



Manuscript in submission to ESSD

1 A Synthesis of Global Streamflow characteristics, Hydrometeorology, and

2 catchment Attributes (GSHA) for Large Sample River-Centric Studies

3

Ziyun Yin¹, Peirong Lin^{1,2*}, Ryan Riggs³, George H. Allen⁴, Xiangyong Lei¹, Ziyan
 Zheng^{5,6}, Siyu Cai⁷

- 6 1. Institute of Remote Sensing and GIS, School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University
- 7 2. International Research Center for Big Data for Sustainable Development Goals, Beijing, China
- 8 3. Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, Texas, USA
- 9 4. Department of Geosciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia, USA
- Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment Research for Temperate East Asia, Institute of
 Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 12 6. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 13 7. State Key Laboratory of Simulation and Regulation of Water Cycle in River Basin, China Institute
- 14 of Water Resources and Hydropower Research, Beijing, China
- 15 * *Correspondence to*: Peirong Lin (peironglinlin@pku.edu.cn)
- 16 Manuscript submitted to *ESSD*, July 1st, 2023

17 Abstract

18 Our understanding and predictive capability of streamflow processes largely rely on high-19 quality datasets that depict a river's upstream basin characteristics. Recent proliferation of large 20 sample hydrology (LSH) datasets has promoted model parameter estimation and data-driven 21 analyses of the hydrological processes worldwide, yet existing LSH is still insufficient in terms of 22 sample coverage, uncertainty estimates, and dynamic descriptions of anthropogenic activities. To 23 bridge the gap, we contribute the Synthesis of Global Streamflow characteristics, Hydrometeorology, 24 and catchment Attributes (GSHA) to complement existing LSH datasets, which covers 21,568 25 watersheds from 13 agencies for as long as 43 years based on discharge observations scraped from 26 web. In addition to annual streamflow indices, each basin's daily meteorological variables (i.e., 27 precipitation, 2 m air temperature, longwave/shortwave radiation, wind speed, actual and potential 28 evapotranspiration), daily-weekly water storage terms (i.e., snow water equivalence, soil moisture, 29 groundwater percentage), and yearly dynamic descriptors of the land surface characteristics (i.e., 30 urban/cropland/forest fractions, leaf area index, reservoir storage and degree of regulation) are also 31 provided by combining openly available remote sensing and reanalysis datasets. The uncertainties 32 of all meteorological variables are estimated with independent data sources. Our analyses revealed 33 the following insights: (i) meteorological data uncertainties vary across variables and geographical 34 regions, and the prominent patterns revealed should be accounted for by LSH users, (ii) ~6% 35 watersheds shifted between human managed and natural states during the GSHA time span, which 36 may be useful for analysis that takes the changing land surface characteristics into account, and (iii) 37 GSHA watersheds observed a more widespread declining trend in runoff coefficient than an 38 increasing trend, pointing towards critical water availability issues. Overall, GSHA is expected to 39 serve hydrological model parameter estimation and data-driven analyses as it continues to improve. GSHA v1.0 can be accessed at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8090704 (Yin et al., 2023). 40





41 1 Introduction

42 Climate change has posed profound challenges to the management of freshwater resources, 43 specifically riverine floods and water shortages (AghaKouchak et al., 2020; Thackeray et al., 2022). The urgent need for flood and drought forecasting, water resources planning and management, all 44 call for high-quality streamflow predictions for basins worldwide to analyse global terrestrial water 45 46 conditions in a systematic view (Burges, 1998). The scarcity of hydrological observations has 47 brought challenges to these predictions (Belvederesi et al., 2022; Hrachowitz et al., 2013), thus the 48 development of computer models that allow for "modelling everything everywhere" (Beven & 49 Alcock, 2012) constitutes the backbone of hydrological studies. Existing studies have used physically-based and data-driven models for streamflow simulation (Lin et al., 2018; Nandi & 50 51 Reddy, 2022; Zhang et al., 2020), with efforts to improve accuracy of prediction by combining both (Cho & Kim, 2022; Razavi & Coulibaly, 2013). Yet the prediction of the magnitude, timing, and 52 53 trend of critical streamflow characteristics are still subject to multiple sources of errors and 54 uncertainties (Bourdin et al., 2012; Brunner et al., 2021).

Streamflow (Q) can be represented by the simple water balance equation involving 55 56 precipitation (P), evapotranspiration (ET), and water storage terms (S) denoted as $Q = P - ET - \Delta S$, 57 yet influencing factors of these components could bring uncertainties that will cascade downstream. 58 Starting from the model assumptions to the data used to represent climate, soil water, ice cover, 59 topography and land use, as well as to the less well-known processes such as human perturbations and sub-surface flows (Benke et al., 2008; Wilby & Dessai, 2010), these complications impede our 60 understanding of streamflow processes across scales, which also limits the modelling and predictive 61 62 capability for streamflow. Thus, reducing the predictive uncertainties require high-quality data with 63 massive samples capable of depicting each of the water balance components, as well as the natural and anthropogenic factors involved (Gupta et al., 2014). 64

65 Efforts have been made to address the need of such kind of high-quality datasets on watershed-66 scale hydro-climate and environmental conditions during the past couple of decades. One of the 67 earliest was the most widely used dataset generated for the Model Parameter Estimation Experiment 68 (MOPEX) project aimed at better hydrological modelling (Duan et al., 2006). Historical hydrometeorological data and land surface characteristics for over 400 hydrologic basins in the United 69 70 States were provided, which was fundamental to the progress in large sample hydrology (LSH) 71 (Addor et al., 2020; Schaake et al., 2006). Later the dataset was expanded to 671 catchments in the 72 contiguous United States (CONUS) and benchmarked by model results (Newman et al., 2015). 73 Based on these studies, the Catchment Attributes and Meteorology for Large-sample Studies 74 (CAMELS) dataset was developed, providing comprehensive and updated data on topography, 75 climate, streamflow, land cover, soil, and geology attributes for each catchment (Addor et al., 2017). 76 The CONUS CAMELS dataset soon became influential in LSH and has since inspired researchers 77 from Australia (Fowler et al., 2021), Europe (Coxon et al., 2020; Delaigue et al., 2022; Klingler et 78 al., 2021), South America (Alvarez-Garreton et al., 2018; Chagas et al., 2020), and China (Hao et 79 al., 2021) to contribute their regional CAMELS. Another comprehensive regional LSH dataset for North America named the Hydrometeorological Sandbox - École de Technologies Supérieure 80





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

81 (HYSETS) dataset, is also developed with larger sample size (14425 watersheds) and richer data 82 sources compared with the CAMELS (Arsenault et al., 2020). 83 While these datasets provide reliable data sources for regional studies, attempts on building 84 global datasets has become the new norm in the era of big data to boost our analytical and modelling 85 capability for the terrestrial hydrological processes. The HydroATLAS dataset integrates indices of 86 hydrology, physiography, climate, land cover, soil, geology and anthropogenic activity attributes for 87 8.5 million global river reaches (Lehner et al., 2022; Linke et al., 2019). A recent work combined a 88 series of CAMELS dataset with HydroATLAS attributes into a new global community dataset on 89 the cloud named Caravan, with dynamic hydro-climate variables and comprehensive static 90 catchment attributes extracted on 6830 watersheds (Kratzert et al., 2023), which represents by far 91 the most comprehensive synthesis of existing CAMELS. Another global-scale effort, the Global 92 Streamflow Indices and Metadata archive (GSIM), incorporated dynamic streamflow indices and 93 attribute metadata for topography, climate type, land cover, etc., for over 35000 gauges (Do et al., 94 2018; Gudmundsson et al., 2018), and the streamflow indices are updated to allow for trend analysis 95 (Chen et al., 2023). A recent study filled in the discontinuity and latency of gauge records, and 96 provided streamflow for over 45,000 gauges with improved data quality (Riggs et al., 2023). These 97 global-scale datasets have been widely used in data driven machine learning models (Kratzert et al., 98 2019a, 2019b; Ren et al., 2020), physical hydrological models (Aerts et al., 2022; Clark et al., 2021), 99 and parameter estimation and regionalization studies (Addor et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2022). 100 Although the flourishment of LSH datasets has promoted comparative hydrological studies

101 (Kovács, 1984) and large-scale hydrological modeling and analyses, several challenges are still 102 standing in the way to realize the full potential of LSH. As briefly outlined in a recent review by 103 Addor et al. (2020), current LSH datasets lack common standards, metadata and uncertainty 104 estimates, and are insufficient in characterising human interventions. More specifically, the 105 following major critical aspects still need attentions from the LSH developers, which we attempt to 106 address with GSHA (Yin et al., 2023). First, the majority of current datasets (especially those at a 107 global scale) incorporate only one data source for each variable, while earth observations, reanalysis, 108 satellite-based estimates are subject to uncertainties (Merchant et al., 2017; Ukhurebor et al., 2020). 109 These uncertainties were rarely represented and may bring difficulties to the regionalization of 110 model parameters (Beck et al., 2016), while also resulting in inconsistent conclusions. Second, anthropogenic activities including land use and land cover (LULC) changes, dam and reservoir 111 112 building, etc., are critical drivers of shifts in streamflow statistical moments (Niraula et al., 2015). However, historical time series of watershed human modifications have rarely been included in LSH 113 114 datasets, which is particularly problematic for regions with rapid economic growth. Finally, 115 although the most recent Caravan has provided hydroclimate data for global watersheds, the samples 116 are limited to the existing regional CAMELS which Caravan synthesizes. Therefore, plenty of room 117 is left to increase data sample size and spatial coverage by revisiting the streamflow data acquisition 118 process in a more comprehensive way.

