

PANABIO: A point-referenced pan-Arctic data collection of benthic biotas

Dieter Piepenburg^{1,2,3}, Thomas Brey^{1,2,4}, Katharina Teschke^{1,2}, Jennifer Dannheim^{1,2}, Paul Kloss¹, Miriam L. S. Hansen³, Casper Kraan^{1,5}

5 ¹Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, 27570, Germany

²Helmholtz Institute for Functional Marine Biodiversity at the University of Oldenburg, Oldenburg, 26129, Germany

³Christian Albrechts University of Kiel, Kiel 24118, Germany

⁴University Bremen, Bremen, 28359, Germany

⁵Thuenen Institute of Sea Fisheries, Bremerhaven 27572, Germany

10 *Correspondence to:* Dieter Piepenburg (Dieter.Piepenburg@awi.de)

Abstract. Profound environmental changes, such as drastic sea-ice decline, leave large-scale ecological footprints on the distribution and composition of marine biotas in the Arctic. Currently, the impact of such stressors is not sufficiently understood due to the lack of pan-Arctic data that allow for estimating ecological baselines, as well as modelling current and forecast potential changes in benthic biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Here, we introduce a PAN-Arctic data collection of benthic BIotas (PANABIO), and discuss its timeliness, potential, and details of its further development. The data collection contains individual datasets with records (of presence, counts, abundance or biomass) of benthic fauna, usually at genus-level or species-level, which were identified in field samples obtained at point-referenced locations (stations) by means of grabs, towed gear, or seabed imaging. The data cover the entire pan-Arctic realm, i.e., the central Arctic Ocean, Chukchi Sea, East Siberian Sea, Laptev Sea, Kara Sea, Barents Sea (incl. White Sea), Svalbard waters, Greenland Sea, Norwegian Sea, Canadian Archipelago, Beaufort Sea, and Bering Sea, as well as some adjacent sub-Arctic regions (Sea of Japan, Gulf of Okhotsk). Currently (as of 6 October 2023), PANABIO includes 28 datasets with a total of 126,817 records of 2,968 taxa collected from 11,575 samples taken at 11,561 stations during 1,093 cruises between 1800 and 2014. These numbers will increase with more data becoming available over time through contributions from PANABIO users. The data collection is available in a PostgreSQL-based data warehouse that can be accessed and queried through an open-access frontend web service at <https://critterbase.awi.de/panabio>. A snap shot of the current data collection, and the 28 individual datasets it contains, is also available from the data publisher PANGAEA [DOI link will be included as soon as it is available].

1 Introduction

Climate change progresses faster and stronger in the Arctic than elsewhere (Meredith et al., 2019; Constable et al., 2022). Therefore, Arctic marine organisms and ecosystems are particularly affected and potentially threatened at large scales by accelerating environmental change, such as ocean warming and acidification, sea-ice decline and increased riverine input (Kedra et al., 2015), albeit with distinct regional differences. Moreover, expanding human activities, such as exploration and exploitation of natural resources, ship traffic and tourism, add further pressures. Accordingly, there is an urgent need for a thorough and rapid assessment of how environmental changes may alter ecosystems and their functioning in polar latitudes (Degen et al., 2018). However, research on footprints and implications of climate change and direct anthropogenic impacts, such as range shifts, changes in abundance, declines in growth and condition, as well as community and regime shifts, has been hampered by the problems to access sound data, which is unevenly distributed among regions and taxonomic groups (Wassmann et al., 2011).

Recent research emphasized the critical importance of the direct and indirect ecological effects of sea-ice decline, which is one of the most striking and far-reaching footprints of climate change in polar regions (Macias-Fauria & Post, 2018). Sea-ice is a dominant ecological driver in Arctic seas, as it not only represents a specifically polar marine habitat, but also controls the

light, stratification and, hence, productivity regime of the underlying water column (Bluhm & Gradinger, 2008). Both pelagic and benthic secondary production depend directly on sea-ice and pelagic primary production. Ultimately, due to the importance of the cryo-pelagic-benthic coupling in polar seas, the loss of sea-ice will very likely have profound consequences for the diversity, structure, and functioning of benthic fauna across pan-Arctic seascapes (Piepenburg, 2005; Hinzman et al., 2011; 45 Macias-Fauria & Post, 2018; Wassmann et al., 2011, Brandt et al., 2023).

