## Global Nitrous Oxide Budget 1980-2020

5 10	Hanqin Tian <sup>1,2*</sup> , Naiqing Pan <sup>1</sup> , Rona L. Thompson <sup>3</sup> , Josep G. Canadell <sup>4</sup> , Parvadha Suntharalingam <sup>5</sup> , Pierre Regnier <sup>6</sup> , Eric A. Davidson <sup>7</sup> , Michael Prather <sup>8</sup> , Philippe Ciais <sup>9</sup> , Marilena Muntean <sup>10</sup> , Shufen Pan <sup>11,1</sup> , Wilfried Winiwarter <sup>12, 13</sup> , Sönke Zaehle <sup>14</sup> , Feng Zhou <sup>15</sup> , Robert B. Jackson <sup>16</sup> , Hermann W. Bange <sup>17</sup> , Sarah Berthet <sup>18</sup> , Zihao Bian <sup>19</sup> , Daniele Bianchi <sup>20</sup> , Alexander F. Bouwman <sup>21</sup> , Erik T. Buitenhuis <sup>5</sup> , Geoffrey Dutton <sup>22</sup> , Minpeng Hu <sup>23</sup> , Akihiko Ito <sup>24, 25</sup> , Atul K. Jain <sup>26</sup> , Aurich Jeltsch- Thömmes <sup>27</sup> , Fortunat Joos <sup>27</sup> , Sian Kou-Giesbrecht <sup>28, 29</sup> , Paul B. Krummel <sup>30</sup> , Xin Lan <sup>22,31</sup> , Angela Landolfi <sup>32,17</sup> , Ronny Lauerwald <sup>33</sup> , Ya Li <sup>34</sup> , Chaoqun Lu <sup>35</sup> , Taylor Maavara <sup>36</sup> , Manfredi Manizza <sup>37</sup> , Dylan B. Millet <sup>38</sup> , Jens Mühle <sup>37</sup> , Prabir K. Patra <sup>39, 40, 41</sup> , Glen P. Peters <sup>42</sup> , Xiaoyu Qin <sup>34</sup> , Peter Raymond <sup>43</sup> , Laure Resplandy <sup>44</sup> , Judith A. Rosentreter <sup>45,46</sup> , Hao Shi <sup>34</sup> , Qing Sun <sup>27</sup> , Daniele Tonina <sup>47</sup> , Francesco N. Tubiello <sup>48</sup> , Guido R. van der Werf <sup>49</sup> , Nicolas Vuichard <sup>9</sup> , Junjie Wang <sup>21</sup> , Kelley C. Wells <sup>38</sup> , Luke M. Western <sup>22,50</sup> , Chris Wilson <sup>51,52</sup> , Jia Yang <sup>53</sup> , Yuanzhi Yao <sup>54</sup> , Yongfa You <sup>1</sup> , Qing Zhu <sup>55</sup>	
15	<sup>1</sup> Center for Earth System Science and Global Sustainability, Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society, Boston College. Chestnut Hill, MA 02467. USA	
	<sup>2</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA	
	<sup>3</sup> Norsk Institutt for Luftforskning, NILU, 2007 Kjeller, Norway	
	<sup>4</sup> Global Carbon Project, CSIRO Environment, Canberra, ACT 2101, Australia	
	<sup>5</sup> School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK	
20	Department Geoscience, Environment & Society-BGEOSYS, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium	Formatted: French
	Appalachian Laboratory, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Frostburg, MD 21532, USA	
	<sup>a</sup> Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 9269/, USA	
	Laboratorie des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE, CEA CNRS, UVSQ UPSACLAY, 91198 Git sur Yvette,	Formatted: French
25	France	
23	European Commission, John Research Cenue (JKC), 2102/ Ispla, Varese, nay	
	Department of Engineering and Environmental Studies Frogram, Joston Concey, Checkaut Tim, MA 02407, OSA	
	international institute of Environmental Engineering, University of Zielona Góra, 65-417 Zielona Góra, Poland	
	<sup>14</sup> Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry. 07701 Jena Germany	
30	<sup>15</sup> Institute for Carbon Neutrality, Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China	
	<sup>16</sup> Department of Earth System Science, Woods Institute for the Environment, and Precourt Institute for Energy, Stanford	
	University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA	
	<sup>17</sup> GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany	Formatted: French
	<sup>18</sup> Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM), Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse,	
35	France	
	<sup>19</sup> School of Geography, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China	Deleted: Science
	<sup>20</sup> Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA	
	"Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8a, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 3584CB	
10	"Global Monitoring Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO 80305, USA	
40	"Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL	
	"Graduate School of Life and Agricultural Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan	
	- Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies, I sukuba 305-8506, Japan	

45	<sup>26</sup> Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL61801, USA	
	<sup>27</sup> Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of	
	Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland	
	<sup>28</sup> Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, BC, Canada	
	V8W 324.	
50	<sup>29</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada B3H 4R2.	
	<sup>30</sup> CSIRO Environment, Aspendale, Victoria 3195, Australia	
	<sup>31</sup> Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, CO 80309 USA	
	<sup>32</sup> Institute of Marine Sciences, National Research Council (ISMAR-CNR), Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133, Rome, Italy;	
	<sup>33</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR ECOSYS, 91120 Palaiseau, France	Formatted: French
55	<sup>34</sup> Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China	
	<sup>33</sup> Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA	
	<sup>370</sup> School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK	
	"Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.	
(0	<sup>30</sup> Department of Soil, Water, and Climate, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN 55108, USA	
60	"Research institute for Global Change, JAMSTEC, Yokonama 236 0001, Japan	
	"Research institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto 605 8047, 3 apan	
	"Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Child University, Child 205-8522, Japan	
	*CICERO Center lor international Climate Research, Osio 0349, Norway	
65	School of the Environment, Fale Oniversity, New Haven, CF 00511, USA	
05	<sup>25</sup> Centre for Coastal Biogeochemistry, Finecton, Mission and Engineering Southern Cross University, Lismore 2480 NSW	
	Control for Coasta Diogeochemistry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Southern Cross Oniversity, Ensire 2450, 100 v, Australia	
	<sup>46</sup> Vale Institute for Biospheric Studies. Vale University. New Haven, CT 06520, USA	
	<sup>47</sup> Center for ecohydraulics Research University of Idaho Roise, Idaho 83702, USA	
70	<sup>48</sup> Statistics Division. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Via Terme di Caracalla. Rome 00153. Italy	
	<sup>49</sup> Meteorology and Air Quality Group, Wageningen University and Research Centre, 6708 PB Wageningen, the Netherlands	
	<sup>50</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK	
	<sup>51</sup> School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK	
	<sup>52</sup> National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK	
75	<sup>53</sup> Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA	
	<sup>54</sup> School of Geographic Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China	
	<sup>55</sup> Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA	
	94720, USA	

80 \*Correspondence to: Hanqin Tian, (hanqin.tian@bc.edu)

**Abstract:** Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a long-lived potent greenhouse gas and stratospheric ozone-depleting substance, which has been accumulating in the atmosphere since the pre-industrial period. The mole fraction of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O has increased by

- 85 nearly 25% from 270 parts per billion (ppb) in 1750 (Macfarling Meure et al., 2006) to 336 ppb in 2022, with the fastest annual growth rate since 1980 of more than 1.3 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in both 2020 and 2021. According to Forster et al. (2023), N<sub>2</sub>O's relative contribution to the total enhanced effective radiative forcing of greenhouse gases was 6.4% for 1750-2022. As a core component of our global greenhouse gas assessments coordinated by the Global Carbon Project (GCP), we present a global N<sub>2</sub>O budget that incorporates both natural and anthropogenic sources and sinks, and accounts for the interactions between
- 90 nitrogen additions and the biochemical processes that control N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. We use Bottom-Up (BU: inventory, statistical extrapolation of flux measurements, process-based land and ocean modelling) and Top-Down (TD: atmospheric measurement-based inversion) approaches. We provide a comprehensive quantification of global N<sub>2</sub>O sources and sinks in 21 natural and anthropogenic categories in 18 regions between 1980 and 2020. We estimate that total annual anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions increased 40% (or 1.9 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in the past four decades (1980-2020). Direct agricultural emissions in 2020, 3.9 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>
- 95 (best estimate) represent the large majority of anthropogenic emissions, followed by other direct anthropogenic sources (including 'Fossil fuel and industry', 'Waste and wastewater', and 'Biomass burning' (2.1 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), and indirect anthropogenic sources (1.3 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). For the year 2020, our best estimate of total BU emissions for natural and anthropogenic sources was 185 (lower-upper bounds: 106-27.0) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, close to our TD estimate of 17.0 (16.6–17.4) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. For the period 2010-2019, the annual BU decadal-average emissions for natural plus anthropogenic sources were 182 (106-25.9) Tg
- 100 N yr<sup>-1</sup> and TD emissions were 17.4 (15.8–19.20 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. The once top emitter Europe has reduced its emissions since the 1980s by 31% while those of emerging economies have grown, making China the top emitter since the 2010s. The observed atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in recent years have exceeded projected levels under all scenarios in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6), underscoring the urgency to reduce anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. To evaluate mitigation efforts and contribute to the Global Stocktake of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change,
- 105 we propose establishing a global network for monitoring and modeling N<sub>2</sub>O from the surface through the stratosphere. The data presented in this work can be downloaded from <u>https://doi.org/10.18160/RQ8P-2Z4R</u> (Tian et al. 2023).

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: 3	
Deleted: 5	
Deleted: 1	
Deleted: 4	

## **Executive summary**

The global N<sub>2</sub>O budget has been perturbed through direct and indirect anthropogenic emissions, but also through perturbations to the natural N<sub>2</sub>O sources and sinks through climate change, increasing atmospheric CO2 and land cover change, <u>lce core</u> data show relatively constant tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratio over the past two millennia (Canadell et al., 2021; MacFarling

- 115 Meure et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2019), from about 270 ppb in 1750 to well above 300 ppb. The tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fractions, precisely measured at a global network of stations, increased from 301 parts per billion (ppb) in 1980 to 333 ppb in 2020 and 336 ppb in 2022. The tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fraction in 2022 is higher than at any time in the last 800,000 years. The current growth rate of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O is unprecedented with respect to the ice core record covering the last deglacial transition (with decadal to centennial resolution) and likely unprecedented relative to the ice core records of the past 800,000
- 120 years. The mean annual tropospheric growth rate increased from 0.76 (0.55-0.95) ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in the decade of 2000-2009 to 0.96 (0.79-1.15) ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in the decade of 2010-2019. In 2020, the N<sub>2</sub>O tropospheric growth rate was 1.33 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> (1.38 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2021), the highest observed rate since 1980 and over 30% higher than the average in the 2010s.

Global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have significantly increased in the last four decades. The magnitudes of global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by the BU and TD approaches were comparable during the overlapping period 1997–2020, but TD estimates found a larger

- 125 inter-annual variability and a faster rate of increase. BU approaches showed that global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions increased from 17<u>4</u> Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>(10<u>3</u>-24<u>0</u> Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 1997 to 18<u>5</u> Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>(10<u>6</u>-27.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 2020, with an average increase rate of 0.043 Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (*p* < 0.05). In contrast, according to TD estimates, global emissions increased from 15.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>(13.9-16.7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 1997 to 17.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>(16.6-17.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 2020, implying a higher increase rate of 0.085 Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (*p* < 0.05). According to BU estimates, the increase in global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions was primarily due to a 40% increase in anthropogenic
- 130 emissions from 4.8 (3.1-7.3) Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 6.7 (3.3-10.9) Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Among all anthropogenic sources, direct agricultural emissions made the largest contribution, increasing from 2.2 (1.6-2.8) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 3.9 (2.9-5.1) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. The concurrent indirect agricultural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions also steadily increased from 0.9 (0.7-1.1) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 1.3 (0.9-1.6) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, other direct anthropogenic emissions (including emissions from fossil fuel and biomass burning, industry and wastewater) did not show a significant trend, while fluxes induced by perturbations to climate, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>,
- and land cover were negative and caused a reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions which grew from -0.4 (-0.9-1.0) Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to -0.6 (-2.2-1.8) Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Unlike anthropogenic emissions, global natural land and ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were relatively stable. According to the BU approaches, the total amount of global natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions fluctuated between 11.7 and 12.1 Tg yr<sub>x</sub><sup>-1</sup> during 1980-2020, Among all sources, natural emissions from shelves, inland waters, and lightning and atmospheric production were assumed to be constant during 1980-2020. According to BU approaches, the total natural emissions from these sources were 1.8 (1.0-3.0) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>

During 2010-2019, similar estimates of global total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were obtained using both BU and TD approaches, with decadal mean values of  $18 \underset{-}{2} (10 \underset{-}{6} - 25.9)$  Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 17.4 (15.8–19.2) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 1). According to the BU estimates, natural sources contributed  $\underset{-}{65\%}$  to the total emissions (11  $\underset{-}{8}$ , 7  $\underset{-}{3}$ –15.9 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Specifically, natural soils contributed the most, with a decadal average of 6.4 (3.9–8.6) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, followed by open oceans (3.5, 2.5–4.7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), the

Deleted:

Deleted: by more than 10% over the past four decades, rising
Deleted: It

Deleted: with a substantially lower temporal resolution

(	Deleted: 2
	Deleted: 2
$\geq$	Deleted: 1
$\backslash \rangle$	Deleted: 3
) (	Deleted: 5
(	Deleted: The

(	Formatted: Subscript	
•(	Formatted: Superscript	
•(	Deleted: , with values fluctuating between 11.5 and 11.9 Tg yr <sup>-1</sup>	

1	
λ	Deleted: 1
-(	Deleted: 4
Ì	Deleted: 64
1	
(	Deleted: 6
(	Deleted: 2

natural source from shelves (1.2, 0.6–1.6 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), lightning and atmospheric production (0.4, 0.3–1.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), and inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation (0.1, 0.0–0.1 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Anthropogenic sources contributed 35% to the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (6.5, 3.2–10.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Direct agricultural emissions accounted for 56% of the total anthropogenic emissions (3.6, 2.7–4.8 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), followed by emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources ((2.1, 1.8–2.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), including

- 165 'Fossil fuel and industry' (1.1, 1.0-1.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), 'Waste and wastewater' (0.3, 0.3-0.3 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), and 'Biomass burning' (0.8, 0.5-1.0Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), and indirect anthropogenic emissions (1.2, 0.9–1.6 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Perturbed fluxes from climate/CO2/land cover changes had a net negative effect (i.e., reduced) on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (-0.6, -2.1–1.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Increased CO<sub>2</sub> and land conversion from <u>mature</u> forest reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, but climate change resulted in N<sub>2</sub>O emission of 0.7 (0.2-1.2) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 170 Among the eighteen regions considered in this study, only Europe, Russia, Australasia, and Japan and Korea had decreasing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Europe had the largest rate of decrease with an average of -13.2×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> during 1980-2020 (31% reduction), largely resulting from reduced fossil fuel and industry emissions, which changed from 0.49 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.14 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. In addition to the large reduction of fossil fuel and industry emissions in Europe, direct and indirect agricultural emissions also declined during 1980-2020, however, the decreasing trend in direct agricultural emissions had 175 Jevelled off by the 2000s.
- China and South Asia had the largest increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from 1980 to 2020. The rates of increase in anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia were 18.9 x 10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (82% increase) and 14.3 x 10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (92% increase), respectively. In these two regions, direct nitrogen additions in agriculture made the largest contribution, while other direct and indirect emissions also steadily increased.
- 180 The atmospheric chemistry transport models used in this study show an increase in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O burden from 1527 (1504-1545) Tg N in 2000-2009 to 1606 (1592-1621) Tg N in 2020, and proportional to this, a small increase in the atmospheric loss, from 12.1 (12.0-12.6) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 12.9 (12.5-13.2) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. The estimated increase in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O burden is comparable to estimates by satellite and photolysis models, showing an increase from 1528 Tg N in the 2000s to 1570 in the 2010s and 1592 Tg N in 2020. The atmospheric chemistry transport models, however, did not show any significant trend in the lifetime,
- 185 which is in contrast to results based on satellite observations in the stratosphere; these observations indicate that the atmospheric lifetime of N<sub>2</sub>O decreased from 119 years in the 2000s to 117 years in the 2010s. The reason for the discrepancy is not yet known and needs to be further investigated.

Several major uncertainties have been identified as follows: 1) inversion estimates are the most uncertain in the areas of South America, Africa, central and southern Asia, as well as Australasia, where the inversions are poorly constrained by observations.

190 2) Large uncertainties exist in the estimates of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from tropical ecosystems in the Amazon Basin, the Congo Basin, and Southeast Asia, as well as in regions with high fertilizer application rates and emissions, including Eastern China, Northern India, and the US Corn Belt. 3) The largest uncertainties in the estimates of ocean emissions are found in the equatorial Pacific, the Benguela upwelling region of the Atlantic, and the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean. The highest uncertainty in the equatorial upwelling and low-oxygen waters is associated with high sub-surface N<sub>2</sub>O production. 4) The

5

Deleted: 4	
Deleted: 2	
Deleted: 36	

Deleted: manure

Deleted: leveled Deleted: since N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, <u>mature</u> forest conversion and biomass burning are poorly understood and quantified. The relatively sparse distribution of current N<sub>2</sub>O observation sites underscores the necessity of establishing more sites and regular aircraft profiles, especially in tropical and subtropical regions, to better constrain emission estimates from inversion models. Based on this analysis and associated uncertainties, we propose the urgent development of a comprehensive Terrestrial and

205 Ocean N<sub>2</sub>O Flux Monitoring and Analysis Network to better resolve spatio-temporal patterns and reduce uncertainties in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Such a development is a requirement to better constrain the future contribution of N<sub>2</sub>O to climate change and guide policy choices to reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.



GLOBAL N2O BUDGET

Figure 1. Global N<sub>2</sub>O Budget during 2010-2019. The coloured arrows represent N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (in Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2010-2019) as follows: red, direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector (agriculture); orange, emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources; maroon, indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions; brown, perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> or land cover; green, emissions from natural sources. The anthropogenic and natural N<sub>2</sub>O sources are derived from BU estimates. The blue arrows represent the surface sink and the observed atmospheric chemical sink, of which about 1% occurs in the troposphere. The total budget (sources + sinks) does not exactly match the observed atmospheric accumulation, because each of the terms has been derived independently and we do not force TD agreement by rescaling the terms. This imbalance readily falls within the overall uncertainty in

6

Deleted: manure

closing the N2O budget, as reflected in each of the terms. The N2O sources and sinks are given in Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Copyright the Global Carbon Project.

225

## **1** Introduction

Nitrogen (N) is an essential element for the survival of all living organisms, required by numerous biological molecules such as nucleic acids, proteins, and chlorophyll (Galloway et al., 2021; Scheer et al., 2020). The addition of excess reactive N 230 compounds to terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems stimulates emissions of nitrous oxide (N2O), which is the most important depleting substance of stratospheric ozone (World Meteorological Organization, 2022) and a long-lived potent greenhouse gas with an atmospheric lifetime of more than 100 years (Myhre et al., 2013; Prather et al., 2015). Atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fractions have increased by more than 24% since the pre-industrial era, from 270 parts per billion (ppb) in 1750 (MacFarling Meure et al., 2006) to 336 ppb in 2022, and an increase of 35 ppb (10%) since 1980 (Figure 2). The current mole fraction is higher than at any time in the last 800,000 years (Schilt et al. EPSL, 2010). The increase rate of atmospheric N2O in the 20th 235 century, is unprecedented over the past 20,000 years, covering the last glacial-interglacial transition, and likely unprecedented compared to the lower resolution ice core records of the past 800,000 years (Joos and Spahni, PNAS, 2007; Schilt et al., EPSL, 2010, Canadell et al., AR6, WGI, Chapter 5). The observation networks of AGAGE (Prinn et al., 2018), NOAA (Hall et al., 2007) and CSIRO (Francey et al., 2007) all show an overall increasing trend in the growth rate of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> the mean annual growth rate increased from 0.76 (0.55-0.95) ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2000s to 0.96 (0.79-1.15) ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2010s, with 240 significant seasonal and interannual variations. In 2020, the N<sub>2</sub>O atmospheric growth rate was 1.33 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> (1.38 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup>

in 2021), higher than any previous observed year, and more than 30% higher than the average value in the 2010s.

substance...
Deleted: 25

Deleted: currently the most emitted stratospheric ozone depleting





Figure 2. Global mean atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O dry mole fraction (atmospheric concentration) (1980-2022) and <u>its annual</u> growth rate (1995-2022) estimated by AGAGE, NOAA and CSIRO observing networks. <u>The blue and black dash</u> lines represent the mean annual growth rate in the 2000s and 2010s, respectively.

255

260

Due to the rapid increase of global  $N_2O$  emissions, observed atmospheric  $N_2O$  mole fractions in recent years have begun to exceed the predicted levels under all scenarios in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) for the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2021; Gidden et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2020).  $N_2O$  emissions are expected to continue increasing in the coming decades due to the growing demand for food, feed, fiber and energy, and a rising source from waste generation and industrial processes (Davidson & Kanter, 2014; Reay et al., 2012).

Reducing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions will contribute to the mitigation of global warming and the recovery of stratospheric ozone (Jackson et al., 2019). Significant reductions of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are required along with net CO<sub>2</sub>-emissions to stabilize the global climate

Deleted: is a required net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions



system. For pathways consistent with the remaining carbon budget of 1.5°C, 1.7°C and 2°C stabilization, global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
 need to be reduced by 22%, 18% and 11 %, respetively, by 2050 (Rogelj and Lamboll, 2024), In addition, N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation
 could reduce ozone loss comparable to the depletion potential of the global chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) stock in old air
 conditioners, refrigerators, insulation foams and other units (UNEP 2013). <u>All in all, implementing N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation will</u>
 contribute to achieving a set of United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2016).

- Nitrification and denitrification are the two key microbial processes controlling N<sub>2</sub>O production (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013;
   <u>Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Kuypers et al., 2018; Firestone and Davidson, 1989), making the largest contribution to global</u> N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Syakila & Kroeze, 2011; Tian et al., 2020); abiotic processes also play a role in the production of N<sub>2</sub>O. We categorize the processes governing N<sub>2</sub>O sources and sinks in 21 different categories (Figure 3): (1) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from fossil fuel combustion; (2) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the chemical industry; (3) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from wastewater treatment and discharge; (4) Natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inland waters (rivers, lakes and reservoirs), estuaries and coastal vegetation; (5) Anthropogenic
- 275 N<sub>2</sub>O from inland waters (rivers, lakes and reservoirs), estuaries and coastal vegetation; (6) Direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from agricultural soils; (7) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from manure left on pasture; (8) N<sub>2</sub>O emission from manure management; (9) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from coastal and freshwater aquaculture; (10) N<sub>2</sub>O emission/reduction due to agricultural land use and conservation; (11) Natural soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission; (12) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from biomass burning; (13) Surface N<sub>2</sub>O uptake; (14) Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions on land; (15) Perturbed N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from climate/CO<sub>2</sub>, (16) N<sub>2</sub>O
- 280 emission/reduction due to land cover change/deforestation; (17), N<sub>2</sub>O emission from continental shelves; (18) N<sub>2</sub>O emission from open ocean; (19) N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from anthropogenic N deposition on oceans; (20) Lightning and atmospheric production of N<sub>2</sub>O; (21) Stratospheric N<sub>2</sub>O sink. There is also a small amount of N<sub>2</sub>O emission from termite mounds, but such an N<sub>2</sub>O flux is not quantified in the current budget analysis due to limited data.

Biogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from land are regulated by multiple environmental factors, including soil moisture, temperature, oxygen status, pH, vegetation type, topography, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and soil N and C availability (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Li et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2022; H. Yu et al., 2022). The effects of these environmental factors on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have strong spatial and temporal heterogeneity, making up-scaling field N<sub>2</sub>O measurements to regional and global scales difficult. Studies using atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O inverse modeling suggest a greater source of N<sub>2</sub>O from land and ocean in the colder and wetter La Nina conditions and vice versa in the warmer and drier El Niño

290 conditions (Patra et al., 2022; Thompson et al., 2014). Ongoing environmental changes such as ocean warming (and associated changes in stratification and ice coverage), decreasing pH (i.e. increasing acidification), loss of dissolved oxygen (i.e. deoxygenation), and eutrophication due to increasing anthropogenic inputs of nutrients via rivers and atmospheric deposition of nitrogen aerosols, might significantly alter the production and consumption of N<sub>2</sub>O in the upper ocean, its distribution pattern and, ultimately, its release to the atmosphere (Bange et al., 2019, 2022; Wilson et al., 2019), exerting in the long term
295 a small but uncertain feedback on global warming (Battaglia and Joos, GBC, 2018, Forster et al., 2021).

In this study, we construct a comprehensive global and regional N<sub>2</sub>O budget based on the processes and framework shown in Figure 3 and following the framework of Tian et al. (2020). The figure summarizes the pathways of N<sub>2</sub>O formation,

## Deleted: (Rogelj and Lamboll 2024)

 Deleted:
 N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation measures can potentially reduce GHG emissions equivalent to 5%-10% of the remaining carbon budget for holding global warming below 2 °C (Forster et al. 2021).

 Deleted:
 Implementing

 Deleted:
 the Paris Agreement (Rogelj et al.,

2016) and ...

**Deleted:** (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Gruber & James, 2008 Kuypers et al., 2018; Firestone and Davidson 1989)

Deleted: contributing 56-70%

Delet	ed: ,
Delet	ed: ,

consumption, emission and absorption, and it helps to guide consistent estimations and comparisons of N2O budgets among

- 330 regions and upscaling of regional budgets to the globe. N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes are grouped into two major categories based on the sources. The first category is natural N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (blue arrows in Figure 3), which are N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in the absence of climate change and anthropogenic disturbances, and include natural soil emissions, soil uptake, N<sub>2</sub>O emission from natural disturbances causing wetland loss and degradation, lightning, and atmospheric production. This category also includes natural emissions from inland waters, coastal ecosystems, and the ocean.
- 335 The second category is anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (red arrows in Figure 3). The direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector ("agroecosystems" box in Figure 3) include emissions from direct application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers and manure (henceforth "direct soil emissions"), manure left on pasture, manure management and aquaculture, while other direct anthropogenic sources include fossil fuel combustion and industry, waste and wastewater, and biomass burning. Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions derive from anthropogenic nitrogen additions such as atmospheric nitrogen deposition (NDEP) on land
- 340 and ocean, and the effects of anthropogenic loads of reactive nitrogen in inland waters, estuaries, and coastal vegetation. In the anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes category, we also consider N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from the anthropogenic perturbations in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land-use/land-cover (from hereon perturbation fluxes). In terrestrial <u>cosystems</u>, perturbation fluxes can be caused by increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, climate change (e.g., warming-induced thawing of permafrost), and land-use change (e.g., converting natural lands to lands for human uses, such as croplands, mining, logging, and the post-deforestation pulse effect,
- 345 the long-term effect of reduced mature forest area). N<sub>2</sub>O emissions can either increase or decrease during land conversion depending on the type and phase of the land-use change. For example, when tropical forests are first converted to agriculture there is often a pulse of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions for the first year or for as long as five years, depending upon the circumstances; following deforestation, emissions decline below those of the original forest if pastures degrade and if croplands are not fertilized, such as in slash-and-burn agriculture (Davidson and Artaxo, 2004, Meurer et al., 2016). When agriculture is
- 350 abandoned and a secondary forest is allowed to regrow, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions gradually increase but usually remain lower than those of the original mature forest or from fertilized croplands (Davidson et al., 2007, Sullivan et al., 2019).

Deleted: natural



- 355 Numerous efforts have estimated individual sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O across global ecosystems. Pro N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have been annually reported for the past two decades by Annex I Parties (developed Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (<u>Reports | UNFCCC</u>). As a result over 190 signatory countries are now required to report their national GHG inventory biannually, annually, with sufficient detail and transparency to track progress towards their Nationally Det However, national GHG inventories only provide a partial picture of the observed changes in atmosp
- However, national GHG inventories only provide a partial picture of the observed changes in atmosp cover natural sources and have large uncertainties in the emission factors and activity data. Addition many regions of the world, e.g., South America and Africa (Tian et al. 2020).
   Tian et al. (2020) built the first comprehensive global N<sub>2</sub>O budget using multiple BU (BU) and TD (1 partnership between the Global Carbon Project (GCP) and the International Nitrogen Initiative (IN



downward

and

atmosphere





(2020) and the budget framework established in Figure 3, our study presents an improved and updated global N<sub>2</sub>O budget and its regional attribution to 18 land regions and the global ocean. The budgets cover the decades of 1980-89, 1990-99, 2000-09, 2010-2019, with a complete budget extension to 2020 and atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O changes in 2021 and 2022. The work allows us to

370 explore the relative temporal and spatial importance of multiple sources and sinks that drive the atmospheric burden of N<sub>2</sub>O, their uncertainties, and interactions between anthropogenic and natural forcings. This study also consolidates the international scientific capacity and networks that contribute to this assessment with the aim to provide improved and updated N<sub>2</sub>O budgets at regular intervals.

