Simbi: historical hydro-meteorological time series and signatures for 24 catchments in Haiti

Ralph Bathelemy^{1,2*}, Pierre Brigode^{1,3,4}, Vazken Andréassian³, Charles Perrin³, Vincent Moron⁵, Cédric <u>Gaucherel⁶</u>, Emmanuel Tric¹, Dominique Boisson²

- ¹ Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, IRD, Géoazur, France
- ² Université d'État d'Haïti, Faculté des Sciences, LMI CARIBACT, Urgéo, Haïti
- ³ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, HYCAR, Antony, France
- Univ Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes UMR 6118, F-35000 Rennes, France
- 10 5 Aix Marseille University, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France AMAP, INRAE, University of Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Cirad, Montpellier, France
- *correspondence: Ralph Bathelemy (bathelemyr@gmail.com)

Abstract

- 15 <u>Haiti, a</u> Caribbean country, is highly <u>yulnerable</u> to hydroclimatic hazards due to heavy rainfall, which is partly linked to tropical cyclones. Additionally, its steep slopes generate flash floods, particularly in small catchments. Moreover, the hydrology of this region remains poorly understood and understudied. Unfortunately, there is no accessible <u>database for</u> the scientific community to use in this <u>pountry</u>. To fill this gap, hydroclimatic data were collected to create the first historical database in Haiti. This database, called "Simbi" (guardian of rivers,
- freshwater, and rain in Haitian mythology), includes 156 monthly rainfall series over the period 1905–2005, 59 daily rainfall series over the period 1920–1940, 70 daily streamflow series, and 23 monthly temperature series, not necessarily continuous, over the period 1920–1940. It also provides simulated streamflow series over the period 1920–1940 using the GR2M and GR4J rainfall–runoff models for 24 catchments and <u>49</u> attributes covering a wide range of topographic, climatic, geological, land use, hydrogeological, and
- 25 hydrological signature indices. Simbi is the first open-access hydro-meteorological dataset for Haiti and will contribute to a better knowledge of hydrological risk in Haiti. Several sources of uncertainty associated with Simbi are acknowledged, including data quality (historical data), digitisation of paper archives, identification of relevant raingauges, and rainfall-runoff models. It is important to consider these uncertainties when using Simbi.
- 30 The database will be regularly updated to include additional historical data that will be digitized in the future. It will thus contribute toward better knowledge of the hydrology of Haitian catchments and will enable the implementation of various hydrological calculations useful for designing structures or flow forecasting. Simbi is an open access database and is available for download at: https://doi.org/10.23708/02POK6 (Bathelemy et al., 2023).

35 1 Introduction

Hydroclimatic databases, generally composed of climatic (precipitation and air temperature) and hydrological (streamflow) time series at the catchment scale, are extremely useful (Tramblay et al., 2021). They are used for water resources planning and management as well as for monitoring and forecasting floods, droughts, and changes in surface and groundwater resources (Dewandel et al., 2003, 2004; Alfieri et al., 2020; Harrigan et

- al., 2020). These databases are also used to evaluate the performance of "new" hydro-meteorological products based on Earth observation satellites, which are increasingly applied in poorly instrumented regions (Beck et al., 2019; Brocca et al., 2019; Prakash, 2019; Bathelemy et al., 2022). Furthermore, they are central to studies of climate change impact, for example, through the calibration and evaluation of hydrological models used to quantify climate change impacts on water resources (Abbaspour et al., 2009; Chokkavarapu and Mandla, 45
- 45 2019; Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012).

-{	Mis en forme : Exposant
-{	Supprimé: Moron ⁴
-{	Supprimé: Gaucherel ⁵
-(Supprimé: d'Etat
-{	Supprimé: ⁴
0	
-1	Supprimé: ⁵
_	
- I	

-{	Supprimé: The
-	Supprimé: of Haiti
Ľ	Supprimé: exposed
Y	Supprimé: . However
1	Supprimé: usable database that is easily
Ľ	Supprimé: to
Ň	Supprimé: for
Ì	Supprimé: area
1	Supprimé: 48

Code de	champ	modifié	

Mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)

In recent years, hydroclimatic databases called "CAMELS" (catchment attributes and meteorology for largesample studies) have been created in several countries: United States (Addor et al., 2017), Chile (Alvarez-Garreton et al., 2018), Brazil (Chagas et al., 2020), Great Britain (Coxon et al., 2020), Australia (Fowler *et al.*, 2021), Central Europe (Klingler et al., 2021), and Switzerland (Höge et al., 2023). The CAMELS database

65 use large datasets (precipitation, streamflow, air temperature, etc.) from multiple sources (in situ, reanalysis, remote sensing, etc.) over several hundreds of catchments. They also include multiple catchment attributes covering a range of topographic, climatic, hydrological, geological, and land <u>cover indices</u>. While the CAMELS databases provide time series, <u>indices and hydroclimatic signatures of catchments</u>, other databases provide <u>only</u> indices and hydroclimatic signatures of catchments, such as the African Database of Hydrometric Indices (ADHI; Tramblay *et al.*, 2021). These databases give the scientific community easy access to the hydrological

information available for the regions concerned

Unfortunately, there are significant differences between countries in terms of the quality and quantity of hydroclimatic reference databases, as well as regarding access to these data. Some countries do not have such reference databases. This is the case of Haiti, whose territory is, <u>moreover</u>, highly exposed to natural

- 75 disasters (Khouakhi et al., 2017; Burgess et al., 2018), and climate change (Peterson et al., 2002). At the same time, Haiti is facing the consequences of massive deforestation and anarchic urbanization (urban development that does not comply with planning regulations) in recent decades (Hedges et al., 2018; Tarter et al., 2018; Mompremier et al., 2022), resulting in increased vulnerability to hydroclimatic hazards. Currently, Haiti lacks a freely and easily accessible hydroclimatic database due to the absence of in situ hydroclimatic
- 80 observations. The first hydrometric observations were conducted during the American occupation of Haiti, and began in 1919. American engineers from the Water Resources Service (WRS) of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) supervised these hydrological observations, that continued into the 1940s and exceptionally later. The end of the American occupation is the main reason for the cessation of hydrometric observations. This is due to the loss of technical support from the WRS, as well as financial constraints and socio-political
- 85 difficulties in Haiti. The data time series and a description of the methods used to collect them were published annually in the "Hydrographic Bulletin", summarizing 70 daily streamflow time series over the 1920-1940 period. After these two decades of streamflow observations, very few hydrological data were produced in Haiti (Pouyaud and Hoepffner, 1987). In addition to hydrometric observations, rainfall measurements started in Haiti around 1905 using 15 raingauges. Over time, the raingauge network became denser, with 25 stations
- 90 operated by the "Petit-Séminaire Collège St Martial" (a school run by the Congrégation du Saint-Esprit), 38 by the "Direction Générale des Travaux Publics", and nearly 30 by other institutions, such as the" Frères de l'Instruction Chrétienne" (Pouyaud and Hoepffner, 1987). Rainfall measurements are currently managed by the CNIGS and the UHM. Since 2014, this observation network has had approximately twenty automatic raingauges. However, due to a significant amount of missing data, the network remains highly fragmentary and unexploited.

and unexploited. In 1977, the Haitian government initiated a project to make an inventory and digitize some available hydroclimatic time series. As a result, the 70 daily streamflow series for the period 1920-1940 and almost a hundred monthly rainfall series from the start of observations (~1905) until 1975 were digitized. In 2012, the Haitian government launched a second project named BVH (*Bassins Versants Haitien* in French, i.e., Haitian

- 100 catchments; Gaucherel et al. 2018) for compiling available hydroclimatic data, better understanding hydrology in Haiti and improving the management of water resources. Within this project, Haitian catchments were characterized using monthly streamflow data (Gaucherel et al., 2016) and rainfall data (Moron et al., 2015), and the relationships between their shape, relief, and river sinuosity were investigated (Gaucherel et al., 2017, Bonhomme et al., 2013) Unfortunately, the two databases produced within the BVH project (monthly rainfall
- 105 <u>time series and monthly streamflow time series</u>) have never been <u>analyzed jointly</u>, <u>are not available online</u> and remain limited for <u>several hydrological</u> analysis <u>due to their monthly time step (monthly)</u>. Thus, these <u>databases are</u> underused to date.

The main <u>objectives</u> of this study <u>are</u> to <u>make Haitian hydroclimatic data available to the scientific community</u> and <u>to merge</u> these different <u>datasets</u> in order to propose the first hydroclimatic database for several Haitian catchments <u>at both monthly and daily timesteps</u>. To overcome the issue of the <u>numerous missing data present</u> within the streamflow time series, two rainfall-runoff models were used to reconstruct the missing values and

	Supprimé: (Höge et al., 2023)			
1	Mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)			
-	Supprimé: use variables, etc.			
_				
5	Supprimé: The main objective of these works is to make hydroclimatic			
_	data freely and easily available to			
_				
1	Supprimé: nevertheless			
5	Supprimé: which will, moreover, tend to increase due to			
1	Supprimé: there is no freely and easily accessible hydroclimatic			
(database in Haiti. The only existing data are the 156 monthly rainfall series			
((1905–2005) compiled by Moron et al., (2015), the 70 daily streamflow series			

(1920-1940) from the BVH project (Bassins versants haïtien

Supprimé:), paper archives					
Supprimé: several institutions,					
Supprimé: data collected as part					
Supprimé: different projects, often available for very short periods. This BVH Supprimé: includes the characterization of					
Supprimé: catchment					
Supprimé: These					
Supprimé: (
Supprimé: data) are not known to the public,					
Supprimé: cross-referenced to form a hydroclimatic database					
Supprimé: the					
Supprimé: of Haitian catchments, and remain largely					
Supprimé: goal					
Supprimé: is					
Supprimé: cross-reference					
Supprimé: analyze					
Supprimé: databases					
Supprimé: . Nevertheless, most					
Supprimé: available historical Haitian					
Supprimé: series have gaps and are therefore limited in their application. One way to obtain continuous streamflow series is to use a hydrological model. fed by dimatic					

Page 2 sur 42

	<u>produce c</u> models for different c	ontinuous streamflow time series. (Brigode et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2019). The use of rainfall- flow reconstruction has been used for several decades for various types of catchments covering limatic regions (Caillouet et al., 2017; Crooks and Kay, 2015; Jones and Lister, 1998). Thus, a	Supprimé: This method
150	monthly (0 were used	GR2M, Mouelhi et al., 2006) and <u>a</u> daily (GR4J, Perrin et al., 2003) lumped rainfall-runoff <u>model</u> to reconstruct continuous streamflow series in Haiti at both time steps.	Supprimé: models
1	The goal c	of our study is therefore fourfold:	Supprimé: work
	6	Collecting all existing hydroclimatic time series in Haiti and digitizing certain paper archives that	Supprimé: threefold
	<u>ų </u>	have been identified as priorities.	Supprimé: producing
155	ii)	building climatic (air temperature and rainfall) time series at the catchment scale by spatially and temporally aggregating available series,	
1	iii)	creating a continuous Haitian hydroclimatic database for the 1920–1940 period, using the catchment climatic series and the rainfall _c runoff models,	Supprimé: -
	iv)	characterizing the hydrological behavior of Haitian catchments based on <u>49 hydrological indices</u> and signatures covering six classes of catchment attributes (topographic, geological,	Supprimé: 48
160		hydrogeological, land <u>cover</u> , climate indices, and hydrological signatures).	Supprimé: use
1			
	Observed	hydroclimatic data, simulated streamflow series, and catchment attributes make up the Simbi	Supprimé: calculated
1	database,	the first continuous and freely available hydrological database in Haiti. Simbi is a guardian of rivers,	
	freshwater	and rain in Haitian mythology (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simbi</u>).	Supprime: ,
165	2 Data	a used	
	21 CH	and a set of	
	Z.1 OU	eamnow	
	Z.1 Su The stream	earmow nflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant	
	The strear gaps (miss	earrinow nflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i>	Supprimé: .
	The strear gaps (miss of the Irri	earminow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Hait. On average, 12 gauging	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique
 170	The strear gaps <u>(miss</u> of the Irri measurem	earminow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant <u>sing data</u>) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> <i>gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works</i> in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging tents were <u>performed</u> per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977.	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired
 170	2.1 Sti The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra	earminow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant <u>sing data)</u> in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> <i>gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works</i> in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging lents were <u>performed</u> per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977.	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil)
 170	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M	earminow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging lents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Monthly rainfall	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community.
 170	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified	earninow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging lents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Anothly rainfall d version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community.
 170	2.1 Sti The strear gaps (miss of the Irrimeasurem) 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 N A modified this study.	earminow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging tents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Monthly rainfall A version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study.
 170 175	2.1 Sti The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix J from 1905	earminow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging tents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall A version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing tion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources:	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study.
 170 175	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix J from 1905 1. T	earninow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging tents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Monthly rainfall d version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing ion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources: he CNIGS (<i>National Center for Geospatial Information</i>) database with 162 monthly rainfall series;	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study.
 170 175	2.1 Sti The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix J from 1905 1. T 2. T	earninow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging lents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall A version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing tion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources: he CNIGS (<i>National Center for Geospatial Information</i>) database with 162 monthly rainfall series; he database managed by the international company <i>Chemonics</i> with 109 monthly rainfall series;	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study. Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023)
 170 175 180	2.1 Str The strear gaps (miss of the Irrimeasurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 N A modified this study. for correct Appendix , from 1905 1. T 2. T 3. T	earninow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging tents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Monthly rainfall A version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing ion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources: he CNIGS (<i>National Center for Geospatial Information</i>) database with 162 monthly rainfall series; he CNSA (<i>National Coordination for Food Security</i>) database with 14 monthly rainfall series.	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study. Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023)
 170 175 180	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix J from 1905 1. T 2. T 3. T These threa 156 month	earninow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series.(see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging tents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Monthly rainfall diversion of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing ion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources: the CNIGS (<i>National Center for Geospatial Information</i>) database with 162 monthly rainfall series; the database managed by the international company <i>Chemonics</i> with 109 monthly rainfall series; the CNSA (<i>National Coordination for Food Security</i>) database with 14 monthly rainfall series. the databases were merged by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) by removing and/or correcting duplicates. In total, ly rainfall series were validated and retained to form the monthly rainfall database. However, several	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study. Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023)
 170 175 180	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix J from 1905 1. T 2. T 3. T These threa 156 month series hav	earninow Inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging lents were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. Infall Anothly rainfall 4 version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing tion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources: the CNIGS (<i>National Center for Geospatial Information</i>) database with 162 monthly rainfall series; he database managed by the international company <i>Chemonics</i> with 109 monthly rainfall series; he CNSA (<i>National Coordination for Food Security</i>) database with 14 monthly rainfall series. we databases were merged by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) by removing and/or correcting duplicates. In total, ly rainfall series were validated and retained to form the monthly rainfall database. However, several e a high percentage of missing data, and most of them have data available only from 1930 to 1970,	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study. Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023) Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023)
 170 175 180	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix J from 1905 1. T 2. T 3. T These thre 156 month series hav (see Figur	earninow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging ients were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. iinfall A version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing ion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series; he CNIGS (National Center for Geospatial Information) database with 162 monthly rainfall series; he CANGA (National Coordination for Food Security) database with 14 monthly rainfall series; he CNSA (National Coordination for Food Security) database with 14 monthly rainfall series; he databases were merged by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) by removing and/or correcting duplicates. In total, ly rainfall series were validated and retained to form the monthly rainfall database. However, several e a high percentage of missing data, and most of them have data available only from 1930 to 1970, e,1).	Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study. Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023) Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique
 170 175 180	2.1 Su The strear gaps (miss of the Irri measurem 2.2 Ra 2.2.1 M A modified this study. for correct Appendix , from 1905 1. T 2. T 3. T These thre 156 month series hav (see Figur	earninow inflow data consist of 70 daily series, most of which are available from 1920 to 1940, with significant sing data) in some series (see Figure 1). These data were collected by the <i>Hydrographic Department</i> gation Service of the General Direction of Public Works in Haiti. On average, 12 gauging ients were performed per station and per year. These data digitized in 1977. infall Anothly rainfall A version of the monthly rainfall database compiled by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) has been produced for The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) was compared with the digitized data, allowing ion of some data series. The modifications to the original database are described in detail in A. The original data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) included 156 monthly rainfall series available to 2005 and were derived from three different sources: the CNIGS (<i>National Center for Geospatial Information</i>) database with 162 monthly rainfall series; he database managed by the international company <i>Chemonics</i> with 109 monthly rainfall series. we databases were merged by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) by removing and/or correcting duplicates. In total, ly rainfall series were validated and retained to form the monthly rainfall database. However, several e a high percentage of missing data, and most of them have data available only from 1930 to 1970, e,1).	Supprimé: : Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: acquired Supprimé: were initially available in paper form in annual hydrographic bulletins. In the 1980s, a private company (LGL génie conseil) Supprimé: these bulletins for the Haitian government. These data have not yet been used by the scientific community. Supprimé: used in this study. Supprimé: (https://chemonics.com/, accessed 06/02/2023) Supprimé: . Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Page 3 sur 42

