1	CEDAR-GPP: spatiotemporally upscaled estimates of gross primary
2	productivity incorporating CO <sub>2</sub> fertilization
3	Yanghui Kang <sup>1,2</sup> , Max Gaber <sup>1,3</sup> , Maoya Bassiouni <sup>1,2</sup> , Max Gaber <sup>1,3</sup> , Xinchen Lu <sup>1,2</sup> , Trevor F. Keenan <sup>1,2</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California,
5	Berkeley, Berkely, CA 94720, USA
6	<sup>2</sup> Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,
7	Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
8	<sup>3</sup> Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of
9	Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1350, Denmark.
10	Correspondence: Yanghui Kang (yanghuikang@berkeley.edu)
11	Trevor Keenan (trevorkeenan@berkeley.edu)
12	
13	Abstract: Gross primary productivity (GPP) is the largest carbon flux in the Earth system, playing a
14	crucial role in removing atmospheric carbon dioxide and providing carbohydrates needed for
15	ecosystem metabolism. Despite the importance of GPP, however, existing estimates present
16	significant uncertainties and discrepancies. A key issue is the underrepresentation of the CO2
17	fertilization effect, a major factor contributing to the increased terrestrial carbon sink over recent
18	decades. This omission could potentially bias our understanding of ecosystem responses to climate
19	change.
20	Here, we introduce CEDAR-GPP, the first global machine-learning-upscaled GPP product
21	that incorporates the direct CO2 fertilization effect on photosynthesis. Our product is comprised of
22	monthly GPP estimates and their uncertainty at 0.05° resolution from 1982 to 2020, generated using
23	a comprehensive set of eddy covariance measurements, multi-source satellite observations, climate
24	variables, and machine learning models. Importantly, we used both theoretical and data-driven
25	approaches to incorporate the direct CO2 effects. Our machine learning models effectively predicted
26	monthly GPP ( $R^2 \sim 0.74$ ), the mean seasonal cycles ( $R^2 \sim 0.79$ ), and spatial variabilities ( $R^2 \sim 0.67$ )
27	based on cross-validation at flux sites. Incorporation of the direct CO2 effects substantially enhanced
28	the predicted long-term trend in GPP across global flux towers by up to 51%, aligning much closer

- 29 to a strong positive trend from eddy covariance data-. While the global patterns of annual mean
- 30 GPP, seasonality, and interannual variability generally aligned with existing satellite-based products,
- 31 CEDAR-GPP demonstrated higher long-term trends globally after incorporating CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization,
- 32 particularly in the tropics, reflecting a strong temperature control on direct CO<sub>2</sub> effects. CEDAR-
- 33 GPP offers a comprehensive representation of GPP temporal and spatial dynamics, providing
- 34 valuable insights into ecosystem-climate interactions. The CEDAR-GPP product is available at
- 35 https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.8212706 (Kang et al., 2024).

# 37 **1. Introduction**

38 Terrestrial ecosystem photosynthesis, known as Gross Primary Productivity (GPP), is the 39 primary source of food and energy for the Earth system and human society (Keenan and Williams, 40 2018). Through photosynthesis, terrestrial ecosystems also mitigate climate change, by removing thirty percent of anthropogenic carbon emissions from the atmosphere each year (Friedlingstein et 41 42 al., 2023). However, due to the lack of direct measurements at the global scale, our understanding of 43 photosynthesis and its spatiotemporal dynamics is limited, leading to considerable disagreements 44 among various GPP estimates (Anav et al., 2015; O'Sullivan et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2016; Yang et 45 al., 2022). Addressing these uncertainties is crucial for improving the predictability of ecosystem 46 dynamics under climate change (Friedlingstein et al., 2014). 47 Over the past three decades, global networks of eddy covariance flux towers collected in situ 48 carbon flux measurements that allow for accurate estimates of GPP, providing valuable insights into 49 photosynthesis dynamics under various environmental conditions (Baldocchi, 2020; Beer et al., 50 2010). To quantify and understand GPP at scales and locations beyond the  $\sim 1 \text{km}^2$  flux tower 51 footprints, machine learning has been employed with gridded satellite and climate datasets to upscale 52 site-based measurements and produce wall-to-wall GPP maps (Dannenberg et al., 2023; Joiner and 53 Yoshida, 2020; Jung et al., 2011; Tramontana et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2007; Zeng et 54 al., 2020). This "upscaling" approach provides data-driven and observation-based quantifications 55 without prescribed functional relations between GPP and its climatic or environmental drivers. It 56 offers unique empirical constraints of ecosystem carbon dynamics, complementing those derived 57 from process-based and semi-process-based approaches such as terrestrial biosphere models or the 58 Light Use Efficiency (LUE) models (Beer et al., 2010; Gampe et al., 2021; Jung et al., 2017; Schwalm 59 et al., 2017). In recent years, the growth of global and regional flux networks, coupled with 60 increasing efforts in data standardization, has offered new opportunities for the advancement of 61 upscaling frameworks, enabling comprehensive quantifications of terrestrial photosynthesis (Joiner 62 and Yoshida, 2020; Pastorello et al., 2020). 63 Effective machine learning upscaling depends on a complete set of input predictors that fully 64 explain GPP dynamics. Upscaled datasets have primarily relied on satellite-observed greenness 65 indicators, such as vegetation indices, Leaf Area Index (LAI), the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (fAPAR), which effectively capture canopy-level GPP dynamics 66

67 related to leaf area changes (Joiner and Yoshida, 2020; Ryu et al., 2019; Tramontana et al., 2016).

68 However, important aspects of leaf-level physiology, such as those controlled by climate factors, are 69 often omitted in major upscaled datasets, preventing accurate characterization of GPP responses to climate change (Bloomfield et al., 2023; Stocker et al., 2019). In particular, none of the previous 70 71 upscaled datasets have considered the direct effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on leaf-level photosynthesis, 72 which is a key factor contributing to at least half of the enhanced land carbon sink observed over the 73 past decades (Keenan et al., 2016, 2023; Ruehr et al., 2023; Walker et al., 2021). This omission can 74 lead to incorrect inferences regarding long-term trends in various components of the terrestrial 75 carbon cycle (De Kauwe et al., 2016).

76 Multiple independent lines of evidence from the atmospheric inversion (Wenzel et al., 2016), 77 atmospheric <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C measurements (Keeling et al., 2017), ice core records of carbonyl sulfide 78 (Campbell et al., 2017), glucose isotopomers (Ehlers et al., 2015), as well as free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment 79 experiments (FACE) (Walker et al., 2021), suggest a widespread positive effect of elevated 80 atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on GPP from site to global scales. Increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> directly stimulates 81 the biochemical rate or the light use efficiency (LUE) of leaf-level photosynthesis, known as the 82 direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect (CFE). Enhanced photosynthesis could lead to greater net carbon 83 assimilation, contributing to an increase in total leaf area. This expansion, contributing to a higher 84 light interception, further enhances canopy-level photosynthesis (i.e. GPP), which is referred to as 85 the indirect CFE. The direct CFE has been found to dominate GPP responses to CO<sub>2</sub> compared to 86 the indirect effect, from both theoretical and observational analyses (Chen et al., 2022; Haverd et al., 87 2020).

88 Satellite-based estimates have shown an increasing global GPP trend in the past few decades 89 largely attributable to CO<sub>2</sub>-induced increases in LAI (Chen et al., 2019; De Kauwe et al., 2016; Piao 90 et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2016). However, previous upscaled GPP datasets, as well as most LUE 91 models such as the MODIS GPP product, have failed to consider the direct CO2 effects on leaf-92 level biochemical processes (Jung et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). Consequently, these products 93 likely underestimated the long-term trend of global GPP, leading to large discrepancies when 94 compared to process-based models, which typically consider both direct and indirect CO<sub>2</sub> effects 95 (Anav et al., 2015; De Kauwe et al., 2016; Keenan et al., 2023; O'Sullivan et al., 2020). Notably, 96 recent improvements in LUE models have included the CO2 response and show improved long-97 term changes in GPP globally (Zheng et al., 2020), yet, this important mechanism is still missing in 98 GPP products upscaled from *in situ* eddy covariance flux measurements based on machine learning 99 models.

100 To improve the quantification of GPP spatial and temporal dynamics and provide a robust 101 representation of long-term dynamics in global photosynthesis, we developed the CEDAR-GPP<sup>1</sup> 102 data product. CEDAR-GPP was upscaled from global eddy covariance carbon flux measurements 103 using machine learning along with a broad range of multi-source satellite observations and climate 104 variables. In addition to incorporating direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects on photosynthesis, we also 105 account for indirect effects via greenness indicators and include novel satellite datasets such as solar-106 induced fluorescence (SIF), Land Surface Temperature (LST) and soil moisture to explain variability under environmental stresses. We provide monthly GPP estimations and associated uncertainties at 107 0.05° resolution derived from ten model setups. These setups differ by the temporal range 108 109 depending on satellite data availability, the method for incorporating the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization 110 effects, and the partitioning approach used to derive GPP from eddy covariance measurements. 111 Short-term model setups were primarily based on data derived from MODIS satellites generating 112 GPP estimates from 2001 to 2020, while long-term estimates spanned 1982 to 2020 using combined 113 Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and MODIS data. We used two approaches 114 to incorporate the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects, including direct prescription with eco-evolutionary 115 theory and machine learning inference from the eddy-covariance data. Additionally, we provided a 116 baseline configuration that did not incorporate the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effects. Uncertainties in GPP 117 estimation were quantified using bootstrapped model ensembles. We evaluated the machine learning 118 models' skills in predicting monthly GPP, seasonality, interannual variability, and trend against eddy 119 covariance measurements, and compared the CEDAR-GPP spatial and temporal variability to 120 existing satellite-based GPP estimates.

# 121 **2. Data and Methods**

#### 122 2.1 Eddy covariance data

- 123 We obtained monthly eddy covariance GPP measurements from 2001 to 2020 from the
- 124 FLUXNET2015 (Pastorello et al., 2020), AmeriFlux FLUXNET
- 125 (https://ameriflux.lbl.gov/data/flux-data-products/), and ICOS Warm Winter 2020 (Warm Winter
- 126 2020 Team, 2022) datasets. All data were processed with the ONEFLUX pipeline (Pastorello et al.,
- 127 2020). Following previous upscaling efforts (Tramontana et al., 2016), we selected monthly GPP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CEDAR stands for upsCaling Ecosystem Dynamics with ARtificial inteligence

128 data with at least 80% of high-quality hourly or half-hourly data for temporal aggregation. We 129 further excluded large negative GPP values, setting a cutoff of -1 gCm<sup>-2</sup>d<sup>-1</sup>. We utilized GPP estimates from both the night-time (GPP\_REF\_NT\_VUT) and day-time (GPP\_REF\_DT\_VUT) 130 131 partitioning approaches. We classified flux tower sites according to the C3 and C4 plant categories reported in metadata and related publications when available and used a C4 plant percentage map 132 133 (Still et al., 2003) otherwise. Our analysis encompassed 233 sites, predominately located in North 134 America, Western Europe, and Australia (Figure 1, <u>Table S1</u>). Despite their uneven geographical 135 distribution, these sites effectively cover a diverse range of climatic conditions and are representative 136 of global biomes (Figure 1c, 1d). In total, our dataset included over 18000 site-months. Note that we 137 did not include eddy covariance data before 2001, since it was limited to only a few sites with only. 138 four sites containing data before 1996. This scarcity might introduce biases in the machine learning models, particularly in the relationship between GPP and CO<sub>2</sub>, leading to unreliable extrapolations 139

140 across space and time in the long-term predictions.-



Figure 1. (a) Spatial distribution of eddy covariance sites used to generate the CEDARGPP product. (b) Annual site counts. (c) Site counts by biomes. ENF: evergreen
needleleaf forests, EBF: evergreen broadleaf forests, DBF: deciduous broadleaf forests,
MF: mixed forests, WSA: woody savannas, SAV: savannas, OSH: open shrublands,

CSH: closed shrublands, GRA: grasslands, CRO: croplands, WET: wetlands. (d) Sites 146 distributions in the annual temperature and precipitation space. Whittaker biome 147 classification is shown as a reference of natural vegetation based on long-term climatic 148 conditions. It does not directly indicate the actual biome associated with each site. The 149 150 base map in (a) was obtained from the NASA Earth Observatory map by Joshua 151 Stevens using data from NASA's MODIS Land Cover, the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM), the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO), and 152 153 Natural Earth boundaries. Whittaker biomes were plotted using the "plotbiomes" R 154 package (Ștefan and Levin, 2018).

# 155 2.2 Global input datasets

156 We compiled an extensive set of covariates from gridded climate reanalysis data, multi-source

157 satellite datasets including optical, thermal, and microwave observations, as well as categorical

158 information on land cover, climate zone, and C3/C4 classification. The datasets that we compiled

159 offer comprehensive information about GPP dynamics and its responses to climatic variabilities and

160 stresses. Table 1 lists the datasets and associated variables used to generate CEDAR-GPP.

161	Table 1. Datasets and input variables used to generate the CEDAR GPP product. For a list of
162	selected variables used in different model setups, please refer to Table S1.

Category	Dataset	Temporal	Spatial	Temporal	Variables	Reference
		coverage	resolution	resolution		
Climate	ERA5-Land Monthly Averaged data	1950 – present	0.1°	Monthly	Air temperature; vapor pressure deficit, Precipitation, Air and skin temperature, surface downwelling solar radiation, Potential evaporation	(Sabater, 2019)
	ESRA Global Monitoring Laboratory Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide	1976 – present	-	Monthly	Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration averaged from Mauna Loa, Hawaii, US and South Pole, Antarctica	(Thoning et al., 2021)
Satellite- based datasets	lite- d MODIS Nadir 2000 – BRDF-adjusted present reflectance (MCD43C4v006)		0.05°	Daily Surface reflectance b1 – b7, Vegetation indices (NIRv, NDVI, kNDVI, EVI, CIgreen, NDWI), percent snow		(Schaaf and Wang, 2015)
	MODIS Terra and Aqua	2000 – present	500m	4-day, 8- day	LAI, fPAR	(Myneni et al., 2015a, b)

	LAI/fPAR (MCD15A3H, MOD15A2H, v006)					
	MODIS Terra and Aqua LST (MYD11A1, MOD11A1, v006)	2000 – present	1 km	Daily	Daytime LST Nighttime LST	(Wan et al., 2015b, a)
	BESS_Rad	2000 – 2020	0.05°	Daily	PAR, diffuse PAR, downwelling solar radiation	(Ryu et al., 2018)
	Continuous-SIF (from OCO-2 and MODIS)	2000 – 2020	0.05°	4-day	all-sky daily average SIF	(Zhang, 2021)
	ESA CCI Soil Moisture Combined Passive and Active (v06.1)	1979 – 2021	0.25°	Daily	Surface soil moisture	(Gruber et al., 2019)
	GIMMS LAI4g	1982 – 2021	0.0833°	Half- month	LAI	(Cao et al., 2023)
	GIMMS NDVI4g	1982 – 2021	0.0833 °	Half- month	NDVI	(Li et al., 2023)
Static categorical datasets	MODIS Land Cover (MCD12Q1v006)	Average status used between 2001 and 2020	500m	-	Plant function types	(Friedl and Sulla- Menashe, 2019)
	Koppen-Geiger Climate Classification	present	1 km	-	Koppen-Geiger climate classes	(Beck et al., 2018)
	C4 percentage map	present	1°	-	Percentage of C4 plants	(Still et al., 2003, 2009)

164 2.2.1 Climate variables

165 We obtained air temperature, vapor pressure deficit, precipitation, potential

166 evapotranspiration, and skin temperature from the EAR5-Land reanalysis dataset (Sabater, 2019)

167 (Table 1; Table S1). We applied a three-month lag to precipitation, to reflect the memory of soil

168 moisture and represent the root zone water availability. Averaged monthly atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>

169 concentrations were calculated as an average of records from the Mauna Loa Observatory and South

170 Pole Observation stations, retrieved from NOAA's Earth System Research Laboratory (Thoning et

171 al., 2021).