This global effort builds from and contributes to the set of global GHG assessments that the GCP has established including regular updates of the carbon (CO<sub>2</sub>-C), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), and now N<sub>2</sub>O budgets, and other biogeochemical budgets of global significance. The budgets have been designed to: a) support global and national scientific assessments (e.g., IPCC, WCRP annual reports), b) align scientific research and data products to support climate mitigation and sustainability policy needs, and c) contribute to the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement to track progress towards national determined contributions and

the ultimate goal of achieving net-zero GHG emissions. Integration of all GHGs in robust and shared methodological

380 approaches and data delivery platforms are central goals of GCP.

## 2 Methodology and Data

## 2.1 Definitions, terminology and unit of N2O sources and sinks

This study provides an estimation of the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget considering all <u>quantifiable</u> sources, sinks and perturbations, a total of 21 N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. To simplify our analysis, we further grouped these fluxes into six major categories: (1) 'natural baseline

- 385 fluxes': this is the source in the absence of climate change and anthropogenic disturbances and includes emissions from soils, surface uptake, shelf and ocean emissions, lightning and atmospheric production, and emissions from inland waters, estuaries, and coastal vegetation; (2) direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector ('agriculture'), which includes emissions from direct application of nitrogen fertilizers and manure (henceforth 'direct soil emissions'), manure left on pasture, manure management and aquaculture; (3) 'perturbed fluxes from climate/CO<sub>2</sub>/land cover change' which includes the effects
- 390 of CO<sub>2</sub>, climate, the post-deforestation pulse, and the long-term effect of reduced mature forest area; (4) indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions including atmospheric nitrogen deposition (NDEP) on the land, atmospheric NDEP on the ocean, and effects of anthropogenic loads of reactive nitrogen in inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation; (5) other direct anthropogenic sources including fossil fuel and industry, waste and wastewater, and biomass burning; and (6) the atmospheric sink in the stratosphere (via photolysis and oxidation by O<sup>1</sup>D). Our anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emission categories are
- 395 aligned with those compiled by the national greenhouse gas inventories using IPCC 2006 methodologies and reported to the UNFCCC (Table A1).

In this study, N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes are expressed in teragrams of N<sub>2</sub>O-N per year:  $1 \text{ Tg N}_2\text{O-N yr}^{-1}$  ( $1 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ ) = $10^{12} \text{ g N}_2\text{O}$ -N yr<sup>-1</sup>= $1.57 \times 10^{12} \text{ g N}_2\text{O yr}^{-1}$ , with change rates in N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes expressed in the unit of Tg N<sub>2</sub>O-N yr<sup>-2</sup> (Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>) which

12

### Deleted: possible

- 400 represent the first derivative of annual N2O fluxes calculated by the linear regression method. Atmospheric N2O is expressed as dry air mole fractions, in parts per billion (ppb), with atmospheric N2O annual increases expressed in parts per billion per year (ppb yr<sup>1</sup>). The conversion factor from the unit "ppb yr<sup>1</sup>" to the unit "Tg Nyr<sup>1</sup>" is 4.79 Tg N ppb<sup>-1</sup> (Prather, et al., 2012). Unless specified, uncertainties are reported in brackets as minimum and maximum values of all estimates, following Tian et al., (2020).
- 405 We focus on N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and their change rates during three periods: 1997-2020, 1980-2020 and 2010-2019. 1980-2020 is the entire study period, we report temporal variations in BU estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from different sources to depict the overall trends of these fluxes. 1997-2020 is the overlapping period of BU and TD approaches, we compare BU and TD estimates during this period to exam their consistency. 2010-2019 is the most recent decade, we report the magnitudes of emissions from different sources to show their latest status and relative importance.

## 410 2.2 Definition of Regions

As anthropogenic emissions are often reported at the country level, we divide global land into 18 regions and define these regions based on a country list (Table A2). This approach is compatible with all TD and BU approaches considered here. The number of regions was close to the widely used TransCom inter-comparison map (Gurney et al., 2004), but with subdivisions to separate the contribution of important countries or regions to the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget (such as China, South Asia and the

- 415 United States). This regionalization is also compatible with the REgional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes (Poulter et al. 2022) after aggregation into ten regions. The 18 regions are United States (USA), Canada (CAN), Central America (CAM), Northern South America (NSA), Brazil (BRA), Southwest South America (SSA), Europe (EU), Northern Africa (NAF), Equatorial Africa (EQAF), Southern Africa (SAF), Russia (RUS), Central Asia (CAS), Middle East (MIDE), China (CHN), Korea and Japan (KAJ), South Asia (SAS), Southeast Asia (SEAS), and Australasia (AUS). The region definition is the same
- $420 \quad \text{as that used for the GCP methane and $N_2O$ budgets (Saunois et al., 2020; Stavert et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2019).}$

## 2.3 Overview of methods used for global N2O budget synthesis

Four major methods are available to estimate large-scale  $N_2O$  emissions: atmospheric inversion models (method 1), activity and emission factor-based inventories (method 2), empirical-based algorithms and machine learning algorithms (method 3), and process-based ecosystem models (method 4). Atmospheric inversion models (method 1), a TD approach, utilizes

- 425 measurements of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratios combined with atmospheric transport models, driven by meteorological fields, to estimate the emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O (Thompson et al., 2014). Atmospheric inversion models usually use Bayesian statistics, which starting from a prior emission estimate, find the optimal N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, that is those that best agree with observed atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratios, while at the same time being guided by the prior emission and observation uncertainties (Nevison et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2019).
- 430 TD approaches generally only estimate the total N<sub>2</sub>O emission, which is spatially and temporally resolved, but do not constrain the contributions from different sources. The other three methods belong to BU approaches, which are capable of quantifying

13

Formatted: Superscript

N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from different sources. Emission activity and factor-based inventories (method 2) use a prescribed emission factor (EF) to calculate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. This approach has been widely used in national emission inventories and global studies (Davidson, 2009; Oreggioni et al., 2021; Crippa et al., 2021; Winiwarter et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the fixed EFs cannot

- 435 capture the nonlinear response of agricultural soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to N inputs (Gerber et al., 2016), and also cannot fully reflect the dependence of EFs on climate, management practices, soil physical and biochemical conditions (e.g., Marzadri et al 2022). Therefore, a spatially referenced nonlinear model (SRNM) was developed to simulate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in response to fertilizer application under various environmental and management conditions, which outperformed the default EF method (Zhou et al., 2015). In recent years, machine learning algorithms (method 3) have been applied to estimate soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. A random
- 440 forest model was used to estimate global terrestrial background N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Yin et al., 2022) and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from intensively managed cropping systems (Saha et al., 2021). Moreover, a machine-learning-based stochastic gradient boosting model was developed to predict global terrestrial nitrification and its fraction in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Pan et al., 2021).

Compared with the three above-mentioned methods, process-based ecosystem models (method 4) have two notable advantages (Xu et al. 2020; Tian et al. 2019): (1) they are capable of modelling the key processes affecting N<sub>2</sub>O production and emission

- 445 such as autotrophic nitrification, denitrification, plant nitrogen uptake, ammonia volatilization, nitrate leaching, soil thermal and hydrological processes, <u>although their accuracy in representing these processes needs further improvement</u>; and (2) they integrate various driving factors controlling soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, such as fertilizer use, atmospheric N deposition, land use change, climate change, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration change and thus can disentangle the effects of different driving factors. Although multiple process-based models estimated global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, large discrepancies exist in these
- 450 estimates due to the diverse parameterizations of biogeochemical processes in different models, our limited understanding of the mechanisms responsible for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and the uncertainties in input data. The N<sub>2</sub>O Model Intercomparison Project (NMIP) was launched (Tian et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2019) to develop a multi-model ensemble estimation of global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 1861-2016 and quantified the contributions of different driving factors.

We consider global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from land and ocean including natural fluxes and anthropogenic emissions based on BU and TD approaches (Figure 4). The BU methods considered include eight process-based terrestrial biosphere models from NMIP2 (global Nitrogen/N<sub>2</sub>O Model Inter-comparison Project phase 2), six ocean models (Battaglia and Joos, 2018; Berthet et al., 2023; Buitenhuis et al., 2018; Carroll et al., 2020; Landolfi et al., 2017) and one machine-learning based observational shelf product (Yang et al., 2020), a mix of five approaches relying on meta-analysis, statistical and process-based models for

- inland waters and coastal ecosystems (Hu et al., 2016; Lauerwald et al., 2019; Maavara et al., 2019, Yao et al., 2020; Marzadri
  et al., 2021; Marzadri et al., 2022; Rosentreter et al., 2023); four GHG emission databases Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research EDGAR v7.0 (Crippa et al., 2021, https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset\_ghg70), FAOSTAT (Tubiello et al., 2015), UNFCCC (https://unfccc.int/reports), GFED4s (van der Werf et al., 2017) (only for biomass burning) and one statistical model (SRNM) only for cropland soils (Wang et al., 2020). The TD approach consisted of four independent atmospheric inversion frameworks, namely INVICAT (Wilson et al., 2014), PyVAR-CAMS (Thompson et al., 2014),
- 465 MIROC4-ACTM (Patra et al., 2022), and GEOS-Chem (Wells et al., 2018).

Deleted: modeling



Figure 4. Methodologies used to estimate each of the main flux categories contributing to the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget. We use both <u>BU and TD</u> approaches, including 20 BU and four TD estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from land and oceans. For
 sources estimated by the BU approach, we include eight process-based terrestrial biosphere modelling studies; six process-based ocean biogeochemical models and one shelf observational product; one nutrient budget model; five inland and coastal water modelling or meta-analysis studies; one statistical model SRNM based on spatial extrapolation of field measurements; and four greenhouse-gas inventories: EDGAR v7.0, FAOSTAT, UNFCCC, and GFED. Previous estimates of surface sink, lightning and atmospheric production, model-based tropospheric sink and observed stratospheric sink are included in the current synthesis. The nutrient budget model provides nitrogen flows in global freshwater and marine aquaculture over the period 1980–2020. Model-based estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inland and coastal upwelling.

**Deleted:** BU and TD represent BU and TD methods, respectively. ...

480

Table 1. Methods, spatial and temporal resolution and data sources for the synthesis of the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget

Model/Data name	Spatial resolution	Time period	References	4	Formatted Table
Inventories (anthropogeni	<u>c)</u>				

EDGAR v7 <u>.0</u>	0.1°×0.1°	1980-2020	Crippa et al. (2021),		-	•(
GFED4s	0.25°×0.25°	1997-2020	Van Der Werf et al. (2017)			
FAOSTAT	Country-level	1980-2020	Tubiello et al. (2022)			
UNFCCC	Country-level	1990-2020	https://di.unfccc.int/time_series			
Terrestrial Biosphere mo	dels participated in NMI	IP2 (both anthropogenic	and natural)			
CLASSIC	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Asaadi and Arora (2021)		•	•(
			Kou-Giesbrecht and Arora (2022)			
DLEM	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Tian et al. (2015), Xu et al. (2017)			
ELM	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Zhu et al. (2019)			
ISAM	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Shu et al. (2020); Xu et al. (2021)			
LPX-Bern	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Xu and Prentice (2008), Stocker et			
			al. (2013)			
O-CN	1°×1°	1980-2020	Zaehle et al. (2011)			
ORCHIDEE	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Vuichard, N., et al. (2019)			
VISIT	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2020	Ito et al. (2018)			
Ocean Biogeochemical M	odels <u>(natural)</u>	1				
Bern-3D	9° <u>×</u> 4.5° <u>×</u> 32 levels	1980-2019	Battaglia and Joos (2018)	•	×	(
					1	$\subset ($
NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-	$1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ} \times 75$ levels	1980-2020	Berthet et al. (2023); Seferian et al.			) (
gas			(2019)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	$\langle \langle \rangle$
NEMO-PlankTOM10.2	2° 📉 (0.5°-2°) · 30	1980-2016	Buitenhuis et al. (2018)		/	Y
	levels					-(
UVic2.9	3.6° ×1.8° ×19 levels	1980-2020	Landolfi et al. (2017)			
Continental shelf product	ts <u>(natural)</u>				(	
MEM-RF	0.25°×0.25°	1988-2017 mean	Yang et al. (2020)	•		>
CNRM-0.25°	0.25°×0.25°	1998-2018 mean	Berthet et al. (2019)		(	1
ECCO2-Darwin &	1/3° (ECCO-Darwin) -	1998-2013 mean	Ganesan et al. (2020)			
ECCO-Darwin	1/6°(ECCO2-Darwin)	(ECCO-Darwin),	Carroll et al. (2020)			
		2006-2013 mean				
		(ECCO2-Darwin)				
Inland waters, estuaries a	and coastal vegetation (h	oth anthronogenic and r	natural)			

DLEM-TAC	0.5°×0.5°	1980-2019	Yao et al. (2020), Tian et al.	• F	ormatted: French	
			(2020)	F	ormatted Table	
Mechanistic Stochastic	0.5°×0.5°	2000	Lauerwald et al. (2019); Maavara	F	ormatted: French	
Model			et al. (2019)			
Meta analysis- based	watershed-level	2000	Hu et al. (2016)		ormatted: French	
upscaling	18 regions	1975-2020	Rosentreter et al. (2023)			
Integrated ML & Physical	0.5°×0.5°	2000	Marzadri et al. (2021)			
model						
Atmospheric inversion m	odels					
INVICAT	5.625°×5.625°	1997-2020	Wilson et al. (2014)	<f< td=""><td>ormatted Table</td><td></td></f<>	ormatted Table	
PyVAR-CAMS	3.75°×1.875°	1997-2020	Thompson et al. (2014)			
MIROC4-ACTM	~2.8°×2.8°	1997-2019	Patra et al. (2018,2022)			
GEOS-Chem	5°×4°	1997-2019	Wells et al. (2018)			
Other models and dataset	s <u>(anthropogenic)</u>					
SRNM (direct soil	<u>1/12°×1/12°</u>	<u>1980-2020</u>	<u>Wang et al. (2020)</u>	•(F	ormatted Table	
emission)						
Bookkeeping method,	0.25°×0.25°	1980-2020	Tian et al. (2020), Keller and		eleted: SRNM	
(perturbed fluxes from			Reiners (1994)		eleted: 1/12°×1/12°	
land cover change)					eleted: 1980-2020	
IMAGE-GNM_	Country-leveL	1980-2020	Bouwman et al. (2011), Bouwman		eleted: Wang et al. (2020)	
			at al (2012a)		eleted: Bookkeeping method	
			et al. (2015a)		eleted: 0.25°×0.25°	
<b>v</b>	<b>x</b>				eleted: 1980-2020	
					ormatted: French	

## 2.4 Model and inventory data synthesis

## 2.4.1 Natural N2O fluxes

- 495 'Natural soil baseline' emissions were obtained from the ensemble mean of the eight terrestrial biosphere models participated in NMIP-2 that run with pre-industrial land cover (Table 1): (1) Canadian Land Surface Scheme including Biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) (Asaadi & Arora, 2021; Melton et al., 2020; Kou-Giesbrecht & Arora, 2022), (2) the Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model (DLEM) (Tian, et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017; You et al., 2022), (3) E3SM Land Model (ELM) (Zhu et al., 2019), (4) the Integrated Science Assessment Model (ISAM) (Shu et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021), (5) Land Processes and 500 eXchanges model - Bern (LPX-Bern v1.4) (Lienert and Joos, 2018; Joos et al., 2020), (6) O-CN (Zaehle et al., 2011), (7)

Formatted Table
Formatted Table
Deleted: SRNM
Deleted: 1/12°×1/12°
Deleted: 1980-2020
Deleted: Wang et al. (2020)
Deleted: Bookkeeping method
Deleted: 0.25°×0.25°
Deleted: 1980-2020
Formatted: French
Deleted: Tian et al. (2020), Keller and Reiners (1994)
Deleted: IMAGE-GNM
Deleted: Country-level
Deleted: 1980-2020
Deleted: Bouwman et al. (2011), Bouwman et al. (2013a)

Formatted: French

Organising Carbon and Hydrology In Dynamic Ecosystems (ORCHIDEE) (Goll et al., 2017), and (8) Vegetation Integrated SImulator for Trace gases (VISIT) (Ito et al., 2018).

- 515 Natural emission from 'Inland water, estuaries, coastal vegetation' including inland and coastal waters were obtained from models by Yao et al. (2020), Maavara et al. (2019), Lauerwald et al. (2019), Marzadri et al. (2021), and the meta-analyses by Hu et al. (2016), Rosentreter et al. (2023). Since the data (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, and estuaries) provided by Hu et al. (2016), Maavara et al. (2019), Lauerwald et al. (2021), and Marzadri et al. (2021) are for the year 2000, we assumed that these values are constant during 1980–2020. Yao et al. (2020) provided annual riverine N<sub>2</sub>O emissions using DLEM during 1980-2019.
- 520 Here, we averaged riverine estimates from Yao et al. (2020), Maavara et al. (2019), Hu et al. (2016), and Marzadri et al. (2021), assuming that estimates of Maavara et al. (2019) and Hu et al. (2016) represent emissions from larger rivers only, while Yao et al. (2020) and Marzadri et al. (2021) also account for emissions from streams and small rivers. Note further that the estimate by Marzadri et al. (2021) is not fully global as it excludes river systems North of 60°N. Therefore, we did not use this assessment for the regions of Canada, US, Russia and Europe. DLEM also estimated annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from global
- 525 reservoirs, and we averaged these estimates with those from Maavara et al. (2019) to represent emissions from reservoirs during 1980–2020. The estimate for global and regional lakes was based on the long-term averaged values provided by Lauerwald et al. (2019) and an estimate by the DLEM-TAC model (Li et al., 2024). For estuaries, we combined the estimate by Maavara et al. (2019) which relies on a process-based modelling approach with a new meta-data analysis by Rosentreter et al. (2023). The analysis of Rosentreter et al. (2023) is observation-based and includes the contribution of coastal vegetated
- 530 ecosystems, a contribution not accounted for in Maavara et al. (2019). Estuaries and coastal vegetation data are from studies published between 1975-2020 and we assume fluxes are constant during 1980-2020 (Rosentreter et al. 2023). To disentangle natural and anthropogenic fluxes, we considered the emissions in the year 1900 simulated by DLEM (Yao et al., 2020) as equivalent to the natural emission, assuming that the N load from land was negligible in that period (Kroeze et al., 1999). Using this approach, we estimated that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from natural sources of rivers, reservoirs, lakes and estuaries accounted for
- 535 <u>44% (36%-52%) of the total emissions from inland waters</u>, taking into account all N inputs (i.e., inorganic, organic, dissolved, and particulate forms).

N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from continental shelves were calculated using one data-driven estimate and three high-resolution model estimates for various time periods (Resplandy et al., 2023, also see Supplementary Information SI-7), namely an observation-based estimate that relied on a random-forest (RF) algorithm to interpolate N<sub>2</sub>O data (Yang et al., 2020), based on a synthesis

540 of over 158,000 observations of N<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratio, partial pressure, and concentration in the surface ocean from the MEMENTO database (MEM-RF) (Kock and Bange, 2015), an estimate relying on the high-resolution configuration (Berthet et al., 2019) of the global ocean-biogeochemical component of CNRM-ESM2-1 (CNRM-0.25°), and two estimates relying on the ECCO-Darwin model run at 1/3° (ECCO-Darwin1) and 1/6° (ECCO-Darwin2), respectively. Considering that ECCO-Darwin1 and Darwin2 relied on the same model, their mean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were used.

Deleted: (Li et al. submitted)

Deleted: observation-based analysis

**Deleted:** quantified the contribution of natural sources to total emission from reservoirs, lakes, estuaries and coastal vegetation to be 44% (36%-52%)

18

Estimates of natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from open oceans are derived from four global ocean biogeochemistry models including Bern-3D (Battaglia and Joos, 2018), NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas (Berthet et al., 2023), NEMO-PlankTOM10 (Buitenhuis et al., 2018), and UVic2.9 (Landolfi et al., 2017). Towards the N<sub>2</sub>O budget synthesis, modeling groups reported gridded monthly

555 fluxes at a  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  resolution for the period 1980-2020. Specific details on ocean model configurations and N<sub>2</sub>O parameterizations are reported in the individual model publications.

We combined the estimate from lightning with that from atmospheric production into an integrated category 'Lightning and atmospheric production' (Kolhmann and Poppe, 1999; Dentener and Crutzen, 1994). We simplified the 'Lightning and atmospheric production' category as purely natural, although atmospheric production is affected to some extent by

560 anthropogenic activities such as enhancement of the concentrations of the reactive species NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. This category is in any case very small and the anthropogenic enhancement effect is uncertain. The estimate of 'Surface sink' was obtained from Schlesinger (2013) and Syakila et al. (2010).

## 2.4.2 Direct emissions from nitrogen additions (agriculture)

Agriculture N<sub>2</sub>O emissions consist of four components: 'Direct soil emissions', 'Manure left on pasture', 'Manure 565 management', and 'Aquaculture'. Data for 'Direct soil emissions' were obtained as the ensemble mean of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the average of two inventories (EDGAR v7.0 and FAOSTAT), the SRNM/DLEM models, and the NMIP2/DLEM models. The statistical model SRNM only covers cropland N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Thus, we added the DLEM-based estimate of pasture N<sub>2</sub>O emissions into the two estimates of cropland to represent direct agricultural soil emissions (i.e., SRNM/DLEM or NMIP2/DLEM). 'Manure left on pasture' is the ensemble mean of EDGAR v7.0, FAOSTAT, and DLEM. 'Manure

- 570 management' emissions are the mean of EDGAR v7.0 and FAOSTAT. <u>FAOSTAT emission factors for N additions are based on the 2006 guidelines.</u> Global N flows (i.e., fish feed intake, fish harvest, and waste) in freshwater and marine aquaculture were obtained from Bouwman et al. (2011), Bouwman et al. (2013a) and Beusen et al. (2016) and based on IMAGE-GNM aquaculture nutrient budget model for the period 1980–2020. We then calculated global aquaculture N<sub>2</sub>O emissions as an 1.8% loss of N waste in aquaculture, i.e., the same EF used in Hu et al. (2012) and MacLeod et al. (2019). The uncertainty range of the EF is from 0.5% (Eggleston et al., 2006) to 5% (Williams and Crutzen, 2010), the same range used in the UNEP report
- (Bouwman et al., 2013b).

## 2.4.3 Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources.

This category includes 'Fossil fuel and industry', 'Waste and wastewater', and 'Biomass burning'. Both emissions from 'Fossil fuel and industry' and 'Waste and wastewater' were calculated as the ensemble means of EDGAR v7.0 and UNFCCC
databases. The 'Biomass burning' emission is the ensemble mean of FAOSTAT, DLEM, and GFED4s databases. In EDGAR v7.0, 'Waste and wastewater' includes 'Waste incineration' and 'Wastewater handling'. We merged 'Transportation', 'Energy', 'Industry', and 'Residential and other sectors' to represent the total emission from 'Fossil fuel and industry'. <u>The FAOSTAT emissions database of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) covers emissions of
</u>

Formatted: English (US)

Moved (insertion) [2]

N2O from agriculture and land use by country and globally, from 1961 to 2020 for agriculture, and from 1990 for relevant land
 use categories, i.e., cultivation of histosols, biomass burning, etc., applying only Tier-1 coefficients (Tubiello et al., 2022;
 2021; Conchedda and Tubiello, 2020; Prosperi et al., 2020). In addition to the IPCC agriculture burning categories 'Burning crop residues' and 'Burning savannah', we included FAOSTAT estimates for N2O emissions from deforestation fires, forest fires and peatland fires (Prosperi et al., 2020).

#### 2.4.4 Indirect emissions from anthropogenic N additions

- 590 This category considers N deposition on land and ocean ('N deposition on land' and 'N deposition on ocean'), as well as the N leaching and runoff from upstream ('Inland and coastal waters'). The emission from 'N deposition on ocean' was provided by Suntharalingam et al. (2012) which includes emission from both open oceans and continental shelves, while emission from 'N deposition on land' was the average of two estimates by NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0 and NMIP2. EDGAR v7.0 provided estimates of indirect emissions from both agricultural and non-agricultural sectors, however, here, we sum the ensemble mean
- 595 of NMIP2 estimates of indirect emissions from agricultural sectors with indirect emissions from non-agricultural sector of EDGAR v7.0 (i.e., NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0) to represent N deposition induced soil emissions from both agricultural and nonagricultural sectors. The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from 'Inland and coastal waters' consist of rivers, reservoirs, lakes, estuaries, and continental shelves, which is the ensemble mean of an average of two inventories (EDGAR v7.0 Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions leaching and runoff - and FAOSTAT), and the mean of meta-analysis and models. The anthropogenic emission from inland
- 600 freshwaters estimated by Yao et al. (2020) considered annual N inputs and other environmental factors (i.e., climate, elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover change). <u>The results in Yao et al. (2020) suggested that 56% of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from rivers, reservoirs, estuaries and lakes was attributed to anthropogenic N additions. Empirical methods (empirical models and metaanalysis) adopted this ratio to calculate long-term average anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inland waters, consistent with Tian et al. (2020)<sub>x</sub>Seagrass, mangrove, and saltmarsh N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were updated from Rosentreter et al., (2023)<sub>x</sub></u>

#### 605 2.4.5 Perturbation of N2O fluxes from climate/CO2/land cover change

The estimate of climate and  $CO_2$  effects on emissions was based on eight NMIP2 models, and we used SH1–SH7 and SH1–SH8 to model the effects of  $CO_2$  and climate on global terrestrial soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, respectively. The effect of land cover change on N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics includes the reduction due to 'Long-term effect of reduced mature forest area' and the additional emissions due to 'Post-deforestation pulse effect'. The two estimates were based on the book-keeping approach and the DLEM model simulation. The book-keeping method is developed by (Houghton et al., 1983) for accounting for carbon

- 610 the DLEM model simulation. The book-keeping method is developed by (Houghton et al., 1983) for accounting for carbon flows due to land use. In the original bookkeeping model developed by Houghton et al. (1983), land conversion and the affected carbon pools are tracked each year. The initial values of carbon pools are set for each type of land use. Annual changes of carbon pools in areas affected by land use change or some land management practices (like wood harvest and fire management) are prescribed in the model using response curves, which are usually a function of the age of the newly converted land usual.
- 615 These response curves are specific for each type of land cover type and land use change and do not include the effects of environmental changes (Houghton and Castanho, 2023). For each age cohort, it either gains carbon (afforestation or reforestation) or loses carbon (deforestation) until its carbon pools reach a new stable state (the response curve converges). A similar book-keeping method was developed to account for N<sub>2</sub>O emission due to deforestation. Here different from the original bookkeeping model calculating carbon fluxes through tracking changes in vegetation or soil pools, the response curves directly

20

#### Deleted:

**Moved up [2]:** The FAOSTAT emissions database of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) covers emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from agriculture and land use by country and globally, from 1961 to 2020 for agriculture, and from 1990 for relevant land use categories, i.e., cultivation of histosols, biomass burning, etc., applying only Tier-1 coefficients (Tubiello et al., 2022; 2021; Conchedda and Tubiello, 2020; Prosperi et al., 2020).

**Deleted:** For the long-term average in rivers, reservoirs, estuaries and lakes estimated by empirical methods, we applied a mean of 56% (based on the ratio of anthropogenic to total N additions from land) to calculate anthropogenic emissions.

**Deleted:** Coastal wetlands with low disturbance generally either have low N<sub>2</sub>O emissions or act as a sink for N<sub>2</sub>O (Erler et al., 2015; Murray et al., 2020).

