



# Lagoon hydrodynamics of pearl farming islands: the case of Gambier (French Polynesia)

Oriane Bruyère<sup>1</sup>, Romain Le Gendre<sup>2</sup>, Vetea Liao<sup>3</sup>, Serge Andréfouët<sup>1,4</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>IRD, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE (IRD, Univ. La Réunion, IFREMER, Univ. Nouvelle-Calédonie, CNRS),  
BPA5, 98948 Nouméa, New Caledonia

<sup>2</sup>Ifremer, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE (IRD, Univ. Réunion, IFREMER, Univ. Nouvelle-Calédonie,  
CNRS), BP 32078, 98897 Nouméa CEDEX, New Caledonia

10 <sup>3</sup>Direction des Ressources Marines, BP 20, 98713 Papeete, French Polynesia

<sup>4</sup>IRD, UMR-9220 ENTROPIE (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Université de la Réunion,  
IFREMER, CNRS, Université de la Nouvelle-Calédonie), BP 49, 98725 Vairao, Tahiti, French Polynesia

15 *Correspondence to:* Serge Andréfouët (serge.andrefouet@ird.fr)

## Abstract.

Between 2019 and 2020, the Gambier lagoon was instrumented over a period of 9 months with a large  
array of autonomous oceanographic instruments measuring temperature, pressure and current. Two  
20 deployments were conducted, respectively from June 2019 to October 2019 (Leg1) and from late October  
2019 to late February 2020 (Leg2). A total of sixteen instrumented locations were spread across the lagoon  
and on the forereef. Physical parameters were measured to characterize the wave climate, tide and surges,  
lagoonal circulation, and spatial and vertical temperature variabilities. Those observations were part of  
the ANR-funded MANA project (2017-2022) and its derivatives that aimed to improve knowledge of  
25 processes influencing the spat collection of *Pinctada margaritifera* oysters that are used for black pearl  
farming and production. This data set was a prerequisite for the development of a high resolution  
biophysical model on Gambier lagoon which aims at tackling the connectivity oyster larvae. The sampling  
strategy focused on the northern region of Gambier lagoon and especially on the sub-lagoon of Rikitea  
which is a prime spat collection site. The data set was post processed, quality controlled and is archived  
30 in a dedicated repository with a permanent DOI into the SEANOE marine data platform.

## 1 Introduction

Black pearl farming is the second major economic income for French Polynesia representing about  
40M€ of international sales. The sector employed 1300 workers on 28 atolls and islands as in 2021 and  
contributes to stabilize populations in remote islands especially in the Tuamotu and Gambier



35 archipelagoes. The Gambier Islands, are a series of small volcanic islands within a single large lagoon  
representing 25% of French Polynesia black pearl production in 2020 (André et al., 2022, Bruyère et al.,  
2023a). This site has been however rather overlooked in term of scientific investigations aiming to  
support pearl farming management. Despite its good pearl production performances, Gambier also  
suffers from problems, such as lagoonal space limitation (André et al., 2022) and more critically a  
40 recent decrease in spat collection rates (Bruyère et al., 2023a). Better understanding of spat collection  
require the characterization of the oyster stocks (Bionaz et al., 2022), oyster life cycle (Le Moullac et  
al., 2012), and a good knowledge of hydrodynamic functioning of lagoons in relation to forcing factors  
such as tides, wind or waves. Several pearl farming Tuamotu atoll lagoons have been instrumented in  
the past to study their hydrodynamics (Dumas et al., 2012; Andréfouët et al. 2023a; Bruyère et al.,  
45 2023b) and Gambier was added to the list starting in late 2019 as the first high volcanic islands  
investigated with an array of physical oceanography instruments (Bruyère et al., 2023a). The sampling  
strategy is different than the general strategy applied to atolls as the focus of the investigation on  
Gambier was on a spat-producing sub-lagoon (Bruyère et al., 2023a) and because the *hoa* (vernacular  
name for the shallow passages transversal to an atoll rim) were not instrumented here unlike for atolls.  
50 As such, this paper presents the hydrodynamic data recorded in Gambier lagoons during a 9-month  
deployment period (June 2019-February 2020). Similar data collected on atolls are presented elsewhere  
(Bruyère et al., 2023b).