2.2.2 Daily rainfall

- 205 Nearly 15 paper registers containing hydroclimatic data between 1905 and 1970 have been recovered from the BHS (Bibliothèque Haïtienne des Spiritains in French i.e Haitian spiritual library). These data were previously collected by the observatory of the Petit-Séminaire Collège St-Martial (PSCSM) in Port-au-Prince during the 20th century. The daily rainfall time series considered as priority in this study are those available for the studied catchments (i.e. raingauges located within or close to the studied catchments) and for the same period as the streamflow time series, i.e. the period 1920-1940. Overall, 59 rainfall times series available for the period 1920–1940 have been digitized for the Simbi database (see Figure 1). Various optical character recognition tools were tested to perform this digitization. However, the results were not satisfactory due to the poor readability of the documents, which were both secular and handwritten. Therefore, the daily data was transcribed manually. Four students from UEH (Université d'Etat d'Haïti in French i.e State University of Haiti)
- 215 were recruited for this digitization task. They worked in pairs, with one student reading and the other entering the data.

Note that the monthly database created by Moron *et al.* (2015) resulted from an initial digitization of monthly totals from the same raingauges. Thus, our digitization work extends the efforts of Moron *et al.* (2015) to assess the quality of the digitized daily rainfall series (referred as BHS hereafter), we compared their monthly sums with the Moron *et al.* (2015) database (referred as MORON hereafter). We used two criteria to compare

- 220 sums with the Moron *et al.* (2015) database (referred as MORON hereafter). We used two criteria to compa the BHS with the MORON data:
 - 1. the correlation between the BHS and MORON monthly series,
 - the percentage of months where the errors between the monthly BHS and MORON data are greater than 5%.
- The BHS data was reviewed and corrected for each month in which discrepancies were found between the BHS and MORON data. It is worth noting that some errors were identified in the MORON data. The Appendix A summarizes the 5 types of errors detected in the Moron *et al.*, 2015, such as the correction of some extreme values (e.g. the precipitation of October 1933 at Camp-Perrin was equal to 196.9 mm in Moron *et al.* (2015), while the digitization of the daily data confirmed that the actual monthly sum was 1196.9 mm!). Therefore, this study allowed for the correction of both the BHS and MORON data (see Appendix A).

2.2.3 NOAA 20CR reanalysis rainfall

The third version of the *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration* (NOAA) Twentieth Century Reanalysis (20CR) project precipitation data (Slivinski et al., 2019) was used for the period 1920–1940. These data are available at a daily time step at a spatial scale of 1° (111 km at the equator). These are not measured data, but rainfall data from a global climatic model (reanalysis).

2.3 Air temperature

235

2.3.1 Digitization of historical archives

Air temperature data are available at a monthly time step in paper archives in the same river bulletins that contain streamflow data. A total of 23 monthly temperature series with data available for the period 1926–
 1939 have been digitized by three students from UCA (Université Côte d'Azur) for the Simbi database. These temperature series are not continuous over time and there are significant gaps (missing data) in some series, (see Figure 1).

Supprimé: Several daily rainfall series were

Supprimé: These data were

Supprimé: in paper archives of the Haitian Spiritual Library (BHS for Bibliothèque Haïtienne des Spiritains) in Port-au-Prince. Supprimé: of these Supprimé: with data

Supprimé:

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Supprimé:

Page 4 sur 42



Figure 1 – Period of availability and percentage of stations with data available for digitized daily rainfall datasets, daily streamflow datasets, monthly air temperature datasets, and monthly rainfall datasets produced by Moron et al., (2015).

255

2.3.2 NOAA 20CR reanalysis air temperature

The NOAA reanalysis air temperature database (Slivinski et al., 2019) was used in this study. This air temperature database is available at the same spatiotemporal resolution as the NOAA rainfall data (see section 2.2.3).

260 2.3.3 Berkeley Earth Surface Temperature (BEST)

The BEST (Rohde et al., 2013) air temperature database was used in this study. BEST is a gridded air temperature produced by spatial interpolation using the kriging method (Krige, 1951; Cressie, 1990) of air temperature data observed around the world. BEST is starting in 1753 at the monthly resolution, and in 1880 at the daily resolution, with a 1° spatial resolution.

265 2.4 Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

The digital elevation model used in this study is the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) of the *United* States Geological Survey (USGS) and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The digital elevation model was extracted for Haiti and is available at a spatial resolution of 90 m (Reuter et al., 2007).

3 Methodology

- 270 This section presents the methodology followed (i) to select the <u>hydrometric stations and climatic</u> series used <u>to produce the time series at</u> catchment <u>scale</u>, (ii) to simulate continuous streamflow series with <u>rainfall-runoff</u> <u>models</u> for <u>the</u> selected <u>catchments</u>, and (iii) to compute hydrological indices and signatures for the selected catchments.
- The conceptual lumped GR2M and GR4J <u>rainfall-runoff</u> models are described in <u>Appendix A</u>. The KGE objective function (Kling<u>&</u> Gupta efficiency; Gupta *et al.* 2009) was used to evaluate the performance of both models. The KGE score is defined by the following analytical formula:

Supprimé: product was used, as retrieved from the http://berkeleyearth.org/ website (Rohde et al., 2013). BEST is a gridded air temperature reanalysis for lands,

l	Supprimé: climate					
λ	Supprimé: for producing Supprimé: climatic series					
X						
-	Supprimé: monthly					
-(Supprimé: GR2M					
-{	Supprimé: 24 catchments					
	Supprimé: and daily streamflow series with GR4J for 21 of the 24 catchments where daily rainfall data were available					
-{	Supprimé: Appendix B					
1	Supprimé: –					

Page 5 sur 42

$$KGE = 1 - \sqrt{(1-r)^2 + (1-\alpha)^2 + (1-\beta)^2}$$

where *r* is the correlation coefficient, α is the ratio of the standard deviation of the simulated streamflow to the standard deviation of the observed streamflow, and β is the ratio of the mean of the simulated streamflow to the mean of the observed streamflow.

295 3.1 Selection of streamflow data and catchments

3.1.1 Selection of streamflow series

290

300

305

310

315

An analysis of the 70 available streamflow series was performed to select the "hydrologically relevant" streamflow series. Four criteria were initially used to make this selection:

- The annual hydrographic bulletins reported the accuracy with which rating curves were established through three ratings: "well established," "fairly well established," and "poorly established." Most of the streamflow series with "poorly established" rating curves were found to have significant measurement differences between periods. <u>These</u> streamflow series, were not used in the remainder of this study.
- <u>Some</u> hydrometric stations were located downstream of diversion channels or small dams used for irrigation. These streamflow series poorly represent the seasonality of streamflow, and are therefore considered to be influenced by human activities. These streamflow series were not used in the remainder of this study.
 - Some hydrometric stations were located downstream of resurgences or springs. These groundwater resurgences are beyond the scope of this <u>study</u>. Therefore, these streamflow series were not used in the remainder of this study.

4. The streamflow series that had less than 5 years of data were not used in the remainder of this study.

In addition to these four criteria, three other indices inspired by the paper of Gudmundsson et al. (2018) were used to assess the quality of the streamflow data. These three criteria were calculated as follow:

- 1. Number of days for which Q<0, where Q denotes a daily streamflow value. The rationale underlying this rule is that streamflow values smaller than zero are non-physical (Gudmundsson and Seneviratne, 2016).
 - Sequence of more than 10 equal consecutive streamflow values larger than zero. This index was selected because equal consecutive streamflow values often occur due to instrument failure or flow regulation (Gudmundsson et al., 2018).
- Detection of outliers, i.e. unusually large or small streamflow values that could come from instrument malfunction. The calculation of these outliers is inspired by the papers of Gudmundsson et al. (2018): daily streamflow values are flagged as outliers if values of log (Q+0.01) are larger or smaller than the mean value of log (Q+0.01) plus or minus 6 times the standard deviation of log (Q+0.01) computed for that calendar day over the entire series. The mean and standard deviation are computed for a 5day window centered on the calendar day to ensure that a sufficient amount of data is considered. The log-transformation is used to account for the skewness of the distribution of daily streamflow values and 0.01 was added because the logarithm of zero is undefined.

To summarize, the quality of the 70 streamflow daily series is described using 12 flags (1, 2, 3, 4, A, B, C, D, E, F, H and I), as detailed in the Table 1. Using these criteria, along with visual analysis to identify anomalies (i.e. non-natural records that may be erroneous streamflow values or anthropogenic influences that can lead to misinterpretation of actual hydrological processes (Strohmenger et al., 2023)), 24 hydrometric stations were identified as "hydrologically relevant" from the 70 available.

Supprimé: It resulted in the selection of 24 series.

t	Supprime: Therefore, the nine					
(Supprimé: with "poorly established" rating curves					
ſ	Supprimé: Three					

ſ	Supprimé: Eleven
-{	Supprimé: work, which is limited to surface streamflow.

Supprimé: 23

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Page 6 sur 42

(1)

340 Table 1 - Description of the 12 flags used.

<u>Flag</u>	Description	Number of stations			
<u>1</u>	station with at least one negative value (Q<0)				
<u>2</u>	station with at least one outlier	<u>0</u>			
<u>3</u>	station with at least one positive value (Q>0) that does not change over 10 time steps.	<u>60</u>			
<u>4</u>	stations with less than 5 years of data available	<u>39</u>			
A	Stations with well-established rating curve	<u>4</u>			
<u>B</u>	Stations with very well-established rating curve for mean streamflow and medium to good for floods	<u>36</u>			
<u>C</u>	Stations with rating curve passable	<u>6</u>			
<u>D</u>	Stations with poor rating curve	<u>11</u>			
<u>E</u>	stations located downstream of diversion channels or small dams used for irrigation	<u>4</u>			
E	stations located downstream of resurgences or springs	<u>13</u>			
H	Stations with manual water level reading	<u>50</u>			
1	Stations with automatic water level reading	<u>11</u>			

3.1.2 Catchment boundaries and areas

355

The contours of the 24 catchments corresponding to the 24 selected hydrometric stations were delineated using the SRTM digital terrain model (Reuter et al., 2007) and the TauDEM algorithm (Tarboton et al., 2005). <u>The catchment areas calculated with TauDEM algorithm</u> were compared with those reported in the <u>"Hydrographic Bulletin"</u> (areas estimated from U.S. Army maps). <u>Table 1 in Appendix C presents the ratios</u> and errors between the areas calculated with <u>TauDEM</u> and those in the hydrographic bulletins. The errors between the two areas are less than 10% for 18 of the 24 catchment areas (blue dots). However, significant errors were observed for 6 catchments (Q-045, Q-051, Q-056, Q-060, Q-061 and Q-065). Three factors account for significant differences between the two areas:

- The positions of <u>some</u> hydrometric stations <u>were wrong in the archives. Their locations were</u> <u>corrected using additional</u> information in the hydrographic bulletins (name of a bridge, main road, monuments, etc.). For example, the name of a bridge for station Q-056 (Pont Parois) and the name of the river for station Q-060 (Massacre river) were used to correct the station position
- Due to the low resolution of the <u>DEM</u>, the river network generated with <u>TauDEM</u> algorithm may differ from the <u>real river network</u>, especially in plain areas near the estuaries. Hydrometric stations were

Mis en forme : Français (France) Mis en forme : Normal, Sans numérotation ni puces

-{	Supprimé: Numerically calculated				
-(Supprimé: river bulletins				
-{	Supprimé: Two				
1	Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique				
1	Supprimé: exact				
-{	Supprimé: the				
-	Supprimé: are not known. Some stations have been relocated manually based on				
-{	Supprimé: .),				
1	Supprimé: numerical model used, the numerically obtained streamflow				
-{	Supprimé: actual streamflow				

Page 7 sur 42

therefore relocated to	match the stream ge	enerated by the	TauDEM algorit	hm (stations Q-045,	Q-065
and Q-051).		_			

3. <u>Three different stations (Q-053, Q-061 and Q-056) were associated to an upstream catchment area</u> equal to 252 km².We supposed that this is an error in the areas of the hydrographic bulletins.

