASA Aura MLS satellite instrument has provided consistent global measurements of stratospheric N_2O, O_3 and temperature (T) since August 2004. These have been used with simple stratospheric chemistry models to calculate the monthly mean stratospheric loss of N_2O due to photolysis and oxidation by $O(^1D)$ (Prather et al.,

2015; 2023; Minschwaner et al. 1998). Tropospheric chemical loss also occurs, but at a very low rate (<1% of the total) and is thus not included in the calculations.

2.5.3 Atmospheric inversion estimates of N_2O emissions and losses

For the TD constraints on both land and ocean N_2O fluxes for the period 1998−2020, we used estimates from four independent atmospheric inversion frameworks (INVICAT, PyVAR-CAMS, MIROC4-ACTM, and GEOS-Chem), all of which used a Bayesian inversion method (see supplementary information for details on the inversion frameworks).

The inversion frameworks INVICAT and PyVAR-CAMS used the transport models TOMCAT and LMDz5, respectively, which were both driven by ECMWF ERA5 meteorology, while MIROC4-ACTM used the transport model ACTM, which was driven by JRA-55 meteorology, and GEOS-Chem used the transport model of the same name, which was driven by MERRA-2 meteorology. All inversion frameworks assumed that the prior distribution of emissions followed a normal distribution, with the multivariate mean taken from different models and data products, with standard deviations detailed in the supplement. Specifically, GEOS-Chem, INVICAT and PyVAR-CAMS built prior flux distributions for natural soil emissions from the terrestrial biospheric model O-CN (Zaehle et al., 2011) and for biomass burning emissions from GFED-v4s (van der Werf et al., 2017). For anthropogenic emissions from agricultural and non-agricultural sectors (excluding biomass burning), estimates from EDGAR v5 were used to build the prior for the period 2005-2020 (since these estimates were only available up to 2015, the emissions for 2016-2020 were estimated based on those of the year 2015) and for the period 1997-2004, the estimates from EDGAR-v4.32 were used. On the other hand, MIROC4-ACTM used the estimate from the terrestrial biospheric model VISIT for natural soils emissions and EDGAR v4.2 estimates for all anthropogenic emissions.

The inversion frameworks used atmospheric observations from ground-based networks, specifically NOAA, AGAGE and CSIRO (see supplementary information for details).

The atmospheric transport models also calculate the loss of N_2O in the stratosphere by photolysis and oxidation by $O(^1D)$ radicals (Minschwaner et al. 1998). The TD mean posterior estimates for the 18 land regions were calculated by integrating the gridded fluxes at 1° × 1° over each region (the fluxes were interpolated from the original model resolution to 1° × 1°).

3. Results

3.1 Trends in atmospheric mole fractions and implied emissions

3.1.1 Trends in atmospheric N_2O mole fractions

The three observation networks AGAGE, NOAA and CSIRO show consistent growth in atmospheric N_2O mole fractions from 315.8 (315.5-316.2) ppb in 2000 to 335.9 (335.6-336.1) ppb in 2022. The mean annual growth rate increased from 0.76 (0.55-0.95) ppb yr^{-1} in the 2000s to 0.96 (0.79-1.15) ppb yr^{-1} in 2010s with significant seasonal and interannual variations. In 2020 and 2021, the N_2O atmospheric growth rate was 1.33 ppb yr^{-1} and 1.38 ppb yr^{-1}, respectively, both higher than any previous observed year (since 1980), and was more than 30% higher than the average value in the decade of the 2010s (Figure 2). As is shown in Figure 5, the observed N_2O mole fraction in 2020 (mean: 333.2, 332.7-333.5 ppb) has exceeded predicted levels across the four illustrative Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) (329.2-331.5 ppb) used in CMIP5 (Meinshausen et al. 2011) and the seven illustrative Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) (330.5-331.9 ppb) used in CMIP6 (Meinshausen et al. 2020).



Figure 5. Comparison between measured global N_2O mole fractions from the three GHG observing networks and the projected mole fractions from (a) the four illustrative Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, and (b) the seven illustrative Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) used in CMIP6.

3.2 N_2O sources and sinks: BU estimates

3.2.1 Anthropogenic sources

3.2.1.1 Global anthropogenic emissions during 1980-2020

Global total anthropogenic emissions increased in the last four decades, from 4.8 (3.1-7.3) TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 6.7 (3.3-10.9) TgN yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 6). Among all anthropogenic sources, direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector made the largest contribution to the increase, which grew from 2.2 (1.6-2.8) TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 3.9 (2.9-5.1) TgN yr^{-1} in 2020. Indirect N_2O emissions also steadily increased during the study period, from 0.9 (0.7-1.1) TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 1.3 (0.9-1.6) TgN yr^{-1} in 2020. In contrast, other direct anthropogenic emissions did not have a trend, and the total amount fluctuated around 2.1 TgN yr^{-1}. Perturbed fluxes from climate/CO_2/land cover change led to a small increase in N_2O sink, from -0.4 (-0.9-1.0) TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to -0.6 (-2.2-1.8) TgN yr^{-1} in 2020.



Figure 6. Changes in global anthropogenic N_2O emissions (a) and N_2O emissions from different sectors (b-e) during 1980-2020. For each sub-figure, the line represents the mean N_2O emission of different estimates, and the shaded area shows minimum and maximum estimates.

3.2.1.2 Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector (Agriculture)

In the past four decades, N_2O emissions from all the four sources within the agricultural sector significantly increased (Figure 7), with the largest contribution from direct soil emissions (from 1.1 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 2.1 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020), followed by manure left on pasture (from 0.9 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 1.4 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020), aquaculture (from 0.01 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.12 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020), and manure management (from 0.24 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.26 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020).

Direct soil emissions accounted for the largest proportion of emissions from the agriculture sector. All four estimates show a steady increase in direct soil emissions since 1980 (Figure 7a). Among them, NMIP2/DLEM exhibited the largest magnitude and the fastest increase rate, from 1.1 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 2.6 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020. By contrast, SRNM/DLEM suggested the slowest increase rate, from 1.0 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 1.7 Tg yr^{-1} in 2020. The estimates of the two inventories (FAOSTAT and EDGARv7.0) exhibited similar magnitudes and trends, especially after 1990. All three estimates suggested a significant increasing trend for N_2O emissions from manure left on pasture over the period 1980-2020. Although all methods showed an increasing trend, they had significant differences in magnitude and increase rate (Figure 7b). FAOSTAT showed the largest magnitude and increase rate, from 1.2 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 1.9 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020. However, DLEM showed a smaller magnitude and a slower increase rate, from 0.5 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.9 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020. Although the two inventory estimates for emissions from manure management showed similar temporal variations, FAOSTAT has a larger magnitude than EDGARv7.0 (Figure 7c). According to the IMAGE-GNM aquaculture nutrient budget model, N_2O emissions from aquaculture increased more than tenfold, from 0.01 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.12 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 7d).



Figure 7. Changes in global direct N_2O emissions from fertilizer and manure applied on agricultural soils (a), manure left on pasture (b), manure management (c), and aquaculture (d) during 1980-2020.

3.2.1.3 Other direct anthropogenic sources

Fossil fuel and industry emissions accounted for the largest proportion of N_2O emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources. Estimates from two approaches showed different trends during their overlapping period: EGDARv7.0 had an increasing trend from 0.9 TgN yr^{-1} in 1990 to 1.1 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020, while EDGAR/UNFCCC did not show a trend with 1.0 TgN yr^{-1} in 1990 and 1.0 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 8a). These inventories, however, do not capture a strong increase in emissions from adipic acid production since 2010 (Davidson and Winiwarter, 2023). Both EDGARv7.0 and EDGAR/UNFCCC show a steady and significant increase in N_2O emissions from waste and wastewater. Although EDGAR/UNFCCC shows a larger magnitude than EGDARv7.0, these two inventory estimates show similar growth rates (Figure 8b). There are large uncertainties in the magnitude and temporal trend of N_2O emissions from biomass burning (Figure 8c). DLEM and GFED show a larger magnitude of emissions than FAOSTAT. Both DLEM and GFED have a decreasing trend over the overlapping period of 1997-2020, however, FAOSTAT shows no significant trend during this period.



715

Figure 8. Changes in N_2O emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources: fossil fuel (a), waste and wastewater (b), and biomass burning (c) during 1980-2020.

3.2.1.4 Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions

720 Global anthropogenic N_2O emissions from inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation continuously increased during 1980-2020 (Figure 9a). Although all methods revealed an overall increasing trend in emissions, process-based models show a much smaller magnitude and increase rate than the two inventories. According to meta-

analysis and models, anthropogenic emissions from inland and coastal waters increased from 0.11 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.15 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020. In contrast, EGDARv7.0 and FAOSTAT showed emissions increased from 0.33 and 0.35 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.53 and 0.57 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively. Emissions from N deposition on land also continued to increase during 1980-2020 (Figure 9b). NMIP2 and NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0 show emissions increasing from 0.6 and 0.4 TgN yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.9 and 0.6 TgN yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively.



Figure 9. Changes in indirect N_2O emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions to inland waters (river, lake and reservoir), estuaries and coastal vegetation, and N deposition on land during 1980-2020.

3.2.1.5 Perturbation fluxes from climate/CO_2/land cover change

The spread between different estimates (DLEM and the bookkeeping method) on post-deforestation pulse effect increased from the 1980s to the 2010s. The post-deforestation pulse effect was 0.8 (0.6-1.1) Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 and 0.8 (0.4-1.3) Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 10a). In contrast, DLEM and empirical approaches are comparable in terms of the magnitude and temporal changes in long-term reduction effect of deforestation, both approaches suggested a strong long-term reduction effect, which grew from -1.2 (-1.0, -1.4) Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to -1.4 (-1.3, -1.6) Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 10b). In general, deforestation had a negative effect on global soil N_2O emissions. However, most NMIP2 models suggested a positive effect of climate change on soil N_2O emissions, although with large uncertainty and significant interannual variations; this positive climate feedback significantly increased during the past four decades (Figure 10c). In contrast to climatic effects, most NMIP2 models suggested a negative effect of rising atmospheric CO_2 concentration on soil N_2O emissions through increasing nitrogen use efficiency and hence reducing soil N availability (Figure 10d). However, NMIP2 models



Figure 10. Changes in perturbed N_2O fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2, and land cover during 1980-2020. For each sub-figure, the line represents the mean N_2O emission of different estimates, and the shaded area shows minimum and maximum estimates.

have large discrepancies in the CO_2 fertilization effect on N_2O emissions; ELM and ISAM suggested a positive effect, while all the other models suggest a negative effect.

3.2.2 Natural N_2O sources

Emissions from natural soils and open oceans kept relatively steady throughout the study period 1980-2020, with mean estimates fluctuating between 9.9-10.3 Tg N yr^{-1} (minimum estimates: 6.2-7.1 Tg N yr^{-1}; maximum estimates: 12.8-13.6 Tg N yr^{-1}). Natural emissions from all other sources including shelves, inland waters, and lightning and atmospheric production were assumed to be constant during 1980-2020. According to BU approaches, the total natural emissions from these sources were 1.8 (1.0-3.0) Tg N yr^{-1}. The mean value of global N_2O emissions from all the above-mentioned sources fluctuated between 11.7-12.1 TgN yr^{-1}, with an average of 11.9 TgN yr^{-1}. Global natural N_2O emissions also have a large uncertainty, with the maximum estimates (15.8-16.6 TgN yr^{-1}) roughly double the minimum estimates (7.1-8.1 TgN yr^{-1}).

3.2.2.1 Natural soil N_2O emission baseline

The Natural soil N_2O emission baseline represents the preindustrial soil N_2O emissions derived from NMIP2 simulations, driven by potential vegetation/land cover and other environmental factors in the pre-industrial period (1850). Global natural soil N_2O emissions are estimated to be 6.4 TgN yr^{-1}, and account for 55% of the total natural emissions. However, N_2O emissions from natural soils estimated by the NMIP2 showed large divergences among eight models. Among the NMIP2 models, ELM had the highest estimate with an average of 8.6 TgN yr^{-1}, which was more than double the estimate from the CLASSIC model (3.9 TgN yr^{-1}).

3.2.2.2 Natural N_2O emission baseline from open ocean and continental shelves

We also estimated N_2O emissions from the open oceans and continental shelves. Open ocean is the second largest source of natural N_2O emissions with a global mean value fluctuating between 3.4 and 3.8 TgN yr^{-1} during 1980-2020. Open ocean N_2O emissions were estimated by four ocean models. Among these models, NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas had the highest estimate, with an average of 4.6 TgN yr^{-1}, while NEMO-PlankTOM10 had the lowest estimate with an average of 2.8 TgN yr^{-1}. The four ocean models show different trends in open ocean emissions. NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas shows a slight increasing trend, while the other three models show consistent decreasing trends. In addition to open oceans, shelves are an important source of N_2O emissions, which

was not quantified in the previous global N_2O budget (Tian et al., 2020). Global shelf N_2O emissions were estimated by two high-resolution models (CNRM and ECCO) and one data product (MEM-RF). The average of the three estimates is 1.2 TgN yr^{-1}, ranging from 0.6 TgN yr^{-1} (ECCO) to 1.6 TgN yr^{-1} (MEM-RF).

3.2.2.3 Natural N_2O emission from inland waters, estuaries & coastal vegetation

Natural N_2O emissions from inland waters and estuaries were much smaller than emissions from the soils, oceans and shelves. It has an average value of 0.08 TgN yr^{-1}, ranging from 0.05 TgN yr^{-1} to 0.14 TgN yr^{-1}. Rivers are the largest source emitting 0.04 (0.01-0.08) TgN yr^{-1} of N_2O, and account for 48% of the natural emissions from inland waters and estuaries. The global natural N_2O emissions from lakes and estuaries were 0.02 (0.01-0.03) TgN yr^{-1} and 0.02 (0.02-0.03) TgN yr^{-1}, respectively.

3.2.2.4 Lightning, atmospheric production and natural sinks

The source of reactive N from lightning, and its contribution to N_2O, and the direct production of N_2O from NH_3 in the atmosphere are relatively small, and we have no new estimates in this work. However, synthesizing the available estimates in the scientific literature, we estimate lightning to contribute 0.05 (0.02-0.09) TgN yr^{-1} (median and range) (Nault et al. 2017; Schumann and Huntrieser et al. 2007) and atmospheric production to contribute 0.5 (0.3-1.1) TgN yr^{-1} (Kolhmann and Poppe, 1999; Dentener and Crutzen, 1994).

Similarly, the surface sink of N_2O is small and we do not produce a new estimate in this budget but only synthesize available estimates from the literature. We estimate the global surface sink to be 0.01 (0.0 – 0.3) TgN yr^{-1}.

3.3 N_2O sources and sinks: TD estimates

3.3.1 TD total source

Ensemble estimates across the four atmospheric inversions show that the long-term average global N_2O emissions during 1997-2020 was 16.6 TgN yr^{-1} (minimum: 15.5 TgN yr^{-1}; maximum: 18.2 TgN yr^{-1}). All four inversions show a significant increasing trend in global N_2O emissions (p<0.05) with a mean rate of increase of 0.10 TgN yr^{-2} (0.08 - 0.12 TgN yr^{-2}) (Figure 11a).



Figure 11. Annual global N_2O emissions during 1997-2020 estimated by four atmospheric inversions (TD model). (a) Total global emission, (b) Land emission and (c) ocean emission.

3.3.1.1 TD land emission

The estimates derived from the four inversions show that the land-based emission is the dominant source of N_2O emissions, over ocean sources, and the long-term average land N_2O emission during 1997-2020 was 13.7 TgN yr^{-1} (minimum: 12.6 TgN yr^{-1}; maximum: 15.0 TgN yr^{-1}), contributing 80-85% of the global N_2O emissions. Land sources dominated the interannual variability of global N_2O emissions and the trend (Figure 11b). All TD models suggested a significant increasing trend in land N_2O emissions during the study period 1997-2020 (p<0.05), with increase rate ranging from 0.09 TgN yr^{-2} to 0.13 TgN yr^{-2}, which were higher than the increase rates of prior fluxes (mean: 0.04 TgN yr^{-2}, range: 0.00-0.08 TgN yr^{-2}).

3.3.1.2 TD ocean emission

The magnitude of N_2O emissions from oceans is much smaller than that from land (Figure 11c). The mean ocean N_2O emission during 1997-2020 derived from four inversion models was 2.9 TgN yr^{-1}, ranging from a minimum of 2.7 TgN yr^{-1} to a maximum of 3.3 TgN yr^{-1}. The estimates of MIROC4 were much higher than the estimates of other models. The four inversions show divergent interannual variability, and none suggested a significant trend. The TD estimates on ocean N_2O emission is much smaller than that estimated by four ocean biogeochemical models, with a global mean value fluctuating between 3.4 and 3.8 TgN yr^{-1} during 1980-2020.

3.3.2 TD stratospheric sink

The four inversions have comparable magnitudes of global stratospheric N_2O sink (via photolysis and oxidation by the electronically excited atomic oxygen, $O(^1D)$, in the stratosphere), with an average value of 12.4 TgN yr^{-1} (min, max of 12.2, 12.7 TgN yr^{-1}) for 2000-2020 (Figure 12). All four inversions found that the global stratospheric N_2O sink increased during 1997-2020 (Figure 13) in proportion to the growing atmospheric N_2O abundance, with an average rate of increase of 0.05 TgN yr^{-2} (0.03 - 0.07 TgN yr^{-2}). Differences among the estimates decreased after 2000 likely due to improvements in observation coverage and accuracy, but possibly also due to decreasing influence of the initial mixing ratio fields, which differed among the inversion frameworks. Although the inversions show comparable trends in the sink, they differ in their inter-annual variability.

We also provide an independent estimate for the stratospheric sink based on satellite observations and a photolysis model. This estimate likewise showed that the sink increased, from 12.8 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 1990s to 14.0 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 2010s (Table 3), with higher annual loss rates than estimated by the inversions, and an average loss of 13.4 TgN yr^{-1} for 2005-2021. This estimate also showed large quasi-biennial interannual variability with an amplitude of 7 %. More interestingly, over this time period the abundance of N_2O in the middle stratosphere, where the greatest loss of N_2O occurs, was increasing at a rate of 5.0+-1.2 %/decade, which is faster than the increase in the tropospheric abundance of 2.9+-0.0 %/decade. This resulted in a greater loss of N_2O (i.e., more than proportionate to the mean atmospheric increase) and thus a decrease of the mean atmospheric lifetime (burden divided by loss) of 2.1 ± 0.7% per decade, from 119.3 years in the 2000s to 117 years in the 2010s (Prather et al. 2023, also see Table 3). These changes are thought to be a result of an increase in the intensity of Brewer-Dobson Circulation (BDC), which would transport N_2O more rapidly from the troposphere into the mid-stratosphere. An increase in the intensity of BDC is predicted by climate models (Oberlander-Hayn et al. 2016). However, we note that none of the atmospheric inversions found a significant trend in the atmospheric lifetime (although the total loss increased, Figure 12) and more research is needed to identify why there is this discrepancy.



Figure 12. Global stratospheric N_2O sink estimated by atmospheric inversions, satellite and photolysis model during 1997-2020.

3.4 Decadal patterns and trend of the global N_2O budget: Comparisons between BU and TD approaches

BU approaches provide estimates of N_2O fluxes for the identified sources and sinks during 1980-2020, while TD approaches only provide the total net flux during 1997-2020. In the following analyses of the decadal global N_2O budget, the comparison between BU and TD approaches is only for total N_2O estimates. We rely on BU approaches to quantify all identified sources and sinks (Table 3, Figure 1).

3.4.1 Global N_2O budget in recent decade (2010-2019)

The BU and TD approaches give remarkably consistent estimates of global total N_2O emissions in the 2010s, with values of 18.2 (minimum–maximum: 10.6–25.9) Tg N yr^{-1} and 17.4 (minimum–maximum: 15.8–19.2) Tg N yr^{-1} (Fig 1, Table 3), respectively. However, the BU estimate shows a large uncertainty range in part because of the spread of estimates from process-based models. TD approaches estimate that the stratospheric sink (i.e., N_2O losses via photolysis and reaction with $O(^1D)$ in the stratosphere) for the 2010s was 12.6 (12.3 - 12.9) Tg N yr^{-1}.