172 2.2.2 Satellite datasets

We assembled a broad collection of satellite-based observations of vegetation greenness and
structure, LST, solar radiation, solar-induced fluorescence (SIF), and soil moisture (Table 1, Table
S1).

176 We used three MODIS version 6 products: surface reflectance, LAI/fAPAR, and LST. 177 Surface reflectance from optical to infrared bands (band 1 to 7) was sourced from the MODIS 178 Nadir BRDF-adjusted reflectance (NBAR) daily dataset (MCD43C4) (Schaaf and Wang, 2015). 179 From these data, we derived vegetation indices, including NIRv (Badglev et al., 2019), kNDVI 180 (Camps-Valls et al., 2021), NDVI, Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), Normalized Difference Water 181 Index (NDWI) (Gao, 1996), and the green chlorophyll index (CIgreen) (Gitelson, 2003). We also 182 used snow percentages from the NBAR dataset. We used the 4-day LAI and fPAR composite 183 derived from Terra and Aqua satellites (MCD15A3H) (Myneni et al., 2015a; Yan et al., 2016a, b) 184 from July 2002 onwards and the MODIS 8-day LAI and fPAR dataset from Terra only 185 (MOD15A2H) prior to July 2002 (Myneni et al., 2015b). We used day-time and night-time LST from 186 the Aqua satellite (MYD11A1) (Wan et al., 2015b), with the Terra-based LST product (MOD11A1) 187 used after July 2002 (Wan et al., 2015a). Terra LST was bias-corrected with the differences in the 188 mean seasonal cycles between Aqua and Terra following Walther et al. (2022). 189 We used the PKU GIMMS NDVI4g dataset (Li et al., 2023) and PKU GIMMS LAI4g (Cao 190 et al., 2023) datasets available from 1982 to 2020. PKU GIMMS NDVI4g is a harmonized time 191 series that includes AVHRR-based NDVI from 1982 to 2003 (with biases and corrections mitigated 192 through inter-calibration with Landsat surface reflectance images) and MODIS NDVI from 2004 193 onward. PKU GIMMS LAI4g consisted of consolidated AVHRR-based LAI from 1982 to 2003 194 (generated using machine learning models trained with Landsat-based LAI data and NDVI4g) and 195 reprocessed MODIS LAI (Yuan et al., 2011) from 2004 onwards. 196 We utilized photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), diffusive PAR, and shortwave 197 downwelling radiation from the BESS\_Rad dataset (Ryu et al., 2018). We obtained the continuous-198 SIF (CSIF) dataset (Zhang, 2021; Zhang et al., 2018) produced by a machine learning algorithm 199 trained using OCO-2 SIF observations and MODIS surface reflectance. We used surface soil

200 moisture from the ESA CCI soil moisture combined passive and active product (version 6.1)

201 (Dorigo et al., 2017; Gruber et al., 2019).

#### 202 2.2.3 Other categorical datasets

203 We used plant functional type (PFT) information derived from the MODIS Land Cover 204 product (MCD12Q1) (Friedl and Sulla-Menashe, 2019). We followed the International Geosphere-205 Biosphere Program classification scheme but merged several similar categories to maximize the 206 amount of eddy covariance sites/observations available for each category. Closed shrublands and 207 open shrublands are combined into a shrubland category. Woody savannas and savannas are 208 combined into savannas. We generated a static PFT map by taking the mode of the MODIS land 209 cover time series between 2001 - 2020 at each pixel to mitigate uncertainties from misclassification 210 in the MODIS dataset. Nevertheless, changes in vegetation structure induced by land use and land 211 cover change are reflected in the dynamics surface reflectance and LAI/fAPAR datasets we used. 212 We used the Koppen-Geiger main climate groups (tropical, arid, temperate, cold, and polar) (Beck et 213 al., 2018). We also utilized a C4 plant percentage map to account for different photosynthetic 214 pathways when incorporating CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization (Still et al., 2003, 2009). The C4 percentage dataset 215 was constant over time.

## 216 2.2.4 Data preprocessing

217 We implemented a three-step preprocessing strategy for the satellite datasets: 1) quality 218 control, 2) gap-filling, and 3) spatial and temporal aggregation. In the first step Firstly, we selected 219 high-quality data based on the quality control flags of the satellite products when available. For the 220 MODIS NBAR dataset (MCD43C3), we used data with 75% or more high-resolution NBAR pixels 221 retrieved with full inversions for each band. For MODIS LST, we selected the best quality data from 222 the quality control bitmask as well as data where retrieved values had an average emissivity error of 223 no more than 0.02. For MODIS LAI/fAPAR, we used retrievals from the main algorithm with or 224 without saturation. We used all available data in ESA-CCI soil moisture due to the presence of 225 substantial data gaps. In the gap-filling step, missing values in satellite datasets were temporally filled 226 at the native temporal resolution, following a two-step protocol adapted from Walther et al (2021). 227 Short temporal gaps were first filled with medians from a moving window, and the remaining gaps 228 were filled with the mean seasonal cycle. For datasets with a high temporal resolution, including 229 MODIS NBAR (daily), LAI/fPAR (4-day), BESS (4-day), CSIF (4-day), ESA-CCI (daily), temporal 230 gaps no longer than 5 days (8 days for 4-day resolution products) were filled with medians of 15-day 231 moving windows in the first step. An exception is MODIS LST (daily), for which we used a shorter 232 moving window of 9 days due to rapid changes in surface temperature. GIMMS LAI4g and

233 NDVI4g data were only filled with mean seasonal cycle due to their low temporal resolution (half-

- 234 month). This is because vegetation structure could experience significant changes at half-month
- 235 intervals, and gap-filling using temporal medians within moving windows could introduce
- 236 considerable uncertainties and potentially over-smooth the time series. In the last processing step, all
- the datasets were aggregated to a monthly time step and 0.05-degree spatial resolution.



# 238

# 239

Figure 2. Schematic overview of the CEDAR-GPP model setups.

# 240 2.3 Machine learning upscaling

## 241 2.3.1 CEDAR-GPP model setups

We trained machine learning models with eddy covariance GPP measurements as targets and climate/satellite variables as input features. We created ten model setups to produce different global monthly GPP estimates (Figure 2; Table 2). The model setups were characterized by the temporal range depending on input data availability, the configuration of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects, and the partitioning approach used to derive the GPP from eddy covariance measurements.

247 The short-term (ST) model configuration produced GPP from 2001 to 2020, and the long-248 term (LT) configuration spanned 1982 to 2020. Each temporal configuration uses a different set of 249 input variables depending on their availability. Inputs for the short-term configuration included 250 MODIS, CSIF, BESS PAR, ESA-CCI soil moisture, ERA5-Land, as well as PFT and Koppen 251 Climate zone as categorical variables with one-hot encoding. The long-term used GIMMS NDVI4g 252 and LAI4g data, ERA5-land, PFT and Koppen climate. ESA CCI soil moisture datasets were 253 excluded from the long-term model setups due to concerns about the product quality in the early 254 years when the number and quality of microwave satellite data were limited (Dorigo et al., 2015). A 255 detailed list of input features for each setup is provided in Table S1. 256 Regarding the direct (leaf-level)-CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects (CFE), we established a "Baseline"

257 configuration that did not incorporate these effects, a "CFE-Hybrid" configuration that

incorporated the effects via eco-evolutionary theory, and a "CFE-ML" configuration that inferred
the direct effects from eddy covariance data using machine learning. Detailed information about
these approaches is provided in Sec. 2.3.2. Furthermore, separate models were trained for GPP
target variables from the night-time (NT) and daytime (DT) partitioning approaches.

Table 2 lists the characteristics of ten model setups. Due to the limited availability of eddy covariance observations before 2001, we did not apply the CFE-ML approach to the long-term setups. The CFE-ML model, when trained on data from 2001 to 2020 with atmospheric  $CO_2$ ranging from 370 to 412 ppm, would not accurately predict GPP response to  $CO_2$  for the period 1982 – 2000 when the CO2 levels were markedly lower (roughly-340 – 369 ppm). This is because machine learning models, especially tree-based models, could not extrapolate beyond the range of the training data.

269 Table 2. Specifications of the CEDAR-GPP model setups.

Model Setup Name	Temporal range	Direct CO <sub>2</sub> Fertilization Effects		GPP Partitioning Method
		Configuration	Method	
ST_Baseline_NT	Short-term (ST)	Baseline	Not incorporated	Night-time (NT)
ST_Baseline_DT	2001 - 2020			Day-time (DT)
ST_CFE-Hybrid_NT		CFE-Hybrid	Theoretical	NT
ST_CFE-Hybrid_DT				DT
ST_CFE-ML_NT		CFE-ML	Data-driven	NT
ST_CFE-ML_DT				DT
LT_Baseline_NT	Long-term (LT)	Baseline	Not incorporated	NT
LT_Baseline_DT	1982 - 2020			DT
LT_CFE-Hybrid_NT		CFE-Hybrid	Theoretical	NT
LT_CFE-Hybrid_DT				DT

270

#### 271 2.3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect

272 We established three configurations regarding the direct  $CO_2$  fertilization effects on

273 photosynthesis. In the baseline configuration, we trained machine learning models with eddy

274 covariance GPP measurements, input climate and satellite features, but excluding CO<sub>2</sub>

275 concentration. As such, the models only include indirect CO<sub>2</sub> effects from the satellite-based proxies

276 of vegetation greenness or structure representing changes in canopy light interception, and they do

277 not consider the direct effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on leaf-level photosynthetic rates (or light use efficiency, LUE).

278 Our baseline model is therefore directly comparable to other satellite-derived GPP products that

279 only account for indirect CO2 effects (Joiner and Yoshida, 2020; Jung et al., 2020).

In the CFE-ML configuration, we added monthly CO<sub>2</sub> concentration into the feature set in addition to those incorporated in the baseline models. Models inferred the functional relationship between GPP and CO<sub>2</sub> from the eddy covariance data. They<sup>5</sup> thus- encompass both CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization pathways – direct effects on LUE and indirect effects from the satellite-based proxies of vegetation greenness and structure.

285 In the CFE-Hybrid configuration, we applied biophysical theory to estimate the response of LUE to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. the direct CFE (Appendix A). First, we estimated a reference GPP, where 286 287 LUE was not affected by any increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, by applying the CFE-ML model with a 288 constant atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration equal to the 2001 level while keeping all other variables 289 temporally dynamic. Then, the impacts of CO2 on LUE were prescribed onto the reference GPP 290 estimates using a theoretical  $CO_2$  sensitivity function of LUE according to <del>cco-evolutionary</del> 291 theories the optimal coordination theory (Appendix A). The theoretical  $CO_2$  sensitivity function 292 represents a CO<sub>2</sub> sensitivity that is equivalent to that of the electron-transport-limited (light-limited) 293 photosynthetic rate. When light is limited, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> suppresses photorespiration leading to 294 increased photosynthesis at a lower rate than when photosynthesis is limited by CO<sub>2</sub> (Lloyd and 295 Farquhar, 1996; Smith and Keenan, 2020). Thus, the CFE-Hybrid scenario provides a conservative 296 estimation of the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effects on LUE. Note that the theoretical sensitivity function describes 297 the fractional change in LUE due to direct CO<sub>2</sub> effects relative to a reference period (i.e. 2001). 298 Therefore, we used the CFE-ML model to establish this reference GPP by fixing the CO<sub>2</sub> effects to the 2001 level, rather than simply using the GPP from the Baseline model in which the direct CO<sub>2</sub> 299 300 effects were not represented.

301 For both CFE-ML and CFE-Hybrid scenarios, we made another conservative assumption that 302 C4 plants do not benefit from elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, despite potential increases in photosynthesis during 303 water-limited conditions due to enhanced water use efficiency (Walker et al., 2021). Data from flux 304 tower sites dominated by C4 plants were removed from our training set, so the machine learning 305 models inferred CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization only from flux tower sites dominated by C3 plants. When applying 306 models globally, we assumed the reference GPP values (with constant atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> 307 concentration equal to the 2001 level) to represent C4 plants, and GPP estimates from CFE-ML or 308 CFE-Hybrid models were applied in proportion to the percentage of C3 plants in a grid cell.

309 2.3.3 Machine learning model training and validation

310 We employed the state-of-the-art XGBoost machine learning model, known for its high 311 accuracy in regression problems across various domains, including environmental and ecological 312 predictions (Berdugo et al., 2022; Chen and Guestrin, 2016; Kang et al., 2020). XGBoost is a 313 scalable and parallelized implementation of the gradient boosting technique that iteratively trains an 314 ensemble of decision trees, with each iteration targeting to minimize the residuals from the last 315 iteration. A notable merit of XGBoost is its ability to make prediction in the presence of missing 316 values, a common issue in remote sensing datasets. Without relying on prior assumptions about the 317 functional forms or statistical distributions, the model is also robust to multi-collinearity between the 318 predictors in our dataset, particularly for the variables derived from MODIS data.

319 We used five-fold cross-validation for model evaluation. Training data was randomly split into 320 five groups (folds), with each fold held out for testing while the rest four folds were used for model 321 training. We imposed two restrictions on fold splitting: each flux site was entirely assigned to a fold 322 to test model performance over unseen locations; the random sampling was stratified based on PFT 323 to ensure coverage of the full range of PFTs in both training and testing. We also used a nested-324 cross-validation strategy, during which we performed a randomized search of hyperparameters using 325 three-fold cross-validation within the training set. The nested-cross-validation was aimed to reduce 326 the risk of overfitting and improve the robustness of the evaluation.

We assessed the models' ability to capture the temporal and spatial characteristics of GPP, including monthly GPP, mean seasonal cycles, monthly anomalies, and cross-site variability. Model performance was assessed separately for each model setup (Table 2) and summarized by PFT and Koppen climate zone. Mean seasonal cycles were calculated as the mean monthly GPP over the site observation period, and monthly anomalies were the residuals of monthly GPP after subtracting mean seasonal cycles. Monthly GPP averaged over years for each site was used to assess cross-site variability. Goodness-of-fit metrics include RMSE, bias, and coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

To evaluate the models' ability to capture long-term GPP trends, we aggregated the monthly GPP to annual values for sites with at least five years of observations following Chen et al. (2022). GPP anomalies were computed by subtracting the multi-year mean GPP from the annual GPP for each site. Anomalies were aggregated across sites to achieve a single multi-site GPP anomaly per year. We excluded a site-year if less than 11 months of data was available and used linear interpolation to fill the remaining temporal gaps. We used the Sen slope and Mann-Kendall test to examine the GPP trends from 2002 to 2019, excluding 2001 and 2020, due to the limited number of

341 available sites with more than five years of data. We further assessed the aggregated annual trend by

- 342 grouping the sites based on plant functional types and the koppen climate zones. Categories with
- 343 less than six long-term sites available were excluded from the analysis, which includes EBF and
- 344 Tropics.

345 2.3.4 Product generation and uncertainty quantification

346 In the CEDAR-GPP product, we generated GPP estimates from each of the ten model 347 setups, by applying the model to global gridded datasets within the corresponding temporal range 348 (Table 2). GPP estimates were named after the corresponding model setups. We used bootstrapping 349 to quantify estimate uncertainties. For each model setup, we generated 30 bootstrapped sample sets 350 of eddy covariance data, which were then used to train an ensemble of 30 XGBoost models. The 351 bootstrapping was performed at the site level, and each bootstrapped sample set contained around 352 140 to 150 unique sites, 17000 to 19000 site months covering all PFTs. The relative PFT 353 composition in the bootstrapped sample sites was consistent with the full dataset. The 30 models 354 trained with bootstrapped samples generated an ensemble of 30 GPP values. We provided the 355 ensemble GPP mean and used standard deviation to indicate uncertainties, for each of the ten model 356 setups.