Hereafter, we will only use areas calculated with TauDEM algorithm and not areas noted in the paper archives. The geographic locations of the 24 selected hydrometric stations are shown as red dots in Figure 2.

375 3.2 Building catchment climate series

3.2.1 Rainfall

370

405

410

Three sources of rainfall data were used to build catchment scale rainfall series: (i) NOAA 20CR rainfall data, (ii) data from all available raingauges, and (iii) data from several possible combinations of raingauges.

1. NOAA 20CR rainfall data

380 Catchment-scale rainfall series were calculated as a weighted average of NOAA 20CR rainfall. The weights are proportional to the area of the NOAA pixel overlapping the catchment. The areas of most catchments are significantly smaller than the NOAA 20CR pixel. Thus, neighboring catchments located on the same NOAA grid cell will have the same rainfall series (see Figure 2).

2. Reference rainfall at the catchment scale

385 For each catchment, an initial rainfall series, called "reference rainfall," <u>hereafter</u>, was calculated as a weighted average of monthly rainfall data from Thiessen polygons (Croley and Hartmann, 1985; Han and Bray, 2006). Due to the high percentage of missing data in most rainfall series, the weights obtained from the Thiessen polygons <u>are not</u> the <u>same for all time steps</u>. For each time step, the weights are calculated using the raingauges with available data. The use of "reference rainfall", i.e. the use of all raingauges including those

390 with a high percentage of missing data may introduce non-stationarity in the catchment-scale rainfall series and may not be "relevant" for rainfall-runoff modelling. The low density of raingauges and the high spatial variability of rainfall in Haiti (Moron et al., 2015) make it difficult to apply methods to estimate missing data (Benoit et al., 2022; Di Piazza et al., 2011; Oriani et al., 2020), Therefore, gap-filling methods were not used.

3. Multiple raingauge combinations

All possible raingauge combinations are calculated for each catchment (combination of 1, 2, 3,..., n raingauges, where n is the number of available raingauges). <u>If a single raingauge is available, its data is used as the catchment scale rainfall series (weighting coefficient = 1). If there are multiple raingauges available, their weighting coefficients are calculated from the Thiessen polygons. <u>Catchment</u> scale rainfall series, with no missing data were used for rainfall-runoff modeling.</u>

400 4. <u>Selection of the "relevant" raingauge for rainfall-runoff modelling</u>

The performance of a rainfall-runoff model improves with a better description of the rainfall input (Andréassian et al., 2001). The GR2M monthly rainfall-runoff model was therefore used to determine, for each catchment and at the monthly timestep, the "relevant" raingauges in this study. NOAA 20CR rainfall series, reference rainfall series and multiple raingauge combinations are used as inputs to the GR2M model and relevant raingauges are defined as those providing the best model performance.

The first 3 years of data (early 1920 to late 1922) were used to initialize the model, and a split-sample test (Klemeš, 1986), commonly used in hydrology, was implemented. This practice consists in splitting a streamflow time series into two distinct subperiods P1 and P2, the first for calibration and the second for evaluation, and then exchanging these two subperiods. The two subperiods P1 and P2 are chosen so that they have the same available streamflow lengths. The combination of raingauges with the best KGE score in evaluation (average of the KGE in evaluation over the two subperiods) was considered as the most relevant for rainfall–runoff modeling.

Supprimé: placed on the digitized rivers.

Supprimé: The station relocation process was repeated several times until the error between the two areas was less than 10%.

Supprimé: Figure 1

Code de champ modifié

Déplacé vers le bas [2]: NOAA 20CR pixels are shown in purple, the border between Haiti and the Dominican Republic is shown as a dashed black line, and the background topography is from the SRTM database.¶



Supprimé: For each catchment, the most relevant raingauges for rainfall-runoff modeling were identified. The performance of a rainfall-run

Code de champ modifié

Supprimé: Figure 1

Supprimé: "... hereafter, was calculated as a weighted average of monthly rainfall data from Thiessen polygons (Croley and Hartmann, 1985; Han and Bray, 2006). Due to the high percentage of missing data in most

Supprimé: data for that month *m* are considered. This procedure has the disadvantage of potentially introducing

Supprimé: according to the percentage of missing data for each raingauge

Code de champ modifié

Mis en forme

viis en torme

Supprimé: The ...f a single raingauge is available, its data is used as the catchment scale rainfall is ...eries (weighting coefficient = 1). If there are multiple raingauges available, their weighting coefficients are calculated f

Supprimé: Rainfall-runoff modeling for selecting ...election of the most ...relevant" raingauge combination

Page 8 sur 42



Figure 2 – Location of the 24 hydrometric stations used (red dots), the associated catchment contours (black solid lines), and the location of all raingauges with monthly data for the period 1920–1940 (white, orange, and blue dots). Raingauge stations with air temperature data are shown in orange. Raingauges considered relevant for hydrological modeling are shown in blue_NOAA 20CR pixels are shown in purple, the border between Haiti and the Dominican Republic is shown as a dashed black line, and the background topography is from the SRTM database.

3.2.2 Air temperature and potential evapotranspiration

515

520 The observed air temperature series are available at a monthly time step and are not available for the entire study period (1920–1940). In our context, continuous air temperature series are needed to estimate potential evapotranspiration (PET) series at the catchment scale. Because the <u>air</u> temperature series are incomplete, an annual <u>average</u> temperature was calculated for each station and used in the rainfall–runoff model.

Several studies have evaluated the impact of imperfect knowledge of <u>air</u> temperature data (using annual averages in our study) on the performance of rainfall–runoff models <u>(Burnash, 1995; Fowler, 2002; Kribèche, 1994)</u>. The results converge to show that this source of uncertainty is the least important and that it can be largely compensated by the model during calibration. To verify this hypothesis, two complementary temperature databases (NOAA 20CR and BEST) were used as inputs to the GR2M model. The aim is to test whether the performance of the model (KGE score) is sensitive to differences in <u>air</u> temperature data.

530 1. Using NOAA 20CR and BEST air temperature

Catchment <u>air</u> temperature series were <u>computed</u> at <u>a</u> daily time step <u>for two temperature databases (NOAA</u> <u>20CR and BEST) by taking the</u> weighted average of <u>pixels in</u> the <u>respective database</u> (NOAA 20CR <u>or BEST)</u>. The weights are proportional to the area of the NOAA 20CR or BEST pixel overlapping the catchment.

2. Using available meteorological stations

Déplacé (insertion) [1]

Mis en forme : Police :11 pt

Déplacé (insertion) [2]

Mis en forme : Police :11 pt

Supprimé: The first 3 years of data (early 1920 to late 1922) were used to initialize the model internal states, and the remaining data were divided into two subperiods with the same available streamflow lengths to perform a calibration–evaluation procedure of parameter sets, as proposed by Klemeš (1986). The "Period 1" (resp. "Period 2") test corresponds to the use of the first (resp. second) half of available data for calibration and the second (resp. first) half for evaluation. The combination of raingauges with the best KGE score in the evaluation was considered the most relevant for rainfall–runoff modeling.¶

The KGE values obtained with the different combinations of raingauges are dependent on the model used and therefore do not represent the truth of what the "rainfall relevant for rainfall-streamflow modeling" catchment should be. A different model may produce different results.¶

Supprimé: only

Supprimé: -mean Supprimé: (Burmash, 1995; Fowler, 2002; Kribèche, 1994) Supprimé: strong

-	Supprimé: calculated
1	Supprimé: the
1	Supprimé: as a
1	Supprimé: and
Y	Supprimé: air temperatures.

Page 9 sur 42

Annual <u>average</u> temperature series were calculated for each catchment at the monthly time step using the observed (digitalized data) <u>air</u> temperatures. Daily <u>air</u> temperature series were then derived by interpolation from a second-degree polynomial. A similar <u>study</u> of interpolation of monthly temperature series to obtain daily temperatures was performed by Andréassian *et al.* (2004). Daily <u>air</u> temperature series at the catchment scale were calculated using the interpolated daily <u>air</u> temperature series and Thiessen polygons (Croley and Hartmann, 1985; Han and Bray, 2006).

3. PET catchment series

560

590

The PET series are calculated using the formula of Oudin *et al.* (2005), which is based on air temperature. This formula was chosen for the calculation of PET for two main reasons. The other climate variables commonly used to calculate PET (wind speed, humidity, radiation, etc.) are unavailable, which justifies the use of a formula based only on <u>air</u> temperature <u>and extra-terrestrial radiation</u> (which depends only on the Julian day and the latitude) in a context where data are scarce. Moreover, it is one of the most relevant <u>approach</u> for rainfall–runoff modeling compared to 27 models for calculating PET and has been tested on more than 300 catchments covering several climatic zones, including tropical zones (Oudin et al., 2005).

3.3 Water balance

The water balance was used as a complementary analytical tool to the GR2M model. The annual average water balance was presented in the form of a Turc–Budyko diagram, as described by Coron *et al.* (2015), for all 24 study catchments.

575 3.4 Simulation of monthly and daily streamflow series for the period 1920–1940

Three sets of parameters were used to simulate the streamflow series for each catchment during the period 1920–1940. The first two sets of parameters called P1 and P2, were obtained by calibration over the two subperiods using the catchment rainfall calculated from the relevant raingauges and the PET series calculated from the digitized temperature series, as described in section 3.2.1. The third set of parameters called P3 is obtained by calibration over the whole period 1920–1940 (the first 3 years being used to initialize the model). The GR2M model was used to simulate the monthly streamflow series for the 24 catchments studied, and the GR4J model was used to simulate the daily streamflow series for 21 of the 24 catchments where daily rainfall data are available. Modeling was performed using the airGR package (Coron et al., 2017, 2020) and R software (R Core Team, 2022).

585 3.5 Calculation of catchment attributes

Similar to the CAMELS databases (Addor *et al.*, 2017, Alvarez-Garreton *et al.*, 2018, Chagas *et al.*, 2020, Coxon *et al.*, 2020, Fowler *et al.*, 2021, Klingler *et al.*, 2021), <u>a set of</u> attributes <u>that describes a broad range</u> of low, moderate and high precipitation and streamflow characteristics were chosen to characterize the <u>hydrological regime of</u> each catchment. Thus, <u>49</u> attributes grouped into six classes (<u>14 topographical</u> attributes, <u>12 climatic attributes</u>, <u>16 hydrological signatures</u>, <u>2</u> land <u>cover attributes</u>, <u>4 geological attributes</u>, and <u>1 hydrogeological attribute</u>) were calculated (see <u>Table C3</u> in Appendix C). <u>Table 2 and Table 3</u> summarizes all the datasets used and produced in this study.

3.5.1 Location and topography attributes

Table C3 presents the six location indices that were calculated. Catchments are identified by the same codes as the hydrographic stations, in the format Q-XXX, where XXX ranges from 001 to 070 to identify the 70 hydrographic stations. The catchments have the same names as the hydrographic stations and are taken from the hydrographic bulletins. The longitudes and latitudes of the outlets correspond to those of the hydrometric stations presented in section 3.1.2 (and includes coordinates modification). The longitudes and

Supprimé: -mean Supprimé: work Supprimé: methods Supprimé: , as is the case in Haiti. It uses as inputs the series of air temperature at the catchment scale and the average latitude of the catchments to calculate extraterrestrial radiation Supprimé: For each catchment, three Supprimé: over Supprimé: "Period 1" Supprimé: "Period 2," respectively, are those described in section 3.2.1 Supprimé: from Supprimé: considered most relevant Supprimé: Supprimé: "Period 3" Supprimé: for Supprimé: warm-up Supprimé: basins Supprimé: (Coron et al., 2017, 2023) Supprimé: (R Core Team, 2022) Supprimé: severa Supprimé: calculated for Supprimé: 48 Supprimé: topography, geology, Supprimé: use, land acquisition type, climate index, and hydrological signature Supprimé: Table C2 Mis en forme : Police : Non Italique Supprimé: These attributes were selected according to the data available Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique Supprimé: Haiti and their relevance to Haitian catchments Supprimé: The catchment contours defined in section 3.1.2 and the SRTM digital terrain model with a resolution of 90 m (Reuter et al., 2007) were used to calculate the topographic attributes. The location of the catchment outlets was defined by the relocation process described in section 3.1.2. The hydrological signatures were calculated on the observed streamflow series and on the three simulated streamflow series with the three sets of calibration parameters (see section 3.4). Thus, there are four indicators for each of the hydrological signatures (one indicator for observed streamflow and three indicators for simulated streamflow). The data used to produce the geological attributes, land cove characteristics, and aquifer types are produced by the CNIGS (Centre National de l'Information Géospatiale) and the BME (Bureau des Mines et de l'Energie). These data are freely available via the link (https://haitidat accessed on 08/12/2022). Unfortunately, no documentation exists for these data, with the exception of the geological data, which are very well documented (Boisson & Pubellier, 1987, Butterlin, 1960, Terrier et al., 2014). Land use data are available for 1995 and 1998. We did not have land use data for the most recent period (2010-2022), let alone for the study period (1920-1940). Land use has changed significantly in recent decades, mainly due to massive deforestation and uncontrolled urbanization (Churches et al.,

2014; Hedges et al., 2018; Salomon et al., 2022). Although the past (1920-

1940) and the current land (2000-2020) cover is not what it was in 1995 a

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Page 10 sur 42

latitudes of the catchment centroids were calculated based on the catchment contours delineated in section 3.1.2.