However, the atmospheric sink estimate based on satellite observations and a photolysis model for the 2010s was 13.4 (12.3 - 14.5) Tg N yr^{-1}. The imbalance of sources and sinks of N_2O derived from the averaged BU and TD estimates is 4.7 Tg N yr^{-1}. This imbalance agrees well with the observed increase in atmospheric abundance of N_2O between 2010 and 2019 of 4.6 (4.5–4.7) Tg N yr^{-1}. Based on the BU-based estimates, natural sources contributed 65% of total emissions (mean: 11.8; min–max: 7.3–15.9 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Specifically, the natural soil flux contributed the most, with the decadal mean of 6.4 (3.9–8.6) Tg N yr^{-1}, followed by the open ocean emissions (mean: 3.5, 2.5–4.7 Tg N yr^{-1}), shelf emissions (mean: 1.2, 0.6–1.6 Tg N yr^{-1}), lightning and atmospheric production (mean: 0.6, 0.3–1.2 Tg N yr^{-1}), and natural emissions from inland waters and estuaries (mean: 0.1, 0.0–0.1 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 1).

Anthropogenic sources contributed, on average, 35% to the total N_2O emissions (mean: 6.5; minimum–maximum: 3.2–10.0 Tg N yr^{-1}) in the 2010s. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 3.6 (2.7–4.8) Tg N yr^{-1}, contributing to 56% of the total anthropogenic emissions (Table 3). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources made the second largest contribution, with a decadal mean of 2.1 (1.8–2.4) Tg N yr^{-1}. Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions contributed to 19% of the total anthropogenic emissions, with a decadal mean of 1.2 (0.9–1.6) Tg N yr^{-1}. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions (mean: -0.6, -2.1–1.2 Tg N yr^{-1}), mainly because of the negative effects of reduced mature forest area (mean: -1.4, -1.6– -1.3 Tg N yr^{-1}) and increasing CO_2 concentration (mean: -0.7, -1.5–0.3 Tg N yr^{-1}).

3.4.2 Decadal trend of the global N_2O budget

Global N_2O emissions estimated by the BU and TD approaches were comparable in magnitude during the overlapping period 1997–2020, but TD estimates implied a larger inter-annual variability and a faster rate of increase (Figure 13a). BU and TD approaches diverge when estimating the magnitude of land emissions compared with ocean emissions, although they are consistent with respect to trends (Figure 13b). According to the BU approaches, global N_2O emissions increased from 17.4 Tg N yr^{-1} (10.3-24.0 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 1997 to 18.5 Tg N yr^{-1} (10.6-27.0 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 2020, with an average increase rate of 0.043 Tg N yr^{-2} (p<0.05). In contrast, TD approaches suggested global emissions increased from 15.4 Tg N yr^{-1} (13.9-16.7 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 1997 to 17.0 Tg N yr^{-1} (16.6-17.4 Tg N yr^{-1}) in 2020, implying a higher increase rate of 0.085 Tg N yr^{-2} (p<0.05). The BU estimate during 1997–2010 was on average 1.6 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the TD estimate. However, after 2010, the difference in the magnitude of emissions between the two approaches is smaller, because of the rapid increase in the TD estimates. Since the year 1980, BU approaches suggested a significant increase in global N_2O emissions that was

primarily driven by anthropogenic sources (Table 3). Satellite and photolysis model estimate that the atmospheric N_2O burden increased from 1528 Tg N in the 2000s to 1570 in the 2010s and 1592 Tg N in 2020, which is comparable to estimates by atmospheric chemistry transport models, showing an increase in atmospheric N_2O burden from 1527 (1504-1545) Tg N in the 2000s to 1606 (1592-1621) Tg N in 2020.



Figure 13. Comparison of global and regional N_2O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches. The 18 regions include United States (USA), Canada (CAN), Central America (CAM), Northern South America (NSA), Brazil (BRA), Southwest South America (SSA), Europe (EU), Northern Africa (NAF), Equatorial Africa (EQAF), Southern Africa (SAF), Russia (RUS), Central Asia (CAS), Middle East (MIDE), China (CHN), Korea and Japan (KAJ), South Asia (SAS), Southeast Asia (SEAS), and Australasia (AUS). The blue lines represent the mean N_2O emission from bottom-up methods and the shaded areas show minimum and maximum estimates; the red lines represent the mean N_2O emission from top-down methods and the shaded areas show minimum and maximum estimates.

900 **Table 3. The global N_2O budget for the decades of the1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s, and year 2020 (**Tg N yr^{-1})

		1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2010-2019	2020
Anthropogenic sources (BU)		Mean (Min, Max)	Mean (Min, Max)	Mean (Min, Max)	Mean (Min, Max)	Mean (Min, Max)
Agricultural	Direct soil emissions	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	1.5 (1.2, 1.6)	1.7 (1.4, 2.0)	2.0 (1.6, 2.4)	2.1 (1.7, 2.6)
	Manure left on pasture	0.9 (0.5, 1.3)	1.1 (0.6, 1.4)	1.2 (0.7, 1.6)	1.3 (0.8, 1.8)	1.4 (0.9, 1.9)
	Manure management	0.2 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)	0.2 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)
	Aquaculture	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.2)	0.1 (0.0, 0.3)	0.1 (0.0, 0.3)
	Subtotal	2.4 (1.8, 3.0)	2.8 (2.1, 3.4)	3.2 (2.3, 4.0)	3.6 (2.7, 4.8)	3.9 (2.9, 5.1)
Other direct anthropogenic sources	Fossil fuels and industry	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)
	Waste and wastewater	0.1 (0.1, 0.1)	0.2 (0.2, 0.2)	0.2 (0.2, 0.2)	0.3 (0.3, 0.3)	0.3 (0.3, 0.3)
	Biomass burning	0.9 (0.5, 1.2)	0.9 (0.5, 1.2)	0.8 (0.5, 1.0)	0.8 (0.5, 1.0)	0.8 (0.5, 0.9)
	Subtotal	2.0 (1.7, 2.4)	2.1 (1.6, 2.4)	2.1 (1.8, 2.4)	2.1 (1.8, 2.4)	2.1 (1.7, 2.4)
Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions	Inland waters, estuaries, coastal vegetation	0.3 (0.1, 0.4)	0.3 (0.1, 0.4)	0.4 (0.1, 0.5)	0.4 (0.1, 0.5)	0.4 (0.1, 0.6)
	Atmospheric nitrogen deposition on land	0.5 (0.5, 0.6)	0.6 (0.5, 0.7)	0.7 (0.6, 0.8)	0.7 (0.6, 0.8)	0.8 (0.6, 0.9)
	Atmospheric nitrogen deposition on ocean	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)
	Subtotal	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	1.1 (0.8, 1.4)	1.1 (0.8, 1.4)	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)	1.3 (0.9, 1.6)
Perturbed fluxes from climate/CO2/land cover change	CO2 effect	-0.4 (-0.8, 0.2)	-0.5 (-1.0, 0.2)	-0.6 (-1.3, 0.3)	-0.7 (-1.5, 0.3)	-0.8 (-1.6, 0.3)
	Climate effect	0.4 (0.1, 0.8)	0.5 (0.2, 0.7)	0.6 (0.1, 0.8)	0.7 (0.2, 1.2)	0.9 (0.4, 1.8)
	Post-deforestation pulse effect	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	0.9 (0.5, 1.3)	0.9 (0.4, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.3)

	Long-term effect of reduced mature forest area	-1.2 (-1.1, -1.4)	-1.3 (-1.2, -1.5)	-1.4 (-1.2, -1.5)	-1.4 (-1.3, -1.6)	-1.5 (-1.4, -1.6)
	Subtotal	-0.4 (-1.1, 0.7)	-0.5 (-1.4, 0.6)	-0.6 (-1.9, 0.8)	-0.6 (-2.1, 1.2)	-0.6 (-2.2, 1.8)
Anthropogenic total		5.0 (3.0, 7.3)	5.5 (3.1, 7.9)	5.8 (3.1, 8.6)	6.5 (3.2, 10.0)	6.7 (3.3, 10.9)
Natural fluxes (BU)						
Natural soils baseline		6.4 (3.9, 8.5)	6.4 (3.8, 8.6)	6.4 (3.9, 8.5)	6.4 (3.9, 8.6)	6.4 (3.8, 8.7)
Open ocean baseline		3.7 (3.0, 4.6)	3.6 (2.8, 4.5)	3.6 (2.7, 4.7)	3.5 (2.5, 4.7)	3.5 (2.5, 4.7)
Continental shelves		1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)
Natural (inland waters, estuaries, coastal vegetation)		0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)
Lightning and atmospheric production		0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)
Surface sink (soils/wetlands)		0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)
Natural total		12.0 (7.9, 15.8)	11.9 (7.7, 15.8)	11.9 (7.5, 15.9)	11.8 (7.3, 15.9)	11.8 (7.4, 16.1)
BU Total Net Flux (source)		16.9 (10.9, 23.1)	17.4 (10.7, 23.6)	17.7 (10.6, 24.5)	18.2 (10.6, 25.9)	18.5 (10.6, 27.0)
TD ocean				2.8 (2.6, 3.2)	3.0 (2.7, 3.3)	2.7 (2.7, 2.7)
TD land				13.2 (12.1, 14.3)	14.5 (13.0, 15.9)	14.3 (13.9, 14.7)
TD Total Net Flux (source)				16.0 (14.9, 17.5)	17.4 (15.8, 19.2)	17.0 (16.6, 17.4)
TD stratospheric sink				12.2 (11.7, 12.6)	12.6 (12.3, 12.9)	12.9 (12.5, 13.2)
Atmospheric Chemical sink (a)				12.8 (11.7, 13.8)	13.4 (12.3, 14.5)	14.0 (12.8, 15.2)
Change in atmospheric abundance (b)				3.6 (3.6, 3.7)	4.6 (4.5, 4.7)	6.4 (6.2, 6.5)
Atmospheric burden				1528	1570	1592
Lifetime ('obs' from MLS)				119.3	117	

Notes: BU estimates include four categories of anthropogenic source and one category for natural sources and sinks. The sources and sinks of N_2O are given in Tg N yr^{-1}. The atmospheric burden is given in Tg N. (a) Calculated from satellite observations with a photolysis model (about 1% of this sink occurs in the troposphere). (b) Calculated from the combined NOAA and AGAGE record of surface N_2O and adopting the uncertainty of the IPCC Assessment Report 5 (Chapter 6), with a conversion factor of 4.79 Tg N ppb^{-1}. 2. Detailed information on calculating each sub-category is shown in Supplementary Tables 1–13.

3.5 Regional BU and TD estimates and their trends

To assess regional N_2O budgets, we divide the global land into 18 regions as described in the method section. Our regional analyses include: 1) trends and variations of regional total N_2O emissions from all sources derived from available estimates of TD (1997-2020) and BU (1980-2020) (Figure 13); 2) trends and variations of region anthropogenic N_2O emissions from all identified sources during 1980-2020 derived from BU approach (Figure 14); and 3) Decadal regional N_2O budget (2010-2019) derived from both BU and TD approaches (Figure 15). The following sections provide detailed estimates for each of the 18 regions.

3.5.1 United States of America (USA)

For the USA, the TD estimates show higher total N_2O emissions than the BU estimates over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13c), with 1.00 (0.69–1.39) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.82 (0.31–1.42) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Both approaches suggest that the total N_2O emissions from the USA remained relatively stable during 1997-2020. Based on the BU estimates, changes in climate, CO_2, and land cover caused emission decline over 1980-2020. The flux fluctuated between -0.30 Tg N yr^{-1} and -0.12 Tg N yr^{-1}, with the average of -0.20 Tg N yr^{-1}. Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions increased from 0.11 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.13 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1995 and then decreased to 0.10 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture increased from 0.25 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.30 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. However, the increase in direct agricultural emissions was offset by the trend in emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources, which decreased from 0.26 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.19 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. The total anthropogenic N_2O emissions slightly increased during 1980-2020, at the average rate of 0.6×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}. This increase primarily occurred during 1980-1997 (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the BU estimates (0.81, 0.29–1.43 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.22 Tg N yr^{-1} lower than the TD estimates (1.03, 0.71–1.45 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). According to the BU results, natural sources contributed 48% of total emissions (0.39, 0.22–0.65 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 0.30 (0.18–0.38) Tg N yr^{-1}, contributing 37% of the total emissions. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources made the second largest contribution to anthropogenic emissions, with the decadal mean of 0.21 (0.18–0.23) Tg N yr^{-1}. Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions contributed 14% of the total anthropogenic emissions, with a decadal mean of 0.11 (0.07–0.14) Tg N yr^{-1}. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.19 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.37 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.03 Tg N yr^{-1}. Recent study indicated that N_2O emissions could be increased by freeze-thaw cycles (Del Grosso et al. 2022) and tillage practices (Lu et al. 2022). Our BU estimates did not take into consideration of freeze-thaw and tillage practice, which may have underestimated N_2O emissions.

3.5.2 Canada (CAN)

BU approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N_2O emissions from Canada than TD approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13d), with values of 0.29 (0.05–0.69) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.12 (0.06–0.19) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. BU and TD estimates also showed divergent trends. TD estimates decreased at the rate of -1.5×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}, however, BU estimates increased at the rate of 0.7×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}. According to the BU results, the increase in total N_2O emissions from Canada was mainly driven by the direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture, which increased from 0.02 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.05 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover showed an overall increase from 0.00 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.02 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Indirect N_2O emissions from Canada were relatively stable during the study period, while emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources had large interannual variabilities (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the BU estimates of Canada's total N_2O emissions (0.29, 0.07–0.69 Tg N yr^{-1}) were over two times higher than the TD estimates (0.12, 0.06–0.20 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). According to the BU results, natural sources contributed 59% of total emissions (0.17, 0.04–0.43 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 0.05 (0.03–0.06) Tg N yr^{-1}, contributing to 15% of the total emissions. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources and indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions were 0.04 (0.02–0.08) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.02 (0.02–0.03) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall positive effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of 0.01 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.09 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.3 Central America (CAM)

TD and BU estimates are comparable regarding the magnitudes and trends of N_2O emissions from Central America (Figure 13e), with mean values of 0.42 (0.21–0.64) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.35 (0.25–0.47) Tg N yr^{-1} for BU and TD approaches, respectively. During 1997-2020, the rate of increase of the BU estimates (4.7×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) was higher than that of TD estimates (2.5×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources increased from 0.03 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.15 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 and were the major driver of the increase in N_2O emissions from Central America. Direct agricultural emissions increased during the study period, from 0.08 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.11 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Indirect emissions and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover were relatively stable during this period (Figure 14).

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of total N_2O emissions from Central America in the 2010s, with values of 0.46 (0.24–0.68) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.36 (0.24–0.48) Tg N yr^{-1} for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural sources contributed 37% of total emissions (mean: 0.17, 0.07–0.26 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this

period. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources contributed to 39% of the total emissions (mean: 0.18, 0.17–0.18 Tg N yr^{-1}). Direct and indirect emissions were 0.11 (0.07–0.14) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.02 (0.02–0.03) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.02 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.10 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.07 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.4 Northern South America (NSA)

TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N_2O emissions from Northern South America than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13f), with 0.55 (0.34–0.98) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.40 (0.04–1.08) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively for each approach. During 1997-2020, the increase rate of the TD estimates (2.2×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) was higher than that of BU estimates (0.8×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}). Direct agricultural emissions made the largest contribution to the increase in N_2O emissions from Northern South America, increasing from 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.07 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 14). N_2O emissions from the other three anthropogenic sectors did not have a significant trend during 1980-2020.

The BU estimates in the 2010s (0.41, 0.03–1.09 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.17 Tg N yr^{-1} lower than the TD estimates (0.58, 0.35–1.06 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). The average natural emission was 0.35 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 2010s, contributing 85% of total emissions. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.07 (0.05–0.09) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.02 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.01 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.04 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.19 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.10 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.5 Brazil (BRA)

The average total N_2O emissions from Brazil estimated by BU approaches was 1.21 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from 0.26 Tg N yr^{-1} to 2.32 Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 13g), which was lower than the TD estimates (mean: 1.42, 1.18–1.75 Tg N yr^{-1}). Both approaches detected a notable increasing trend in total N_2O emissions during 1997-2020. TD approaches suggested a higher increase rate (11.6×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) than BU approaches (4.3×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}). Direct agricultural emissions, which increased from 0.13 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.32 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, made the largest contribution to the increase in N_2O emissions from Brazil (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also show an increase from 0.03 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.06 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Emissions from other anthropogenic sources and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2, and land cover did not have an obvious trend during the study period.

The TD estimates in the 2010s (1.51, 1.40–1.79 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.28 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the BU estimates (1.23, 0.24–2.41 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). According to the BU results, the average natural emission was 0.95 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 2010s, contributing to 77% of total emissions. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.28 (0.22–0.35) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.09 (0.06–0.11) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.05 (0.02–0.07) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.14 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.48 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.25 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.6 Southwest South America (SSA)

BU and TD estimates are consistent in the magnitude of the total N_2O emissions from Southwest South America during 1997-2020, with values of 0.55 (0.18–1.03) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.51 (0.40–0.63) Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 13h), respectively. TD estimates increased at the rate of 5.3×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} over 1997-2020, however, BU estimates did not have an obvious trend during this period. Among the four anthropogenic sectors, direct agricultural emissions had the largest increase, from 0.10 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.15 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also increased from 0.02 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.03 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had a decreasing trend, while emissions from other sectors fluctuated over the study period. The BU and TD approaches gave similar estimates of total N_2O emissions from Southwest South America in the 2010s, with values of 0.55 (0.19–1.04) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.55 (0.44–0.67) Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 15), respectively. The mean natural emission was 0.39 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 2010s, accounting for 71% of total emissions. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.14 (0.09–0.19) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.05 (0.03–0.06) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.03 (0.01–0.03) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.05 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.16 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.08 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.7 Europe (EU)

The BU estimates suggest that Europe had the largest decrease rate of regional N_2O emissions among the 18 regions, and the average decrease rate during 1980-2020 was -13.3×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} (Figure 13i). For the period 1997-2020, this decreasing trend slowed-down as estimated by BU approaches (-7.7×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}), while the TD approach suggests a small increase of (1.6×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) (Figure 13i). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources (including 'Fossil fuel and industry', 'Waste and wastewater', and 'Biomass burning'), which decreased from 0.51 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.18 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, made the largest contribution to the

decreasing trend in N_2O emissions from Europe. Direct agricultural emissions and indirect emissions show overall decrease trends from 0.46 and 0.16 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.38 and 0.12 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively, mainly due to a reduction in fertilizer use after the collapse of the Soviet Union (Tian et al., 2022). However, the decreasing trend in direct agricultural emissions has leveled off since the 2000s. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover decreased during 1980-1985, then slowly increased (Figure 14).

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of European N_2O emissions in the 2010s, with values of 1.00 (0.45–1.57) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.86 (0.49–1.36) Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 15), respectively. According to the BU results, natural sources only contributed to 26% of total emissions (mean: 0.26, 0.11–0.52 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.38 (0.32–0.44) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.19 (0.15–0.24) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.13 (0.08–0.16) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall positive effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of 0.03 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.21 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.22 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.8 Northern Africa (NAF)

For Northern Africa, TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of the total N_2O emissions than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13j), with the values of 1.01 (0.52–1.32) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.69 (0.18–1.27) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Both approaches suggest that N_2O emissions from Northern Africa significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 4.9×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} and 4.7×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. Direct emissions increased from 0.10 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.27 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, making the largest contribution to the increase in N_2O emissions from Northern Africa (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also significantly increased from 0.02 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. In contrast, other anthropogenic emissions decreased from 0.12 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.11 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. N_2O Fluxes caused by changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover remained relatively stable during 1980-2020.

In the 2010s, the BU estimates (0.72, 0.17–1.30 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.32 Tg N yr^{-1} lower than the TD estimates (1.04, 0.54–1.31 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 44% of total emissions (0.32, 0.07–0.60 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 0.23 (0.09-0.34) Tg N yr^{-1}, contributing to 32% of the total emissions. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources made the second largest contribution to anthropogenic emissions, with the decadal mean of 0.11 (0.08-0.14) Tg N yr^{-1}. Indirect emissions and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover were 0.04 (0.02-0.06) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.02 (-0.10-0.16), respectively.

3.5.9 Equatorial Africa (EQAF)

Similar to Northern Africa, TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N_2O emissions from Equatorial Africa than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13k), with values of 1.45 (1.15–1.78) Tg N yr^{-1} and 1.36 (0.36–2.22) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Both approaches suggested that N_2O emissions from Equatorial Africa significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 4.4 $\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-1} and 2.1$\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Direct emissions more than tripled during the study period, from 0.07 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.22 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, dominating the increase in N_2O emissions from Equatorial Africa (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also steadily increased from 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.06 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. On the contrary, perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover showed an overall decreasing trend with large interannual variabilities. Emissions from other anthropogenic sources show relatively stable.