However, the challenge of quantifying, understanding, modelling and forecasting the impact of climate-change and anthropogenic pressures on Arctic benthic species and assemblages has rarely been addressed (e.g., Renaud et al., 2019; Pantiukhin et al., 2021). Indeed, it is commonly acknowledged that currently neither the direction nor mode of ongoing or future ecological regime shifts are sufficiently investigated and understood to soundly predict forthcoming changes in Arctic 50 marine ecosystem functions (Wassmann et al., 2011; Post et al., 2013; Meredith et al., 2019; Constable et al., 2022; Brandt et al., 2023). This gap can be attributed to the difficulty to obtain solid data from the Arctic due to, amongst others, its remoteness and hostile environmental conditions, the tremendous costs of sea-going polar research, as well as a lack of synergistic research spanning multiple Arctic ecoregions.

Consequently, the urgently needed integrative approach can only be achieved through an upscaling from local to large scales, 55 but this would require a comprehensive data source representative of the whole Arctic. Here, we present PANABIO, a PAN- Arctic data collection of benthic BIOtas (critterbase.awi.de/panabio) within the CRITTERBASE data warehouse (Teschke et al., 2022). It provides standardized open access to point-referenced quantitative ecological data by integrating data from various sources and of various formats through a generic data-ingest interface and offering versatile exploration tools for data filtering and mapping provided by the overarching CRITTERBASE system.

60 **2 Material and methods**

2.1 Definition of study area

We used the common definition of Arctic seas proposed by the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP; www.amap.no) to identify our pan-Arctic study area, which also includes some adjacent sub-Arctic regions, such as the Sea of Okhotsk and the Sea of Japan (Figure 1; Table 1), since the distribution ranges of many species occurring in Arctic seas 65 extend into the bordering areas. In the global CRITTERBASE data warehouse, the PANABIO data collection and its individual datasets can be filtered by the statistical areas used by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

2.2 Data sources

The PANABIO data collection currently contains 28 point-referenced datasets of benthic taxa from various sources, a total of 17 of which have previously been compiled during the Arctic Ocean Diversity (ArcOD) project (see Piepenburg et al., 2011) 70 and/or are published in the PANGAEA data repository (www.pangaea.de) and the Arctic OBIS node (<https://obis.org/node/da50007b-7871-46cf-8530-441b5836d2c1>). The individual datasets vary in origin, as well as in spatial, temporal and taxonomic coverage (Table 2). The provenance of each dataset is indicated in PANABIO, including contact person and DOIs of a related peer-reviewed article and/or data publication (Table 2). Note that the number of datasets represent the current stock. We anticipate that additional sets of further historical or novel data will be added over time, by us and other 75 data contributors using the Collector App of CRITTERBASE (Teschke et al; 2022), resulting in a steady growth of the data collection in number of records and samples, and thus also in spatial, temporal, taxonomic coverage and resolution.

2.3 Data compilation

Following the workflow suggested by Piepenburg et al. (2011), we first harmonised and validated the nomenclature by consistently using the valid taxon name according to the World Registry of Marine Species (WoRMS;

80 www.marinespecies.org). This prevents confounding of cross-data comparisons and inflation of diversity estimates due to the use of synonyms or unaccepted species names. Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, each record in the validated data collection represents a single taxonomic unit (mostly species or genera, only in some cases at higher levels if a sound and reliable identification at species or genus level was not possible).

85 Data records provide information about the occurrence (presence) or, if available, counts of specimens, abundance (numbers of individuals per area) and/or biomass (wet mass or ash-free dry mass) of each taxon encountered and identified in a sample taken at a specific place at a specific time. Metadata informs about geographic location, region, water depth (m) and date of sampling, as well as sampling gear used (such as towed gear, grabs, corers, or seafloor imaging). The latter determines which part of the benthic community is generally represented in the samples, e.g., trawl catches contain mainly megabenthos, grab samples macrobenthos, and seafloor images epibenthos. Metadata also provide information about the taxonomic coverage of the data set, to indicate it comprises only a certain taxonomic subset of the entire benthic fauna (e.g., "polychaetes" because 90 only this group has been analysed in the samples on species or genus level). Furthermore, the full taxonomic tree is given for each taxon, to allow for summarising and scaling information from species to kingdom level. For more detailed information about the data model and data quality control, see Teschke et al. (2022) and critterbase.awi.de/#qc.