The topographic attributes include area, elevation, slope, catchment elongation, and drainage density.
 Catchment areas were calculated using the SRTM digital terrain model and the TauDEM algorithm (see section 3.1.2). Elevation is a key factor in hydrological processes as it influences many other catchment characteristics (Addor et al., 2017). Therefore, minimum and maximum elevations, standard deviations, hypsometric curves (empirical elevation distribution function) and average catchment slopes were calculated using the SRTM digital terrain model. The average slopes of the catchments were calculated using the SRTM digital terrain model and the algorithm of Horn (1981). The Gravelius index, which provides information on the elongation of the catchment and therefore influences the hydrograph, was calculated. The Gavelius index is

defined as the ratio of the perimeter of the catchment to the circumference of a circle with the same area (Bendjoudi and Hubert, 2002). Finally, stream density, the ratio of the total of all stream segments to the area of the catchment, was calculated using the CNIGS (Centre National de l'Information Géospatiale in French i.e national center for geospatial information) river network shapefile. The stream density is influenced by the density of the hydrographic network and therefore by the permeability of the catchment.

3.5.2 Climatic attributes

695

The 12 climatic attributes (see Table C3) were determined using the monthly time series of rainfall, air temperature, and potential evapotranspiration at the catchment scale, which are available for the 1920-1940 period. These attributes include the "P_5_month", "T_5_month", and "PET_5_month" indices, representing the 5th percentile of rainfall, temperature, and potential evapotranspiration, as well as the "PMNA5" index

(yearly minimum of monthly rainfall not exceeded once in 5 years), which represents the low values. The "P mean", "T mean" and "PET mean" indices represent the mean values of precipitation, air temperature and potential evapotranspiration. The "P 95 month", "T 95 month" and "PET 95 month" indices represent the 95th percentile of precipitation, air temperature and potential evapotranspiration, while the "PMXA10" index (yearly maximum of monthly rainfall exceeded once in 10 years) represent the highest values. The return

periods of the "PMNA5" and "PMXA10" indices were calculated using the generalized extreme value (Beirlant et al., 2004; Coles, 2001; Jenkinson, 1955). Finally, the aridity index was calculated. This index is the ratio of average rainfall to average evapotranspiration.

705 <u>3.5.3 Hydrological signatures</u>

The 16 hydrological attributes (see Table C3) for the 24 catchments studied were calculated using the observed and simulated streamflow time series available for the period 1920-1940 (see section 3.4). There are four indicators for each of the hydrological signatures (one indicator for observed streamflow and three indicators for simulated streamflow series). These attributes include "Q_5_month" (5th percentile of monthly

- 710 data), "Q_5_day" (5th percentile of daily data), "QMNA5" (yearly minimum of monthly streamflow not exceeded once in 5 years), "low_q_freq" (frequency of low-flow days; < 0.2 times the mean daily flow) and "low_q_dur" (average duration of low-flow events; number of consecutive days < 0.2 times the mean daily flow) indices, which characterize the frequency, duration and magnitude of low flows. The Q mean month and Q mean day indices were used to characterize average flows at the daily and monthly time step. The</p>
- 715 <u>"Q 95 month" (95th percentile of monthly data), "Q 95 day" (95th percentile of daily data), "QMXA10" (yearly maximum of monthly streamflow exceeded once in 10 years), "high_g_freq" (frequency of high-flow days; > 9 times the median daily flow), and "high_g_dur" (average duration of high-flow events; number of consecutive days > 9 times the median daily flow) indices were used to characterize the frequency, duration, and magnitude of high flows. Additionally, the runoff coefficients, baseflow index calculated according to the methad percented by Palloties and Andréascien (2020) and percenters of the CP2M and CP4L models (see the days).</u>
- 720 method proposed by Pelletier and Andréassian (2020), and parameters of the GR2M and GR4J models (see section 3.4) were provided.

3.5.4 Land cover

Land cover data for Haiti is provided by the CNIGS and is only available for two periods: 1995 and 1998.

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

- Although the land cover classifications used in 1998 differ from those used in 1995, Figure 3 illustrates that most of the woodland areas in 1995 were converted to cropland, grassland, or savannah in 1998. According to the 1998 classification, medium-density cropland is the most dominant land use, accounting for a quarter of the total the territory. High-density agro-forestry systems occupy 18%, high-density agricultural crops 17%, savannah 7.3%, pasture with other uses 4.7%, wetlands 4.4%, rock outcrops and bare ground 1.8% and forest 1.25%. The area of other types of use is generally less than 1% of the territory.
- 730 Shapefile of land cover data (1995 and 1998) were cropped for each of the catchments studied. The proportion of each land cover class occupied in the catchment was then calculated, corresponding to the two land cover indices calculated in Simbi: "cover_95" (percentage of the catchment covered by each land cover class in 1995) and "cover 98" (percentage of the catchment covered by each land cover class in 1998).



735 Figure 3 - (a) 1995 land cover map and (b) 1998 land cover map prodived by the CNIGS.

3.5.5 Geological attributes

The geological data provided by Butterlin (1960), Boisson and Pubellier (1987) and Terrier et al. (2014) have been used and have been made available by the CNIGS. The most common lithology types in Haiti are calcareous sedimentary rocks, followed by magmatic rocks (see Figure 4). The shapefile of lithology types has been cropped for each of the catchment studied (Table C4 shows the list of geological classes). The proportion of each lithology class in the catchment was calculated, corresponding to the "lithology" index. The proportion of carbonate rocks, sedimentary rocks and magmatic rocks has been calculated for each of the

3.5.6 Aquifer attributes

740

745 The aquifer data were produced by the MARNDR (Ministry of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Rural Development) in the 1990s have been used and have been made available by the CNIGS. Carbonate aquifers are the most widespread in Haiti, consist of carbonate rocks, mainly limestone and marl, and cover 53% of Haiti's surface area, of which karstic aquifers account for 18%. Crystalline formations, mainly magmatic rocks, account for 17%, alluvial aquifers for 16% and low-permeability sedimentary formations for 13%. Figure 4 shows the spatial distribution of the different aquifer classes and Table C4Table shows the list of aquifer

catchment and corresponds to the "Carb_Rocks_Perc", "Sedim_Perc" and "Magma_Perc" indices.

classes. The shapefile of aquifer classes has been cropped for each of the catchments studied. The proportion of each class in a catchment was then calculated, corresponding to the "aquifer" index. Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Page 12 sur 42



Figure 4 - (a) lithological classes are represented by light colors for sedimentary rocks and shades of grey for magmatic rocks. (b) aquifer classes are represented by light colors for alluvial aquifers, blue colors for carbonate aquifers, and grey for crystalline aquifers.

Table 2 - Summary of the datasets used in this study.

755

<u>Datasets</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Period of data</u> <u>availability</u>
156 monthly rainfall series	<u>Moron et al., 2015</u>	<u>1905-2005</u>
70 daily streamflow series.	BVH project	<u>1920-1940</u>
Paper archives contain daily rainfall series	BHS	<u>1920-1940</u>
Paper archives contain monthly air temperature series	BHS	<u>1920-1940</u>
NOAA 20CR rainfall and air temperature daily database	<u>Slivinski et al., 2019</u>	Twentieth Century
BEST air temperature database	Rohde et al., 2013	Since 1753
SRTM DEM with a resolution of 90 m	Reuter et al., 2007	-
Shapefile of lithological classes on Haiti	<u>CNIGS</u>	-
Shapefile of aquifer classes on Haiti	<u>CNIGS</u>	-
Shapefile of land cover classes on Haiti	<u>CNIGS</u>	-
Shapefile of Haitian stream network	<u>CNIGS</u>	-

Page 13 sur 42

Table 3 – Summary of the datasets produced in this study.

Datasets	Period of data availability
Digitization of 59 daily rainfall series	<u>1920-1940</u>
Digitization of 23 monthly air temperature series	<u>1920-1940</u>
Rainfall, air temperature and PET series at catchment scale and at daily and monthly time steps for 24 catchments studied.	<u>1920-1940</u>
Simulated streamflow series at daily and monthly time steps for 24 catchment studied.	<u>1920-1940</u>
49 attributes for each of the 24 catchment areas studied	=

760 4 Results

4.1 Impact of air temperature and PET series on rainfall-runoff modeling

Figure 5 shows (i) the relationship between digitized air temperatures (BHS) and the 20CR and BEST reanalyses ((a) to (c)) as well as (ii) the performance (KGE score) and parameters of the GR2M model ((d) to (f)) using the three air temperature databases to compute PET series. The BEST database overestimates the mean air temperature (symbolized by the red dots in the boxplots in Figure 5 (a)) and 20CR has difficulty representing temperatures below 20°C and over 28°C. The low dispersion (Figure 5 (b)) of 20CR and BEST may be due to a spatial averaging effect at the scale of the grid boxes which are large for the study area.(1° for both). In addition, there is no linear correlation between the two temperature databases, and the 20CR data poorly represents the seasonal temperature variability in Haiti (Figure 5 (c)).

- Although there is no clear correlation between the digitized and reanalyses temperatures, the KGE values (KGE in the evaluation for the two subperiods) obtained with the three air temperature databases are very similar for most of the catchments (Figure 5 (d)). This shows that the GR2M model, through its two parameters and especially the X2 parameter (Figure 5 (f)), has the ability to absorb the potential biases associated with the air temperature data.
- 775 <u>Thus, the</u> three temperature databases could be used a priori for rainfall-runoff modeling, as the model parameters absorb the associated biases. However, since the reanalysis databases do not represent temperature well at the catchment scale, they will not be used in the remainder of this study. Therefore, the digitized temperatures will be used to build the Simbi database.

Supprimé: Figure 2			
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique			
Supprimé: (top three plots)			
Supprimé: (bottom three plots)			
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique			
Supprimé: Figure 2			
Supprimé: , top left)			
Supprimé: (upper middle figure)			
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique			
Supprimé: (1° for both),			
Supprimé:			
Supprimé: are a poor representation of			
Supprimé: (upper right figure).			
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique			
Supprimé: (bottom left figure).			
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique			
Supprimé: (bottom right figure),			
Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique			
Supprimé: The			





4.2 Selection of relevant raingauges

4.2.1 GR2M performance analysis

800 Reference rainfall and rainfall from all possible combinations of raingauges were calculated at the catchment scale as described in section 3.2.1. <u>Table C2</u> in Appendix C presents the number of raingauges used to calculate the reference rainfall, the number of combinations, and the most relevant raingauges for rainfall– runoff modeling for each of the catchments.

Figure 6 shows the summary of the GR2M KGE scores and its three components obtained with NOAA 20CR
 rainfall, reference rainfall, and relevant raingauge combination. The lowest KGE scores are obtained with NOAA 20CR rainfall, highlighting the limitations of this rainfall database for rainfall–runoff modeling in Haiti. and the need to use observed data rather than reanalyses. There is also a clear improvement in KGE values when using the relevant raingauges compared to the reference raingauges. Nevertheless, some catchments have poor KGE scores in evaluation, despite the use of relevant raingauges. Among the three components of
 the KGE, the correlation coefficient (*r*) contributes most to the improvement in model performance through the use of ground-based rainfall data. Indeed, there is a weak correlation between the simulated and observed streamflow obtained with NOAA 20CR rainfall data, and this correlation is greatly improved by using observed in situ rainfall data (reference raingauges and relevant raingauges). On the other hand, the coefficients *α* and *β*, which represent variability and bias, respectively, contribute most to the improvement of the model



Page 15 sur 42



performance using the relevant raingauges compared to the reference raingauges. The values of these coefficients are much more centered around the optimal value of 1 for the relevant raingauges, while they are more scattered for the reference raingauges.





Figure 6, - (a) to (d) summary of <u>GR2M</u> KGE scores and its three components obtained in evaluation with NOAA 20CR rainfall, reference rainfall, and relevant raingauges combination for the 24 studied catchments.

- 860 Figure Z shows the spatial distribution of <u>GR2M</u> KGE scores in evaluation using the three rainfall databases. As discussed earlier, the KGE scores in evaluation with 20CR data are low, with only five catchments having KGE scores over 0.60. The performance improved for 21 catchments with the relevant raingauge combination, and no improvement was achieved for three catchments only: the catchments of Tumbe at Passe Fine (Q-044), Rivière du Sud at Camp-Pérrin (Q-008), and Coujol at Proby (Q-006). Two of these three catchments
- [865 (Q-044 and Q-008) were already performing relatively well, with average KGE in the evaluation over 0.60, and the use of the relevant raingauge combinations did not improve their performance further. Despite the use of relevant raingauges, four catchments have KGE values below 0.50, two of which have negative or near-zero KGE values: the Trois Rivières at Plaisance catchment (Q-051) and the Montrouis at Pont Toussaint catchment (Q-058).

-{	Supprimé: 3
-{	Supprimé: Summary
Y	Supprimé: in the evaluation
-(Supprimé: Figure 4
1	Supprimé: the
1	Supprimé: the
1	Supprimé: (KGE score)
-{	Supprimé: KGEs





Figure 7,- Spatial distribution of the average of the two KGE values <u>obtained with GR2M</u> in evaluation for the two subperiods. KGE values are calculated using (a) 20CR rainfall data, (b) reference raingauges (all raingauges) and (c) relevant raingauges. Dots represent catchments where model performance was improved by using the relevant raingauge combinations, triangles represent catchments where model performance was not improved by using the relevant raingauge combinations.