#### 357 2.4 Product inter-comparison

We compared the global spatial and temporal patterns of CEDAR-GPP with other major 358 359 satellite-based GPP products, including three machine learning upscaled and two LUE-based 360 datasets. We obtained two FLUXCOM products (Jung et al., 2020), the latest version of 361 FLUXCOM-RS (FLUXCOM-RSv006) available from 2001 to 2020 based on remote sensing 362 (MODIS collection 6) datasets only, as well as the FLUXCOM-RS+METEO ensemble available 363 between 1979 to 2018 and based on the climatology of remote sensing observations and ERA5 364 forcings (hereafter FLUXCOM-ERA5). We used FluxSat (Joiner and Yoshida, 2020), available from 365 2001 to 2019, which is an upscaled dataset based on MODIS NBAR surface reflectance and PAR 366 from Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications 2 (MERRA-2). Importantly, 367 FluxSat does not incorporate climate forcings. We used the MODIS GPP product (MOD17) 368 available since 2001, which was generated based on MODIS fAPAR and LUE as a function of air 369 temperature and vapor pressure deficit but not atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Running et al., 370 2015). We also used the rEC-LUE products, available from 1982 to 2018 and based on a revised

371 LUE model that incorporated the effect of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and the fraction of 372 diffuse PAR on LUE (Zheng et al., 2020). All datasets were resampled to 0.1 ° spatial resolution, and 373 a common mask for the vegetated land area was applied. We evaluated global mean annual GPP, 374 mean seasonal cycle, interannual variability, and trend among different datasets, comparing them over a common time period determined by their data availability. Global total GPP was computed 375 376 by scaling the global average GPP flux with the global land area (122.4 million km2) following Jung 377 et al. (2020). Mean seasonal cycle was defined as above (Sec. 2.3.3). We used the standard deviation 378 of annual GPP to indicate the magnitude of interannual variability, the Sen slope to indicate the 379 GPP annual trend, and the Mann-Kendall test for the statistical significance of trends.

# 380 **3. Results**

## 381 3.1 Evaluation of model performance

382 3.1.1 Overall performance

383 The short-term and long-term models explained approximately 74% and 68%, respectively, of 384 the variation in monthly GPP across global eddy covariance sites (Figure 3a). The long-term models 385 consistently yielded lower performance than the short-term models, likely due to differences in the 386 satellite remote sensing datasets used, as the short-term models benefited from richer information 387 from surface reflectance of individual bands, LST, CSIF, as well as soil moisture, while the long-388 term model only exploited NDVI and LAI. The models with different CFE configurations and 389 target GPP variables (i.e. partitioning approaches) had similar performance in predicting monthly 390 GPP (Figure 3b, Table S2). All models exhibited minimal bias of less than 0.15. 391 Model performance in terms of the different temporal and spatial characteristics of monthly 392 GPP was variable (Figure 3c-h). The models were most successful at predicting mean seasonal

393 cycles, with the short-term and long-term models explaining around 79% and 73% of the variability,

respectively (Figure 3c-d). The short-term and long-term models captured 67% and 56%,

- 395 respectively, of the spatial variabilities in multi-year mean GPP across global sites (i.e., cross-site
- 396 variability) (Figure 3g-h). However, all models underestimated monthly anomalies across the sites,
- 397 with  $R^2$  values below 0.12 (Figure 3e-f). The CFE-ML and CFE-Hybrid models showed slightly
- 398 higher accuracy than the Baseline model across all temporal and spatial characteristics in NT setups.
- 399 Patterns from the DT setups do not significantly differ from those of the NT setups (Figure S1).







Figure 3. Machine learning model performance in predicting monthly GPP and its spatial and temporal variability. Only NT models are shown and DT results is provided in Supplementary Figure S1. Scatter plots illustrated relationships between model predictions and observations for monthly GPP (a), mean seasonal cycles (MSC) (c), monthly anomaly (e), and cross-site variability (g) for ST\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT (left, blue) and LT\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT (right, green) models. Corresponding bar plots show the R<sup>2</sup>

values for five NT model setups in predicting monthly GPP (b), MSC (d), monthly anomaly (f), and cross-site variability (h).

410 3.1.2 Performance by biome and climate zone

411 The predictive ability of our models varied across different PFTs and Koppen climate zones

412 (Figure 4). Here we present results from the CFE-Hybrid LT and ST models based on NT

413 partitioning and note that patterns for the other CFE configurations and the DT GPP were similar

414 (Figure S2).

415 Model performance in terms of monthly GPP was the highest for deciduous broadleaf forests, mixed forests, and evergreen needleleaf forests, with R<sup>2</sup> values above 0.78. Model accuracies were 416 417 also high for savannas and grasslands, followed by croplands and wetlands, with R<sup>2</sup> values between 418 0.57 and 0.74. Model accuracies were lowest in evergreen broadleaf forests and shrublands, with R<sup>2</sup> 419 values as low as 0.14. Across climate zones, models achieved the highest accuracy in predicting monthly GPP in cold and tropical climate zones with R<sup>2</sup> values between 0.64 and 0.80. The short-420 term models had the lowest performance in polar regions with an R<sup>2</sup> value of around 0.42, and the 421 long-term model had the lowest performance in arid regions with an  $R^2$  value of 0.25. 422

423 Model performance in terms of mean seasonal cycles across PFTs and climate zones followed 424 patterns for monthly GPP, while disparities emerged for performance in terms of GPP anomaly and 425 cross-site variability (Figure 4). The short-term model showed the highest predictive power in explaining monthly anomalies in arid regions with an  $R^2$  value of 0.49, where savanna and 426 shrublands sites are primarily located. Model performance in all other climate zones was significantly 427 lower, with R<sup>2</sup> values below 0.2, and as low as 0.07 in temperate regions. Besides, the short-term 428 429 model demonstrated good performance in capturing anomalies in deciduous broadleaf forests. The 430 long-term model's relative performance between PFTs and climate zones was mostly consistent with 431 that of the short-term model, with lower accuracy in shrublands when compared to the short-term 432 model.

433 Models demonstrated the highest accuracy in predicting cross-site variability in savannas, 434 grasslands, evergreen needleleaf forests, and evergreen broadleaf forests ( $R^2 > 0.36$ ) and the lowest 435 accuracy in deciduous broadleaf forests, mixed forests, and croplands ( $R^2 < 0.20$ ). The short-term 436 model additionally showed good performance in shrublands and wetlands ( $R^2 > 0.36$ ), whereas the 437 long-term model failed to capture any variability for shrublands. In terms of climate zones, models 438 were most successful at explaining the variabilities within tropical and cold climate zones ( $R^2 >$ 

439 0.46), the short-term model was least successful across polar regions, with a  $R^2$  value of 0.29, and the 440 long-term model had low performance for both polar and arid regions with  $R^2$  values below 0.15.



442 Figure 4. Performance of the ST\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT (blue) and LT\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT 443 (green) models on GPP spatiotemporal estimation by plant functional types (a) and climate zones (b). The cross-site panels included the number of sites within each 444 category. Color indicates short-term (ST) or long-term (LT) models. ENF: evergreen 445 needleleaf forest, EBF: evergreen broadleaf forest, DBF: deciduous broadleaf forest, 446 MF: mixed forest, SH: shrubland, SA: savanna, GRA: grassland, CRO: cropland, WET: 447 wetland. Tr: tropical, Ar: arid, Tp: temperate, Cd: cold, Pl: polar. The performance of 448 DT models is displayed in Supplementary Figure S2. 449

#### 450 3.1.3 Prediction of long-term trends

451 Eddy covariance derived GPP presented a substantial increasing trend across flux sites 452 between 2002 and 2019 (Figure 5a, Figure S3a). The observed eddy covariance GPP from the night-453 time partitioning approach indicated an overall trend of 7.7 gCm<sup>-2</sup>year<sup>-2</sup>. In contrast, the ST\_ Baseline NT model predicted a more modest trend of 2.7 gCm<sup>-2</sup>year<sup>-2</sup>, primarily reflecting the 454 indirect CO<sub>2</sub> effect manifested through the growth of LAI. Both the ST\_CFE-ML\_NT and 455 ST\_CFE-hybrid\_NT models predicted much higher trends of 5.5 and 4.3 gCm<sup>-2</sup>year<sup>-2</sup> respectively, 456 representing an improvement from the Baseline model by 51% and 29%, aligning more closely to 457 458 eddy covariance observations. Similarly, the LT\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT model showed an improved trend 459 estimation than the LT\_Baseline\_NT model. All trends were statistically significant (p < 0.05). Aggregated eddy covariance GPP experienced increasing trends of varied magnitudes across 460 461 different climate zones and plant functional types (Figure 5b,c; Figure S3b,c). While the machine learning models generally did not fully capture the enhancement in GPP for most categories, the 462 CFE-ML and/or CFE-hybrid models consistently outperformed the Baseline models in both ST 463 464 and LT setups. The CFE-ML setup predicted a higher trend than CFE-hybrid in most cases, 465 suggesting that the data-driven approach captured more dynamics not represented in the theoretical 466 model, which was based on conservative assumptions regarding the  $CO_2$  sensitivity of 467 photosynthesis (see Sect. 2.3.2 and Appendix A). The choice of remote sensing data (ST vs. LT 468 configurations) did not lead to substantial differences in the predicted GPP trend. Most long-term 469 flux sites (at least 10 years of records) with a significant trend experienced an increase in GPP, and 470 the CFE-ML and/or CFE-hybrid models aligned closer to eddy covariance data than the Baseline 471 models (Figure S4). -Additionally, we found a considerably higher trend in eddy covariance GPP 472 measurements derived from the day-time versus night-time partitioning approach, potentially 473 associated with uncertainties in GPP partitioning methods (Figure S4). Yet, machine learning model 474 predicted trends were not strongly affected by GPP partitioning methods (Figure S3, S4). 475





479

480

481

482

483 484

485

486

487

488

Figure 5. Comparison of observed and predicted GPP (from NT models only) trends across eddy covariance flux towers. (a) Aggregated annual GPP anomaly from 2002 to 2019 and trend lines from eddy covariance (EC) measurementsdata, and three CFE model setups (short-term, night-time partitioning) for ST (left) and LT (right) models. The size of grey circle markers is proportional to the number of sites. (b) Comparison of annual GPP trends from eddy covariance measurements and the short-term (ST) CEDAR-GPP model setups by plant functional types and climate zones. (c) Comparison of annual GPP trends from eddy covariance measurements and the longterm (LT) CEDAR-GPP model setups by plant functional types and climate zones. In (b) and (c), Categories with less than 6 sites, including Tropics and EBF, were not shown. While dots on the bars indicate statistically significant trend with p-value < 0.1. Results for the DT models are shown in Supplementary Figure S3.

#### 490 3.2 Evaluation of GPP spatial and temporal dynamics

We compared CEDAR-GPP estimates with other upscaled or LUE-based datasets regarding the mean annual GPP (Sect. 3.2.1), GPP seasonality (Sect. 3.2.2), interannual variability (Sect. 3.2.3), and annual trends (Sect. 3.2.4). CEDAR-GPP model setups generally showed similar patterns in mean annual GPP, seasonality, and interannual variability, therefore, in corresponding sections, we present the CFE-Hybrid model setups as representative examples for comparisons with other datasets, unless otherwise stated. Supplementary figures include comparisons involving CEDAR-GPP estimates from all model setups.

#### 498 3.2.1 Mean annual GPP

499 Global patterns of mean annual GPP were generally consistent among CEDAR-GPP model 500 setups, FLUXCOM, FLUXSAT, MODIS, and rEC-LUE, with few noticeable regional differences 501 (Figure 6, Figure S5). Differences among CEDAR-GPP model setups were minimal and only 502 evident between the NT and DT setups in the tropics (Figure 6b-c, Figure S5). CEDAR-GPP short-503 term datasets showed highest consistency with FLUXSAT in terms of mean annual GPP 504 magnitudes (2001 – 2018) and latitudinal variations, although FLUXSAT presented slightly higher 505 GPP values in the tropics compared to CEDAR-GPP (Figure 6b). Mean annual GPP magnitude for 506 FLUXCOM-RS006 and MODIS was lower globally than CEDAR-GPP and FLUXSAT, with the 507 most pronounced differences observed in the tropical areas. Among the long-term datasets 508 (CEDAR-GPP LT, FLUXCOM-ERA5, and rEC-LUE), mean annual GPP (1982 – 2018) exhibited 509 greater disparities in the northern mid-latitudes than in the tropics and southern hemisphere (Figure 510 6c). CEDAR-GPP aligned more closely with FLUXCOM-ERA5 than with rEC-LUE, with the latter 511 showing lower annual mean GPP globally, particularly between 20°N to 50° N.



513 Figure 6. Global distributions of mean annual GPP from CEDAR-GPP and other machine learning upscaled and LUE-based reference datasets. (a) Global patterns of 514 mean annual GPP from two short-term datasets including ST\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT, and 515 FLUXCOM-RS006, and two long-term datasets including LT\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT, and 516 517 FLUXCOM-ERA5. (b) Latitudinal distributions of mean annual GPP from short-term 518 datasets (ST\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT, FLUXSAT, FLUXCOM-RS006, and MODIS). (c) Latitudinal distributions of mean annual GPP from long-term datasets (LT\_CFE-519 Hybrid NT, FLUXCOM-ERA5, and rEC-LUE). Mean annual GPP was computed 520 between 2001 and 2018 for short-term datasets and between 1982 and 2018 for long-521 522 term datasets.

523 3.2.2 Seasonal variability

524 CEDAR-GPP and other machine learning upscaled or LUE-based GPP datasets agreed on seasonal variabilities (average between 2001 and 2018) at the global scale, characterized by a peak in 525 526 GPP in July and a nadir between December and January (Figure 7, Figure S6, S7). At the global 527 scale, CEDAR-GPP was most closely aligned with FLUXSAT in GPP seasonal magnitude and amplitude, while both FLUXCOM and MODIS displayed a relatively less pronounced magnitude. 528 529 In the northern hemisphere (20°N - 90°N), all GPP datasets agreed on seasonal GPP variation, despite variances in the magnitude of peak GPP. In the southern hemisphere (20°S -530 531 60°S), all datasets exhibited their lowest GPP during June and July, and highest GPP from 532 December to January. However, the seasonal amplitude of GPP was greatest for FLUXCOM-ERA5, followed by CEDAR-GPP and FLUXSAT, and substantially smaller for FLUXCOM-RS006 533 534 and MODIS GPP. In the tropics (20°N - 20°S), differences between datasets were the strongest, 535 where seasonal variation is not as prominent compared to other regions. CEDAR-GPP, FLUXSAT, 536 and FLUXCOM-ERA5 each showed two GPP peaks, occurring in March-April and September-537 October. Although FLUXCOM-RS006 had a similar seasonal pattern, its GPP magnitude was 538 markedly smaller. Interestingly, MODIS showed an inverse season pattern with a small peak from 539 June to August.



541 Figure 7. Comparison of GPP mean seasonal cycle between different datasets on a 542 global scale, specifically within the Northern Hemisphere (20°N - 90°N), Southern

Hemisphere (20°S - 60°S), and Tropical regions (20°N - 20°S). Monthly means were averaged from 2001 to 2018 for all datasets.

545 3.2.3 Interannual variability

546 We found distinct spatial patterns in GPP interannual variability between upscaled and LUE-547 based datasets and a high level of agreement within each category, with the exception of 548 FLUXCOM-ERA5, which showed minimal interannual variability globally (Figure 8, Figure S8). All 549 datasets agreed on the presence of GPP interannual variability hotspots in eastern and southern 550 South America, central North America, southern Africa, and western Australia. These hotspots 551 primarily corresponded to arid and semi-arid areas characterized by grasslands, shrubs, and 552 croplands (Figure 9). CEDAR-GPP was highly consistent with FLUXSAT, and both datasets also 553 displayed relatively high interannual variability in the dry subhumid areas of Europe, predominately 554 covered by croplands. FLUXCOM-RS006 mirrored the relative spatial patterns of CEDAR-GPP 555 and FLUXSAT, albeit at lower magnitudes. The LUE-based datasets (MODIS and rEC-LUE) 556 predicted a much higher interannual variability than the upscaled datasets in the tropical areas, 557 particularly in evergreen broadleaf forests and woody savannas (Figure 8, Figure 9). These datasets 558 also depicted slightly higher interannual variability for other types of forests, including evergreen 559 needleleaf forests and deciduous broadleaf forests, compared to the upscaled datasets. The lack of 560 interannual variability in FLUXCOM-ERA5 is attributable to the use of mean seasonal cycles of 561 remotely sensed vegetation greenness indicators rather than their dynamic time series. Ten CEDAR-562 GPP model setups presented consistent patterns in interannual variability, and differences were 563 minimal (Figure S8).