## 2 Study Site

55 Gambier Islands (23°07' S – 134° 58' W) are a group of seven high islands sharing the same large deep  
lagoon, situated 1645 km South-Eastward from Tahiti Island. It is part of the administrative Gambier  
archipelago (Figure 1) and counts 1 592 inhabitants in 2017. The Gambier lagoon is very open to the  
ocean, being surrounded by a barrier reef that is submerged on the southern and western part, and by an  
intertidal and emerged barrier reef in the north and east sides (Figure 2). The north side is cut in some  
60 sections by several *hoa*, allowing connections between the lagoon and the deep ocean.

Accurate bathymetric data at high spatial resolution are needed to model the Gambier lagoon  
hydrodynamic with realism. The French Hydrographic and Oceanographic Service (SHOM) previously  
collected point bathymetric soundings at high density but not everywhere in the lagoon. To fill the gaps,  
65 the *Direction des Ressources Marines* (DRM) of French Polynesia has funded in 2020 a multi-beam  
bathymetric survey wherever a small vessel could navigate. To fill the last gaps remaining in the  
northern shallow lagoon and south-western shallow reef flats, all available *in situ* soundings trained a  
satellite-derived bathymetry computed from a 10-meter spatial resolution Sentinel-2 MSI MultiSpectral  
Instrument imagery following the method described by Amrari et al. (2021). For the hydrodynamic  
70 model, a final bathymetric grid at 100m resolution was produced by merging the *in situ* and satellite-  
derived bathymetry data and resampling it at 100m resolution (Figure 2). This model resolution was  
deemed suitable for our purpose following our experience in atoll model development, but it is also  
confirmed by recent sensitivity analyses study in other coastal environments (Ward et al., 2023).




75 Previous bathymetric work using Landsat MSS noisy data at 80m spatial resolution was described by Pirrazoli et al. (1984) but data are not available and the results could not be evaluated or used.



80 **Figure 1: Map of French Polynesia archipelagos and zoom of Gambier Islands location. Background satellite image from Sentinel-2 mission, European Space Agency (ESA).**

85 The Gambier lagoon has a surface area of approx. 500 km<sup>2</sup>. Mean and maximum are 24.5m and 71m deep respectively. The lagoon is geomorphologically complex with several deep basins, deep reticulated structures, patch reefs at various depths, and shallow sills and reef flats. Of interest is the Rikitea sub-lagoon that faces on its west side the Rikitea Village of Mangareva (main inhabited island). It is bordered on the south by Aukena Island and a shallow reef flat, and on the north side by several large pinnacles separated by deep channels (Figure 2, insert). Finally, its eastern part is bounded by the semi-continuous emerged barrier reef. A deep basin reaching 70m depth is present in the central region of Rikitea lagoon. This sub-lagoon is a priority study site because it is the main spat collecting site for local pearl farmers.

90



95

Figure 2: Bathymetry map of Gambier lagoon at 100m resolution. The inset shows the Rikitea sub-lagoon, a key spat collection location for local pearl farmers.

## 2.1 Meteorological conditions


Meteorological conditions are recorded by Météo France weather station which is set on the southeast of Mangareva Island at 91 altitude meters. It measured wind speeds and directions at hourly time steps.

100



105

However, the station can be influenced by local orographic effects (Laurent and Maamaatuaiahutapu, 2019). For this reason, we decided to use reanalysis data from ERA5 model (Hersbach et al.; 2020)(Figure 3), data were extracted in a single location inside the lagoon (23°09'11.6"S - 134°57'31.4"W) (Figure 4).



**Figure 3: Wind speeds (black line) and directions (polar legend) from ERA5 reanalysis were extracted inside Gambier lagoon during Leg 1 and Leg 2 deployments. Wind directions follow meteorological convention.**

110

Wind conditions observed during Leg 1 are dominated by strong and short periods of SW winds probably related to wave events. Conversely, Leg 2 is dominated by trade wind (E to SE winds) with several SE winds events above  $10 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ .

### 3 Sampling Strategy

115 The sampling strategy mostly focused on the northern part of the Gambier lagoon, and especially the Rikitea lagoon (Figure 4). The sampling aimed to characterize the inward-outward flows from the three




120 boundary sectors, in the north, south-east and south orientations (Bruyère et al., 2023a). Beyond the  
Rikitea lagoon, the sampling also targeted the different sections of the barrier reef with a different  
exposition in order to characterize the incoming waves. Eventually, sixteen locations (or stations) were  
equipped with at least one oceanographic instrument measuring temperature (SBE56),  
125 temperature/pressure (RBRduet T.D), currents direction and speed (ADCP, Aquadopp and Marotte HS).  
Instruments were moored between June 2019 and February 2020.