885 4.2.2 Analysis of GR2M parameters

In <u>Figure 8</u> the influence of the relevant raingauge combinations on the stability of the model parameters is evaluated. The ratios of the parameters <u>calibrated over</u> the two <u>calibration</u> subperiods were plotted as a boxplot for the reference and relevant raingauge combinations. The results showed that the relevant raingauge combinations led to more stable X1 and X2 parameters (ratio close to 1). Overall, the relevant raingauges led to a better performance and stability of the model parameters.

890

Supprimé: Figure 5 Supprimé: calibration Supprimé: of Supprimé: In fact, the length of the boxplot of the reference raingauges is

Supprimé: In fact, the length of the boxplot of the reference raingauges is greater than that of the relevant raingauges for the two parameters X1 and X2, highlighting the greater dispersion of the ratios around the optimal value of 1, i.e., less stable calibration parameters for the reference raingauges.





Figure 9-(a) distribution of raingauges elevations, (b) percentage of missing data, and (c) monthly rainfall for relevant (40) and non-relevant (21) raingauges.

100 Supprimé: Supprimé: cumulative Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Supprimé: 6 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Supprimé: Distribution Mis en forme (... Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Supprimé: gauges Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Supprimé: nonrelevant Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Supprimé: gauges Mis en forme : Police :11 pt

(...

Page 18 sur 42

945 4.3 Water balance

The average annual water balance, in the form of a Turc-Budyko diagram, was used as another diagnostic tool to verify the hydroclimatic consistency of the assembled dataset. The results, presented in Figure 10, show that the studied catchments correspond to conservative catchments (points located in the white part of the graph, i.e., Q < P and P - Q < PET), except for the catchments of Rivière du Sud at Camp-Perrin (Q-008) 950 and Rivière Grise (Q-001). More than 90% of the Q-008 catchment is on a calcareous geological formation, and part of the catchment is also affected by karstic aquifers. Therefore, there may be a contribution of water from neighboring catchments that justifies such a high Q/P ratio, but no such study has been conducted to confirm or refute this hypothesis. The interpretation of the results for the Q-001 catchment is more difficult, as it may be related to the choice of relevant raingauge combinations for this catchment, or to a real exchange 955 of streamflow with the neighboring catchments, or to a mixture of both. The water balances obtained with the relevant raingauge combinations are shifted to the lower right (blue circles and triangles). This is related to the fact that some of the raingauges used are very wet (see section 4.2.3) and therefore increase the rainfall at the catchment scale. No clear trend was observed between the water balances obtained with observed streamflow and those obtained with simulated streamflow.







Figure <u>10</u> – Average annual water balance in the form of a Turc–Budyko diagram for all 24 catchments. The reference raingauges are shown in red and the relevant raingauges are shown in blue. Observed streamflows are shown as circles and simulated streamflows with parameters calculated over the whole period of available data are shown as triangles.

965 4.4 Performance of the rainfall–runoff models

Three sets of parameters (see section 3.4) were used to simulate three sets of monthly streamflow for each of the 24 catchments using the GR2M rainfall–runoff model, forced by the relevant raingauge combinations and the PET calculated with digitized air temperatures. The results, presented in Figure 11 (a), show that the KGE scores have a median value of 0.75 in calibration and 0.67 in evaluation.

970 The relevant raingauges have daily data for 21 of the 24 catchments. Therefore, daily streamflow series were simulated by the GR4J model for these 21 catchments. The KGE scores have a median value of 0.57 in

 Supprimé: on the left

 Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

 Supprimé: Figure 8

 Supprimé: ,

 Supprimé: the

 Supprimé: the

Page 19 sur 42

calibration and 0.44 in evaluation (Figure 11, (b)). The daily rainfall data used as input to GR4J may partly explain the low KGE values obtained, Indeed, raingauges with high percentages of missing data led to instability and poor performance of the GR2M model in most catchments, which required searching for relevant raingauges to improve the stability and performance of the model at the monthly time step (see section 4.2). However, there is a higher percentage of missing data in the available daily rainfall data than in the monthly data. Furthermore, the limited availability of daily data makes it difficult to improve the performance of the model at the daily time step.



Calibration

Evaluat

0.25

0.00

Supprimé:

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Supprimé: 8

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Supprimé: the

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Supprimé: tor modeling with GR2M

 Supprimé: GR4J

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Supprimé: GR4J

 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique

 Supprimé: 48

 Supprimé: Table C2.

 Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

 Supprimé: displayed

 Supprimé: Figure 9

Mis en forme : Police : Non Italique

Supprimé: Figure 10

Supprimé:). However, the simulated streamflows do not provide good estimates of the monthly streamflow values for the two high streamflow periods in May and November (see Figure 10). The

Page 20 sur 42

Figure 11 - Synthesis of KGE scores in calibration and evaluation at monthly (a) and daily time steps.

990 4.5 Catchment attributes

The <u>49</u> catchment attributes were calculated as described in section 3.5 and <u>Table C3</u>. Results for all attributes are not presented in this paper. Only some climate indices and hydrological signatures are presented.

4.5.1 Hydrological signature at the monthly time step

The observed and simulated mean annual streamflows from GR2M are <u>illustrated</u> in <u>Figure 12</u>. The results
 show that streamflow is higher in the southwest and north of Haiti and lower in the central part. <u>However, the</u>
 <u>Q-008</u> catchment has a significantly higher mean annual streamflow than its three neighboring catchments
 (<u>Q-010</u>, <u>Q-068</u> and <u>Q-029</u>). As shown in section 4.3, over 90% of the <u>Q-008</u> catchment is situated on a
 <u>calcareous</u> geological formation, 40% of which is on karstic aquifers. Therefore, it is probable that an influx of
 water from neighboring catchments is accountable for such a high mean annual streamflow. Nevertheless, no
 study has been conducted to confirm or dispute this hypothesis. The simulated streamflow represents well
 the spatial pattern of the observed streamflow and gives good estimates of the observed mean annual streamflow.

Figure 13 shows the rainfall and streamflow regimes for the studied catchments. The results show a bi-modal rainfall/streamflow regime with two seasons of heavy rainfall/streamflow: the first season occurring around May and the second season between September and November, which corresponds to the cyclonic season. Rainfall is highly variable during the cyclonic season, with relatively heavy rainfall recorded in some catchments. The simulated streamflow represents well the seasonality of the observed streamflow (see Figure 13). However, simulated streamflows overestimate the observed values in May and underestimate them in November. In addition, the simulated streamflows slightly overestimate the low values in January. A time lag has been observed between the peak rainfall in October and the peak flow in November. This lag can be explained by soil saturation. The second season of heavy rainfall in November is relatively lower than in

985





Figure <u>12</u> – Spatial distribution of observed mean annual <u>streamflows (a)</u> and simulated <u>streamflows (b)</u> with the GR2M parameters calculated over the entire period of available data,

1035

1040





The aridity indices and runoff coefficients are presented in Figure 14. The aridity indices show the same spatial pattern as the mean annual streamflow (Figure 12), i.e., they are greater than 1 in the central part of Haiti (arid zone) and lower in the southwest and north (humid zone).

1045 The runoff coefficients are approximately 0.35 for catchments in the central zone and approximately 0.5 in the southwest and north of Haiti. The South River catchment at Camp-Perrin (Q-008), discussed above, has a

Supprimé: Figure 11
Supprimé: Figure 9





runoff coefficient greater than 1, meaning that runoff is greater than rainfall. This high runoff coefficient can be explained by the presence of karst aquifers in the Q-008 catchment.

1060

Figure <u>14</u> – <u>(a)</u> Aridity index calculated from rainfall series from relevant raingauges. <u>(b) Runoff</u> coefficient calculated from observed streamflow series on the right.

4.5.2 Hydrological signatures at daily time step

The hydrological attributes of the simulated and observed <u>streamflows</u> for the 21 selected catchments are summarized in Figure 15. The results show that the simulated streamflows are able to represent average daily streamflow well, underestimate low streamflow (5% quantile), and overestimate high streamflow (95% quantile) and baseflow indices (Pelletier and Andréassian, 2020). These overestimates of high streamflow and underestimates of low streamflow result in increased frequencies and durations of simulated high and low streamflow relative to observed streamflow. This poor representation of simulated high and low streamflow is a consequence of the poor performance of the GR4J model for most catchments.





Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique Supprimé: 12 Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique Supprimé: top left: Mis en forme : Police :11 pt, Non Italique



070

Figure 15 – Summary of hydrological signatures at the daily time step for observed and simulated streamflow and for 21 catchments. From (a) to (h), daily mean streamflow, 5% quantiles, 95% quantiles, baseflow, high streamflow frequencies, low streamflow frequencies, high streamflow durations, and low streamflow durations.

1065

Supprimé: signature Supprimé: streamflow

Supprimé:

Supprimé: 11

Mis en forme : Police : Non Italique

Supprimé: on the left, and runoff

18.0°N

74.5°V

74.0°W 73.5°W

Aridity [-]

73.0°W

72.5°W 72.0°V

1.0 1.2

4.6 Graphical summary sheets of the Simbi database

The main <u>catchment characteristics</u> are summarized in sheets. These summary sheets have been inspired by those prepared by the catchment hydrology research group at INRAE (Brigode et al., 2020). An example is shown in <u>Figure 16</u> describing the main characteristics of the Cavaillon catchment, which was studied several times after Hurricane Matthew (Mathieu, 2023; Joseph, 2019; Joseph et al., 2018). This catchment has an area of 320 km², half of which is at an elevation above 250 m, with a slope greater than 10°, and overlies a karst aquifer. During the rainy season (April–November), the catchment receives more than 150 mm/month of rainfall and more than 280 mm/month during the peak rainfall in May and November. Streamflows can reach 100 mm/month during May–June and October–November. Simulated streamflows underestimate maximum annual flows with a return period of less than 10 years and overestimate flows beyond 10 years. The generalized extreme value (Beirlant et al., 2004; Coles, 2001; Jenkinson, 1955) and the distribution of annual values (precipitation, PET, air temperature or streamflow) were used to estimate values for multiple return periods. Simulated streamflows also underestimate low flows (annual minimums).

Thus, during flood periods, we can expect daily streamflows of several hundred <u>mm</u>, and conversely, during dry periods, streamflows can be on the order of 10 mm/month.

Supprimé: <#>Geological and hydrogeological attributes¶ <#>More than 80% of the total area of the 24 catchments consists of limestone sedimentary rocks (see Figure 13). These geological formations are the basis for sedimentary aquifers of varying permeability, carbonate aquifers, and karst aquifers (see Figure 14). These hydrogeological structures favor the exchange of streamflow of greater or lesser magnitude between adjacent catchments, which can result in catchments that are not always hydrologically "closed." In addition to the quality of the data used in our study area, the complex hydrogeology of Haiti may be an explanatory factor for the low KGE values obtained in the validation for several catchments. Unfortunately, few studies have focused on hydrological and hydrogeological modeling in Haiti due to the lack of available data. Simbi could be a starting point for a better understanding of Haitian hydrology.¶



Figure 13 – Percentage of each geological formation in relation to the total area of the 24 catchments.

More productive alluvia Low permeability sedimentary for

Karst a Highly permeable fissured and porous carbonate ar

Fissured and partitioned carbonate a

Crystalline for

Carbonate aquifers with marl interca

Alluvial aquifers with partly confined

Alluvial aquifers with free

<#: <#:

Supprimé: results

Supprimé:	that highlight the key characteristics of the catchments
studied	

Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique

Supprimé: Figure 15

Supprimé: (Mathieu, 2023; Joseph, 2019; Joseph et al., 2018)

Supprimé: millimeters



1			
1130	5 <u>Uncertainties</u>		Déplacé vers le bas [3]: <#>Data availability¶
1135	 This section discusses the main sources of uncertainty associated with the Simbi database. These uncertainties can be classified into four main types: The Simbi database contains historical data, which may be prone to errors due to factors such as the used equipment, methods employed to measure flows, and the establishment of rating curves. For most streams, water levels were measured manually by reading a vertical scale placed on one of the banks of the stream two or three times a day. Over time, 12 automatic recorders have been installed on 11 rivers, providing automatic and continuous readings of water levels on these streams. The metadata indicates the quality of the rating curves and the stations where the automatic meander. 		
1140	<u>2. The historical data was originally in paper format and has been digitized. Despite quality control tests,</u> <u>uncertainties remain regarding the digitization of paper archives.</u>		
	3. The raingauges identified as relevant for hydrological modelling in this article depend on the use of a rainfall-runoff model. Different methodologies or rainfall-runoff models may produce different results and thus different catchment-scale precipitation forcing.		
1145	4. The simulated streamflows are dependent on the rainfall-runoff models that are used and may differ if other models are used. It is important to note that, especially at the daily time step, the KGE obtained for some catchments are poor (KGE<0.5).		
	<u>6 Data availability</u>		Déplacé (insertion) [3]
1150	<u>Ine Simpli database is freely</u> available for download at: <u>https://doi.org/10.23/08/02POK6</u> (Batnelemy et al., 2023) The SIMPL DEADME tyt file contains a description of the database and the organization of the various	\leq	Supprimé: The Simbi database is
1150	files and folders. Missing data in the Simbi database are indicated by -9999.		Code de champ modifié
1155	7 Conclusion and perspectives To the best of our knowledge, the <u>hydro-meteorological</u> database presented in this article represents the first open access, <u>and</u> exhaustively documented hydro-meteorological dataset for Haiti. This database, called		Mis en forme : Anglais (Royaume-Uni) Supprimé: The 00_OBSERVED_DATA folder contains the observed data (daily streamflow, daily and monthly rainfall, and monthly air temperatures). The 01_CATCHIMENT folder contains the contours and the outlets of the 24 catchments. The 02_SIMULATED_STREAMFLOW folder contains the simulated monthly and daily streamflow series. The 03_ATTRIBUTE folder contains all 48 catchment attributes. The 04_MAP folder contains catchment graphical summary sheets summarizing all available data and the main results obtained for each catchment
1155	Contains station observations and calciment-scale data. The station observations contain.	$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Supprimé: hydrological
	1. Og dally raintall series available from 1920 to 1940,		Supprimé: work of an
	2. 156 monthly rainfall series available from 1905 to 2005,	Y	Supprimé: ,"
	3. 70 daily streamflow series available from 1920 to 1940,		
	4. 23 monthly air temperature series available from 1926 to 1939.		
1160	The data at the catchments scale contain:		
	1. Climate forcings (precipitation, air temperature and potential evapotranspiration) a both monthly and daily timestep for 24 and 21 catchments, respectively.		
1165	 Simulated monthly streamflow series for 24 catchments and simulated daily streamflow series for 21 catchments using three sets of parameters (three simulated streamflow series per catchment) from the GR2M monthly and GR4J daily rainfall–runoff models, and 		

Page 25 sur 42

3.	A set of indices	; tha	it describe a	wide	range of low	, modera	ite, a	nd <mark>hea</mark> v	<u>/y</u> rainfall a	nd streamflow
	characteristics	to	characterize	the	hydrological	regime	and	water	resources	management
	applications.									