119To complement existing LSH datasets, we contribute the first version of a synthesis of Global120Streamflow characteristics, Hydrometeorology, and catchment Attributes (GSHA v_1.0) for large121sample river-centric studies. GSHA features the following characteristics:

Updated physical and anthropogenic descriptors of global rivers, covering streamflow
 characteristics, hydrometeorological variables, and land use land cover changes for 21568
 watersheds derived from gauged streamflow records from 13 agencies.





125	• Streamflow indices for data scarce regions, including those derived from 263 gauges in
126	China, are included.
127	• Extended temporal coverage for as long as 43 years (1979-2021), which varies regionally.
128	• Uncertainty estimates for the meteorological variables.
129	• Dynamic descriptors for the urban, forest, and cropland fractions, as well as reservoir
130	storage capacity to improve the representation of human activities in the basin.
131	With the above features, we expect GSHA to support hydrological model parameter estimation
132	and data driven analysis of global streamflow as one of the most comprehensive LSH datasets
133	regarding sample size, variable dynamics, and uncertainty estimates. Table 1 summarizes the
134	differences between GSHA and other prominent LSH datasets. Our paper is organized as follows.
135	Section 2 expands on Table 1 and provide more details of the data included for GSHA. Section 3
136	introduces the data sources and methodologies involved in creating GSHA. Section 4 highlights the
137	key features of GSHA by conducting some analyses, followed by conclusions reached in Section 5.
138	
139	Table 1 Comparison of GSHA with other LSH datasets. Note that we only include the CONUS

 139
 Table T Comparison of GSHA with other LSH datasets. Note that we only include the CONUS

 140
 CAMELS dataset to represent regional LSH datasets for this comparison, as other regional CAMELS

Factors	CAMELS	HydroATLAS	Caravan	GSIM	GSHA
	(eg. US)				
Spatial extent	Regional	Global	Global	Global	Global
Sample size	671	8.5 million	6830	35002	21568
Time span	1980-2015	Static	1981-2020	1806-2016	1979-2021
Streamflow	Yes	No	Yes	Yes (statistical	Yes (statistical
dynamics				indices)	indices)
Meteorological	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

	1 8
141	share large similarity with CONUS CAMELS.

dynamics				indices)	indices)
Meteorological	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
time series					
Multi data sources	Yes	No	No	No	Yes (with
for meteorological					uncertainty
variables					estimates)
Water storage	No	No	Only soil	No	Yes
dynamics			water		
			dynamics		
Land cover	No	No	No	No	Yes
dynamics					
Reservoir	No	No	No	No	Yes
dynamics					
Static attributes	Yes	Yes	Yes (from	Yes	Yes (from
			HydroATLAS)		HydroATLAS)





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

142 2 Dataset content of GSHA v1

In this section, the data fields, variables, and attributes included in GSHA are described in more 143 144 details and summarized in Table 2. For the instructions of the data format, we provided a user manual along with the dataset (see readme.docx). GSHA includes yearly streamflow characteristics 145 derived from daily discharge observations, meteorological variables (including precipitation, 2-m 146 147 air temperature, long- and shortwave radiation, wind speed, actual and potential evapotranspiration (AET and PET)), daily or weekly water storage terms (4 layers of soil moisture, groundwater, and 148 149 snow depth water equivalence), daily vegetation index (leaf area index (LAI)), yearly LULC 150 characteristics (urban, cropland, and forest fraction), and yearly reservoir information (degree of 151 regulation (DOR) and reservoir capacity). For each meteorological variable, multiple independent 152 data sources are incorporated to provide uncertainty estimates. Static attributes like land physiography, soils, and geology are not additionally extracted, as similar efforts have been made 153 154 by other researchers, so we directly matched our gauge locations to the HydroATLAS dataset 155 (Lehner et al., 2022; Linke et al., 2019) by providing the river ID match table. Users can link the 156 two to obtain these attributes.

Watershed polygons: GSHA includes 21568 watershed polygons delineated from the global gauges, which is stored as Esri Shapefile format. The ID and agency of each watershed is the same as the corresponding gauge ID, and the gauge latitude/longitude are in decimal degree. The area denotes the upstream drainage basin area of the gauge. Some of the IDs contain characters (such as '.', '-', etc.) inconsistent with the majority of IDs. For the convenience of the users, we unified these as underscores and stored the new file names as 'filename'.

163 Streamflow indices: GSHA publishes annual streamflow indices derived from daily 164 streamflow data, including different percentiles, and mean/median/minimum/maximum. The 165 frequency and durations of extremely high and low streamflow events are also provided, along with 166 numbers of zero observations and valid samples to allow extreme streamflow analysis and flexible 167 data screening by the users. The indices are stored as comma separated values (CSV) files by year, with each watershed corresponding to one file. A complementary R package can be used to 168 169 automatically download many of the gauge datasets is available at https://github.com/Ryan-170 Riggs/RivRetrieve(Riggs et al., 2023).

171 Meteorological variables: The meteorological variables selected are the most influential 172 drivers for streamflow, which includes precipitation, 2-m temperature, ET, radiation and wind speed. 173 In main-stream land surface models, ET is a diagnostic variable derived from meteorological inputs 174 and is not considered as meteorological forcing. However, as many hydrological models also use potential ET as an input variable, and model calibration sometimes involves actual ET (Immerzeel 175 & Droogers, 2008), we include the two variables and place it into the meteorological variable 176 177 category. For each variable, more than one data sources are used to allow for uncertainty analysis, 178 which will be provided on a yearly basis in an independent file.

179Natural water storage terms and land use/land cover change: These include soil moisture,180snow water equivalent, and ground water percentages. We also include yearly land cover dynamics





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

181 (i.e., urban, forest, and cropland fraction changes), as well as dynamically changing reservoir 182 capacity and degree of regulation (DOR) percentage. Leaf area index (LAI) is also included to reflect the seasonal changes in vegetation canopy that is also key to the streamflow processes. 183 184 Static attributes: GSHA does not extract updated static attributes because HydroATLAS 185 already make substantial efforts in this regard. Instead, the listed categories are those mostly related 186 to streamflow prediction from HydroATLAS selected to be included in GSHA files, and we direct 187 the readers to the ID match table to access the entire 281 static attributes offered by HydroATLAS (Lehner et al., 2022; Linke et al., 2019). Our user manual, available at the dataset download site, 188 189 also provides more information on it.

190

191 Table 2 Fields provided with GSHA.

Category	Field	Description	Unit
Watershed	Sttn_Nm	The ID of the watershed.	NaN
Polygons	Latitude	Latitude of the gauge.	Degree
	Longitude	Longitude of the gauge.	Degree
	Shedarea	The area of delineated watershed.	Km ²
	Agency	The agency the gauge belongs to.	NaN
	filename	The name of the corresponding	NaN
		Shapefile in the dataset.	
Category	Indices	Description	Unit/Format
Streamflow	percentiles	Annual 1, 10, 25, 75, 90, 99	m ³ /s
indices		percentiles of daily streamflow.	
	mean	Annual mean of daily streamflow.	m ³ /s
	median	Annual median of daily streamflow.	m ³ /s
	annual maximum flood	Annual maximum of daily	m ³ /s
	(AMF)	streamflow.	
	AMF occurrence date	The date of AMF occurrence.	Year/month/day
	frequency of high-flow	Number of days in a year with	Days/year
	events	streamflow >= 90 percentile flow.	
	average duration of	Average number of consecutive	Days
	high-flow events	days >= 90 percentile flow.	
	frequency of low-flow	Number of days in a year with	Days/year
	events	streamflow <= 10 percentile flow.	
	average duration of	Average number of consecutive days	Days
	low-flow events	<= 10 percentile flow.	
	Q=0 days	Number of days with runoff=0.	Days
	valid observation days	Number of days with no missing data.	Days
		(Valid observations refer to non-null	
		measurements.)	
Category	Variable	Data source name	Unit
Meteorological	Precipitation	MSWEP	mm
Variables		EM-Earth	mm
	2 m temperature	ERA5	Κ