125 Observations were separated into two distinct legs (Leg1 from June 2019 to October 2019 and Leg2  
from October 2019 to February 2020). At the beginning of Leg 1, the sampling strategy included:

- 130 • To measure the vertical temperature variability and potential stratification, four lagoon stations  
(L01, L02, L03, L04) are coupled with three instruments (two SBE56 and one RBRduet T.D): the  
SBE56 were moored at approximately 20m and 2m depth and the RBRduet T.D at mid-depth (7-  
8m). The spatial replication in four stations allowed to measure the lagoon heterogeneity.
- To measure the incident wave parameters, five RBRduet T.D were positioned (Significant wave  
height, Mean wave period  $\sigma_1$  and Peak frequency), water elevation, surges and temperature in 5  
135 locations (O01 to O05) on outer forereef sections each with a different orientation/exposure.  
Instruments were moored in about 10 meters of water.
- To monitor current speeds and directions, three low-cost Marotte HS loggers were deployed in P01  
140 to P03 on shallow reef flats. P02 and P03 monitored water entries into and from the Rikitea lagoon  
through Mangareva and Aukena reef flat passage (P02), and in the north of Aukena Island (P03).

140 All instruments were retrieved at the end of Leg 1 in late October 2019, allowing to download data,  
changes batteries, clean probes and check mooring component. At the beginning of Leg2, this initial  
set-up was enlarged with six current profilers (4 ADCPs and 2 Aquadopp) to measure current speeds  
and directions in strategic locations, namely the three open sides of the Rikitea sub-lagoon (ADCP\_1 in  
the North, Aqua1 in the south and Aqua 2 in the east), the western deep pass (ADCP\_3), the south  
145 channel (ADCP\_4) and a location of the western lagoon close to farming sites (ADCP\_2). The two  
Aquadopp were coupled with Marotte HS loggers previously moored in P02 and P03 shallow stations to  
compare the agreement between records from different sensor types and to provide more robust  
observations with profiles of the water column.

150 A detailed list of moored instruments is presented in Table 1 detailing instruments model, geographic  
positions, depth of deployment, sampling frequencies, dates of measurement and measured physical  
parameters.



155 **Figure 4: Sampling strategy deployed during Gambier surveys. ADCP: Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler. Background map from the Millennium Coral Reef Mapping Project (Andréfouët and Bionaz, 2021). The red star represents the point for which ERA5 reanalysis model data are extracted. .**

**Table 1: Detailed list of instruments moored into Gambier lagoon.**

Station	Instrument	Raw parameters	Longitude (W)	Latitude (S)	Date Start	Date End	Freq	Depth (m)	Processed parameters	Legs
GAMBIER ISLANDS										
O05	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	134.87201	23.09379	15/06/2019	24/02/2020	1 Hz	9.4	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
O04	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	134.95241	23.0013	15/06/2019	24/02/2020	1 Hz	11	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
O03	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	134.87282	23.2208	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	1 Hz	12.6	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2



O02	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	135.00941	23.23259	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	1 Hz	13	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
O01	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	135.01859	23.07563	15/06/2019	24/02/2020	1 Hz	12.2	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
L01	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	134.93874	23.11633	15/06/2019	26/02/2020	1 Hz	7.4	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
L01	SBE56	Temperature	134.93892	23.1164	15/06/2019	26/02/2020	10 min	3	Temperature	1,2
L01	SBE56	Temperature	134.93819	23.11623	15/06/2019	26/02/2020	10 min	21	Temperature	1,2
L02	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	134.91771	23.15682	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	1 Hz	7	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
L02	SBE56	Temperature	134.91864	23.15641	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	10 min	4	Temperature	1,2
L02	SBE56	Temperature	134.91739	23.15676	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	10 min	21	Temperature	1,2
L03	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	135.0009	23.10086	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	1 Hz	8.3	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
L03	SBE56	Temperature	135.00069	23.10083	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	10 min	3	Temperature	1,2
L03	SBE56	Temperature	135.00121	23.10088	15/06/2019	23/02/2020	10 min	23	Temperature	1,2
L04	RBRduet T.D	Temperature – pressure	134.95927	23.05208	15/06/2019	24/02/2020	1 Hz	7.8	Temperature – wave height & period – water level	1,2
L04	SBE56	Temperature	134.95937	23.05204	15/06/2019	24/02/2020	10 min	3	Temperature	1,2
L04	SBE56	Temperature	134.95912	23.052	15/06/2019	24/02/2020	10 min	22	Temperature	1,2
P01	Marotte HS	Currents - Temperature	135.01491	23.07758	08/06/2019	12/10/2019	1 min	5	Temperature – current speed & direction	1,2
P02	Marotte HS	Currents - Temperature	134.95062	23.13042	10/06/2019	26/02/2020	1 min	2	Temperature – current speed & direction	1,2
P03	Marotte HS	Current - Temperature	134.88107	23.10799	10/06/2019	24/02/2020	1 min	3	Temperature – current speed & direction	1,2
ADCP_1	ADCP Sentinel V50	Current – pressure - temperature	134.92593	23.14531	30/10/2019	19/12/2019	20 min	35	Temperature – current speed & direction – water level	1
ADCP_2	ADCP Sentinel V20	Current – pressure - temperature	135.01524	23.09875	30/10/2019	24/02/2020	10 min	19	Temperature – current speed & direction – water level	1
ADCP_3	ADCP Sentinel V20	Current – pressure - temperature	135.02245	23.12469	30/10/2019	23/02/2020	10 min	16.6	Temperature – current speed & direction – water level	1
ADCP_4	ADCP Sentinel V50	Current – pressure - temperature	134.92017	23.07273	30/10/2019	24/02/2020	20 min	31.4	Temperature – current speed & direction – water level	1
Aqua1 (~P02)	Aquadopp Nortek	Current - pressure	134.9503	23.13006	31/10/2019	26/02/2020	10 min	8.6	Current speed & direction – water level	1