565 Figure 8. Spatial patterns of GPP interannual variability extracted over 2001 to 2018

566 for CEDAR-GPP (ST\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT), FLUXSAT, FLUXCOM-RS006, MODIS, 567 FLUXCOM-ERA5, and rEC-LUE.



Figure 9. Comparison of GPP interannual variability (IAV) across global datasets by
PFT. Colored dots represent the median IAV, thicker gray bars indicate the 25% to
75% percentiles of IAV distributions, and thinner grey bards show the 10% to 90%
percentiles.

573 3.2.4 Trends

574 Differences in annual GPP trends among CEDAR-GPP model setups and other upscaled and 575 LUE-based datasets mainly reflected the variability in the representation of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects 576 (Figure 10, Figure S9). From 2001 to 2018, the CEDAR-GPP Baseline model setups showed spatial 577 variations in GPP trends consistent with the other upscaled datasets without direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization 578 effects, including FLUXSAT and FLUXCOM-RSv006. In these datasets, substantial increases were 579 seen in southeastern China and India, western Europe, and part of North and South America. These 580 increases were largely associated with rising LAI due to land use changes and indirect CO<sub>2</sub> 581 fertilization effects, as identified by previous studies (Chen et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2016). Although 582 MODIS, which also does not include a direct  $CO_2$  fertilization effect, generally agreed with these 583 increasing trends, it also showed a declining GPP in the tropical Amazon and a stronger positive 584 trend in central South America. After incorporating the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects, both the 585 CFE-Hybrid and CFE-ML setups predicted positive trends in tropical forests, an observation absent 586 in all other datasets. Furthermore, the CFE-Hybrid and CFE-ML models also revealed increasing

587 GPP in temperate and boreal forests of North America and Eurasia. Notably, all datasets agreed on588 a pronounced GPP decrease in eastern Brazil.

- 589 From 2001 to 2018, a positive trend in global annual GPP was uniformly detected by all
- 590 datasets, albeit with varying magnitudes (Figure 11a-b). The ST\_Baseline\_NT model predicted a
- 591 GPP growth rate of 0.35 Pg C per year, aligning with FLUXCOM-RS, but lower than FLUXSAT
- 592 (0.51 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup>) and MODIS (0.39Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup>) (Figure 11b). The CFE-hybrid models estimated a
- <sup>593</sup> notably faster GPP growth at 0.58 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup>. The CFE-ML models predicted the highest trends, up
- 594 to 0.76 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup> from the ST\_CFE-ML\_NT model and 0.59 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup> from the ST\_CFE-ML\_DT
- model. Also, a higher variance was observed among ensemble members in the ST\_CFE-ML setups
   compared to the ST\_Baseline and ST\_CFE-Hybrid models.
- 597 The LT\_Baseline\_NT model identified increasing GPP trends in large areas of Europe, East 598 and South Asia, as well as the Northern Amazon from 1982 to 2020 (Figure 10b). The pattern from
- 599 the LT\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT model aligned closely with the LT\_Baseline\_NT model but exhibited a
- 600 stronger positive trend in global tropical areas as well as Eurasian boreal forests. In contrast,
- 601 FLUXCOM-ERA5 showed overall negative trends in the tropics, with a small magnitude. Lastly,
- 602 rEC-LUE agreed with positive GPP trends identified in CEDAR-GPP in the extratropical areas, but
- 603 predicted a pronounced negative trend in the tropics. At the global scale, all the CEDAR-GPP long-
- 604 term models predicted a positive global GPP trend (Figure 11d). The LT\_Baseline models showed a
- 605 trend of 0.13 to 0.15 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup>, while the LT\_CFE-Hybrid setups doubled that rate. rEC-LUE
- showed a two-phased pattern with a strong increase in GPP from 1982 to 2000 (0.54 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup>),
- 607 followed by a decreasing trend after 2001 (-0.20 Pg C yr<sup>-2</sup>) (Figure S10). This resulted in an overall
- 608 positive change at a rate comparable to that of the Baseline model. FLUXCOM-ERA5 exhibited a
- 609 small negative trend.



611 Figure 10. Annual GPP trend over 2001 – 2018 for short-term CEDAR-GPP,

612 FLUXCOM-RS006, FLUXSAT, and MODIS datasets (a) and over 1982 – 2018 for 613 long-term CEDAR-GPP, FLUXCOM-ERA5 and rEC-LUE datasets (b). Hatched 614 areas indicate the GPP trend that is statistically significant at p < 0.05 level under the 615 Mann-Kendal test.



616

617

621

622

Figure 11. Global annual GPP variations (a) and trends (b) from 2001 to 2018 for short-term CEDAR-GPP, FLUXCOM-RS006, FLUXSAT, and MODIS datasets. 618 619 Global annual GPP variations (c) and trends (d) over 1982 to 2018 for long-term for 620 long-term CEDAR-GPP, FLUXCOM-ERA5, and rEC-LUE datasets. Error bars in (b) and (d) represent the 25% to 75% percentile from the model ensembles of CEDAR-GPP. Dots in (b) and (d) indicate the minimum and maximum from the model ensembles of CEDAR-GPP. 623

#### 625 3.3 GPP estimation uncertainties

626 We analyzed the spread between the 30 model ensemble members in CEDAR-GPP as an 627 indicator of uncertainties in GPP estimations. The spatial pattern of uncertainty in estimating annual 628 mean GPP largely resembled that of the mean map (Figure 12, Figure 6a). The largest model spread 629 was found in highly productive tropical forests, and this uncertainty decreased in temperate and cold 630 areas (Figure 12a). Tropical ecosystems, with a mean annual GPP between 1000 to 3500 PgCyr<sup>-1</sup>, 631 only exhibited a 2% and 6% variation within the model ensemble (Figure 12b). Ecosystems in the 632 temperate and cold climates had a smaller annual GPP and proportionally small uncertainties of up to 6%. However, ecosystems in Arid and Polar climates, despite their similarly low GPP, showed 633 634 higher model uncertainty, reaching 10% to 40% of the ensemble mean. The estimation uncertainty 635 of GPP trends was generally below 15% to 20% in the CEDAR-GPP datasets under the 636 ST\_Baseline and ST\_CFE-Hybrid setups (Figure 12c). However, in the ST\_CFE-ML setup, the 637 estimation increased substantially, with model spread reaching up to 40% in tropical areas. Notably,

638 the long-term models showed a higher uncertainty compared to the short-term models.



640 Figure 12. CEDAR-GPP estimation uncertainty derived from ensemble spread (standard deviation of 30 model predictions). (a) Spatial patterns of the absolute 641 642 standard deviation from ensemble members in estimating the mean annual GPP from 643 2001 to 2018, using data from the ST\_CFE-Hybrid\_NT setup. (b) Relationships 644 between ensemble standard deviation and ensemble mean in mean annual GPP. 645 Colored contours denote clusters of Koppen climate zones. Dashed lines indicate the 646 ratio between the ensemble standard deviation and the ensemble mean with values 647 shown in percentage. (c) Spatial patterns of model uncertainty in GPP long-term trend 648 estimation. Only areas where 90% of the ensemble members showed a statistically 649 significant trend (p < 0.05) are shown in the maps. The trend for the short-term datasets

(left column) was computed between 2001 to 2018. The trend for the long-term datasets (right column) was computed between 1982 to 2018.

# 652 **4. Discussion**

## 653 4.1 Reducing uncertainties in GPP upscaling

Here we examine the three predominate sources of uncertainties in machine learning
upscaling of GPP: eddy covariance measurements, input datasets, and the machine learning model.
We discuss strategies used in CEDAR-GPP to reduce the impacts of these uncertainties and
highlight potential future research directions.

658 4.1.1 Eddy covariance data

Uncertainties associated with eddy covariance measurement and data processing can 659 660 propagate through the upscaling process. CEDAR-GPP was produced using monthly aggregated 661 eddy covariance data, where the impact of random errors in half-hourly measurements was minimized due to the temporal aggregation (Jung et al., 2020). Our stringent quality screening 662 663 further reduced data processing uncertainties such as those associated with gap-filling. Yet, the 664 discrepancy in GPP patterns between the CEDAR-GPP NT and DT setups is indicative of 665 systematic biases linked to the partitioning approaches used to derive GPP from the Net Ecosystem 666 Exchange (NEE) measurements (Keenan et al., 2019; Pastorello et al., 2020). Interestingly, the mean 667 annual GPP from the DT setup was slightly higher than that from the NT setup (Figure 6), and the DT setup also predicted a higher GPP trend in the long-term dataset (Figure 11). While these 668 669 discrepancies were relatively small compared to the predominant spatiotemporal patterns, the 670 separate DT and NT setups in CEDAR-GPP offered an interesting quantification of the GPP 671 partitioning uncertainties over space and time, providing insights for future methodology 672 improvements.

The unbalanced spatial representativeness of the eddy covariance data constitutes a more significant source of uncertainty, as highlighted by previous studies (Jung et al., 2020; Tramontana et al., 2015). Effective generalization of machine learning models requires a substantial volume of training data that adequately represents and balances <u>unseen-varied</u> conditions. In CEDAR-GPP, this issue was mitigated with a large set of eddy covariance data (~18000 site-months) integrating FLUXNET2015 and two regional networks. However, data availability remains limited in critical

679 carbon exchange hotspots such as tropical, subtropical, and boreal regions, as well as in 680 mountainous areas (Figure 1). Contrary to widespread perception that sparse training data leads to 681 high upscaling uncertainties, our findings from the bootstrapped model spread indicated that-modest 682 uncertainties in tropical areas relative to their high GPP magnitude (Figure 12). This observation 683 aligns with findings from the FLUXCOM product, revealing low extrapolation uncertainty in humid 684 tropical regions (Jung et al., 2020). Additionally, an early study found that a machine learning model, when trained with simulated data from a terrestrial biosphere model that matches the locations and 685 686 times of FLUXNET sites, could explain 92% of the global variation of GPP (Jung et al., 2009). 687 These findings suggest that to fully understand the upscaling uncertainty, it is essential to evaluate 688 the generalization or extrapolation errors within the predictor space, which indicates the 689 environmental controls and physiological mechanisms of the ecosystem carbon fluxes (van der 690 Horst et al., 2019; Villarreal and Vargas, 2021). Nevertheless, data limitations in mountainous areas 691 and the absence of topology information in the predictor space in our models suggest potential 692 uncertainties related to topographical effects on GPP (Hao et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2023).

693 Furthermore, our analysis suggested that the estimated global GPP magnitudes were related 694 to the specific eddy covariance GPP data used in upscaling. Notably, global GPP magnitudes 695 derived from CEDAR-GPP closely aligned with those from FLUXSAT, while the estimates from 696 FLUXCOM were considerably lower (Figure 6, Figure 11). FLUXSAT used eddy covariance data 697 from FLUXNET2015, which largely overlapped with that included in CEDAR-GPP (Joiner and 698 Yoshida, 2020). FLUXCOM utilized data from FLUXNET La Thuile set and CarboAfrica network, 699 which consisted of a distinct set of sites (Tramontana et al., 2016). The influence from the predictor 700 datasets was minimal since all three datasets relied on MODIS-derived products. For a more in-701 depth evaluation of the impacts of flux site representativeness on upscaling, future research 702 directions could include conducting synthetic experiments with simulations of ensembles of 703 terrestrial biosphere models.

# 704 4.1.2 Input predictors and controlling factors

705 Upscaled GPP inherent uncertainties from the input predictors, including satellite and climate 706 datasets. First, satellite remote sensing data contains noises resulting from sun-earth geometry, 707 atmospheric conditions, soil background, and geolocation inaccuracies. The models or algorithms 708 used for variable estimation, such as those for retrieving LAI, fAPAR, LST, and soil moisture, also 709 contain random errors and systematic biases specific to certain regions, biome types, or climatic

710 conditions (Fang et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2016b). Moreover, satellite observations 711 frequently contain missing values due to clouds, aerosols, snow, and algorithm failure, leading to 712 both systematic and random uncertainties. In producing CEDAR-GPP, we mitigated these 713 uncertainties through comprehensive preprocessing procedures. Our temporal gap-filling strategy 714 exploited both the temporal dependency of vegetation status and long-term climatology, to reduce 715 biases from missing values. Temporal and spatial aggregation further reduced the remaining data 716 gaps and random noises. Nevertheless, considerable uncertainties likely remained in satellite datasets 717 impacting the upscaled estimations.

718 A potentially more impactful source of uncertainty is the mismatch between the footprint of 719 the eddy covariance measurements and the coarse resolution of satellite observations. While flux towers typically have a footprint of around  $\sim 1 \text{ km}^2$  (Chu et al., 2021), satellite observations 720 721 employed in CEDAR-GPP and most other upscaled datasets were at 5 km or lower resolution. 722 Systematic and random errors could be introduced due to this mismatch, particularly in 723 heterogenous biomes and areas with a mixture of vegetation and non-vegetated land covers. One 724 mitigation strategy is to generate upscaled datasets at a higher spatial resolution (e.g. 500m). 725 Alternatively, models could be trained at a high resolution and applied to the coarse resolution to 726 reduce computation and storage requirements (Dannenberg et al., 2023; Gaber et al., 2023). 727 However, this approach does not address inherent scaling errors in coarse-resolution satellite images 728 (Dong et al., 2023; Yan et al., 2016a).

729 Besides the quality of predictors, successful machine learning upscaling also requires a 730 comprehensive set of features representing all controlling factors. For example, the lack of GPP 731 interannual variabilities in FLUXCOM-ERA5 manifests the importance of incorporating dynamic 732 vegetation signals from remote sensing in the upscaling framework. CEDAR-GPP used satellite 733 observations from optical, thermal, and microwave systems as well as climate variables thoroughly 734 representing GPP dynamics. Particularly, the inclusion of LST and soil moisture data provides 735 important information about resource limitations and stress factors, which are crucial for certain 736 biomes and/or under specific conditions (Green et al., 2022; Stocker et al., 2018, 2019). Dannenberg 737 et al. (2023) showed that incorporating LST from MODIS and soil moisture from the SMAP 738 satellite datasets substantially improved the machine learning estimation accuracy of GPP in North 739 American drylands. Nevertheless, accurately capturing interannual anomalies remains challenging for 740 certain biomes, such as evergreen needleleaf forest, cropland, and wetland (Figure 4), as 741 acknowledged by previous studies (Tramontana et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2020). This suggests that

vital information on GPP is missing or inadequately represented in existing datasets. To this end,

- 743 potential improvement may be achieved by incorporating datasets related to agricultural
- 744 management practices (crop type, cultivar, irrigation, fertilization) (Xie et al., 2021), plant hydraulic
- and physiological properties (Liu et al., 2021), dynamic C4 plant distributions (Luo et al., 2024), root
- and soil characteristics (Stocker et al., 2023), as well as topography (Xie et al., 2023).
- 747 4.1.3 Machine learning models and uncertainty quantification

748 The choice of machine learning models and their parameterization has been found to have a 749 relatively minor impact on GPP upscaling uncertainties (Tramontana et al., 2015). CEDAR used the 750 state-of-the-art boosting algorithm, XGBoost, which provided high performance given the current 751 data availability. Further reduction of model uncertainty will likely rely on additional information, 752 such as increasing the number of eddy covariance sites or incorporating more high-quality 753 predictors. Additionally, temporal dependency of carbon fluxes responses to atmospheric controls 754 may also be exploited with specialized deep neural networks such as recurrent neural networks or 755 transformers (Besnard et al., 2019; Ma and Liang, 2022).