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: (Houghton and Castanho 2023)

Climate	<u>CO2</u>	Land cover	<u>Irrigation</u>	<u>Ndep</u>	<u>Nfer</u>	ManureN
<u>1901-1920</u>	<u>1850</u>	1850	1850	<u>1850</u>	<u>1850</u>	1850
<u>•</u>	<u>•</u>	<u>•</u>	<u>•</u>	<u>•</u>	<u>•</u>	<u>*</u>
• =	•	•	• =	•	•	1850
• =	•	• =	• =	•	1850	• =
• =	•	• =	• =	1850	•	• =
•	•	•	1850	•	•	•
•	•	1850	•	•	•	• =
•	1850	•	•	•	•	• =
1901-1920	•	•	•	•	•	• =
1901-1920	1850	1850	1850	1850	•	• =
• =	1850	1850	1850	1850	1850	1850
<u>*</u>	<u>•</u>	1850	1850	<u>•</u>	1850	1850
<u>*</u>	<u>•</u>	<u>.</u>	1850	<u>•</u>	1850	1850
	Climate <u>1901-1920</u> = = = = <u>= 1901-1920</u> <u>1901-1920</u> = = = = = = = = =	Climate       CO2         1901-1920       1850         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       •         •       1850         •       1850         •       •         •       •         •       •	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

tracking annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions after deforestation, which are also a function of the age of newly converted land use, were developed in our bookkeeping method (The details refer to Supplementary Information SI-9), Table 2, Simulation design of NMIP2.

 Note: For historical simulations, "•" indicates the forcing during 1850-2020 is included in the simulation, "1901-1920"
 640 indicates the 20-year mean climate condition during 1901-1920 will be used over the entire simulation period, and "1850"
 indicates the forcing will be fixed in 1850 over the entire period. Climate data is only available from 1901; we assume the 20yr average value between 1901 and 1920 for the vears 1850-1900. N deposition is available only from 1850. Manure N is available only from 1860; we assume manure N at the 1860 value for years 1850-1860. N fertilizer before 1910 was zero.

## 2.4.6 Atmospheric production of reactive nitrogen

645 N2O production in the atmosphere is a relatively small component of the global budget. N2O is produced by the gaseous phase oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> in the troposphere, however, there are few published estimates of this source and it remains poorly constrained. In this paper, we refer to the two known published estimates, which are 0.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Kolhmann and Poppe, 1999) and 0.6 (0.3-1.1) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Dentener and Crutzen, 1994), that are derived using global models of atmospheric chemistry and transport. Since human activities have greatly affected the atmospheric abundance of NH<sub>3</sub> a significant portion of this source may be considered anthropogenic. Lightning production of NO<sub>x</sub> indirectly leads to N<sub>2</sub>O emission through its oxidation and subsequent deposition on land and ocean. A recent study estimated the global lightning production of NO<sub>x</sub> to be 9 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Nault et al. 2017), which is larger than previous estimates of 5 (2-8) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Schumann and Huntrieser et al. 2007). In this study, we assume an effective emission factor of 1% (de Klein et al. 2006) and using the median estimate of 5 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>

21

**Commented [HS1]:** Naiqing, this part could be added to the paragraph.

#### Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: (see Supplementary Information SI-9).

Deleted: A4

of NOx, we estimate a global source of N<sub>2</sub>O of 0.05 (0.02-0.09) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. There is also N<sub>2</sub>O production from N2 +O(1D), which amounts to about 2% of the atmospheric source in the stratosphere (Estupiñán et al. 2005).

2.5 Atmospheric observation data synthesis

#### 2.5.1 Atmospheric burden and trends from tropospheric observations

660 The monthly tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fraction and their growth rates are derived from three different atmospheric observational networks: The Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE, Prinn et al. 2018), The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO, Francey et al. 2003) and the National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, Dutton et al. 2023; Lan et al. 2022). Further information on the three networks' stations, instruments, calibration, uncertainties and access to data are provided in the Supplementary Information, SI-12 Atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O Observation

665 Networks.

> The atmospheric burden and its rate of change during 1980-2020 were derived from mean maritime surface abundance (mole fraction) of N<sub>2</sub>O (Prather et al., 2023) with a conversion factor of 4.79 Tg N ppb<sup>-1</sup> (Prather, et al., 2012). Combining uncertainties in measuring the annual mean surface mole fraction, which are <1 ppb (Dlugokencky et al., 1994), with those of converting surface mole fractions to a global mean abundance, we estimate a ±1.4 % uncertainty in the absolute burden (Prather

670 et al., 2012). The uncertainty in the ppb-to-Tg conversion does not affect the trend uncertainty. This uncertainty is estimated to be  $\pm 0.2$  ppb or  $\pm 1$  Tg N between any two years over any recent period, based on the combined NOAA and AGAGE record of surface N2O taken from Table 2.1 of the IPCC AR5 (Hartmann et al., 2013). Thus, the uncertainty in the burden change between two decades (e.g., 2000s to 2010s) is bounded by  $\pm 1$  Tg N (<0.1 %),

## 2.5.2 Atmospheric loss rates and trends from stratospheric observations

675 The NASA Aura MLS satellite instrument has provided consistent global measurements of stratospheric N2O, O3 and temperature (T) since August 2004. These have been used with simple stratospheric chemistry models to calculate the monthly mean stratospheric loss of N<sub>2</sub>O due to photolysis and oxidation by O(<sup>1</sup>D) (Prather et al., 2015; 2023; Minschwaner et al. 1998). Tropospheric chemical loss also occurs, but at a very low rate (<1% of the total) and is thus not included in the calculations.

680

2.5.3 Atmospheric inversion estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and losses

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: s

Deleted: and that of converting surface mole fractions to a global mean abundance (Prather et al., 2012), we estimate a ±1.4% uncertainty in the burden. Annual change in atmospheric abundance is calculated from the combined NOAA and AGAGE record of surface N2O and uncertainty on atmospheric abundance estimates is taken from the IPCC AR5

690 For the TD constraints on both land and ocean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes for the period 1998–2020, we used estimates from four independent atmospheric inversion frameworks (INVICAT, PyVAR-CAMS, MIROC4-ACTM, and GEOS-Chem), all of which used a Bayesian inversion method (see supplementary information for details on the inversion frameworks).

The inversion frameworks INVICAT and PyVAR-CAMS used the transport models TOMCAT and LMDz5, respectively, which were both driven by ECMWF ERA5 meteorology, while MIROC4-ACTM used the transport model ACTM, which was

- 695 driven by JRA-55 meteorology, and GEOS-Chem used the transport model of the same name, which was driven by MERRA-2 meteorology. All inversion frameworks assumed that the prior distribution of emissions followed a normal distribution, with the multivariate mean taken from different models and data products, with standard deviations detailed in the supplement. Specifically, GEOS-Chem, INVICAT and PyVAR-CAMS built prior flux distributions for natural soil emissions from the terrestrial biospheric model O-CN (Zaehle et al., 2011) and for biomass burning emissions from GFED-v4s (van der Werf et
- 700 al., 2017). For anthropogenic emissions from agricultural and non-agricultural sectors (excluding biomass burning), estimates from EDGAR v5 were used to build the prior for the period 2005-2020 (since these estimates were only available up to 2015, the emissions for 2016-2020 were estimated based on those of the year 2015) and for the period 1997-2004, the estimates from EDGAR-v4.32 were used. On the other hand, MIROC4-ACTM used the estimate from the terrestrial biospheric model VISIT for natural soils emissions and EDGAR v4.2 estimates for all anthropogenic emissions.
- 705 The inversion frameworks used atmospheric observations from ground-based networks, specifically NOAA, AGAGE and CSIRO (see supplementary information for details).

The atmospheric transport models also calculate the loss of N<sub>2</sub>O in the stratosphere by photolysis and oxidation by O(<sup>1</sup>D) radicals (Minschwaner et al. 1998). The TD mean posterior estimates for the 18 land regions were calculated by integrating the gridded fluxes at  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  over each region (the fluxes were interpolated from the original model resolution to  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ ).

## 710 3. Results

## 3.1 Trends in atmospheric mole fractions and implied emissions

#### 3.1.1 Trends in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fractions

The three observation networks AGAGE, NOAA and CSIRO show consistent growth in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fractions from 315.8 (315.5-316.2) ppb in 2000 to 335.9 (335.6-336.1) ppb in 2022. The mean annual growth rate increased from 0.76 (0.55-0.95) ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2000s to 0.96 (0.79-1.15) ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2010s with significant seasonal and interannual variations. In 2020 and 2021, the N<sub>2</sub>O atmospheric growth rate was 1.33 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup> and 1.38 ppb yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, both higher than any previous observed year (since 1980), and was more than 30% higher than the average value in the decade of the 2010s (Figure 2). As is shown in Figure 5, the observed N<sub>2</sub>O mole fraction in 2020 (mean: 333.2, 332.7-333.5 ppb) has exceeded predicted levels across the four illustrative Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) (329.2-331.5 ppb) used in CMIP5 (Meinshausen et



# 720 al. 2011) and the seven illustrative Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) (330.5-331.9 ppb) used in CMIP6 (Meinshausen et al. 2020).

Figure 5. Comparison between measured global N<sub>2</sub>O mole fractions from the three GHG observing networks and the projected mole fractions from (a) the four illustrative Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, and (b) the seven illustrative Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) used in CMIP6.

## 3.2 N<sub>2</sub>O sources and sinks: BU estimates

#### 3.2.1 Anthropogenic sources

## 730 3.2.1.1 Global anthropogenic emissions during 1980-2020

Global total anthropogenic emissions increased in the last four decades, from 4.8 (3.1-7.3) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 6.7 (3.3-10.9) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 6). Among all anthropogenic sources, direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector made the largest contribution to the increase, which grew from 2.2 (1.6-2.8) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 3.9 (2.9-5.1) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions also steadily increased during the study period, from 0.9 (0.7-1.1) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 1.3

735 (0.9-1.6) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. In contrast, other direct anthropogenic emissions did not have a trend, and the total amount fluctuated around 2.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. Perturbed fluxes from climate/CO<sub>2</sub>/land cover change led to a small increase in N<sub>2</sub>O sink, from -0.4 (-0.9-1.0) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to -0.6 (-2.2-1.8) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020.

Deleted: , with large uncertainties



## 740

Figure 6. Changes in global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (a) and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from different sectors (b-e) during 1980-2020. For each sub-figure, the line represents the mean N<sub>2</sub>O emission of different estimates, and the shaded area shows minimum and maximum estimates.

## 745

## 3.2.1.2 Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in the agricultural sector (Agriculture)

In the past four decades, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from all the four sources within the agricultural sector significantly increased (Figure 7), with the largest contribution from direct soil emissions (from 1.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 2.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020), followed by manure left on pasture (from 0.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 1.4 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020), aquaculture (from 0.01 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.12 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020), and manure management (from 0.24 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.26 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020).

Direct soil emissions accounted for the largest proportion of emissions from the agriculture sector. All four estimates show a steady increase in direct soil emissions since 1980 (Figure 7a). Among them, NMIP2/DLEM exhibited the largest magnitude

and the fastest increase rate, from 1.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 2.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. By contrast, SRNM/DLEM suggested the slowest increase rate, from 1.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 1.7 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. The estimates of the two inventories (FAOSTAT and

- EDGARv7.0) exhibited similar magnitudes and trends, especially after 1990. All three estimates suggested a significant increasing trend for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from manure left on pasture over the period 1980-2020. Although all methods showed an increasing trend, they had significant differences in magnitude and increase rate (Figure 7b). FAOSTAT showed the largest magnitude and increase rate, from 1.2 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 1.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. However, DLEM showed a smaller magnitude and a slower increase rate, from 0.5 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Although the two inventory estimates for emissions from manure management showed similar temporal variations, FAOSTAT has a larger magnitude than EDGARv7.0 (Figure 7c). According to the IMAGE-GNM aquaculture nutrient budget model, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from aquaculture increased
  - more than tenfold, from 0.01 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.12 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 7d).





## 3.2.1.3 Other direct anthropogenic sources

Fossil fuel and industry emissions accounted for the largest proportion of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources. Estimates from two approaches showed different trends during their overlapping period: EGDARv7.0 had an
 increasing trend from 0.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1990 to 1.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, while EDGAR/UNFCCC did not show a trend with 1.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1990 and 1.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 8a). These inventories, however, do not capture a strong increase in emissions

from adipic acid production since 2010 (Davidson and Winiwarter, 2023). Both EDGARv7.0 and EDGAR/UNFCCC shows a steady and significant increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from waste and wastewater. Although EDGAR/UNFCCC shows a larger magnitude than EGDARv7.0, these two inventory estimates show similar growth rates (Figure 8b). There are large uncertainties in the magnitude and temporal trend of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from biomass burning (Figure 8c). DLEM and GFED

775 uncertainties in the magnitude and temporal trend of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from biomass burning (Figure 8c). DLEM and GFED show a larger magnitude of emissions than FAOSTAT. Both DLEM and GFED have a decreasing trend over the overlapping period of 1997-2020, however, FAOSTAT shows no significant trend during this period.



780 Figure 8. Changes in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources: fossil fuel (a), waste and wastewater (b), and biomass burning (c) during 1980-2020.

## 3.2.1.4 Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions

Global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inland waters, estuaries and coastal vegetation continuously increased during 1980-2020 (Figure 9a). Although all methods revealed an overall increasing trend in emissions, process-based models show a much smaller magnitude and increase rate than the two inventories. According to meta-analysis and models, anthropogenic emissions from inland and coastal waters increased from 0.11 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.15 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. In contrast, EGDARv7.0 and FAOSTAT showed emissions increased from 0.33 and 0.35 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.53 and 0.57 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, respectively. Emissions from N deposition on land also continued to increase during 1980-2020 (Figure 9b). NMIP2 and NMIP2/EDGAR
 790 vr<sup>-0</sup> show emissions increasing from 0.6 and 0.4 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.9 and 0.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, respectively.

Deleted: EDGAR

Deleted: NMIP2





795 Figure 9. Changes in indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions to inland waters (river, lake and reservoir), estuaries and coastal vegetation, and N deposition on land during 1980-2020.

## 3.2.1.5 Perturbation fluxes from climate/CO<sub>2</sub>/land cover change

The spread between different estimates (DLEM and the bookkeeping method) on post-deforestation pulse effect increased

from the 1980s to the 2010s, The post-deforestation pulse effect was 0.8 (0.6-1.1) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 and 0.8 (0.4-1.3) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 10a). In contrast, DLEM and empirical approaches are comparable in terms of the magnitude and temporal changes in long-term reduction effect of deforestation, both approaches suggested a strong long-term reduction effect, which grew from -1.2 (-1.0, -1.4) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to -1.4 (-1.3, -1.6) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 10b). In general, deforestation had a negative effect on global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. However, most NMIP2 models suggested a positive effect of climate change on soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, although with large uncertainty and significant interannual variations; this positive climate feedback
- 805 significantly increased during the past four decades (Figure 10c). In contrast to climatic effects, most NMIP2 models suggested a negative effect of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions through increasing nitrogen use efficiency and hence reducing soil N availability (Figure 10d). However, NMIP2 models have large discrepancies in the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions; ELM and ISAM suggested a positive effect, while all the other models suggest a negative effect.



**Deleted:** Simulations with both DLEM and book-keeping approach suggested increasing uncertainties in post-deforestation pulse effect during 1980-2020

Figure 10. Changes in perturbed N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover during 1980-2020. <u>For each</u> sub-figure, the line represents the mean N<sub>2</sub>O emission of different estimates, and the shaded area shows minimum and maximum estimates.

## 3.2.2 Natural N<sub>2</sub>O sources

815

Emissions from natural soils and open oceans kept relatively steady throughout the study period 1980-2020, with mean estimates fluctuating between 9.9-10.3 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (minimum estimates: 6.2-7.1 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>; maximum estimates: 12.8-13.6 Tg
820 N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Natural emissions from all other sources including shelves, inland waters, and lightning and atmospheric production were assumed to be constant during 1980-2020. According to BU approaches, the total natural emissions from these sources were 1.8 (1.0-3.0) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. The mean value of global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from all the above-mentioned sources fluctuated between 11.7-12.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, with an average of 11.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. Global natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions also have a large uncertainty, with the maximum estimates (15.8-16.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>) roughly double the minimum estimates (7.1-8.1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 825 3.2.2.1 Natural soil N2O emission baseline

The Natural soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission baseline represents the preindustrial soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions derived from NMIP2 simulations, driven by potential vegetation/land cover and other environmental factors in the pre-industrial period (1850). Global natural soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are estimated to be 6.4 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, and account for 55% of the total natural emissions. However, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from natural soils estimated by the NMIP2 showed large divergences among eight models. Among the NMIP2

830 models, ELM had the highest estimate with an average of 8.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, which was more than double the estimate from the CLASSIC model (3.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>).

## 3.2.2.2 Natural N<sub>2</sub>O emission baseline from open ocean and continental shelves

We also estimated N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the open oceans and continental shelves. Open ocean is the second largest source of natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with a global mean value fluctuating between 3.4 and 3.8 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> during 1980-2020. Open ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were estimated by four ocean models. Among these models, NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas had the highest estimate, with an average of 4.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, while NEMO-PlankTOM10 had the lowest estimate with an average of 2.8 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. The four ocean models show different trends in open ocean emissions. NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas shows a slight increasing trend, while the other three models show consistent decreasing trends. In addition to open oceans, shelves are an important source of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, which was not quantified in the previous global N<sub>2</sub>O budget (Tian et al., 2020). Global shelf N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were

840 estimated by two high-resolution models (CNRM and ECCO) and one data product (MEM-RF). The average of the three estimates is 1.2 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from 0.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (ECCO) to 1.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (MEM-RF).

#### 3.2.2.3 Natural N2O emission from inland waters, estuaries & coastal vegetation

## 30

**Deleted:** Global total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from all-natural sources, including natural emissions from soils, open oceans, shelves, lightning, inland waters, estuaries, and coastal vegetarion, natural soils, and open oceans remained relatively steady throughout the study period 1980-2020. The mean value of global natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions fluctuated between 11.5-11.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>,

#### ( Deleted: 7

#### Deleted: 0

Natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from inland waters and estuaries were much smaller than emissions from the soils, oceans and shelves. It has an average value of 0.08 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from 0.05 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.14 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. Rivers are the largest source emitting 0.04 (0.01-0.08) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> of N<sub>2</sub>O, and account for 48% of the natural emissions from inland waters and estuaries. The global natural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from lakes and estuaries were 0.02 (0.01-0.03) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.02 (0.02-0.03) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

## 3.2.2.4 Lightning, atmospheric production and natural sinks

The source of reactive N from lightning, and its contribution to N<sub>2</sub>O, and the direct production of N<sub>2</sub>O from NH<sub>3</sub> in the atmosphere are relatively small, and we have no new estimates in this work. However, synthesizing the available estimates in the scientific literature, we estimate lightning to contribute 0.05 (0.02-0.09) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (median and range) (Nault et al. 2017; Schumann and Huntrieser et al. 2007) and atmospheric production to contribute 0.5 (0.3-1.1) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (Kolhmann and Poppe, 1999; Dentener and Crutzen, 1994).

Similarly, the surface sink of N<sub>2</sub>O is small and we do not produce a new estimate in this budget but only synthesize available estimates from the literature. We estimate the global surface sink to be 0.01 (0.0 - 0.3) TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3.3 N<sub>2</sub>O sources and sinks: TD estimates

## 3.3.1 TD total source

855

870

Ensemble estimates across the four atmospheric inversions show that the long-term average global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 1997-2020 was 16.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (minimum: 15.5 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>; maximum: 18.2 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>). All four inversions show a significant increasing trend in global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (p<0.05) with a mean rate of increase of 0.10 TgN yr<sup>-2</sup> (0.08 - 0.12 TgN yr<sup>-2</sup>) (Figure 11a).



Figure 11. Annual global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 1997-2020 estimated by four atmospheric inversions (TD model). (a) Total global emission, (b) Land emission and (c) ocean emission.

#### 875

## 3.3.1.1 TD land emission

880

The estimates derived from the four inversions show that the land-based emission is the dominant source of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, over ocean sources, and the long-term average land N<sub>2</sub>O emission during 1997-2020 was 13.7 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (minimum: 12.6 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>; maximum: 15.0 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>), contributing 80-85% of the global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Land sources dominated the interannual variability of global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and the trend (Figure 11b). All TD models suggested a significant increasing trend in land N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the study period 1997-2020 (p<0.05), with increase rate ranging from 0.09 TgN yr<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 0.13 TgN yr<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub> which were higher than the increase rates of prior fluxes (mean: 0.04 TgN yr<sup>2</sup>, range: 0.00-0.08 TgN yr<sup>2</sup>).

## 3.3.1.2 TD ocean emission

The magnitude of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from oceans is much smaller than that from land (Figure 11c). The mean ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emission during 1997-2020 derived from four inversion models was 2.9 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from a minimum of 2.7 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> to a maximum of 3.3 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>. The estimates of MIROC4 were much higher than the estimates of other models. The four inversions show divergent interannual variability, and none suggested a significant trend. The TD estimates on ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emission is much smaller than that estimated by four ocean biogeochemical models, with a global mean value fluctuating between 3.4 and 3.8 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> during 1980-2020.

## 890 3.3.2 TD stratospheric sink

The four inversions have comparable magnitudes of global stratospheric  $N_2O$  sink (via photolysis and oxidation by the electronically excited atomic oxygen,  $O(^1D)$ , in the stratosphere), with an average value of 12.4 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (min, max of 12.2, 12.7 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup>) for 2000-2020 (Figure 12). All four inversions found that the global stratospheric  $N_2O$  sink increased during 1997-2020 (Figure 13) in proportion to the growing atmospheric  $N_2O$  abundance, with an average rate of increase of 0.05 TgN

895 yr<sup>2</sup> (0.03 - 0.07 TgN yr<sup>2</sup>). Differences among the estimates decreased after 2000 likely due to improvements in observation coverage and accuracy, but possibly also due to decreasing influence of the initial mixing ratio fields, which differed among the inversion frameworks. Although the inversions show comparable trends in the sink, they differ in their inter-annual variability.

We also provide an independent estimate for the stratospheric sink based on satellite observations and a photolysis model. This estimate likewise showed that the sink increased, from 12.8 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 1990s to 14.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2010s (Table 3), with higher annual loss rates than estimated by the inversions, and an average loss of 13.4 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2005-2021. This estimate also showed large quasi-biennial interannual variability with an amplitude of 7 %. More interestingly, over this time period the abundance of N<sub>2</sub>O in the middle stratosphere, where the greatest loss of N<sub>2</sub>O occurs, was increasing at a rate of 5.0+-1.2 %/decade, which is faster than the increase in the tropospheric abundance of 2.9+-0.0 %/decade. This resulted in a

905 greater loss of N2O (i.e., more than proportionate to the mean atmospheric increase) and thus a decrease of the mean

## Deleted: 1 Deleted: 1 Deleted: .

910 atmospheric lifetime (burden divided by loss) of  $2.1 \pm 0.7\%$  per decade, from 119.3 years in the 2000s to 117 years in the 2010s (Prather et al. 2023, also see Table 3). These changes are thought to be a result of an increase in the intensity of Brewer-Dobson Circulation (BDC), which would transport N<sub>2</sub>O more rapidly from the troposphere into the mid-stratosphere. An increase in the intensity of BDC is predicted by climate models (Oberlander-Hayn et al. 2016). However, we note that none of the atmospheric inversions found a significant trend in the atmospheric lifetime (although the total loss increased, Figure 12)

915 and more research is needed to identify why there is this discrepancy.



Figure 12. Global stratospheric N2O sink estimated by atmospheric inversions, satellite and photolysis model during 1997-2020.

## 920 3.4 Decadal patterns and trend of the global N2O budget: Comparisons between BU and TD approaches

BU approaches provide estimates of  $N_2O$  fluxes for the identified sources and sinks during 1980-2020, while TD approaches only provide the total net flux during 1997-2020. In the following analyses of the decadal global  $N_2O$  budget, the comparison between BU and TD approaches is only for total  $N_2O$  estimates. We rely on BU approaches to quantify all identified sources and sinks (Table 3, Figure 1).

Deleted: 2

3.4.1 Global N2O budget in recent decade (2010-2019)

925

The BU and TD approaches give remarkably consistent estimates of global total N2O emissions in the 2010s, with values of

- 930 182 (minimum-maximum: 106-25.9) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 17.4 (minimum-maximum: 15.8-19.2) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig 1, Table 2), respectively. However, the BU estimate shows a large uncertainty range in part because of the spread of estimates from process-based models. TD approaches estimate that the stratospheric sink (i.e., N<sub>2</sub>O losses via photolysis and reaction with O(<sup>1</sup>D) in the stratosphere) for the 2010s was 12.6 (12.3 12.9) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. However, the atmospheric sink estimate based on satellite observations and a photolysis model for the 2010s was 13.4 (12.3 14.5) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. The imbalance of sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O derived from the averaged BU and TD estimates is 4.7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. This imbalance agrees well with the observed increase
- in atmospheric abundance of N<sub>2</sub>O between 2010 and 2019 of 4.6 (4.5–4.7) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Based on the BU-based estimates, natural sources contributed <u>65%</u> of total emissions (mean: 11<u>.8</u>; min-max: 7<u>.3</u>–15.9 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Specifically, the natural soil flux contributed the most, with the decadal mean of 6.4 (3.9–8.6) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, followed by the open ocean emissions (mean: 3.5, 2.5–4.7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), shelf emissions (mean: 1.2, 0.6–1.6 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), lightning and atmospheric production (mean:
- 940 0.6, 0.2–1.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), and natural emissions from inland waters and estuaries (mean: 0.1, 0.0–0.1 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 1). Anthropogenic sources contributed, on average, 35% to the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (mean: 6.5; minimum-maximum: 3.2–10.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in the 2010s. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 3.6 (2.7–4.8) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, contributing to 56% of the total anthropogenic emissions (Table 2). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources made the second largest contribution, with a decadal mean of 2.1 (1.8–2.4) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions
- 945 contributed to 19% of the total anthropogenic emissions, with a decadal mean of 1.2 (0.9–1.6) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (mean: -0.6, -2.1–1.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), mainly because of the negative effects of reduced mature forest area (mean: -1.4, -1.6– -1.3 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) and increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (mean: -0.7, -1.5–0.3 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>).

## 3.4.2 Decadal trend of the global N2O budget

- Global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by the BU and TD approaches were comparable in magnitude during the overlapping period 1997–2020, but TD estimates implied a larger inter-annual variability and a faster rate of increase (Figure 13a). BU and TD approaches diverge when estimating the magnitude of land emissions compared with ocean emissions, although they are consistent with respect to trends (Figure 13b). According to the BU approaches, global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions increased from 17.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (10.2-24.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 1997 to 18.5 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (10.6-27.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 2020, with an average increase rate of 0.043
  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (*p*<0.05). In contrast, TD approaches suggested global emissions increased from 15.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (13.9-16.7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 1997 to 17.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (16.6-17.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) in 2020, implying a higher increase rate of 0.085 Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (*p*<0.05). The BU estimate during 1997–2010 was on average 1.6 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> higher than the TD estimate. However, after 2010, the difference in the magnitude of emissions between the two approaches is smaller, because of the rapid increase in the TD estimates. Since the year 1980, BU approaches suggested a significant increase in global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions that was primarily driven by
- 960anthropogenic sources (Table 3). Satellite and photolysis model estimate that the atmospheric N2O burden increased from 1528Tg N in the 2000s to 1570 in the 2010s and 1592 Tg N in 2020, which is comparable to estimates by atmospheric chemistry

2	- 4
- 1	2
~	_

Deleted: 1	
Deleted: 4	
Deleted: 2	

Deleted: 64	
Deleted: 6	
Deleted: 2	
Deleted: 4	
Deleted: 2	
Deleted: 36	
Deleted: 2	

Deleted: 2	
Deleted: 2	
Deleted: 1	
Deleted: 3	
Deleted: 5	
Deleted: 2	



transport models, showing an increase in atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O burden from 1527 (1504-1545) Tg N in the 2000s to 1606 (1592-1621) Tg N in 2020.