Aqua2 (~P03)	Aquadopp Nortek	Current - pressure	134.88107	23.108	31/10/2019	24/02/2020	10 min	3.6	Current speed & direction – water level	1
--------------	-----------------	--------------------	-----------	--------	------------	------------	--------	-----	---	---

#### 4 Instruments, Data processing and Quality control

160 Hereafter, we present the five autonomous coastal oceanographic instruments measuring currents,  
 temperature and pressure used for Gambier campaigns. Instruments were moored by SCUBA on the sea  
 floor and secured on dedicated structure ensuring the data logger stability. Compact loggers were placed  
 inside PVC cylinders and current profilers were protected with electrical tape to ease the removal of  
 biological fouling. The settings were a compromise between measurements range and accuracy in the  
 165 deployment environment.

Raw data were downloaded using manufacturer’s software, and processed with Python routines in order  
 to generate NetCDF files. Global Attributes in NetCDF files provide details about the station (depth,  
 geospatial coordinate), instrument settings (sampling frequency, serial number), contacts and project  
 170 references as well as any necessary additional comments useful for data users. However, specific  
 processing steps required for some data sets are presented hereafter.

##### 4.1 RBRduet T.D

RBRduet T.D sensor (RBR Ltd) is a compact logger ideal for long term deployments and providing  
 175 measurements of temperature and pressure at high frequency. This instrument was moored on external  
 reef slopes or inside the lagoon. Five loggers were anchored between 9 and 13m depth in five distinct  
 sides of Gambier Islands (north east, north, north-west, south-west and south-east) to measure the  
 incident waves reaching the reef crest. Post processing provides Significant Wave Height, Mean Wave  
 Period, and Peak Frequency. Inside the lagoon, four RBRduet T.D were moored around 7-8m to  
 180 measure the water level and to deduce surge signal. For each station, data logger was set-up to measure  
 at 1Hz interval.

Pressure data were corrected from a constant atmospheric pressure value set to 101 325 bar, then burst  
 data were filtered using the Fourier analysis to get a pressure spectra with a frequency period evaluated  
 between 3 and 25s. The sea surface elevation spectra were processed using the linear theory and the  
 185 corrected pressure data to retrieve the waves parameters. Two processed files are generated, one file at  
 hourly time step including wave parameters, water level and temperature and another at 1-minute  
 frequency with water level and temperature.

##### 4.2 SBE56

High accuracy ( $\pm 0.002$  °C) temperature data were recorded with SBE56 sensors designed by  
 190 SEABIRD Electronics Inc. Instruments. These sensors were placed in each lagoon station at approx. 2m  
 and 20m depth in order to detect vertical temperature stratification. The start time and configuration of



all instruments were identical. The measurement interval was set to record every 1-minute. Raw data did not need any processing stage and were directly converted into NetCDF file.