		MERRA-2	K
		EUSTACE	K
	Actual	REA	mm
	evapotranspiration	GLEAM	mm
	Potential	GLEAM	mm
	evapotranspiration	hPET	mm
	Radiation (longwave)	ERA5 land surface net thermal	W/m^2
		radiation MERRA-2 surface net downward longwave flux	W/m ²
	Radiation (shortwave)	ERA5 land surface net solar radiation	W/m ²
		MERRA-2 surface net downward shortwave flux	W/m ²
	10 m wind speed (u	ERA5 land u-component of wind	m/s
	component)	MERRA-2 10 metre eastward wind	m/s
	10 m wind speed (v	ERA5 land v-component of wind	m/s
	component)	MERRA-2 10 metre northward wind	m/s
	10 m wind speed	ERA5 land u- and v-components of	m/s
	(actual)	wind	
		MERRA-2 10 metre northward and	m/s
		eastward wind	
Category	Variable	Data source name	Unit
Water storage	Soil moisture layer 1	ERA5 land soil water layer 1	m ³ /m ³
terms		(0-7 cm, 0cm refers to the surface)	
	Soil moisture layer 2	ERA5 land soil water layer 2 (7-28	m ³ /m ³
	Soil moisture layer 3	ERA5 land soil water layer 3 (28-100	m ³ /m ³
	Soil moisture layer 4	cm) ERA5 land soil water layer 4 (100- 280 cm)	m ³ /m ³
	Snow water aquivalant	EPA5 land snow donth water	m of water
	Show water equivatent	equivalent	aquivalant
	Ground water	GRACE-EQ data assimilation	
Category	Variable	Data source name	Unit
Land use and	Urban fraction	GAUD	%
land cover	Forest fraction	MCD1201	0/2
	Cropland fraction	MCD12Q1	20 0/0
	Reservoir consoity	GeoDAR	$Million m^3$
	DOR	GeoDAR	%
	IAI		∕u NaN
Catagor	LAI Attributo	Column name	Inain
Category	Floration		unit maal
Dianic-	Elevation	ele_ini_uav	In. a.s.i.
Physiography	Terrain slope	sıp_dg_uav	degrees (x10)
	Stream gradient	sgr_dk_rav	decimetres per





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

				km
Static-		Inundation Extent	inu_pc_ult	%
Hydrology		Groundwater Table	e gwt_cm_cav	cm
		Depth		
Static-		Land Cover Classes	glc_cl_cmj	NaN
Landcover		Potential Natura	l pnv_cl_cmj	NaN
		Vegetation Classes		
		Wetland Extent	wet_pc_u01-u09	%
		Glacier Extent	gla_pc_use	%
		Permafrost Extent	prm_pc_use	%
Static-Soil	&	Clay Fraction in Soil	cly_pc_uav	%
geology		Silt Fraction in Soil	slt_pc_uav	%
		Sand Fraction in Soil	snd_pc_uav	%
		Lithological Classes	lit_cl_cmj	NaN
		Soil Erosion	ero_kh_uav	kg/hectare per
				vear

¹⁹² 3 Data sources and methodology

193 3.1 Technical workflow in creating GSHA

194 The creation of GSHA starts from revisiting the data compilation process for the stream 195 gauging observations from 13 international agencies. The general workflow of GSHA data 196 production processes is illustrated in **Figure 1**, which consists of watershed delineation, variable 197 extraction from both grid and non-grid data sources, and uncertainty analysis.

First, we delineated the upstream watersheds using gauge locations. Calibration of gauge longitudes and latitudes were conducted to match the gauges with the MERIT river network exactly. The delineated watersheds were selected and manually checked using standards of area, topology correctness, and observation data lengths. The selected watersheds went on to be overlayed with

202 grid and non-grid variable data sources for to obtain GSHA variables.





Manuscript in submission to ESSD



203

Figure 1 General workflow of GSHA. The yellow parallelograms are the input datasets, the blue ones
 are the final outputs of GSHA dataset, and the pink ones are the results in the process. The black
 quadrilaterals represent the extraction and calculation processes, and the red dotted rectangles illustrate
 different modules of the extraction process.

208 3.2 Gauge-based streamflow indices

209 As shown in Table 3, in total 36497 gauges were initially scraped from web and from the Chinese National Real-time Rain and Water Situation Database including the real-time water level 210 211 and streamflow data of the hydrological stations. For gauges located within ~100-m of each other, those with shorter record lengths are removed, assuming that they are redundant with one another. 212 213 The gauge measurements were converted to a consistent unit (m3/s) and were then manually compared with GRDC measurements to ensure accurate unit conversion (Riggs et al., 2023). Gauge 214 215 databases compiled in this study are available through a variety of web interfaces, except for the 216 CHP data which is provided by the authors of the dataset (Henck et al 2010, Schmidt et al 2011), 217 and processed into annual scale data that meets the requirements of the synthesis dataset.





Table 3 Gauge data sources used in this analysis. N1 and N2 refer GSHA causes for each acency are listed	s to numbe	rs of gauge	s with obs	ervations af	ter 1979 and used in GSHA. The starting and ending years (Y1 and Y2) of
Source	N1	N2	Y1	Y2	URL/Provider
ArcticNET 2022	116	106	1979	2003	www.r-arcticnet.sr.unh.edu/v4.0/AllData/index.html
Australian Bureau of Meteorology 2022 (BOM)	4017	2340	1979	2021	www.bom.gov.au/waterdata/
Brazil National Water Agency 2022 (ANA)	1343	1172	1979	2021	www.snirh.gov.br/hidroweb/serieshistoricas
Canada National Water Data Archive 2022 (HYDAT)	3771	2222	1979	2021	www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/water- overview/quantity/monitoring/survey/data-products-services/national-
Chile Center for Climate and Resilience Research 2022(CCRR)	481	392	1979	2020	https://explorador.cr2.cl/
Chinese Hydrology Project (CHP)	112	26	1979	1987	(Henck et al 2010, Schmidt et al 2011)
The Global Runoff Data Centre 2022 (GRDC)	6345	4004	1979	2021	(https://portal.grdc.bafg.de/applications/public.html?publicuser=PublicU
					ser
India Water Resources Information System 2022 (IWRIS)	547	261	1979	2020	https://indiawris.gov.in/wris/#/RiverMonitoring
Japanese Water Information System 2022 (MLIT)	1023	751	1979	2019	www1.river.go.jp/
Spain Annuario de Aforos, 2022 (AFD)	1138	688	1979	2018	http://datos.gob.es/es/catalogo/e00125801-anuario-de- aforos/resource/4836b826-e7fd-4a41-950c-89b4eaea0279
Thailand Royal Irrigation Department 2022 (RID)	126	73	1980	1999	http://hydro.iis.u-tokyo.acjp/GAME-T/GAIN-T/routine/rid- river/disc_d.html
U.S. Geological Survey 2022 (USGS)	16951	6906	1979	2021	https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/rt
Chinese National Real-time Rain and Water Situation Database	527	263	2000	2019	http://xxfb.mwr.cn/sq_zdysq.html





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

218 3.2 Watershed delineation

219 The watershed delineation process is built upon a vector-based global river network dataset 220 (Lin et al., 2021), which is delineated from the 90-m Multi-Error-Removed Improved Terrain 221 (MERIT) digital elevation model (DEM) (Yamazaki et al., 2017) and the flow direction and flow 222 accumulation rasters (Yamazaki et al., 2019). The locations of the gauges may contain locational 223 errors and direct delineation will result into erroneous watershed boundaries; therefore, gauge 224 location correction was conducted by relocating the gauges to the nearest MERIT-based river reach 225 vertices. The adjusted gauge points are used as the watershed outlets, where the contributing areas 226 are extracted by dissolving all upstream catchments based on the topology provided by MERIT 227 Basins (Lin et al., 2019). Since the area threshold of MERIT Basins is 25 km², we do not include 228 watersheds smaller than this threshold. Considering the spatial heterogeneity of very large basins, 229 we excluded watersheds ≥50,000 km² from the dataset. To ensure GSHA to support studies with 230 sufficiently long records, only watersheds with >5 years of observations since 1979 were selected. 231 For gauges sharing the same watershed, the one with better data quality (i.e., longer measurement 232 records and more valid observation days) is used. If the two gauges share the same quality, we only 233 included the furthest downstream gauge. Eventually, the selection processes resulted in 21568 valid 234 watersheds out of 35970 gauges initially scraped from web plus 527 gauges from the Chinese National Real-time Rain and Water Situation Database (Figure 2). 235





Figure 2 Spatial distribution of the GSHA gauges (n=21568). Watershed areas are represented by the tint of colours. Gauges of different agencies are represented with separate colours and are plotted in individual frames (except for USGS gauges in two frames to incorporate Alaska). The agency names and the upper-left coordinates (longitude, latitude) of each frame are also shown in the figure.