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of N_2O emissions from Equatorial Africa in the 2010s, with values of 1.38 (0.38–2.28) Tg N yr^{-1} and 1.50 (1.15–1.80) Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 15), respectively. According to the BU results, natural emissions were the dominant component, accounting for 71% of total emissions (mean: 0.98, 0.42–1.32 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.18 (0.13–0.25) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.26 (0.19–0.34) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.05 (0.03–0.08) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.09 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.40 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.29 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.10 Southern Africa (SAF)

BU and TD estimates are consistent in the magnitude of the total N_2O emissions from Southern Africa during 1997-2020, at 0.61 (0.13–1.09) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.58 (0.33–0.86) Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 13l), respectively. TD estimates increased at the rate of 4.5$\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2} over 1997-2020, however, BU estimates did not show an obvious trend during this period. According to the BU results, direct agricultural emissions increased from 0.05 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.08 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, while emissions from other anthropogenic sources slightly decreased from 0.19 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.17 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Both indirect emissions and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had no significant trend (Figure 14).

BU and TD approaches gave consistent estimates of total N_2O emissions from Southern Africa in the 2010s, with values of 0.62 (0.13–1.10) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.61 (0.35–0.87) Tg N yr^{-1} for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15),

respectively. Natural emissions were the dominant components, accounting for 61% of total emissions (mean: 0.38, 0.13–0.61 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.07 (0.05–0.09) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.19 (0.17–0.23) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.02 (0.01–0.03) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.05 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.24 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.14 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.11 Russia (RUS)

During 1997-2020, the average total N_2O emissions from Russia estimated by BU approaches was 0.74 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from 0.15 Tg N yr^{-1} to 1.84 Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 13m), which was much higher than the estimates of TD approaches (mean: 0.36, 0.18–0.52 Tg N yr^{-1}). Both approaches suggested that Russia's total N_2O emissions increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 1.2×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} and 1.7×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions had divergent trends before and after 1997. From 1980 to 1997, N_2O emissions from all these three sectors decreased. After 1997, direct agricultural emissions and other direct emissions had an overall increasing trend, while indirect emissions remained relatively stable. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover showed relatively stable with large interannual variabilities (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the BU estimates (0.74, 0.15–1.84 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.36 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the TD estimates (0.38, 0.18–0.59 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 64% of total emissions (0.47, 0.12–1.22 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.06 (0.05–0.07) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.10 (0.04–0.18) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.05 (0.03–0.07) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall positive effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of 0.05 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.10 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.30 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.12 Central Asia (CAS)

TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N_2O emissions from Central Asia than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13n), with values of 0.19 (0.10–0.29) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.14 (0.01–0.27) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. BU and TD estimates were consistent in the trend of total N_2O emissions during 1997-2020, with increase rates of 1.9×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} and 2.0×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. Direct emissions increased from 0.05 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.07 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, making the largest contribution to the increase in N_2O emissions from Central Asia. Other direct emissions and indirect emissions had no significant trend. Fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover showed an overall increasing trend with large interannual variability (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the TD estimates (0.20, 0.10–0.32 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.05 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the BU estimates (0.15, 0.01–0.30 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 30% of total emissions (0.04, 0.01–0.11 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.06 (0.02–0.08) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.02 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.02 (0.01–0.03) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall positive effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of 0.02 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.07 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.13 Middle East (MIDE)

BU and TD estimates are comparable for the magnitude of the total N_2O emissions from the Middle East during 1997-2020, with values of 0.27 (0.11–0.45) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.30 (0.25–0.36) Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 13o), respectively. BU and TD estimates were consistent in the trend of total N_2O emissions during 1997-2020, with increase rates of 4.4 $\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2} and 3.9$\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. According to the BU results, direct agricultural emissions increased from 0.07 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.13 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Emissions from other anthropogenic sources (fossil fuel and industry particularly) had the largest increase, from 0.03 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.10 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Indirect emissions also continuously increased from 0.02 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2, and land cover had no significant trend (Figure 14).

BU and TD approaches gave consistent estimates of total N_2O emissions from the Middle East in the 2010s, with values of 0.29 (0.12–0.49) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.32 (0.26–0.39) Tg N yr^{-1} for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural emissions were 0.04 (0.02–0.08 Tg N yr^{-1}), accounting for 15% of total emissions during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.12 (0.05–0.21) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.09 (0.07–0.10) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.03 (0.02–0.04) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall positive effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of 0.01 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.05 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.14 China (CHN)

BU and TD approaches agreed very well regarding the magnitudes and trends of N_2O emissions from China. Both approaches suggested that China's total N_2O emissions significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 12.6 $\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-1} and 16.5$\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively (Figure 13p). According to the BU results, China's total N_2O emissions increased from 0.76 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 1.38 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Direct emissions from N additions in agriculture made the largest contribution to the increase in China's N_2O emissions, which increased from 0.29 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.71 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2016 and

then decreased to 0.64 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 due to decreased N fertilizer application (Figure 14). Both indirect emissions and other direct emissions continuously increased, from 0.09 and 0.11 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.24 and 0.27 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively. The total anthropogenic N_2O emissions from China increased at the average rate of 18.9×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} during 1980-2020, which was the largest among the 18 regions and contributed to 40% of the increase in global anthropogenic N_2O emissions.

The BU and TD approaches gave consistent estimates of China's total N_2O emissions in the 2010s, with values of 1.41 (0.82–2.23) Tg N yr^{-1} and 1.33 (1.06–1.60) Tg N yr^{-1} for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. According to the BU results, natural sources only contributed 21% of total emissions (0.29, 0.20–0.51 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Nitrogen additions in agriculture were the dominant source of N_2O emissions, contributing to 48% of the total emissions (0.68, 0.48–1.03 Tg N yr^{-1}). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources and indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions were 0.23 (0.23–0.23) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.24 (0.17–0.28) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.03 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.25 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.18 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.15 Korea and Japan (KAJ)

TD approaches suggested a smaller magnitude of total N_2O emissions from Korea and Japan than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13q), with the values of 0.06 (0.03–0.11) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.11 (0.06–0.16) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Both approaches suggested that total N_2O emissions from Korea and Japan decreased during 1997-2020, and the decrease rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were -1.4×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} and -0.5×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. Other direct emissions (fossil fuel and industry, particularly) dominated the temporal variations of N_2O emissions from Korea and Japan, which increased from 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.08 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1997 and then decreased to 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. Emissions from agriculture, indirect sources and perturbed fluxes remained relatively stable during 1997-2020 (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, BU estimates (mean: 0.10, 0.05–0.15 Tg N yr^{-1}) of total N_2O emissions were on average 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the TD estimate (0.06, 0.04–0.11 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 26% of total emissions (0.03, 0.00–0.05 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.03 (0.02–0.04) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.04 (0.04–0.04) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.01 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.01 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.02 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.01 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.16 South Asia (SAS)

BU and TD estimates are comparable in terms of both the magnitude and trend of the total N_2O emissions from South Asia (Figure 13r). During 1997-2020, the magnitudes of total N_2O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches were 1.04 (0.35–1.80) Tg N yr^{-1} and 1.21 (0.96–1.56) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Both approaches suggested that the total N_2O emissions from South Asia significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by BU and TD approaches were 17.7 $\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2} and 20.2$\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture made the largest contribution to the increase in N_2O emissions in South Asia, which increased from 0.19 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.55 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 due to increased N fertilizer application (Figure 14). Other direct emissions and indirect emissions also significantly increased, from 0.06 and 0.06 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.14 and 0.17 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively. Fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover showed an overall increasing trend with large interannual variabilities.

BU estimates (1.15, 0.41–2.06 Tg N yr^{-1}) were on average 0.21 Tg N yr^{-1} lower than the TD estimate in the 2010s (1.36, 1.05–1.84 Tg N yr^{-1}) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 28% of total emissions (0.32, 0.12–0.56 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.49 (0.25–0.75) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.13 (0.13–0.13) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.15 (0.10–0.19) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall positive effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of 0.06 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.19 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.43 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.17 Southeast Asia (SEAS)

TD approaches suggested a smaller magnitude of the total N_2O emissions from Southeast Asia than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13s), with values of 0.69 (0.50–1.02) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.92 (0.24–2.04) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Both approaches suggested that total N_2O emissions from Southeast Asia increased during 1997-2020, and the rates of increase estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 5.1 $\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2} and 2.3$\times 10^{-3}$ Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions significantly increased during the study period, from 0.09, 0.08 and 0.04 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.30, 0.11 and 0.12 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively. Meanwhile, perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover significantly decreased from -0.07 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to -0.12 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 14).

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of the total N_2O emissions from Southeast Asia in the 2010s, with values of 0.95 (0.24–2.09) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.72 (0.51–1.12) Tg N yr^{-1} for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural sources accounted for 62% of total emissions (mean: 0.59, 0.24–1.30 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.26 (0.20–0.35) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.11 (0.09–0.14) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.10 (0.06–0.14) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2

and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.12 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.35 Tg N yr^{-1} to 0.16 Tg N yr^{-1}.

3.5.18 Australasia (AUS)

BU and TD estimates are comparable in terms of magnitude of the total N_2O emissions from Australasia during 1997-2020 (Figure 13t). The magnitudes of total N_2O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches were 0.43 (0.01–0.92) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.52 (0.21–0.72) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. TD estimates increased at the rate of 4.4×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} over 1997-2020; however, BU estimates did not show a notable trend during this period (Figure 13t). According to the BU results, direct agricultural emissions increased from 0.08 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.09 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, while emissions from all the other three anthropogenic sectors remained stable (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the magnitudes of total N_2O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches were 0.42 (0.01–0.91) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.53 (0.20–0.71) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Natural sources accounted for 59% of total emissions (0.25, 0.05–0.50 Tg N yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.09 (0.06–0.11) Tg N yr^{-1}, 0.08 (0.06–0.11) Tg N yr^{-1} and 0.01 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr^{-1}, respectively. Changes in climate, CO_2 and land cover had an overall negative effect on N_2O emissions with the mean value of -0.01 Tg N yr^{-1}, ranging from -0.17 Tg N yr^{-1} to -0.17 Tg N yr^{-1} (Figure 15).



Figure 14. Ensembles of regional anthropogenic N_2O emissions over the period 1980–2020 . The bar chart in the centre shows the total changes in regional and global N_2O emissions during the study period of 1980–2020. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval for the average of the changes. The Mann–Kendall test was performed to establish any trends globally and for each region over the period 1980–2020. The changes were calculated from the annual change rate (Tg N yr^{-2}), determined from a linear regression, multiplied by 40 years. All regions except Australasia and the USA show a significant increasing or decreasing trend in the estimated ensemble N_2O emissions during 1980-2020. *P < 0.05.



Figure 15. Regional N_2O emissions during 2010-2019. Each subplot shows the emissions from five sub-sectors using BU approaches, followed by the sum of these five categories using BU approaches (blue) and the estimates from TD approaches (yellow). Error bars indicate the spread between the minimum and the maximum values. The centre map shows the spatial distribution of 10-year average N_2O emissions from land and ocean based on the land and ocean models.

4. Discussion

4.1 Emission sources and comparison with previous estimates of the global N_2O budget

In comparing the global N_2O budget estimates with previous studies, the definitions and terminology used in this study for N_2O sources and sinks are consistent with those in Tian et al. (2020). In this new synthesis, we have also included a new emission source, namely "continental shelves", corresponding to the shallow portion of the ocean overlying continental shelves (Laruelle et al., 2013), which was not explicitly reported in the previous global N_2O budget (Tian et al. 2020). Thus, a total of 18 sources and 3 sinks are quantified in the global N_2O budget reported here. We utilized a similar methodology to synthesize multiple TD and BU estimates. The TD estimates of global total emissions in this study are consistent with Tian et al. (2020). However, the TD estimates of emissions from

the ocean are about 2.3 Tg N yr^{-1} lower than the previous estimate in the 2000s, while the TD estimates of land emissions are about 2.4 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the previous estimate for the decade 2007-2016 (Tian et al. 2020). Global BU estimates in this study are about 1.2 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the previous estimate, primarily due to the inclusion of emissions from continental shelves (mean: 1.2 Tg N yr^{-1}) and 0.8 Tg N yr^{-1} higher than the previous estimate for the natural soils baseline.

According to our analysis, natural soils contributed to more than half of terrestrial N_2O emissions (Table 3), consistent with previous studies (Denman et al., 2007, Tian et al., 2020). The global natural soil emissions derived from this study are estimated to be 6.4 Tg N yr^{-1}, with a large uncertainty ranging from 3.9 to 8.6 Tg N yr^{-1}. Using the emission factor from the IPCC 2006 Guidelines, Syakila and Kroeze (2011) estimated that global pre-industrial N_2O emission from natural soils was 7 Tg N yr^{-1}. Xu et al. (2017) suggested that global natural soil N_2O emissions were about 6.2 Tg N yr^{-1}, with an uncertainty range from 4.8 to 8.1 Tg N yr^{-1}. Tian et al. (2019) estimated global soil N_2O emissions derived from NMIP using seven process-based Terrestrial Biosphere Models (TBMs) and suggested a global soil N_2O emission of 6.3±1.1 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 1860s.

The total of direct agricultural emissions, other direct anthropogenic emissions, and indirect anthropogenic emissions in this study is the same as the previous estimates (Tian et al. 2020). However, the total anthropogenic emission in this study is lower than our previous estimate (Tian et al., 2020), mainly because of the differences in perturbed fluxes from climate, CO_2, and land cover change. According to our new estimate derived from NMIP2, the average perturbed flux from climate, CO_2, and land cover change was -0.6 (-2.1-1.2) Tg N yr^{-1} during 2010-2019 (Table 3). By contrast, the average perturbed flux during 2007-2016 reported by Tian et al. (2020) was 0.2 (-0.6-1.1) Tg N yr^{-1}, which was based on the first phase of NMIP (Tian et al. 2018). This study suggests a larger negative effect of increased CO_2 concentration and reduced mature forest area on N_2O emissions than Tian et al. (2020). Much uncertainty exists in estimating the perturbed fluxes of atmospheric CO_2 and mature forest conversion as discussed in the section of uncertainties followed.

Our estimate indicates that agricultural emissions were the major drivers of the increase in anthropogenic emissions during the past four decades, increasing from 3.0 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 5.0 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 (Figure 16). Direct agricultural emissions had a larger increase than indirect agricultural emissions (2.2 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 3.9 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020 versus 0.8 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 1.2 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020). Agricultural emissions contributed to 74% of total anthropogenic emissions in the 2010s, with 56% from direct agricultural emissions and 18% from indirect emissions. Non-agricultural anthropogenic emissions had a slight decreasing trend during 1980-2020 because of a higher estimate of changes in climate, CO_2, and land cover than previous estimate.



1270

Figure 16. Changes in N_2O emissions from anthropogenic emissions from agricultural and non-agricultural sources during 1980-2020 (a, c). (b) and (d) show average anthropogenic emissions from different sources during 2010-2019, error bars indicate the spread between the minimum and the maximum values. Here,
1275 **direct agricultural emissions include emissions from fertilizer and manure applied on agricultural soils, manure left on pasture, manure management, and aquaculture. Indirect agricultural emissions include emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions to inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation, and N deposition on land. Other anthropogenic emissions are classified as non-agricultural anthropogenic emissions. A-E in Figure 16(d) represent perturbed N_2O fluxes from climate/CO_2/land cover change,**
1280 **emissions from nitrogen deposition on ocean, emissions from fossil fuels and industry, emissions from waste and wastewater, and emissions from biomass burning, respectively.**

This study divides the global land into 18 regions and provides a more detailed regional budget than a previous study which had only 10 regions (Tian et al., 2020), thus enhancing our understanding of the N_2O budget in sub-regions of North America, South America, Africa, and East Asia. In the 1980s, Europe made the largest contribution to global anthropogenic N_2O emissions (12.5%), followed by Equatorial Africa (11.5%), Brazil (9.9%), China (7.6%), Russia (7.4%), and the USA (6.9%). During the study period, Europe and Russia had the largest decline in share of anthropogenic N_2O emissions, from 12.5% and 7.4% in the 1980s to 7.9% and 5.8% in the 2010s, respectively. In contrast, China and South Asia had the largest increase, from 7.6% and 6.3% in the 1980s to 11.1% and 9.1% in the 2010s, respectively. In the 2010s, China (11.1%), Equatorial Africa (10.9%), Brazil (9.7%), South Asia (9.1%), Europe (7.9%) were the top five contributors to global anthropogenic N_2O emissions (Figure 17).



Figure 17. Contributions of the 18 regions to global anthropogenic N_2O emissions in the 1980s (a) and 2010s (b).

Among the eighteen regions identified in this study, only Europe, Russia, Australasia, and Japan and Korea had decreasing N_2O emissions. Europe had the largest rate of decrease with an average of -13.2×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} during 1980-2020 (31% reduction), largely resulting from reduced emissions in fossil fuel and industry, which changed from 0.49 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.14 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020. In addition to the large reduction of fossil fuel and industry

emissions in Europe, direct agricultural emissions and indirect emissions show overall decrease trends from 0.46 and 0.16 Tg N yr^{-1} in 1980 to 0.38 and 0.12 Tg N yr^{-1} in 2020, respectively. However, the decreasing trend in agricultural emissions has levelled off since the 2000s.

China and South Asia had the largest increase in N_2O emissions during the study period. The rates of increase of anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia were 18.9 x 10^{-3} and 14.3 x 10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}, respectively. The rates of increase of anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia contributed 40% and 30% to the global anthropogenic increase rate (0.05 Tg N yr^{-2}), respectively. In these two regions, direct nitrogen additions in agriculture made the largest contribution, while other direct emissions and indirect emissions also steadily increased. Our results show a significant increase in anthropogenic N_2O emissions from South America, which is consistent with the previous budget (Tian et al., 2020). Moreover, we reveal that Brazil had a higher increase rate in anthropogenic N_2O emissions (4.2 ×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) than Northern South America (0.8 ×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) and Southwest South America (0.4 ×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}) during 1980-2020, and direct emissions from agriculture made the largest contribution. Our results suggest that Northern Africa made the largest contribution (58%) to the increase in anthropogenic N_2O emissions from Africa, followed by Equatorial Africa (38%) and Southern Africa (4%). Anthropogenic N_2O emissions from the USA and Canada show similar weak increasing rates of 0.6 ×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} and 0.9 ×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2} during the period 1980-2020, respectively. Central America shows higher anthropogenic N_2O emission increase rate (5.9 ×10^{-3} Tg N yr^{-2}), attributing to increase in emissions from fossil fuels and industry from 0.01 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 1980s to 0.16 Tg N yr^{-1} in the 2010s in Central America. The data for Mexico from EDGAR has a known problem with its estimates of N_2O emissions from industry, which requires further exploration. To support countries' N_2O mitigation, it is essential to accurately estimate sources and sinks of N_2O at national level.

4.2. Sources of uncertainties and suggestions for improvements

4.2.1 Uncertainties in N_2O emission factors

Four inventories of N_2O emissions (EDGAR, FAOSTAT, GFED and UNFCCC) are integrated into the current synthesis of anthropogenic N_2O emissions. These emission factor (EF)-based inventory datasets used the IPCC default EFs at regional and global scales. Uncertainty in FAOSTAT N_2O emissions is ~ 60% across typology. In fact, it is asymmetrical, following 2006 guideline values and IPCC uncertainty formulae, with umin ~-30% and

umax ~90% (Tubiello et al., 2013). The uncertainties for EDGAR N_2O emissions estimated by *Solazzo et al.* (2021) are based primarily on the uncertainties in emissions factors and activity data statistics from the *IPCC* (2006). Globally, these emissions are accurate within an interval of ±113 for energy, -12% to +16% for industrial processes and product use, -225 to +302 for agriculture, -159% to 203% for waste and ±112% for others; the most uncertain emissions are those related to N_2O from waste and agriculture. The poorly captured dependence of EFs on regional climate, management practices such as tillage, legume effect, and soil physical and biochemical conditions are key causes of the large uncertainty in the estimates of agricultural N_2O emissions (Shcherbak et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2022), particularly for croplands where EFs has high spatial heterogeneity (Shang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). There is evidence of greater-than-linear dependence of emissions on N-input where there is an excess of N, which is not represented in inventories which assume a linear dependence on N-input (Cui et al. 2021). Higher IPCC-tier GHG inventories using the alternative EFs that are disaggregated by environmental factors and management-related factors (Buendia et al., 2019) could provide more accurate estimates, especially for regions where N input surplus is high such as Eastern China, India, and the USA. For example, the U.S. national inventory uses a Tier 3 modelling approach (Del Grosso et al., 2022). Establishing national and regional N_2O flux measurement networks could improve the accuracy of EFs estimates for regions with different vegetation types and management measures. Furthermore, inventory datasets based on EF methods also suffer from large uncertainties induced by the underlying agriculture and rural data and statistics used as input, including statistics on fertilizer applications, livestock manure availability, storage and applications, and nutrient, crop and soils management.