Figure 13. Comparison of global and regional N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches. The 18 regions include United States (USA), Canada (CAN), Central America (CAM), Northern South America (NSA), Brazil (BRA),
 Southwest South America (SSA), Europe (EU), Northern Africa (NAF), Equatorial Africa (EQAF), Southern Africa (SAF), Russia (RUS), Central Asia (CAS), Middle East (MIDE), China (CHN), Korea and Japan (KAJ), South Asia (SAS), Southeast Asia (SAS), and Australasia (AUS). <u>The blue lines represent the mean N<sub>2</sub>O emission from bottom-up methods and the shaded areas show minimum and maximum estimates; the red lines represent the mean N<sub>2</sub>O emission from top-down methods and the shaded areas show minimum and maximum estimates.
</u>

Formatted: Subscript

990

980

## Table 3. The global N<sub>2</sub>O budget for the decades of the 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s, and year 2020 (Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>)

Deleted: 2

	1980-1989		1990-1999	2000-2009	2010-2019	2020
Anthropogenic sources (BU)		Mean (Min,				
		Max)	Max)	Max)	Max)	Max)
Agricultural	d Direct soil emissions 1.2 (1.1, 1.3)		1.5 (1.2, 1.6)	1.7 (1.4, 2.0)	2.0 (1.6, 2.4)	2.1 (1.7, 2.6)
	Manure left on pasture	0.9 (0.5, 1.3)	1.1 (0.6, 1.4)	1.2 (0.7, 1.6)	1.3 (0.8, 1.8)	1.4 (0.9, 1.9)

[	1						-
	Manure management	0.2 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)	0.2 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)	0.3 (0.2, 0.3)	
	Aquaculture	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.2)	0.1 (0.0, 0.3)	0.1 (0.0, 0.3)	
	Subtotal	2.4 (1.8, 3.0)	2.8 (2.1, 3.4)	3.2 (2.3, 4.0)	3.6 (2.7, 4.8)	3.9 (2.9, 5.1)	
Other direct	Fossil fuels and	1.0 (1.0, 1.0)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.1 (1.0, 1.1)	
anthropogenic	industry						
sources	Waste and wastewater	0.1 (0.1, 0.1)	0.2 (0.2, 0.2)	0.2 (0.2, 0.2)	0.3 (0.3, 0.3)	0.3 (0.3, 0.3)	
	Biomass burning	0.9 (0.5, 1.2)	0.9 (0.5, 1.2)	0.8 (0.5, 1.0)	0.8 (0.5, 1.0)	0.8 (0.5, 0.9)	
	Subtotal	2.0 (1.7, 2.4)	2.1 (1.6, 2.4)	2.1 (1.8, 2.4)	2.1 (1.8, 2.4)	2.1 (1.7, 2.4)	Deleted: 4
Indirect emissions	Inland waters,	0.3 (0.1, 0.4)	0.3 (0.1, 0.4)	0.4 (0.1, 0.5)	0.4 (0.1, 0.5)	0.4 (0.1, 0.6)	Deleted: 2
from	estuaries, coastal						Deleted: 4
anthropogenic	vegetation						Deleted: 2
nitrogen additions	Atmospheric nitrogen	0.5 (0.5, 0.6)	0.6 (0.5, 0.7)	0.7 (0.6, 0.8)	0.7 (0.6, 0.8)	0.8 (0.6, 0.9)	Deleted: 4
	deposition on land						Deleted: 2
	Atmospheric nitrogen	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	0.1 (0.1, 0.2)	Deleted: 4
	deposition on ocean						Deleted: 2
	Subtotal	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	1.1 (0.8, 1.4)	1.1 (0.8, 1.4)	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)	1.3 (0.9, 1.6)	Deleted: 4
Perturbed fluxes	CO2 effect	-0.4 (-0.8, 0.2)	-0.5 (-1.0, 0.2)	-0.6 (-1.3, 0.3)	-0.7 (-1.5, 0.3)	-0.8 (-1.6, 0.3)	Deleted: 2
from	Climate effect	0.4 (0.1, 0.8)	0.5 (0.2, 0.7)	0.6 (0.1, 0.8)	0.7 (0.2, 1.2)	0.9 (0.4, 1.8)	Deleted: 1.8
climate/CO2/land	Post-deforestation	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	0.9 (0.5, 1.3)	0.9 (0.4, 1.3)	0.8 (0.4, 1.3)	Deleted: 8
cover change	pulse effect						Deleted: 7
6	Long-term effect of	-12(-11-14)	-13(-12-15)	-14(-12,-15)	-14(-13-16)	-15(-14-16)	Deleted: 5
	reduced mature forest	1.2 ( 1.1, 1.1)	1.5 (1.2, 1.5)	1.1 ( 1.2, 1.3)	1.1 ( 1.5, 1.6)	1.5 ( 1.1, 1.0)	Deleted: 7
	area						Deleted: 4
	Subtotal	04(1107)	05(14.06)	06(10.08)	06(2112)	06(2218)	Deleted: 6
	Subiotai	-0.4 (-1.1, 0.7)	-0.3 (-1.4, 0.6)	-0.6 (-1.9, 0.8)	-0.6 (-2.1, 1.2)	-0.6 (-2.2, 1.8)	Deleted: 2
Anthropogenic tota	al (DV)	5.0 (3.0, 7.3)	5.5 (3.1, 7.9)	5.8 (3.1, 8.6)	6.5 (3.2, 10.0)	6.7 (3.3, 10.9)	Deleted: 7
Natural	fluxes (BU)						Deleted: 2
Natural soils baselin	ne	6.4 (3.9, 8.5)	6.4 (3.8, 8.6)	6.4 (3.9, 8.5)	6.4 (3.9, 8.6)	6.4 (3.8, 8.7)	Formatted: French
Open ocean baseline	e	3.7 (3.0, 4.6)	3.6 (2.8, 4.5)	3.6 (2.7, 4.7)	3.5 (2.5, 4.7)	3.5 (2.5, 4.7)	Deleted: 8
Continental shelves		1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6)	1.2 (0.6, 1.6	Deleted: 8
Natural (inland wa	aters, estuaries, coastal	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	0.1 (0.0, 0.1)	Deleted: 2
vegetation)							Deleted: 6
Lightning and atmos	spheric production	0 <u>6</u> (0 <u>3</u> , 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2	Deleted: 5
Surface sink (soils/v	wetlands)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.3)	0.0 (0.0, -0.7)	Deleted: 5
Natural total		1 <u>2.0 (7.9, 15.8)</u>	11.9 (7.7, 15.8)	11 <mark>9 (7.5,</mark> 15.9)	11.8 (7.3, 15.9)	11.8 (7.4, 16.1)	Deleted: 1
BU Total Net Flux	(source)	16.9 (10.9, 23.1)	174 (107, 236)	17.7 (10.6, 24.5)	182 (106, 25.9)	185 (106, 27.0)	Deleted: 4
TD ocean				2.8 (2.6, 3.2)	3.0 (2.7, 3.3)	2.7 (2.7, 2.7)	Deleted: 3
L		1	1	1	1		Deleted: 5
TD land	13.2 (12.1, 14.3)	14.5 (13.0, 15.9)	14.3 (13.9, 14.7)				
-------------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------				
TD Total Net Flux (source)	16.0 (14.9, 17.5)	17.4 (15.8, 19.2)	17.0 (16.6, 17.4)				
TD stratospheric sink	12.2 (11.7, 12.6)	12.6 (12.3, 12.9)	12.9 (12.5, 13.2)				
Atmospheric Chemical sink (a)	12.8 (11.7, 13.8)	13.4 (12.3, 14.5)	14.0 (12.8, 15.2)				
Change in atmospheric abundance (b)	3.6 (3.6, 3.7)	4.6 (4.5, 4.7)	6.4 (6.2, 6.5)				
Atmospheric burden	1528	1570	1592				
Lifetime ('obs' from MLS)	119.3	117					

Notes: BU estimates include four categories of anthropogenic source and one category for natural sources and sinks. The sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O are given in Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. The atmospheric burden is given in Tg N. (a) Calculated from satellite observations with a photolysis model (about 1% of this sink occurs in the troposphere). (b) Calculated from the combined NOAA and AGAGE record of surface N<sub>2</sub>O and adopting the uncertainty of the IPCC Assessment Report 5 (Chapter 6), with a conversion factor of 4.79 Tg N ppb<sup>-1</sup>, 2. Detailed information on calculating each sub-category is shown in Supplementary Tables 1–13.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

# 1030 3.5 Regional BU and TD estimates and their trends

To assess regional N<sub>2</sub>O budgets, we divide the global land into 18 regions as described in the method section. Our regional analyses include: 1) trends and variations of regional total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from all sources derived from available estimates of TD (1997-2020) and BU (1980-2020) (Figure 13); 2) trends and variations of region anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from all identified sources during 1980-2020 derived from BU approach (Figure 14); and 3) Decadal regional N<sub>2</sub>O budget (2010-2019) derived from both BU and TD approaches (Figure 15). The <u>following sections</u> provide detailed estimates for each of the 18 regions.

#### 3.5.1 United States of America (USA)

1035

For the USA, the TD estimates show higher total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions than the BU estimates over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13c), with 1.00 (0.69–1.39) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.82 (0.31–1.42) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Both approaches suggest that the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the USA remained relatively stable during 1997-2020. Based on the BU estimates, changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover caused emission decline over 1980-2020. The flux fluctuated between -0.30 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and -0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, with the average of -0.20 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions increased from 0.11 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.13 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1995 and then decreased to 0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture increase from 0.25 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.30 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. However, the increase in direct agricultural

1045 emissions was offset by the trend in emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources, which decreased from 0.26 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.19 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. The total anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions slightly increased during 1980-2020, at the average rate of 0.6×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>. This increase primarily occurred during 1980-1997 (Figure 14). In the 2010s, the BU estimates (0.81, 0.29–1.43 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were on average 0.22 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> lower than the TD estimates (1.03, 0.71–1.45 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). According to the BU results, natural sources contributed 48% of total emissions (0.39, 0.22–

37

Deleted: sections followed

 $0.65 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ ) during this period. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were  $0.30 (0.18-0.38) \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ , contributing 37% of the total emissions. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources made the second largest contribution to anthropogenic emissions, with the decadal mean of 0.21 (0.18-0.23) Tg N yr^{-1}. Indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions contributed 14% of the total anthropogenic emissions, with a decadal mean of 0.11 (0.07-

1055 0.14) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.19 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.37 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Recent study indicated that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions could be increased by freeze-thaw cycles (Del Grosso et al. 2022) and tillage practices (Lu et al. 2022). Our BU estimates did not take into consideration of freeze-thaw and tillage practice, which may have underestimated N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.

#### 3.5.2 Canada (CAN)

- 1060 BU approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Canada than TD approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13d), with values of 0.29 (0.05–0.69) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.12 (0.06–0.19) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. BU and TD estimates also showed divergent trends. TD estimates decreased at the rate of -1.5×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, however, BU estimates increased at the rate of 0.7×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>. According to the BU results, the increase in total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Canada was mainly driven by the direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture, which increased from 0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover showed an overall increase from 0.00
- Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Canada were relatively stable during the study period, while emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources had large interannual variabilities (Figure 14). In the 2010s, the BU estimates of Canada's total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (0.29, 0.07–0.69 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were over two times higher than

the TD estimates (0.12, 0.06–0.20 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). According to the BU results, natural sources contributed 59% of

1070 total emissions (0.17, 0.04–0.43 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 0.05 (0.03–0.06) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, contributing to 15% of the total emissions. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources and indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions were 0.04 (0.02–0.08) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.02 (0.02–0.03) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall positive effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of 0.01 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.09 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 1075 3.5.3 Central America (CAM)

TD and BU estimates are comparable regarding the magnitudes and trends of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Central America (Figure 13e), with mean values of 0.42 (0.21–0.64) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.35 (0.25–0.47) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for BU and TD approaches, respectively. During 1997-2020, the rate of increase of the BU estimates (4.7 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>) was higher than that of TD estimates (2.5 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources increased from 0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.15 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>

1080 in 2020 and were the major driver of the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Central America. Direct agricultural emissions increased during the study period, from 0.08 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.11 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Indirect emissions and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate,  $CO_2$  and land cover were relatively stable during this period (Figure 14).

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Central America in the 2010s, with values of 0.46 (0.24–0.68) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.36 (0.24–0.48) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural sources contributed 37% of total emissions (mean: 0.17, 0.07–0.26 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources contributed to 39% of the total emissions (mean: 0.18, 0.17–0.18 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Direct and indirect emissions were 0.11 (0.07–0.14) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.02 (0.02–0.03) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

## 1090 3.5.4 Northern South America (NSA)

TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Northern South America than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13f), with 0.55 (0.34–0.98) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.40 (0.04–1.08) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively for each approach. During 1997-2020, the increase rate of the TD estimates  $(2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Tg N yr}^{-2})$  was higher than that of BU estimates  $(0.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Tg N yr}^{-2})$ . Direct agricultural emissions made the largest contribution to the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Northern South America, increasing from 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 14). N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from

the other three anthropogenic sectors did not have a significant trend during 1980-2020. The BU estimates in the 2010s (0.41, 0.03–1.09 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were on average 0.17 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> lower than the TD estimates (0.58, 0.35–1.06 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). The average natural emission was 0.35 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2010s, contributing 85% of total emissions. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.07 (0.05–0.09) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02

1100 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.01 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.19 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.5.5 Brazil (BRA)

1095

The average total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Brazil estimated by BU approaches was 1.21 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from 0.26 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 2.32 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 13g), which was lower than the TD estimates (mean: 1.42, 1.18–1.75 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Both approaches detected a notable increasing trend in total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 1997-2020. TD approaches suggested a higher increase rate (11.6 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>) than BU approaches (4.3 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>). Direct agricultural emissions, which increased from 0.13 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.32 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, made the largest contribution to the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Brazil (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also show an increase from 0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.06 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Emissions from other anthropogenic sources and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover did not have an obvious trend during

1110 the study period.

The TD estimates in the 2010s (1.51, 1.40–1.79 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were on average 0.28 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> higher than the BU estimates (1.23, 0.24–2.41 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). According to the BU results, the average natural emission was 0.95 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2010s, contributing to 77% of total emissions. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.28 (0.22–0.35) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.09 (0.06–0.11) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.05 (0.02–0.07) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and

1115 land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.14 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.48 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.25 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.5.6 Southwest South America (SSA)

BU and TD estimates are consistent in the magnitude of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Southwest South America during 1997-1120 2020, with values of 0.55 (0.18–1.03) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.51 (0.40–0.63) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 13h), respectively. TD estimates increased at the rate of 5.3×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> over 1997-2020, however, BU estimates did not have an obvious trend during this period. Among the four anthropogenic sectors, direct agricultural emissions had the largest increase, from 0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.15 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also increased from 0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had a decreasing trend, while emissions from other sectors

1125 fluctuated over the study period.

The BU and TD approaches gave similar estimates of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Southwest South America in the 2010s, with values of 0.55 (0.19–1.04) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.55 (0.44–0.67) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 15), respectively. The mean natural emission was 0.39 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2010s, accounting for 71% of total emissions. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.14 (0.09–0.19) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.05 (0.03–0.06) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.03 (0.01–0.03) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

1130 Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.05 Tg N  $yr^{-1}$ , ranging from -0.16 Tg N  $yr^{-1}$  to 0.08 Tg N  $yr^{-1}$ .

# 3.5.7 Europe (EU)

The BU estimates suggest that Europe had the largest decrease rate of regional N<sub>2</sub>O emissions among the 18 regions, and the average decrease rate during 1980-2020 was -13.3×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 13i). For the period 1997-2020, this decreasing trend slowed-down as estimated by BU approaches (-7.7×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>), while the TD approach suggests a small increase of (1.6×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>) (Figure 13i). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources (including 'Fossil fuel and industry', 'Waste and wastewater', and 'Biomass burning'), which decreased from 0.51 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.18 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, made the largest contribution to the decreasing trend in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Europe. Direct agricultural emissions and indirect emissions show overall decrease trends from 0.46 and 0.16 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.38 and 0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, respectively.

140 <u>mainly due to a reduction in fertilizer use after the collapse of the Soviet Union (Tian et al., 2022).</u> However, the decreasing trend in direct agricultural emissions has leveled off since the 2000s. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover decreased during 1980-1985, then slowly increased (Figure 14).

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of European  $N_2O$  emissions in the 2010s, with values of 1.00 (0.45–1.57) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.86 (0.49–1.36) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 15), respectively. According to the BU results, natural sources only

 $contributed to 26\% of total emissions (mean: 0.26, 0.11-0.52 \, Tg \, N \, yr^{-1}) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other the total emission of total emission emission of total emission of total emission of tot$ 

40

Deleted:

direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.38 (0.32–0.44) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.19 (0.15–0.24) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.13 (0.08–0.16) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall positive effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of 0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.21 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.22 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 1150

#### 3.5.8 Northern Africa (NAF)

For Northern Africa, TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13j), with the values of 1.01 (0.52–1.32) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.69 (0.18–1.27) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Both approaches suggest that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Northern Africa significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase

- rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were  $4.9 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and  $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Direct emissions increased from 0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.27 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, making the largest contribution to the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Northern Africa (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also significantly increased from 0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. In contrast, other anthropogenic emissions decreased from 0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.11 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. N<sub>2</sub>O Fluxes caused by changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover remained relatively stable during 1980-2020.
- 1160 In the 2010s, the BU estimates (0.72, 0.17–1.30 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were on average 0.32 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> lower than the TD estimates (1.04, 0.54–1.31 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 44% of total emissions (0.32, 0.07–0.60 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture were 0.23 (0.09-0.34) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, contributing to 32% of the total emissions. Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources made the second largest contribution to anthropogenic emissions, with the decadal mean of 0.11 (0.08-0.14) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Indirect emissions and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover were 0.04 (0.02-0.06) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.02 (-0.10-0.16), respectively.

#### 3.5.9 Equatorial Africa (EQAF)

Similar to Northern Africa, TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Equatorial Africa than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13k), with values of 1.45 (1.15-1.78) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 1.36 (0.36-2.22) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Both approaches suggested that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Equatorial Africa significantly increased during 1997-

- 1170 2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were  $4.4 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Direct emissions more than tripled during the study period, from 0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.22 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, dominating the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Equatorial Africa (Figure 14). Indirect emissions also steadily increased from 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.06 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. On the contrary, perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover showed an overall decreasing trend with large interannual variabilities. Emissions from other anthropogenic sources
- 1175 show relatively stable.

The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Equatorial Africa in the 2010s, with values of 1.38 (0.38–2.28) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 1.50 (1.15–1.80) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 15), respectively. According to the BU results, natural

emissions were the dominant component, accounting for 71% of total emissions (mean: 0.98, 0.42–1.32 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.18 (0.13–0.25) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.26 (0.19–0.34) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.05 (0.03–0.08) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.09 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.40 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.29 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.5.10 Southern Africa (SAF)

BU and TD estimates are consistent in the magnitude of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Southern Africa during 1997-2020, at 0.61 (0.13–1.09) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.58 (0.33–0.86) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 131), respectively. TD estimates increased at the rate of 4.5×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> over 1997-2020, however, BU estimates did not show an obvious trend during this period. According to the BU results, direct agricultural emissions increased from 0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.08 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, while emissions from other anthropogenic sources slightly decreased from 0.19 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.17 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Both indirect emissions and perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had no significant trend (Figure 14).

BU and TD approaches gave consistent estimates of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Southern Africa in the 2010s, with values of 0.62 (0.13–1.10) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.61 (0.35–0.87) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural emissions were the dominant components, accounting for 61% of total emissions (mean: 0.38, 0.13–0.61 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.07 (0.05–0.09) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.19 (0.17–0.23) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.02 (0.01–0.03) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.24 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.14 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.5.11 Russia (RUS)

During 1997-2020, the average total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Russia estimated by BU approaches was 0.74 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from 0.15 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 1.84 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 13m), which was much higher than the estimates of TD approaches (mean: 0.36, 0.18–0.52 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Both approaches suggested that Russia's total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 1.2 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and 1.7×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions had divergent trends before and after 1997. From 1980 to 1997, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from all these three sectors decreased. After 1997, direct agricultural emissions and other direct emissions had an overall increasing trend, while indirect emissions remained relatively stable. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover showed relatively stable with large interannual variabilities (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the BU estimates  $(0.74, 0.15-1.84 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})$  were on average 0.36 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> higher than the TD estimates  $(0.38, 0.18-0.59 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})$  (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 64% of total emissions  $(0.47, 0.12-1.22 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1})$  during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.06 (0.05-0.07) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.10

(0.04-0.18) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.05 (0.03-0.07) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall positive effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of 0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.30 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 1210 3.5.12 Central Asia (CAS)

TD approaches suggested a larger magnitude of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Central Asia than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13n), with values of 0.19 (0.10–0.29) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.14 (0.01–0.27) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. BU and TD estimates were consistent in the trend of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 1997-2020, with increase rates of  $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and  $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Direct emissions increased from 0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, making

1215 the largest contribution to the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Central Asia. Other direct emissions and indirect emissions had no significant trend. Fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover showed an overall increasing trend with large interannual variability (Figure 14).

In the 2010s, the TD estimates (0.20, 0.10–0.32 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were on average 0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> higher than the BU estimates (0.15, 0.01–0.30 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 30% of total emissions (0.04, 0.01–0.11 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during

1220 this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.06 (0.02-0.08) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02 (0.01-0.02) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.02 (0.01-0.03) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall positive effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of 0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.5.13 Middle East (MIDE)

BU and TD estimates are comparable for the magnitude of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the Middle East during 1997-2020, 1225 with values of 0.27 (0.11–0.45) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.30 (0.25–0.36) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 13o), respectively. BU and TD estimates were consistent in the trend of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 1997-2020, with increase rates of 4.4 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and 3.9×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. According to the BU results, direct agricultural emissions increased from 0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.13 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Emissions from other anthropogenic sources (fossil fuel and industry particularly) had the largest increase, from 0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.10 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Indirect emissions also continuously increased from 0.02 Tg

1230 N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Perturbed fluxes from changes in climate,  $CO_2$ , and land cover had no significant trend (Figure 14).

BU and TD approaches gave consistent estimates of total  $N_2O$  emissions from the Middle East in the 2010s, with values of 0.29 (0.12–0.49) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.32 (0.26–0.39) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural emissions were 0.04 (0.02–0.08 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>), accounting for 15% of total emissions during this period. Direct agricultural

1235 emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.12 (0.05-0.21) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.09 (0.07-0.10) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.03 (0.02-0.04) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall positive effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of 0.01 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.5.14 China (CHN)

BU and TD approaches agreed very well regarding the magnitudes and trends of N2O emissions from China. Both approaches

- suggested that China's total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were  $12.6 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $16.5 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 13p). According to the BU results, China's total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions increased from 0.76 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 1.38 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Direct emissions from N additions in agriculture made the largest contribution to the increase in China's N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, which increased from 0.29 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.71 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2016 and then decreased to 0.64 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 due to decreased N fertilizer
- application (Figure 14). Both indirect emissions and other direct emissions continuously increased, from 0.09 and 0.11 Tg N  $yr^{-1}$  in 1980 to 0.24 and 0.27 Tg N  $yr^{-1}$  in 2020, respectively. The total anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from China increased at the average rate of 18.9×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N  $yr^{-2}$  during 1980-2020, which was the largest among the 18 regions and contributed to 40% of the increase in global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.

The BU and TD approaches gave consistent estimates of China's total  $N_2O$  emissions in the 2010s, with values of 1.41 (0.82–

- 1250 2.23) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 1.33 (1.06–1.60) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. According to the BU results, natural sources only contributed 21% of total emissions (0.29, 0.20–0.51 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Nitrogen additions in agriculture were the dominant source of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, contributing to 48% of the total emissions (0.68, 0.48–1.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>). Emissions from other direct anthropogenic sources and indirect emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen additions were 0.23 (0.23–0.23) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.24 (0.17–0.28) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land
- 1255 cover had an overall negative effect on  $N_2O$  emissions with the mean value of -0.03 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.25 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.18 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

# 3.5.15 Korea and Japan (KAJ)

TD approaches suggested a smaller magnitude of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Korea and Japan than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13q), with the values of 0.06 (0.03–0.11) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.11 (0.06–0.16) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.
Both approaches suggested that total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Korea and Japan decreased during 1997-2020, and the decrease rates estimated by the BU and TD approaches were -1.4 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and -0.5×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Other direct emissions (fossil fuel and industry, particularly) dominated the temporal variations of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Korea and Japan, which increased from 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.08 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1997 and then decreased to 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. Emissions from agriculture, indirect sources and perturbed fluxes remained relatively stable during 1997-2020 (Figure 14).

- In the 2010s, BU estimates (mean: 0.10, 0.05–0.15 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were on average 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> higher than the TD estimate (0.06, 0.04–0.11 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 26% of total emissions (0.03, 0.00–0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.03 (0.02–0.04) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.04 (0.04–0.04) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.01 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.01 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.02 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.01 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.
  - 44

# 3.5.16 South Asia (SAS)

BU and TD estimates are comparable in terms of both the magnitude and trend of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from South Asia (Figure 13r). During 1997-2020, the magnitudes of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches were 1.04 (0.35– 1.80) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 1.21 (0.96–1.56) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Both approaches suggested that the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from

- 1275 South Asia significantly increased during 1997-2020, and the increase rates estimated by BU and TD approaches were 17.7 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and 20.2×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Direct emissions from nitrogen additions in agriculture made the largest contribution to the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in South Asia, which increased from 0.19 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.55 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 due to increased N fertilizer application (Figure 14). Other direct emissions and indirect emissions also significantly increased, from 0.06 and 0.06 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.14 and 0.17 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, respectively. Fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover showed an overall increasing trend with large interannual variabilities.
- BU estimates (1.15, 0.41–2.06 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) were on average 0.21 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> lower than the TD estimate in the 2010s (1.36, 1.05– 1.84 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 15). Natural sources accounted for 28% of total emissions (0.32, 0.12–0.56 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.49 (0.25–0.75) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.13 (0.13–0.13) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.15 (0.10–0.19) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall
- $1285 \quad \text{positive effect on $N_2$O emissions with the mean value of $0.06$ Tg $N$ yr^{-1}, ranging from $-0.19$ Tg $N$ yr^{-1}$ to $0.43$ Tg $N$ yr^{-1}$.}$

#### 3.5.17 Southeast Asia (SEAS)

TD approaches suggested a smaller magnitude of the total  $N_2O$  emissions from Southeast Asia than BU approaches over the period 1997-2020 (Figure 13s), with values of 0.69 (0.50–1.02) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.92 (0.24–2.04) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Both approaches suggested that total  $N_2O$  emissions from Southeast Asia increased during 1997-2020, and the rates of increase

- 1290 estimated by the BU and TD approaches were 5.1 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and 2.3×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions significantly increased during the study period, from 0.09, 0.08 and 0.04 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.30, 0.11 and 0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, respectively. Meanwhile, perturbed fluxes from changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover significantly decreased from -0.07 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to -0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 14). The BU and TD approaches gave comparable estimates of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Southeast Asia in the 2010s, with
- values of 0.95 (0.24–2.09) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.72 (0.51–1.12) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for BU and TD approaches (Figure 15), respectively. Natural sources accounted for 62% of total emissions (mean: 0.59, 0.24–1.30 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.26 (0.20–0.35) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, 0.11 (0.09–0.14) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.10 (0.06–0.14) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.35 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.16 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>.

1300 3.5.18 Australasia (AUS)

BU and TD estimates are comparable in terms of magnitude of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Australasia during 1997-2020 (Figure 13t). The magnitudes of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches were 0.43 (0.01–0.92) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.52 (0.21–0.72) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. TD estimates increased at the rate of  $4.4 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> over 1997-2020; however, BU estimates did not show a notable trend during this period (Figure 13t). According to the BU results, direct agricultural emissions increased from 0.08 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.09 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, while emissions from all the other

three anthropogenic sectors remained stable (Figure 14).

1305

In the 2010s, the magnitudes of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by BU and TD approaches were 0.42 (0.01–0.91) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.53 (0.20–0.71) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Natural sources accounted for 59% of total emissions (0.25, 0.05–0.50 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>) during this period. Direct agricultural emissions, other direct emissions, and indirect emissions were 0.09 (0.06–0.11) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.01 (0.01–0.02) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and land cover had an

via (0.00-0.11) rg N yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.01 (0.01-0.02) rg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Changes in cliniate, CO<sub>2</sub> and that cover had an overall negative effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions with the mean value of -0.01 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, ranging from -0.17 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> to -0.17 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 15).



Figure 14. Ensembles of regional anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions over the period 1980–2020. The bar chart in the centre shows the total changes in regional and global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the study period of 1980–2020. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval for the average of the changes. The Mann–Kendall test was performed to establish any







Figure 15. Regional N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 2010-2019. Each subplot shows the emissions from five sub-sectors using BU approaches, followed by the sum of these five categories using BU approaches (blue) and the estimates from TD approaches (yellow). Error bars indicate the spread between the minimum and the maximum values. The centre map shows the spatial distribution of 10-year average N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from land and ocean based on the land and ocean models.