756 A key challenge, however, is the quantification of uncertainties in machine learning upscaling 757 (Reichstein et al., 2019). The limited availability of eddy covariance data hinders a comprehensive 758 assessment of the extrapolation errors; consequently, metrics of predictive performance from cross-759 validation are inherently biased. CEDAR derived estimation uncertainty for each GPP prediction 760 using bootstrapping model ensemble, which naturally mimics the biased sampling of sampling bias 761 associated with flux tower locations. Notably, the choice of input climate reanalysis datasets could 762 also induce systematic differences in GPP spatial and temporal patterns (Tramontana et al., 2015). As a result, the FLUXCOM product generates model ensembles based on different reanalysis 763 764 datasets to capture these uncertainties. Additionally, different satellite datasets of vegetation 765 structural proxies, such as LAI, also exhibited significant discrepancies (Jiang et al., 2017). Thus, an 766 ensemble approach combining site-level bootstrapping with multiple sources of input predictors 767 could potentially provide a more comprehensive quantification of uncertainties. Future work may 768 also explore Bayesian neural networks, which provide uncertainty along with predictions and, at the 769 same time, present high predictive power comparable to ensemble tree-based algorithms (Ma et al., 770 2021).

#### 4.2 Long-term GPP changes and CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect

772 CEDAR-GPP was constructed using a comprehensive set of climate variables and multi-773 source satellite observations, thus, encapsulating long-term GPP dynamics from both direct and 774 indirect effects of climate controls. Particularly, CEDAR-GPP included the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization 775 effect, which has been shown to dominate the increasing trend of global photosynthesis (Chen et al., 776 2022). Incorporating these effects substantially improved long-term trends of GPP from site to 777 global scales (Figure 5, 10, 11). CEDAR's CFE-Hybrid setup offered a conservative estimation of 778 the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effects by simulating the light-limited CO<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of on light-limited LUE for C3 779 plants (Walker et al., 2021). Nevertheless However, the model did not account for the impacts of 780 nutrient availability, which could potentially constrain CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization (Peñuelas et al., 2017; Reich 781 et al., 2014; Terrer et al., 2019). Furthermore, the sensitivity of light-limited photosynthesis is a 782 function of temperature, resulting in the most pronounced increasing trend in the tropics (Figure 10). For simplicity, we assumed a fixed ratio of leaf internal to ambient  $CO_2(\gamma)$  representing an 783 average long-term value typical for C3 plants in the theoretical CO2 sensitivity function. 784 However, Robust modeling of-*y* varies LUE responses by to rising CO<sub>2</sub> under various 785 environmental conditions, including temperature and vapor pressure deficit, and robustly modeling 786 787 these dependencies remains challenging (Wang et al., 2017). Future work is needed could incorporate more comprehensive representations of the *y* and evaluate how the associated 788 uncertainties to better understand -how these factors affect the quantification of GPP and its long-789 790 term temporal variations.

791 The CFE-ML model adopted a data-driven approach to infer CO<sub>2</sub> effects directly from eddy 792 covariance data. This strategy allowed the model to capture any physiological pathways of the  $CO_2$ 793 impact evidenced in the eddy covariance measurements, including the increases of the biochemical 794 rates as well as enhancements in the water use efficiency (Keenan et al., 2013). The model 795 successfully detected a strong positive effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on eddy covariance measured GPP, consistent 796 with previous studies based on process-based and statistical models (Chen et al., 2022; Fernández-797 Martínez et al., 2017; Ueyama et al., 2020). Notably, the CFE-ML model could have included the 798 impacts of other factors that exhibit a strong temporal correlation with CO<sub>2</sub>. For example, 799 industrialization-induced increases in nitrogen deposition could synergistically boost GPP alongside 800  $CO_2$  (O'Sullivan et al., 2019). Technological and management improvements in agriculture that 801 contribute to a global boost enhancement of crop photosynthesis (Zeng et al., 2014), might also be

802 indirectly reflected in the model estimates. As a result, the CFE-ML predicted a GPP trend that 803 more closely aligned with eddy covariance observations, and the upscaled dataset also showed a 804 globally higher trend than CFE-Hybrid (Figure 5; Figure 10). Despite differences in magnitudes, 805 spatial patterns of GPP trends from the CFE-ML aligned with that from CFE-Hybrid, reflecting a 806 strong temperature dependency, implying that the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> likely remained the most 807 significant factor. Nonetheless, the considerable ensemble spread in the CO<sub>2</sub> trends from the CFE-808 ML model and discrepancies between the CFE setups (Figure 11, Figure 13) underscored a high level of uncertainty in the machine learning quantified CO<sub>2</sub> effects. Moreover, disentangling the 809 810 direct CO<sub>2</sub> effects on LUE, water use efficiency, and its indirect effects on **FAPAR-LAI** remains 811 challenging with machine learning models due to the correlations and interactions between CO<sub>2</sub> and 812 other climatic or environmental factors. Future work may exploit explainable machine learning and 813 causal inference to unravel the complex mechanisms and distinct pathways of CO<sub>2</sub> effects on 814 vegetation carbon uptake.

815 Our results suggested that variations in the estimated GPP long-term trends from different 816 products were largely related to the representation of CO2 fertilization. Products that did not 817 consider the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effect, including our Baseline models, FLUXSAT, FLUXCOM, and 818 MODIS, showed minimal long-term changes in tropical GPP, while the CEDAR CFE-ML and 819 CFE-Hybrid models demonstrated significant GPP increases aligning with predictions from the 820 terrestrial biosphere models (Anav et al., 2015). FLUXCOM-ERA5, not accounting for dynamics 821 changes in vegetation structures and  $CO_2$ , did not capture either the direct or indirect  $CO_2$ 822 fertilization resulting in a slight negative GPP trend attributable to shifted climate patterns. Notably, 823 rEC-LUE exhibited contrasting trends before and after circa 2000, primarily attributed to changes in 824 vapor pressure deficit, PAR, and LAI, while the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect remained consistent 825 (Zheng et al., 2020). Nevertheless, considerable differences between CEDAR-GPP and rEC-LUE, 826 as well as between our CFE-ML and CFE-Hybrid products, warrant more in-depth investigations 827 into long-term GPP responses to changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and climate patterns. 828 Lastly, quantifications of GPP trends and their causes remain highly uncertain from site to 829 global scales. Trend detection is often complicated by data noises and interannual variabilities, thus requiring long-term records which are limited in certain areas and biomes, such as tropics, polar 830 831 regions, evergreen broadleaf forests and wetlands (Baldocchi et al., 2018; Zhan et al., 2022). 832 Moreover, isolating the effect of  $CO_2$  is challenging, as it is confounded by other factors, such as

833 forest regrowth, land cover change, and disturbances, which also significantly impacts long-term

834 GPP variations. To this end, continued efforts in expanding ecosystem flux measurements and

835 <u>standardizing data processing present new opportunities to assess ecosystem productivity responses</u>

836 to changing climate conditions (Delwiche et al., 2024; Pastorello et al., 2020). Future research could

837 also leverage novel machine learning techniques, such as knowledge-guided machine learning (Liu et

838 al., 2024) and hybrid modeling that combines process-based and machine learning approaches (Kraft

839 et al., 2022; Reichstein et al., 2019).

# **5. Data availability and usage note**

841 The CEDAR-GPP product, comprising ten GPP datasets, can be accessed at

842 <u>https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.8212706</u> (Kang et al., 2024). These datasets were

843 generated at a spatial resolution of 0.05° and monthly time steps. Each dataset includes an ensemble

mean GPP ("GPP\_mean") and an ensemble standard deviation ("GPP\_std"). Data is formatted in

845 netCDF with the following naming convention: "CEDAR-GPP\_<version>\_<model

846 setup>\_<YYYMM>.nc".

The CEDAR GPP product offers GPP estimates derived from ten different models. Models are characterized by 1) temporal coverage, 2) configuration of CO2 fertilization, and 3) GPP partitioning approach (Table 2). We provide a structured approach to selecting the most appropriate dataset for research or applications.

1) Study period considerations: the Short-Term (ST) setup is ideal for studies focusing on periods after 2000. These models are constructed using a broader range of explanatory predictors, offering higher precision and smaller random errors. The Long-Term (LT) datasets shall be used for research assessing GPP dynamics over a longer time period (before 2001). It is important to note that trends from the ST and LT datasets are not directly comparable, as they were derived from different satellite remote sensing data.

2) CO<sub>2</sub> Fertilization Effect (CFE) configurations: the CFE-Hybrid and CFE-ML setups are
preferable when assessing temporal GPP dynamics, especially long-term trends. The CFE-Hybrid
setup includes a hypothetical trend for from the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effect, while CFE-ML is purely datadriven and does not make any specific assumption about the sensitivity of photosynthesis to CO<sub>2</sub>.
Averaging the CFE-Hybrid and CFE-ML estimates is acceptable, with the difference between them
reflecting the uncertainty surrounding the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effect. Note that the Baseline setup shall not
be used to study long-term GPP dynamics, especially those induced by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

may be useful to compare with other remote sensing-derived GPP datasets that do not consider the direct  $CO_2$  effect. Differences between these setups regarding mean GPP spatial patterns, seasonal and interannual variations are considered to be minor.

3) GPP partitioning methods: We recommend using the mean value derived from both the
"NT" (Nighttime) and "DT" (Daytime). The difference between these two provides insight into the
uncertainties arising from the partitioning approaches used in GPP estimation from eddy covariance
measurements.

# **6. Code availability**

872 The code for upscaling and generating global GPP datasets can be accessed at

873 <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8400968</u>.

# 874 **7. Conclusions**

875 We present the CEDAR-GPP product generated by upscaling global eddy covariance 876 measurements with machine learning and a broad range of satellite and climate variables. CEDAR-877 GPP comprises four long-term datasets from 1982 to 2020 and six short-term datasets from 2001 to 878 2020. These datasets encompass three configurations regarding the incorporation of direct CO<sub>2</sub> 879 fertilization effects and two partitioning approaches to derive GPP from eddy covariance data. The 880 machine learning models of CEDAR-GPP demonstrated high capability in predicting monthly GPP, 881 its seasonal cycles, and spatial variability within the global eddy covariance sites, with cross-validated 882  $R^2$  between 0.56 to 0.79. Short-term model setups consistently outperformed long-term models due 883 to considerably more and higher-quality information from multi-source satellite observations. 884 CEDAR-GPP advances satellite-based GPP estimations, as the first upscaled dataset that 885 considered the direct biochemical effects of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  on photosynthesis, which is 886 responsible for an increasing land carbon sink over the past decades. We showed that incorporating 887 this effect in our CFE-ML and CFE-Hybrid models substantially improved the estimation of GPP 888 trends at eddy covariance sites. Global patterns of long-term GPP trends in the CFE-ML setups 889 showed a strong temperature dependency consistent with biophysical theories. Aside from the trend, 890 global spatial and temporal GPP patterns from CEDAR generally aligned with other satellite-based GPP datasets. 891

In conclusion, CEDAR-GPP, informed by global eddy covariance measurements and a broad
range of multi-source remote sensing observations and climatic variables, offered a comprehensive
representation of global GPP spatial and temporal dynamics over the past four decades. The
different CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization configures integrated in CEDAR-GPP offer new opportunities for
understanding global ecosystem photosynthesis's response to increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> along
different pathways over space and time. CEDAR-GPP is expected to serve as a valuable tool for
benchmarking process-based modeling and constraining the global carbon cycle.

# Appendix A: Photosynthesis sensitivity function of CO<sub>2</sub> sensitivity function of Light Use <u>Efficiency</u>

In the CFE-Hybrid model, the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect was prescribed onto machine
 learning estimated GPP at a reference CO<sub>2</sub> level using a theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> sensitivity function of LUE.
 The sensitivity function, which describes the fractional change in LUE due to CO<sub>2</sub> relative to the
 reference period, is described below.

The Light Use Efficiency (LUE) model (Monteith, 1972) of GPP states that,

906 907

$$GPP = APAR \times LUE = PAR \times fAPAR \times LUE \tag{A1}$$

where *PAR* is the photosynthetic active radiation, *fAPAR* is the fraction of *PAR* that plant canopy has absorbed, and *APAR* is the absorbed *PAR*. Eco-evolutionary theory, specifically the optimal coordination hypothesis, predicts that the electron-transport-limited (light-limited) ( $A_j$ ) and Rubiscolimited ( $A_c$ ) rates of photosynthesis converge on the time scale of physiological acclimation, which is in the order of a few weeks (Harrison et al., 2021; Haxeltine and Prentice, 1996; Wang et al., 2017).

913 914

$$\mathbf{l} = A_c = A_i \tag{A2}$$

915 where *A* is the gross photosynthetic rate, here equivalent to GPP.

Thus, at a monthly time scale, we assume that

In the following, we derive our sensitivity function based on  $A_j$ , which has a smaller response to CO<sub>2</sub> than  $A_c$ , thus providing conservative estimates of the direct CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect (Walker et al., 2021). According to the Fauquhar, von Caemmerer and Berry (FvCB) model (Farquhar et al., 1980),

920

$$A_j = \varphi_0 I \frac{c_i - \Gamma^*}{c_i + 2\Gamma^*} \tag{A3}$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is the intrinsic quantum efficiency of photosynthesis, *I* is the absorbed PAR (I = APAR),  $c_i$  is the leaf-internal partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>, and  $\Gamma^*$  is the photorespiratory compensation point that depends on temperature:

924

$$\Gamma^* = r_{25} e^{\frac{\Delta H (T - 298.15)}{298.15 RT}} \tag{A4}$$

where  $r_{25} = 4.22 \ Pa$  is the photorespiratory point at 25 °C,  $\Delta H$  is the activation energy (37.83  $\cdot$  10<sup>3</sup> J mol<sup>-1</sup>), *T* is the air temperature in Kelvin, and *R* is the molar gas constant (8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>. We denote atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration as  $c_a$ , and  $\chi$  is the ratio of leaf internal and external CO<sub>2</sub>, so  $c_i = \chi c_a$  (A5)

929 Combing (A1), (A3), (A5), and assuming (A2), LUE can be written as,

$$LUE = \varphi_0 \frac{c_i - \Gamma^*}{c_i + 2\Gamma^*} = \varphi_0 \frac{\chi c_a - \Gamma^*}{\chi c_a + 2\Gamma^*}$$
(A6)

We can therefore show that under constant absorbed light (*I* or *APAR*), the sensitivity of GPP to
CO<sub>2</sub> is proportional to that of LUE,

933

$$\frac{\partial GPP}{\partial c_a} = \frac{\partial \varphi_0 I \frac{\chi c_a - \Gamma^*}{\chi c_a + 2\Gamma^*}}{\partial c_a} = I \frac{\partial LUE}{\partial c_a} \tag{A7}$$

Thus from (A7), we can express the actual GPP at the time t and a CO<sub>2</sub> level  $c_a^t$  as the product of a reference GPP with a CO<sub>2</sub> level  $c_a^0$  and the ratio between actual and reference LUE (A8-9). We denote the actual GPP as time t as  $GPP_{c_a=c_a^t}^t$ , and the reference GPP at time t as  $GPP_{c_a=c_a^0}^t$ .

$$\frac{{}_{GPP}^{t}{}_{c_{a}=c_{a}^{t}}{}_{c_{a}=c_{a}^{0}}}{{}_{CP}{}_{c_{a}=c_{a}^{0}}^{t}} = \frac{{}_{LUE}^{t}{}_{c_{a}=c_{a}^{0}}{}_{c_{a}=c_{a}^{0}}}{{}_{LUE}{}_{c_{a}=c_{a}^{0}}^{t}} = \frac{{}_{ZC_{a}^{t}=\Gamma^{*}}{}_{ZC_{a}^{0}=\Gamma^{*}}{}_{c_{a}^{0}=\Gamma^{*}}{}_{ZC_{a}^{0}=\Gamma^{*}}{}_{CO_{2}}} = \frac{\phi_{CO_{2}}^{t}}{\phi_{CO_{2}}^{t}}$$
(A8)

937

 $GPP_{c_a=c_a^t}^t = GPP_{c_a=c_a^0}^t \times \frac{\phi_{CO2}^t}{\phi_{CO2}^{t_0}}$ (A9)

939 The reference GPP represents the GPP value at time t if the CO<sub>2</sub> were at the level of a reference level, while all other factors, such as PAR, fAPAR, temperature, and other environmental 940 941 controls remain unchanged. Here the CO<sub>2</sub> impacts on LUE depend on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> ( $c_a$ ),  $\chi$ , 942 and air temperature. We fixed  $\gamma$  to the global long-term average value 0.7 typical to C3 plants 943 (Prentice et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017). We further tested a dynamic model that quantified  $\gamma$  as a 944 function of air temperature and vapor pressure deficit following an eco-evolutionary theory across 945 global flux sites (Keenan et al., 2023). The estimated  $\gamma$  had a mean and median of 0.7 and a standard 946 deviation of 0.04 (Figure S11a). Differences in the direct CO<sub>2</sub> effect between the dynamic and fixed  $\chi$  approaches were minimal, with an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.99 and a slope of 0.99 from a least squares linear 947 948 regression line (Figure S11b). GPP trends across flux towers were also highly consistent between the two approaches, with a difference less than 0.1 gC m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-2</sup> (Figure S11b, c). Since these results 949 indicated that  $\chi$  is relatively stable, we used the fixed  $\chi$  approach to produce the CEDAR-GPP 950 951 dataset. 952 In the CFE-Hybrid model, we estimated the reference GPP by fixing the CO<sub>2</sub> at the level of the 953 year 2001 while keeping all other variables dynamic in the CFE-ML model. Then the actual GPP can 954 be estimated following (A9). Fixing CO<sub>2</sub> values to the 2001 level, the start year of eddy covariance

955 data used in model training, essentially removed the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> inferred by the CFE-ML model.