3 Data availability

95 Currently (as of 6 October 2023), PANABIO contains 126,817 records from 11,575 samples taken at 11,561 stations during 1,093 cruises (Table 2; Figure 2), and 2,968 taxonomic entities, collected between 1800 and 2014. These circumpolar data on Arctic benthic biodiversity, comprising mainly Echinodermata, Arthropoda, Mollusca, and Annelida, are hosted as the Arctic regional component of the PostgreSQL-based global data warehouse CRITTERBASE (Teschke et al., 2022), and can be accessed via a web portal at critterbase.awi.de/panabio. Here, the entire data collection 100 (<https://critterbase.awi.de/preview/#map-panabio>) or, if required, only excerpts of it can be downloaded as CSV and/or Excel files, to be used for further analysis. Moreover, a snap shot of the current version of the PANABIO data collection is available from the data publisher PANGAEA via [DOI link will be included as soon as it is available], from where each individual dataset can be accessed separately through its own DOI link (Piepenburg et al., under review).

4 Discussion

105 4.1 Timeliness

Open-access data collections on pan-Arctic benthic biotas, such as PANABIO, are needed to explore and forecast potential impacts of climate change on benthic diversity and food-web complexity and its consequences for higher trophic levels, such as marine mammals and seabirds, relying on benthic fauna for food (Macias-Fauria & Post, 2018; Post et al., 2013; Wassmann et al., 2011). Moreover, they are also needed to obtain a scientifically sound baseline of current diversity patterns in Arctic 110 benthic systems, from which further change can be assessed. PANABIO does not aim to replace but rather complement the services offered by common and well-established data repositories, such as, e.g., the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; <https://www.gbif.org/>), the Ocean Biodiversity Information System (OBIS; <https://obis.org/>) and PANGAEA. There is one crucial difference: While such repositories focus on long-term data storage, and/or on individual datasets, PANABIO provides a "ready-to-use", standardised and quality-checked compilation of many individual data sets. Moreover, it has a 115 distinct focus on Arctic benthos, facilitating instant and low-level access to relevant data for the Arctic benthic research community, as well as providing an attractive tool for cooperation and networking. It is currently used as a tool for managing and exploring the first comprehensive bottom grab-sample survey of the Marine Benthos Expert Network of the Circumpolar Biodiversity Monitoring Program (CBMP) of the Arctic Council working group Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna

(CAFF) (<https://www.caff.is/marine/marine-expert-networks/benthos>). Such results are also important for informing decision makers and the general public, who are concerned about the vulnerability of Arctic environments due to emerging and rapidly increasing economic and geopolitical interests.

4.2 Outlook

Arctic marine biotic data are still fragmented into a dazzling array of databases and files, most of which are not public. This situation seriously hampers progress in Arctic marine ecological research, as it prevents us from coupling environmental dynamics to ecological dynamics across large spatial and temporal scales. Currently this problem is tackled from various sides, e.g., WoRMS addresses marine taxonomic inconsistencies at a global scale (WoRMS Editorial Board, 2023), AquaMaps (Kaschner et al., 2016) provides ecological and biological information on marine species, and OBIS (De Pooter, 2017) allows to explore marine species occurrences in relation to environmental conditions. In the context of these ongoing and planned efforts, PANABIO represents a regional data collection of the biological data warehouse CRITTERBASE with an open-access web service that allows on-the-fly exploration, selection and download of geo-referenced and validated Arctic benthic biodiversity data. What is still lacking in the PANABIO data collection and what we currently are investing in is the development of an interface and a workflow to link the biotic data in PANABIO to ecological data layers from Arctic regions, such as, e.g., raster information on bottom topography, sea-ice and ocean dynamics, or Chlorophyll *a* distribution patterns, to support analysis and modelling work in day-to-day operations. In addition, the free availability of the PANABIO data collection via CRITTERBASE and PANGAEA (where static snap shots of the collection will be published at regular time intervals) will be complemented through an interface to the two global biodiversity networks GBIF and OBIS.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to information system design, data collection, curation and analysis, as well as writing and reviewing of the manuscript. CK and DP wrote the first version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We greatly appreciate all researchers who contributed their data to the open-access PANABIO project. C.K. was funded by a Marie Skłodowska-Curie action (Grant 700796). CRITTERBASE is supported by the DFG project ‘NFDI4Biodiversity’.