### 4.3 Current Profilers

- 195 ADCPs used during the Leg2 are of two types: ADCP Sentinel V from Teledyne RD Instruments Inc. (TRD-I) and Nortek Aquadopp current profiler with pressure sensor. Both instruments were bottom mounted and faced upward.
- 200 • Regarding ADCPs, the two working frequency models Sentinel V50 (500kHz) and Sentinel V20 (1000kHz) were used to measure velocity along the water column, and temperature and pressure at the sensor in its transducer head. ADCPs were set to measure in burst time: the V20 models measured burst each 10 minutes with 40 pings per ensemble. Conversely, the V50 models recorded every 20 minutes with 180 pings per burst. For both models, cell size was fixed to 1m resolution. The ADCPs V20 were moored at 17 and 19m depth and the V50s were fixed deeper, at 31 and 35m deep.
  - 205 • Aquadopp current profilers (2MHz version) measured three-component (east, north, up) of current velocity data in shallow areas. The instrument settings were set to measure at 600s burst interval (10min), each burst made of 3 pings. It resolved the entire water column with a cell size of 50cm. The ENU coordinate system was systematically used. Instruments were anchored at 3.6 and 8.6m depth.
  - 210

For the current profilers, the near sea-surface cells contaminated by the acoustic sidelobe reflections were removed. Valid bins were then used to retrieve currents magnitude and direction calculated using the zonal ( $u$ ) and meridional ( $v$ ) components. Pressure data were converted into depth by subtracting the depth-averaged value across the entire time series to pressure. No barometric correction was applied to depth. Processed profiles data were eventually converted into NetCDF files.

215

### 4.4 Marotte HS

The Marotte HS drag-tilt current meters manufactured by Marine Geophysics Laboratory of James Cook University allow to measure temperature and  $uv$  components at the level of the instrument within a range of adequate conditions. Each Marotte HS used in Gambier were moored on a bottom structure and set to sample at 1 minute interval. With Python routines, vectors data ( $u, v$ ) are converted in Clockwise from North convention to be consistent with oceanographic convention, then speed and direction are deduced. Note that the Marotte HS moored in P01 was lost during Leg 1.

220

### 4.5 Quality control

225 The quality control procedure consists of visually checking each time series converted in NetCDF files with Python and Ferret and remove all remaining out of water data, or flag anomalies (such as out of range data or spikes). With this step, the final correct date coverage is determined and reported in the Global attributes of each processed files.



## 5 Hydrodynamic overviews


230 This section briefly presents the hydrodynamic features of Gambier lagoons. Measurements have provided water level variations in the ocean and in the lagoon, incident waves on forereefs, spatial and vertical temperature variations, and finally the depth-averaged current at a number of strategic locations. Data shown here are complementary to those shown in Bruyère et al. (2023a) which were more specific to the study of the Rikitea sub-lagoon.

235

### 5.1 Tidal analysis

Amplitude of tidal constituents are presented in Table 2. Tidal analysis on Leg1 was made with the 't\_tide' python package. The sum of the six main harmonic constituent reaches 48cm in oceanic station (O01). For O01 station, 57% of water elevation is related to the semi-diurnal M2 harmonic amplitude which represents 27.7cm. Water level oscillations in ocean and lagoon are similar. (Figure 5). Compared to previous works on Tuamotu atolls (Dumas et al., 2012; Andréfouët et al., in review) where lagoon tide signal is highly attenuated, only few centimeters difference in amplitude occur in Gambier lagoon. It was less than 1cm between O01 and L01 stations but more than 2.6cm between O05 and L03) (Table 2). Those results confirm the degree of openness of Gambier lagoon to the ocean, and explain the tidal driven circulation inside lagoon.

245



250 Figure 5: Tide signals recorded with RBRduet T.D on forereef (station O01) and inside lagoon (station L01) during Leg1.



255


**Table 2: Comparison of principal harmonic amplitude (cm) measured during Leg1 between oceanic station (O01, O02, O03, O04, O05) and lagoonal stations (L01, L03, L02, L01).**

Harmonic	Oceanic Stations					Lagoonal Stations			
	O01	O02	O03	O04	O05	L01	L02	L03	L04
M2	27.7	24.98	27.84	28.66	29.98	26.77	26.79	27.34	27.35
S2	8.0	8.47	8.61	8.29	8.51	8.52	9.09	8.29	8.44
N2	7.84	6.64	7.41	7.83	7.93	7.23	7.12	7.63	7.54
K1	2.96	2.67	2.53	2.78	2.64	2.59	2.23	2.87	2.75
O1	1.28	1.83	1.59	1.28	1.39	1.70	1.79	1.45	1.55
Q1	0.35	0.50	0.37	0.27	0.26	0.45	0.49	0.38	0.42
Total	48.13	45.09	48.35	49.11	50.71	47.26	47.51	47.96	48.05