241

The GSHA watersheds are unevenly distributed across the globe, more than half of which are located in North America (USGS, HYDAT and a large proportion of GRDC gauges, **Figure 3a**).





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

244 Europe, Australia and South America also have a relatively good coverage, while Asia and Africa 245 show the lowest gauge densities. The majority of the gauged watersheds are of medium sizes ranging from 250 to 2500 km², although for some agencies it does not show the same distribution (Figure 246 247 3d). For instance, ANA (South America), IWRIS (India) and arcticnet (Northern Eurasia) 248 watersheds are generally larger, while the Chinese National Real-time Rain and Water Situation 249 Database provides more gauges with smaller drainage areas. Due to the maintenance difficulties, 250 the numbers of functioning gauges are declining for agencies like GRDC, but the lack of data in 251 recent years (Figure 3c) are mainly due to latency issues. USGS, BOM and ANA provide a stable 252 number of observations for the 1980-2021 period (Figure 3c) with high proportions of valid 253 observations each year (Figure 3b), while observational periods from arcticnet and China contain 254 relatively fewer valid samples (Figure 3b) and shorter time spans (Figure 3c).





257

258

259

260

261

262

Figure 3 Summary statistics of the GSHA gauges. This includes (a) proportions of gauges from different agencies, (b) box plots for proportions of valid observations for each agency, (c) proportion of valid observation for each year by agency and (d) distributions of watershed areas for each agency (kernel density estimation lines, left y-axis) and all gauges (blue histogram, right y-axis). The colour legend in subplot (a) applies to all four subplots. In subfigure (a) the 0.11% label corresponds to CHP, and the legend goes counter clockwise in the pie chart. In subfigure (c), CHP bars are at the bottom of the plot, and the legend goes from bottom to the top of the bars.

263 3.3 Meteorological variables, water storage terms, and land surface characteristics

After watershed delineation, publicly available grid or non-grid data were obtained and overlaid to derive the meteorological, water storage terms, and land surface characteristics. The data sources used for GSHA are listed in **Table 4**. We prioritized the use of multi-source fusion datasets





267 with relatively high quality surveyed from literature when creating GSHA.

268 3.3.1 Meteorology datasets

269 For precipitation, the Multi-Source Weighted-Ensemble Precipitation (MSWEP) that have 270 merged gauge (CPC Unified), grid (GPCC), satellite (CMORPH, GSMaP-MVK, and TMPA 271 3B42RT), and reanalysis data (ERA-Interim and JRA-55) with sample density and comparative 272 performance considered (Beck et al., 2017; Beck et al., 2019) is used. Another recent precipitation 273 dataset is the Ensemble Meteorological Dataset for Planet Earth (EM-Earth) deterministic estimates, 274 which merges a station-based Serially Complete Earth (SC-Earth) removing the temporal 275 discontinuities in raw station observations and ERA5 estimates (Tang et al., 2022). The EUSTACE 276 global land station daily air temperature dataset (EUSTACE) statistically merged station and 277 satellite observations to obtain global daily near-surface air temperature (Brugnara et al., 2019), and 278 is used as a source for 2-m temperature in GSHA. Other datasets used for 2-m temperature extraction 279 are the reanalysis datasets Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications 280 Version 2 (MERRA-2) (Gelaro et al., 2017) by NASA's Global Modelling and Assimilation Office 281 (GMAO) and the land components of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts 282 (ECMWF) fifth generation of European Reanalysis (ERA5) dataset (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021). 283 These reanalysis datasets are also used in extracting long- and shortwave radiation, as well as u- and 284 v-components of wind. MERRA-2 uses the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) model and 285 analysis scheme, and assimilated the latest observations. The land surface model used in ERA5 286 reanalysis is the Carbon Hydrology-Tiled ECMWF Scheme for Surface Exchanges over Land 287 (CHTESSEL) driven by the downscaled meteorological forcing from the ERA5 climate reanalysis 288 (Hersbach et al., 2020). For AET, the dataset merging ERA5, Global Land Data Assimilation System 289 Version 2 (GLDAS2), and MERRA-2 using the reliability ensemble averaging (REA) method is 290 used (Lu et al., 2021), together with the product of Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam Model 291 (GLEAM) based on satellite observations of surface net radiation and near-surface air temperature 292 (Martens et al., 2017). For PET, GLEAM is also incorporated. Another PET dataset for GSHA is 293 the hourly PET at 0.1° resolution for the global land surface from 1981-present (hPET) dataset 294 calculated from ERA5-land wind speed, air and dew point temperature, net radiation components 295 and surface air pressure (Singer et al., 2021).

296 3.3.2 Water storage term datasets

297 ERA5-land data was also applied in extracting soil moisture for 4 soil layers, as well as snow 298 water equivalence. For groundwater, an assimilation dataset from the NASA's Gravity Recovery 299 and Climate Experiment (GRACE) and its follow-on mission (GRACE-FO) is used (Li et al., 2019). 300 The dataset merged water storage derived from GRACE satellite products into ECMWF Integrated 301 Forecasting System meteorological data-forced NASA's Catchment land surface model (CLSM). 302 The data is represented as groundwater drought indicator (GWI), which is the percentage of 303 groundwater storage estimates from the GRACE data assimilation relative to the climatology 304 (representing historical conditions), at weekly time scales from 2003-2021.





305 3.3.3 Land surface characteristic datasets

306 Global urban development for 1985-2015 is represented as the urban fraction in each watershed using the global annual urban dynamics (GAUD) at 30-m resolution. The dataset was derived from 307 308 Landsat surface reflectance based on the Normalized Urban Areas Composite Index (NUACI) (Liu 309 et al., 2020). For forest and cropland fractions, the Terra and Aqua combined Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Land Cover Type (MCD12Q1) land cover dataset, was used 310 (Friedl et al., 2010). It covers 2001-2020 with a resolution of 500 m, and the categories used for 311 312 GSHA is the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme classification (IGBP) forests and 313 croplands. Another land cover is vegetation, which is represented by LAI obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Climate Data Record (CDR) of Advanced Very 314 315 High-Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) product, which relies on artificial neural networks and 316 AVH09C1 surface reflectance product (Claverie et al., 2016).

317 3.3.4 Dams and reservoirs

318 The newly published Georeferenced global Dams And Reservoirs (GeoDAR) dataset that documents the dam and reservoir construction years is used for building the temporally varying 319 watershed reservoir capacity and DOR. GeoDAR georeferenced the International Commission on 320 321 Large Dams (ICOLD) World Register of Dams (WRD), and geo-matched multi-source regional 322 registers and geocoding descriptive attributes through the Google Maps API (Wang et al., 2022). 323 The reservoir capacities are used together with the mean annual streamflow to obtain the DOR based 324 on the equation $dor = SC/Q_{mean}$, where SC refers to reservoir storage capacity and Q_{mean} is 325 the mean annual streamflow in the corresponding year.

- 326 3.3.5 Static variables
- 327 We matched GSHA river IDs and HydroATLAS river reach IDs to link the static attributes.
- 328 HydroATLAS includes 56 variables for hydrology, physiography, climate, land cover & use, soils
- 329 & geology, and anthropogenic influences for over 8.5 million river reaches globally.
- 330

331	Table 4 Data	sources used f	for the GSHA	variables
-----	--------------	----------------	--------------	-----------

Category	Dataset	Resolution	Interval	Reference
Meteorology	MSWEP	0.25°	Daily	(Beck et al., 2017; Beck et al.,
				2019)
	EM-Earth	0.1°	Daily	(Tang et al., 2022)
	ERA5-land	0.1°	Hourly	(Muñoz-Sabater, 2019)
	MERRA-2	$0.5^{\circ *} \ 0.625^{\circ}$	Hourly	(GMAO, 2015)
	EUSTACE	0.25°	Daily	(Brugnara et al., 2019)
	REA	0.25°	Daily	(Lu et al., 2021)
	GLEAM	0.25°	Daily	(Martens et al., 2017;
				Miralles et al., 2011)





	hPET	0.1°	Daily	(Singer et al., 2021)
Water	ERA5-land	0.1°	Hourly	(Muñoz-Sabater, 2019)
storage terms	GRACE-FO	0.25°	Weekly	(Li et al., 2019; Zaitchik et
	data assimilation			al., 2008)
Land surface	GAUD	30 m	Yearly	(Huang, 2020)
	MCD12Q1	500 m	Yearly	(Friedl et al., 2019)
	CDR Leaf Area	0.05°	Daily	(Vermote et al., 2019)
	Index			
Dam and	GeoDAR	NaN	Yearly	(Wang et al., 2022)
reservoir		(polygon)		
Static	HydroATLAS	NaN (line)	NaN (static)	(Lehner et al., 2022; Linke et
Attributes				al., 2019)