References

- Bluhm, B. A. and Gradinger, R.: Regional variability in food availability for Arctic marine mammals, *Ecol. Appl.*, 18, S77-S96, 2008.
- Brandt, S., Wassmann, P, Piepenburg, D.: Revisiting the footprints of climate change in Arctic marine food webs: An assessment of knowledge gained since 2010. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 10, 1096222, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1096222, 2023.
- Constable, A.J., Harper, S., Dawson, J., Holsman, K., Mustonen T., Piepenburg, D., and Rost, B.: Cross-Chapter Paper 6: Polar Regions, in: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor,

- M., Poloczanska, Mintenbeck, E. S. L., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2319–2368, doi:10.1017/9781009325844.023, 155 2022.
- Degen, R., Aune, M., Bluhm, B. A., Cassidy, C., Kędra, M., Kraan, C., Vandepitte, L., Włodarska-Kowalczyk, M., Zhulay, I., Albano, P. B., Bremner, J., Grebmeier, J. M., Link, H., Morata, N., Nordström, M. C., Shojaei, M. G., Sutton, L., and Zuschin, M.: Trait-based approaches in rapidly changing ecosystems: A roadmap to the future polar oceans, *Ecol. Ind.*, 91, 722-736, 2018.
- 160 De Pooter, D., Appeltans, W., Bailly, N., Bristol, S., Deneudt, K., Eliezer, M., Fujioka, E., Giorgetti, A., Goldstein, P., Lewis, M., Lipizer, M., Mackay, K., Marin, M., Moncoiffé, G., Nikolopoulou, S., Provoost, P., Rauch, S., Roubicek, A., Torres, C., Putte, A. V. de, Vandepitte, L., Vanhoorne, B., Vinci, M., Wambiji, N., Watts, D., Salas, E. K., and Hernandez, F.: Toward a new data standard for combined marine biological and environmental datasets - expanding OBIS beyond species occurrences, *Biodiv. Dat. J.*, 5, e10989-37, 2017.
- 165 Frainer, A., Primicerio, R., Kortsch, S., Aune, M., Dolgov, A. V., Fossheim, M., and Aschan, M. M.: Climate-driven changes in functional biogeography of Arctic marine fish communities, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 114, 12202-12207, 2017.
- Hinzman, L. D., Deal, C. J., McGuire, A. D., Mernild, S. H., Polyakov, I. V., and Walsh, J. E.: Trajectory of the Arctic as an integrated system, *Ecol. Appl.*, 23, 1837-1868, 2013.
- Kaschner, K., Kesner-Reyes, K., Garilao, C., Rius-Barile, J., Rees, T., and Froese, R.: AquaMaps: Predicted range maps for 170 aquatic species. World wide web electronic publication, www.aquamaps.org, Version 08/2016, 2016
- Kedra, M., Moritz, C., Choy, E S., David, C., Degen, R., Duerksen, S., Ellingsen, I., Górska, B., Grebmeier, J. M., Kirievskaya, D., van Oevelen, D., Pivosz, K., Samuelsen, A., and Węślawski, J. M.: Status and trends in the structure of Arctic benthic food webs, *Polar Res.*, 34, 23775, 2015.
- Macias-Fauria, M. and Post, E.: Effects of sea ice on Arctic biota: an emerging crisis discipline, *Biol. Lett.*, 14, 20170702, 175 2018.
- Meredith, M., Sommerkorn, M., Cassotta, S., Derksen, C., Ekaykin, A., Hollowed, A., Kofinas, G., Mackintosh, A., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Muelbert, M. M. C., Ottersen, G., Pritchard, H., and Schuur, E. A. G.: Polar Regions, in: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, edited by: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Nicolai, M., Okem, A., Petzold, J., Rama, B., and Weyer, 180 N. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 203–320, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.005>, 2019.
- Pantiukhin, D., Piepenburg, D., Hansen, M. L. S., and Kraan, C.: Data-driven bioregionalization: A seascape-scale study of macrobenthic communities in the Eurasian Arctic, *J. Biogeogr.*, 48, 2877-2890, 2021.
- Piepenburg, D.: Recent research on Arctic benthos: common notions need to be revised. *Polar Biol.*, 28, 733–755, 2005.
- 185 Piepenburg, D, Archambault, P., Ambrose, W. G., Blanchard, A. L., Bluhm, B. A., Carroll, M. L., Conlan, K. E., Cusson, M., Feder, H. M., Grebmeier, J. M., Jewett, S. C., Lévesque, M., Petryashev, V. V., Sejr, M. K., Sirenko, B. I., and Włodarska-Kowalczyk, M.: Towards a pan-Arctic inventory of the species diversity of the macro- and megabenthic fauna of the Arctic shelf seas, *Mar. Biodiv.*, 41, 51-70, 2011.
- Piepenburg, D., Brey, T., Teschke, K., Dannheim, J., Kloss, P., Hansen, M. L. S., and Kraan, C.: PANABIO – a point- 190 referenced pan-Arctic data collection of benthic biotas, Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, [under review].