## 5.2 Wave parameters

- 260 Waves around Gambier were recorded on the five forereef equipped with the RBRduet T.D sensors. Leg1 deployment occurred during austral winter season (June to October) where distant swells generated by southern weather events are dominant. The measurements confirmed the importance of these southern swells (Figure 6A). The station O03 located on the south-eastern side of Gambier Islands recorded several events with wave heights >3m. Conversely, the significant wave heights measured on
- 265 the northern station O04 averaged at only ~1m excepted during few events at ~2m (i.e., July 2019). Only the two southern stations (O02 and O03) captured waves above 3m height (Figure 6B). The north-west side is the calmest area due to its protection from E-SE trade winds, with wave height under 1m in 73% of the time. For O03 station this class of height (0-1m) represented only 6.6% of the records.
- 270 Stations O04 (North) and O05 (North-east) recorded in ~80% of the time peak wave periods (Tp) under 11s. These stations are dominated by wind waves generated by E-NE trade winds. In contrary, O01, O02, O03 measured higher Tp which means that wave regimes are rather dominated by distant swell (Figure 6C).
- 275 Wave measurements during the Leg2 deployment in Austral summer are quite contrasted with Leg 1 (Figure 7A), in particular the wave heights measured on the south sides of the island (O02 or O03) reached only once 3m height (Figure 7B). Wave heights between 1-2m were dominant (> 50% of waves) on the southern stations (O02 and O03) and on the eastern station (O05). While, peak period broadly matched the winter season (Figure 7C).

280



285 Figure 6: (A) Time series of Significant Wave Height (Hsig) recorded at one hour time step for O03 (South-East side) and O04 (North side) stations during Leg1. (B) Percentage of occurrence (%) of wave height class between 0 and 6m height, measured on the five oceanic stations from 16<sup>th</sup> June to 1<sup>st</sup> October 2019. (C) Percentage of occurrence of Peak Period class between 6 to 22s.




290

Figure 7: (A) Time series of Significant Wave Height (Hsig) recorded at one hour time step for O03 (South-East side) and O04 (North side) stations during Leg2. (B) Number of occurrences (%) depending on wave height class (between 0 and 6m height) measured on the five oceanic stations over the period from 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2019 to 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2020. (C) Number of waves (%) according to Peak Period class (6 to 22s).



295 Based on Figure 8, wave events recorded during Leg1 on the southeast forereef station (O03) are also observed inside the lagoon (L02 station), but with a reduction in wave amplitude likely due to wave energy dissipation on the subtidal reef flats in the south (bottom friction), and the wave refractions on islands and very shallow or emerged reefs. The lagoon surge amplitude (L02) reacts in the same manner than surge measured in oceanic station (O03). This weak difference shows that the water levels are homogenous between lagoon and ocean.



300


Figure 8: Time series of Significant Wave Height measured in O03 and in lagoonal station L02 during Leg1 (upper panel). Surge (m) determined using Demerliac filter in O03 and L02 stations (lower panel).

### 305 5.3 Temperature

310 Temperature in Gambier lagoon followed as expected a seasonal evolution, with lower temperatures in July (around 22°C) and a maximum reaching 28°C in February (Figure 9). During the austral winter season, lagoonal temperatures are lower than ocean by approximately 1°C. From late August to October, temperatures are similar and start to deviate from each other in November. Ocean is then cooler than the lagoon in summer period. During Leg 1, in austral winter, temperature appeared fairly stable, and rather cool, with values restricted between 22 and 24°C. Between June and August, three synchronous (all stations) temperature drops are observed on the entire water column (bottom and



315 surface) with temperature decreasing by 1 to 2°C depending on the location. Return to previous values was more or less rapid depending also on the stations, with surface waters warming up faster than deep areas. This phenomenon can be primarily related to intense wind events (Figure 3) or wave events (Figure 6-7).



320 **Figure 9: Time series of daily lagoon's temperature measured with SBE56 data loggers at surface and bottom depths (respectively approx. 3m and 20m) along Leg1 and Leg2 deployments. Oceanic temperature measured at O02 station is shown on top panel in red colour. Positive or negative temperature differences between surface and deeper areas are respectively highlighted in blue and black.**




325 The overall temperature dynamic during Leg2 shows an increasing trend starting November 2019 until February, and a delta  $>5^{\circ}\text{C}$  is observed across the period. Similar to Leg1, there are however several temperatures drop events synchronous for all stations, in particular in December 2019. The drop is recorded by all lagoon stations, from  $2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for L01, L02 and L03 to  $2.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  in L04 in 6 days, and can be assigned to periods of high southeast winds.