332 3.4 Variable extraction methods

333 For grid data with relatively coarse spatial resolutions ($\geq 0.05^{\circ}$), we used an area-weighted 334 approach to calculate the weighted average of the variable, while for high-resolution grid data, we extract the arithmetic mean directly. Figure 4 shows the area-weighted average approach we used 335 336 for grid data with spatial resolution ≥0.05° to reduce the influence of watershed area on data 337 uncertainty (Tang et al., 2022). The grid data (4a) and the quality-controlled watersheds (4b) are 338 overlayed and all grids intersecting with the watershed are obtained (4c). For each intersected grid, 339 the proportion of the polygon in the grid is calculated as the weight (dark blue, 4d); the product of 340 the weight and the corresponding grid value is calculated over all intersected grids (4e) and are summed up as the weighted average (4f). For wind, the u- and v-wind components are first used to 341 342 calculate wind speed, then the basin average is calculated with the weighted average approach. For 343 grid data with a spatial resolution of <0.05°, the area-weighted approach is not adopted as it offers 344 limited gains while becoming computationally too expensive. For reservoirs, they are spatially 345 joined to GSHA watershed polygons, and all the intersected reservoirs were used to calculate the total reservoir storage capacity and degree of regulation. 346





Manuscript in submission to ESSD



347

348 Figure 4 Determination of the area weights in extracting gridded data to GSHA watershed

polygons. This weighted approach is applied to data at a resolution of ≥0.05° but not for data at a finer
 spatial resolution due to computational costs.

351 3.5 Uncertainty estimates

352 For meteorological variables, uncertainty estimates are calculated using Eq. (1): 353 $uncertainty = \frac{x_{max} - x_{min}}{\bar{x}} * 100\%,$ (1)

where X_{max} and X_{min} are the maximum and minimum among the extracted values from the independent data sources. \overline{X} is the mean of values from all datasets. The uncertainty ranges from 0 to 200%. Note that for some of our data sources such as EC-Earth, uncertainty estimates are intrinsically provided, but here we do not include it into GSHA as we consider the uncertainty estimates made from independent data sources more internally consistent among different variables.

359 3.6 Validation

360 Postprocessing of the extracted variables include the unification of units and manual quality 361 checks. For streamflow characteristics, we validated three of our indices against GSIM for its global coverage, including the mean annual streamflow, 10^{-th} and 90^{-th} percentiles. The spatial joint 362 between GSHA and GSIM gauges in a 10 km buffer zone was performed, and only the GSIM gauge 363 364 with a minimum distance and watershed area difference ≤5% to a GSHA gauge is considered. Pairs with 0 measurements were excluded and 9835 pairs were involved eventually. We plotted the scatter 365 plot of GSHA-GSIM mean flow, 10^{-th} and 90^{-th} percentiles, and compared the fitting line to the 1:1 366 367 line, with correlation coefficients calculated (see Section 4.1).

368 We also validated precipitation, potential ET and 2 m air temperature with the regional 369 CAMELS-US dataset. We compare the Daymet meteorological variables of CAMELS and the mean





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

of GSHA variables for the validation. Since we include ERA5 data for most of our variables directly or indirectly as the data source, while Caravan consistently used ERA5, we did not use Caravan for the global validation as it is not considered as fully independent from GSHA. The spatial match is the same we did for GSIM which resulted in 906 pairs. This number is larger than the total CAMELS gauge numbers as some gauges might be repeatedly paired due to location bias of the USGS gauges and MERIT river networks, as well as the adjacency between gauges of different agencies. Similarly, scatter plots and correlation coefficients are provided for assessment.

377 3.7 Watershed classification and change detection

378 We classified the watersheds as natural and human managed to analyse the influence of human 379 water management. A watershed is classified as a natural watershed if it satisfies the following: (1) 380 DOR is smaller than 10%; (2) the urban extent is less than 5%; and (3) the sum of urban and cropland fractions is smaller than 10% (L. Yang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023). The classification was 381 382 performed for 2001-2015, and the changing patterns of the watersheds are divided into six categories: 383 (1) natural (N) when the watershed remained natural for all 15 years; (2) human managed (H) when the watershed remained human managed for all 15 years; (3) natural to human managed (NH) when 384 385 the watershed was first natural in 2001, but changed to and maintained human managed later; and 386 (4) human managed to natural (HN) when the watershed was first human managed in 2001, but 387 changed to and maintained natural later.

388 4 Results

As previous studies have already revealed the spatial patterns of the LSH hydrometeorological variables both locally and globally, therefore here we put the spatial patterns of GSHA meteorological variables and streamflow indices in **Appendix A**, while we focus on using the Results section to reveal the uniqueness of GSHA, which includes a technical validation of GSHA, uncertainty analysis, and the temporal change of watershed human management levels.

394 4.1 Technical validation

395 Figure 5 illustrates the validation results of GSHA. Figures 5a-5c show streamflow indices 396 as validated against GSIM globally, and Figures 5d-5f show meteorological variable as validated 397 against Daymet from CONUS CAMELS. For streamflow indices, precipitation, and temperature, 398 the correlation coefficients exceed 0.95 (significance p<0.01), and the fitting lines are close to 1:1 399 line, indicating high consistencies between GSHA and the reference datasets. For PET, however, the 400 coefficient is low, at only 0.573 (significance p<0.05), and the CAMELS PET is generally higher 401 than GSHA ensemble, which is possibly ascribed to the high uncertainty among PET datasets that 402 is yet to be fully resolved (Singer et al., 2021) (see Appendix B).





Manuscript in submission to ESSD



403

Figure 5 Validation of GSHA with GSIM streamflow characteristics ((a), (b) and (c)), and
CAMELS meteorological variables ((d), (e) and (f)). 'Corr' in the subfigure is the Pearson correlation
coefficient. The red line is the 1:1 line, while the orange dotted line is the fitting line of the scatter points.
The colour bar represents density of the sample points.

408 4.2 Uncertainty patterns for the GSHA meteorological variables

409 Figure 6 shows the distributions of the uncertainties for different variables, and the colour bars
410 are unified to allow for comparisons between different variables.





Manuscript in submission to ESSD





Figure 6 Global patterns of the uncertainty for the GSHA meteorological variables (in percentage). This includes the uncertainty (a) for precipitation (mm/day), (b) 2-m temperature (K), (c) longwave radiation (W/m²), (d) shortwave radiation (W/m²), (e) evapotranspiration (mm/day), and (f) wind speed (m/s), and (g) the uncertainty histogram for precipitation, (h) 2-m temperature, (i) longwave radiation, (j) shortwave radiation, (k) evapotranspiration, and (l) wind speed.

417

418 Generally, among all variables, air temperature (**Figures 6b & 6h**) shows the minimum 419 uncertainty (<5%), while the uncertainty for wind speed (**Figure 6f**) is the highest among all 420 variables. Uncertainties for other variables show strong spatial variability. For example, 421 uncertainties for precipitation are high in high-latitude or mountainous areas like Rocky Mountains, 422 northern Europe, the Alps and the Andes areas (**Figure 6a**). This is reasonable because limited 423 accessibility to in-situ observations and the misestimation of snow (Schreiner-McGraw & Ajami, 424 2020) can contribute to the precipitation estimation errors, while the data sources show relatively





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

425 high consistency (uncertainty $\leq 25\%$) in other parts of the world (Figure 6g). For radiation, as 426 solar/shortwave radiation is largely affected by sky conditions, thus uncertainties are high in regions with less clear sky, including south-west China and its surrounding areas, high latitude regions of 427 428 the northern hemisphere, and Europe (Brun et al., 2022). These places are also subject to high 429 thermal/longwave radiation uncertainties for similar reasons (Figure 6c). Land cover including 430 vegetation and artificial surface, is another factor influencing surface net radiation through albedo 431 effect (Hu et al., 2017), thus for heavily vegetated and urbanized areas, such as the Amazon region 432 and east coastal Australia, uncertainties for both longwave and shortwave fluxes are also relatively 433 high. Nevertheless, Figures 6i & 6j demonstrate that for the majority of watersheds, radiation 434 uncertainties are < 25%, indicating that the radiation data sources are generally consistent to each 435 other. ET uncertainties are generally larger than the above variables (Figures 6e & 6k), and are 436 particularly prominent in dry areas of the globe, e.g., central North America, northern Andes, central 437 Asia, and Australia's grasslands and deserts. It is also prominent in agriculture intensive regions like 438 India and northern part of China (Sörensson & Ruscica, 2018), where the agricultural irrigation may be the contributing factor to the ET uncertainty. The spatial distributions of wind speed do not seem 439 440 to show clear regional patterns (Figure 6f), and uncertainty values of wind speed are generally 441 larger over majority of watersheds (Figure 61). Nevertheless, the uncertainties are low in the Appalachia and northern Europe, and are high in most parts of Brazil, the Andes, Africa, eastern 442 443 and southern parts of Asia, as well as Australia (Figure 6f). As we already selected relatively high-444 quality datasets for the variables, these areas might be calling for more attention by the LSH 445 developers, while providing possible explanations for the inconsistencies in interpreting results or 446 understanding the challenges in estimating model parameters by the LSH users.