Post, E., Bhatt, U. S., Bitz, C. M., Brodie, J. F., Fulton, T. L., Hebblewhite, M., Kerby, J., Kutz, S. J., Stirling, I., and Walker, D. A.: Ecological consequences of sea-ice decline, *Science*, 341, 519-524, 2013.

195 R Core Team: *R*: A language and environment for statistical computation, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2020.

Renaud, P. E., Wallhead, P., Kotta, J., Włodarska-Kowalczyk, M., Bellerby, R. G. J., Rätsep, M., Slagstad, D., and Kukliński, P.: Arctic sensitivity? Suitable habitat for benthic taxa is surprisingly robust to climate change, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6, 538, 2019.

200 Teschke, K., Kraan, C., Kloss, P., Andresen, H., Beermann, J., Fiorentino, D., Gusky, M., Hansen, M.L.S., Konijnenberg, R., Koppe, R., Pehlke, H., Piepenburg, D., Sabbagh, T., Wrede, A. Brey, T., and Dannheim, J.: CRITTERBASE, a science-driven data warehouse for marine biota, *Sci. Data*, 9, 483, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01590-1>, 2022.

Wassmann, P., Duarte, C. M., Agustí, S., and Sejr, M. K.: Footprints of climate change in the Arctic marine ecosystem, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 1235-1249, 2011.

[WoRMS Editorial Board](#): World Register of Marine Species. Available from <https://www.marinespecies.org> at VLIZ. Accessed 2023-01-10. <https://doi.org/10.14284/170>, 2023.

205

TABLE 1: Summary of the data contained within the pan-Arctic data collection of benthic biotas (PANABIO), grouped by region: Number of stations, samples, records and taxa by FAO areas (each encompassing various Arctic sea regions). Please note that the number of taxa in the five FAO areas do not sum up because taxa can occur in two or more areas.

FAO Areas	Arctic sea regions	# of Stations	# of Samples	# of Records	# of Taxa
#18: Arctic Sea	Central Arctic Ocean Chukchi Sea East Siberian Sea Laptev Sea Kara Sea Beaufort Sea Canadian Archipelago Hudson Bay	3,839	3,853	84,827	1,941
#21: Northwest Atlantic	Baffin Bay Labrador Sea	82	82	4,286	661
#27: Northeast Atlantic	27.1: Barents Sea + White Sea 27.2: Norwegian Sea + Svalbard + Fram Strait 27.5: Iceland 27.14: Greenland Sea	5,048	5,048	30,101	1,691
#61: Northwest Pacific	Western Bering Sea Sea of Okhotsk Sea of Japan	1,478	1,478	3,238	237
#67: Northeast Pacific	Eastern Bering Sea	184	184	1,588	124

Table 2: Information about individual datasets currently (as of 6 October 2023) contained within the pan-Arctic data collection of benthic biotas (PANABIO), including the names of datasets, reference persons and their institutions, DOI links of related papers and data publications in repositories, as well as the numbers of cruises, years (ranges), stations, water depths (ranges), samples, records, and taxa. Please note that the number of taxa in the 28 datasets do not sum up because taxa can occur in two or more datasets.

215

Dataset	Reference Person	Institution	DOI (Paper)	DOI (Repository)	Cruises	Years	Stations	Water Depths	Samples	Records	Taxa
Benthos ArcOD Sirenko (a)	Boris Sirenko	Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7		232	1800 – 2004	2565	0–4000	2565	6185	98
Benthos ArcOD Sirenko (b)	Boris Sirenko	Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02737-9	https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.910004	5	1993 – 1995	148	7–3827	148	3240	686
Benthos ArcOD Sirenko (c)	Boris Sirenko	Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7		755	1800 – 2004	6246	0–8100	6246	15592	431

		St. Petersburg									
Benthos Barents and Pechora Seas Renaud	Paul Renaud	Akvaplan- niva, Tromsø	https://doi.pangaea.de/10013/epic.51439.d001	https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.877932	7	1992 – 2003	137	7–512	137	10839	1126
Benthos Barents Sea Carroll	Michael Carroll	Akvaplan- niva, Tromsø	https://doi.pangaea.de/10013/epic.51439.d001	https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.910004	4	2002 – 2005	32	62–512	32	3277	627
Benthos Beaufort Sea 1980s Archambault	Philippe Archambault	Université du Québec à Rimouski- ISMER	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7		4	1985 – 1988	47	4–22	47	176	38
Benthos Beaufort Sea 2009 Archambault	Philippe Archambault	Université du Québec à Rimouski- ISMER	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101556		1	2009	43	6–1072	43	650	207
Benthos Beaufort	Philippe Archambault	Université du Québec à	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101556		1	2010	37	71–945	37	764	233