## 4. Discussion

# 1330 4.1 Emission sources and comparison with previous estimates of the global N2O budget

In comparing the global  $N_2O$  budget estimates with previous studies, the definitions and terminology used in this study for  $N_2O$  sources and sinks are consistent with those in Tian et al. (2020). In this new synthesis, we have also included a new

emission source, namely "continental shelves", corresponding to the shallow portion of the ocean overlying continental shelves

- 1335 (Laruelle et al., 2013), which was not explicitly reported in the previous global N<sub>2</sub>O budget (Tian et al. 2020). Thus, a total of 18 sources and 3 sinks are quantified in the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget reported here. We utilized a similar methodology to synthesize multiple TD and BU estimates. The TD estimates of global total emissions in this study are consistent with Tian et al. (2020). However, the TD estimates of emissions from the ocean are about 2.3 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> lower than the previous estimate in the 2000s, while the TD estimates of land emissions are about 2.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> higher than the previous estimate for the decade 2007-2016
- (Tian et al. 2020). Global BU estimates in this study are about  $12 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$  higher than the previous estimate, primarily due to the inclusion of emissions from continental shelves (mean:  $1.2 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ ) and  $0.8 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$  higher than the previous estimate for the natural soils baseline.

According to our analysis, natural soils contributed to more than half of terrestrial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Table <u>3</u>), consistent with previous studies (Denman et al., 2007, Tian et al., 2020). The global natural soil emissions derived from this study are estimated

- to be 6.4 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, with a large uncertainty ranging from 3.9 to 8.6 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Using the emission factor from the IPCC 2006 Guidelines, Syakila and Kroeze (2011) estimated that global pre-industrial N<sub>2</sub>O emission from natural soils was 7 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Xu et al. (2017) suggested that global natural soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were about 6.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, with an uncertainty range from 4.8 to 8.1 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. Tian et al. (2019) estimated global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions derived from NMIP using seven process-based Terrestrial Biosphere Models (TBMs) and suggested a global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission of 6.3±1.1 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 1860s.
- 1350 The total of direct agricultural emissions, other direct anthropogenic emissions, and indirect anthropogenic emissions in this study is the same as the previous estimates (Tian et al. 2020). However, the total anthropogenic emissions in this study is lower than our previous estimate (Tian et al., 2020), mainly because of the differences in perturbed fluxes from climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover change. According to our new estimate derived from NMIP2, the average perturbed flux from climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover change was -0.6 (-2.1-1.2) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> during 2010-2019 (Table 2). By contrast, the average perturbed flux during
- 2007-2016 reported by Tian et al. (2020) was 0.2 (-0.6-1.1) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, which was based on the first phase of NMIP (Tian et al. 2018). This study suggests a larger negative effect of increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and reduced mature forest area on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions than Tian et al. (2020). Much uncertainty exists in estimating the perturbed fluxes of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and <u>mature</u> forest conversion as discussed in the section of uncertainties followed.

Our estimate indicates that agricultural emissions were the major drivers of the increase in anthropogenic emissions during the past four decades, increasing from 3.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 5.0 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 (Figure 16). Direct agricultural emissions had a larger increase than indirect agricultural emissions (2.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 3.9 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 versus 0.8 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 1.2 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020). Agricultural emissions contributed to 74% of total anthropogenic emissions in the 2010s, with 56% from direct agricultural emissions and 18% from indirect emissions. Non-agricultural anthropogenic emissions had a slight decreasing trend during 1980-2020 because of a higher estimate of changes in climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover than

1365 previous estimate.

Deleted: 1

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2

Deleted: manure



Figure 16. Changes in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from anthropogenic emissions from agricultural and non-agricultural sources during 1980-2020 (a, c). (b) and (d) show average anthropogenic emissions from different sources during 2010-2019, error bars indicate the spread between the minimum and the maximum values. Here, direct agricultural emissions include emissions from fertilizer and manure applied on agricultural soils, manure left on pasture, manure management, and aquaculture. Indirect agricultural emissions include emissions from anthropogenic emissions include emissions from anthropogenic emissions are classified as non-agricultural anthropogenic emissions. A-E in Figure 16(d) represent perturbed N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from

# 380 <u>climate/CO2/land cover change, emissions from nitrogen deposition on ocean, emissions from fossil fuels and industry,</u> <u>emissions from waste and waste water, and emissions from biomass burning, respectively</u>

This study divides the global land into 18 regions and provides a more detailed regional budget than a previous study which had only 10 regions (Tian et al., 2020), thus enhancing our understanding of the N<sub>2</sub>O budget in sub-regions of North America,

- 1385 South America, Africa, and East Asia. In the 1980s, Europe made the largest contribution to global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (12.5%), followed by Equatorial Africa (11.5%), Brazil (9.9%), China (7.6%), Russia (7.4%), and the USA (6.9%). During the study period, Europe and Russia had the largest decline in share of anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, from 12.5% and 7.4% in the 1980s to 7.9% and 5.8% in the 2010s, respectively. In contrast, China and South Asia had the largest increase, from 7.6% and 6.3% in the 1980s to 11.1% and 9.1% in the 2010s, respectively. In the 2010s, China (11.1%), Equatorial Africa
- 1390 (10.9%), Brazil (9.7%), South Asia (9.1%), Europe (7.9%) were the top five contributors to global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Figure 17).



Figure 17. Contributions of the 18 regions to global anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the 1980s (a) and 2010s (b).

#### 1395

Among the eighteen regions identified in this study, only Europe, Russia, Australasia, and Japan and Korea had decreasing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Europe had the largest rate of decrease with an average of  $-13.2 \times 10^{-3}$  Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> during 1980-2020 (31% reduction), largely resulting from reduced emissions in fossil fuel and industry, which changed from 0.49 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.14 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020. In addition to the large reduction of fossil fuel and industry emissions in Europe, direct agricultural

1400 emissions and indirect emissions show overall decrease trends from 0.46 and 0.16 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 1980 to 0.38 and 0.12 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020, respectively. However, the decreasing trend in agricultural emissions has levelled off since the 2000s.

China and South Asia had the largest increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the study period. The rates of increase of anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia were 18.9 x 10<sup>-3</sup> and 14.3 x 10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The rates of increase of anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia contributed 40% and 30% to the global anthropogenic increase rate (0.05

- 1405 anthropogenic emissions from China and South Asia contributed 40% and 30% to the global anthropogenic increase rate (0.05 Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>), respectively. In these two regions, direct nitrogen additions in agriculture made the largest contribution, while other direct emissions and indirect emissions also steadily increased. Our results show a significant increase in anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from South America, which is consistent with the previous budget (Tian et al., 2020). Moreover, we reveal that Brazil had a higher increase rate in anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (4.2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>) than Northern South America (0.8 × 10<sup>-</sup>)
- 1410 <sup>3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>) and Southwest South America  $(0.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Tg N yr}^{-2})$  during 1980-2020, and direct emissions from agriculture made the largest contribution. Our results suggest that Northern Africa made the largest contribution (58%) to the increase in anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Africa, followed by Equatorial Africa (38%) and Southern Africa (4%). Anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the USA and Canada show similar weak increasing rates of 0.6 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> and 0.9 ×10<sup>-3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup> during the period 1980-2020, respectively. Central America shows higher anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emission increase rate (5.9 ×10<sup>-</sup>
- 1415 <sup>3</sup> Tg N yr<sup>-2</sup>), attributing to increase in emissions from fossil fuels and industry from 0.01 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 1980s to 0.16 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> in the 2010s in Central America. The data for Mexico from EDGAR has a known problem with its estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from industry, which requires further exploration. To support countries' N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation, it is essential to accurately estimate sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O at national level.

#### 1420 4.2. Sources of uncertainties and suggestions for improvements

#### 4.2.1 Uncertainties in N2O emission factors

Four inventories of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (EDGAR, FAOSTAT, GFED and UNFCCC) are integrated into the current synthesis of anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. These emission factor (EF)-based inventory datasets used the IPCC default EFs at regional and global scales. <u>Uncertainty in FAOSTAT N<sub>2</sub>O emissions is ~ 60% across typology</u>. In fact, it is asymmetrical, following 2006

425 guideline values and IPCC uncertainty formulae, with umin ~-30% and umax ~90% (Tubiello et al., 2013); for EDGAR, the uncertainty in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions ranges for Energy between 113.3% and 113.3%, for IPPU between 15.7% and 12.4%, for agriculture between 301.7% and 224.9%, for Waste between 202.6% and 159.0%, and for Other sectors between 111.8% and 111.8% (Solazzo et al., 2021). We would like to highlight the fact that N2O emissions from agriculture in EDGAR are very.

<u>uncertain.</u> However, the poorly captured dependence of EFs on regional climate, management practices such as tillage, legume effect, and soil physical and biochemical conditions are key causes of the large uncertainty in the estimates of agricultural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Shcherbak et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2022), particularly for croplands where EFs has high spatial

(Deleted: (Tubiello et al., 2013)

Deleted: (Solazzo et al., 2021)

heterogeneity (Shang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). There is evidence of greater-than-linear dependence of emissions on N-

- input where there is an excess of N, which is not represented in inventories which assume a linear dependence on N-input (Cui et al. 2021). Higher IPCC-tier GHG inventories using the alternative EFs that are disaggregated by environmental factors and management-related factors (Buendia et al., 2019) could provide more accurate estimates, especially for regions where N input surplus is high such as Eastern China, India, and the USA. For example, the U.S. national inventory uses a Tier 3 modelling approach (Del Grosso et al., 2022). Establishing national and regional N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurement networks could improve the
- 1440 accuracy of EFs estimates for regions with different vegetation types and management measures. Furthermore, inventory datasets based on EF methods also suffer from large uncertainties induced by the underlying agriculture and rural data and statistics used as input, including statistics on fertilizer applications, livestock manure availability, storage and applications, and nutrient, crop and soils management.
- According to the ensemble of process-based land model emissions derived from NMIP2, we estimate that the emission factor (EF) of fertilizer and manure applied on global croplands was 1.9% (1.2%-3.3%) in the 2010s, which is significantly larger than the IPCC Tier-1 default for direct emission of 1%. This higher EF derived from process-based models suggests a strong interactive effect between N additions and other global environmental changes (Table 3, Perturbed fluxes from climate, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and land cover change). Figure 18 shows the spatial pattern of cropland N<sub>2</sub>O EF during the 2010s, and
- 1450 highlights that the EF was high in eastern China, Southeast Asia, western Europe, and central USA where anthropogenic N inputs were high (Figure B3). Previous field experiments reported a better fit to local observations of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions when assuming a non-linear response to fertilizer N inputs under varied climate and soil conditions (Shcherbak et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2019). The non-linear response is likely also associated with long-term N accumulation in agricultural soils from N fertilizer use and in aquatic systems from N loads (the legacy effect) (Van Meter et al. 2016), which provides more substrate for
- 1455 microbial processes (Firestone and Davidson 1989). The increasing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by process-based models (Tian et al. 2019) also suggest that recent climate change (particularly warming) may have boosted soil nitrification and denitrification processes, contributing to the growing trend in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions together with rising N additions to agricultural soils (Griffis et al. 2017; Parn et al. 2018; Smith 2017)

Deleted: and

Deleted: 2



Figure 18. Spatial pattern of the emission factor (EF) of fertilizer and manure applied on global croplands in the 2010s derived from NMIP2.

1465

# 4.2.2 Uncertainties in estimates of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

Both process-based land biosphere modeling and measurement-based upscaling approaches have been used to estimate global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Table 3), with large uncertainties in their estimates. As shown in Figure 19, NMIP2 models exhibit the highest uncertainties in the estimates of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from tropical forests such as the Amazon Basin, the Congo Basin,

 1470
 and Southeast Asia, as well as in regions with high fertilizer application rate, including Eastern China, Northern India, and the

 US Corn Belt.
 For NMIP2 estimates of direct agricultural emissions, the maximum estimate is about 60% higher than the

 ensemble mean, and the minimum estimate is about 40% lower than the ensemble mean. A large discrepancy in natural soil

 emissions among NMIP2 models exists, ranging from 3.9 to 8.6 Tg N yr<sup>1</sup>, which needs to be reconciled in future research.

Deleted: 2



Figure 19. Spatial distribution of uncertainty (one standard deviation) in NMIP2 estimations of soil  $N_2O$  emissions in the 2010s.

1480 Uncertainties associated with NMIP2 models: The uncertainties in process-based models primarily stem from differences in model configuration and process parameterization, as well as the missing processes and critical information (Tian et al., 2019).

First, the NMIP2 models use divergent schemes to represent the flows of reactive N through ecosystems (biological N fixation, N deposition, N leaching, N volatilization, nitrification, and denitrification), which could result in large discrepancies in soil

1485 mineral N that serves as substrates for N<sub>2</sub>O production. Explicit representation of these processes is a critical need for enhancing model simulation accuracy.

Second, several important processes are missing in most process-based land models. Human management measures like tillage and legume cultivation can alter the physical and chemical characteristics of soil in croplands (Raji & Dörsch, 2020; Z. Yu et al., 2020), but they are not adequately represented in most NMIP2 models. Parameterizing these processes in the models is

- 1490 necessary to reduce uncertainty. Additionally, N addition in pasture and rangeland (e.g., livestock excreta deposition, manure, and mineral fertilizer application) constitutes an important source of global soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Davidson, 2009), accounting for more than half of the global agricultural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Dangal et al., 2019). However, only DLEM considered these processes. The consideration of N addition in managed grasslands is an essential task for process-based models to estimate grassland soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions accurately. Moreover, most process-based models did not explicitly consider seasonal freeze-
- 1495 thaw processes and the thawing of permafrost, which can emit substantial amounts of N2O (Marushchak et al., 2021;
  - 54

Marushchak et al., 2011; Repo et al., 2009; Voigt et al., 2017; Del Grosso et al. 2022). It is recommended to include explicit representation of permafrost physics and seasonal freeze-thaw processes in process-based models, as this would help better catch the "hot spot" and "hot moment" of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in northern regions (Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017). Current process-based models also face challenges in adequately representing the fine-grained landscape structure of Arctic ecosystems (e.g.,

- 1500 landscape elements that act as ultra-emitters of N<sub>2</sub>O like organic soil non-vegetated fractions), so integrating sub-grid information and processes into models may provide a solution for fine-grained physical-hydrological modeling. Third, microbial nitrification and denitrification processes are regulated by multiple environmental factors, including substrate availability, precipitation, temperature, oxygen status, pH, vegetation type, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Li et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2022). However, there is
- 1505 significant divergence among NMIP2 models in their response to these factors. For example, simulated soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in response to N addition (i.e., fertilizer and manure N applications, and N deposition) exhibit large divergence among the participating NMIP2 models, primarily due to differences in model representation of N processes and parameterization schemes. Moreover, in contrast to our findings indicating N fertilizer application and manure additions as dominant drivers, Harris et al. (2022) identified N deposition as the primary contributor to anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, accounting for 41±14%
- 1510 of all anthropogenic emissions. These different findings highlight the complex nature of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and the need for further research to better understand the relative contributions of different N sources. For the climatic effects on soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, our NMIP2 models indicate enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions due to warming, consistent with findings from experiment-based studies (Smith, 1997, Cui et al., 2018; Voigt et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017), as the denitrifying bacteria community may adapt to higher temperature (Pärn et al., 2018). Additionally, considering that microbial nitrification and denitrification are also largely
- 1515 controlled by soil moisture (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013), it is important to address the discrepancies in NMIP2 models concerning soil moisture representation, such as soil depth, root distribution, root water uptake, and water movement processes (Ostle et al., 2009; Raats, 2007, and Raoult et al., 2018).

At the global scale, although NMIP2 models show large discrepancies in the CO<sub>2</sub> effect on soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, most NMIP2 models show a negative effect, suggesting that enhanced plant N uptake caused by rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentration played a dominant

- 1520 role (Usyskin-Tonne et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019). Nevertheless, observation-based results of the CO<sub>2</sub> effect diverge among different ecosystem types, with some studies reporting reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in forests under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Phillips et al., 2001), while others found increased emissions in grasslands (Moser et al., 2018 and Regan et al., 2011). It should be noted that the interactions among environmental factors influencing soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are still poorly represented in the NMIP2 models. Further targeted continuous measurements and manipulation experiments are needed to better represent the interactive
- 1525 effects of multiple environmental factors on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the models to improve the simulation of complex N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics. Finally, simulations targeted to explain the reconstructed increase in terrestrial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions over the deglaciation and during past abrupt climate events will further help to constrain process-based models (Fischer et al., BG, 2019; Joos et al., BG, 2020). Land cover change/deforestation: The two methods for estimating deforestation-induced N<sub>2</sub>O changes have their limitations. The accuracy of the empirical estimates of post-deforestation pulse N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in tropical forests strongly depends on the

- 1530 availability of paired N<sub>2</sub>O observations in deforested and nearby intact forest sites (Melillo et al., 2001; Verchot et al., 1999), which are extremely scarce. Moreover, a fixed value was adopted as the default reference N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate for tropical forests to simplify computation, but it inevitably ignored the spatiotemporal heterogeneity in tropical forest N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Barthel et al., 2022). It is also noted that there were no empirical post-deforestation N<sub>2</sub>O emission estimates in extra-tropical areas, as no feasible empirical relationships between N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and years after deforestation were available. The accuracy of
- 1535 process-based estimates (specifically by DLEM here) could be regulated by model-specific configurations for land use change pathways. For example, in modeling tropical shift cultivation, DLEM assumed that agricultural lands newly converted from forests can only be reforested after at least 15 years to be consistent with the LUHv2 data (Ma et al., 2020). Meanwhile, treatments of different nitrogen pools (such as leaf, stem, root and litter pools) during land conversion would directly influence the nitrogen substrate for nitrification and denitrification. The DLEM model follows the biomass allocation scheme proposed
- 1540 by previous studies (Houghton et al., 1983; McGuire et al., 2001), which may introduce uncertainty in varied land management practices. A bias in the LUHv2 land use change data in regions experiencing drastic land conversions could also contribute to uncertainty in deforestation induced greenhouse gas emissions, for example, in areas with large-scale plantations (Yu et al., 2022).

In addition, developing forcing datasets with high quality and high spatiotemporal resolution is also important for reducing

- 1545 uncertainties in simulated N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. Among various input variables, precise information regarding fertilizer and manure application (including crop-specific application rate, type, timing, and frequency) is pivotal for improving the accuracy of model simulations. However, this crucial information was not unified in NMIP2 simulations, leading to increased modeling uncertainty. To mitigate this issue, it is strongly recommended to use improved fertilizer and manure datasets that provide detailed information on crop-specific application rate, timing and frequency to drive models in future intercomparison projects.
- 1550 Moreover, with the availability of additional high-precision datasets from manipulation field experiments (e.g., microbial data), we could use these datasets to constrain our models and delve deeper into the underlying mechanisms that regulate N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (e.g., the role of soil microbes) and further incorporate these mechanisms into models to reduce uncertainties.
  Uncertainties associated with measurement based unscaling approach. Massurement based unscaling approach.

Uncertainties associated with measurement-based upscaling approach: Measurement-based upscaling estimates are subject to uncertainties due to various factors. One major reason is the limited recording of microscale variables and incomplete

- 1555 quantification of local EFs related to microbial N<sub>2</sub>O production. Sampling limitations also contribute to uncertainties, as the frequency and repeatability of measurements may not fully capture the high spatiotemporal variability of N<sub>2</sub>O flux. The lack of the history of control sites further complicates the exclusion of observation data with significant legacy fluxes, thereby biasing our estimates. Additionally, gaps in global agricultural management datasets, particularly regarding fertilization details, enlarge the prediction interval of EFs and introduce uncertainties. We then used a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate three
- 1560 sources of uncertainty for predicting EFs based on flux upscaling approach: i) the fixed coefficients, ii) the random coefficients, and iii) input data. The uncertainty from sampling frequency and replication is reflected in the first source, while the uncertainty from unquantified sources related to field measurements is reflected in the second source. Each of the crop-specific SRNM models was run by randomly generating the fixed and random coefficients from their fitted multivariate normal distribution,

as well as climate, soil, and other relevant factors following independent normal distributions with the mean of the value in our dataset and standard deviation of the absolute difference between the dataset used in this study and other global datasets. Fertilizer frequency was randomly selected using a Bernoulli distribution. Predicted values were calculated through 1000 iterations to construct a 95% prediction interval. The breakdown of uncertainty revealed that the random coefficients contributed the most to the estimation uncertainty, with observations showing that they explained more variance in EFs compared to fixed effects (47-74% vs. 19-35%) and contributed to the most of estimation uncertainty (Figure 20).



Figure 20. Relative standard deviation in global cropland-N<sub>2</sub>O EF. The figure breaks down the uncertainty of EF per source of uncertainty (i.e., random coefficients, fixed coefficients, input data, or all combined). The uncertainty due to each source can be quantified holding the coefficients for the other sources fixed.

- To address these limitations and reduce uncertainties, concerted efforts should be made to enhance the availability of N<sub>2</sub>O observations representing diverse agroecological conditions. Meanwhile, improving the availability of high-precision datasets (e.g., microbial data), and integrating these datasets and the derived underlying mechanisms to our models could also reduce uncertainties. Currently, most available field N<sub>2</sub>O observations (see Supplementary Information) are made in Europe, the USA, and China and are scarce in most developing countries (such as Sub-Saharan Africa). Therefore, extending the global coverage of direct and indirect N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements to encompass all major agricultural land-use types and climates, land-use changes and management practices and conducting long-term high-frequency monitoring are particularly important to increase the
- 1580 and management practices and conducting long-term high-frequency monitoring are particularly important to increase the reliability of EFs as well as upscale results from site to regional scales.

#### 4.2.3 Uncertainties in estimates of ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

1570

Global open ocean  $N_2O$  emissions derived from the ocean biogeochemistry models (Table 1) for the 2010-2019 period are estimated to be 3.5 (2.5 – 4.7) Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>. All models show the highest emissions associated with equatorial and coastal

- 1585 upwelling zones, as well as the major oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) (e.g., the Eastern Equatorial Pacific and the Arabian Sea region of the northern Indian Ocean, see Figure 21). These are regions characterized by high levels of biological productivity and higher sub-surface organic matter remineralization which results in higher N<sub>2</sub>O yields in sub-oxic waters. The four participating models capture these characteristics but also show varying degrees of intensity in regional N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The models also show good agreement in representing the ocean regions of relatively low N<sub>2</sub>O ocean-atmosphere fluxes (i.e., open ocean gyres where biological productivity is low).
- The spatial distribution of uncertainty in ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emissions among the models (Figure 21) is similar to that of the net N<sub>2</sub>O ocean-atmosphere flux, with the highest uncertainties observed in the equatorial upwelling and low-oxygen waters associated with high sub-surface N<sub>2</sub>O production (Babbin et al. 2020; Ganesan et al. 2020). Largest uncertainties are found in the equatorial Pacific, the Benguela upwelling region of the Atlantic, and the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean. Uncertainties in the
- 1595 ocean models' representation of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes result from a range of model characteristics (Zamora and Oschlies, 2014; Martinez-Rey et al. 2015; Buitenhuis et al. 2018; Battaglia and Joos, 2018; Landolfi et al. 2017; Berthet et al. 2023). These include (i) uncertainties in ocean circulation (particularly the representation of upwelling zones and the ocean circulation features (often sub-grid scale) that control the extent and intensity of oxygen-minimum zones (OMZs)); (ii) simulation of ocean organic matter productivity, export production, and mesopelagic remineralization (a driver of the sub-surface source function for N<sub>2</sub>O production in models); (iii) the model biogeochemical parameterizations representing N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption from
- marine nitrification and denitrification processes, including their dependence on local dissolved oxygen concentrations and thresholds; and (iv) parameterization of ocean-atmosphere gas-exchange fluxes.

Model simulations of oceanic N<sub>2</sub>O are closely linked to the underlying modeled oxygen distributions, as the embedded biogeochemical parameterizations for N<sub>2</sub>O include the sensitivity of N<sub>2</sub>O cycling processes (e.g., nitrification, denitrification)

- 1605 to local oxygen level (Ji et al., 2018). Significant uncertainties in modeled N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes result from model biases in the representation of dissolved oxygen, especially in low-oxygen zones such as the Eastern Equatorial Pacific (Zamora and Oschlies, 2014; Martinez-Rey et al., 2015). Many ocean model simulations of dissolved oxygen display biases, especially in oxygen-minimum zones critical for N<sub>2</sub>O cycling (Martinez-Rey et al., 2015). To reduce potential sources of error from model-simulated oxygen, one N<sub>2</sub>O model in this analysis employs observation-based oxygen distributions when simulating ocean
- 1610 N<sub>2</sub>O (Buitenhuis et al., 2018). However, this approach also restricts a model's response to climate-related feedback on ocean oxygen. In addition, the models in this analysis include optimization and calibration of N<sub>2</sub>O cycle parameters by incorporating constraints from ocean observations (e.g., surface and interior N<sub>2</sub>O and microbially-mediated process rates) (Battaglia and Joos, 2018, Buitenhuis et al., 2018, Berthet et al., 2023). A more detailed error analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O model parameters (including uncertainty in gas-exchange fluxes) in one of the component models (Buitenhuis et al., 2018) suggests estimated uncertainties
- 1615 in global fluxes from biogeochemical parameter specifications of ~33%. Further, a 1,000-member ensemble with 11 parameters varied with one of the models and constrained with both surface and subsurface N<sub>2</sub>O observations yields an observation-constrained standard deviation of ±36% around the median of 4.3 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (Battaglia and Joos, 2018), consistent with a recent surface pN<sub>2</sub>O-based estimated of 4.2±1 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> (Yang et al., 2020).

Landolfi et al. (2017) also note that uncertainties arise in current model predictions of marine N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes due to the neglect of feedback from impacts of external nutrient sources and ocean acidification on marine productivity and the ocean nitrogen and oxygen cycles. Reducing uncertainties in model estimates of the evolution of ocean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes will require accounting for these impacts in the underlying biogeochemical parameterizations. In addition, due to the high sensitivity of modeled N<sub>2</sub>O production/consumption rates to oxygen level in the key ocean OMZ zones, an important priority in reducing modeled ocean N<sub>2</sub>O flux uncertainties is to achieve a more accurate simulation of the ocean circulation and oxygen distribution of these regions.



Figure 21. Spatial uncertainty distribution (one standard deviation) in open ocean  $N_2O$  emissions in the 2010s. Note that the color scale in this figure is different from that in Figure 19.

### 1630 4.2.4 Uncertainties in emissions estimates from the continental shelves

Estimates of  $N_2O$  emissions vary by a factor of 2-3 in the continental shelf (1 observation-based product and 2 models). The MEM-RF observational estimate (1.63 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup>, Yang et al., 2020) falls at the high end of the two high-resolution model estimates (1.39 and 0.61 Tg N yr<sup>-1</sup> for CNRM-0.25° and ECCO-Darwin, respectively). Shelf  $N_2O$  flux emissions from MEM-RF, CNRM-0.25°, and ECO-Darwin broadly agree in the main patterns and magnitude. Emission hotspots in productive, low-

1635 O2 upwelling systems (e.g., eastern boundary upwellings, upwellings of the north-western Indian Ocean) appear to be underestimated by models. Lower emissions in models likely reflect the inability of models to resolve complex near-shore

dynamical circulation and biogeochemical processes key to the production, transport, and evasion of N<sub>2</sub>O. This includes underresolved dynamics in upwelling systems and shallow oxygen minimum zones with high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Resplandy et al., 2023), strong spatial gradients introduced by patterns of high production/ high remineralization and enhanced land-sea inputs

- 1640 of N in shallow shelves (e.g., Baltic Sea, Southeast and East Asia), sedimentary processes, and production in estuarine and coastal vegetated ecosystems, which is subsequently transported offshore. Conversely, our ability to reconstruct spatial patterns in N<sub>2</sub>O air-sea fluxes from observations (MEM-RF, Yang et al., 2020), in particular along continental margins, is severely limited by the number of N<sub>2</sub>O observations, which is two orders of magnitude smaller than for CO<sub>2</sub>. Observations tend to be localized in regions of strong air-sea disequilibrium and might thus be biased high (e.g., Babbin et al. 2020; Ganesan et al,
- 1645 2020). In addition, many coastal regions remain undersampled, further limiting the performance of MEM-RF. For instance, models point to coastal N<sub>2</sub>O flux hotspots along mid-latitude western boundaries (e.g., the US east coast, the North Pacific east of Japan, the southeast coast of Australia, and the south-eastern tip of Africa) that are not diagnosed in the observational product (Resplandy et al., 2023). Furthermore, N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes are highly spatially heterogeneous (scales of 1 to 100 km) due to land-ocean gradients and mesoscale and sub-mesoscale features such as eddies (Arévalo-Martínez et al., 2017, 2019; Yang et
- 1650 al., 2020, Grundle et al., 2017). Eddies are instrumental in setting suboxic conditions favorable for N<sub>2</sub>O production, and it has been suggested that N<sub>2</sub>O production weakens within eddies during their transit across the shelf and further offshore (Arévalo Martinez et al., 2016). These small-scale circulation features are important controls for N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics but are poorly accounted for in data-based reconstructions and models.