447

Figure 7 Relationship between variable uncertainties and watershed areas. The markers indicate mean values of the variable uncertainties in watersheds smaller than the corresponding x-axis value. The error bars represent the range between 25 and 75 percentiles of the uncertainty values.

451





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

452 Apart from the spatial characteristics above, we also investigate the emergent patterns of the 453 uncertainties. Existing studies indicate small basins can show larger uncertainties due to coarse resolution 454 data inputs (Kauffeldt et al., 2013), while sub-grid variabilities might be offset by averaging over large 455 watersheds. Therefore, we plotted the uncertainty against watershed areas in Figure 7, which verifies 456 that for most variables, the uncertainty declines as the watershed area increases. In addition to the general 457 understanding, Figure 7 also reveals some interesting patterns. The most obvious decline comes from ET (green) and longwave radiation (red), both of which are highly dependent on the land surface 458 459 conditions and are significantly affected by land surface spatial heterogeneity, thus benefiting the most from spatial averaging for large river basins. Shortwave radiation and precipitation uncertainty show a 460 461 similar decline pattern (blue and purple), which is possibly related to their strong ties to cloud covers. Temperature has a low uncertainty, and its relationship to watershed area is also not obvious. Wind speed 462 463 uncertainty only declines slightly as area increases, and we believe this is because wind speed uncertainty 464 can be traced back more to the atmospheric circulation patterns instead of the land surface conditions, 465 thus showing non-prominent relationship with watershed area. Overall, GSHA provides uncertainty estimates that capture these prominent patterns, which can be helpful to hydrologic modellers and users. 466

467 4.3 Natural and human managed watersheds and changing patterns

468 We also demonstrate the other key features of GSHA by categorizing global watersheds into 469 natural and human managed, and more prominently their temporal shifts in Figure 8. Overall, 470 majority of human managed watersheds locates in the US, Europe, and other regions with intensive 471 industrial or agricultural activities such as East and South Asia (Figures 8a and 8b). During 2001-472 2015, 46.89% watersheds remained natural, while another 47.62% under human management in 473 2001 remained in the category throughout the study period (Figure 8d). Generally, northern 474 hemisphere has a larger proportion of human managed watersheds, while watersheds in the less 475 populated and urbanized southern hemisphere largely remain natural. 476 Noticeably, 4.36% of GSHA watersheds switched from natural to human managed (1011 477 watersheds), and the remaining 1.13% changed backed to natural states from human managed. For instance, watersheds in the middle and lower Yangtze River area in China show a shift from human 478 479 managed to natural state, where environmental projects for ecological restoration were in place (Qu

480 et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2015). Although the time span of GSHA LULC dynamics restricted the 481 change detection for developed regions as their urbanizations and infrastructure developments have 482 long been completed, and for fast emerging economies after 2015, the time series are also missing; 483 nevertheless, the changing human activities captured by GSHA may be helpful to understand the 484 streamflow changes including flood characteristics (Long Yang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022).





Manuscript in submission to ESSD



485

486

489 490

487 488

Figure 8 Classification of natural and human managed watersheds in 2001 (a) and 2015 (b). Changes in watershed categories are illustrated by (c) and (d). H and N in (c) and (d) represent watersheds that maintained human managed or natural from 2001-2015; NH and HN represent those changing from natural to human managed and from human managed to natural, respectively.

491 We further use several examples to illustrate the changing status of GSHA watersheds (Figure 492 9). Figures 9a and 9b show a watershed located in Northeastern China, where the rapid increase in 493 cropland shifted the watershed from natural states to human managed in recent years. Figures 9c 494 and 9d correspond to a mountainous area in Sichuan Province, China, which became human 495 managed due to the construction of a reservoir in 2006. For another case in Northeastern China (Figures 9e and 9f) and a USGS case (Figures 9g and 9h), the watersheds shifted from human 496 497 managed to natural, which is mainly manifested by the reduction in cropland fraction due to the environmental policy. For instance, afforestation during 2000-2010 in Changbai Mountains where 498 499 the watershed in Figures 9e and 9f is located, significantly increased the forest cover and might 500 bring a decline in human disturbance in the form of land use (Zhang & Liang, 2014). These results highlight the shifting watershed status that would require further attention from LSH users, which 501 502 is encapsulated in GSHA v1.0 and will be continuously improved in the future.







Manuscript in submission to ESSD

503 504

505

506

507

Figure 9 Cases for shifting status of the watershed classification. (a) and (b) correspond to 11420270_China, and (c) and (d) correspond to 60532350_China, both of which changed from natural to human managed category. (e) and (f) represent11605400_China, and (g) and (h) correspond to 06332515 USGS watershed changing from human managed to natural watershed.

508 4.4 Changing runoff coefficient patterns derived from GSHA

Finally, we also analysed the spatial pattern and trend of global runoff coefficient (RC), as a brief demonstration of what GSHA can offer out of its many potential usages. RC is defined as R/P, where R denotes runoff (mm) and P denotes precipitation (mm) in the corresponding period. **Figure 10a** shows that, regions where a large proportion of rainfall goes into rivers instead of being evaporated or consumed (i.e., high RC) are in east Asia and North America, most parts of Europe, west coast of North America and the Amazon, in general agreement with the aridity patterns across the globe. For arid/semiarid areas and places with intense water use (e.g., western US, eastern Brazil,





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

Australia, Africa), RC is low, meaning most of the precipitation does not reach the gauged river. 516 517 Interestingly, we show the RC trend for the past decades. We found that RC generally remained 518 stable in most parts of the world (i.e., grey dots, Figure 10b), where >80% of the gauges do not 519 show statistically significant trend (p>0.05). In total, 4252 watersheds demonstrate a 95% 520 significant trend in RC (5690 watersheds at a 90% significance level), and among them decreasing 521 RC is more widespread compared to increasing RC. The most pronounced RC decreasing trends are 522 observed in Europe, India, eastern Brazil, Chile, eastern Australia, and the Euphrates and Tigris, which largely correspond to regions with known increasing agricultural, industrial, and residential 523 524 water use that may have reduced the river water. We also found that the global RC trend patterns 525 are different from a recent study showing mostly increasing RC in high-latitude watersheds, central 526 North America, eastern Australia, and Europe, which can largely be explained by the ET changes 527 (Xiong et al., 2022). Here GSHA reveals that RC trend is decreasing more widely than the increasing 528 trend, which may be concerning for the water availability in a changing climate, and will warrant 529 more future research along this line.



530

Figure 10 Patterns of runoff coefficient (a) and its trend (b). Only watersheds with statistically
 significant trend (p<0.05) are shown with colours in (b); the small and large sized points represent 95%
 (p<0.05) and 90% significance level (p<0.1), respectively. Note that the temporal coverage is different
 for different gauges; readers can refer to the GSHA temporal coverage for interpreting the patterns. The





535	figure illustrates 18987 GSHA watersheds. Watersheds with less than 10 years of indices calculated
536	from over 250 valid observations per year, as well as with runoff coefficient trend over 20 per decade,
537	are not demonstrated in subfigure b.