The <u>Simbi</u> database highlights the spatial variability of Haiti's hydrological conditions. The central part of Haiti is associated with relatively low streamflow and high drought coefficients. <u>The southwest is</u> associated with relatively high streamflow. In fact, large floods are more frequent in these areas (Terrier *et al.*, 2017). <u>No clear</u> <u>trend was observed in the north.</u> The simulated monthly streamflows perform well in representing average streamflow and their spatial variability. However, the model is less effective at the daily time step (KGE score in evaluation is below 0.5 for most <u>catchments</u>). This results in poor representation of the frequency or number of consecutive days with high and low streamflow. This may be due to a combination of the quality of the data used and the calcareous geological formations that can create non-conservative catchments that are difficult to model.

Our database can be considered a starting point for any hydroclimatic <u>study</u> in Haiti, since it gathers, in addition to the simulated data, all the hydroclimatic data available in Haiti over several <u>vears</u>. The <u>database</u> could <u>contribute</u> to better <u>knowledge of</u> the <u>hydro-</u>climatology in the twentieth century, and to study the evolution of the climate in Haiti for better adaptation to climate change. Frequency analysis methods can be

195 <u>utilized</u> to estimate flood return periods, <u>The accessibility</u> of streamflow <u>data allows</u> for the <u>possibility</u> of <u>various</u> rainfall-runoff modeling <u>approaches to be applied</u>. Overall, this hydrological database will contribute to a better understanding of hydrological risk in Haiti. The database will be regularly updated by integrating the historical archives that will later be digitized, making it the most complete hydrological database in Haiti. <u>However</u>, <u>Simbi</u> is associated with several sources of uncertainty, including data quality (historical data), digitization of paper archives, identification of relevant raingauges, and rainfall-runoff models. It is important to consider these

Competing interests

uncertainties when using Simbi.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1205 Author contribution

1180

Conceptualization and methodology: RB, PB, VA, CP. Data curation: RB, VM, CG. Original draft preparation RB. Review and editing: all authors.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Bibliothèque Haïtienne des Spiritains (BHS) for providing the paper archive of daily rainfall data and the BVH project for providing the daily streamflow series. Special thanks to the students Eddy-Terson François, Douninio Jeanite, Appollon Jean Philippe, and John Claury Ménélas of the Université d'Etat d'Haïti who contributed to digitizing the daily rainfall data and to the students Kathleen Gerarduzzi, Camille Morillon, and Alexandre Antony of the Université Côte d'Azur who digitized the monthly air temperature data. Olivier Delaigue's work inspired the design of our files, and we extend our thanks to him.

1215 Thanks to Isabella Athanassiou for editing the English version of the manuscript. <u>The authors thank the three</u> reviewers and the editor who provided constructive comments on an earlier version of the manuscript, which helped clarify the text.

The authors also thank the CLIMEXHA project (Anticipating Extreme CLIMATE events over HAITI for a sustainable development) and Earden pour la Readersha et la Dévelopment de la PPH (Haiti)

sustainable development) and Fonds pour la Recherche et le Développement de la BRH (Haiti),
 which contributed financially to the digitization of the daily rainfall data. This study is part of Ralph Bathelemy's
 Ph.D. thesis funded by the Anténor Firmin grant from the French Embassy in Haiti, the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) through the ARTS grant, and the CARIBACT International Mixed Laboratory.

Appendix A

Supprime.	extreme	

Supprimé: processed

Supprimé: Conversely, the north and south of Haiti are

-{	Supprimé: the
	Supprimé: watersheds

Supprimé: Moreover, the estimation of relevant raingauge combinations and the simulation are dependent on the model used. A different model may produce different results. Thus, these results should be used with caution. Supprimé: work

Supprimé: decades, It

Supprimé: help

Supprimé: understand

Supprimé: Haitian

Supprimé: The database could also contribute to better knowledge of the hydrology of the catchments and help assess the impact of massive deforestation and anarchic urbanization on the hydrological response of the catchments.

Supprimé: can be considered. Thanks to the availability Supprimé: series, this database ushers in perspectives

Supprimé: application

Supprimé: different approaches to

Supprimé: -

Mis en forme : Police :Helvetica, 10,5 pt, Italique, Couleur de police : Gris - 80 %, Motif : Transparente (Blanc) Supprimé: work

Page 26 sur 42

1	A verification of the two rainfall databases used was performed by comparing the monthly totals of the digitized	Supprimé: Verification
I	daily rainfall series with the monthly rainfall database created by Moron et al. (2015). For months where the	(
1250	monthly totals of the two databases differed, a re-verification of the digitized daily rainfall series was carried	
1	data produced by Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) were erroneous. The errors in the Moron <i>et al.</i> (2015) data are generally	Mis en forme : Police :Italique
1	of five types:	
	1. A data entry error during the digitization of this monthly data.	
1255	 Data from some months are confused with data from another station with similar names (e.g., St. Louis du Nord and St. Louis du Sud, Verrettes and Fonds Verrettes), which are often not geographically close. 	
	3. Elimination of some extreme values, thinking that they were input errors. For example, the rainfall	
1260	was in fact 1196.9 mm at Camp-Perrin (P-136) in October 1933, but the Moron <u><i>et al.</i> (2015)</u> database stated 196.9 mm.	Mis en forme : Police :Italique
	4. Error in calculating monthly totals. In fact, at the end of each month, the monthly rainfall totals were	
1	calculated by the raingauge managers, and sometimes there were errors in calculating the monthly totals. However, it is these monthly totals that were used to create the Moron et al. (2015) data	Mic on forma i Polica iItaliano
	5 Mixing of data from stations located in the same city. Initially, all the raingauges were managed by	
1265 1270	the observatory of the Petit Séminaire Collège St. Martial, and these raingauges were named after the town in which they were installed. Around 1928, public works began to install stations in the same towns as the first stations. This sometimes led to confusion between neighboring stations. For example, the 1920–1930 data for the Hinche station (P-065) are from the observatory station, and the 1931–1940 data are from the public works station. To avoid confusion, only the observatory stations were used in our study because they are more numerous and contain the longest data series.	
1	Appendix B	Supprimé: After this verification process, the corrected Moron et al.
	GR2M (Mouelhi et al. 2006) is a monthly lumped rainfall-runoff model. Its structure (see Figure B1) combines.	database and digitized daily data were used in this study.¶
I	a production store and a routing store to simulate the hydrological behavior of the catchment. The model has	Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique
1275	two parameters to optimize during calibration:	
	1. X1: the production store maximal capacity [mm],	
	2. X2: the catchment water exchange coefficient [-],	
	GR4J (Perrin et al., 2003) is a daily lumped rainfall-runoff model. Its structure (see Figure B1) combines a	Mis en forme : Police :Non Italique
1280	production store and a routing store and unit to simulate the hydrological behavior of the catchment. The	Supprimé: Figure B1
1200	3 X1: the production store maximal canacity [mm]	
	 X1. the production store maximal capacity [mill], X0. the establishment water evaluates a coefficient fram/devil. 	
	4. Λz : the catchment water exchange coefficient [mm/day],	
	5. X3: the 1-day maximal capacity of the routing store [mm],	

6. X4: the HU1 unit hydrograph time base [days].



Table C1 - Ratio and error between the catchment areas calculated with TauDEM and those of the

1290

Figure B1 - Diagram of GR2M and GR4J models.

Appendix C

hydrographic bulletins.					
Catchment code	Ratio [-]	Error [%]			
<u>Q-001</u>	<u>0.99</u>	1			
<u>Q-004</u>	<u>0.97</u>	2			
<u>Q-006</u>	<u>0.95</u>	<u>5</u>			
<u>Q-007</u>	<u>1.01</u>	1			
<u>Q-008</u>	<u>1.01</u>	1			
<u>Q-010</u>	<u>1.03</u>	<u>3</u>			
<u>Q-023</u>	<u>1.01</u>	1			
<u>Q-024</u>	<u>1.05</u>	<u>5</u>			
<u>Q-029</u>	<u>1.08</u>	<u>8</u>			
<u>Q-036</u>	0.92	<u>8</u>			

Mis en forme : Police :11 pt Supprimé: 1

Page 28 sur 42

<u>Q-037</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Q-041</u>	<u>0.99</u>	1
<u>Q-044</u>	<u>1.02</u>	2
<u>Q-045</u>	<u>1.50</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Q-051</u>	<u>0.88</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Q-052</u>	<u>1.04</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Q-053</u>	<u>0.97</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Q-056</u>	<u>2.17</u>	<u>117</u>
<u>Q-057</u>	<u>0.99</u>	1
<u>Q-058</u>	<u>1.10</u>	1
<u>Q-060</u>	<u>2.86</u>	<u>186</u>
<u>Q-061</u>	<u>0.51</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Q-065</u>	<u>1.32</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Q-068</u>	1.08	<u>8</u>

Table C2 – Summary of the number of raingauges used to calculate reference rainfall, the number of combinations of these raingauges, and the most important raingauges for hydrological modeling.

Catchment name	Catchment area [km²]	Catchment code	Number of reference raingauges	Number of combinations without <u>missing</u> data	Relevant raingauges
AMONT DU	274.33				
BASSIN -					P-091 P-104 P-095 P-
RIVIERE GRISE		Q-001	7	110	118
ARCAHAIE -	65.65				
RIVIERE					
MATHEUX		Q-004	7	92	P-056 P-057 P-059
BASSIN PROBY	78.73				
- RIVIERE					P-054 P-056 P-057 P-
COUJOL		Q-006	6	44	059 P-087 P-108
BUISSONNIERE	239.68				
- RIVIERE					
MOMANCE		Q-007	7	118	P-091 P-114 P-118
CAMP PERRIN -	65.73				
RIVIERE					P-116 P-135 P-136 P-
RAVINE DU SUD		Q-008	5	8	150 P-131

Mis en forme : Police :11 pt

Supprimé: gaps

Page 29 sur 42

CAVAILLON -	321.21				
CAVAILLON		Q-010	6	39	P-136 P-143 P-150
GROS MORNE -	272 60				
	212.00				P-001 P-004 P-033 P-
		0.022	0	107	
RIVIERES	4000.00	Q-023	0	107	000 F-070
HINCHE -	1966.90				P-075 P-017 P-056 P-
RIVIERE					059 P-068 P-069 P-070
GUAYAMOUIC		Q-024	11	1022	P-054 P-033
LES CAYES -	100.77				
RIVIERE ISLET		Q-029	5	19	P-136 P-143 P-150
MESSAYE -	73.00				
RIV/IERE	10.00				P-054 P-087 P-093 P-
		0.026	7	00	100
	7404.00	Q-030	1	00	
MIREBALAIS -	7464.22				P-057 P-060 P-065 P-
RIVIERE					100 P-068 P-070 P-010
ARTIBONITE		Q-037	11	1634	P-069 P-075
P0NT-SONDE -	8604.47				P-056 P-060 P-065 P-
RIVIERE					066 P-100 P-057 P-069
ARTIBONITE		Q-041	11	1648	P-075
PASSE FINE -	304.04	~ • • •			
	004.04				
		0.044	F	10	F-030 F-037 F-033 F-
	400.40	Q-044	D	12	100 P-106
PASSE JOLY -	192.13				
RIVIERE					P-004 P-068 P-028 P-
D'ENNERY		Q-045	7	107	044 P-045 P-033
PLAISANCE -	44.84				
RIVIERE TROIS-					
RIVIERES		Q-051	6	43	P-004 P-068 P-070
PONT RENOIT	137.90	~ ***			
	107.00				
		0.050		405	P-037 P-039 P-000 P-
ESTERE		Q-052	8	185	056 P-066 P-075 P-053
PONT	245.46				
CHRISTOPHE -					P-004 P-009 P-010 P-
RIVIERE LIMBE		Q-053	8	187	068 P-044
RIVIERE	547.75				
GRANDE RIV.					P-068 P-009 P-017 P-
DUNORD		Q-056	10	508	025 P-027 P-065
	179.01	4 000	10	000	0201 0211 000
	773.04				
		0.057	0	216	D 062 D 072 D 104
CHEVAL	400.00	Q-057	0	210	P-062 P-072 P-104
PONT	168.20				
TOUSSAINT -					
RIVIERE					P-028 P-056 P-068 P-
MONTROUIS		Q-058	10	950	057 P-087
OUANAMINTHE	315.01				
- RIVIERE					
MASSAGRE		Q-060	4	8	P-057 P-068 P-017
ROCHE	128 40	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		0	
	120.10				P-004 P-033 P-044 P-
		0.061	0	107	
	1	Q-001	8	187	000 -010 -010 -009