Sea 2010 Archambault		Rimouski- ISMER									
Benthos Beaufort Sea 2011 Archambault	Philippe Archambault	Université du Québec à Rimouski- ISMER	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101556		1	2011	28	25–74	28	1442	319
Benthos Chirikov Basin ArcOD Bluhm	Bodil Bluhm	University of Alaska Fairbanks	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7		2	1986 – 2002	101	32–49	101	1321	53
Benthos Chukchi Sea ArcOD Feder	Howard Feder	University of Alaska Fairbanks	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-004-0683-4		1	1976	70	15–64	70	1520	150
Benthos Chukchi Sea Blanchard	Arny Blanchard	University of Alaska Fairbanks	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7		13	2008 – 2014	1350	12–57	1350	59687	488
Benthos European	Ingrid Kröncke	Senckenber g,	https://doi.org/10.1007/s003000050263		1	1991	47	552– 4478	47	2132	97

Arctic ArcOD Kroencke		Wilhelmshaven									
Benthos Laptev Sea Transdrift1 Piepenburg	Dieter Piepenburg	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-006-0122-9		1	1993	11	14-44	11	1485	137
Benthos Laptev Sea Transdrift1 Schmid	Michael K. Schmid	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02737-9	https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.910004	1	1993	12	14-44	12	428	144
Benthos Laptev Sea Transdrift2 Syomin	Vitaly Syomin	Institute of Arid Zones - Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow			2	2013 - 2014	22	23-326	36	445	141
Benthos Northeast Greenland 1985 Piepenburg	Dieter Piepenburg	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346313		1	1985	17	90-890	17	859	189

Benthos Svalbard 1991 Piepenburg	Dieter Piepenburg	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02390425		1	1991	36	30– 2100	36	1015	253
Benthos Spitzbergen Wlodarska- Kowalczyk	Maria Wlodarska- Kowalczyk	Institute of Oceanolog y PAS, Sopot	https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.910004		6	1991 – 2007	26	50–525	26	1332	265
Epibenthos North Greenland Piepenburg	Dieter Piepenburg	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7								
Macroben tos Canadian Arctic Archipelago Archambaul t Cusson	Philippe Archambault , Mathieu Cusson	Université du Québec à Rimouski- ISMER	https://doi.org/10.3354/meps331291								
Macroben tos Degen	Renate Degen	Alfred Wegener Institute,	https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v34.24008	https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.828348							

		Bremerhaven									
Megabenthos Bluhm and Iken	Bodil Bluhm, Katrin Iken	University of Alaska, Fairbanks	https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00198								
Megabenthos Canadian Arctic Archambault	Philippe Archambault	Université du Québec à Rimouski-ISMER	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100900								
Megabenthos Canadian Arctic Archipelago Archambault Cusson	Philippe Archambault, Mathieu Cusson	Université du Québec à Rimouski-ISMER	https://doi.org/10.3354/meps331291								
Peracarida Greenland Brandt	Angelika Brandt	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7								
Polychaeta ArcOD	Andrew Carey	School of Oceanography, Oregon	https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00395439								

Beaufort Sea Carey		State University									
Polychaeta Fram Strait ArcOD Schnack	Klaus Schnack	Institute for Polar Ecology, Kiel	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-010-0059-7	https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.910004							
TOTALS					1,093		11,561		11,575	126,817	2,968

220 **FIGURE 1:** Major Arctic sea regions, embedded in five Major Fishing Areas of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (Orange: #18 – Arctic Sea; Yellow: #21 – Northwest Atlantic; Blue: #27 – Northeast Atlantic; Green: #61 – Northwest Pacific; Purple: #67 – Northeast Pacific). The map was created with ArcGIS Earth (Earthstar Geographics esri).



225

FIGURE 2: Locations of point-referenced data in the PANABIO data collection, embedded in five Major Fishing Areas of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (Orange: #18 – Arctic Sea; Yellow: #21 – Northwest Atlantic; Blue: #27 – Northeast Atlantic; Green: #61 – Northwest Pacific; Purple: #67 – Northeast Pacific). The map was created with ArcGIS Earth (Earthstar Geographics esri).