According to the ensemble of process-based land model emissions derived from NMIP2, we estimate that the emission factor (EF) of fertilizer and manure applied on global croplands was 1.9% (1.2%-3.3%) in the 2010s, which is significantly larger than the IPCC Tier-1 default for direct emission of 1%. This higher EF derived from process-based models suggests a strong interactive effect between N additions and other global environmental changes (Table 3, Perturbed fluxes from climate, atmospheric CO_2, and land cover change). Figure 18 shows the spatial pattern of cropland N_2O EF during the 2010s, and highlights that the EF was high in eastern China, Southeast Asia, western Europe, and central USA where anthropogenic N inputs were high (Figure B3). Previous field experiments reported a better fit to local observations of soil N_2O emissions when assuming a non-linear response to fertilizer N inputs under varied climate and soil conditions (Shcherbak et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2019). The non-linear response is likely also associated with long-term N accumulation in agricultural soils from N

fertilizer use and in aquatic systems from N loads (the legacy effect) (Van Meter et al. 2016), which provides more substrate for microbial processes (Firestone and Davidson 1989). The increasing N_2O emissions estimated by process-based models (Tian et al. 2019) also suggest that recent climate change (particularly warming) may have boosted soil nitrification and denitrification processes, contributing to the growing trend in N_2O emissions together with rising N additions to agricultural soils (Griffis et al. 2017; Parn et al. 2018; Smith 2017)



Figure 18. Spatial pattern of the emission factor (EF) of fertilizer and manure applied on global croplands in the 2010s derived from NMIP2.

4.2.2 Uncertainties in estimates of soil N_2O emissions

Both process-based land biosphere modeling and measurement-based upscaling approaches have been used to estimate global soil N_2O emissions (Table 3), with large uncertainties in their estimates. As shown in Figure 19, NMIP2 models exhibit the highest uncertainties in the estimates of soil N_2O emissions from tropical forests such as the Amazon Basin, the Congo Basin, and Southeast Asia, as well as in regions with high fertilizer application rate, including Eastern China, Northern India, and the US Corn Belt. For NMIP2 estimates of direct agricultural

emissions, the maximum estimate is about 60% higher than the ensemble mean, and the minimum estimate is about 40% lower than the ensemble mean.A large discrepancy in natural soil emissions among NMIP2 models exists, ranging from 3.9 to 8.6 Tg N yr^{-1}, which needs to be reconciled in future research.



Figure 19. Spatial distribution of uncertainty (one standard deviation) in NMIP2 estimations of soil N_2O emissions in the 2010s.

Uncertainties associated with NMIP2 models: The uncertainties in process-based models primarily stem from differences in model configuration and process parameterization, as well as the missing processes and critical information (Tian et al., 2019).

First, the NMIP2 models use divergent schemes to represent the flows of reactive N through ecosystems (biological N fixation, N deposition, N leaching, N volatilization, nitrification, and denitrification), which could result in large discrepancies in soil mineral N that serves as substrates for N_2O production. Explicit representation of these processes is a critical need for enhancing model simulation accuracy.

Second, several important processes are missing in most process-based land models. Human management measures like tillage and legume cultivation can alter the physical and chemical characteristics of soil in croplands

(Raji & Dörsch, 2020; Z. Yu et al., 2020), but they are not adequately represented in most NMIP2 models. Parameterizing these processes in the models is necessary to reduce uncertainty. Additionally, N addition in pasture and rangeland (e.g., livestock excreta deposition, manure, and mineral fertilizer application) constitutes an important source of global soil N_2O emissions (Davidson, 2009), accounting for more than half of the global agricultural N_2O emissions (Dangal et al., 2019). However, only DLEM considered these processes. The consideration of N addition in managed grasslands is an essential task for process-based models to estimate grassland soil N_2O emissions accurately. Moreover, most process-based models did not explicitly consider seasonal freeze-thaw processes and the thawing of permafrost, which can emit substantial amounts of N_2O (Marushchak et al., 2021; Marushchak et al., 2011; Repo et al., 2009; Voigt et al., 2017; Del Grosso et al. 2022). It is recommended to include explicit representation of permafrost physics and seasonal freeze–thaw processes in process-based models, as this would help better catch the "hot spot" and "hot moment" of soil N_2O emissions in northern regions (Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017). Current process-based models also face challenges in adequately representing the fine-grained landscape structure of Arctic ecosystems (e.g., landscape elements that act as ultra-emitters of N_2O like organic soil non-vegetated fractions), so integrating sub-grid information and processes into models may provide a solution for fine-grained physical-hydrological modeling.

Third, microbial nitrification and denitrification processes are regulated by multiple environmental factors, including substrate availability, precipitation, temperature, oxygen status, pH, vegetation type, and atmospheric CO_2 concentration (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Li et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2022). However, there is significant divergence among NMIP2 models in their response to these factors. For example, simulated soil N_2O emissions in response to N addition (i.e., fertilizer and manure N applications, and N deposition) exhibit large divergence among the participating NMIP2 models, primarily due to differences in model representation of N processes and parameterization schemes. Moreover, in contrast to our findings indicating N fertilizer application and manure additions as dominant drivers, Harris et al. (2022) identified N deposition as the primary contributor to anthropogenic N_2O emissions, accounting for 41±14% of all anthropogenic emissions. These different findings highlight the complex nature of N_2O emissions and the need for further research to better understand the relative contributions of different N sources. For the climatic effects on soil N_2O emissions, our NMIP2 models indicate enhanced N_2O emissions due to warming, consistent with findings from experiment-based studies (Smith, 1997, Cui et al., 2018; Voigt et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017), as the denitrifying bacteria community may adapt to higher temperature (Pärn et al., 2018). Additionally, considering that microbial nitrification and denitrification are also largely controlled by soil moisture (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013), it is important to address the discrepancies in NMIP2 models concerning soil moisture representation, such

as soil depth, root distribution, root water uptake, and water movement processes (Ostle et al., 2009; Raats, 2007, and Raoult et al., 2018).

At the global scale, although NMIP2 models show large discrepancies in the CO_2 effect on soil N_2O emissions, most NMIP2 models show a negative effect, suggesting that enhanced plant N uptake caused by rising CO_2 concentration played a dominant role (Usyskin-Tonne et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019). Nevertheless, observation-based results of the CO_2 effect diverge among different ecosystem types, with some studies reporting reduced N_2O emissions in forests under elevated CO_2 (Phillips et al., 2001), while others found increased emissions in grasslands (Moser et al., 2018 and Regan et al., 2011). It should be noted that the interactions among environmental factors influencing soil N_2O emissions are still poorly represented in the NMIP2 models. Further targeted continuous measurements and manipulation experiments are needed to better represent the interactive effects of multiple environmental factors on N_2O emissions in the models to improve the simulation of complex N_2O dynamics. Finally, simulations targeted to explain the reconstructed increase in terrestrial N_2O emissions over the deglaciation and during past abrupt climate events will further help to constrain process-based models (Fischer et al., BG, 2019; Joos et al., BG, 2020).

Land cover change/deforestation: The two methods for estimating deforestation-induced N_2O changes have their limitations. The accuracy of the empirical estimates of post-deforestation pulse N_2O emissions in tropical forests strongly depends on the availability of paired N_2O observations in deforested and nearby intact forest sites (Melillo et al., 2001; Verchot et al., 1999), which are extremely scarce. Moreover, a fixed value was adopted as the default reference N_2O emission rate for tropical forests to simplify computation, but it inevitably ignored the spatiotemporal heterogeneity in tropical forest N_2O emissions (Barthel et al., 2022). It is also noted that there were no empirical post-deforestation N_2O emission estimates in extra-tropical areas, as no feasible empirical relationships between N_2O emissions and years after deforestation were available. The accuracy of process-based estimates (specifically by DLEM here) could be regulated by model-specific configurations for land use change pathways. For example, in modeling tropical shift cultivation, DLEM assumed that agricultural lands newly converted from forests can only be reforested after at least 15 years to be consistent with the LUHv2 data (Ma et al., 2020). Meanwhile, treatments of different nitrogen pools (such as leaf, stem, root and litter pools) during land conversion would directly influence the nitrogen substrate for nitrification and denitrification. The DLEM model follows the biomass allocation scheme proposed by previous studies (Houghton et al., 1983; McGuire et al., 2001), which may introduce uncertainty in varied land management practices. A bias in the LUHv2 land use change data in regions experiencing drastic land conversions could also contribute to uncertainty in deforestation induced greenhouse gas emissions, for example, in areas with large-scale plantations (Yu et al., 2022).

In addition, developing forcing datasets with high quality and high spatiotemporal resolution is also important for reducing uncertainties in simulated N_2O fluxes. Among various input variables, precise information regarding fertilizer and manure application (including crop-specific application rate, type, timing, and frequency) is pivotal for improving the accuracy of model simulations. However, this crucial information was not unified in NMIP2 simulations, leading to increased modeling uncertainty. To mitigate this issue, it is strongly recommended to use improved fertilizer and manure datasets that provide detailed information on crop-specific application rate, timing and frequency to drive models in future intercomparison projects. Moreover, with the availability of additional high-precision datasets from manipulation field experiments (e.g., microbial data), we could use these datasets to constrain our models and delve deeper into the underlying mechanisms that regulate N_2O fluxes (e.g., the role of soil microbes) and further incorporate these mechanisms into models to reduce uncertainties.

Uncertainties associated with measurement-based upscaling approach: Measurement-based upscaling estimates are subject to uncertainties due to various factors. One major reason is the limited recording of microscale variables and incomplete quantification of local EFs related to microbial N_2O production. Sampling limitations also contribute to uncertainties, as the frequency and repeatability of measurements may not fully capture the high spatiotemporal variability of N_2O flux. The lack of the history of control sites further complicates the exclusion of observation data with significant legacy fluxes, thereby biasing our estimates. Additionally, gaps in global agricultural management datasets, particularly regarding fertilization details, enlarge the prediction interval of EFs and introduce uncertainties. We then used a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate three sources of uncertainty for predicting EFs based on flux upscaling approach: i) the fixed coefficients, ii) the random coefficients, and iii) input data. The uncertainty from sampling frequency and replication is reflected in the first source, while the uncertainty from unquantified sources related to field measurements is reflected in the second source. Each of the crop-specific SRNM models was run by randomly generating the fixed and random coefficients from their fitted multivariate normal distribution, as well as climate, soil, and other relevant factors following independent normal distributions with the mean of the value in our dataset and standard deviation of the absolute difference between the dataset used in this study and other global datasets. Fertilizer frequency was randomly selected using a Bernoulli distribution. Predicted values were calculated through 1000 iterations to construct a 95% prediction interval. The breakdown of uncertainty revealed that the random coefficients contributed the most to the estimation uncertainty, with observations showing that they explained more variance in EFs compared to fixed effects (47-74% vs. 19-35%) and contributed to the most of estimation uncertainty (Figure 20).



Figure 20. Relative standard deviation in global cropland-N_2O EF. The figure breaks down the uncertainty of EF per source of uncertainty (i.e., random coefficients, fixed coefficients, input data, or all combined). The uncertainty due to each source can be quantified holding the coefficients for the other sources fixed.

To address these limitations and reduce uncertainties, concerted efforts should be made to enhance the availability of N_2O observations representing diverse agroecological conditions. Meanwhile, improving the availability of high-precision datasets (e.g., microbial data), and integrating these datasets and the derived underlying mechanisms to our models could also reduce uncertainties. Currently, most available field N_2O observations (see Supplementary Information) are made in Europe, the USA, and China and are scarce in most developing countries (such as Sub-Saharan Africa). Therefore, extending the global coverage of direct and indirect N_2O flux measurements to encompass all major agricultural land-use types and climates, land-use changes and management practices and conducting long-term high-frequency monitoring are particularly important to increase the reliability of EFs as well as upscale results from site to regional scales.

4.2.3 Uncertainties in estimates of ocean N_2O emissions

Global open ocean N_2O emissions derived from the ocean biogeochemistry models (Table 1) for the 2010-2019 period are estimated to be 3.5 (2.5 – 4.7) Tg N yr^{-1}. All models show the highest emissions associated with equatorial and coastal upwelling zones, as well as the major oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) (e.g., the Eastern Equatorial Pacific and the Arabian Sea region of the northern Indian Ocean, see Figure 21). These are regions

characterized by high levels of biological productivity and higher sub-surface organic matter remineralization which results in higher N_2O yields in sub-oxic waters. The four participating models capture these characteristics but also show varying degrees of intensity in regional N_2O emissions. The models also show good agreement in representing the ocean regions of relatively low N_2O ocean-atmosphere fluxes (i.e., open ocean gyres where biological productivity is low).

The spatial distribution of uncertainty in ocean N_2O emissions among the models (Figure 21) is similar to that of the net N_2O ocean-atmosphere flux, with the highest uncertainties observed in the equatorial upwelling and low-oxygen waters associated with high sub-surface N_2O production (Babbin et al. 2020; Ganesan et al. 2020). Largest uncertainties are found in the equatorial Pacific, the Benguela upwelling region of the Atlantic, and the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean. Uncertainties in the ocean models' representation of N_2O fluxes result from a range of model characteristics (Zamora and Oschlies, 2014; Martinez-Rey et al. 2015; Buitenhuis et al. 2018; Battaglia and Joos, 2018; Landolfi et al. 2017; Berthet et al. 2023). These include (i) uncertainties in ocean circulation (particularly the representation of upwelling zones and the ocean circulation features (often sub-grid scale) that control the extent and intensity of oxygen-minimum zones (OMZs)); (ii) simulation of ocean organic matter productivity, export production, and mesopelagic remineralization (a driver of the sub-surface source function for N_2O production in models); (iii) the model biogeochemical parameterizations representing N_2O production and consumption from marine nitrification and denitrification processes, including their dependence on local dissolved oxygen concentrations and thresholds; and (iv) parameterization of ocean-atmosphere gas-exchange fluxes.

Model simulations of oceanic N_2O are closely linked to the underlying modeled oxygen distributions, as the embedded biogeochemical parameterizations for N_2O include the sensitivity of N_2O cycling processes (e.g., nitrification, denitrification) to local oxygen level (Ji et al., 2018). Significant uncertainties in modeled N_2O fluxes result from model biases in the representation of dissolved oxygen, especially in low-oxygen zones such as the Eastern Equatorial Pacific (Zamora and Oschlies, 2014; Martinez-Rey et al., 2015). Many ocean model simulations of dissolved oxygen display biases, especially in oxygen-minimum zones critical for N_2O cycling (Martinez-Rey et al., 2015). To reduce potential sources of error from model-simulated oxygen, one N_2O model in this analysis employs observation-based oxygen distributions when simulating ocean N_2O (Buitenhuis et al., 2018). However, this approach also restricts a model's response to climate-related feedback on ocean oxygen. In addition, the models in this analysis include optimization and calibration of N_2O cycle parameters by incorporating constraints from ocean observations (e.g., surface and interior N_2O and microbially-mediated process rates) (Battaglia and Joos, 2018, Buitenhuis et al., 2018, Berthet et al., 2023). A more detailed error analysis of N_2O model parameters (including uncertainty in gas-exchange fluxes) in one of the component models (Buitenhuis et

al., 2018) suggests estimated uncertainties in global fluxes from biogeochemical parameter specifications of ~33%. Further, a 1,000-member ensemble with 11 parameters varied with one of the models and constrained with both surface and subsurface N_2O observations yields an observation-constrained standard deviation of ±36% around the median of 4.3 TgN yr^{-1} (Battaglia and Joos, 2018), consistent with a recent surface pN_2O-based estimated of 4.2±1 TgN yr^{-1} (Yang et al., 2020).

Landolfi et al. (2017) also note that uncertainties arise in current model predictions of marine N_2O fluxes due to the neglect of feedback from impacts of external nutrient sources and ocean acidification on marine productivity and the ocean nitrogen and oxygen cycles. Reducing uncertainties in model estimates of the evolution of ocean N_2O fluxes will require accounting for these impacts in the underlying biogeochemical parameterizations. In addition, due to the high sensitivity of modeled N_2O production/consumption rates to oxygen level in the key ocean OMZ zones, an important priority in reducing modeled ocean N_2O flux uncertainties is to achieve a more accurate simulation of the ocean circulation and oxygen distribution of these regions.



Figure 21. Spatial uncertainty distribution (one standard deviation) in open ocean N_2O emissions in the 2010s. Note that the color scale in this figure is different from that in Figure 19.

4.2.4 Uncertainties in emissions estimates from the continental shelves

Estimates of N_2O emissions vary by a factor of 2-3 in the continental shelf (1 observation-based product and 2 models). The MEM-RF observational estimate (1.63 Tg N yr^{-1}, Yang et al., 2020) falls at the high end of the two high-resolution model estimates (1.39 and 0.61 Tg N yr^{-1} for CNRM-0.25° and ECCO-Darwin, respectively). Shelf N_2O flux emissions from MEM-RF, CNRM-0.25°, and ECO-Darwin broadly agree in the main patterns and magnitude. Emission hotspots in productive, low-O_2 upwelling systems (e.g., eastern boundary upwellings, upwellings of the north-western Indian Ocean) appear to be underestimated by models. Lower emissions in models likely reflect the inability of models to resolve complex near-shore dynamical circulation and biogeochemical processes key to the production, transport, and evasion of N_2O. This includes under-resolved dynamics in upwelling systems and shallow oxygen minimum zones with high N_2O emissions (Resplandy et al., 2023), strong spatial gradients introduced by patterns of high production/ high remineralization and enhanced land-sea inputs of N in shallow shelves (e.g., Baltic Sea, Southeast and East Asia), sedimentary processes, and production in estuarine and coastal vegetated ecosystems, which is subsequently transported offshore. Conversely, our ability to reconstruct spatial patterns in N_2O air-sea fluxes from observations (MEM-RF, Yang et al., 2020), in particular along continental margins, is severely limited by the number of N_2O observations, which is two orders of magnitude smaller than for CO_2. Observations tend to be localized in regions of strong air-sea disequilibrium and might thus be biased high (e.g., Babbin et al. 2020; Ganesan et al, 2020). In addition, many coastal regions remain undersampled, further limiting the performance of MEM-RF. For instance, models point to coastal N_2O flux hotspots along mid-latitude western boundaries (e.g., the US east coast, the North Pacific east of Japan, the southeast coast of Australia, and the south-eastern tip of Africa) that are not diagnosed in the observational product (Resplandy et al., 2023). Furthermore, N_2O fluxes are highly spatially heterogeneous (scales of 1 to 100 km) due to land-ocean gradients and mesoscale and sub-mesoscale features such as eddies (Arévalo-Martínez et al., 2017, 2019; Yang et al., 2020, Grundle et al., 2017). Eddies are instrumental in setting suboxic conditions favorable for N_2O production, and it has been suggested that N_2O production weakens within eddies during their transit across the shelf and further offshore (Arévalo Martinez et al., 2016). These small-scale circulation features are important controls for N_2O dynamics but are poorly accounted for in data-based reconstructions and models.

This assessment provides the most up-to-date estimate of N_2O climatological emissions from the global shelves, but the variability of these emissions remains uncertain. Each product covers a different time period and only provides limited or missing information on seasonal fluctuations, inter-annual variability and long-term trends. For instance, only a handful of observations per year are available in most regions, providing a limited picture of seasonality, and even more limited information on interannual variability (e.g., El Nino-Southern Oscillation,

Pacific Decadal Oscillation) and global longer-term trends. Disentangling such influences from limited observations alone remains a major challenge. The effects of extreme events on N_2O fluxes such as storms and marine heat waves are also currently not captured, and the intra-annual variability in hotspot regions such as coastal upwelling systems remains poorly constrained. Despite these limitations, data-based reconstructions and models suggest a vigorous seasonal cycle and, potentially, important variability on interannual timescales (Yang et al., 2020, Ganesan et al., 2020). The development of a Global N_2O Ocean Observation Network (N_2O-ON) (Bange et al., 2019; Bange, 2022) is critically needed to better resolve spatio-temporal patterns and reduce uncertainties in N_2O emissions. Increasing the density of observations in regions of high N_2O disequilibrium and collecting long time-series of N_2O measurements will allow a better characterization of interannual changes and their dynamics. Meanwhile, algorithmic approaches that address the observational limitations should be developed and refined to extrapolate N_2O measurements to global and interannual timescales, leveraging advancements made for CO_2 disequilibrium and flux reconstructions.