#### 5.4 Currents and lagoonal circulation

330 Depth-averaged water circulation observed in Gambier lagoon shows that currents are dominated first by tide, and modulated by wind orientations and intensity. The ADCP\_3 for instance recorded a depth-averaged symmetric direction-oriented NW-SE related to the natural alignment of the channel formed between Mangareva and Taravai Islands and the regular effects of tide (Figure 10). The same directional symmetry occurs in ADCP\_1 (Figure 10).

335 Rikitea lagoon is influenced by water movements on its three sides. While in and outflows at ADCP\_4 are balanced and seem primarily dominated by tide, inflows are more dominant in Aqua\_2, due to the effects of both tide and southeast wind (Figure 3). Conversely, outflows are more persistent in Aqua\_1 station, a feature that can be interpreted as the outflow compensating the inflows elsewhere. The Rikitea sub-lagoon circulation has been specifically studied in Bruyère et al. (2023a).


340



345 **Figure 10: Map of depth-averaged current speeds (color legend in m/s) and direction recorded by current profilers (ADCP and Aquadopp) during the Leg2 deployment. Number of direction occurrences (%) are scaled according to the circles situated on the top right. Oceanographic convention is applied on current directions.**

Depth-averaged current speeds and directions measured from current profilers are presented in Figure 11. Measurements were restricted between 1<sup>st</sup> December 2019 to 12<sup>th</sup> December 2019, to highlight the influence of wind intensity and direction on currents. Indeed, a strong trade wind event (SE direction and approx. 12 m/s) is observed between 7<sup>th</sup> December and 14<sup>th</sup> December (Figure 3). This event has been recorded by current profilers, Aqua2 is influenced by wind intensity and direction. In contrast, current directions observed in stations ADCP\_1, ADCP\_3 and ADCP\_4 follow tide cycles but a small increase in speed is remarkable.

350



355

**Figure 11: Time series of depth-averaged current speeds (black line) and directions (polar legend) from ADCPs and Aquadopps instruments moored during Leg 2. Directions follow the oceanographic convention (direction where the current is going).**



## 6 Data availability

360 Data set is made publicly available through SEANOE open data publisher (<https://www.seanoe.org/>, Seanoe, 2023) in a dedicated repository. The registered database link to the following DOI <https://doi.org/10.17882/94148>, Andréfouët et al. (2023b).

## 7 Conclusion

365 The data presented in this paper provides a continuous times series of oceanographic data (currents, temperature, water levels and waves parameters) recorded from June 2019 to February 2020 in Gambier Islands lagoon. This data set represents a first physical oceanography observatory for this site. Data were suitable for model validation as shown in Bruyère et al. (2023a). Those measurements offer the possibility to study the hydrodynamic features in relation to pearl farming activities, including spat collection (Bruyère et al., 2023a). For future work, *in situ* measurements could be extended to the  
370 southern part of the Gambier lagoon as well as a specific investigation of the lagoon circulation during the winter season when swell events with high (>3m) wave height occur. Furthermore, the data set presented here can also be extremely useful for other investigations, beyond pearl farming. Namely, physical oceanography data can be helpful to understand the variability in occurrences of ciguatera fish poisoning that has been a severe problem in Gambier lagoon (Chinain et al. 2016), biodiversity  
375 resilience and larval recruitment for coral and invertebrate species other than oysters, and effects of land-born pollutants.

## Author contributions

**Oriane Bruyère** : Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization, Investigation Data Curation. **Romain Le Gendre** : Investigation Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. **Vetea Liao** :  
380 Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing. **Serge Andréfouët** : Conceptualization, Visualization, Investigation Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Funding acquisition.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

385 The authors acknowledge the Direction des Ressources Marines (DRM) of French Polynesia for their financial support and for providing oceanographic instruments. The additional scientific staff that helped during the field operation described here include David Varillon, Bertrand Bourgeois, John Butscher, Manui Tanetoa and Teranui Ebb. We also thank the boat drivers and local population for their support and welcome.