This assessment provides the most up-to-date estimate of N2O climatological emissions from the global shelves, but the

- 1655 variability of these emissions remains uncertain. Each product covers a different time period and only provides limited or missing information on seasonal fluctuations, inter-annual variability and long-term trends. For instance, only a handful of observations per year are available in most regions, providing a limited picture of seasonality, and even more limited information on interannual variability (e.g., El Nino-Southern Oscillation, Pacific Decadal Oscillation) and global longer-term trends. Disentangling such influences from limited observations alone remains a major challenge. The effects of extreme events
- 1660 on N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes such as storms and marine heat waves are also currently not captured, and the intra-annual variability in hotspot regions such as coastal upwelling systems remains poorly constrained. Despite these limitations, data-based reconstructions and models suggest a vigorous seasonal cycle and, potentially, important variability on interannual timescales (Yang et al., 2020, Ganesan et al., 2020). The development of a Global N<sub>2</sub>O Ocean Observation Network (N<sub>2</sub>O-ON) (Bange et al., 2019; Bange, 2022) is critically needed to better resolve spatio-temporal patterns and reduce uncertainties in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.
- 1665 Increasing the density of observations in regions of high N<sub>2</sub>O disequilibrium and collecting long time-series of N<sub>2</sub>O measurements will allow a better characterization of interannual changes and their dynamics. Meanwhile, algorithmic approaches that address the observational limitations should be developed and refined to extrapolate N<sub>2</sub>O measurements to global and interannual timescales, leveraging advancements made for CO<sub>2</sub> disequilibrium and flux reconstructions.
- Parallel efforts based on the development of mechanistic models are also needed to strengthen our understanding of the 1670 dynamics underlying interannual N<sub>2</sub>O flux variability and to detect and attribute long-term anthropogenic effects. However,
  - 60

the representation of N<sub>2</sub>O processes in biogeochemical models remains limited, and very few climate models include marine emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (only 4 out of 26 CMIP6 models considered in Séférian et al, 2020). Uncertainty persists regarding the various (micro) biological processes that drive N<sub>2</sub>O cycling in coastal waters and sediments (Bange, 2022). Current global ocean biogeochemical models typically adopt an indirect representation of N<sub>2</sub>O production, which is diagnosed from

- 1675 environmental conditions (e.g., temperature) and O<sub>2</sub> consumption during remineralization of organic matter, without explicitly representing the bacterial pools and chemical reactions responsible for N<sub>2</sub>O production in suboxic waters (e.g., Aumont et al., 2015, Battaglia and Joos, 2018). In addition, key aspects of air-sea N<sub>2</sub>O exchange, such as the effects of surfactants in the sea surface microlayer (Kock et al., 2012) remain poorly understood. Finally, the interannual variability of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and its attribution to climatic and anthropogenic drivers is largely unknown. Disentangling these influences will benefit from (1)
- 1680 interannually varying observational N<sub>2</sub>O flux reconstructions at scales fine enough to capture high emissions along continental margins; (2) statistical methods that address the limited number of observations in space and time; and (3) N<sub>2</sub>O cycle simulations with forward mechanistic models. A blueprint for this work already exists with the approaches developed by the oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> community (Gruber et al., 2022). Similar approaches would enable attribution of N<sub>2</sub>O flux changes to specific drivers, leading to better predictability.

# 1685 4.2.5 Uncertainties in emissions estimates from atmospheric inversions

The four atmospheric inversion frameworks show uncertainties in the estimates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, especially in hotspot regions such as Eastern China, India, Europe, the US Corn Belt, and Northern South America (Figure 22). The uncertainties in inversion estimates are mainly from errors in the modeled atmospheric transport, the dependence on the prior information, and the availability of atmospheric observations. Every inversion framework in this study used a different atmospheric transport model with different horizontal and vertical resolutions (Table 1). By including estimates from multiple inversion frameworks with different modeled atmospheric transport, the systematic error can be assessed to some extent. The inversion estimates are dependent on the spatial pattern and magnitude of the prior flux estimates to an extent that is determined by the density of the observations. Using the same prior information might reduce the range in the atmospheric inversion estimates but not the uncertainty since this depends on the spatiotemporal density of the atmospheric observations and the accuracy of the modeled

1695 transport. The uncertainty reduction (calculated as one minus the ratio of the posterior to prior uncertainty) indicates the degree of constraint on the inversion estimates (Figure 23). It shows that the areas of South America, Africa, central and southern Asia as well as Australasia are poorly constrained by observations. The relatively sparse distribution of current N<sub>2</sub>O observation sites underscores the necessity of establishing more sites and regular aircraft profiles, especially in tropical and sub-tropical regions, to better constrain inversion models and to further reduce the posterior uncertainty.





Figure 22. Spatial distribution of posterior uncertainty (one standard deviation) in TD model estimates of  $N_2O$  emissions in the 2010s.



1705 Figure 23. Uncertainty reduction (1 - sigma\_posterior/sigma\_prior) from the PyVAR-CAMS inversion framework. \*Atmospheric observational stations used in the inversion framework.

## 4.2.6 Other missing fluxes

We recognize that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions contributed by termites could be a significant natural source in tropical and subtropical ecosystems (Brümmer et al., 2009; Miambi et al., 2022). The metabolic activity of microbial symbionts in the termite gut can maintain steep oxygen gradients, which facilitates nitrification and denitrification processes and the production of N<sub>2</sub>O (Brauman et al., 2015; Brune et al., 1995). Nevertheless, termites have a wide trophic diversity, and their N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates vary significantly, with some species creating emission hotspots (Brümmer et al., 2009), while others function as net sinks (Majeed et al., 2012). Feeding habits and the abundance of nitrifiers and denitrifiers in the gut are reported to be the key factors determining net N<sub>2</sub>O emission of termites (Brauman et al., 2015; Miambi et al., 2015; Miambi et al., 2022). Termites that consume N-rich material,

1715 such as soil organic matter and fungi, exhibit high N<sub>2</sub>O production rates and emit N<sub>2</sub>O into the atmosphere, while those feeding on N-deficient wood can consume atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O (Brauman et al., 2015). It is difficult to scale up calculations of net N<sub>2</sub>O emission by termites due to the lack of data on their abundance and biomass across global ecosystems, therefore our

understanding of the precise contribution of termites to the atmospheric N2O budget on a global scale remains limited and not considered in our analysis

#### 1720 5 Data Availability

1725

The accompanying database includes two Excel files and 27 txt files. The two Excel files are organized into the following spreadsheets.

The Global N<sub>2</sub>O Budget 1980-2020: Global emission data includes the following items:

- Summary.
- 2. Bottom-up estimates: global BU N2O budget from 1980 to 2020, including 20 individual sources and sinks.
- 3. Top-down estimates: N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from land, ocean, and global during 1997-2020 estimated by the four atmospheric inversion models.
- Atmospheric Chemical\_sink: Global atmospheric chemical sink estimated by the four atmospheric inversion models (1997-2020) and one satellite and photolysis model (2005-2020).
- N<sub>2</sub>O\_dry\_mole\_fraction: Monthly N<sub>2</sub>O dry mole fraction and its growth rate during 2000-2020 estimated by the three observation networks.
  - Future\_N<sub>2</sub>O\_dry\_mole\_fraction: the projected N<sub>2</sub>O dry mole fractions from the four illustrative Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (2000-2050), and the seven illustrative Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) used in CMIP6 (2005-2050);
- 1735 The Global N<sub>2</sub>O Budget 1980-2020: Regional emission data includes the following items:
  - 1. Summary.
  - Anthropogenic\_sectors\_1980\_2020: N2O emissions from the four anthropogenic sources for the 18 regions during 1980-2020.
  - 3. Bottom-up estimates: Total N2O emissions from the 18 regions during 1980-2020 estimated by BU approaches.
- Top- down estimates: N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the 18 regions during 1997-2020 estimated by the four atmospheric inversion models.
  - 5. Decadal\_mean\_2010s: regional  $N_2O$  emissions estimated by the TD and BU approaches in the 2010s.

Global N<sub>2</sub>O Budget 1980-2020: modelled gridded emission data includes the spatial patterns of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from different sources (unit:  $gN/m^2/yr$ ) estimated by different models as follows:

- NMIP2: total 16 maps showing the spatial distribution of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, including estimates of eight processbased models participated in NMIP2 (CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, O-CN, ORCHIDEE, and VISIT) and two periods (the1850s and 2010s).
  - Open ocean emissions: total 4 maps showing the spatial distribution of open ocean N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, including estimates of four ocean models: Bern-3D, NEMO-PlankTOM10.2, NEMOv3.6-PISCESv2-gas, and UVic2.9.
- Shelf emissions: total 3 maps showing the spatial distribution of continental shelves N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, including estimates of three products: CNRM, ECCO, MEM-RF.
  - Top-Down estimates: total 4 maps showing the global distribution of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, including estimates of four atmospheric inversion models: GEOSChem, INVICAT, MIROC4-ACTM, and PyVAR-CAMS.

The data presented in this work can be downloaded from https://doi.org/10.18160/RQ8P-2Z4R (Tian et al. 2023).

# 1755 Appendix A: Supplementary tables

# Table A1. Comparison of terminologies used in this study and previous reports.

GCP Terminology (this study)		IPCC AR6 (IPCC, 2021)	National GHG inventories (used by UNFCCC according to IPCC, 2006 and IPCC, 2019)	UNFCCC / IPCC 2006 Source sector	
Anthropogenic sourc	es	I	I	L	
Direct emissions of N additions in the	Direct soil emissions (mineral N and manure fertilization, cultivation of organic soils, and crop residue returns)	Agriculture	Direct N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from managed soils (except due to grazing animals)	part of 3C4	
(Agriculture)	Manure left on pasture		grazing animals	part of 3C4	
	Manure management		Manure management	2A2	
	Aquaculture				
	Fossil fuel and industry	Fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes	Energy and industrial processes	1,2	
Other direct anthropogenic	Waste and wastewater	Human excreta	Waste	4C1, 4C2 4D1, 4D2	
sources	Biomass burning (from crop residue, grassland, shrubland and savannas; peat fires, tropical forests, boreal forests, and temperate forests)	Biomass and biofuel burning Prescribed burning of savannas, field burning of agricultural residues		3E, 3F	
Indirect emissions	Inland and coastal waters (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, and coastal vegetation)	Rivers, estuaries, coastal vegetation	Indirect emissions due to leaching and runoff	part of 3C5, 3C6	
from anthropogenic	Atmospheric N deposition on land	Atmospheric         Indirect         emissions         due         to           deposition on land         atmospheric         deposition         (of		part of 3C5, 5A	
	Atmospheric N deposition on ocean	Atmospheric deposition on ocean	agricultural as well as other anthropogenic compounds emitted)	part of 3C5, 5A	
Perturbed fluxes	CO <sub>2</sub> effect				
from climate/CO2/land	Climate effect				
	Post-deforestation pulse effect				
cover change	Long-term effect of reduced mature forest area				
Natural sources and	sinks				
Natural soils baseline		Soils under natural vegetation			
Coastal and Open Ocean baseline		Oceans			

Natural (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, and coastal vegetation)		 
	Lightning	 
Lightning and atmospheric production	Atmospheric	
	chemistry	 
Soil/wetland surface sink	Surface sink	 
Atmospheric sink	Atmospheric sink	

# Table A2. List of the countries used to define the 18 regions.

Region num.	Region name	Countries or territories		
1	USA	USA with Alaska, Bermuda Islands		
2	Canada	Canada		
3	Central America	Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guadeloupe, Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica, Martinique, Mexico, Montserrat, Nicaragua, Panama, Puerto Rico, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Turks and Caicos Islands, United States Virgin Islands		
4	Brazil	Brazil		
5	Northern South America	Aruba, Colombia, French Guiana, Grenada, Guyana, , Suriname , Trinidad and Tobago, Venezuela		
6	Southwest South America	Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador, Peru, Falkland Islands (Malvinas), Paraguay, Uruguay		
7	Europe	Albania, Andorra, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Belgium, Luxembourg, Bulgaria, Channel Islands, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Faroe Islands, Finland, France, Germany, Gibraltar, Greece, Greenland, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Isle of Man, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom, Ukraine		
8	Northern Africa	Algeria, Cabo Verde, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Ethiopia PDR, Gambia, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Libya, Mali, Mauritania, Morocco, Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan former, Tunisia, Western Sahara		
9	Equatorial Africa	Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Congo, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Ghana, Liberia, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Togo, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania,		
10	Southern Africa	Angola, Botswana, Comoros, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mayotte, Mozambique, Namibia, Reunion, Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Zambia, Zimbabwe		
11	Russia	Russian federation		
12	Central Asia	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Mongolia,		
13	Middle East	Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, People's Republic of Georgia, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Occupied Palestinian Territory, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Yemen		
14	China	China mainland, Macao, Hong Kong, Taiwan		
15	Korea and Japan	Japan, Korea, Republic of Korea		
16	South Asia	Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka		
17	South East Asia	Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Guam, Indonesia Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Maldives, Marshall Islands, Myanmar, Nauru, Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Philippines, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Tokelau, Viet Nam		
18	Oceania	American Samoa, Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Niue, Norfolk Island, Pacific Islands Trust Territory, Papua New Guinea, Pitcairn Islands, Samoa, Tonga,Tuvalu,Vanuatu, Wallis and Futuna Islands		

Table A3. The sectors in N2O budget and its sources. (Sector with "*'	' means this sector only include maximum, mean,
and minimum).	

I

ID	N2O budget sectors (Global scale)	Sources	
1	Aquaculture	EF0.5, EF5, EF1.8	
2	Manure left on pasture	DLEM, EDGAR, FAO	
3	Manure management	EDGAR	
4	Direct soil emissions global	EDGAR, FAO, NMIP2/DLEM, SRNM/DLEM	
5	Inland water, estuaries and coastal vegetation anthropogenic	Meta-analysis and Process-based models, EDGAR, FAO	
6	N deposition on land	NMIP2/EDGAR v7.0, NMIP2	(
7	CO <sub>2</sub>	CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VISIT	
8	Climate	CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VISIT	
9	Post deforestation pulse effect	DLEM, Book-keeping model	
10	Natural soils baseline	CLASSIC, DLEM, ELM, ISAM, LPX-Bern, OCN, ORCHIDEE, VISIT	
11	Open ocean	BERN, CNRM, UViC, UEA-NEMO-PlankTOM	
12	N deposition on ocean*	Parvadha Suntharalingam et al. (2012)	
13	Biomass burning	FAO, DLEM, GFED	
14	Fossil fuel industry	EDGAR, EDGAR/UNFCCC	
15	Waste and wastewater	EDGAR/UNFCCC	
16	Inland water, estuaries and coastal vegetation natural*	DLEM, stochastic mechanistic model, RF model, meta-analyses- based estimates	
17	Lightning and atmospheric production*	Schlesinger (2013) and Syakila, Kroeze, and Slomp (2010)	
18	Long term reduction effect	DLEM, Book-keeping model	
19	Continental shelves*	ECCO, CNRM, MEM-RF	

Deleted: EDGAR/

Moved up [1]: Table A4. Simulation design of NMIP2.¶ Historical

# Table <u>A4</u>. Funding supporting the production of the various components of the global nitrous oxide budget in addition 1770 to the authors' supporting institutions (see also Acknowledgements).

Deleted: A5

Funder and grant number (where relevant)	Authors/simulations/		
	observations		
Australian National Environmental Science Program - Climate Systems Hub	Josep G. Canadell		
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (grant no. SFB754/3 B1 D1807)	Angela Landolfi		
Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science through the Netherlands Earth	Junjie Wang		
System Science Center (NESSC)			
European Space Agency (ESA) RECCAP2 project (grant no.	Philippe Ciais		
ESRIN/4000123002/18/I-NB)			
European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant	Pierre Regnier, Sönke	Formatted: French	
Agreement N° 101003536 (ESM2025 – Earth System Models for the Future)	Zaehle, Nicolas Vuichard,		
	Sarah Berthet		
European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the	Luke M. Western		
Marie Słodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 101030750			
European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme under	Glen P. Peters		
Grant Agreement N° 101081395 (EYE-CLIMA)			
EYE-CLIMA, a project funded under the European Union's Horizon Europe	Wilfried Winiwarter		
Research and Innovation programme under grant agreement number 101081395			
French state aid, managed by ANR under the "Investissements d'avenir" programme	Ronny Lauerwald		
(ANR-16-CONV-0003)			
Hatch Act (Accession Number IDA01722) through the USDA National Institute of	Daniele Tonina		
Food and Agriculture			
Hutchinson Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Yale Institute for Biospheric Studies	Judith A. Rosentreter		
at Yale University			
Member countries to FAOSTAT through the FAO's Regular Budget.	Francesco N. Tubiello		
MIROC4-ACTM from the Environment Research and Technology Development	Prabir K. Patra		
Fund (SII-8; grant no. JP-MEERF21S20800) and the Arctic Challenge for			
Sustainability phase II (ArCS-II; grant no. JP- MXD1420318865) project			
National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42107393)	Minpeng Hu		
National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42225102; 41977082)	Feng Zhou	1	

Natural Environment Research Council through its grants to the UK National Centre	Chris Wilson		
for Earth Observation (NCEO; NERC grant numbers NE/R016518/1 and			
NE/N018079/1)			
Swiss National Science Foundation (200020_200511)	Fortunat Joos, Aurich		
	Jeltsch-Thoemmes, Qing		
	Sun		
U.S. Department of Energy through the Reducing Uncertainties in Biogeochemical	Qing Zhu		
Interactions through Synthesis and Computation Scientific Focus Area (RUBISCO			
SFA) project			
U.S. National Science foundation (grant no. 1903722)	Hanqin Tian, Shufen Pan,		
	Chaoqun Lu		
U.S. National Science Foundation (grant no. OCE-1847687).	Daniele Bianchi		
US Department of Agriculture CBG (grant no. TENX12899)	Hanqin Tian		
US National Science Foundation (grant no. 1922687)	Shufen Pan		
Computing Resources			
Computational resources from the Expanse system at the San Diego Supercomputer	Daniele Bianchi		
Center through allocation TG-OCE170017 from the Advanced Cyber infrastructure			
Coordination Ecosystem: Services and Support (ACCESS) program, which is			
supported by National Science Foundation grants 2138259, 2138286, 2138307,			
2137603, and 2138296.			
Computing resources from LSCE	Rona Thompson		
Computing Resources from Auburn University and Boston College	Hanqin Tian, Shufen Pan		
Support for atmospheric observations			
Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/),	Rona Thompson		
implemented by ECMWF on behalf of the European Commission			
CSIRO for long-term support for the operation and maintenance of CSIRO	CSIRO flask network, Paul		
GASLAB and flaks network, the Australian Bureau of Meteorology, Australian	B. Krummel		
Institute of Marine Science, Australian Antarctic Division, NOAA USA, and			
Environment & Climate Change Canada			

NOAA's Climate Program Office under the Atmospheric Chemistry Carbon Cycle	NOAA observational
and Climate (AC4) theme.	network, Xin Lan, Geoffrey
	Dutton
U.S. NASA Upper Atmospheric Research Program in the United States with grants	AGAGE flask network, Jens
NNX07AE89G and NNX16AC98G and 80NSSC21K1369 to MIT and	Mühle
NNX07AF09G, NNX07AE87G, NNX16AC96G, NNX16AC97G and	
80NSSC21K1210 and 80NSSC21K1201 to SIO. NASA award to MIT with sub-	
award to University of Bristol for Mace Head and Barbados (80NSSC21K1369).	
NASA award to MIT with sub-award to CSIRO for Cape Grim (80NSSC21K1369).	
U.K. Department for Energy Security & Industrial Strategy (BEIS) (contract	
1028/06/2015). U.S. NOAA (contract 1305M319CNRMJ0028).	
Appendix B: Supplementary figures





Figure B1. Spatial distribution of global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the 2010s estimated by different atmospheric inversion frameworks (top-down approach).



1780 Figure B2. Spatial distribution of pre-industrial (1850s) soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions estimated by different NMIP2 terrestrial biosphere models.



Figure B3. Spatial-temporal changes in fertilizer N and manure N applications and atmospheric N deposition to global terrestrial ecosystems derived from HaNi data set (Tian et al. 2022), which were used to drive NMIP2 terrestrial biosphere models.



Figure B4. Spatial distribution of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during 2010-2019 estimated by NMIP2 terrestrial biosphere models.



1790 Figure B5. Spatial distribution of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from open oceans during 2010-2019 estimated by different ocean biogeochemistry models/Earth System models.



Figure B6. N2O emission from continental shelves as estimated by three methods.

# Supplement

### Author contributions

H.T., R.L.T., and J.G.C. designed and coordinated the study. H.T., N.P., S.P., Y.L., R.L.T., P.S., P.R. gathered the BU and TD data sets and performed the post-processing, analysis and synthesis. H.T., N.P., R.L.T., J.G.C., P.S., P.R., E.A.D., M.J.P., P.C., M.M., S.P., W.W., S.Z., F.Z., and R.B.J. wrote the paper. R.L.T. led atmospheric inversions teaming with P.K.P., K.C.W., D.B.M. and C.W.; H.T. led land biosphere modeling teaming with N.P., S.P., S.Z., A.I, A.K.J., F.J., S.K.G., C.L., H.S., Q.S., and Q.Z.; P.S. led ocean biogeochemical modeling teaming with E.B., A.L., S.B., A.J.-T. and F.J; P.R. led the synthesis of LAOC (Land-Aquatic Ocean Continuum) teaming with R.L.,T.M., Y.Y., M.H., P.R., J.R., L.R., M.M., S.B., H.B., D.B., and H.T.; J.W. and L.B. provided data of N<sub>2</sub>O flux from aquaculture. G.R.W. and J.Y. provided data of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from

biomass burning. F.Z. provided cropland N<sub>2</sub>O flux data from a statistical model and field observations. M.M., F.N.T. and W.W. provided N<sub>2</sub>O inventory data. M.J.P. provided data of stratospheric and tropospheric sinks. G.P. provided RCP and SSP scenarios data and analysis. X.L. and G.D. provided a global N<sub>2</sub>O monitoring dataset of NOAA/ESRL GMD. J.M. and L.M.W. provided a global N<sub>2</sub>O monitoring dataset of AGAGE stations. P.K. provided a global N<sub>2</sub>O monitoring dataset of CSIRO. All coauthors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

1815 At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Earth System Science Data.

### Acknowledgements

This paper is the result of a collaborative international effort under the umbrella of the Global Carbon Project (a project of Future Earth and a research partner of the World Climate Research Programme) in partnership with International Nitrogen Initiative (INI). We acknowledge all the people and institutions who provided the data used in the global nitrous oxide budget

- 1820 as well as the institutions funding parts of this effort (see Table <u>A4</u>). We acknowledge the modelling groups for making their simulations available for this analysis. <u>The PyVAR-CAMS modelling results were funded through the Copernicus Atmosphere</u> <u>Monitoring Service, implemented by ECMWF on behalf of the European Commission and were generated using computing resources from LSCE.</u> H.T. and S.P. acknowledges computational and administrative support from Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society at Boston College, and International Center for Climate and Global Change Research at Auburn
- 1825 University. J.G.C. thanks the Australian National Environmental Science Program Climate Systems Hub for supporting the GHG budget activities of the Global Carbon Project (GCP), including the Global and regional N<sub>2</sub>O Budgets work. We are grateful to the EDGAR team (M. Crippa, D. Guizzardi, E. Schaaf, M. Muntean, E. Solazzo, F. Pagani and M. Banja) for the work needed to publish the EDGARv7.0 Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions dataset

79

Deleted: A5

1830	(https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_ghg70). AKJ thanks Shijie Shu and Tzu-Shun Lin for their involvement in developing and analyzing the ISAM model products used here. Giulia Conchedda, Griffiths Obli-Layrea and Nathan Wanner contributed	
	significant efforts to the generation of fertilizer, livestock and soil nutrient data that underlie FAO's estimates of N <sub>2</sub> O data. Financial support	
	Please see a full list of funders in the Appendix (Table <u>A4</u> ).	 " (Deleted: A5

# References

Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Kock, A., Löscher, C. R., Schmitz, R. A., Stramma, L., and Bange, H. W.: Influence of mesoscale eddies on the distribution of nitrous oxide in the eastern tropical South Pacific, Biogeosciences, 13, 1105–1118, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-1105-2016, 2016.

1840 Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Kock, A., Steinhoff, T., Brandt, P., Dengler, M., Fischer, T., Körtzinger, A., and Bange, H. W.: Nitrous oxide during the onset of the Atlantic cold tongue, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 171–184, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012238, 2017.

Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Steinhoff, T., Brandt, P., Körtzinger, A., Lamont, T., Rehder, G., and Bange, H. W.: N2O Emissions
From the Northern Benguela Upwelling System, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 3317–3326,
1845 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081648, 2019.

Asaadi, A. and Arora, V. K.: Implementation of nitrogen cycle in the CLASSIC land model, Biogeosciences, 18, 669–706, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-669-2021, 2021.

Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., and Gehlen, M.: PISCES-v2: an ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies, Geoscientific Model Development, 8, 2465–2513, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015, 2015.

1850 Babbin, A. R., Boles, E. L., Mühle, J., and Weiss, R. F.: On the natural spatio-temporal heterogeneity of South Pacific nitrous oxide, Nat Commun, 11, 3672, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17509-6, 2020.

Bange, H. W.: Non-CO2 greenhouse gases (N2O, CH4, CO) and the ocean, One Earth, 5, 1316–1318, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.11.011, 2022.

Bange, H. W., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., de la Paz, M., Farías, L., Kaiser, J., Kock, A., Law, C. S., Rees, A. P., Rehder, G.,
1855 Tortell, P. D., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., and Wilson, S. T.: A Harmonized Nitrous Oxide (N2O) Ocean Observation Network for the 21st Century, Frontiers in Marine Science, 6, 2019.

Barthel, M., Bauters, M., Baumgartner, S., Drake, T. W., Bey, N. M., Bush, G., Boeckx, P., Botefa, C. I., Dériaz, N., Ekamba, G. L., Gallarotti, N., Mbayu, F. M., Mugula, J. K., Makelele, I. A., Mbongo, C. E., Mohn, J., Mandea, J. Z., Mpambi, D. M., Ntaboba, L. C., Rukeza, M. B., Spencer, R. G. M., Summerauer, L., Vanlauwe, B., Van Oost, K., Wolf, B., and Six, J.: Low
1860 N2O and variable CH4 fluxes from tropical forest soils of the Congo Basin, Nat Commun, 13, 330,

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-27978-6, 2022.

Battaglia, G. and Joos, F.: Marine N2O Emissions From Nitrification and Denitrification Constrained by Modern Observations and Projected in Multimillennial Global Warming Simulations, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 92–121, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005671, 2018.

1865 Berthet, S., Séférian, R., Bricaud, C., Chevallier, M., Voldoire, A., and Ethé, C.: Evaluation of an Online Grid-Coarsening Algorithm in a Global Eddy-Admitting Ocean Biogeochemical Model, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 1759–1783, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001644, 2019.

Berthet, S., Jouanno, J., Séférian, R., Gehlen, M., and Llovel, W.: How does the phytoplankton–light feedback affect the marine N 2 O inventory?, Earth System Dynamics, 14, 399–412, 2023.

1870 Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Van Beek, L. P. H., Mogollón, J. M., and Middelburg, J. J.: Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum, Biogeosciences, 13, 2441–2451, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2441-2016, 2016.

Bouwman, A. F., Pawłowski, M., Liu, C., Beusen, A. H. W., Shumway, S. E., Glibert, P. M., and Overbeek, C. C.: Global Hindcasts and Future Projections of Coastal Nitrogen and Phosphorus Loads Due to Shellfish and Seaweed Aquaculture,
 1875 Reviews in Fisheries Science, 19, 331–357, https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2011.603849, 2011.

Bouwman, A. F., Beusen, A. H. W., Overbeek, C. C., Bureau, D. P., Pawlowski, M., and Glibert, P. M.: Hindcasts and Future Projections of Global Inland and Coastal Nitrogen and Phosphorus Loads Due to Finfish Aquaculture, Reviews in Fisheries Science, 21, 112–156, https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2013.790340, 2013a.