#### 956 Supplement

957 The supplement related to this article is available online.

## 958 Author contributions

T. K. and Y. K. conceptualized the study. Y. K. performed the formal analysis and generated
the final product. Y. K., T. K., M. B., and M. G. contributed to the development and investigation
of the research. Y. K., M. G., and X. L. contributed to data curation and processing. Y. K. prepared
the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. T. K. supervised the project.

## 963 Competing interests

964 <u>The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.</u>

## 965 Acknowledgments

966 We are grateful to Dr. Youngryel Ryu for providing the BESS\_Rad dataset and Dr. Martin

967 Jung for sharing the FLUXCOM-RS006 dataset. We also thank Dr. Muyi Li, Dr. Zaichun Zhu, and

968 Dr. Sen Cao for sharing early versions of the PKU GIMMS NDVI4g and LAI4g datasets with us.

## 969 Financial support

970 This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Early

971 Career Research Program award #DE-SC0021023 and a NASA Award 80NSSC21K1705. TFK

972 acknowledges additional support from the LEMONTREE (Land Ecosystem Models based On New

973 Theory, obseRvations and ExperimEnts) project, funded through the generosity of Eric and Wendy

974 Schmidt by recommendation of the Schmidt Futures programme and NASA award

975 #80NSSC20K1801.

## 976 **References**

- 977 Anav, A., Friedlingstein, P., Beer, C., Ciais, P., Harper, A., Jones, C., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Papale,
- D., Parazoo, N. C., Peylin, P., Piao, S., Sitch, S., Viovy, N., Wiltshire, A., and Zhao, M.:

979 Spatiotemporal patterns of terrestrial gross primary production: A review, Reviews of Geophysics,

980 1-34, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000483, 2015.

981 Badgley, G., Anderegg, L. D. L., Berry, J. A., and Field, C. B.: Terrestrial gross primary production:

982 Using NIRV to scale from site to globe, Global Change Biology, 25, 3731–3740,

983 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14729, 2019.

- 984 Baldocchi, D., Chu, H., and Reichstein, M.: Inter-annual variability of net and gross ecosystem
- 985 carbon fluxes: A review, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 249, 520–533,
- 986 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.05.015, 2018.
- 987 Baldocchi, D. D.: How eddy covariance flux measurements have contributed to our understanding
- 988 of Global Change Biology, Global Change Biology, 26, 242–260,
- 989 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14807, 2020.
- 990 Beck, H. E., Zimmermann, N. E., McVicar, T. R., Vergopolan, N., Berg, A., and Wood, E. F.:
- 991 Present and future köppen-geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution, Scientific Data, 5,
- 992 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.214, 2018.
- 993 Beer, C., Reichstein, M., Tomelleri, E., Ciais, P., Jung, M., Carvalhais, N., Rödenbeck, C., Arain, M.
- A., Baldocchi, D., Bonan, G. B., Bondeau, A., Cescatti, A., Lasslop, G., Lindroth, A., Lomas, M.,
  Luyssaert, S., Margolis, H., Oleson, K. W., Roupsard, O., Veenendaal, E., Viovy, N., Williams, C.,
- Woodward, F. I., and Papale, D.: Terrestrial gross carbon dioxide uptake: Global distribution and
- covariation with climate, Science, 329, 834–838, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184984, 2010.
- 998 Berdugo, M., Gaitán, J. J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Crowther, T. W., and Dakos, V.: Prevalence and
- 999 drivers of abrupt vegetation shifts in global drylands, Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 1000 Sciences, 119, e2123393119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2123393119, 2022.
- 1001 Besnard, S., Carvalhais, N., Altaf Arain, M., Black, A., Brede, B., Buchmann, N., Chen, J., Clevers, J.
- 1002 G. P. W., Dutrieux, L. P., Gans, F., Herold, M., Jung, M., Kosugi, Y., Knohl, A., Law, B. E., Paul-
- 1003 Limoges, E., Lohila, A., Merbold, L., Roupsard, O., Valentini, R., Wolf, S., Zhang, X., and
- 1004 Reichstein, M.: Memory effects of climate and vegetation affecting net ecosystem CO2 fluxes in
- 1005 global forests, PLoS ONE, 14, 1–22, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211510, 2019.
- Bloomfield, K. J., Stocker, B. D., Keenan, T. F., and Prentice, I. C.: Environmental controls on the
  light use efficiency of terrestrial gross primary production, Global Change Biology, 29, 1037–1053,
  https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16511, 2023.
- 1009 Campbell, J. E., Berry, J. A., Seibt, U., Smith, S. J., Montzka, S. A., Launois, T., Belviso, S., Bopp, L.,
- 1010 and Laine, M.: Large historical growth in global terrestrial gross primary production, Nature, 544,
- 1011 84–87, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22030, 2017.
- 1012 Camps-Valls, G., Campos-Taberner, M., Moreno-Martínez, Á., Walther, S., Duveiller, G., Cescatti,
- 1013 A., Mahecha, M. D., Muñoz-Marí, J., García-Haro, F. J., Guanter, L., Jung, M., Gamon, J. A.,
- 1014 Reichstein, M., and Running, S. W.: A unified vegetation index for quantifying the terrestrial
- 1015 biosphere, Science Advances, 7, eabc7447, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abc7447, 2021.
- 1016 Cao, S., Li, M., Zhu, Z., Zha, J., Zhao, W., Duanmu, Z., Chen, J., Zheng, Y., and Chen, Y.:
- 1017 Spatiotemporally consistent global dataset of the GIMMS Leaf Area Index (GIMMS LAI4g) from
- 1018 1982 to 2020, Earth System Science Data Discussions, 1–31, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-68,
- 1019 2023.
- 1020 Chen, C., Park, T., Wang, X., Piao, S., Xu, B., Chaturvedi, R. K., Fuchs, R., Brovkin, V., Ciais, P.,
- 1021 Fensholt, R., Tømmervik, H., Bala, G., Zhu, Z., Nemani, R. R., and Myneni, R. B.: China and India

- lead in greening of the world through land-use management, Nature Sustainability, 2, 122–129,
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0220-7, 2019.
- 1024 Chen, C., Riley, W. J., Prentice, I. C., and Keenan, T. F.: CO2 fertilization of terrestrial
- photosynthesis inferred from site to global scales, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
  119, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115627119/, 2022.
- 1027 Chen, T. and Guestrin, C.: XGBoost: a scalable tree boosting system, in: Proceedings of the 22nd
- 1028 ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining KDD '16,
- 1029 785–794, https://doi.org/10.1145/2939672.2939785, 2016.
- 1030 Chu, H., Luo, X., Ouyang, Z., Chan, W. S., Dengel, S., Biraud, S. C., Torn, M. S., Metzger, S.,
- 1031 Kumar, J., Arain, M. A., Arkebauer, T. J., Baldocchi, D., Bernacchi, C., Billesbach, D., Black, T. A.,
- 1032 Blanken, P. D., Bohrer, G., Bracho, R., Brown, S., Brunsell, N. A., Chen, J., Chen, X., Clark, K.,
- 1033 Desai, A. R., Duman, T., Durden, D., Fares, S., Forbrich, I., Gamon, J. A., Gough, C. M., Griffis, T.,
- 1034 Helbig, M., Hollinger, D., Humphreys, E., Ikawa, H., Iwata, H., Ju, Y., Knowles, J. F., Knox, S. H.,
- 1035 Kobayashi, H., Kolb, T., Law, B., Lee, X., Litvak, M., Liu, H., Munger, J. W., Noormets, A., Novick,
- 1036 K., Oberbauer, S. F., Oechel, W., Oikawa, P., Papuga, S. A., Pendall, E., Prajapati, P., Prueger, J.,
- 1037 Quinton, W. L., Richardson, A. D., Russell, E. S., Scott, R. L., Starr, G., Staebler, R., Stoy, P. C.,
- 1038 Stuart-Haëntjens, E., Sonnentag, O., Sullivan, R. C., Suyker, A., Ueyama, M., Vargas, R., Wood, J.
- 1039 D., and Zona, D.: Representativeness of Eddy-Covariance flux footprints for areas surrounding
- 1040 AmeriFlux sites, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 301–302,
- 1041 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108350, 2021.
- 1042 Dannenberg, M. P., Barnes, M. L., Smith, W. K., Johnston, M. R., Meerdink, S. K., Wang, X., Scott,
- 1043 R. L., and Biederman, J. A.: Upscaling dryland carbon and water fluxes with artificial neural
- networks of optical, thermal, and microwave satellite remote sensing, Biogeosciences, 20, 383–404,
   https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-383-2023, 2023.
- 1046 De Kauwe, M. G., Keenan, T. F., Medlyn, B. E., Prentice, I. C., and Terrer, C.: Satellite based
- 1047 estimates underestimate the effect of CO2 fertilization on net primary productivity, Nature Climate
  1048 Change, 6, 892–893, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3105, 2016.
- 1049 Delwiche, K. B., Nelson, J., Kowalska, N., Moore, C. E., Shirkey, G., Tarin, T., Cleverly, J. R., and
- 1050 Keenan, T. F.: Charting the Future of the FLUXNET Network, Bulletin of the American
- 1051 Meteorological Society, 105, E466–E473, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0316.1, 2024.
- 1052 Dong, Y., Li, J., Jiao, Z., Liu, Q., Zhao, J., Xu, B., Zhang, H., Zhang, Z., Liu, C., Knyazikhin, Y., and
- 1053 Myneni, R. B.: A Method for Retrieving Coarse-Resolution Leaf Area Index for Mixed Biomes
- 1054 Using a Mixed-Pixel Correction Factor, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 61,
- 1055 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2023.3235949, 2023.
- 1056 Dorigo, W., Wagner, W., Albergel, C., Albrecht, F., Balsamo, G., Brocca, L., Chung, D., Ertl, M.,
- 1057 Forkel, M., Gruber, A., Haas, E., Hamer, P. D., Hirschi, M., Ikonen, J., de Jeu, R., Kidd, R., Lahoz,
- 1058 W., Liu, Y. Y., Miralles, D., Mistelbauer, T., Nicolai-Shaw, N., Parinussa, R., Pratola, C., Reimer, C.,
- 1059 van der Schalie, R., Seneviratne, S. I., Smolander, T., and Lecomte, P.: ESA CCI Soil Moisture for
- 1060 improved Earth system understanding: State-of-the art and future directions, Remote Sensing of
- 1061 Environment, 203, 185–215, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.001, 2017.

- 1062 Dorigo, W. A., Gruber, A., De Jeu, R. A. M., Wagner, W., Stacke, T., Loew, A., Albergel, C., Brocca,
- 1063 L., Chung, D., Parinussa, R. M., and Kidd, R.: Evaluation of the ESA CCI soil moisture product
- 1064 using ground-based observations, Remote Sensing of Environment, 162,
- 1065 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.07.023, 2015.

Ehlers, I., Augusti, A., Betson, T. R., Nilsson, M. B., Marshall, J. D., and Schleucher, J.: Detecting
long-term metabolic shifts using isotopomers: CO2-driven suppression of photorespiration in C3
plants over the 20th century, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112, 15585–15590,
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504493112, 2015.

- 1070 Fang, H., Baret, F., Plummer, S., and Schaepman-Strub, G.: An overview of global leaf area index
- 1071 (LAI): Methods, products, validation, and applications, Reviews of Geophysics, 2018RG000608,
- 1072 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018RG000608, 2019.
- 1073 Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO2
- 1074 assimilation in leaves of C3 species, Planta, 149, 78–90, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00386231,
  1075 1980.
- 1076 Fernández-Martínez, M., Vicca, S., Janssens, I. A., Ciais, P., Obersteiner, M., Bartrons, M., Sardans,
- 1077 J., Verger, A., Canadell, J. G., Chevallier, F., Wang, X., Bernhofer, C., Curtis, P. S., Gianelle, D.,
- 1078 Grünwald, T., Heinesch, B., Ibrom, A., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Law, B. E., Limousin, J. M., Longdoz,
- 1079 B., Loustau, D., Mammarella, I., Matteucci, G., Monson, R. K., Montagnani, L., Moors, E. J.,
- 1080 Munger, J. W., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., and Peñuelas, J.: Atmospheric deposition, CO2, and change in 1081 the land carbon sink, Sci Rep, 7, 9632, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08755-8, 2017.
- 1082 Friedl, M. and Sulla-Menashe, D.: MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly L3
- 1083 Global 500m SIN Grid V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC,
- 1084 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006, 2019.
- 1085 Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V. K., Jones, C. D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S. K., and
- 1086 Knutti, R.: Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks, Journal of
- 1087 Climate, 27, 511–526, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00579.1, 2014.
- 1088 Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Gregor, L., Hauck, J., Le Quéré, C.,
- 1089 Luijkx, I. T., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Schwingshackl, C., Sitch, S., Canadell,
- 1090 J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Alkama, R., Arneth, A., Arora, V. K., Bates, N. R., Becker,
- 1091 M., Bellouin, N., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Cronin, M., Evans, W., Falk, S.,
- 1092 Feely, R. A., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gkritzalis, T., Gloege, L., Grassi, G., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö.,
- 1093 Harris, I., Hefner, M., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G. C., Iida, Y., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Jersild, A.,
- 1094 Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein Goldewijk, K., Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I.,
- 1095 Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lindsay, K., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Marland, G., Mayot, N., McGrath, M. J.,
- 1096 Metzl, N., Monacci, N. M., Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P.
- 1097 I., Pan, N., Pierrot, D., Pocock, K., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C.,
- 1098 Rodriguez, C., Rosan, T. M., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Shutler, J. D., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sun,
- 1099 Q., Sutton, A. J., Sweeney, C., Takao, S., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, X., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B.,
- 1100 Tsujino, H., Tubiello, F., van der Werf, G. R., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof, R., Whitehead, C.,
- 1101 Willstrand Wranne, A., et al.: Global Carbon Budget 2022, Earth System Science Data, 14, 4811–
- 1102 4900**,** 2023.