Parallel efforts based on the development of mechanistic models are also needed to strengthen our understanding of the dynamics underlying interannual N_2O flux variability and to detect and attribute long-term anthropogenic effects. However, the representation of N_2O processes in biogeochemical models remains limited, and very few climate models include marine emissions of N_2O fluxes (only 4 out of 26 CMIP6 models considered in Séférian et al, 2020). Uncertainty persists regarding the various (micro) biological processes that drive N_2O cycling in coastal waters and sediments (Bange, 2022). Current global ocean biogeochemical models typically adopt an indirect representation of N_2O production, which is diagnosed from environmental conditions (e.g., temperature) and O_2 consumption during remineralization of organic matter, without explicitly representing the bacterial pools and chemical reactions responsible for N_2O production in suboxic waters (e.g., Aumont et al., 2015, Battaglia and Joos, 2018). In addition, key aspects of air-sea N_2O exchange, such as the effects of surfactants in the sea surface microlayer (Kock et al., 2012) remain poorly understood. Finally, the interannual variability of N_2O fluxes and its attribution to climatic and anthropogenic drivers is largely unknown. Disentangling these influences will benefit from (1) interannually varying observational N_2O flux reconstructions at scales fine enough to capture high emissions along continental margins; (2) statistical methods that address the limited number of observations in space and time; and (3) N_2O cycle simulations with forward mechanistic models. A blueprint for this work already exists with the approaches developed by the oceanic CO_2 community (Gruber et al., 2022). Similar approaches would enable attribution of N_2O flux changes to specific drivers, leading to better predictability.

4.2.5 Uncertainties in emissions estimates from atmospheric inversions

The four atmospheric inversion frameworks show uncertainties in the estimates of N_2O emissions, especially in hotspot regions such as Eastern China, India, Europe, the US Corn Belt, and Northern South America (Figure 22). The uncertainties in inversion estimates are mainly from errors in the modeled atmospheric transport, the dependence on the prior information, and the availability of atmospheric observations. Every inversion framework in this study used a different atmospheric transport model with different horizontal and vertical resolutions (Table 1). By including estimates from multiple inversion frameworks with different modeled atmospheric transport, the systematic error can be assessed to some extent. The inversion estimates are dependent on the spatial pattern and magnitude of the prior flux estimates to an extent that is determined by the density of the observations. Using the same prior information might reduce the range in the atmospheric inversion estimates but not the uncertainty since this depends on the spatiotemporal density of the atmospheric observations and the accuracy of the modeled transport. The uncertainty reduction (calculated as one minus the ratio of the posterior to prior uncertainty) indicates the degree of constraint on the inversion estimates (Figure 23). It shows that the areas of South America, Africa, central and southern Asia as well as Australasia are poorly constrained by observations. The relatively sparse distribution of current N_2O observation sites underscores the necessity of establishing more sites and regular aircraft profiles, especially in tropical and sub-tropical regions, to better constrain inversion models and to further reduce the posterior uncertainty.



Figure 22. Spatial distribution of posterior uncertainty (one standard deviation) in TD model estimates of N_2O emissions in the 2010s.



Figure 23. Uncertainty reduction (1 - sigma_posterior/sigma_prior) from the PyVAR-CAMS inversion framework. *Atmospheric observational stations used in the inversion framework.

4.2.6 Other missing fluxes

We recognize that N_2O emissions contributed by termites could be a significant natural source in tropical and subtropical ecosystems (Brümmer et al., 2009; Miambi et al., 2022). The metabolic activity of microbial symbionts in the termite gut can maintain steep oxygen gradients, which facilitates nitrification and denitrification processes and the production of N_2O (Brauman et al., 2015; Brune et al., 1995). Nevertheless, termites have a wide trophic diversity, and their N_2O emission rates vary significantly, with some species creating emission hotspots (Brümmer et al., 2009), while others function as net sinks (Majeed et al., 2012). Feeding habits and the abundance of nitrifiers and denitrifiers in the gut are reported to be the key factors determining net N_2O emission of termites (Brauman et al., 2015; Miambi et al., 2022). Termites that consume N-rich material, such as soil organic matter and fungi, exhibit high N_2O production rates and emit N_2O into the atmosphere, while those feeding on N-deficient wood can consume atmospheric N_2O (Brauman et al., 2015). It is difficult to scale up calculations of net N_2O emission by termites due to the lack of data on their abundance and biomass across global ecosystems,

therefore our understanding of the precise contribution of termites to the atmospheric N_2O budget on a global scale remains limited and not considered in our analysis.

5 Data Availability

The accompanying database includes two Excel files and 27 txt files. The two Excel files are organized into the following spreadsheets.

The Global N_2O Budget 1980-2020: Global emission data includes the following items:

1. Summary.
2. Bottom-up estimates: global BU N_2O budget from 1980 to 2020, including 20 individual sources and sinks.
3. Top-down estimates: N_2O emissions from land, ocean, and global during 1997-2020 estimated by the four atmospheric inversion models.
4. Atmospheric_Chemical_sink: Global atmospheric chemical sink estimated by the four atmospheric inversion models (1997-2020) and one satellite and photolysis model (2005-2020).
5. N_2O_dry_mole_fraction: Monthly N_2O dry mole fraction and its growth rate during 2000-2020 estimated by the three observation networks.
6. Future_N_2O_dry_mole_fraction: the projected N_2O dry mole fractions from the four illustrative Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (2000-2050), and the seven illustrative Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) used in CMIP6 (2005-2050);

The Global N_2O Budget 1980-2020: Regional emission data includes the following items:

1. Summary.
2. Anthropogenic_sectors_1980_2020: N_2O emissions from the four anthropogenic sources for the 18 regions during 1980-2020.
3. Bottom-up_estimates: Total N_2O emissions from the 18 regions during 1980-2020 estimated by BU approaches.
4. Top- down estimates: N_2O emissions from the 18 regions during 1997-2020 estimated by the four atmospheric inversion models.
5. Decadal_mean_2010s: regional N_2O emissions estimated by the TD and BU approaches in the 2010s.

Global N_2O Budget 1980-2020: modelled gridded emission data includes the spatial patterns of N_2O emissions from different sources (unit: $gN/m^2/yr$) estimated by different models as follows:

1. NMIP2: total 16 maps showing the spatial distribution of soil N_2O emissions, including estimates of eight process-based models participated in NMIP2 (CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, O-CN, ORCHIDEE, and VISIT) and two periods (the1850s and 2010s).
2. Open ocean emissions: total 4 maps showing the spatial distribution of open ocean N_2O emissions, including estimates of four ocean models: Bern-3D, NEMO-PlankTOM10.2, NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas, and UVic2.9.

3. Shelf emissions: total 3 maps showing the spatial distribution of continental shelves N_2O emissions, including estimates of three products: CNRM, ECCO, MEM-RF.
4. Top-Down estimates: total 4 maps showing the global distribution of N_2O emissions, including estimates of four atmospheric inversion models: GEOSChem, INVICAT, MIROC4-ACTM, and PyVAR-CAMS.

The data presented in this work can be downloaded from https://doi.org/10.18160/RQ8P-2Z4R (Tian et al. 2023).

Appendix A: Supplementary tables.

Table A1. Comparison of terminologies used in this study and previous reports.

GCP Terminology (this study)		IPCC AR6 (IPCC, 2021)	National GHG inventories (used by UNFCCC according to IPCC, 2006 and IPCC, 2019)	UNFCCC / IPCC 2006 Source sector
Anthropogenic sources				
Direct emissions of N additions in the agricultural sector (Agriculture)	Direct soil emissions (mineral N and manure fertilization, cultivation of organic soils, and crop residue returns)	Agriculture	Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils (except due to grazing animals)	part of 3C4
	Manure left on pasture		Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals	part of 3C4
	Manure management		Manure management	2A2
	Aquaculture	---	---	---
Other direct anthropogenic sources	Fossil fuel and industry	Fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes	Energy and industrial processes	1, 2
	Waste and wastewater	Human excreta	Waste	4C1, 4C2 4D1, 4D2
	Biomass burning (from crop residue, grassland, shrubland and savannas; peat fires, tropical forests, boreal forests, and temperate forests)	Biomass and biofuel burning	Prescribed burning of savannas, field burning of agricultural residues	3E, 3F
Indirect emissions from anthropogenic N additions	Inland and coastal waters (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, and coastal vegetation)	Rivers, estuaries, coastal vegetation	Indirect emissions due to leaching and runoff	part of 3C5, 3C6
	Atmospheric N deposition on land	Atmospheric deposition on land	Indirect emissions due to atmospheric deposition (of agricultural as well as other anthropogenic compounds emitted)	part of 3C5, 5A
	Atmospheric N deposition on ocean	Atmospheric deposition on ocean		part of 3C5, 5A
Perturbed fluxes from climate/CO_2/land cover change	CO_2 effect	---	---	---
	Climate effect	---	---	---
	Post-deforestation pulse effect	---	---	---
	Long-term effect of reduced mature forest area	---	---	---
Natural sources and sinks				

Natural soils baseline	Soils under natural vegetation	---	---
Coastal and Open Ocean baseline	Oceans	---	---
Natural (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, and coastal vegetation)	---	---	---
Lightning and atmospheric production	Lightning	---	---
	Atmospheric chemistry	---	---
Soil/wetland surface sink	Surface sink	---	---
Atmospheric sink	Atmospheric sink		

1690

Table A2. List of the countries used to define the 18 regions.

Region num.	Region name	Countries or territories
1	USA	USA with Alaska, Bermuda Islands
2	Canada	Canada
3	Central America	Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guadeloupe, Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica, Martinique, Mexico, Montserrat, Nicaragua, Panama, Puerto Rico, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Turks and Caicos Islands, United States Virgin Islands
4	Brazil	Brazil
5	Northern South America	Aruba, Colombia, French Guiana, Grenada, Guyana, , Suriname , Trinidad and Tobago, Venezuela
6	Southwest South America	Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador, Peru, Falkland Islands (Malvinas), Paraguay, Uruguay
7	Europe	Albania, Andorra, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Belgium, Luxembourg, Bulgaria, Channel Islands, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Faroe Islands, Finland, France, Germany, Gibraltar, Greece, Greenland, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Isle of Man, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom, Ukraine
8	Northern Africa	Algeria, Cabo Verde, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Ethiopia PDR, Gambia, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Libya, Mali, Mauritania, Morocco, Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan former, Tunisia, Western Sahara
9	Equatorial Africa	Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Congo, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Ghana, Liberia, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Togo, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania,
10	Southern Africa	Angola, Botswana, Comoros, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mayotte, Mozambique, Namibia, Reunion, Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Zambia, Zimbabwe
11	Russia	Russian federation
12	Central Asia	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Mongolia,
13	Middle East	Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, People's Republic of Georgia, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Occupied Palestinian Territory, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Yemen
14	China	China mainland, Macao, Hong Kong, Taiwan
15	Korea and Japan	Japan, Korea, Republic of Korea
16	South Asia	Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka
17	South East Asia	Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Guam, Indonesia Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Maldives, Marshall Islands, Myanmar, Nauru, Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Philippines, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Tokelau, Viet Nam
18	Oceania	American Samoa, Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Niue, Norfolk Island, Pacific Islands Trust Territory, Papua New Guinea, Pitcairn Islands, Samoa, Tonga,Tuvalu,Vanuatu, Wallis and Futuna Islands

Table A3. The sectors in N_2O budget and its sources. (Sector with "*" means this sector only include maximum, mean, and minimum).

ID	N_2O budget sectors (Global scale)	Sources
1	Aquaculture	EF0.5, EF5, EF1.8
2	Manure left on pasture	DLEM, EDGAR, FAO
3	Manure management	EDGAR
4	Direct soil emissions global	EDGAR, FAO, NMIP2/DLEM, SRNM/DLEM
5	Inland water, estuaries and coastal vegetation anthropogenic	Meta-analysis and Process-based models, EDGAR, FAO
6	N deposition on land	NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0, NMIP2
7	CO_2	CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VISIT
8	Climate	CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VISIT
9	Post deforestation pulse effect	DLEM, Book-keeping model
10	Natural soils baseline	CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VISIT
11	Open ocean	BERN, CNRM, UViC, UEA-NEMO-PlankTOM
12	N deposition on ocean*	Parvadha Suntharalingam et al. (2012)
13	Biomass burning	FAO, DLEM, GFED
14	Fossil fuel industry	EDGAR, EDGAR/UNFCCC
15	Waste and wastewater	EDGAR/UNFCCC
16	Inland water, estuaries and coastal vegetation natural*	DLEM, stochastic mechanistic model, RF model, meta-analyses-based estimates

17	Lightning and atmospheric production*	Schlesinger (2013) and Syakila, Kroeze, and Slomp (2010)
18	Long term reduction effect	DLEM, Book-keeping model
19	Continental shelves*	ECCO, CNRM, MEM-RF

1695

Table A4. Funding supporting the production of the various components of the global nitrous oxide budget in addition to the authors' supporting institutions (see also Acknowledgements).

Funder and grant number (where relevant)	Authors/simulations/ observations
Australian National Environmental Science Program - Climate Systems Hub	Josep G. Canadell
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (grant no. SFB754/3 B1 D1807)	Angela Landolfi
Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science through the Netherlands Earth System Science Center (NESSC)	Junjie Wang
European Space Agency (ESA) RECCAP2 project (grant no. ESRIN/4000123002/18/I-NB)	Philippe Ciais
European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement N° 101003536 (ESM2025 – Earth System Models for the Future)	Pierre Regnier, Sönke Zaehle, Nicolas Vuichard, Sarah Berthet
European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Słodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 101030750	Luke M. Western
European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement N° 101081395 (EYE-CLIMA)	Glen P. Peters
EYE-CLIMA, a project funded under the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation programme under grant agreement number 101081395	Wilfried Winiwarter
French state aid, managed by ANR under the "Investissements d'avenir" programme (ANR-16-CONV-0003)	Ronny Lauerwald
Hatch Act (Accession Number IDA01722) through the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture	Daniele Tonina
Hutchinson Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Yale Institute for Biospheric Studies at Yale University	Judith A. Rosentreter
Member countries to FAOSTAT through the FAO's Regular Budget.	Francesco N. Tubiello
MIROC4-ACTM from the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (SII-8; grant no. JP-MEERF21S20800) and the Arctic Challenge for Sustainability phase II (ArCS-II; grant no. JP- MXD1420318865) project	Prabir K. Patra
National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42107393)	Minpeng Hu

National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42225102; 41977082)	Feng Zhou
Natural Environment Research Council through its grants to the UK National Centre for Earth Observation (NCEO; NERC grant numbers NE/R016518/1 and NE/N018079/1)	Chris Wilson
Swiss National Science Foundation (200020_200511)	Fortunat Joos, Aurich Jeltsch-Thoemmes, Qing Sun
U.S. Department of Energy through the Reducing Uncertainties in Biogeochemical Interactions through Synthesis andComputation Scientific Focus Area (RUBISCO SFA) project	Qing Zhu
U.S. National Science foundation (grant no. 1903722)	Hanqin Tian, Shufen Pan, Chaoqun Lu
U.S. National Science Foundation (grant no. OCE-1847687).	Daniele Bianchi
US Department of Agriculture CBG (grant no. TENX12899)	Hanqin Tian
US National Science Foundation (grant no. 1922687)	Shufen Pan
Computing Resources	
Computational resources from the Expanse system at the San Diego Supercomputer Center through allocation TG-OCE170017 from the Advanced Cyber infrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services and Support (ACCESS) program, which is supported by National Science Foundation grants 2138259, 2138286, 2138307, 2137603, and 2138296.	Daniele Bianchi
Computing resources from LSCE	Rona Thompson
Computing Resources from Auburn University and Boston College	Hanqin Tian, Shufen Pan
Support for atmospheric observations	
Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/), implemented by ECMWF on behalf of the European Commission	Rona Thompson

CSIRO for long-term support for the operation and maintenance of CSIRO GASLAB and flaks network, the Australian Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Australian Antarctic Division, NOAA USA, and Environment & Climate Change Canada	CSIRO flask network, Paul B. Krummel
NOAA's Climate Program Office under the Atmospheric Chemistry Carbon Cycle and Climate (AC4) theme.	NOAA observational network, Xin Lan, Geoffrey Dutton
U.S. NASA Upper Atmospheric Research Program in the United States with grants NNX07AE89G and NNX16AC98G and 80NSSC21K1369 to MIT and NNX07AF09G, NNX07AE87G, NNX16AC96G, NNX16AC97G and 80NSSC21K1210 and 80NSSC21K1201 to SIO. NASA award to MIT with sub-award to University of Bristol for Mace Head and Barbados (80NSSC21K1369). NASA award to MIT with sub-award to CSIRO for Cape Grim (80NSSC21K1369). U.K. Department for Energy Security & Industrial Strategy (BEIS) (contract 1028/06/2015). U.S. NOAA (contract 1305M319CNRMJ0028).	AGAGE flask network, Jens Mühle

Appendix B: Supplementary figures



Figure B1. Spatial distribution of global N_2O emissions in the 2010s estimated by different atmospheric inversion frameworks (top-down approach).



1705

Figure B2. Spatial distribution of pre-industrial (1850s) soil N_2O emissions estimated by different NMIP2 terrestrial biosphere models.



Figure B3. Spatial-temporal changes in fertilizer N and manure N applications and atmospheric N deposition to global terrestrial ecosystems derived from HaNi data set (Tian et al. 2022), which were used to drive NMIP2 terrestrial biosphere models.



Figure B4. Spatial distribution of soil N_2O emissions during 2010-2019 estimated by NMIP2 terrestrial biosphere models.



Figure B5. Spatial distribution of N_2O emissions from open oceans during 2010-2019 estimated by different ocean biogeochemistry models/Earth System models.



Figure B6. N_2O emission from continental shelves as estimated by three methods.

Supplement

The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/ **********.

Author contributions

H.T., R.L.T., and J.G.C. designed and coordinated the study. H.T., N.P., S.P., Y.L., R.L.T., P.S., P.R. gathered the BU and TD data sets and performed the post-processing, analysis and synthesis. H.T., N.P., R.L.T., J.G.C., P.S., P.R., E.A.D., M.J.P., P.C., M.M., S.P., W.W., S.Z., F.Z., and R.B.J. wrote the paper. R.L.T. led atmospheric inversions teaming with P.K.P., K.C.W., D.B.M. and C.W.; H.T. led land biosphere modeling teaming with N.P., S.P., S.Z., A.I, A.K.J., F.J., S.K.G., C.L., H.S., Q.S., and Q.Z.; P.S. led ocean biogeochemical modeling teaming with E.B., A.L., S.B., A.J.-T. and F.J; P.R. led the synthesis of LAOC (Land-Aquatic Ocean Continuum) teaming with R.L.,T.M., Y.Y., M.H.,,P.R., J.R., L.R., M.M., S.B., H.B., D.B., and H.T.; J.W. and L.B. provided data of N_2O flux from aquaculture. G.R.W. and J.Y. provided data of N_2O emissions from biomass burning. F.Z. provided cropland N_2O flux data from a statistical model and field observations. M.M., F.N.T. and W.W. provided N_2O inventory data. M.J.P. provided data of stratospheric and tropospheric sinks. G.P. provided RCP and SSP scenarios data and analysis. X.L. and G.D. provided a global N_2O monitoring dataset of NOAA/ESRL GMD. J.M. and L.M.W. provided a global N_2O monitoring dataset of AGAGE stations. P.K. provided a global N_2O monitoring dataset of CSIRO. All coauthors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests

At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Earth System Science Data*.

Acknowledgements

This paper is the result of a collaborative international effort under the umbrella of the Global Carbon Project (a project of Future Earth and a research partner of the World Climate Research Programme) in partnership with International Nitrogen Initiative (INI). We acknowledge all the people and institutions who provided the data used in the global nitrous oxide budget as well as the institutions funding parts of this effort (see Table A4). We acknowledge the modelling groups for making their simulations available for this analysis. The PyVAR-CAMS modelling results were funded through the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service, implemented by ECMWF on behalf of the European Commission and were generated using computing resources from LSCE. H.T. and S.P. acknowledges computational and administrative support from the Center for Earth System Science and Global

Sustainability, Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society at Boston College. J.G.C. thanks the Australian National Environmental Science Program - Climate Systems Hub for supporting the GHG budget activities of the Global Carbon Project (GCP), including the Global and regional N_2O Budgets work. We are grateful to the EDGAR team (M. Crippa, D. Guizzardi, E. Schaaf, M. Muntean, E. Solazzo, F. Pagani and M. Banja) for the work needed to publish the EDGARv7.0 Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions dataset (https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_ghg70). AKJ thanks Shijie Shu and Tzu-Shun Lin for their involvement in developing and analyzing the ISAM model products used here. Giulia Conchedda, Griffiths Obli-Layrea and Nathan Wanner contributed significant efforts to the generation of fertilizer, livestock and soil nutrient data that underlie FAO's estimates of N_2O data.