Bouwman, L., Daniel, J. S., Davidson, E. A., de Klein, C., Holland, E., Ju, X., Kanter, D., Oenema, O., Ravishankara, A. R.,
and Skiba, U. M.: Drawing down N2O to protect climate and the ozone layer. A UNEP Synthesis Report, United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2013b.

Brauman, A., Majeed, M. Z., Buatois, B., Robert, A., Pablo, A.-L., and Miambi, E.: Nitrous Oxide (N2O) Emissions by Termites: Does the Feeding Guild Matter?, PLOS ONE, 10, e0144340, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144340, 2015.

Brümmer, C., Papen, H., Wassmann, R., and Brüggemann, N.: Termite mounds as hot spots of nitrous oxide emissions in 1885 South-Sudanian savanna of Burkina Faso (West Africa), Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 2009.

Brune, A., Emerson, D., and Breznak, J. A.: The termite gut microflora as an oxygen sink: microelectrode determination of oxygen and pH gradients in guts of lower and higher termites, Applied and environmental microbiology, 61, 2681–2687, 1995.

Buendia, E., Tanabe, K., Kranjc, A., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M., Ngarize, S., . . . Federici, S.: Refinement To the 2006 Ipcc Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. *IPCC: Geneva, Switzerland*, 2019.

1890 Buitenhuis, E. T., Suntharalingam, P., and Le Quéré, C.: Constraints on global oceanic emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from observations and models, Biogeosciences, 15, 2161–2175, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2161-2018, 2018. Formatted: Spanish

Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E. M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 368, 20130122, https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0122, 2013.

- 1895 Canadell, J. G., Monteiro, P. M. S., Costa, M. H., Cunha, L. C. D., Cox, P. M., Eliseev, A. V., Henson, S., Ishii, M., Jaccard, S., Koven, C., Lohila, A., Patra, P. K., Piao, S., Syampungani, S., Zaehle, S., Zickfeld, K., Alexandrov, G. A., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Boysen, L., Cao, L., Chandra, N., Ciais, P., Denisov, S. N., Dentener, F. J., Douville, H., Fay, A., Forster, P., Fox-Kemper, B., Friedlingstein, P., Fu, W., Fuss, S., Garcon, V., Gier, B., Gillett, N. P., Gregor, L., Haustein, K., Haverd, V., He, J., Hewitt, H. T., Hoffman, F. M., Ilyina, T., Jackson, R., Jones, C., Keller, D. P., Kwiatkowski, L., Lamboll, R. D., Lan, X., Laufkötter,
- 1900 C., Quéré, C. L., Lenton, A., Lewis, J., Liddicoat, S., Lorenzoni, L., Lovenduski, N., Macdougall, A. H., Mathesius, S., Matthews, D. H., Meinshausen, M., Mokhov, I. I., Naik, V., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Nurhati, I. S., O'sullivan, M., Peters, G., Pongratz, J., Poulter, B., Sallée, J.-B., Saunois, M., Schuur, E. A. G., I.Seneviratne, S., Stavert, A., Suntharalingam, P., Tachiiri, K., Terhaar, J., Thompson, R., Tian, H., Turnbull, J., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Wang, X., Wanninkhof, R. H., Williamson, P., Brovkin, V., Feely, R. A., and Lebehot, A. D.: Global Carbon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks, chapter 5, 1905 2021.
- Carroll, D., Menemenlis, D., Adkins, J. F., Bowman, K. W., Brix, H., Dutkiewicz, S., Fenty, I., Gierach, M. M., Hill, C., Jahn, O., Landschützer, P., Lauderdale, J. M., Liu, J., Manizza, M., Naviaux, J. D., Rödenbeck, C., Schimel, D. S., Van der Stocken, T., and Zhang, H.: The ECCO-Darwin Data-Assimilative Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Model: Estimates of Seasonal to Multidecadal Surface Ocean pCO2 and Air-Sea CO2 Flux, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS001888, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001888, 2020. 1910

Conchedda, G. and Tubiello, F. N.: Drainage of organic soils and GHG emissions: validation with country data, Earth System Science Data, 12, 3113-3137, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3113-2020, 2020.

Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Solazzo, E., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Banja, M., Olivier, J., Grassi, G., and Rossi, S.: GHG emissions of all world countries, Publications Office of the European Union. https://publications. jrc. ec. europa. eu/repository/handle/JRC126363, 2021. 1915

Cui, Z., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Zhang, C., Ma, W., Huang, C., Zhang, W., Mi, G., Miao, Y., and Li, X.: Pursuing sustainable productivity with millions of smallholder farmers, Nature, 555, 363-366, 2018.

Dangal, S. R., Tian, H., Xu, R., Chang, J., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Pan, S., Yang, J., and Zhang, B.: Global nitrous oxide emissions from pasturelands and rangelands: magnitude, spatiotemporal patterns, and attribution, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 200-222, 2019.

1920

Davidson, E. A.: The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860, Nature Geoscience, 2, 659-662, 2009.

Davidson, E. A. and Artaxo, P.: Globally significant changes in biological processes of the Amazon Basin: results of the Largescale Biosphere–Atmosphere Experiment, Global Change Biology, 10, 519–529, 2004.

1925 Davidson, E. A. and Kanter, D.: Inventories and scenarios of nitrous oxide emissions, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 105012, 2014.

Davidson, E. A. and Winiwarter, W.: Urgent abatement of industrial sources of nitrous oxide, Nat. Clim. Chang., 13, 599-601, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01723-3, 2023.

Davidson, E. A., de Carvalho, C. J. R., Figueira, A. M., Ishida, F. Y., Ometto, J. P. H. B., Nardoto, G. B., Sabá, R. T., Hayashi,

1930 S. N., Leal, E. C., Vieira, I. C. G., and Martinelli, L. A.: Recuperation of nitrogen cycling in Amazonian forests following agricultural abandonment, Nature, 447, 995–998, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05900, 2007.

De Klein, C. A. M., Smith, L. C., and Monaghan, R. M.: Restricted autumn grazing to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from dairy pastures in Southland, New Zealand, Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, 112, 192–199, 2006.

Del Grosso, S. J., Ogle, S. M., Nevison, C., Gurung, R., Parton, W. J., Wagner-Riddle, C., Smith, W., Winiwarter, W., Grant,

1935 B., and Tenuta, M.: A gap in nitrous oxide emission reporting complicates long-term climate mitigation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119, e2200354119, 2022.

Denman, K. L., Brasseur, G. P., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P. M., Dickinson, R. E., Hauglustaine, D. A., Heinze, C., Holland, E. A., and Jacob, D. J.: Couplings Between Changes in the Climate System and Biogeochemistry, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

1940 Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: A three-dimensional model of the global ammonia cycle, J Atmos Chem, 19, 331–369, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00694492, 1994.

Dijkstra, F. A., Prior, S. A., Runion, G. B., Torbert, H. A., Tian, H., Lu, C., and Venterea, R. T.: Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and increased temperature on methane and nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 10, 520–527, 2012.

1945 Dlugokencky, E. J., Steele, L. P., Lang, P. M., and Masarie, K. A.: The growth rate and distribution of atmospheric methane, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 99, 17021–17043, 1994.

Dutton, G.S., Hall, B.D., Dlugokencky, E.J., Lan, X., Nance, J.D., Madronich, M.: Combined Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Halocarbons Sampling Network, 1977-2023, Version: 2023-04-13, https://doi.org/10.15138/GMZ7-2Q16, 2023.

1950 Eggleston, H. S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and Tanabe, K.: 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories, 2006.

Erler, D. V., Duncan, T. M., Murray, R., Maher, D. T., Santos, I. R., Gatland, J. R., Mangion, P., and Evre, B. D.: Applying cavity ring-down spectroscopy for the measurement of dissolved nitrous oxide concentrations and bulk nitrogen isotopic composition in aquatic systems: Correcting for interferences and field application, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 13, 391-401, 2015.

Estupiñán, E. G., Nicovich, J. M., Li, J., Cunnold, D. M., and Wine, P. H.: Investigation of N2O Production from 266 and 532 nm Laser Flash Photolysis of O3/N2/O2 Mixtures, J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 5880-5890, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp014242c, 2002.

Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N2O production and consumption in soil. In Exchange 1960 of trace gases between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere [Andreae, M.O. and Schimel, D.S. (eds)] John Wiley & Sons,

New York, 7-21, 1989.

Fischer, H., Schmitt, J., Bock, M., Seth, B., Joos, F., Spahni, R., Lienert, S., Battaglia, G., Stocker, B. D., Schilt, A., and Brook, E. J.: N2O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial - Part 1: Quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine emissions using N2O stable isotopes in ice cores, Biogeosciences, 16, 3997-4021, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3997-

1965 2019, 2019.

1955

Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D.J., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M.D., Watanabe, M., Wild, M., and Zhang, H.: The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S.,

1970 Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekci, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 923-1054, doi:10.1017/9781009157896.009, 2021.

Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J., Walsh, T., Lamb, W. F., Lamboll, R., Hauser, M., Ribes, A., Rosen, D., Gillett, N., Palmer, M. D., Rogelj, J., von Schuckmann, K., Seneviratne, S. I., Trewin, B., Zhang, X., Allen, M., Andrew, R., Birt, A., Borger, A.,

975 Boyer, T., Broersma, J. A., Cheng, L., Dentener, F., Friedlingstein, P., Gutiérrez, J. M., Gütschow, J., Hall, B., Ishii, M., Jenkins, S., Lan, X., Lee, J.-Y., Morice, C., Kadow, C., Kennedy, J., Killick, R., Minx, J. C., Naik, V., Peters, G. P., Pirani, A., Pongratz, J., Schleussner, C.-F., Szopa, S., Thorne, P., Rohde, R., Rojas Corradi, M., Schumacher, D., Vose, R., Zickfeld, K., Masson-Delmotte, V., and Zhai, P.: Indicators of Global Climate Change 2022: annual update of large-scale indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence, Earth System Science Data, 15, 2295-2327, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2295-2023, 2023.

980

Francey, R. J., Steele, L. P., Spencer, D. A., Langenfelds, R. L., Law, R. M., Krummel, P. B., Fraser, P. J., Etheridge, D. M., Derek, N., and Coram, S. A.: The CSIRO (Australia) measurement of greenhouse gases in the global atmosphere, Baseline Deleted: pp

Deleted: pp.

Formatted: English (US)

1985 Atmospheric Program Australia, edited by: Tindale, NW, Derek, N., and Fraser, PJ, Melbourne, Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO Atmospheric Research, 42-53, 2003.

Galloway, J. N., Bleeker, A., and Erisman, J. W.: The human creation and use of reactive nitrogen: a global and regional perspective, Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 46, 255-288, 2021.

Ganesan, A. L., Manizza, M., Morgan, E. J., Harth, C. M., Kozlova, E., Lueker, T., Manning, A. J., Lunt, M. F., Mühle, J., 1990 Lavric, J. V., Heimann, M., Weiss, R. F., and Rigby, M.: Marine Nitrous Oxide Emissions From Three Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems Inferred From Atmospheric Observations, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL087822, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087822, 2020.

Gerber, J. S., Carlson, K. M., Makowski, D., Mueller, N. D., Garcia de Cortazar-Atauri, I., Havlík, P., Herrero, M., Launay, M., O'Connell, C. S., and Smith, P.: Spatially explicit estimates of N2O emissions from croplands suggest climate mitigation opportunities from improved fertilizer management, Global Change Biology, 22, 3383-3394, 2016.

Gidden, M. J., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., Fujimori, S., Luderer, G., Kriegler, E., van Vuuren, D. P., van den Berg, M., Feng, L., Klein, D., Calvin, K., Doelman, J. C., Frank, S., Fricko, O., Harmsen, M., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Hilaire, J., Hoesly, R., Horing, J., Popp, A., Stehfest, E., and Takahashi, K.: Global emissions pathways under different socioeconomic scenarios for use in CMIP6: a dataset of harmonized emissions trajectories through the end of the century, Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 1443-1475, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1443-2019, 2019.

2000

1995

2010

Goll, D. S., Vuichard, N., Maignan, F., Jornet-Puig, A., Sardans, J., Violette, A., Peng, S., Sun, Y., Kvakic, M., Guimberteau, M., Guenet, B., Zaehle, S., Penuelas, J., Janssens, I., and Ciais, P.: A representation of the phosphorus cycle for ORCHIDEE (revision 4520), Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 3745-3770, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3745-2017, 2017.

Griffis, T. J., Chen, Z., Baker, J. M., Wood, J. D., Millet, D. B., Lee, X., Venterea, R. T., and Turner, P. A.: Nitrous oxide 2005 emissions are enhanced in a warmer and wetter world, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 12081-12085, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704552114, 2017.

Gruber, N.: The ocean carbon sink-recent highlights and challenges, in: IOC Carbon Meeting; Integrated Ocean Carbon Research workshop, 2022.

Gruber, N. and Galloway, J. N.: An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle, Nature, 451, 293-296, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06592, 2008,

Grundle, D. S., Löscher, C. R., Krahmann, G., Altabet, M. A., Bange, H. W., Karstensen, J., Körtzinger, A., and Fiedler, B.: Low oxygen eddies in the eastern tropical North Atlantic: Implications for N2O cycling, Sci Rep, 7, 4806, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04745-y, 2017.

Formatted: English (US)

Gurney, K. R., Law, R. M., Denning, A. S., Rayner, P. J., Pak, B. C., Baker, D., Bousquet, P., Bruhwiler, L., Chen, Y.-H., 2015 Ciais, P., Fung, I. Y., Heimann, M., John, J., Maki, T., Maksyutov, S., Peylin, P., Prather, M., and Taguchi, S.: Transcom 3 inversion intercomparison: Model mean results for the estimation of seasonal carbon sources and sinks, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 18, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002111, 2004.

Hall, B. D., Dutton, G. S., and Elkins, J. W.: The NOAA nitrous oxide standard scale for atmospheric observations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, 2007.

Harris, E., Yu, L., Wang, Y.-P., Mohn, J., Henne, S., Bai, E., Barthel, M., Bauters, M., Boeckx, P., Dorich, C., Farrell, M., Krummel, P. B., Loh, Z. M., Reichstein, M., Six, J., Steinbacher, M., Wells, N. S., Bahn, M., and Rayner, P.: Warming and redistribution of nitrogen inputs drive an increase in terrestrial nitrous oxide emission factor, Nat Commun, 13, 4310, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32001-z, 2022.

Houghton, R. A. and Castanho, A.: Annual emissions of carbon from land use, land-use change, and forestry from 1850 to 2020, Earth System Science Data, 15, 2025–2054, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2025-2023, 2023

Houghton, R. A., Hobbie, J. E., Melillo, J. M., Moore, B., Peterson, B. J., Shaver, G. R., and Woodwell, G. M.: Changes in the Carbon Content of Terrestrial Biota and Soils between 1860 and 1980: A Net Release of CO'2 to the Atmosphere, Ecological Monographs, 53, 235–262, https://doi.org/10.2307/1942531, 1983.

Hu, M., Chen, D., and Dahlgren, R. A.: Modeling nitrous oxide emission from rivers: a global assessment, Global Change
 Biology, 22, 3566–3582, https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13351, 2016.

Hu, Z., Lee, J. W., Chandran, K., Kim, S., and Khanal, S. K.: Nitrous oxide (N2O) emission from aquaculture: a review, Environmental science & technology, 46, 6470–6480, 2012.

Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L., Sahajpal, R., Frolking, S., Bodirsky, B. L., Calvin, K., Doelman, J. C., Fisk, J., Fujimori, S., Klein Goldewijk, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Heinimann, A., Humpenöder, F., Jungclaus, J., Kaplan, J. O., Kennedy, J., Krisztin,

2035 T., Lawrence, D., Lawrence, P., Ma, L., Mertz, O., Pongratz, J., Popp, A., Poulter, B., Riahi, K., Shevliakova, E., Stehfest, E., Thornton, P., Tubiello, F. N., van Vuuren, D. P., and Zhang, X.: Harmonization of global land use change and management for the period 850–2100 (LUH2) for CMIP6, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 5425–5464, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-5425-2020, 2020.

Ito, A., Nishina, K., Ishijima, K., Hashimoto, S., and Inatomi, M.: Emissions of nitrous oxide (N2O) from soil surfaces and their historical changes in East Asia: a model-based assessment, Prog Earth Planet Sci, 5, 55, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0215-4, 2018.

Jackson, R. B., Solomon, E. I., Canadell, J. G., Cargnello, M., and Field, C. B.: Methane removal and atmospheric restoration, Nature Sustainability, 2, 436–438, 2019.

87

Formatted: English (US)

Ji, Q., Buitenhuis, E., Suntharalingam, P., Sarmiento, J. L., and Ward, B. B.: Global Nitrous Oxide Production Determined by 2045 Oxygen Sensitivity of Nitrification and Denitrification, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 1790–1802, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005887, 2018.

Joos, F. and Spahni, R.: Rates of change in natural and anthropogenic radiative forcing over the past 20,000 years, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105, 1425–1430, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707386105, 2008.

Joos, F., Spahni, R., Stocker, B. D., Lienert, S., Müller, J., Fischer, H., Schmitt, J., Prentice, I. C., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Liu, Z.: N<sub>2</sub>O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial – Part 2: terrestrial N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and carbon–nitrogen cycle interactions, Biogeosciences, 17, 3511–3543, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3511-2020, 2020.

Keller, M. and Reiners, W. A.: Soil-atmosphere exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, and methane under secondary succession of pasture to forest in the Atlantic lowlands of Costa Rica, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 8, 399–409, https://doi.org/10.1029/94GB01660, 1994.

2055 Kock, A. and Bange, H. W.: Counting the ocean's greenhouse gas emissions, Eos: Earth & Space Science News, 96, 10–13, 2015.

Kock, A., Schafstall, J., Dengler, M., Brandt, P., and Bange, H. W.: Sea-to-air and diapycnal nitrous oxide fluxes in the eastern tropical North Atlantic Ocean, Biogeosciences, 9, 957–964, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-957-2012, 2012.

Kohlmann, J.-P. and Poppe, D.: The Tropospheric Gas-Phase Degradation of NH3 and Its Impact on the Formation of N2O
 and NOx, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 32, 397–415, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006162910279, 1999.

Kou-Giesbrecht, S. and Arora, V. K.: Representing the Dynamic Response of Vegetation to Nitrogen Limitation via Biological Nitrogen Fixation in the CLASSIC Land Model, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 36, e2022GB007341, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GB007341, 2022.

Kroeze, C., Mosier, A., and Bouwman, L.: Closing the global N2O budget: A retrospective analysis 1500–1994, Global
 Biogeochemical Cycles, 13, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GB900020, 1999.

Kuypers, M. M. M., Marchant, H. K., and Kartal, B.: The microbial nitrogen-cycling network, Nat Rev Microbiol, 16, 263–276, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9, 2018,

Lan, X., Dlugokencky, E.J., Mund, J.W., Crotwell, A.M., Crotwell, M.J., Moglia, E., Madronich, M., Neff, D., and Thoning, K.W.: Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide Dry Air Mole Fractions from the NOAA GML Carbon Cycle Cooperative Global Air
 2070 Sampling Network, 1997-2021, Version: 2022-11-21, https://doi.org/10.15138/53g1-x417, 2022.

Landolfi, A., Somes, C. J., Koeve, W., Zamora, L. M., and Oschlies, A.: Oceanic nitrogen cycling and N2O flux perturbations in the Anthropocene, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 31, 1236–1255, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005633, 2017.

88

Formatted: English (US)

Laruelle, G. G., Dürr, H. H., Lauerwald, R., Hartmann, J., Slomp, C. P., Goossens, N., and Regnier, P. a. G.: Global multiscale segmentation of continental and coastal waters from the watersheds to the continental margins, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 2029–2051, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2029-2013, 2013.

2075

Lauerwald, R., Regnier, P., Figueiredo, V., Enrich-Prast, A., Bastviken, D., Lehner, B., Maavara, T., and Raymond, P.: Natural Lakes Are a Minor Global Source of N2O to the Atmosphere, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 1564–1581, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006261, 2019.

Li, L., Zheng, Z., Wang, W., Biederman, J. A., Xu, X., Ran, Q., Qian, R., Xu, C., Zhang, B., Wang, F., Zhou, S., Cui, L., Che,
 R., Hao, Y., Cui, X., Xu, Z., and Wang, Y.: Terrestrial N2O emissions and related functional genes under climate change: A global meta-analysis, Global Change Biology, 26, 931–943, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14847, 2020.

Li, W., Ciais, P., Wang, Y., Peng, S., Broquet, G., Ballantyne, A. P., Canadell, J. G., Cooper, L., Friedlingstein, P., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R. B., Peters, G. P., Piao, S., and Pongratz, J.: Reducing uncertainties in decadal variability of the global carbon budget with multiple datasets, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113, 13104–13108, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603956113, 2016.

Li, Y., Tian, H., Yao, Y., Shi, H., Bian, Z., Shi, Y., Wang, S., Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., and Pan, S.: Increased nitrous oxide emissions from global lakes and reservoirs since the pre-industrial era, Nat Commun, 15, 942, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45061-0, 2024.

Lienert, S. and Joos, F.: A Bayesian ensemble data assimilation to constrain model parameters and land-use carbon emissions, Biogeosciences, 15, 2909–2930, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2909-2018, 2018.

Lu, C., Yu, Z., Zhang, J., Cao, P., Tian, H., and Nevison, C.: Century-long changes and drivers of soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions across the contiguous United States, Global Change Biology, 28, 2505–2524, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16061, 2022.

Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Akbarzadeh, Z., Bouskill, N. J., Van Cappellen, P., and Regnier, P.: Nitrous oxide
emissions from inland waters: Are IPCC estimates too high?, Global Change Biology, 25, 473–488,
https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14504, 2019.

MacFarling Meure, C., Etheridge, D., Trudinger, C., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., van Ommen, T., Smith, A., and Elkins, J.: Law Dome CO2, CH4 and N2O ice core records extended to 2000 years BP, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026152, 2006,

2100 MacLeod, M., Hasan, M. R., Robb, D. H., and Mamun-Ur-Rashid, M.: Quantifying and mitigating greenhouse gas emissions from global aquaculture, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2019. **Deleted:** Li, Y., Tian H., Yao Y., Shi H., Bian, Z., Yu Sh, Y., Wang, S., Maavara, T., Lauerwald R., Pan S.: Increased nitrous oxide emissions from global lakes and reservoirs since the preindustrial era, Nature Communications (submitted).<sup>4</sup>

Formatted: English (US)

Majeed, M. Z., Miambi, E., Robert, A., Bernoux, M., and Brauman, A.: Xylophagous termites: A potential sink for atmospheric nitrous oxide, European Journal of Soil Biology, 53, 121–125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.10.002, 2012.

Martinez-Rey, J., Bopp, L., Gehlen, M., Tagliabue, A., and Gruber, N.: Projections of oceanic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the 21st century using the IPSL Earth system model, Biogeosciences, 12, 4133–4148, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4133-2015, 2015.

2110 Marushchak, M. E., Pitkämäki, A., Koponen, H., Biasi, C., Seppälä, M., and Martikainen, P. J.: Hot spots for nitrous oxide emissions found in different types of permafrost peatlands, Global Change Biology, 17, 2601–2614, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02442.x, 2011.

Marushchak, M. E., Kerttula, J., Diáková, K., Faguet, A., Gil, J., Grosse, G., Knoblauch, C., Lashchinskiy, N., Martikainen, P. J., Morgenstern, A., Nykamb, M., Ronkainen, J. G., Siljanen, H. M. P., van Delden, L., Voigt, C., Zimov, N., Zimov, S.,

2115 and Biasi, C.: Thawing Yedoma permafrost is a neglected nitrous oxide source, Nat Commun, 12, 7107, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27386-2, 2021.

Marzadri, A., Amatulli, G., Tonina, D., Bellin, A., Shen, L. Q., Allen, G. H., and Raymond, P. A.: Global riverine nitrous oxide emissions: The role of small streams and large rivers, Science of The Total Environment, 776, 145148, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145148, 2021.

2120 Marzadri, A., Bellin, A., Tank, J. L., and Tonina, D.: Predicting nitrous oxide emissions through riverine networks, Science of The Total Environment, 843, 156844, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156844, 2022.

Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., and Gomis, M. I.: Climate change 2021: the physical science basis, Contribution of working group I to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 2, 2021.

- 2125 McGuire, A. D., Sitch, S., Clein, J. S., Dargaville, R., Esser, G., Foley, J., Heimann, M., Joos, F., Kaplan, J., Kicklighter, D. W., Meier, R. A., Melillo, J. M., Moore III, B., Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., Reichenau, T., Schloss, A., Tian, H., Williams, L. J., and Wittenberg, U.: Carbon balance of the terrestrial biosphere in the Twentieth Century: Analyses of CO2, climate and land use effects with four process-based ecosystem models, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 183–206, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001298, 2001.
- 2130 Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J.-F., Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S. A., Raper, S. C. B., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Velders, G. J. M., and van Vuuren, D. P. P.: The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109, 213, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z, 2011.

Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Lewis, J., Gidden, M. J., Vogel, E., Freund, M., Beyerle, U., Gessner, C., Nauels, A., Bauer, N., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., John, A., Krummel, P. B., Luderer, G., Meinshausen, N., Montzka, S. A., Rayner, P.

2135 J., Reimann, S., Smith, S. J., van den Berg, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., and Wang, R. H. J.: The shared socio-

economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 3571–3605, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020, 2020.

Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., Feigl, B. J., Neill, C., Garcia, D., Piccolo, M. C., Cerri, C. C., and Tian, H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from forests and pastures of various ages in the Brazilian Amazon, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 34179–34188, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000036, 2001.

Melton, J. R., Arora, V. K., Wisernig-Cojoc, E., Seiler, C., Fortier, M., Chan, E., and Teckentrup, L.: CLASSIC v1.0: the

2140

open-source community successor to the Canadian Land Surface Scheme (CLASS) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) – Part 1: Model framework and site-level performance, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 2825–2850, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2825-2020, 2020.

2145 Meter, K. J. V, Basu, N. B., Veenstra, J. J., and Burras, C. L.: The nitrogen legacy: emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 035014, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/035014, 2016.

Meurer, K. H. E., Franko, U., Stange, C. F., Rosa, J. D., Madari, B. E., and Jungkunst, H. F.: Direct nitrous oxide (N2O) fluxes from soils under different land use in Brazil—a critical review, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 023001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/2/023001, 2016.

2150 Miambi, E., Jusselme, T. M. D., Châtelliers, C. C. des, Robert, A., Delort, A., and Le Roux, X.: Potential gross and net N2O production by the gut of different termite species are related to the abundance of nitrifier and denitrifier groups, Frontiers in Microbiomes, 1, 2022.

Minschwaner, K., Carver, R. W., Briegleb, B. P., and Roche, A. E.: Infrared radiative forcing and atmospheric lifetimes of trace species based on observations from UARS, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103, 23243–23253, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02116, 1998.

Moser, G., Gorenflo, A., Brenzinger, K., Keidel, L., Braker, G., Marhan, S., Clough, T. J., and Müller, C.: Explaining the doubling of N2O emissions under elevated CO2 in the Giessen FACE via in-field 15N tracing, Global Change Biology, 24, 3897–3910, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14136, 2018.

Murray, R., Erler, D. V., Rosentreter, J., Wells, N. S., and Eyre, B. D.: Seasonal and spatial controls on N2O concentrations and emissions in low-nitrogen estuaries: Evidence from three tropical systems, Marine Chemistry, 221, 103779, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103779, 2020.

Myhre, G., Samset, B. H., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T. K., Bian, H., Bellouin, N., Chin, M., Diehl, T., Easter, R. C., Feichter, J., Ghan, S. J., Hauglustaine, D., Iversen, T., Kinne, S., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Lin, G., Liu, X., Lund, M. T., Luo, G., Ma, X., van Noije, T., Penner, J. E., Rasch, P. J., Ruiz, A., Seland, Ø., Skeie, R. B., Stier, P., Takemura,

- 2165 T., Tsigaridis, K., Wang, P., Wang, Z., Xu, L., Yu, H., Yu, F., Yoon, J.-H., Zhang, K., Zhang, H., and Zhou, C.: Radiative
  - 91

forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II simulations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 1853–1877, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-1853-2013, 2013.