538 5 Conclusions

539		Large sample hydrology (LSH) datasets play a critical role in data-driven analyses and model
540	para	ameter estimation for hydrological studies. From MOPEX (Duan et al., 2006) to Caravan
541	(Kr	atzert et al., 2023), significant efforts have been made to improve the comprehensiveness of LSH,
542	yet	issues related to uncertainty estimates, and the human activity dynamics and the data spatial
543	cov	erage remain to be solved. This study focuses on complementing existing LSH with a new
544	syn	thesis dataset named the Global Streamflow characteristics, Hydrometeorology, and catchment
545	Attı	ibutes for large sample river-centric studies (GSHA v1.0).
546		To summarize, GSHA contributes the following aspects to the LSH development:
547	1.	It includes streamflow indices, hydrometeorological data, and surface characteristics data for
548		21568 gauges compiled from 13 agencies worldwide, which represents one of the most
549		comprehensive LSH by far.
550	2.	We incorporate multiple data sources to provide uncertainty estimates for each meteorological
551		variable (including precipitation, 2 m air temperature, radiation, wind, and ET). The spatial
552		patterns and the relationship between the uncertainty and the watershed characteristics that
553		GSHA revealed may be helpful to identify inconsistencies among data-driven studies or biases
554	2	for model parameter estimation studies using existing LSH.
555	3.	Dynamic data are provided for previously static data descriptors for land cover changes
557		storage capacity and degree of regulation
558		Although GSHA does not cover watersheds of $<25 \text{km}^2$ or the dynamics of cryosphere variables
550	(e o	alacier and permafrost) that become increasingly important in terrestrial hydrological changes
560	and	the time spans for the dynamic descriptors of LULC are unable to cover the critical periods for
500	the	advanged and less advanged acomparies due to the constraints with existing LULC data GSHA
501	ie u	tilized to uprovel the following insights:
502	15 u	The uncertainty netterns very between verifieles and geographical radios, indicating that the
202	1.	intermetation of model and analysis results need to consider inconsistencies of results and
504		from looking into the methodologies and natterns themselves
505	r	Although most watersholds have remained natural or human managed throughout the CSHA
500	2.	Autough most watersheds have remained hatural of human managed unoughout the OSHA
507		time span, a considerable number of watersneds shifted between the two categories, which can
508		be ascribed to urbanization, cropiand increase, reservoir construction and ecological restoration
569	2	such as returning farmland to natural states, and these can be clearly manifested using GSHA.
570	3.	Analysis with runoff coefficient reveals that while $\sim 80\%$ of gauges do not observe a
5/1		statistically significant trend, a greater portion of gauges have experienced a declining RC trend
5/2		than an increase trend. This pattern revealed by GSHA can be used to further study water
573		availability issues in a changing climate.





574	As our knowledge on the above processes continues to improve, we expect that future versions
575	of GSHA will be continuously updated. Finally, better hydrological data sharing is crucial to
576	advance global change hydrology studies.

577 Appendix

578 A. Spatial patterns of GSHA meteorological variables

579 **Figures A1 & A2** show the spatial distributions of GSHA meteorological variables and selected 580 streamflow indices. The spatial pattern derived from each individual data source is plotted separately.



581

582 Figure A1 Spatial distribution of streamflow indices (row 1, m³/s), precipitation (row 2, mm/day), 2 m





Manuscript in submission to ESSD



583 air temperature (row 3, K), actual ET (row 4, mm/day), potential ET (row 5, mm/day).

584 585

586 587

Figure A 2 Spatial distribution of longwave radiation (row 1, W/m²), shortwave radiation (row 2, W/m²), wind u- (row 3, m/s) and v- components (row 4, m/s) and the wind speed (row 5, m/s).

588 **B.** Potential evapotranspiration uncertainty

The spatial and numerical distributions of potential evapotranspiration (PET) uncertainties are illustrated in **Figure B1** and **Figure B2**. PET uncertainty is high compared with other variables (see 5.2 section). The majority of high PET uncertainty watersheds are in dry areas, but since it is calculated from meteorological variables, exceptions exist for palces including eastern Pacific coast,





Manuscript in submission to ESSD

- 593 where the climate is dry but PET uncertainty is low, and India, which is located in a wet climate
- 594 zone but has high PET uncertainty. As demonstrated by Figure B3, PET uncertainty do not decrease
- 595 with the increase of watershed area, probably because PET is calculated from various variables, and
- 596 the calculation over large watersheds involves more uncertainties for individual grids.



603 604

Figure B3 Relationship of PET uncertainty to watershed area.

2.5

3.5

3.0 Area (log10) km² 4.0

4.5

1.0

1.5

2.0





605 Author contribution

- 606 Conceptualization: PL. Investigation: ZY, PL, RR, GA, XL. Data curation: ZY, RR, XL, PL, ZZ,
- 607 SC. Funding acquisition: PL. Writing initial: ZY, PL. Writing Review and Editing: PL, ZY, GA,
- 608 RR, XL.

609 Data and Code Availability

- 610 GSHA v1.0 is openly available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8090704 (Yin et al. 2023). The
- 611 codes involved in the workflow to generating GSHA will be available upon reasonable requests to
- 612 the corresponding author.

613 Competing interests

614 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

615 Acknowledgements

This study is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program
(2022YFF0801303), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Peking
University on "Numerical modelling and remote sensing of global river discharge" (#7100604136).

619 References

620 Addor, N., Do, H. X., Alvarez-Garreton, C., Coxon, G., Fowler, K., & Mendoza, P. A. (2020). Largesample hydrology: recent progress, guidelines for new datasets and grand challenges. 621 622 Hydrological Sciences Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques, 65(5), 712-725. 623 https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2019.1683182 624 Addor, N., Nearing, G., Prieto, C., Newman, A. J., Le Vine, N., & Clark, M. P. (2018). A ranking of 625 hydrological signatures based on their predictability in space. Water Resources Research, 54(11), 8792-8812. 626 627 Addor, N., Newman, A. J., Mizukami, N., & Clark, M. P. (2017). The CAMELS data set: catchment 628 attributes and meteorology for large-sample studies. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 629 21(10), 5293-5313. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-5293-2017 630 Aerts, J. P., Hut, R. W., van de Giesen, N. C., Drost, N., van Verseveld, W. J., Weerts, A. H., & Hazenberg, 631 P. (2022). Large-sample assessment of varying spatial resolution on the streamflow estimates of the wflow_sbm hydrological model. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26(16), 4407-4430. 632





633	AghaKouchak, A., Chiang, F., Huning, L. S., Love, C. A., Mallakpour, I., Mazdiyasni, O., Moftakhari,
634	H., Papalexiou, S. M., Ragno, E., & Sadegh, M. (2020). Climate Extremes and Compound
635	Hazards in a Warming World. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Vol 48, 2020,
636	48, 519-548. <go isi="" to="">://WOS:000613951000021</go>
637	Alvarez-Garreton, C., Mendoza, P. A., Boisier, J. P., Addor, N., Galleguillos, M., Zambrano-Bigiarini,
638	M., Lara, A., Puelma, C., Cortes, G., Garreaud, R., McPhee, J., & Ayala, A. (2018). The
639	CAMELS-CL dataset: catchment attributes and meteorology for large sample studies - Chile
640	dataset. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 22(11), 5817-5846.
641	https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-5817-2018
642	ArcticNET 2022 ArcticNET V1.0 (available at: https://russia-arcticnet.sr.unh.edu/)
643	Arsenault, R., Brissette, F., Martel, JL., Troin, M., Lévesque, G., Davidson-Chaput, J., Gonzalez, M.
644	C., Ameli, A., & Poulin, A. (2020). A comprehensive, multisource database for
645	hydrometeorological modeling of 14,425 North American watersheds. Scientific Data, 7(1), 243.
646	Australian Bureau of Meteorology 2022 Australian Bureau of Meteorology (available at:
647	www.bom.gov.au/)
648	Beck, H. E., van Dijk, A. I., De Roo, A., Miralles, D. G., McVicar, T. R., Schellekens, J., & Bruijnzeel,
649	L. A. (2016). Global-scale regionalization of hydrologic model parameters. Water Resources
650	Research, 52(5), 3599-3622.
651	Beck, H. E., Van Dijk, A. I., Levizzani, V., Schellekens, J., Miralles, D. G., Martens, B., & De Roo, A.
652	(2017). MSWEP: 3-hourly 0.25 global gridded precipitation (1979-2015) by merging gauge,
653	satellite, and reanalysis data. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21(1), 589-615.
654	Beck, H. E., Wood, E. F., Pan, M., Fisher, C. K., Miralles, D. G., Van Dijk, A. I., McVicar, T. R., & Adler,
655	R. F. (2019). MSWEP V2 global 3-hourly 0.1 precipitation: methodology and quantitative
656	assessment. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 100(3), 473-500.
657	Belvederesi, C., Zaghloul, M. S., Achari, G., Gupta, A., & Hassan, Q. K. (2022). Modelling river flow in
658	cold and ungauged regions: A review of the purposes, methods, and challenges. Environmental
659	Reviews, 30(1), 159-173.
660	Benke, K. K., Lowell, K. E., & Hamilton, A. J. (2008). Parameter uncertainty, sensitivity analysis and
661	prediction error in a water-balance hydrological model. Mathematical and Computer Modelling,
662	47(11-12), 1134-1149.
663	Beven, K. J., & Alcock, R. E. (2012). Modelling everything everywhere: a new approach to decision-
664	making for water management under uncertainty. Freshwater Biology, 57, 124-132.
665	Bourdin, D. R., Fleming, S. W., & Stull, R. B. (2012). Streamflow modelling: a primer on applications,
666	approaches and challenges. Atmosphere-Ocean, 50(4), 507-536.
667	Brazil National Water Agency 2022 National water and sanitation agency (ANA) Agência Nac Águas E
668	Saneam. Básico ANA (available at: www.gov.br/ana/en/national_water_agency)
669	Brugnara, Y., Good, E., Squintu, A. A., van der Schrier, G., & Brönnimann, S. (2019). The EUSTACE
670	global land station daily air temperature dataset. Geoscience Data Journal, 6(2), 189-204.
671	Brun, P., Zimmermann, N. E., Hari, C., Pellissier, L., & Karger, D. N. (2022). Global climate-related
672	predictors at kilometer resolution for the past and future. Earth System Science Data, 14(12),
673	5573-5603.
674	Brunner, M. I., Slater, L., Tallaksen, L. M., & Clark, M. (2021). Challenges in modeling and predicting
675	floods and droughts: A review. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 8(3), e1520.
676	Burges, S. J. (1998). Streamflow prediction: capabilities, opportunities, and challenges. Hydrologic