## 390 **Financial support**

This study was funded by a grant ANR16CE320004 MANA (Management of Atolls project). For Takapoto Atoll surveys were also funded by the Direction des Ressources Marines (DRM) through grant 7518/VP/DRM to IRD. Instruments were provided by the Direction des Ressources Marines, OTI project, Contrat de Projet France French Polynesia, Program 123, Action 2, 2015–2020

## 395 **References**

Amrari, S., Bourassin, E., Andréfouët, S., Soulard, B., Lemonnier, H., and Le Gendre, R.: Shallow water bathymetry retrieval using a band-optimization iterative approach: application to New Caledonia coral reef lagoons using Sentinel-2 data, *Remote Sensing.*, 13, 4108, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13204108>, 2021.

400

André, L. V., Van Wynsberge, S., Chinain, M., Gatti, C. M. I., Liao, V., and Andréfouët, S.: Spatial Solutions and Their Impacts When Reshuffling Coastal Management Priorities in Small Islands with Limited Diversification Opportunities, *Sustainability.*, 14, 3871, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14073871>, 2022.

405

Andréfouët, S. and Bionaz, O.: Lessons from a global remote sensing mapping project. A review of the impact of the Millennium Coral Reef Mapping Project for science and management. *Science of The Total Environment.*, 776, 145987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145987>, 2021.

410

Andréfouët, S., Bruyère, O., Liao, V., and Le Gendre, R.: Hydrodynamical impact of the July 2022 ‘Code Red’ distant mega-swell on Apataki Atoll, Tuamotu Archipelago, *Global and Planetary Change.*, 228, 104194, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2023.104194>, 2023a.

415

Andréfouët, S., Bruyère, O., Liao, V., Le Gendre, R.: Lagoon hydrodynamics of pearl farming islands in French Polynesia : the case of Gambier Islands, SEANOE [data set], <https://doi.org/10.17882/94148>, 2023b.

420

Bionaz, O., Le Gendre, R., Liao, V., and Andréfouët, S.: Natural stocks of *Pinctada margaritifera* pearl oysters in Tuamotu and Gambier lagoons: New assessments, temporal evolutions, and consequences for the French Polynesia pearl farming industry, *Marine Pollution Bulletin.*, 183, 114055, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114055>, 2022.

425

Bruyère, O., Chauveau, M., Le Gendre, R., Liao, V., and Andréfouët, S.: Larval dispersal of pearl oysters *Pinctada margaritifera* in the Gambier Islands (French Polynesia) and exploring options for adult restocking using in situ data and numerical modelling, *Marine Pollution Bulletin.*, 192, 115059, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.115059>, 2023a.



- 430 Bruyère, O., Le Gendre, R., Chauveau, M., Bourgeois, B., Varillon, D., Butscher, J., Trophime, T.,  
Follin, Y., Aucan, J., Liao, V., and Andréfouët, S.: Lagoon hydrodynamics of pearl farming atolls: the  
case of Raroia, Takapoto, Apataki and Takaroa (French Polynesia), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.*  
[preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-198>, in review, 2023b.
- 435 Chinain, M., Darius, H. T., Gatti, C. M., and Roué, M.: Update on ciguatera research in French  
Polynesia, 10, 2016.
- Dumas, F., Le Gendre, R., Thomas, Y., and Andréfouët, S.: Tidal flushing and wind driven circulation  
of Ahe atoll lagoon (Tuamotu Archipelago, French Polynesia) from in situ observations and numerical  
modelling, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 65, 425–440, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.05.041>,  
2012.
- 440 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey,  
C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P.,  
Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R.,  
Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E.,  
445 Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., Rosnay, P., Rozum, I.,  
Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 146,  
1999–2049, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>, 2020.
- 450 Laurent, V., Maamaatuaiahutapu, K.: Atlas Climatologique de la Polynésie française. Météo France,  
Faaa-Tahiti, p. 242. 2019.
- Le Moullac, G., Tiapari, J., Teissier, H., Martinez, E., and Cochard, J.-C.: Growth and gonad  
development of the tropical black-lip pearl oyster, *Pinctada margaritifera* (L.), in the Gambier  
archipelago (French Polynesia), *Aquacult Int.*, 20, 305–315, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-011-9460-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-011-9460-x)  
455 [x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-011-9460-x), 2012.
- Pirazzoli, P. A.: Cartographie des hauts fonds par télédétection dans l’archipel des Gambier (Polynésie  
française), *Espace Géographique.*, 13, 277–284, <https://doi.org/10.3406/spgeo.1984.3937>, 1984.
- 460 Ward, S. L., Robins, P. E., Owen, A., Demmer, J., and Jenkins, S. R.: The importance of resolving  
nearshore currents in coastal dispersal models, *Ocean Modelling.*, 183, 102181,  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2023.102181>, 2023.