Financial support

Please see a full list of funders in the Appendix (Table A4)**.**

References

Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Kock, A., Löscher, C. R., Schmitz, R. A., Stramma, L., and Bange, H. W.: Influence of mesoscale eddies on the distribution of nitrous oxide in the eastern tropical South Pacific, Biogeosciences, 13, 1105–1118, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-1105-2016, 2016.

Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Kock, A., Steinhoff, T., Brandt, P., Dengler, M., Fischer, T., Körtzinger, A., and Bange, H. W.: Nitrous oxide during the onset of the Atlantic cold tongue, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 171–184, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012238, 2017.

Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Steinhoff, T., Brandt, P., Körtzinger, A., Lamont, T., Rehder, G., and Bange, H. W.: N2O Emissions From the Northern Benguela Upwelling System, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 3317–3326, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081648, 2019.

Asaadi, A. and Arora, V. K.: Implementation of nitrogen cycle in the CLASSIC land model, Biogeosciences, 18, 669–706, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-669-2021, 2021.

Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., and Gehlen, M.: PISCES-v2: an ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies, Geoscientific Model Development, 8, 2465–2513, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015, 2015.

Babbin, A. R., Boles, E. L., Mühle, J., and Weiss, R. F.: On the natural spatio-temporal heterogeneity of South Pacific nitrous oxide, Nat Commun, 11, 3672, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17509-6, 2020.

Bange, H. W.: Non-CO2 greenhouse gases (N2O, CH4, CO) and the ocean, One Earth, 5, 1316–1318, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.11.011, 2022.

Bange, H. W., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., de la Paz, M., Farías, L., Kaiser, J., Kock, A., Law, C. S., Rees, A. P., Rehder, G., Tortell, P. D., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., and Wilson, S. T.: A Harmonized Nitrous Oxide (N2O) Ocean Observation Network for the 21st Century, Frontiers in Marine Science, 6, 2019.

Barthel, M., Bauters, M., Baumgartner, S., Drake, T. W., Bey, N. M., Bush, G., Boeckx, P., Botefa, C. I., Dériaz, N., Ekamba, G. L., Gallarotti, N., Mbayu, F. M., Mugula, J. K., Makelele, I. A., Mbongo, C. E., Mohn, J., Mandea, J. Z., Mpambi, D. M., Ntaboba, L. C., Rukeza, M. B., Spencer, R. G. M., Summerauer, L., Vanlauwe, B., Van Oost, K., Wolf, B., and Six, J.: Low N2O and variable CH4 fluxes from tropical forest soils of the Congo Basin, Nat Commun, 13, 330, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-27978-6, 2022.

Battaglia, G. and Joos, F.: Marine N2O Emissions From Nitrification and Denitrification Constrained by Modern Observations and Projected in Multimillennial Global Warming Simulations, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 92–121, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005671, 2018.

Berthet, S., Séférian, R., Bricaud, C., Chevallier, M., Voldoire, A., and Ethé, C.: Evaluation of an Online Grid-Coarsening Algorithm in a Global Eddy-Admitting Ocean Biogeochemical Model, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 1759–1783, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001644, 2019.

Berthet, S., Jouanno, J., Séférian, R., Gehlen, M., and Llovel, W.: How does the phytoplankton–light feedback affect the marine N 2 O inventory?, Earth System Dynamics, 14, 399–412, 2023.

Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Van Beek, L. P. H., Mogollón, J. M., and Middelburg, J. J.: Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum, Biogeosciences, 13, 2441–2451, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2441-2016, 2016.

Bouwman, A. F., Pawłowski, M., Liu, C., Beusen, A. H. W., Shumway, S. E., Glibert, P. M., and Overbeek, C. C.: Global Hindcasts and Future Projections of Coastal Nitrogen and Phosphorus Loads Due to Shellfish and Seaweed Aquaculture, Reviews in Fisheries Science, 19, 331–357, https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2011.603849, 2011.

Bouwman, A. F., Beusen, A. H. W., Overbeek, C. C., Bureau, D. P., Pawlowski, M., and Glibert, P. M.: Hindcasts and Future Projections of Global Inland and Coastal Nitrogen and Phosphorus Loads Due to Finfish Aquaculture, Reviews in Fisheries Science, 21, 112–156, https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2013.790340, 2013a.

Bouwman, L., Daniel, J. S., Davidson, E. A., de Klein, C., Holland, E., Ju, X., Kanter, D., Oenema, O., Ravishankara, A. R., and Skiba, U. M.: Drawing down N2O to protect climate and the ozone layer. A UNEP Synthesis Report, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2013b.

Brauman, A., Majeed, M. Z., Buatois, B., Robert, A., Pablo, A.-L., and Miambi, E.: Nitrous Oxide (N2O) Emissions by Termites: Does the Feeding Guild Matter?, PLOS ONE, 10, e0144340, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144340, 2015.

Brümmer, C., Papen, H., Wassmann, R., and Brüggemann, N.: Termite mounds as hot spots of nitrous oxide emissions in South-Sudanian savanna of Burkina Faso (West Africa), Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 2009.

Brune, A., Emerson, D., and Breznak, J. A.: The termite gut microflora as an oxygen sink: microelectrode determination of oxygen and pH gradients in guts of lower and higher termites, Applied and environmental microbiology, 61, 2681–2687, 1995.

Buendia, E., Tanabe, K., Kranjc, A., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M., Ngarize, S., . . . Federici, S.: Refinement To the 2006 Ipcc Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. *IPCC: Geneva, Switzerland*, 2019.

Buitenhuis, E. T., Suntharalingam, P., and Le Quéré, C.: Constraints on global oceanic emissions of N_2O from observations and models, Biogeosciences, 15, 2161–2175, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2161-2018, 2018.

Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E. M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 368, 20130122, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0122, 2013.

Canadell, J. G., Monteiro, P. M. S., Costa, M. H., Cunha, L. C. D., Cox, P. M., Eliseev, A. V., Henson, S., Ishii, M., Jaccard, S., Koven, C., Lohila, A., Patra, P. K., Piao, S., Syampungani, S., Zaehle, S., Zickfeld, K., Alexandrov, G. A., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Boysen, L., Cao, L., Chandra, N., Ciais, P., Denisov, S. N., Dentener, F. J., Douville, H., Fay, A., Forster, P., Fox-Kemper, B., Friedlingstein, P., Fu, W., Fuss, S., Garçon, V., Gier, B., Gillett, N. P., Gregor, L., Haustein, K., Haverd, V., He, J., Hewitt, H. T., Hoffman, F. M., Ilyina, T., Jackson, R., Jones, C., Keller, D. P., Kwiatkowski, L., Lamboll, R. D., Lan, X., Laufkötter, C., Quéré, C. L., Lenton, A., Lewis, J., Liddicoat, S., Lorenzoni, L., Lovenduski, N., Macdougall, A. H., Mathesius, S., Matthews, D. H., Meinshausen, M., Mokhov, I. I., Naik, V., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Nurhati, I. S., O'sullivan, M., Peters, G., Pongratz, J., Poulter, B., Sallée, J.-B., Saunois, M., Schuur, E. A. G., I.Seneviratne, S., Stavert, A., Suntharalingam, P., Tachiiri, K., Terhaar, J., Thompson, R., Tian, H., Turnbull, J., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Wang, X., Wanninkhof, R. H., Williamson, P., Brovkin, V., Feely, R. A., and Lebehot, A. D.: Global Carbon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks, chapter 5, 2021.

Carroll, D., Menemenlis, D., Adkins, J. F., Bowman, K. W., Brix, H., Dutkiewicz, S., Fenty, I., Gierach, M. M., Hill, C., Jahn, O., Landschützer, P., Lauderdale, J. M., Liu, J., Manizza, M., Naviaux, J. D., Rödenbeck, C., Schimel, D. S., Van der Stocken, T., and Zhang, H.: The ECCO-Darwin Data-Assimilative Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Model: Estimates of Seasonal to Multidecadal Surface Ocean pCO2 and Air-Sea CO2 Flux, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS001888, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001888, 2020.

Conchedda, G. and Tubiello, F. N.: Drainage of organic soils and GHG emissions: validation with country data, Earth System Science Data, 12, 3113–3137, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3113-2020, 2020.

Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Solazzo, E., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Banja, M., Olivier, J., Grassi, G., and Rossi, S.: GHG emissions of all world countries, Publications Office of the European Union. https://publications. jrc. ec. europa. eu/repository/handle/JRC126363, 2021.

Cui, Z., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Zhang, C., Ma, W., Huang, C., Zhang, W., Mi, G., Miao, Y., and Li, X.: Pursuing sustainable productivity with millions of smallholder farmers, Nature, 555, 363–366, 2018.

Dangal, S. R., Tian, H., Xu, R., Chang, J., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Pan, S., Yang, J., and Zhang, B.: Global nitrous oxide emissions from pasturelands and rangelands: magnitude, spatiotemporal patterns, and attribution, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 200–222, 2019.

Davidson, E. A.: The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860, Nature Geoscience, 2, 659–662, 2009.

Davidson, E. A. and Artaxo, P.: Globally significant changes in biological processes of the Amazon Basin: results of the Large-scale Biosphere–Atmosphere Experiment, Global Change Biology, 10, 519–529, 2004.

Davidson, E. A. and Kanter, D.: Inventories and scenarios of nitrous oxide emissions, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 105012, 2014.

Davidson, E. A. and Winiwarter, W.: Urgent abatement of industrial sources of nitrous oxide, Nat. Clim. Chang., 13, 599–601, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01723-3, 2023.

Davidson, E. A., de Carvalho, C. J. R., Figueira, A. M., Ishida, F. Y., Ometto, J. P. H. B., Nardoto, G. B., Sabá, R. T., Hayashi, S. N., Leal, E. C., Vieira, I. C. G., and Martinelli, L. A.: Recuperation of nitrogen cycling in Amazonian forests following agricultural abandonment, Nature, 447, 995–998, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05900, 2007.

De Klein, C. A. M., Smith, L. C., and Monaghan, R. M.: Restricted autumn grazing to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from dairy pastures in Southland, New Zealand, Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, 112, 192–199, 2006.

Del Grosso, S. J., Ogle, S. M., Nevison, C., Gurung, R., Parton, W. J., Wagner-Riddle, C., Smith, W., Winiwarter, W., Grant, B., and Tenuta, M.: A gap in nitrous oxide emission reporting complicates long-term climate mitigation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119, e2200354119, 2022.

Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G. P., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P. M., Dickinson, R. E., Hauglustaine, D. A., Heinze, C., Holland, E. A., and Jacob, D. J.: Couplings Between Changes in the Climate System and Biogeochemistry, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: A three-dimensional model of the global ammonia cycle, J Atmos Chem, 19, 331–369, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00694492, 1994.

Dijkstra, F. A., Prior, S. A., Runion, G. B., Torbert, H. A., Tian, H., Lu, C., and Venterea, R. T.: Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and increased temperature on methane and nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 10, 520–527, 2012.

Dlugokencky, E. J., Steele, L. P., Lang, P. M., and Masarie, K. A.: The growth rate and distribution of atmospheric methane, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 99, 17021–17043, 1994.

Dutton, G.S., Hall, B.D., Dlugokencky, E.J., Lan, X., Nance, J.D., Madronich, M.: Combined Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Halocarbons Sampling Network, 1977-2023, Version: 2023-04-13, https://doi.org/10.15138/GMZ7-2Q16, 2023.

Eggleston, H. S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and Tanabe, K.: 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories, 2006.

Erler, D. V., Duncan, T. M., Murray, R., Maher, D. T., Santos, I. R., Gatland, J. R., Mangion, P., and Eyre, B. D.: Applying cavity ring-down spectroscopy for the measurement of dissolved nitrous oxide concentrations and bulk nitrogen isotopic composition in aquatic systems: Correcting for interferences and field application, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 13, 391–401, 2015.

Estupiñán, E. G., Nicovich, J. M., Li, J., Cunnold, D. M., and Wine, P. H.: Investigation of N2O Production from 266 and 532 nm Laser Flash Photolysis of O3/N2/O2 Mixtures, J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 5880–5890, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp014242c, 2002.

Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N2O production and consumption in soil. In Exchange of trace gases between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere [Andreae, M.O. and Schimel, D.S. (eds)] John Wiley & Sons, New York, 7–21, 1989.

Fischer, H., Schmitt, J., Bock, M., Seth, B., Joos, F., Spahni, R., Lienert, S., Battaglia, G., Stocker, B. D., Schilt, A., and Brook, E. J.: N_2O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial – Part 1: Quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine emissions using N_2O stable isotopes in ice cores, Biogeosciences, 16, 3997–4021, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3997-2019, 2019.

Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D.J., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M.D., Watanabe, M., Wild, M., and Zhang, H.: The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 923–1054, doi:10.1017/9781009157896.009, 2021.

Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Walsh, T., Lamb, W. F., Lamboll, R., Hauser, M., Ribes, A., Rosen, D., Gillett, N., Palmer, M. D., Rogelj, J., von Schuckmann, K., Seneviratne, S. I., Trewin, B., Zhang, X., Allen, M., Andrew, R., Birt, A., Borger, A., Boyer, T., Broersma, J. A., Cheng, L., Dentener, F., Friedlingstein, P., Gutiérrez, J. M., Gütschow, J., Hall, B., Ishii, M., Jenkins, S., Lan, X., Lee, J.-Y., Morice, C., Kadow, C., Kennedy, J., Killick, R., Minx, J. C., Naik, V., Peters, G. P., Pirani, A., Pongratz, J., Schleussner, C.-F., Szopa, S., Thorne, P., Rohde, R., Rojas Corradi, M., Schumacher, D., Vose, R., Zickfeld, K., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Zhai, P.: Indicators of Global Climate Change 2022: annual update of large-scale indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence, Earth System Science Data, 15, 2295–2327, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2295-2023, 2023.

Francey, R. J., Steele, L. P., Spencer, D. A., Langenfelds, R. L., Law, R. M., Krummel, P. B., Fraser, P. J., Etheridge, D. M., Derek, N., and Coram, S. A.: The CSIRO (Australia) measurement of greenhouse gases in the global atmosphere, Baseline Atmospheric Program Australia, edited by: Tindale, NW, Derek, N., and Fraser, PJ, Melbourne, Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO Atmospheric Research, 42–53, 2003.

Galloway, J. N., Bleeker, A., and Erisman, J. W.: The human creation and use of reactive nitrogen: a global and regional perspective, Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 46, 255–288, 2021.

Ganesan, A. L., Manizza, M., Morgan, E. J., Harth, C. M., Kozlova, E., Lueker, T., Manning, A. J., Lunt, M. F., Mühle, J., Lavric, J. V., Heimann, M., Weiss, R. F., and Rigby, M.: Marine Nitrous Oxide Emissions From Three Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems Inferred From Atmospheric Observations, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL087822, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087822, 2020.

Gerber, J. S., Carlson, K. M., Makowski, D., Mueller, N. D., Garcia de Cortazar-Atauri, I., Havlík, P., Herrero, M., Launay, M., O'Connell, C. S., and Smith, P.: Spatially explicit estimates of N2O emissions from croplands suggest climate mitigation opportunities from improved fertilizer management, Global Change Biology, 22, 3383–3394, 2016.

Gidden, M. J., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., Fujimori, S., Luderer, G., Kriegler, E., van Vuuren, D. P., van den Berg, M., Feng, L., Klein, D., Calvin, K., Doelman, J. C., Frank, S., Fricko, O., Harmsen, M., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Hilaire, J., Hoesly, R., Horing, J., Popp, A., Stehfest, E., and Takahashi, K.: Global emissions pathways under different socioeconomic scenarios for use in CMIP6: a dataset of harmonized emissions trajectories through the end of the century, Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 1443–1475, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1443-2019, 2019.

Goll, D. S., Vuichard, N., Maignan, F., Jornet-Puig, A., Sardans, J., Violette, A., Peng, S., Sun, Y., Kvakic, M., Guimberteau, M., Guenet, B., Zaehle, S., Penuelas, J., Janssens, I., and Ciais, P.: A representation of the phosphorus cycle for ORCHIDEE (revision 4520), Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 3745–3770, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3745-2017, 2017.

Griffis, T. J., Chen, Z., Baker, J. M., Wood, J. D., Millet, D. B., Lee, X., Venterea, R. T., and Turner, P. A.: Nitrous oxide emissions are enhanced in a warmer and wetter world, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 12081–12085, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704552114, 2017.

Gruber, N.: The ocean carbon sink-recent highlights and challenges, in: IOC Carbon Meeting; Integrated Ocean Carbon Research workshop, 2022.

Gruber, N. and Galloway, J. N.: An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle, Nature, 451, 293–296, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06592, 2008.

Grundle, D. S., Löscher, C. R., Krahmann, G., Altabet, M. A., Bange, H. W., Karstensen, J., Körtzinger, A., and Fiedler, B.: Low oxygen eddies in the eastern tropical North Atlantic: Implications for N2O cycling, Sci Rep, 7, 4806, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04745-y, 2017.

Gurney, K. R., Law, R. M., Denning, A. S., Rayner, P. J., Pak, B. C., Baker, D., Bousquet, P., Bruhwiler, L., Chen, Y.-H., Ciais, P., Fung, I. Y., Heimann, M., John, J., Maki, T., Maksyutov, S., Peylin, P., Prather, M., and Taguchi, S.: Transcom 3 inversion intercomparison: Model mean results for the estimation of seasonal carbon sources and sinks, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 18, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002111, 2004.

Hall, B. D., Dutton, G. S., and Elkins, J. W.: The NOAA nitrous oxide standard scale for atmospheric observations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, 2007.

Harris, E., Yu, L., Wang, Y.-P., Mohn, J., Henne, S., Bai, E., Barthel, M., Bauters, M., Boeckx, P., Dorich, C., Farrell, M., Krummel, P. B., Loh, Z. M., Reichstein, M., Six, J., Steinbacher, M., Wells, N. S., Bahn, M., and Rayner, P.: Warming and redistribution of nitrogen inputs drive an increase in terrestrial nitrous oxide emission factor, Nat Commun, 13, 4310, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32001-z, 2022.

Houghton, R. A. and Castanho, A.: Annual emissions of carbon from land use, land-use change, and forestry from 1850 to 2020, Earth System Science Data, 15, 2025–2054, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2025-2023, 2023.

Houghton, R. A., Hobbie, J. E., Melillo, J. M., Moore, B., Peterson, B. J., Shaver, G. R., and Woodwell, G. M.: Changes in the Carbon Content of Terrestrial Biota and Soils between 1860 and 1980: A Net Release of CO'2 to the Atmosphere, Ecological Monographs, 53, 235–262, https://doi.org/10.2307/1942531, 1983.

Hu, M., Chen, D., and Dahlgren, R. A.: Modeling nitrous oxide emission from rivers: a global assessment, Global Change Biology, 22, 3566–3582, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13351, 2016.

Hu, Z., Lee, J. W., Chandran, K., Kim, S., and Khanal, S. K.: Nitrous oxide (N2O) emission from aquaculture: a review, Environmental science & technology, 46, 6470–6480, 2012.

Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L., Sahajpal, R., Frolking, S., Bodirsky, B. L., Calvin, K., Doelman, J. C., Fisk, J., Fujimori, S., Klein Goldewijk, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Heinimann, A., Humpenöder, F., Jungclaus, J., Kaplan, J. O., Kennedy, J., Krisztin, T., Lawrence, D., Lawrence, P., Ma, L., Mertz, O., Pongratz, J., Popp, A., Poulter, B., Riahi, K., Shevliakova, E., Stehfest, E., Thornton, P., Tubiello, F. N., van Vuuren, D. P., and Zhang, X.: Harmonization of global land use change and management for the period 850–2100 (LUH2) for CMIP6, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 5425–5464, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-5425-2020, 2020.

Ito, A., Nishina, K., Ishijima, K., Hashimoto, S., and Inatomi, M.: Emissions of nitrous oxide (N2O) from soil surfaces and their historical changes in East Asia: a model-based assessment, Prog Earth Planet Sci, 5, 55, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0215-4, 2018.

Jackson, R. B., Solomon, E. I., Canadell, J. G., Cargnello, M., and Field, C. B.: Methane removal and atmospheric restoration, Nature Sustainability, 2, 436–438, 2019.