Nault, B. A., Laughner, J. L., Wooldridge, P. J., Crounse, J. D., Dibb, J., Diskin, G., Peischl, J., Podolske, J. R., Pollack, I. B.,
 Ryerson, T. B., Scheuer, E., Wennberg, P. O., and Cohen, R. C.: Lightning NOx Emissions: Reconciling Measured and
 Modeled Estimates With Updated NOx Chemistry, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 9479–9488,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074436, 2017.

Nevison, C., Andrews, A., Thoning, K., Dlugokencky, E., Sweeney, C., Miller, S., Saikawa, E., Benmergui, J., Fischer, M., Mountain, M., and Nehrkorn, T.: Nitrous Oxide Emissions Estimated With the CarbonTracker-Lagrange North American Regional Inversion Framework, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 463–485, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005759, 2018.

2175 Oberländer-Hayn, S., Gerber, E. P., Abalichin, J., Akiyoshi, H., Kerschbaumer, A., Kubin, A., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Meul, S., Michou, M., Morgenstern, O., and Oman, L. D.: Is the Brewer-Dobson circulation increasing or moving upward?, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 1772–1779, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067545, 2016.

Oreggioni, G. D., Monforti Ferraio, F., Crippa, M., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Guizzardi, D., Solazzo, E., Duerr, M., Perry, M., and Vignati, E.: Climate change in a changing world: Socio-economic and technological transitions, regulatory frameworks

2180 and trends on global greenhouse gas emissions from EDGAR v.5.0, Global Environmental Change, 70, 102350, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102350, 2021.

Ostle, N. J., Smith, P., Fisher, R., Ian Woodward, F., Fisher, J. B., Smith, J. U., Galbraith, D., Levy, P., Meir, P., McNamara, N. P., and Bardgett, R. D.: Integrating plant-soil interactions into global carbon cycle models, Journal of Ecology, 97, 851–863, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01547.x, 2009.

2185 Pan, B., Lam, S. K., Wang, E., Mosier, A., and Chen, D.: New approach for predicting nitrification and its fraction of N2O emissions in global terrestrial ecosystems, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 034053, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe4f5, 2021.

Pärn, J., Verhoeven, J. T. A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dise, N. B., Ullah, S., Aasa, A., Egorov, S., Espenberg, M., Järveoja, J., Jauhiainen, J., Kasak, K., Klemedtsson, L., Kull, A., Laggoun-Défarge, F., Lapshina, E. D., Lohila, A., Lõhmus, K., Maddison, M., Mitsch, W. J., Müller, C., Niinemets, Ü., Osborne, B., Pae, T., Salm, J.-O., Sgouridis, F., Sohar, K., Soosaar, K., Storey,

2190 K., Teemusk, A., Tenywa, M. M., Tournebize, J., Truu, J., Veber, G., Villa, J. A., Zaw, S. S., and Mander, Ü.: Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emission hotspots, Nat Commun, 9, 1135, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03540-1, 2018.

Patra, P. K., Takigawa, M., Watanabe, S., Chandra, N., Ishijima, K., and Yamashita, Y.: Improved chemical tracer simulation by MIROC4. 0-based atmospheric chemistry-transport model (MIROC4-ACTM), Sola, 14, 91–96, 2018.

2195 Patra, P. K., Dlugokencky, E. J., Elkins, J. W., Dutton, G. S., Tohjima, Y., Sasakawa, M., Ito, A., Weiss, R. F., Manizza, M., and Krummel, P. B.: Forward and inverse modelling of atmospheric nitrous oxide using MIROC4-atmospheric chemistrytransport model, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 100, 361–386, 2022.

Phillips, R. L., Whalen, S. C., and Schlesinger, W. H.: Influence of atmospheric CO2 enrichment on nitrous oxide flux in a temperate forest ecosystem, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 741–752, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001372, 2001.

2200 Poulter, B., Bastos, A., Canadell, J., Ciais, P., Gruber, N., Hauck, J., Jackson, R., Ishii, M., Müller, J. D., and Patra, P.: Inventorying Earth's land and ocean greenhouse gases, Eos, 2022.

Prather, M. J., Holmes, C. D., and Hsu, J.: Reactive greenhouse gas scenarios: Systematic exploration of uncertainties and the role of atmospheric chemistry, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051440, 2012.

Prather, M. J., Hsu, J., DeLuca, N. M., Jackman, C. H., Oman, L. D., Douglass, A. R., Fleming, E. L., Strahan, S. E., Steenrod,
S. D., Søvde, O. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Froidevaux, L., and Funke, B.: Measuring and modeling the lifetime of nitrous oxide including its variability, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 120, 5693–5705, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023267, 2015.

Prather, M. J., Froidevaux, L., and Livesey, N. J.: Observed changes in stratospheric circulation: decreasing lifetime of N<sub>2</sub>O, 2005–2021, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 843–849, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-843-2023, 2023.

2210 Prinn, R., Cunnold, D., Rasmussen, R., Simmonds, P., Alyea, F., Crawford, A., Fraser, P., and Rosen, R.: Atmospheric emissions and trends of nitrous oxide deduced from 10 years of ALE–GAGE data, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 95, 18369–18385, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD095iD11p18369, 1990.

Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Arduini, J., Arnold, T., DeWitt, H. L., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Gasore, J., Harth, C. M., Hermansen, O., Kim, J., Krummel, P. B., Li, S., Loh, Z. M., Lunder, C. R., Maione, M., Manning, A. J., Miller, B. R.,

2215 Mitrevski, B., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park, S., Reimann, S., Rigby, M., Saito, T., Salameh, P. K., Schmidt, R., Simmonds, P. G., Steele, L. P., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H., Yao, B., Yokouchi, Y., Young, D., and Zhou, L.: History of chemically and radiatively important atmospheric gases from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE), Earth System Science Data, 10, 985–1018, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-985-2018, 2018.

Prosperi, P., Bloise, M., Tubiello, F. N., Conchedda, G., Rossi, S., Boschetti, L., Salvatore, M., and Bernoux, M.: New estimates of greenhouse gas emissions from biomass burning and peat fires using MODIS Collection 6 burned areas, Climatic Change, 161, 415–432, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02654-0, 2020.

Raats, P. A. C.: Uptake of water from soils by plant roots, Transp Porous Med, 68, 5–28, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-006-9055-6, 2007.

Raji, S. G. and Dörsch, P.: Effect of legume intercropping on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and CH<sub>4</sub> uptake during maize production in the
 Great Rift Valley, Ethiopia, Biogeosciences, 17, 345–359, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-345-2020, 2020.

Raoult, N., Delorme, B., Ottlé, C., Peylin, P., Bastrikov, V., Maugis, P., and Polcher, J.: Confronting Soil Moisture Dynamics from the ORCHIDEE Land Surface Model With the ESA-CCI Product: Perspectives for Data Assimilation, Remote Sensing, 10, 1786, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111786, 2018.

Reay, D. S., Davidson, E. A., Smith, K. A., Smith, P., Melillo, J. M., Dentener, F., and Crutzen, P. J.: Global agriculture and nitrous oxide emissions, Nature Clim Change, 2, 410–416, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1458, 2012.

Regan, K., Kammann, C., Hartung, K., Lenhart, K., Müller, C., Philippot, L., Kandeler, E., and Marhan, S.: Can differences in microbial abundances help explain enhanced N2O emissions in a permanent grassland under elevated atmospheric CO2?, Global Change Biology, 17, 3176–3186, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02470.x, 2011.

Repo, M. E., Susiluoto, S., Lind, S. E., Jokinen, S., Elsakov, V., Biasi, C., Virtanen, T., and Martikainen, P. J.: Large N2O emissions from cryoturbated peat soil in tundra, Nature Geosci, 2, 189–192, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo434, 2009.

Resplandy, L., Hogikyan, A., Bange, H. W., Bianchi, D., Weber, T. S., Cai, W.-J., Doney, S. C., Fennel, K., Gehlen, M., and Hauck, J.: A Synthesis of Global Coastal Ocean Greenhouse Gas Fluxes, Authorea Preprints, 2023.

Rogelj, J. and Lamboll, R. D.: Substantial reductions in non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions reductions implied by IPCC estimates of the remaining carbon budget, Commun Earth Environ, 5, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01168-8, 2024,

Formatted: English (US)

2240 Rogelj, J., den Elzen, M., Höhne, N., Fransen, T., Fekete, H., Winkler, H., Schaeffer, R., Sha, F., Riahi, K., and Meinshausen, M.: Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below 2 °C, Nature, 534, 631–639, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18307, 2016.

Rosentreter, J. A., Laruelle, G. G., Bange, H. W., Bianchi, T. S., Busecke, J. J. M., Cai, W.-J., Eyre, B. D., Forbrich, I., Kwon, E. Y., Maavara, T., Moosdorf, N., Najjar, R. G., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Van Dam, B., and Regnier, P.: Coastal vegetation and

2245 estuaries are collectively a greenhouse gas sink, Nat. Clim. Chang., 13, 579–587, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01682-9, 2023.

Saha, D., Basso, B., and Robertson, G. P.: Machine learning improves predictions of agricultural nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions from intensively managed cropping systems, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 024004, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd2f3, 2021.

2250 Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout,

G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov,

- S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.:
- 2260 The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017, Earth System Science Data, 12, 1561–1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020, 2020.

Scheer, C., Pelster, D. E., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Editorial Overview: Climate change, reactive nitrogen, food security and sustainable agriculture-the case of N2O, Elsevier, 2020.

Schilt, A., Baumgartner, M., Schwander, J., Buiron, D., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Loulergue, L., Schüpbach, S., Spahni, R.,
 Fischer, H., and Stocker, T. F.: Atmospheric nitrous oxide during the last 140,000years, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 300, 33–43, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.09.027, 2010.

Schlesinger, W. H.: An estimate of the global sink for nitrous oxide in soils, Global Change Biology, 19, 2929–2931, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12239, 2013.

Schumann, U. and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
 7, 3823–3907, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007, 2007.

Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Decharme, B., Delire, C., Berthet, S., Chevallier, M., Sénési, S., Franchisteguy, L., Vial, J., Mallet, M., Joetzjer, E., Geoffroy, O., Guérémy, J.-F., Moine, M.-P., Msadek, R., Ribes, A., Rocher, M., Roehrig, R., Salas-y-Mélia, D., Sanchez, E., Terray, L., Valcke, S., Waldman, R., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Deshayes, J., Éthé, C., and Madec, G.: Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2-1: Role of Earth System
Processes in Present-Day and Future Climate, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 4182-4227,

https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001791, 2019.

Séférian, R., Berthet, S., Yool, A., Palmiéri, J., Bopp, L., Tagliabue, A., Kwiatkowski, L., Aumont, O., Christian, J., Dunne, J., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., John, J. G., Li, H., Long, M. C., Luo, J. Y., Nakano, H., Romanou, A., Schwinger, J., Stock, C., Santana-Falcón, Y., Takano, Y., Tjiputra, J., Tsujino, H., Watanabe, M., Wu, T., Wu, F., and Yamamoto, A.: Tracking

2280 Improvement in Simulated Marine Biogeochemistry Between CMIP5 and CMIP6, Curr Clim Change Rep, 6, 95–119, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-020-00160-0, 2020.

Shang, Z., Zhou, F., Smith, P., Saikawa, E., Ciais, P., Chang, J., Tian, H., Del Grosso, S. J., Ito, A., Chen, M., Wang, Q., Bo, Y., Cui, X., Castaldi, S., Juszczak, R., Kasimir, Å., Magliulo, V., Medinets, S., Medinets, V., Rees, R. M., Wohlfahrt, G., and



Sabbatini, S.: Weakened growth of cropland-N2O emissions in China associated with nationwide policy interventions, Global 2285 Change Biology, 25, 3706-3719, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14741, 2019.

Shcherbak, I., Millar, N., and Robertson, G. P.: Global metaanalysis of the nonlinear response of soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions to fertilizer nitrogen, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 9199-9204, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1322434111, 2014.

Shu, S., Jain, A. K., Koven, C. D., and Mishra, U.: Estimation of Permafrost SOC Stock and Turnover Time Using a Land 2290 Surface Model With Vertical Heterogeneity of Permafrost Soils, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2020GB006585, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006585, 2020.

Smith, K.: The potential for feedback effects induced by global warming on emissions of nitrous oxide by soils, Global Change Biology, 3, 327-338, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1997.00100.x, 1997.

Solazzo, E., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Choulga, M., and Janssens-Maenhout, G.: Uncertainties in the Emissions 295 Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) emission inventory of greenhouse gases, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 5655-5683, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-5655-2021, 2021,

Stavert, A. R., Saunois, M., Canadell, J. G., Poulter, B., Jackson, R. B., Regnier, P., Lauerwald, R., Raymond, P. A., Allen, G. H., Patra, P. K., Bergamaschi, P., Bousquet, P., Chandra, N., Ciais, P., Gustafson, A., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Kleinen, T., Maksyutov, S., McNorton, J., Melton, J. R., Müller, J., Niwa, Y., Peng, S., Riley, W. J., Segers, A., Tian, H., Tsuruta, A., Yin,

2300 Y., Zhang, Z., Zheng, B., and Zhuang, O.: Regional trends and drivers of the global methane budget, Global Change Biology, 28, 182-200, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15901, 2022.

Stell, A. C., Bertolacci, M., Zammit-Mangion, A., Rigby, M., Fraser, P. J., Harth, C. M., Krummel, P. B., Lan, X., Manizza, M., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Young, D., and Ganesan, A. L.: Modelling the growth of atmospheric nitrous oxide using a global hierarchical inversion, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 12945-12960, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-12945-2022, 2022.

2305

Sullivan, B. W., Nifong, R. L., Nasto, M. K., Alvarez-Clare, S., Dencker, C. M., Soper, F. M., Shoemaker, K. T., Ishida, F. Y., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Davidson, E. A., and Cleveland, C. C.: Biogeochemical recuperation of lowland tropical forest during succession, Ecology, 100, e02641, https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2641, 2019.

Suntharalingam, P., Buitenhuis, E., Le Quéré, C., Dentener, F., Nevison, C., Butler, J. H., Bange, H. W., and Forster, G.: 2310 Quantifying the impact of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on oceanic nitrous oxide, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050778, 2012.

Syakila, A. and Kroeze, C.: The global nitrous oxide budget revisited, Greenhouse Gas Measurement and Management, 1, 17-26, https://doi.org/10.3763/ghgmm.2010.0007, 2011.

Formatted: English (US)

Syakila, A., Kroeze, C., and Slomp, C. P.: Neglecting sinks for N2O at the earth's surface: does it matter?, Journal of Integrative
 Environmental Sciences, 7, 79–87, https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2010.497492, 2010.

Tarantola, A.: Inverse problem theory and methods for model parameter estimation, SIAM, 2005.

Thompson, R. L., Chevallier, F., Crotwell, A. M., Dutton, G., Langenfelds, R. L., Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Tohjima, Y., Nakazawa, T., Krummel, P. B., Steele, L. P., Fraser, P., O'Doherty, S., Ishijima, K., and Aoki, S.: Nitrous oxide emissions 1999 to 2009 from a global atmospheric inversion, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 1801–1817, 2320 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1801-2014, 2014.

Thompson, R. L., Lassaletta, L., Patra, P. K., Wilson, C., Wells, K. C., Gressent, A., Koffi, E. N., Chipperfield, M. P., Winiwarter, W., Davidson, E. A., Tian, H., and Canadell, J. G.: Acceleration of global N2O emissions seen from two decades of atmospheric inversion, Nat. Clim. Chang., 9, 993–998, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0613-7, 2019.

Thoning, K. W., Tans, P. P., and Komhyr, W. D.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide at Mauna Loa Observatory: 2. Analysis of the 2325 NOAA GMCC data, 1974–1985, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 94, 8549–8565, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD06p08549, 1989.

Tian, H., Yang, Q., Najjar, R. G., Ren, W., Friedrichs, M. A., Hopkinson, C. S., and Pan, S.: Anthropogenic and climatic influences on carbon fluxes from eastern North America to the Atlantic Ocean: A process-based modeling study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 120, 757–772, 2015.

2330 Tian, H., Yang, J., Lu, C., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Arneth, A., Chang, J., Chen, G., Ciais, P., Gerber, S., Ito, A., Huang, Y., Joos, F., Lienert, S., Messina, P., Olin, S., Pan, S., Peng, C., Saikawa, E., Thompson, R. L., Vuichard, N., Winiwarter, W., Zaehle, S., Zhang, B., Zhang, K., and Zhu, Q.: The Global N2O Model Intercomparison Project, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 99, 1231–1251, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0212.1, 2018.

Tian, H., Yang, J., Xu, R., Lu, C., Canadell, J. G., Davidson, E. A., Jackson, R. B., Arneth, A., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Gerber, S.,
Ito, A., Joos, F., Lienert, S., Messina, P., Olin, S., Pan, S., Peng, C., Saikawa, E., Thompson, R. L., Vuichard, N., Winiwarter,
W., Zaehle, S., and Zhang, B.: Global soil nitrous oxide emissions since the preindustrial era estimated by an ensemble of terrestrial biosphere models: Magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty, Global Change Biology, 25, 640–659, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14514, 2019.

Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais, P., Jackson,
R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S.,
Zhou, F., Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis, E. T., Chang, J., Chipperfield, M. P.,
Dangal, S. R. S., Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B., Hall, B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A.,
Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R.

G., Raymond, P. A., Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J.,
and Yao, Y.: A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks, Nature, 586, 248–256, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0, 2020.

Tian, H., 2023. Data supplement to: Tian et al, 2023. Global N2O Budget 1980-2020. ESSD. <u>https://doi.org/10.18160/RQ8P-</u>2Z4R.

Tubiello, F. N., Salvatore, M., Rossi, S., Ferrara, A., Fitton, N., and Smith, P.: The FAOSTAT database of greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 015009, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/015009, 2013,

Tubiello, F. N., Salvatore, M., Ferrara, A. F., House, J., Federici, S., Rossi, S., Biancalani, R., Condor Golec, R. D., Jacobs, H., Flammini, A., Prosperi, P., Cardenas-Galindo, P., Schmidhuber, J., Sanz Sanchez, M. J., Srivastava, N., and Smith, P.: The Contribution of Agriculture, Forestry and other Land Use activities to Global Warming, 1990–2012, Global Change Biology, 21, 2655–2660, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12865, 2015.

2355 Tubiello, F. N., Rosenzweig, C., Conchedda, G., Karl, K., Gütschow, J., Xueyao, P., Obli-Laryea, G., Wanner, N., Qiu, S. Y., Barros, J. D., Flammini, A., Mencos-Contreras, E., Souza, L., Quadrelli, R., Heiðarsdóttir, H. H., Benoit, P., Hayek, M., and Sandalow, D.: Greenhouse gas emissions from food systems: building the evidence base, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 065007, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac018e, 2021.

Tubiello, F. N., Karl, K., Flammini, A., Gütschow, J., Obli-Laryea, G., Conchedda, G., Pan, X., Qi, S. Y., Halldórudóttir

2360 Heiðarsdóttir, H., Wanner, N., Quadrelli, R., Rocha Souza, L., Benoit, P., Hayek, M., Sandalow, D., Mencos Contreras, E., Rosenzweig, C., Rosero Moncayo, J., Conforti, P., and Torero, M.: Pre- and post-production processes increasingly dominate greenhouse gas emissions from agri-food systems, Earth System Science Data, 14, 1795–1809, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-1795-2022, 2022.

UNEP 2013. Drawing Down N2O to Protect Climate and the Ozone Layer. A UNEP Synthesis Report. United Nations 2365 Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi, Kenya. http://www.unep.org/publications/ebooks/UNEPN2Oreport/

United Nations: The Sustainable Development Goals 2016, eSocialSciences, 2016.

2350

Usyskin-Tonne, A., Hadar, Y., Yermiyahu, U., and Minz, D.: Elevated CO2 has a significant impact on denitrifying bacterial community in wheat roots, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 142, 107697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107697, 2020.

2370 Van Meter, K. J., Basu, N. B., Veenstra, J. J. & Burras, C. L: The nitrogen legacy: emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes. Environmental Research Letters 11, 035014, 2016. (Formatted: English (US)

Verchot, L. V., Davidson, E. A., Cattânio, H., Ackerman, I. L., Erickson, H. E., and Keller, M.: Land use change and biogeochemical controls of nitrogen oxide emissions from soils in eastern Amazonia, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 13, 31–46, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GB900019, 1999.

2375 Voigt, C., Marushchak, M. E., Lamprecht, R. E., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Lindgren, A., Mastepanov, M., Granlund, L., Christensen, T. R., Tahvanainen, T., Martikainen, P. J., and Biasi, C.: Increased nitrous oxide emissions from Arctic peatlands after permafrost thaw, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 6238–6243, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702902114, 2017.

Vuichard, N., Messina, P., Luyssaert, S., Guenet, B., Zaehle, S., Ghattas, J., Bastrikov, V., & Peylin, P.: Accounting for carbon and nitrogen interactions in the global terrestrial ecosystem model ORCHIDEE (trunk version, rev 4999): multi-scale evaluation of gross primary production. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(11), 4751–4779. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4751-2019</u>, 2019.

Wagner-Riddle, C., Congreves, K. A., Abalos, D., Berg, A. A., Brown, S. E., Ambadan, J. T., Gao, X., and Tenuta, M.: Globally important nitrous oxide emissions from croplands induced by freeze-thaw cycles, Nature Geosci, 10, 279–283,

2385 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2907, 2017.

Wang, C., Lai, D. Y. F., Sardans, J., Wang, W., Zeng, C., and Peñuelas, J.: Factors Related with CH4 and N2O Emissions from a Paddy Field: Clues for Management implications, PLOS ONE, 12, e0169254, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169254, 2017.

Wang, Q., Zhou, F., Shang, Z., Ciais, P., Winiwarter, W., Jackson, R. B., Tubiello, F. N., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tian, H., 2390 Cui, X., Canadell, J. G., Piao, S., and Tao, S.: Data-driven estimates of global nitrous oxide emissions from croplands, National Science Review, 7, 441–452, https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwz087, 2020.

Wells, K. C., Millet, D. B., Bousserez, N., Henze, D. K., Griffis, T. J., Chaliyakunnel, S., Dlugokencky, E. J., Saikawa, E., Xiang, G., Prinn, R. G., O'Doherty, S., Young, D., Weiss, R. F., Dutton, G. S., Elkins, J. W., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R., and Steele, L. P.: Top-down constraints on global N<sub>2</sub>O emissions at optimal resolution: application of a new dimension

2395 reduction technique, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 735–756, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-735-2018, 2018.

van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M., Mu, M., van Marle, M. J. E., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016, Earth System Science Data, 9, 697–720, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-697-2017, 2017.

Williams, J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Nitrous oxide from aquaculture, Nature Geosci, 3, 143–143, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo804, 2010.

Wilson, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Gloor, M., and Chevallier, F.: Development of a variational flux inversion system (INVICAT v1.0) using the TOMCAT chemical transport model, Geoscientific Model Development, 7, 2485-2500, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2485-2014, 2014.

Wilson, S. T., Al-Haj, A. N., Bourbonnais, A., Frey, C., Fulweiler, R. W., Kessler, J. D., Marchant, H. K., Milucka, J., Ray, 2405 N. E., Suntharalingam, P., Thornton, B. F., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Weber, T. S., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Bange, H. W., Benway, H. M., Bianchi, D., Borges, A. V., Chang, B. X., Crill, P. M., del Valle, D. A., Farías, L., Joye, S. B., Kock, A., Labidi, J., Manning, C. C., Pohlman, J. W., Rehder, G., Sparrow, K. J., Tortell, P. D., Treude, T., Valentine, D. L., Ward, B. B., Yang, S., and Yurganov, L. N.: Ideas and perspectives: A strategic assessment of methane and nitrous oxide measurements in the marine environment, Biogeosciences, 17, 5809-5828, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-5809-2020, 2020.

2410 Winiwarter, W., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Klimont, Z., Schöpp, W., and Amann, M.: Technical opportunities to reduce global anthropogenic emissions of nitrous oxide, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 014011, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa9ec9, 2018.

World Meteorological Organization. Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022 GAW Report No. 278, 2022.

Xu, R., Tian, H., Lu, C., Pan, S., Chen, J., Yang, J., and Zhang, B.: Preindustrial nitrous oxide emissions from the land biosphere estimated by using a global biogeochemistry model, Climate of the Past, 13, 977-990, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2415 13-977-2017, 2017.

Xu, R., Tian, H., Pan, S., Prior, S. A., Feng, Y., and Dangal, S. R. S.: Global N2O Emissions From Cropland Driven by Nitrogen Addition and Environmental Factors: Comparison and Uncertainty Analysis, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2020GB006698, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006698, 2020.

- Xu, R., Tian, H., Pan, N., Thompson, R. L., Canadell, J. G., Davidson, E. A., Nevison, C., Winiwarter, W., Shi, H., Pan, S., 2420 Chang, J., Ciais, P., Dangal, S. R. S., Ito, A., Jackson, R. B., Joos, F., Lauerwald, R., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., Millet, D. B., Raymond, P. A., Regnier, P., Tubiello, F. N., Vuichard, N., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., Yao, Y., Zaehle, S., and Zhou, F.: Magnitude and Uncertainty of Nitrous Oxide Emissions From North America Based on Bottom-Up and Top-Down Approaches: Informing Future Research and National Inventories, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, e2021GL095264, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095264, 2021a.
- 2425 Xu, X., Sharma, P., Shu, S., Lin, T.-S., Ciais, P., Tubiello, F. N., Smith, P., Campbell, N., and Jain, A. K.: Global greenhouse gas emissions from animal-based foods are twice those of plant-based foods, Nat Food, 2, 724-732, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00358-x, 2021b.

Yang, S., Chang, B. X., Warner, M. J., Weber, T. S., Bourbonnais, A. M., Santoro, A. E., Kock, A., Sonnerup, R. E., Bullister, J. L., Wilson, S. T., and Bianchi, D.: Global reconstruction reduces the uncertainty of oceanic nitrous oxide emissions and

2430 reveals a vigorous seasonal cycle, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117, 11954–11960, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921914117, 2020.

Yao, Y., Tian, H., Shi, H., Pan, S., Xu, R., Pan, N., and Canadell, J. G.: Increased global nitrous oxide emissions from streams and rivers in the Anthropocene, Nature Climate Change, 10, 138–142, 2020.

Yin, Y., Wang, Z., Tian, X., Wang, Y., Cong, J., and Cui, Z.: Evaluation of variation in background nitrous oxide emissions:
 A new global synthesis integrating the impacts of climate, soil, and management conditions, Global Change Biology, 28, 480–492, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15860, 2022.

You, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Shi, H., Bian, Z., Gurgel, A., Huang, Y., Kicklighter, D., Liang, X.-Z., Lu, C., Melillo, J., Miao, R., Pan, N., Reilly, J., Ren, W., Xu, R., Yang, J., Yu, Q., and Zhang, J.: Incorporating dynamic crop growth processes and management practices into a terrestrial biosphere model for simulating crop production in the United States: Toward a unified

2440 modeling framework, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 325, 109144, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109144, 2022.

Yu, H., Wang, T., Huang, Q., Song, K., Zhang, G., Ma, J., and Xu, H.: Effects of elevated CO2 concentration on CH4 and N2O emissions from paddy fields: A meta-analysis, Sci. China Earth Sci., 65, 96–106, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-021-9848-2, 2022. de Klein et al. 2006

2445 Yu, Z., Lu, C., Hennessy, D. A., Feng, H., and Tian, H.: Impacts of tillage practices on soil carbon stocks in the US cornsoybean cropping system during 1998 to 2016, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 014008, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab6393, 2020.

Zaehle, S., Ciais, P., Friend, A. D., and Prieur, V.: Carbon benefits of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen offset by nitrous oxide emissions, Nature Geosci, 4, 601–605, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1207, 2011.

2450 Zamora, L. M. and Oschlies, A.: Surface nitrification: A major uncertainty in marine N2O emissions, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 4247–4253, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060556, 2014.

Zhou, F., Shang, Z., Zeng, Z., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Raymond, P. A., Wang, X., Wang, R., Chen, M., Yang, C., Tao, S., Zhao, Y., Meng, Q., Gao, S., and Mao, Q.: New model for capturing the variations of fertilizer-induced emission factors of N2O, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 885–897, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005046, 2015.

2455 Zhu, Q., Riley, W. J., Tang, J., Collier, N., Hoffman, F. M., Yang, X., and Bisht, G.: Representing Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Carbon Interactions in the E3SM Land Model: Development and Global Benchmarking, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 2238–2258, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001571, 2019.