Page 30 sur 42

ST-RAPHAEL - RIVIERE	177.95				P-004 P-009 P-045 P-
BONYAHA		Q-065	8	126	068 P-010 P-033
TORBECK - RIVIERE	95.99				
TORBECK		Q-068	4	9	P-136 P-143 P-150

1300

ble <u>C3</u> - List of catchr	nent attributes used in this	s study.			Mis en forme : Police :11 pt
Attribute class	Attribute name	Description	Unit	Data used	Cellules insérées
Location and	code	catchment identifier	-	The digital el	Tableau mis en forme
topography	name	Catchment name	-	resolution of	<u>th a</u> <u>f 90 m</u> (Dautas
	Lon_Exu	Longitude of the catchment outlet	°W	et al., 2007)	and the ontours
	Lat_Exu	Latitude of the catchment outlet	°N	3.1.2 were u	<u>section</u> <u>tilized.</u>
	Lon_Cent	Longitude of the catchment centroid	°W		
	Lat_Cent	Latitude of the catchment centroid	°N		
	Area	Catchment area	Km²		
	Gravelius	Gravelius coefficient (catchment elongation)	-		
	Min_Elev	Minimum catchment elevation	m		
	Max_elev	Maximum catchment elevation	m		
	Sd_Elev	Standard deviation of catchment elevations	m		
	Stream density	ratio of the total of all stream segments to the area of the catchment	Km/km ²		
	Slope	Average slope of the catchment computed according to Horn (1981)	•		

Page 31 sur 42

	1				
	Hypso_curve	cumulative frequency of catchment elevations	m		
Geological characteristics	Lithology	Percentage of the catchment covered by each geologic class	%	The shapefile CNIGS lith classes was uti	e for ology lized.
	Carb_Rocks_Perc	Percentage of the catchment covered by carbonate sedimentary rocks	%		
	Sedim_Perc	Percentage of the catchment covered by sedimentary rocks	%		
	Magma_Perc	Percentage of the catchment covered by magmatic rocks	%		
Aquifer characteristic	Aquifer	Percentage of the aquifer classes by each catchment	%	The shapefile CNIGS ac classes was uti	<u>for</u> quifer lized.
Land cover	Cover_95	Percentage of the catchment covered by each landcover class (1995)	%	The shapefile CNIGS land classes was uti	e for cover lized.
	Cover_98	Percentage of the catchment covered by each landcover class (1998)	%		
Climatic indices	Aridity	Aridity index: ratio between the rainfall and the potential evapotranspiration (PET)	-	The rainfall, and temper series at catch scale describe section 1.1	PET rature iment ed in Supprime
	P_mean	Rainfall average	mm/month	<u>used.</u>	
	T_mean	Temperature average	°C		
	PET_mean	PET average	mm/month		Supprin
	P_5_month	Rainfall quantile 5%	mm/month		Supprim
	T_5_month	Temperature quantile 5%	°C		
				1	

		[
	PET_5_month	PET quantile 5%	mm/month	Supprimé: PE
	P_95_month	Rainfall quantile 95%	mm/month	Supprimé: PE
	T_95_month	Temperature quantile 95%	°C	
	PET_95_month	<mark>₽ET</mark> quantile 95%	mm/month	Supprimé: PE
	PMNA5	Yearly minimum of monthly rainfall not exceeded once in 5 years	mm/month	Supprimé: PE
	PMXA10	Yearly maximum of monthly rainfall exceeded once in 10 years	mm/month	
Hydrological signatures on a monthly time scale calculated with	Runoff_Ratio	Runoff coefficient: ratio between the streamflow and the rainfall	-	The 24 streamflow series selected in section 3.1.1 and the parameters of
simulated streamflow	Q_mean_month	Mean monthly streamflow	mm/month	the GR2M (Mouelhi et al., 2006) and
	Q_5_month	Streamflow quantile 5%	mm/month	2003) reinfill-runoff
	Q_95_month	Streamflow quantile 95%	mm/month	models were used.
	QMNA5	Yearly minimum of monthly streamflow not exceeded once in 5 years	mm/month	
	QMXA10	Yearly maximum of monthly streamflow exceeded once in 10 years	mm/month	
	GR2M_param	the two parameters of GR2M	-	
Hydrological signatures	Q_mean_day	Mean daily streamflow	mm/d	
calculated with observed and 3 simulated streamflow	bfi	Baseflow index : ratio between the baseflow volume and the total streamflow volume	-	

Page 33 sur 42

	(Pelletier and Andréassian, 2020)		
high_q_freq	Frequency of high-flow days (> 9 times the median daily flow)	d/yr	
high_q_dur	Average duration of high-flow events (number of consecutive days > 9 times the median daily flow)	d	
low_q_freq	Frequency of low-flow days (< 0.2 times the mean daily flow)	d/yr	
low_q_dur	Average duration of low- flow events (number of consecutive days < 0.2 times the mean daily flow)	d	
Q_5_day	Streamflow quantile 5% (low flow)	mm/d	
Q_95_day	Streamflow quantile 5% (high flow)	mm/d	
GR4J_param	the four parameters of GR2M		

1310

Table C <u>4 – Classes</u> of lithc	logy, aquifers, and land <u>cover</u> .	Supprime
lithology types	Alluvium, detrital materials	Supprime
	Andesites and rhyodacites	
	Basalt	
	Diorite and tonalite	
	Flysch, sandstone and limestone	
	Hard limestone	
	Marl and marly limestone	
	Marl and sand	

Supprimé: 3 – Types
Supprimé: use

Page 34 sur 42

Marly limestone
Ultrabasic rocks
Volcano-sedimentary rock
Alluvial aquifers with free water
Alluvial aquifers with partly confined water
Carbonate aquifers with marl intercalation
Crystalline formation
Fissured and partitioned carbonate aquifers
Highly permeable fissured and porous carbonate aquifers
Karst aquifer
Low permeability sedimentary formation
More productive alluvial area
Closed Shrubland
Cropland
Deciduous Broadleaf Forest
Deciduous Needleleaf Forest
Evergreen Broadleaf Forest
Evergreen Needleleaf Forest
Grassland
Mixed Forest
Open Shrubland
Urban
Water
Wooded Grassland
Woodland
Beaches and dunes

Continuous urban
Dense agricultural crops
Dense agroforestry systems
Discontinuous urban
Dominant pastures
Forest
Industrial areas
Mangroves
Medium-density agricultural crops
Pasture with other presence
Ports and airports
Quarry
River beds and recent alluvium
Rock outcrops and bare soil
Saline areas
Savannahs
Savannahs with other presence
Water plan
Wetlands

1315 References

Abbaspour, K. C., Faramarzi, M., Ghasemi, S. S., and Yang, H.: Assessing the impact of climate change on water resources in Iran, Water Resources Research, 45, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007615, 2009.

Addor, N., Newman, A. J., Mizukami, N., and Clark, M. P.: The CAMELS data set: catchment attributes and meteorology for large-sample studies, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 5293–5313, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-5293-2017, 2017.

1320

Page 36 sur 42

Alfieri, L., Lorini, V., Hirpa, F. A., Harrigan, S., Zsoter, E., Prudhomme, C., and Salamon, P.: A global streamflow reanalysis for 1980–2018, Journal of Hydrology X, 6, 100049, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydroa.2019.100049, 2020.

Alvarez-Garreton, C., Mendoza, P. A., Boisier, J. P., Addor, N., Galleguillos, M., Zambrano-Bigiarini, M., Lara,
 A., Puelma, C., Cortes, G., Garreaud, R., McPhee, J., and Ayala, A.: The CAMELS-CL dataset: catchment attributes and meteorology for large sample studies – Chile dataset, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 22, 5817–5846, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-5817-2018, 2018.

1330

Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Michel, C., Usart-Sanchez, I., and Lavabre, J.: Impact of imperfect rainfall knowledge on the efficiency and the parameters of watershed models, Journal of Hydrology, 250, 206–223, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00437-1, 2001.

Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Michel, C.: Impact of imperfect potential evapotranspiration knowledge on the efficiency and parameters of watershed models, Journal of Hydrology, 286, 19–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.030, 2004.

Bathelemy, R., Brigode, P., Boisson, D., and Tric, E.: Rainfall in the Greater and Lesser Antilles: Performance of five gridded datasets on a daily timescale, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 43, 101203, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.101203, 2022.

Bathelemy, R., Brigode, P., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Moron, V., Gaucherel, C., Tric, E., and Boisson, D.: Simbi database: historical hydro-meteorological time series and catchment attributes in Haiti, 1905-2005, https://doi.org/10.23708/02POK6, 2023.

1340 Beck, H. E., Wood, E. F., Pan, M., Fisher, C. K., Miralles, D. G., Dijk, A. I. J. M. van, McVicar, T. R., and Adler, R. F.: MSWEP V2 Global 3-Hourly 0.1° Precipitation: Methodology and Quantitative Assessment, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 100, 473–500, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0138.1, 2019.

Beirlant, J., Goegebeur, Y., Segers, J., and Teugels, J.: Statistics of extremes: theory and applications, 2004.

 Bendjoudi, H. and Hubert, P.: Le coefficient de compacité de Gravelius: analyse critique d'un indice de forme
 des bassins versants, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 47, 921–930, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626660209493000, 2002.

Benoit, L., Sichoix, L., Nugent, A. D., Lucas, M. P., and Giambelluca, T. W.: Stochastic daily rainfall generation on tropical islands with complex topography, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26, 2113–2129, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-2113-2022, 2022.

1350 Boisson, D. and Pubellier, M.: Carte géologique à 1/250 000 de la République d'Haïti [Geologic map of the Republic of Haiti at 1/250,000] BME, IMAGEO, CNRS, Paris, 1987.

Bonhomme, V., Frelat, R., and Gaucherel, C.: Application of elliptical Fourier analysis to watershed boundaries: a case study in Haiti, Géomorphologie : relief, processus, environnement, 19, 17–26, https://doi.org/10.4000/geomorphologie.10100, 2013.

1355 Brigode, P., Brissette, F., Nicault, A., Perreault, L., Kuentz, A., Mathevet, T., and Gailhard, J.: Streamflow variability over the 1881–2011 period in northern Québec: comparison of hydrological reconstructions based on tree rings and geopotential height field reanalysis, Climate of the Past, 12, 1785–1804, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-1785-2016, 2016.

Brigode, P., Génot, B., Lobligeois, F., and Delaigue, O.: Summary sheets of watershed-scale hydroclimatic observed data for France, , https://doi.org/10.15454/UV01P1, 2020.

Page 37 sur 42

Brocca, L., Filippucci, P., Hahn, S., Ciabatta, L., Massari, C., Camici, S., Schüller, L., Bojkov, B., and Wagner, W.: SM2RAIN–ASCAT (2007–2018): global daily satellite rainfall data from ASCAT soil moisture observations, Earth System Science Data, 11, 1583–1601, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1583-2019, 2019.

Burgess, C. P., Taylor, M. A., Spencer, N., Jones, J., and Stephenson, T. S.: Estimating damages from climate-related natural disasters for the Caribbean at 1.5 °C and 2 °C global warming above preindustrial levels, Reg Environ Change, 18, 2297–2312, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1423-6, 2018.

Burnash, R. J. C.: The NWS River Forecast System-catchment modeling., Computer models of watershed hydrology., 311–366, 1995.

Butterlin, J.: Geologie generale et regionale de la Republique d'Haiti, Éditions de l'IHEAL, Paris, 1960.

1370 Caillouet, L., Vidal, J.-P., Sauquet, E., Devers, A., and Graff, B.: Ensemble reconstruction of spatio-temporal extreme low-flow events in France since 1871, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 2923–2951, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-2923-2017, 2017.

1375

Chagas, V. B. P., Chaffe, P. L. B., Addor, N., Fan, F. M., Fleischmann, A. S., Paiva, R. C. D., and Siqueira, V. A.: CAMELS-BR: hydrometeorological time series and landscape attributes for 897 catchments in Brazil, Earth System Science Data, 12, 2075–2096, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2075-2020, 2020.

Chokkavarapu, N. and Mandla, V. R.: Comparative study of GCMs, RCMs, downscaling and hydrological models: a review toward future climate change impact estimation, SN Appl. Sci., 1, 1698, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1764-x, 2019.

<u>Coles, S.: An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values, Springer London, London,</u> https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-3675-0, 2001.

Coron, L., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., and Le Moine, N.: Graphical tools based on Turc-Budyko plots to detect changes in catchment behaviour, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 60, 1394–1407, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2014.964245, 2015.

Coron, L., Thirel, G., Delaigue, O., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: The suite of lumped GR hydrological models in an R package, Environmental Modelling & Software, 94, 166–171, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.05.002, 2017.

Coron, L., Delaigue, O., Thirel, G., Dorchies, D., Perrin, C., and Michel, C.: airGR: Suite of GR Hydrological Models for Precipitation-Runoff Modelling, <u>R package version 1.7.6.</u>, <u>https://doi.org/10.15454/EX11NA</u>, 2020.

1390 Coxon, G., Addor, N., Bloomfield, J. P., Freer, J., Fry, M., Hannaford, J., Howden, N. J. K., Lane, R., Lewis, M., Robinson, E. L., Wagener, T., and Woods, R.: CAMELS-GB: hydrometeorological time series and landscape attributes for 671 catchments in Great Britain, Earth System Science Data, 12, 2459–2483, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2459-2020, 2020.

Cressie, N.: The origins of kriging, Math Geol, 22, 239-252, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00889887, 1990.

1395 Croley, T. E. and Hartmann, H. C.: Resolving Thiessen polygons, Journal of Hydrology, 76, 363–379, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(85)90143-X, 1985.

Crooks, S. M. and Kay, A. L.: Simulation of river flow in the Thames over 120 years: Evidence of change in rainfall-runoff response?, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 4, 172–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.05.014, 2015.