Ji, Q., Buitenhuis, E., Suntharalingam, P., Sarmiento, J. L., and Ward, B. B.: Global Nitrous Oxide Production Determined by Oxygen Sensitivity of Nitrification and Denitrification, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 1790–1802, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005887, 2018.

Joos, F. and Spahni, R.: Rates of change in natural and anthropogenic radiative forcing over the past 20,000 years, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105, 1425–1430, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707386105, 2008.

Joos, F., Spahni, R., Stocker, B. D., Lienert, S., Müller, J., Fischer, H., Schmitt, J., Prentice, I. C., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Liu, Z.: N_2O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial – Part 2: terrestrial N_2O emissions and carbon–nitrogen cycle interactions, Biogeosciences, 17, 3511–3543, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3511-2020, 2020.

Keller, M. and Reiners, W. A.: Soil-atmosphere exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, and methane under secondary succession of pasture to forest in the Atlantic lowlands of Costa Rica, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 8, 399–409, https://doi.org/10.1029/94GB01660, 1994.

Kock, A. and Bange, H. W.: Counting the ocean's greenhouse gas emissions, Eos: Earth & Space Science News, 96, 10–13, 2015.

Kock, A., Schafstall, J., Dengler, M., Brandt, P., and Bange, H. W.: Sea-to-air and diapycnal nitrous oxide fluxes in the eastern tropical North Atlantic Ocean, Biogeosciences, 9, 957–964, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-957-2012, 2012.

Kohlmann, J.-P. and Poppe, D.: The Tropospheric Gas-Phase Degradation of NH3 and Its Impact on the Formation of N2O and NOx, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 32, 397–415, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006162910279, 1999.

Kou-Giesbrecht, S. and Arora, V. K.: Representing the Dynamic Response of Vegetation to Nitrogen Limitation via Biological Nitrogen Fixation in the CLASSIC Land Model, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 36, e2022GB007341, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GB007341, 2022.

Kroeze, C., Mosier, A., and Bouwman, L.: Closing the global N2O budget: A retrospective analysis 1500–1994, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 13, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GB900020, 1999.

Kuypers, M. M. M., Marchant, H. K., and Kartal, B.: The microbial nitrogen-cycling network, Nat Rev Microbiol, 16, 263–276, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9, 2018.

Lan, X., Dlugokencky, E.J., Mund, J.W., Crotwell, A.M., Crotwell, M.J., Moglia, E., Madronich, M., Neff, D., and Thoning, K.W.: Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air Sampling Network, 1997-2021, Version: 2022-11-21, https://doi.org/10.15138/53g1-x417, 2022.

Landolfi, A., Somes, C. J., Koeve, W., Zamora, L. M., and Oschlies, A.: Oceanic nitrogen cycling and N2O flux perturbations in the Anthropocene, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 31, 1236–1255, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005633, 2017.

Laruelle, G. G., Dürr, H. H., Lauerwald, R., Hartmann, J., Slomp, C. P., Goossens, N., and Regnier, P. a. G.: Global multi-scale segmentation of continental and coastal waters from the watersheds to the continental margins, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 2029–2051, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2029-2013, 2013.

Lauerwald, R., Regnier, P., Figueiredo, V., Enrich-Prast, A., Bastviken, D., Lehner, B., Maavara, T., and Raymond, P.: Natural Lakes Are a Minor Global Source of N2O to the Atmosphere, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 1564–1581, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006261, 2019.

Li, L., Zheng, Z., Wang, W., Biederman, J. A., Xu, X., Ran, Q., Qian, R., Xu, C., Zhang, B., Wang, F., Zhou, S., Cui, L., Che, R., Hao, Y., Cui, X., Xu, Z., and Wang, Y.: Terrestrial N2O emissions and related functional genes under climate change: A global meta-analysis, Global Change Biology, 26, 931–943, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14847, 2020.

Li, W., Ciais, P., Wang, Y., Peng, S., Broquet, G., Ballantyne, A. P., Canadell, J. G., Cooper, L., Friedlingstein, P., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R. B., Peters, G. P., Piao, S., and Pongratz, J.: Reducing uncertainties in decadal variability of the global carbon budget with multiple datasets, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113, 13104–13108, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603956113, 2016.

Li, Y., Tian, H., Yao, Y., Shi, H., Bian, Z., Shi, Y., Wang, S., Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., and Pan, S.: Increased nitrous oxide emissions from global lakes and reservoirs since the pre-industrial era, Nat Commun, 15, 942, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45061-0, 2024.

Lienert, S. and Joos, F.: A Bayesian ensemble data assimilation to constrain model parameters and land-use carbon emissions, Biogeosciences, 15, 2909–2930, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2909-2018, 2018.

Lu, C., Yu, Z., Zhang, J., Cao, P., Tian, H., and Nevison, C.: Century-long changes and drivers of soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions across the contiguous United States, Global Change Biology, 28, 2505–2524, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16061, 2022.

Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Akbarzadeh, Z., Bouskill, N. J., Van Cappellen, P., and Regnier, P.: Nitrous oxide emissions from inland waters: Are IPCC estimates too high?, Global Change Biology, 25, 473–488, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14504, 2019.

MacFarling Meure, C., Etheridge, D., Trudinger, C., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., van Ommen, T., Smith, A., and Elkins, J.: Law Dome CO2, CH4 and N2O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026152, 2006.

MacLeod, M., Hasan, M. R., Robb, D. H., and Mamun-Ur-Rashid, M.: Quantifying and mitigating greenhouse gas emissions from global aquaculture, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2019.

Majeed, M. Z., Miambi, E., Robert, A., Bernoux, M., and Brauman, A.: Xylophagous termites: A potential sink for atmospheric nitrous oxide, European Journal of Soil Biology, 53, 121–125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.10.002, 2012.

Martinez-Rey, J., Bopp, L., Gehlen, M., Tagliabue, A., and Gruber, N.: Projections of oceanic N_2O emissions in the 21st century using the IPSL Earth system model, Biogeosciences, 12, 4133–4148, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4133-2015, 2015.

Marushchak, M. E., Pitkämäki, A., Koponen, H., Biasi, C., Seppälä, M., and Martikainen, P. J.: Hot spots for nitrous oxide emissions found in different types of permafrost peatlands, Global Change Biology, 17, 2601–2614, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02442.x, 2011.

Marushchak, M. E., Kerttula, J., Diáková, K., Faguet, A., Gil, J., Grosse, G., Knoblauch, C., Lashchinskiy, N., Martikainen, P. J., Morgenstern, A., Nykamb, M., Ronkainen, J. G., Siljanen, H. M. P., van Delden, L., Voigt, C., Zimov, N., Zimov, S., and Biasi, C.: Thawing Yedoma permafrost is a neglected nitrous oxide source, Nat Commun, 12, 7107, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27386-2, 2021.

Marzadri, A., Amatulli, G., Tonina, D., Bellin, A., Shen, L. Q., Allen, G. H., and Raymond, P. A.: Global riverine nitrous oxide emissions: The role of small streams and large rivers, Science of The Total Environment, 776, 145148, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145148, 2021.

Marzadri, A., Bellin, A., Tank, J. L., and Tonina, D.: Predicting nitrous oxide emissions through riverine networks, Science of The Total Environment, 843, 156844, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156844, 2022.

Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., and Gomis, M. I.: Climate change 2021: the physical science basis, Contribution of working group I to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 2, 2021.

McGuire, A. D., Sitch, S., Clein, J. S., Dargaville, R., Esser, G., Foley, J., Heimann, M., Joos, F., Kaplan, J., Kicklighter, D. W., Meier, R. A., Melillo, J. M., Moore III, B., Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., Reichenau, T., Schloss, A., Tian, H., Williams, L. J., and Wittenberg, U.: Carbon balance of the terrestrial biosphere in the Twentieth Century: Analyses of CO2, climate and land use effects with four process-based ecosystem models, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 183–206, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001298, 2001.

Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J.-F., Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S. A., Raper, S. C. B., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Velders, G. J. M., and van Vuuren, D. P. P.: The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109, 213, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z, 2011.

Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Lewis, J., Gidden, M. J., Vogel, E., Freund, M., Beyerle, U., Gessner, C., Nauels, A., Bauer, N., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., John, A., Krummel, P. B., Luderer, G., Meinshausen, N., Montzka, S. A., Rayner, P. J., Reimann, S., Smith, S. J., van den Berg, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., and Wang, R. H. J.: The shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 3571–3605, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020, 2020.

Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., Feigl, B. J., Neill, C., Garcia, D., Piccolo, M. C., Cerri, C. C., and Tian, H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from forests and pastures of various ages in the Brazilian Amazon, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 34179–34188, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000036, 2001.

Melton, J. R., Arora, V. K., Wisernig-Cojoc, E., Seiler, C., Fortier, M., Chan, E., and Teckentrup, L.: CLASSIC v1.0: the open-source community successor to the Canadian Land Surface Scheme (CLASS) and the Canadian

Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) – Part 1: Model framework and site-level performance, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 2825–2850, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2825-2020, 2020.

Meter, K. J. V, Basu, N. B., Veenstra, J. J., and Burras, C. L.: The nitrogen legacy: emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 035014, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/035014, 2016.

Meurer, K. H. E., Franko, U., Stange, C. F., Rosa, J. D., Madari, B. E., and Jungkunst, H. F.: Direct nitrous oxide (N2O) fluxes from soils under different land use in Brazil—a critical review, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 023001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/2/023001, 2016.

Miambi, E., Jusselme, T. M. D., Châtelliers, C. C. des, Robert, A., Delort, A., and Le Roux, X.: Potential gross and net N2O production by the gut of different termite species are related to the abundance of nitrifier and denitrifier groups, Frontiers in Microbiomes, 1, 2022.

Minschwaner, K., Carver, R. W., Briegleb, B. P., and Roche, A. E.: Infrared radiative forcing and atmospheric lifetimes of trace species based on observations from UARS, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103, 23243–23253, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02116, 1998.

Moser, G., Gorenflo, A., Brenzinger, K., Keidel, L., Braker, G., Marhan, S., Clough, T. J., and Müller, C.: Explaining the doubling of N2O emissions under elevated CO2 in the Giessen FACE via in-field 15N tracing, Global Change Biology, 24, 3897–3910, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14136, 2018.

Murray, R., Erler, D. V., Rosentreter, J., Wells, N. S., and Eyre, B. D.: Seasonal and spatial controls on N2O concentrations and emissions in low-nitrogen estuaries: Evidence from three tropical systems, Marine Chemistry, 221, 103779, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103779, 2020.

Myhre, G., Samset, B. H., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T. K., Bian, H., Bellouin, N., Chin, M., Diehl, T., Easter, R. C., Feichter, J., Ghan, S. J., Hauglustaine, D., Iversen, T., Kinne, S., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Lin, G., Liu, X., Lund, M. T., Luo, G., Ma, X., van Noije, T., Penner, J. E., Rasch, P. J., Ruiz, A., Seland, Ø., Skeie, R. B., Stier, P., Takemura, T., Tsigaridis, K., Wang, P., Wang, Z., Xu, L., Yu, H., Yu, F., Yoon, J.-H., Zhang, K., Zhang, H., and Zhou, C.: Radiative forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II simulations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 1853–1877, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-1853-2013, 2013.

Nault, B. A., Laughner, J. L., Wooldridge, P. J., Crounse, J. D., Dibb, J., Diskin, G., Peischl, J., Podolske, J. R., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Scheuer, E., Wennberg, P. O., and Cohen, R. C.: Lightning NOx Emissions: Reconciling Measured and Modeled Estimates With Updated NOx Chemistry, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 9479–9488, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074436, 2017.

Nevison, C., Andrews, A., Thoning, K., Dlugokencky, E., Sweeney, C., Miller, S., Saikawa, E., Benmergui, J., Fischer, M., Mountain, M., and Nehrkorn, T.: Nitrous Oxide Emissions Estimated With the CarbonTracker-Lagrange North American Regional Inversion Framework, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 463–485, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005759, 2018.

Nevison, C. D., Solomon, S., and Gao, R. S.: Buffering interactions in the modeled response of stratospheric O3 to increased NOx and HOx, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 3741–3754, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD100018, 1999.

Oberländer-Hayn, S., Gerber, E. P., Abalichin, J., Akiyoshi, H., Kerschbaumer, A., Kubin, A., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Meul, S., Michou, M., Morgenstern, O., and Oman, L. D.: Is the Brewer-Dobson circulation increasing or moving upward?, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 1772–1779, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067545, 2016.

Oreggioni, G. D., Monforti Ferraio, F., Crippa, M., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Guizzardi, D., Solazzo, E., Duerr, M., Perry, M., and Vignati, E.: Climate change in a changing world: Socio-economic and technological transitions, regulatory frameworks and trends on global greenhouse gas emissions from EDGAR v.5.0, Global Environmental Change, 70, 102350, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102350, 2021.

Ostle, N. J., Smith, P., Fisher, R., Ian Woodward, F., Fisher, J. B., Smith, J. U., Galbraith, D., Levy, P., Meir, P., McNamara, N. P., and Bardgett, R. D.: Integrating plant–soil interactions into global carbon cycle models, Journal of Ecology, 97, 851–863, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01547.x, 2009.

Pan, B., Lam, S. K., Wang, E., Mosier, A., and Chen, D.: New approach for predicting nitrification and its fraction of N2O emissions in global terrestrial ecosystems, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 034053, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe4f5, 2021.

Pärn, J., Verhoeven, J. T. A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dise, N. B., Ullah, S., Aasa, A., Egorov, S., Espenberg, M., Järveoja, J., Jauhiainen, J., Kasak, K., Klemedtsson, L., Kull, A., Laggoun-Défarge, F., Lapshina, E. D., Lohila, A., Lõhmus, K., Maddison, M., Mitsch, W. J., Müller, C., Niinemets, Ü., Osborne, B., Pae, T., Salm, J.-O.,

Sgouridis, F., Sohar, K., Soosaar, K., Storey, K., Teemusk, A., Tenywa, M. M., Tournebize, J., Truu, J., Veber, G., Villa, J. A., Zaw, S. S., and Mander, Ü.: Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emission hotspots, Nat Commun, 9, 1135, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03540-1, 2018.

Patra, P. K., Takigawa, M., Watanabe, S., Chandra, N., Ishijima, K., and Yamashita, Y.: Improved chemical tracer simulation by MIROC4. 0-based atmospheric chemistry-transport model (MIROC4-ACTM), Sola, 14, 91–96, 2018.

Patra, P. K., Dlugokencky, E. J., Elkins, J. W., Dutton, G. S., Tohjima, Y., Sasakawa, M., Ito, A., Weiss, R. F., Manizza, M., and Krummel, P. B.: Forward and inverse modelling of atmospheric nitrous oxide using MIROC4-atmospheric chemistry-transport model, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 100, 361–386, 2022.

Phillips, R. L., Whalen, S. C., and Schlesinger, W. H.: Influence of atmospheric CO2 enrichment on nitrous oxide flux in a temperate forest ecosystem, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 741–752, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001372, 2001.

Poulter, B., Bastos, A., Canadell, J., Ciais, P., Gruber, N., Hauck, J., Jackson, R., Ishii, M., Müller, J. D., and Patra, P.: Inventorying Earth's land and ocean greenhouse gases, Eos, 2022.

Prather, M. J., Holmes, C. D., and Hsu, J.: Reactive greenhouse gas scenarios: Systematic exploration of uncertainties and the role of atmospheric chemistry, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051440, 2012.

Prather, M. J., Hsu, J., DeLuca, N. M., Jackman, C. H., Oman, L. D., Douglass, A. R., Fleming, E. L., Strahan, S. E., Steenrod, S. D., Søvde, O. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Froidevaux, L., and Funke, B.: Measuring and modeling the lifetime of nitrous oxide including its variability, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120, 5693–5705, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023267, 2015.

Prather, M. J., Froidevaux, L., and Livesey, N. J.: Observed changes in stratospheric circulation: decreasing lifetime of N_2O, 2005–2021, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 843–849, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-843-2023, 2023.

Prinn, R., Cunnold, D., Rasmussen, R., Simmonds, P., Alyea, F., Crawford, A., Fraser, P., and Rosen, R.: Atmospheric emissions and trends of nitrous oxide deduced from 10 years of ALE–GAGE data, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 95, 18369–18385, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD095iD11p18369, 1990.

Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Arduini, J., Arnold, T., DeWitt, H. L., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Gasore, J., Harth, C. M., Hermansen, O., Kim, J., Krummel, P. B., Li, S., Loh, Z. M., Lunder, C. R., Maione, M., Manning, A. J., Miller, B. R., Mitrevski, B., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park, S., Reimann, S., Rigby, M., Saito, T., Salameh, P. K., Schmidt, R., Simmonds, P. G., Steele, L. P., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H., Yao, B., Yokouchi, Y., Young, D., and Zhou, L.: History of chemically and radiatively important atmospheric gases from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE), Earth System Science Data, 10, 985–1018, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-985-2018, 2018.

Prosperi, P., Bloise, M., Tubiello, F. N., Conchedda, G., Rossi, S., Boschetti, L., Salvatore, M., and Bernoux, M.: New estimates of greenhouse gas emissions from biomass burning and peat fires using MODIS Collection 6 burned areas, Climatic Change, 161, 415–432, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02654-0, 2020.

Raats, P. A. C.: Uptake of water from soils by plant roots, Transp Porous Med, 68, 5–28, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-006-9055-6, 2007.

Raji, S. G. and Dörsch, P.: Effect of legume intercropping on N_2O emissions and CH_4 uptake during maize production in the Great Rift Valley, Ethiopia, Biogeosciences, 17, 345–359, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-345-2020, 2020.

Raoult, N., Delorme, B., Ottlé, C., Peylin, P., Bastrikov, V., Maugis, P., and Polcher, J.: Confronting Soil Moisture Dynamics from the ORCHIDEE Land Surface Model With the ESA-CCI Product: Perspectives for Data Assimilation, Remote Sensing, 10, 1786, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111786, 2018.

Ravishankara, A. R., Daniel, J. S., and Portmann, R. W.: Nitrous Oxide (N_2O): The Dominant Ozone-Depleting Substance Emitted in the 21st Century, Science, 326, 123–125, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1176985, 2009.

Reay, D. S., Davidson, E. A., Smith, K. A., Smith, P., Melillo, J. M., Dentener, F., and Crutzen, P. J.: Global agriculture and nitrous oxide emissions, Nature Clim Change, 2, 410–416, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1458, 2012.

Regan, K., Kammann, C., Hartung, K., Lenhart, K., Müller, C., Philippot, L., Kandeler, E., and Marhan, S.: Can differences in microbial abundances help explain enhanced N2O emissions in a permanent grassland under

elevated atmospheric CO2?, Global Change Biology, 17, 3176–3186, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02470.x, 2011.

Repo, M. E., Susiluoto, S., Lind, S. E., Jokinen, S., Elsakov, V., Biasi, C., Virtanen, T., and Martikainen, P. J.: Large N2O emissions from cryoturbated peat soil in tundra, Nature Geosci, 2, 189–192, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo434, 2009.

Resplandy, L., Hogikyan, A., Bange, H. W., Bianchi, D., Weber, T. S., Cai, W.-J., Doney, S. C., Fennel, K., Gehlen, M., and Hauck, J.: A Synthesis of Global Coastal Ocean Greenhouse Gas Fluxes, Authorea Preprints, 2023.

Rogelj, J. and Lamboll, R. D.: Substantial reductions in non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions reductions implied by IPCC estimates of the remaining carbon budget, Commun Earth Environ, 5, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01168-8, 2024.

Rogelj, J., den Elzen, M., Höhne, N., Fransen, T., Fekete, H., Winkler, H., Schaeffer, R., Sha, F., Riahi, K., and Meinshausen, M.: Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below 2 °C, Nature, 534, 631–639, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18307, 2016.

Rosentreter, J. A., Laruelle, G. G., Bange, H. W., Bianchi, T. S., Busecke, J. J. M., Cai, W.-J., Eyre, B. D., Forbrich, I., Kwon, E. Y., Maavara, T., Moosdorf, N., Najjar, R. G., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Van Dam, B., and Regnier, P.: Coastal vegetation and estuaries are collectively a greenhouse gas sink, Nat. Clim. Chang., 13, 579–587, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01682-9, 2023.

Saha, D., Basso, B., and Robertson, G. P.: Machine learning improves predictions of agricultural nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions from intensively managed cropping systems, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 024004, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd2f3, 2021.

Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S.,

Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017, Earth System Science Data, 12, 1561–1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020, 2020.