- 1103 Gaber, M., Kang, Y., Schurgers, G., and Keenan, T.: Using automated machine learning for the
- 1104 upscaling of gross primary productivity, Biogeosciences Discussions, 1–26,
- 1105 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2023-141, 2023.
- 1106 Gampe, D., Zscheischler, J., Reichstein, M., Sullivan, M. O., Smith, W. K., Sitch, S., and Buermann,
- 1107 W.: Increasing impact of warm droughts on northern ecosystem productivity over recent decades,
- 1108 Nature Climate Change, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01112-8, 2021.
- 1109 Gao, B. C.: NDWI A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid
- 1110 water from space, Remote Sensing of Environment, 58, 257–266, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-
- 1111 4257(96)00067-3, 1996.
- 1112 Gitelson, A. A.: Remote estimation of leaf area index and green leaf biomass in maize canopies,
- 1113 Geophysical Research Letters, 30, 1248, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016450, 2003.
- 1114 Green, J. K., Ballantyne, A., Abramoff, R., Gentine, P., Makowski, D., and Ciais, P.: Surface
- 1115 temperatures reveal the patterns of vegetation water stress and their environmental drivers across
- 1116 the tropical Americas, Global Change Biology, 28, 2940–2955, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16139,
- 1117 2022.
- 1118 Gruber, A., Scanlon, T., Van Der Schalie, R., Wagner, W., and Dorigo, W.: Evolution of the ESA
- 1119 CCI Soil Moisture climate data records and their underlying merging methodology, Earth System
- 1120 Science Data, 11, 717–739, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-717-2019, 2019.
- 1121 Hao, D., Bisht, G., Huang, M., Ma, P.-L., Tesfa, T., Lee, W.-L., Gu, Y., and Leung, L. R.: Impacts of
- 1122 Sub-Grid Topographic Representations on Surface Energy Balance and Boundary Conditions in the
- 1123 E3SM Land Model: A Case Study in Sierra Nevada, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
- 1124 Systems, 14, e2021MS002862, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002862, 2022.
- 1125 Harrison, S. P., Cramer, W., Franklin, O., Prentice, I. C., Wang, H., Brännström, Å., de Boer, H.,
- 1126 Dieckmann, U., Joshi, J., Keenan, T. F., Lavergne, A., Manzoni, S., Mengoli, G., Morfopoulos, C.,
- 1127 Peñuelas, J., Pietsch, S., Rebel, K. T., Ryu, Y., Smith, N. G., Stocker, B. D., and Wright, I. J.: Eco-
- 1128 evolutionary optimality as a means to improve vegetation and land-surface models, New Phytologist,
- 1129 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17558, 2021.
- 1130 Haverd, V., Smith, B., Canadell, J. G., Cuntz, M., Mikaloff-Fletcher, S., Farquhar, G., Woodgate, W.,
- 1131 Briggs, P. R., and Trudinger, C. M.: Higher than expected CO2 fertilization inferred from leaf to
- 1132 global observations, Global Change Biology, 26, 2390–2402, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14950,
  1133 2020.
- 1134 Haxeltine, A. and Prentice, I. C.: A General Model for the Light-Use Efficiency of Primary
- 1135 Production, Functional Ecology, 10, 551–561, https://doi.org/10.2307/2390165, 1996.
- 1136 van der Horst, S. V. J., Pitman, A. J., De Kauwe, M. G., Ukkola, A., Abramowitz, G., and Isaac, P.:
- 1137 How representative are FLUXNET measurements of surface fluxes during temperature extremes?,
- 1138 Biogeosciences, 16, 1829–1844, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-1829-2019, 2019.

- 1139 Jiang, C., Ryu, Y., Fang, H., Myneni, R., Claverie, M., and Zhu, Z.: Inconsistencies of interannual
- 1140 variability and trends in long-term satellite leaf area index products, Global Change Biology, 23,
- 1141 4133–4146, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13787, 2017.
- 1142 Joiner, J. and Yoshida, Y.: Satellite-based reflectances capture large fraction of variability in global
- 1143 gross primary production (GPP) at weekly time scales, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 291,
- 1144 108092, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108092, 2020.
- 1145 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., and Bondeau, A.: Towards global empirical upscaling of FLUXNET eddy
- 1146 covariance observations: Validation of a model tree ensemble approach using a biosphere model,
- 1147 Biogeosciences, 6, 2001–2013, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2001-2009, 2009.
- 1148 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H. A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A. D., Arain, M. A., Arneth, A.,
- 1149 Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W., Lasslop, G.,
- 1150 Law, B. E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E. J., Papale, D., Sottocornola, M.,
- 1151 Vaccari, F., and Williams, C.: Global patterns of land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide , latent
- 1152 heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations,
- 1153 Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 116, 1–16,
- 1154 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001566, 2011.
- 1155 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Schwalm, C. R., Huntingford, C., Sitch, S., Ahlström, A., Arneth, A.,
- 1156 Camps-Valls, G., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gans, F., Ichii, K., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Papale, D.,
- 1157 Poulter, B., Raduly, B., Rödenbeck, C., Tramontana, G., Viovy, N., Wang, Y. P., Weber, U., Zaehle,
- 1158 S., and Zeng, N.: Compensatory water effects link yearly global land CO 2 sink changes to
- 1159 temperature, Nature, 541, 516–520, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20780, 2017.
- 1160 Jung, M., Schwalm, C., Migliavacca, M., Walther, S., Camps-Valls, G., Koirala, S., Anthoni, P.,
- 1161 Besnard, S., Bodesheim, P., Carvalhais, N., Chevallier, F., Gans, F., S Goll, D., Haverd, V., Köhler,
- 1162 P., Ichii, K., K Jain, A., Liu, J., Lombardozzi, D., E M S Nabel, J., A Nelson, J., O'Sullivan, M.,
- 1163 Pallandt, M., Papale, D., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Rödenbeck, C., Sitch, S., Tramontana, G., Walker,
- 1164 A., Weber, U., and Reichstein, M.: Scaling carbon fluxes from eddy covariance sites to globe:
- 1165 Synthesis and evaluation of the FLUXCOM approach, Biogeosciences, 17, 1343–1365,
- 1166 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1343-2020, 2020.
- 1167 Kang, Y., Ozdogan, M., Zhu, X., Ye, Z., Hain, C., and Anderson, M.: Comparative assessment of
- 1168 environmental variables and machine learning algorithms for maize yield prediction in the US
- 1169 Midwest, Environmental Research Letters, 15, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab7df9, 2020.
- 1170 Kang, Y., Bassiouni, M., Gaber, M., Lu, X., and Keenan, T.: CEDAR-GPP: A Spatiotemporally
- 1171 Upscaled Dataset of Gross Primary Productivity Incorporating CO2 Fertilization (v1.0),
- 1172 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8212706, 2024.
- 1173 Keeling, R. F., Graven, H. D., Welp, L. R., Resplandy, L., Bi, J., Piper, S. C., Sun, Y., Bollenbacher,
- 1174 A., and Meijer, H. A. J.: Atmospheric evidence for a global secular increase in carbon isotopic
- 1175 discrimination of land photosynthesis, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
- 1176 United States of America, 114, 10361–10366, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619240114, 2017.

- 1177 Keenan, T. F., Hollinger, D. Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J. W., Schmid, H. P., and
- 1178 Richardson, A. D.: Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide
- 1179 concentrations rise, Nature, 499, 324–327, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12291, 2013.
- 1180 Keenan, T. F., Prentice, I. C., Canadell, J. G., Williams, C. A., Wang, H., Raupach, M., and Collatz,
- 1181 G. J.: Recent pause in the growth rate of atmospheric CO2 due to enhanced terrestrial carbon
- 1182 uptake, Nature Communications, 7, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13428, 2016.
- 1183 Keenan, T. F., Migliavacca, M., Papale, D., Baldocchi, D., Reichstein, M., Torn, M., and Wutzler, T.:
- 1184 Widespread inhibition of daytime ecosystem respiration, Nature Ecology and Evolution, 3, 407–415,
- 1185 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0809-2, 2019.
- 1186 Keenan, T. F., Luo, X., Stocker, B. D., De Kauwe, M. G., Medlyn, B. E., Prentice, I. C., Smith, N.
- 1187 G., Terrer, C., Wang, H., Zhang, Y., and Zhou, S.: A constraint on historic growth in global
- 1188 photosynthesis due to rising CO2, Nat. Clim. Chang., 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-
- 1189 01867-2, 2023.
- 1190 Kraft, B., Jung, M., Körner, M., Koirala, S., and Reichstein, M.: Towards hybrid modeling of the
- 1191 global hydrological cycle, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26, 1579–1614,
- 1192 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-1579-2022, 2022.
- 1193 Li, M., Cao, S., and Zhu, Z.: Spatiotemporally consistent global dataset of the GIMMS Normalized
- 1194 Difference Vegetation Index (PKU GIMMS NDVI) from 1982 to 2020, Earth System Science Data
- 1195 Discussions, 1–31, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-1, 2023.
- 1196 Liu, L., Zhou, W., Guan, K., Peng, B., Xu, S., Tang, J., Zhu, Q., Till, J., Jia, X., Jiang, C., Wang, S.,
- 1197 Qin, Z., Kong, H., Grant, R., Mezbahuddin, S., Kumar, V., and Jin, Z.: Knowledge-guided machine
- 1198 learning can improve carbon cycle quantification in agroecosystems, Nat Commun, 15, 357,
- 1199 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43860-5, 2024.
- 1200 Liu, Y., Holtzman, N. M., and Konings, A. G.: Global ecosystem-scale plant hydraulic traits
- retrieved using model–data fusion, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 2399–2417,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-2399-2021, 2021.
- 1203 Luo, X., Zhou, H., Satriawan, T. W., Tian, J., Zhao, R., Keenan, T. F., Griffith, D. M., Sitch, S.,
- 1204 Smith, N. G., and Still, C. J.: Mapping the global distribution of C4 vegetation using observations
- 1205 and optimality theory, Nat Commun, 15, 1219, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45606-3, 2024.
- 1206 Ma, H. and Liang, S.: Development of the GLASS 250-m leaf area index product (version 6) from
- 1207 MODIS data using the bidirectional LSTM deep learning model, Remote Sensing of Environment,
- 1208 273, 112985, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.112985, 2022.
- 1209 Ma, H., Zeng, J., Chen, N., Zhang, X., Cosh, M. H., and Wang, W.: Satellite surface soil moisture
- 1210 from SMAP, SMOS, AMSR2 and ESA CCI: A comprehensive assessment using global ground-
- 1211 based observations, Remote Sensing of Environment, 231, 111215,
- 1212 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111215, 2019.

- 1213 Ma, Y., Zhang, Z., Kang, Y., and Özdoğan, M.: Corn yield prediction and uncertainty analysis based
- 1214 on remotely sensed variables using a Bayesian neural network approach, Remote Sensing of
- 1215 Environment, 259, 112408, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112408, 2021.
- 1216 Myneni, R., Knyazikhin, Y., and Park, T.: MCD15A3H MODIS/Terra+Aqua Leaf Area
- 1217 Index/FPAR 4-day L4 Global 500m SIN Grid V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes
- 1218 DAAC., https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD15A3H.006, 2015a.
- 1219 Myneni, R., Knyazikhin, Y., and Park, T.: MOD15A2H MODIS/Terra Leaf Area Index/FPAR 8-
- Day L4 Global 500m SIN Grid V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC,
  https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD15A2H.006, 2015b.
- 1222 O'Sullivan, M., Spracklen, D. V., Batterman, S. A., Arnold, S. R., Gloor, M., and Buermann, W.:
- 1223 Have Synergies Between Nitrogen Deposition and Atmospheric CO 2 Driven the Recent
- 1224 Enhancement of the Terrestrial Carbon Sink?, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 163–180,
- 1225 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005922, 2019.
- 1226 O'Sullivan, M., Smith, W. K., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Arora, V. K., Haverd, V., Jain, A. K., Kato,
- 1227 E., Kautz, M., Lombardozzi, D., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Tian, H., Vuichard, N., Wiltshire, A., Zhu, D.,
- 1228 and Buermann, W.: Climate-Driven Variability and Trends in Plant Productivity Over Recent
- 1229 Decades Based on Three Global Products, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34,
- 1230 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006613, 2020.
- 1231 Pastorello, G., Trotta, C., Canfora, E., Chu, H., Christianson, D., Cheah, Y. W., Poindexter, C.,
- 1232 Chen, J., Elbashandy, A., Humphrey, M., Isaac, P., Polidori, D., Ribeca, A., van Ingen, C., Zhang, L.,
- 1233 Amiro, B., Ammann, C., Arain, M. A., Ardö, J., Arkebauer, T., Arndt, S. K., Arriga, N., Aubinet, M.,
- 1234 Aurela, M., Baldocchi, D., Barr, A., Beamesderfer, E., Marchesini, L. B., Bergeron, O., Beringer, J.,
- 1235 Bernhofer, C., Berveiller, D., Billesbach, D., Black, T. A., Blanken, P. D., Bohrer, G., Boike, J.,
- 1236 Bolstad, P. V., Bonal, D., Bonnefond, J. M., Bowling, D. R., Bracho, R., Brodeur, J., Brümmer, C.,
- 1237 Buchmann, N., Burban, B., Burns, S. P., Buysse, P., Cale, P., Cavagna, M., Cellier, P., Chen, S.,
- 1238 Chini, I., Christensen, T. R., Cleverly, J., Collalti, A., Consalvo, C., Cook, B. D., Cook, D., Coursolle,
- 1239 C., Cremonese, E., Curtis, P. S., D'Andrea, E., da Rocha, H., Dai, X., Davis, K. J., De Cinti, B., de
- 1240 Grandcourt, A., De Ligne, A., De Oliveira, R. C., Delpierre, N., Desai, A. R., Di Bella, C. M., di
- 1241 Tommasi, P., Dolman, H., Domingo, F., Dong, G., Dore, S., Duce, P., Dufrêne, E., Dunn, A.,
- 1242 Dušek, J., Eamus, D., Eichelmann, U., ElKhidir, H. A. M., Eugster, W., Ewenz, C. M., Ewers, B.,
- 1243 Famulari, D., Fares, S., Feigenwinter, I., Feitz, A., Fensholt, R., Filippa, G., Fischer, M., Frank, J.,
- 1244 Galvagno, M., Gharun, M., Gianelle, D., et al.: The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux
- 1245 processing pipeline for eddy covariance data, Scientific data, 7, 225,
- 1246 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0534-3, 2020.
- 1247 Peñuelas, J., Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Janssens, I. A., Fernández-Martínez, M., Carnicer, J.,
- 1248 Obersteiner, M., Piao, S., Vautard, R., and Sardans, J.: Shifting from a fertilization-dominated to a
- 1249 warming-dominated period, Nature Ecology and Evolution, 1, 1438–1445,
- 1250 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0274-8, 2017.
- 1251 Piao, S., Wang, X., Park, T., Chen, C., Lian, X., He, Y., Bjerke, J. W., Chen, A., Ciais, P.,
- 1252 Tømmervik, H., Nemani, R. R., and Myneni, R. B.: Characteristics, drivers and feedbacks of global

- 1253 greening, Nature Reviews Earth and Environment, 1, 14–27, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-1254 0001-x, 2020.
- Prentice, I. C., Dong, N., Gleason, S. M., Maire, V., and Wright, I. J.: Balancing the costs of carbon
  gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical framework for plant functional ecology, Ecology
  Letters, 17, 82–91, https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12211, 2014.
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., and Lee, T. D.: Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO2 eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation, Nature Geoscience, 7, 920–924,
- 1260 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2284, 2014.
- 1261 Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., Jung, M., Denzler, J., Carvalhais, N., and Prabhat:
- 1262 Deep learning and process understanding for data-driven Earth system science, Nature, 566, 195–
- 1263 204, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0912-1, 2019.
- 1264 Ruehr, S., Keenan, T. F., Williams, C., Zhou, Y., Lu, X., Bastos, A., Canadell, J. G., Prentice, I. C.,
- 1265 Sitch, S., and Terrer, C.: Evidence and attribution of the enhanced land carbon sink, Nat Rev Earth
- 1266 Environ, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00456-3, 2023.
- Running, S., Mu, Q., and Zhao, M.: MOD17A2H MODIS/Terra Gross Primary Productivity 8-Day
  L4 Global 500m SIN Grid V006, https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD17A2H.006, 2015.
- 1269 Ryu, Y., Jiang, C., Kobayashi, H., and Detto, M.: MODIS-derived global land products of shortwave
- 1270 radiation and diffuse and total photosynthetically active radiation at 5 km resolution from 2000,
- 1271 Remote Sensing of Environment, 204, 812–825, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.09.021, 2018.
- 1272 Ryu, Y., Berry, J. A., and Baldocchi, D. D.: What is global photosynthesis? History, uncertainties and 1273 opportunities, Remote Sensing of Environment, 223, 95–114,
- 1274 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.01.016, 2019.
- Sabater, J. M.: ERA5-Land monthly averaged data from 1981 to present., Copernicus Climate
  Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS), https://doi.org/doi:10.24381/cds.68d2bb30, 2019.
- 1277 Schaaf, C. and Wang, Z.: MCD43C4 MODIS/Terra+Aqua BRDF/Albedo Nadir BRDF-Adjusted
- 1278 Ref Daily L3 Global 0.05Deg CMG V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC.,
  1279 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD43C4.006, 2015.
- Schwalm, C. R., Anderegg, W. R. L., Michalak, A. M., Fisher, J. B., Biondi, F., Koch, G., Litvak, M.,
  Ogle, K., Shaw, J. D., Wolf, A., Huntzinger, D. N., Schaefer, K., Cook, R., Wei, Y., Fang, Y., Hayes,
- 1282 D., Huang, M., Jain, A., and Tian, H.: Global patterns of drought recovery, Nature, 548, 202–205, 1283 https://doi.org/10.1038/pature23021\_2017
- 1283 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23021, 2017.
- 1284 Smith, W. K., Reed, S. C., Cleveland, C. C., Ballantyne, A. P., Anderegg, W. R. L., Wieder, W. R.,
- 1285 Liu, Y. Y., and Running, S. W.: Large divergence of satellite and Earth system model estimates of
- 1286 global terrestrial CO2 fertilization, Nature Climate Change, 6, 306–310,
- 1287 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2879, 2016.
- 1288 Ştefan, V. and Levin, S.: plotbiomes: R package for plotting Whittaker biomes with ggplot2, ,
  1289 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7145245, 2018.