Supprimé: Churches, C. E., Wampler, P. J., Sun, W., and Smith, A. J.: Evaluation of forest cover estimates for Haiti using supervised classification of Landsat data, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 30, 203–216, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2014.01.020, 2014.91

Supprimé: ., Andréassian, V., Bourgin, F., Brigode, P., Moine, N. L., Mathevet, T., Mouelhi, S., Oudin, L., Pushpalatha, R., and Valéry, A Supprimé: , 2023

Page 38 sur 42

Dewandel, B., Lachassagne, P., Bakalowicz, M., Weng, P., and Al-Malki, A.: Evaluation of aquifer thickness by analysing recession hydrographs. Application to the Oman ophiolite hard-rock aquifer, Journal of Hydrology, 274, 248–269, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00418-3, 2003.

Dewandel, B., Lachassagne, P., and Qatan, A.: Spatial measurements of stream baseflow, a relevant method for aquifer characterization and permeability evaluation. Application to a hard-rock aquifer, the Oman ophiolite, Hydrological Processes, 18, 3391–3400, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.1502, 2004.

Di Piazza, A., Conti, F. L., Noto, L. V., Viola, F., and La Loggia, G.: Comparative analysis of different techniques for spatial interpolation of rainfall data to create a serially complete monthly time series of precipitation for Sicily, Italy, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 13, 396– 408, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2011.01.005, 2011.

Fowler, A.: Assessment of the validity of using mean potential evaporation in computations of the long-term soil water balance, Journal of Hydrology, 256, 248–263, 2002.

1420 Fowler, K. J. A., Acharya, S. C., Addor, N., Chou, C., and Peel, M. C.: CAMELS-AUS: hydrometeorological time series and landscape attributes for 222 catchments in Australia, Earth System Science Data, 13, 3847– 3867, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3847-2021, 2021.

1425

Gaucherel, C., Frelat, R., Lustig, A., Rouy, B., Chéry, Y., and Hubert, P.: Time–frequency analysis to profile hydrological regimes: application to Haiti, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 61, 274–288, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2015.1006231, 2016.

Gaucherel, C., Frelat, R., Salomon, L., Rouy, B., Pandey, N., and Cudennec, C.: Regional watershed characterization and classification with river network analyses, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 42, 2068–2081, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4172, 2017.

Gaucherel, C., Frelat, R., Polidori, L., El Hage, M., Cudennec, C., Mondesir, P., and Moron, V.: Weak relationships between landforms and hydro-climatologic processes: a case study in Haiti, Hydrology Research, 50, 744–760, https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2018.041, 2018.

Gudmundsson, L. and Seneviratne, S. I.: Observation-based gridded runoff estimates for Europe (E-RUN version 1.1), Earth System Science Data, 8, 279–295, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-279-2016, 2016.

 Gudmundsson, L., Do, H. X., Leonard, M., and Westra, S.: The Global Streamflow Indices and Metadata
 Archive (GSIM) – Part 2: Quality control, time-series indices and homogeneity assessment, Earth System Science Data, 10, 787–804, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-787-2018, 2018.

Gupta, H. V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K. K., and Martinez, G. F.: Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling, Journal of Hydrology, 377, 80–91, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.003, 2009.

1440 Han, D. and Bray, M.: Automated Thiessen polygon generation, Water Resources Research, 42, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004365, 2006.

Harrigan, S., Zsoter, E., Alfieri, L., Prudhomme, C., Salamon, P., Wetterhall, F., Barnard, C., Cloke, H., and Pappenberger, F.: GloFAS-ERA5 operational global river discharge reanalysis 1979–present, Earth System Science Data, 12, 2043–2060, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2043-2020, 2020.

1445 Hedges, S. B., Cohen, W. B., Timyan, J., and Yang, Z.: Haiti's biodiversity threatened by nearly complete loss of primary forest, PNAS, 115, 11850–11855, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809753115, 2018.

1450	Höge, M., Kauzlaric, M., Siber, R., Schönenberger, U., Horton, P., Schwanbeck, J., Floriancic, M. G., Viviroli, D., Wilhelm, S., Sikorska-Senoner, A. E., Addor, N., Brunner, M., Pool, S., Zappa, M., and Fenicia, F.: CAMELS-CH: hydro-meteorological time series and landscape attributes for 331 catchments in hydrologic Switzerland, Earth System Science Data, <u>15</u> , <u>5755–5784</u> , https://doi.org/10.5194/essd- <u>15-5755-</u> 2023, 2023.	Supprimé: Discussions, 1–46	
	Hom B K Hill shading and the reflectance map Proceedings of the IEEE 69 14–47 1981	Supprimé: -127	
	Jenkinson, A. F.: The frequency distribution of the annual maximum (or minimum) values of meteorological elements, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 81, 158–171,		
	<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49708134804, 1955.</u>		
1455	Jones, P. D. and Lister, D. H.: Riverflow reconstructions for 15 catchments over England and Wales and an assessment of hydrologic drought since 1865, International Journal of Climatology, 18, 999–1013, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199807)18:9<999::AID-JOC300>3.0.CO;2-8, 1998.		
	Joseph, A.: Caractérisation et modélisation des écoulements de crue : application aux inondations de la ville de Cavaillon en Haiti, <u>Thèse de doctorat.</u> UCL - Université Catholique de Louvain, 2019.		
1460	Joseph, A., Gonomy, N., Zech, Y., and Soares-Frazão, S.: Modelling and analysis of the flood risk at Cavaillon City, Haiti, La Houille Blanche, 68–75, https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/2018020, 2018.		
	Khouakhi, A., Villarini, G., and Vecchi, G. A.: Contribution of Tropical Cyclones to Rainfall at the Global Scale, Journal of Climate, 30, 359–372, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0298.1, 2017.		
1465	Klein Tank, A. M. G., Zwiers, F. W., and Zhang, X.: Guidelines on analysis of extremes in a changing climate in support of informed decisions for adaptation, 2009.		
Ι	Klemeš, V.: Operational testing of hydrological simulation models, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 31, 13–24, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626668609491024, 1986.		
1470	Klingler, C., Schulz, K., and Herrnegger, M.: LamaH-CE: LArge-SaMple DAta for Hydrology and Environmental Sciences for Central Europe, Earth System Science Data, 13, 4529–4565, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4529-2021, 2021.		
	Kribèche, R.: Etude de la sensibilité d'un modèle pluie-débit à l'exactitude de l'évaporation (modèle GR4J), <u>Mémoire</u> DEA, Université Paris XII, Créteil, 1994.	Supprimé: Thesis	
	Krige, D. G.: A statistical approach to some mine valuation and allied problems on the Witwatersrand. M. Sc, Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, 1951.		
1475	Mathieu, G.: Développement d'une méthodologie pour la cartographie du risque d'inondation : application à la rivière de Cavaillon en Haïti, <u>Thèse de doctorat</u> , UCL - Université Catholique de Louvain, 2023.		
I	Mompremier, R., Her, Y., Hoogenboom, G., and Song, J.: Effects of deforestation and afforestation on water availability for dry bean production in Haiti, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 325, 107721, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107721, 2022.		
1480	Moron, V., Frelat, R., Jean-Jeune, P. K., and Gaucherel, C.: Interannual and intra-annual variability of rainfall in Haiti (1905–2005), Clim Dyn, 45, 915–932, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2326-y, 2015.		
	Mouelhi, S., Michel, C., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: Stepwise development of a two-parameter monthly water balance model, Journal of Hydrology, 318, 200–214, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.06.014, 2006.		
	Page 40 sur 42		

 Oriani, F., Stisen, S., Demirel, M. C., and Mariethoz, G.: Missing Data Imputation for Multisite Rainfall Networks: A Comparison between Geostatistical Interpolation and Pattern-Based Estimation on Different Terrain Types, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 21, 2325–2341, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-19-0220.1, 2020.

Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., and Loumagne, C.: Which potential evapotranspiration input for a lumped rainfall–runoff model?: Part 2—Towards a simple and efficient potential evapotranspiration model for rainfall–runoff modelling, Journal of Hydrology, 303, 290–306, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.08.026, 2005.

1495

Pelletier, A. and Andréassian, V.: Hydrograph separation: an impartial parametrisation for an imperfect method, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 1171–1187, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1171-2020, 2020.

Perrin, C., Michel, C., and Andréassian, V.: Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation, Journal of Hydrology, 279, 275–289, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00225-7, 2003.

Peterson, T. C., Taylor, M. A., Demeritte, R., Duncombe, D. L., Burton, S., Thompson, F., Porter, A., Mercedes, M., Villegas, E., Fils, R. S., Tank, A. K., Martis, A., Warner, R., Joyette, A., Mills, W., Alexander, L., and Gleason, B.: Recent changes in climate extremes in the Caribbean region, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107, ACL 16-1-ACL 16-9, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002251, 2002.

1505 Pouyaud, B. and Hoepffner, M.: Rapport d'expertise hydrologique : appui au Service National des Ressources en Eau de la République d'Haïti, ORSTOM, Centre ORSTOM Montpellier, 1987.

Prakash, S.: Performance assessment of CHIRPS, MSWEP, SM2RAIN-CCI, and TMPA precipitation products across India, Journal of Hydrology, 571, 50–59, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.01.036, 2019.

R Core Team.: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2022.

Reuter, H. I., Nelson, A., and Jarvis, A.: An evaluation of void-filling interpolation methods for SRTM data, International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 21, 983–1008, https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810601169899, 2007.

Rohde, R., Muller, R. A., Jacobsen, R., Muller, E., Perlmutter, S., Rosenfeld, A., Wurtele, J., Groom, D., and
 Wickham, C.: A new estimate of the average Earth surface land temperature spanning 1753 to 2011. Geoinfor
 Geostat: An Overview 1: 1, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2327-4581.1000101</u>, 2013.

Slivinski, L. C., Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Giese, B. S., McColl, C., Allan, R., Yin, X., Vose, R., Titchner, H., Kennedy, J., Spencer, L. J., Ashcroft, L., Brönnimann, S., Brunet, M., Camuffo, D., Cornes, R., Cram, T. A., Crouthamel, R., Domínguez-Castro, F., Freeman, J. E., Gergis, J., Hawkins, E.,

- 1520 Jones, P. D., Jourdain, S., Kaplan, A., Kubota, H., Blancq, F. L., Lee, T.-C., Lorrey, A., Luterbacher, J., Maugeri, M., Mock, C. J., Moore, G. W. K., Przybylak, R., Pudmenzky, C., Reason, C., Slonosky, V. C., Smith, C. A., Tinz, B., Trewin, B., Valente, M. A., Wang, X. L., Wilkinson, C., Wood, K., and Wyszyński, P.: Towards a more reliable historical reanalysis: Improvements for version 3 of the Twentieth Century Reanalysis system, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 145, 2876–2908, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3598, 2019.
- 1525 Smith, K. A., Barker, L. J., Tanguy, M., Parry, S., Harrigan, S., Legg, T. P., Prudhomme, C., and Hannaford, J.: A multi-objective ensemble approach to hydrological modelling in the UK: an application to historic drought reconstruction, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 3247–3268, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-3247-2019, 2019.

Supprimé: :

Supprimé: Salomon, W., Useni Sikuzani, Y., Sambieni, K. R., Kouakou, A. T. M., Barima, Y. S. S., Théodat, J. M., and Bogaert, J.: Land Cover Dynamics along the Urban–Rural Gradient of the Port-au-Prince Agglomeration (Republic of Haiti) from 1986 to 2021, Land, 11, 355, https://doi.org/10.3390/land11030355, 2022.¶

 Strohmenger, L., Sauquet, E., Bernard, C., Bonneau, J., Branger, F., Bresson, A., Brigode, P., Buzier, R., Delaigue, O., Devers, A., Evin, G., Fournier, M., Hsu, S.-C., Lanini, S., de Lavenne, A., Lemaitre-Basset, T., Magand, C., Mendoza Guimarães, G., Mentha, M., Munier, S., Perrin, C., Podechard, T., Rouchy, L., Sadki, M., Soutif-Bellenger, M., Tilmant, F., Tramblay, Y., Véron, A.-L., Vidal, J.-P., and Thirel, G.: On the visual detection of non-natural records in streamflow time series: challenges and impacts, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 27, 3375–3391, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-27-3375-2023, 2023.

Tarboton, D. G., Watson, D. W., Wallace, R., Schreuders, K. A. T., and Neff, J.: Terrain Analysis Using Digital Elevation Models, 48, 2005.

Tarter, A., Freeman, K. K., Ward, C., Sander, K., Theus, K., Coello, B., Fawaz, Y., Miles, M., and Ahmed, T. T. G.: Charcoal in Haiti: A National Assessment of Charcoal Production and Consumption Trends, World Bank, Washington, DC, https://doi.org/10.1596/31257, 2018.

1545

Terrier, M., Bialkowski, A., Nachbaur, A., Prépetit, C., and Joseph, Y. F.: Revision of the geological context of the Port-au-Prince metropolitan area, Haiti: implications for slope failures and seismic hazard assessment, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 2577–2587, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-2577-2014, 2014.

Terrier, M., Rançon, J.-P., Bertil, D., Chêne, F., Desprats, J.-F., Lecacheux, S., Le Roy, S., Stollsteiner, P., Bouc, O., and Raynal, M.: Atlas des menaces naturelles en Haïti, 2017.

Teutschbein, C. and Seibert, J.: Bias correction of regional climate model simulations for hydrological climatechange impact studies: Review and evaluation of different methods, Journal of Hydrology, 456–457, 12–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.05.052, 2012.

Tramblay, Y., Rouché, N., Paturel, J.-E., Mahé, G., Boyer, J.-F., Amoussou, E., Bodian, A., Dacosta, H.,
 Dakhlaoui, H., Dezetter, A., Hughes, D., Hanich, L., Peugeot, C., Tshimanga, R., and Lachassagne, P.: ADHI:
 the African Database of Hydrometric Indices (1950–2018), Earth System Science Data, 13, 1547–1560,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-1547-2021, 2021.