Scheer, C., Pelster, D. E., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Editorial Overview: Climate change, reactive nitrogen, food security and sustainable agriculture-the case of N2O, Elsevier, 2020.

Schilt, A., Baumgartner, M., Schwander, J., Buiron, D., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Loulergue, L., Schüpbach, S., Spahni, R., Fischer, H., and Stocker, T. F.: Atmospheric nitrous oxide during the last 140,000years, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 300, 33–43, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.09.027, 2010.

Schlesinger, W. H.: An estimate of the global sink for nitrous oxide in soils, Global Change Biology, 19, 2929–2931, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12239, 2013.

Schumann, U. and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 3823–3907, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007, 2007.

Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Decharme, B., Delire, C., Berthet, S., Chevallier, M., Sénési, S., Franchisteguy, L., Vial, J., Mallet, M., Joetzjer, E., Geoffroy, O., Guérémy, J.-F., Moine, M.-P., Msadek, R., Ribes, A., Rocher, M., Roehrig, R., Salas-y-Mélia, D., Sanchez, E., Terray, L., Valcke, S., Waldman, R., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Deshayes, J., Éthé, C., and Madec, G.: Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2-1: Role of Earth System Processes in Present-Day and Future Climate, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 4182–4227, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001791, 2019.

Séférian, R., Berthet, S., Yool, A., Palmiéri, J., Bopp, L., Tagliabue, A., Kwiatkowski, L., Aumont, O., Christian, J., Dunne, J., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., John, J. G., Li, H., Long, M. C., Luo, J. Y., Nakano, H., Romanou, A., Schwinger, J., Stock, C., Santana-Falcón, Y., Takano, Y., Tjiputra, J., Tsujino, H., Watanabe, M., Wu, T., Wu, F., and Yamamoto, A.: Tracking Improvement in Simulated Marine Biogeochemistry Between CMIP5 and CMIP6, Curr Clim Change Rep, 6, 95–119, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-020-00160-0, 2020.

Shang, Z., Zhou, F., Smith, P., Saikawa, E., Ciais, P., Chang, J., Tian, H., Del Grosso, S. J., Ito, A., Chen, M., Wang, Q., Bo, Y., Cui, X., Castaldi, S., Juszczak, R., Kasimir, Å., Magliulo, V., Medinets, S., Medinets, V., Rees,

R. M., Wohlfahrt, G., and Sabbatini, S.: Weakened growth of cropland-N2O emissions in China associated with nationwide policy interventions, Global Change Biology, 25, 3706–3719, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14741, 2019.

Shcherbak, I., Millar, N., and Robertson, G. P.: Global metaanalysis of the nonlinear response of soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions to fertilizer nitrogen, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 9199–9204, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1322434111, 2014.

Shu, S., Jain, A. K., Koven, C. D., and Mishra, U.: Estimation of Permafrost SOC Stock and Turnover Time Using a Land Surface Model With Vertical Heterogeneity of Permafrost Soils, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2020GB006585, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006585, 2020.

Smith, K.: The potential for feedback effects induced by global warming on emissions of nitrous oxide by soils, Global Change Biology, 3, 327–338, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1997.00100.x, 1997.

Solazzo, E., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Choulga, M., and Janssens-Maenhout, G.: Uncertainties in the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) emission inventory of greenhouse gases, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 5655–5683, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-5655-2021, 2021.

Stavert, A. R., Saunois, M., Canadell, J. G., Poulter, B., Jackson, R. B., Regnier, P., Lauerwald, R., Raymond, P. A., Allen, G. H., Patra, P. K., Bergamaschi, P., Bousquet, P., Chandra, N., Ciais, P., Gustafson, A., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Kleinen, T., Maksyutov, S., McNorton, J., Melton, J. R., Müller, J., Niwa, Y., Peng, S., Riley, W. J., Segers, A., Tian, H., Tsuruta, A., Yin, Y., Zhang, Z., Zheng, B., and Zhuang, Q.: Regional trends and drivers of the global methane budget, Global Change Biology, 28, 182–200, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15901, 2022.

Stell, A. C., Bertolacci, M., Zammit-Mangion, A., Rigby, M., Fraser, P. J., Harth, C. M., Krummel, P. B., Lan, X., Manizza, M., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Young, D., and Ganesan, A. L.: Modelling the growth of atmospheric nitrous oxide using a global hierarchical inversion, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 12945–12960, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-12945-2022, 2022.

Sullivan, B. W., Nifong, R. L., Nasto, M. K., Alvarez-Clare, S., Dencker, C. M., Soper, F. M., Shoemaker, K. T., Ishida, F. Y., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Davidson, E. A., and Cleveland, C. C.: Biogeochemical recuperation of lowland tropical forest during succession, Ecology, 100, e02641, https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2641, 2019.

Suntharalingam, P., Buitenhuis, E., Le Quéré, C., Dentener, F., Nevison, C., Butler, J. H., Bange, H. W., and Forster, G.: Quantifying the impact of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on oceanic nitrous oxide, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050778, 2012.

Syakila, A. and Kroeze, C.: The global nitrous oxide budget revisited, Greenhouse Gas Measurement and Management, 1, 17–26, https://doi.org/10.3763/ghgmm.2010.0007, 2011.

Syakila, A., Kroeze, C., and Slomp, C. P.: Neglecting sinks for N2O at the earth's surface: does it matter?, Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences, 7, 79–87, https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2010.497492, 2010.

Tarantola, A.: Inverse problem theory and methods for model parameter estimation, SIAM, 2005.

Thompson, R. L., Chevallier, F., Crotwell, A. M., Dutton, G., Langenfelds, R. L., Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Tohjima, Y., Nakazawa, T., Krummel, P. B., Steele, L. P., Fraser, P., O'Doherty, S., Ishijima, K., and Aoki, S.: Nitrous oxide emissions 1999 to 2009 from a global atmospheric inversion, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 1801–1817, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1801-2014, 2014.

Thompson, R. L., Lassaletta, L., Patra, P. K., Wilson, C., Wells, K. C., Gressent, A., Koffi, E. N., Chipperfield, M. P., Winiwarter, W., Davidson, E. A., Tian, H., and Canadell, J. G.: Acceleration of global N2O emissions seen from two decades of atmospheric inversion, Nat. Clim. Chang., 9, 993–998, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0613-7, 2019.

Thoning, K. W., Tans, P. P., and Komhyr, W. D.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide at Mauna Loa Observatory: 2. Analysis of the NOAA GMCC data, 1974–1985, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 94, 8549–8565, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD06p08549, 1989.

Tian, H., C. Lu, P. Ciais, A. M. Michalak, J. G. Canadell, E. Saikawa, D. N. Huntzinger, K. R. Gurney, S. Sitch, B. Zhang, J. Yang, P. Bousquet, L. Bruhwiler, G. Chen, E. Dlugokencky, P. Friedlingstein, J. Melillo, S. Pan, B. Poulter, R. Prinn, M. Saunois, C. R. Schwalm, and S. C. Wofsy.: The terrestrial biosphere as a net source of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. *Nature*, *531*(7593), 225-228, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16946.

Tian, H., Yang, Q., Najjar, R. G., Ren, W., Friedrichs, M. A., Hopkinson, C. S., and Pan, S.: Anthropogenic and climatic influences on carbon fluxes from eastern North America to the Atlantic Ocean: A process-based modeling study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 120, 757–772, 2015.

Tian, H., Yang, J., Lu, C., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Arneth, A., Chang, J., Chen, G., Ciais, P., Gerber, S., Ito, A., Huang, Y., Joos, F., Lienert, S., Messina, P., Olin, S., Pan, S., Peng, C., Saikawa, E., Thompson, R. L., Vuichard, N., Winiwarter, W., Zaehle, S., Zhang, B., Zhang, K., and Zhu, Q.: The Global N2O Model Intercomparison Project, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 99, 1231–1251, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0212.1, 2018.

Tian, H., Yang, J., Xu, R., Lu, C., Canadell, J. G., Davidson, E. A., Jackson, R. B., Arneth, A., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Gerber, S., Ito, A., Joos, F., Lienert, S., Messina, P., Olin, S., Pan, S., Peng, C., Saikawa, E., Thompson, R. L., Vuichard, N., Winiwarter, W., Zaehle, S., and Zhang, B.: Global soil nitrous oxide emissions since the preindustrial era estimated by an ensemble of terrestrial biosphere models: Magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty, Global Change Biology, 25, 640–659, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14514, 2019.

Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis, E. T., Chang, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dangal, S. R. S., Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B., Hall, B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R. G., Raymond, P. A., Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., and Yao, Y.: A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks, Nature, 586, 248–256, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0, 2020.

Tian, H., Bian, Z., Shi, H., Qin, X., Pan, N., Lu, C., Pan, S., Tubiello, F. N., Chang, J., and Conchedda, G.: History of anthropogenic Nitrogen inputs (HaNi) to the terrestrial biosphere: a 5 arcmin resolution annual dataset from 1860 to 2019, *Earth System Science Data*, *14*(10), 4551-4568, 2022.

Tian, H., 2023. Data supplement to: Tian et al, 2023. Global N_2O Budget 1980-2020. ESSD. https://doi.org/10.18160/RQ8P-2Z4R.

Tubiello, F. N., Salvatore, M., Rossi, S., Ferrara, A., Fitton, N., and Smith, P.: The FAOSTAT database of greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 015009, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/015009, 2013.

Tubiello, F. N., Salvatore, M., Ferrara, A. F., House, J., Federici, S., Rossi, S., Biancalani, R., Condor Golec, R. D., Jacobs, H., Flammini, A., Prosperi, P., Cardenas-Galindo, P., Schmidhuber, J., Sanz Sanchez, M. J., Srivastava, N., and Smith, P.: The Contribution of Agriculture, Forestry and other Land Use activities to Global Warming, 1990–2012, Global Change Biology, 21, 2655–2660, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12865, 2015.

Tubiello, F. N., Rosenzweig, C., Conchedda, G., Karl, K., Gütschow, J., Xueyao, P., Obli-Laryea, G., Wanner, N., Qiu, S. Y., Barros, J. D., Flammini, A., Mencos-Contreras, E., Souza, L., Quadrelli, R., Heiðarsdóttir, H. H., Benoit, P., Hayek, M., and Sandalow, D.: Greenhouse gas emissions from food systems: building the evidence base, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 065007, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac018e, 2021.

Tubiello, F. N., Karl, K., Flammini, A., Gütschow, J., Obli-Laryea, G., Conchedda, G., Pan, X., Qi, S. Y., Halldórudóttir Heiðarsdóttir, H., Wanner, N., Quadrelli, R., Rocha Souza, L., Benoit, P., Hayek, M., Sandalow, D., Mencos Contreras, E., Rosenzweig, C., Rosero Moncayo, J., Conforti, P., and Torero, M.: Pre- and post-production processes increasingly dominate greenhouse gas emissions from agri-food systems, Earth System Science Data, 14, 1795–1809, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-1795-2022, 2022.

UNEP 2013. Drawing Down N2O to Protect Climate and the Ozone Layer. A UNEP Synthesis Report. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi, Kenya. http://www.unep.org/publications/ebooks/UNEPN2Oreport/

United Nations: The Sustainable Development Goals 2016, eSocialSciences, 2016.

Usyskin-Tonne, A., Hadar, Y., Yermiyahu, U., and Minz, D.: Elevated CO2 has a significant impact on denitrifying bacterial community in wheat roots, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 142, 107697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107697, 2020.

Van Meter, K. J., Basu, N. B., Veenstra, J. J. & Burras, C. L: The nitrogen legacy: emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes. Environmental Research Letters 11, 035014, 2016.

Verchot, L. V., Davidson, E. A., Cattânio, H., Ackerman, I. L., Erickson, H. E., and Keller, M.: Land use change and biogeochemical controls of nitrogen oxide emissions from soils in eastern Amazonia, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 13, 31–46, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GB900019, 1999.

Voigt, C., Marushchak, M. E., Lamprecht, R. E., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Lindgren, A., Mastepanov, M., Granlund, L., Christensen, T. R., Tahvanainen, T., Martikainen, P. J., and Biasi, C.: Increased nitrous oxide

emissions from Arctic peatlands after permafrost thaw, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 6238–6243, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702902114, 2017.

Vuichard, N., Messina, P., Luyssaert, S., Guenet, B., Zaehle, S., Ghattas, J., Bastrikov, V., & Peylin, P.: Accounting for carbon and nitrogen interactions in the global terrestrial ecosystem model ORCHIDEE (trunk version, rev 4999): multi-scale evaluation of gross primary production. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *12*(11), 4751–4779. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4751-2019, 2019.

Wagner-Riddle, C., Congreves, K. A., Abalos, D., Berg, A. A., Brown, S. E., Ambadan, J. T., Gao, X., and Tenuta, M.: Globally important nitrous oxide emissions from croplands induced by freeze–thaw cycles, Nature Geosci, 10, 279–283, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2907, 2017.

Wang, C., Lai, D. Y. F., Sardans, J., Wang, W., Zeng, C., and Peñuelas, J.: Factors Related with CH4 and N2O Emissions from a Paddy Field: Clues for Management implications, PLOS ONE, 12, e0169254, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169254, 2017.

Wang, Q., Zhou, F., Shang, Z., Ciais, P., Winiwarter, W., Jackson, R. B., Tubiello, F. N., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tian, H., Cui, X., Canadell, J. G., Piao, S., and Tao, S.: Data-driven estimates of global nitrous oxide emissions from croplands, National Science Review, 7, 441–452, https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwz087, 2020.

Wells, K. C., Millet, D. B., Bousserez, N., Henze, D. K., Griffis, T. J., Chaliyakunnel, S., Dlugokencky, E. J., Saikawa, E., Xiang, G., Prinn, R. G., O'Doherty, S., Young, D., Weiss, R. F., Dutton, G. S., Elkins, J. W., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R., and Steele, L. P.: Top-down constraints on global N_2O emissions at optimal resolution: application of a new dimension reduction technique, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 735–756, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-735-2018, 2018.

Wennberg, P. O., Cohen, R. C., Stimpfle, R. M., Koplow, J. P., Anderson, J. G., Salawitch, R. J., Fahey, D. W., Woodbridge, E. L., Keim, E. R., Gao, R. S., Webster, C. R., May, R. D., Toohey, D. W., Avallone, L. M., Proffitt, M. H., Loewenstein, M., Podolske, J. R., Chan, K. R., and Wofsy, S. C.: Removal of Stratospheric O_3 by Radicals: In Situ Measurements of OH, HO_2, NO, NO_2, ClO, and BrO, Science, 266, 398–404, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.266.5184.398, 1994.

van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M., Mu, M., van Marle, M. J. E., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions

estimates during 1997–2016, Earth System Science Data, 9, 697–720, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-697-2017, 2017.

Williams, J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Nitrous oxide from aquaculture, Nature Geosci, 3, 143–143, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo804, 2010.

Wilson, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Gloor, M., and Chevallier, F.: Development of a variational flux inversion system (INVICAT v1.0) using the TOMCAT chemical transport model, Geoscientific Model Development, 7, 2485–2500, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2485-2014, 2014.

Wilson, S. T., Al-Haj, A. N., Bourbonnais, A., Frey, C., Fulweiler, R. W., Kessler, J. D., Marchant, H. K., Milucka, J., Ray, N. E., Suntharalingam, P., Thornton, B. F., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Weber, T. S., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Bange, H. W., Benway, H. M., Bianchi, D., Borges, A. V., Chang, B. X., Crill, P. M., del Valle, D. A., Farías, L., Joye, S. B., Kock, A., Labidi, J., Manning, C. C., Pohlman, J. W., Rehder, G., Sparrow, K. J., Tortell, P. D., Treude, T., Valentine, D. L., Ward, B. B., Yang, S., and Yurganov, L. N.: Ideas and perspectives: A strategic assessment of methane and nitrous oxide measurements in the marine environment, Biogeosciences, 17, 5809–5828, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-5809-2020, 2020.

Winiwarter, W., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Klimont, Z., Schöpp, W., and Amann, M.: Technical opportunities to reduce global anthropogenic emissions of nitrous oxide, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 014011, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa9ec9, 2018.

World Meteorological Organization. Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022 GAW Report No. 278, 2022.

Xu, R., Tian, H., Lu, C., Pan, S., Chen, J., Yang, J., and Zhang, B.: Preindustrial nitrous oxide emissions from the land biosphere estimated by using a global biogeochemistry model, Climate of the Past, 13, 977–990, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-13-977-2017, 2017.

Xu, R., Tian, H., Pan, S., Prior, S. A., Feng, Y., and Dangal, S. R. S.: Global N2O Emissions from Cropland Driven by Nitrogen Addition and Environmental Factors: Comparison and Uncertainty Analysis, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2020GB006698, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006698, 2020.

Xu, R., Tian, H., Pan, N., Thompson, R. L., Canadell, J. G., Davidson, E. A., Nevison, C., Winiwarter, W., Shi, H., Pan, S., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Dangal, S. R. S., Ito, A., Jackson, R. B., Joos, F., Lauerwald, R., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., Millet, D. B., Raymond, P. A., Regnier, P., Tubiello, F. N., Vuichard, N., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C.,

Yang, J., Yao, Y., Zaehle, S., and Zhou, F.: Magnitude and Uncertainty of Nitrous Oxide Emissions From North America Based on Bottom-Up and Top-Down Approaches: Informing Future Research and National Inventories, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, e2021GL095264, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095264, 2021a.

Xu, X., Sharma, P., Shu, S., Lin, T.-S., Ciais, P., Tubiello, F. N., Smith, P., Campbell, N., and Jain, A. K.: Global greenhouse gas emissions from animal-based foods are twice those of plant-based foods, Nat Food, 2, 724–732, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00358-x, 2021b.

Yang, S., Chang, B. X., Warner, M. J., Weber, T. S., Bourbonnais, A. M., Santoro, A. E., Kock, A., Sonnerup, R. E., Bullister, J. L., Wilson, S. T., and Bianchi, D.: Global reconstruction reduces the uncertainty of oceanic nitrous oxide emissions and reveals a vigorous seasonal cycle, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117, 11954–11960, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921914117, 2020.

Yao, Y., Tian, H., Shi, H., Pan, S., Xu, R., Pan, N., and Canadell, J. G.: Increased global nitrous oxide emissions from streams and rivers in the Anthropocene, Nature Climate Change, 10, 138–142, 2020.

Yin, Y., Wang, Z., Tian, X., Wang, Y., Cong, J., and Cui, Z.: Evaluation of variation in background nitrous oxide emissions: A new global synthesis integrating the impacts of climate, soil, and management conditions, Global Change Biology, 28, 480–492, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15860, 2022.

You, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Shi, H., Bian, Z., Gurgel, A., Huang, Y., Kicklighter, D., Liang, X.-Z., Lu, C., Melillo, J., Miao, R., Pan, N., Reilly, J., Ren, W., Xu, R., Yang, J., Yu, Q., and Zhang, J.: Incorporating dynamic crop growth processes and management practices into a terrestrial biosphere model for simulating crop production in the United States: Toward a unified modeling framework, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 325, 109144, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109144, 2022.

Yu, H., Wang, T., Huang, Q., Song, K., Zhang, G., Ma, J., and Xu, H.: Effects of elevated CO2 concentration on CH4 and N2O emissions from paddy fields: A meta-analysis, Sci. China Earth Sci., 65, 96–106, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-021-9848-2, 2022. de Klein et al. 2006

Yu, Z., Lu, C., Hennessy, D. A., Feng, H., and Tian, H.: Impacts of tillage practices on soil carbon stocks in the US corn-soybean cropping system during 1998 to 2016, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 014008, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab6393, 2020.

Zaehle, S., Ciais, P., Friend, A. D., and Prieur, V.: Carbon benefits of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen offset by nitrous oxide emissions, Nature Geosci, 4, 601–605, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1207, 2011.

Zamora, L. M. and Oschlies, A.: Surface nitrification: A major uncertainty in marine N2O emissions, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 4247–4253, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060556, 2014.

Zhou, F., Shang, Z., Zeng, Z., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Raymond, P. A., Wang, X., Wang, R., Chen, M., Yang, C., Tao, S., Zhao, Y., Meng, Q., Gao, S., and Mao, Q.: New model for capturing the variations of fertilizer-induced emission factors of N2O, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 885–897, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005046, 2015.

Zhu, Q., Riley, W. J., Tang, J., Collier, N., Hoffman, F. M., Yang, X., and Bisht, G.: Representing Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Carbon Interactions in the E3SM Land Model: Development and Global Benchmarking, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 2238–2258, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001571, 2019.