- 1290 Still, C. J., Berry, J. A., Collatz, G. J., and DeFries, R. S.: Global distribution of C3 and C4
- 1291 vegetation: Carbon cycle implications, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 17,
- 1292 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001gb001807, 2003.
- 1293 Still, C. J., Berry, J. A., Collatz, G. J., and DeFries, R. S.: ISLSCP II C4 Vegetation Percentage, in:
- 1294 Hall, Forrest G., G. Collatz, B. Meeson, S. Los, E. Brown de Colstoun, and D. Landis (eds.).
- 1295 ISLSCP Initiative II Collection. Data set., http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/932, 2009.
- 1296 Stocker, B. D., Zscheischler, J., Keenan, T. F., Prentice, I. C., Peñuelas, J., and Seneviratne, S. I.:
- 1297 Quantifying soil moisture impacts on light use efficiency across biomes, New Phytologist, 218,
- 1298 1430–1449, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15123, 2018.
- 1299 Stocker, B. D., Zscheischler, J., Keenan, T. F., Prentice, I. C., Seneviratne, S. I., and Peñuelas, J.:
- 1300 Drought impacts on terrestrial primary production underestimated by satellite monitoring, Nature
- 1301 Geoscience, 12, 264–270, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0318-6, 2019.
- 1302 Stocker, B. D., Tumber-Dávila, S. J., Konings, A. G., Anderson, M. C., Hain, C., and Jackson, R. B.:
- 1303 Global patterns of water storage in the rooting zones of vegetation, Nat. Geosci., 16, 250–256,
- 1304 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01125-2, 2023.
- 1305 Terrer, C., Jackson, R. B., Prentice, I. C., Keenan, T. F., Kaiser, C., Vicca, S., Fisher, J. B., Reich, P.
- 1306 B., Stocker, B. D., Hungate, B. A., Peñuelas, J., McCallum, I., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Cernusak, L. A.,
- 1307 Talhelm, A. F., Van Sundert, K., Piao, S., Newton, P. C. D., Hovenden, M. J., Blumenthal, D. M.,
- Liu, Y. Y., Müller, C., Winter, K., Field, C. B., Viechtbauer, W., Van Lissa, C. J., Hoosbeek, M. R.,
  Watanabe, M., Koike, T., Leshyk, V. O., Polley, H. W., and Franklin, O.: Nitrogen and phosphorus
- Watanabe, M., Koike, T., Leshyk, V. O., Polley, H. W., and Franklin, O.: Nitrogen and phosphorus
  constrain the CO2 fertilization of global plant biomass, Nature Climate Change, 9, 684–689,
- 1310 constrain the CO2 retuization of global plant biomass, Nature Climate Change, 9, 684
   1311 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0545-2, 2019.
- 1312 Thoning, K. W., Crotwell, A. M., and Mund, J. W.: Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Dry Air Mole
- 1313 Fractions from continuous measurements at Mauna Loa, Hawaii, Barrow, Alaska, American Samoa
- 1314 and South Pole. 1973-2020, Version 2021-08-09, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- 1315 (NOAA), Global Monitoring Laboratory (GML), Boulder, Colorado, USA,
- 1316 https://doi.org/10.15138/yaf1-bk21, 2021.
- 1317 Tramontana, G., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Tomelleri, E., and Papale, D.: Uncertainty analysis of
- 1318 gross primary production upscaling using Random Forests, remote sensing and eddy covariance
- 1319 data, Remote Sensing of Environment, 168, 360–373, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.07.015,
- 1320 2015.
- 1321 Tramontana, G., Jung, M., Schwalm, C. R., Ichii, K., Camps-Valls, G., Ráduly, B., Reichstein, M.,
- 1322 Arain, M. A., Cescatti, A., Kiely, G., Merbold, L., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Sickert, S., Wolf, S., and Papale,
- 1323 D.: Predicting carbon dioxide and energy fluxes across global FLUXNET sites with regression
- 1324 algorithms, Biogeosciences, 13, 4291–4313, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-4291-2016, 2016.
- 1325 Ueyama, M., Ichii, K., Kobayashi, H., Kumagai, T., Beringer, J., Merbold, L., Euskirchen, E. S.,
- 1326 Hirano, T., Marchesini, L. B., Baldocchi, D., Saitoh, T. M., Mizoguchi, Y., Ono, K., Kim, J.,
- 1327 Varlagin, A., Kang, M., Shimizu, T., Kosugi, Y., Bret-Harte, M. S., Machimura, T., Matsuura, Y.,
- 1328 Ohta, T., Takagi, K., Takanashi, S., and Yasuda, Y.: Inferring CO2 fertilization effect based on

- 1329 global monitoring land-atmosphere exchange with a theoretical model, Environmental Research
- 1330 Letters, 15, 84009, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab79e5, 2020.
- 1331 Villarreal, S. and Vargas, R.: Representativeness of FLUXNET Sites Across Latin America, Journal
- 1332 of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 126, e2020JG006090,
- 1333 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG006090, 2021.
- 1334 Walker, A. P., De Kauwe, M. G., Bastos, A., Belmecheri, S., Georgiou, K., Keeling, R. F.,
- 1335 McMahon, S. M., Medlyn, B. E., Moore, D. J. P., Norby, R. J., Zaehle, S., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J.,
- 1336 Battipaglia, G., Brienen, R. J. W., Cabugao, K. G., Cailleret, M., Campbell, E., Canadell, J. G., Ciais,
- 1337 P., Craig, M. E., Ellsworth, D. S., Farquhar, G. D., Fatichi, S., Fisher, J. B., Frank, D. C., Graven, H.,
- Gu, L., Haverd, V., Heilman, K., Heimann, M., Hungate, B. A., Iversen, C. M., Joos, F., Jiang, M., 1338
- Keenan, T. F., Knauer, J., Körner, C., Leshyk, V. O., Leuzinger, S., Liu, Y., MacBean, N., Malhi, Y., 1339
- 1340 McVicar, T. R., Penuelas, J., Pongratz, J., Powell, A. S., Riutta, T., Sabot, M. E. B., Schleucher, J., Sitch, S., Smith, W. K., Sulman, B., Taylor, B., Terrer, C., Torn, M. S., Treseder, K. K., Trugman, A.
- 1341 1342
- T., Trumbore, S. E., van Mantgem, P. J., Voelker, S. L., Whelan, M. E., and Zuidema, P. A.:
- 1343 Integrating the evidence for a terrestrial carbon sink caused by increasing atmospheric CO2, New
- 1344 Phytologist, 229, 2413–2445, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16866, 2021.
- 1345 Walther, S., Besnard, S., Nelson, J. A., El-Madany, T. S., Migliavacca, M., Weber, U., Carvalhais, N.,
- 1346 Ermida, S. L., Brümmer, C., Schrader, F., Prokushkin, A. S., Panov, A. V., and Jung, M.: Technical
- 1347 note: A view from space on global flux towers by MODIS and Landsat: the FluxnetEO data set,
- 1348 Biogeosciences, 19, 2805–2840, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-2805-2022, 2022.
- 1349 Wan, Z., Hook, S., and Hulley, G.: MOD11A1 MODIS/Terra Land Surface
- 1350 Temperature/Emissivity Daily L3 Global 1km SIN Grid V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land
- Processes DAAC, https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD11A1.006, 2015a. 1351
- Wan, Z., Hook, S., and Hulley, G.: MYD11A1 MODIS/Aqua Land Surface 1352
- 1353 Temperature/Emissivity Daily L3 Global 1km SIN Grid V006 [Data set], NASA EOSDIS Land
- 1354 Processes DAAC, https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MYD11A1.006, 2015b.
- 1355 Wang, H., Prentice, I. C., Keenan, T. F., Davis, T. W., Wright, I. J., Cornwell, W. K., Evans, B. J.,
- 1356 and Peng, C.: Towards a universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants, Nature Plants, 3, 734-1357 741, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0006-8, 2017.
- 1358 Warm Winter 2020 Team: Warm Winter 2020 ecosystem eddy covariance flux product for 73
- 1359 stations in FLUXNET-Archive format—release 2022-1 (Version 1.0),
- 1360 https://doi.org/10.18160/2G60-ZHAK, 2022.
- 1361 Wenzel, S., Cox, P. M., Eyring, V., and Friedlingstein, P.: Projected land photosynthesis constrained
- 1362 by changes in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric CO2, Nature, 538, 499–501,
- 1363 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19772, 2016.
- 1364 Xiao, J., Zhuang, Q., Baldocchi, D. D., Law, B. E., Richardson, A. D., Chen, J., Oren, R., Starr, G.,
- 1365 Noormets, A., Ma, S., Verma, S. B., Wharton, S., Wofsy, S. C., Bolstad, P. V., Burns, S. P., Cook, D.
- 1366 R., Curtis, P. S., Drake, B. G., Falk, M., Fischer, M. L., Foster, D. R., Gu, L., Hadley, J. L., Hollinger,
- 1367 D. Y., Katul, G. G., Litvak, M., Martin, T. A., Matamala, R., McNulty, S., Meyers, T. P., Monson, R.
- K., Munger, J. W., Oechel, W. C., Paw U, K. T., Schmid, H. P., Scott, R. L., Sun, G., Suyker, A. E., 1368

- 1369 and Torn, M. S.: Estimation of net ecosystem carbon exchange for the conterminous United States
- 1370 by combining MODIS and AmeriFlux data, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 148, 1827–1847,
- 1371 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.06.015, 2008.
- 1372 Xie, X., Chen, J. M., Yuan, W., Guan, X., Jin, H., and Leng, J.: A Practical Algorithm for Correcting 1373 Topographical Effects on Global GPP Products, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences,
- 1374 128, e2023]G007553, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023]G007553, 2023.
- 1375 Xie, Y., Gibbs, H. K., and Lark, T. J.: Landsat-based Irrigation Dataset (LANID): 30m resolution
- 1376 maps of irrigation distribution, frequency, and change for the US, 1997–2017, Earth System Science
- 1377 Data, 13, 5689–5710, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-5689-2021, 2021.
- 1378 Yan, K., Park, T., Yan, G., Chen, C., Yang, B., Liu, Z., Nemani, R. R., Knyazikhin, Y., and Myneni,
- 1379 R. B.: Evaluation of MODIS LAI/FPAR product collection 6. Part 1: Consistency and
- 1380 improvements, Remote Sensing, 8, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050359, 2016a.
- 1381 Yan, K., Park, T., Yan, G., Liu, Z., Yang, B., Chen, C., Nemani, R. R., Knyazikhin, Y., and Myneni,
- 1382 R. B.: Evaluation of MODIS LAI/FPAR product collection 6. Part 2: Validation and
- 1383 intercomparison, Remote Sensing, 8, 460, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8060460, 2016b.
- 1384 Yang, F., Ichii, K., White, M. A., Hashimoto, H., Michaelis, A. R., Votava, P., Zhu, A. X., Huete, A.,
- 1385 Running, S. W., and Nemani, R. R.: Developing a continental-scale measure of gross primary
- 1386 production by combining MODIS and AmeriFlux data through Support Vector Machine approach,
- 1387 Remote Sensing of Environment, 110, 109–122, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.02.016, 2007.
- 1388 Yang, R., Wang, J., Zeng, N., Sitch, S., Tang, W., McGrath, M. J., Cai, Q., Liu, D., Lombardozzi, D.,
- 1389 Tian, H., Jain, A. K., and Han, P.: Divergent historical GPP trends among state-of-the-art multi-
- 1390 model simulations and satellite-based products, Earth System Dynamics, 13, 833–849,
- 1391 https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-833-2022, 2022.
- 1392 Yuan, H., Dai, Y., Xiao, Z., Ji, D., and Shangguan, W.: Reprocessing the MODIS Leaf Area Index
- products for land surface and climate modelling, Remote Sensing of Environment, 115, 1171–1187,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.01.001, 2011.
- 1395 Zeng, J., Matsunaga, T., Tan, Z.-H., Saigusa, N., Shirai, T., Tang, Y., Peng, S., and Fukuda, Y.:
- 1396 Global terrestrial carbon fluxes of 1999–2019 estimated by upscaling eddy covariance data with a
- 1397 random forest, Sci Data, 7, 313, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00653-5, 2020.
- 1398 Zeng, N., Zhao, F., Collatz, G. J., Kalnay, E., Salawitch, R. J., West, T. O., and Guanter, L.:
- 1399 Agricultural Green Revolution as a driver of increasing atmospheric CO2 seasonal amplitude,
- 1400 Nature, 515, 394–397, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13893, 2014.
- 1401 Zhan, C., Orth, R., Migliavacca, M., Zaehle, S., Reichstein, M., Engel, J., Rammig, A., and Winkler,
- 1402 A. J.: Emergence of the physiological effects of elevated CO2 on land–atmosphere exchange of
- 1403 carbon and water, Global Change Biology, 28, 7313–7326, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16397,
- 1404 2022.

- 1405 Zhang, Y.: A global spatially contiguous solar-induced fluorescence (CSIF) dataset using neural
- 1406 networks (2000-2020), National Tibetan Plateau Data Center,
- 1407 https://doi.org/10.11888/Ecolo.tpdc.271751., 2021.
- 1408 Zhang, Y., Joiner, J., Hamed Alemohammad, S., Zhou, S., and Gentine, P.: A global spatially
- contiguous solar-induced fluorescence (CSIF) dataset using neural networks, Biogeosciences, 15,
   5779–5800, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5779-2018, 2018.
- 1411 Zheng, Y., Shen, R., Wang, Y., Li, X., Liu, S., Liang, S., Chen, J. M., Ju, W., Zhang, L., and Yuan,
- 1412 W.: Improved estimate of global gross primary production for reproducing its long-Term variation,
- 1413 1982-2017, Earth System Science Data, 12, 2725–2746, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2725-
- 1414 2020, 2020.
- 1415 Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R. B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Sitch, S.,
- 1416 Friedlingstein, P., Arneth, A., Cao, C., Cheng, L., Kato, E., Koven, C., Li, Y., Lian, X., Liu, Y., Liu,
- 1417 R., Mao, J., Pan, Y., Peng, S., Peuelas, J., Poulter, B., Pugh, T. A. M., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N.,
- 1418 Wang, X., Wang, Y., Xiao, Z., Yang, H., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Greening of the Earth and its
- 1419 drivers, Nature Climate Change, 6, 791–795, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3004, 2016.