677	Sciences: Taking Stock and Looking Ahead, 5, 101-134.
678	Canada National Water Data Archive 2022 National water data archive HYDAT (available at:
679	www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/water-
680	overview/quantity/monitoring/survey/data-products-services/national-archive-hydat.html)
681	Chagas, V. B., Chaffe, P. L., Addor, N., Fan, F. M., Fleischmann, A. S., Paiva, R. C., & Siqueira, V. A.
682	(2020). CAMELS-BR: hydrometeorological time series and landscape attributes for 897
683	catchments in Brazil. Earth System Science Data, 12(3), 2075-2096.
684	Chen, X., Jiang, L., Luo, Y., & Liu, J. (2023). A global streamflow indices time series dataset for large-
685	sample hydrological analyses on streamflow regime (until 2021). Earth System Science Data
686	Discussions, 2023, 1-18.
687	Chile Center for Climate and Resilience Research 2022 Center for climate and resilience research CR2
688	Chilean research center on climate, climate change and resilience (available at: www.cr2.cl/eng/)
689	Cho, K., & Kim, Y. (2022). Improving streamflow prediction in the WRF-Hydro model with LSTM
690	networks. Journal of Hydrology, 605, 127297.
691	Clark, M. P., Vogel, R. M., Lamontagne, J. R., Mizukami, N., Knoben, W. J., Tang, G., Gharari, S., Freer,
692	J. E., Whitfield, P. H., & Shook, K. R. (2021). The abuse of popular performance metrics in
693	hydrologic modeling. Water Resources Research, 57(9), e2020WR029001.
694	Claverie, M., Matthews, J. L., Vermote, E. F., & Justice, C. O. (2016). A 30+ year AVHRR LAI and
695	FAPAR climate data record: Algorithm description and validation. Remote Sensing, 8(3), 263.
696	Coxon, G., Addor, N., Bloomfield, J. P., Freer, J., Fry, M., Hannaford, J., Howden, N. J., Lane, R., Lewis,
697	M., & Robinson, E. L. (2020). CAMELS-GB: hydrometeorological time series and landscape
698	attributes for 671 catchments in Great Britain. Earth System Science Data, 12(4), 2459-2483.
699	Delaigue, O., Brigode, P., Andréassian, V., Perrin, C., Etchevers, P., Soubeyroux, JM., Janet, B., & Nans,
700	A. (2022). CAMELS-FR: A large sample hydroclimatic dataset for France to explore
701	hydrological diversity and support model benchmarking. IAHS-2022 Scientific Assembly, 575.
702	Do, H. X., Gudmundsson, L., Leonard, M., & Westra, S. (2018). The Global Streamflow Indices and
703	Metadata Archive (GSIM) - Part 1: The production of a daily streamflow archive and metadata.
704	Earth System Science Data, 10(2). https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-765-2018
705	Duan, Q., Schaake, J., Andréassian, V., Franks, S., Goteti, G., Gupta, H., Gusev, Y., Habets, F., Hall, A.,
706	& Hay, L. (2006). Model Parameter Estimation Experiment (MOPEX): An overview of science
707	strategy and major results from the second and third workshops. Journal of Hydrology, 320(1-
708	2), 3-17.
709	Fang, Y., Huang, Y., Qu, B., Zhang, X., Zhang, T., & Xia, D. (2022). Estimating the Routing Parameter
710	of the Xin'anjiang Hydrological Model Based on Remote Sensing Data and Machine Learning.
711	Remote Sensing, 14(18), 4609.
712	Fowler, K. J. A., Acharya, S. C., Addor, N., Chou, C. C., & Peel, M. C. (2021). CAMELS-AUS:
713	hydrometeorological time series and landscape attributes for 222 catchments in Australia. Earth
714	System Science Data, 13(8), 3847-3867. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3847-2021
715	Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., & Huang, X. (2010).
716	MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization of new
717	datasets. Remote Sensing of Environment, 114(1), 168-182.
718	Friedl, M., D. Sulla-Menashe. MCD12Q1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly L3 Global 500m
719	SIN Grid V006. 2019, distributed by NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC,
720	https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12Q1.006.





721	Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A., Darmenov,
722	A., Bosilovich, M. G., & Reichle, R. (2017). The modern-era retrospective analysis for research
723	and applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). Journal of Climate, 30(14), 5419-5454.
724	Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) (2015), inst3_3d_asm_Cp: MERRA-2 3D IAU State,
725	Meteorology Instantaneous 3-hourly (p-coord, 0.625x0.5L42), version 5.12.4, Greenbelt, MD,
726	USA: Goddard Space Flight Center Distributed Active Archive Center (GSFC DAAC), doi:
727	10.5067/VJAFPLI1CSIV.
728	Gudmundsson, L., Do, H. X., Leonard, M., & Westra, S. (2018). The Global Streamflow Indices and
729	Metadata Archive (GSIM) - Part 2: Quality control, time-series indices and homogeneity
730	assessment. Earth System Science Data, 10(2). https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-787-2018
731	Gupta, H. V., Perrin, C., Bloschl, G., Montanari, A., Kumar, R., Clark, M., & Andreassian, V. (2014).
732	Large-sample hydrology: a need to balance depth with breadth. Hydrology and Earth System
733	Sciences, 18(2), 463-477. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-463-2014
734	Hao, Z., Jin, J., Xia, R., Tian, S., Yang, W., Liu, Q., Zhu, M., Ma, T., Jing, C., & Zhang, Y. (2021). CCAM:
735	China catchment attributes and meteorology dataset. Earth System Science Data, 13(12), 5591-
736	5616.
737	Henck, A. C., Montgomery, D. R., Huntington, K. W., & Liang, C. (2010). Monsoon control of effective
738	discharge, Yunnan and Tibet. Geology, 38(11), 975-978.
739	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey,
740	C., Radu, R., & Schepers, D. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the
741	Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049.
742	Hrachowitz, M., Savenije, H., Blöschl, G., McDonnell, J., Sivapalan, M., Pomeroy, J., Arheimer, B.,
743	Blume, T., Clark, M., & Ehret, U. (2013). A decade of Predictions in Ungauged Basins (PUB)-
744	a review. Hydrological sciences journal, 58(6), 1198-1255.
745	Hu, D., Cao, S., Chen, S., Deng, L., & Feng, N. (2017). Monitoring spatial patterns and changes of
746	surface net radiation in urban and suburban areas using satellite remote-sensing data.
747	International Journal of Remote Sensing, 38(4), 1043-1061.
748	Huang, Yinghuai (2020): High spatiotemporal resolution mapping of global urban change from 1985 to
749	2015. figshare. Dataset. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.11513178.v1
750	Immerzeel, W., and, & Droogers, P. (2008). Calibration of a distributed hydrological model based on
751	satellite evapotranspiration. Journal of Hydrology, 349(3-4), 411-424.
752	India Water Resources Information System 2022 India Water Resources Information System (available
753	at: <u>https://indiawris.gov.in/wris/#/</u>)
754	Japanese Water Information System 2022 Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism
755	(available at: www.mlit.go.jp/en/)
756	Kauffeldt, A., Halldin, S., Rodhe, A., Xu, CY., & Westerberg, I. K. (2013). Disinformative data in large-
757	scale hydrological modelling. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17(7), 2845-2857.
758	Klingler, C., Schulz, K., & Herrnegger, M. (2021). LamaH-CE: LArge-SaMple DAta for Hydrology and
759	Environmental Sciences for Central Europe. Earth System Science Data, 13(9), 4529-4565.
760	https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4529-2021
761	Kovács, G. (1984). Proposal to construct a coordinating matrix for comparative hydrology. Hydrological
762	sciences journal, 29(4), 435-443.
763	Kratzert, F., Klotz, D., Shalev, G., Klambauer, G., Hochreiter, S., & Nearing, G. (2019a). Benchmarking
764	a catchment-aware long short-term memory network (LSTM) for large-scale hydrological





765	modeling. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss, 2019, 1-32.
766	Kratzert, F., Klotz, D., Shalev, G., Klambauer, G., Hochreiter, S., & Nearing, G. (2019b). Towards
767	learning universal, regional, and local hydrological behaviors via machine learning applied to
768	large-sample datasets. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23(12), 5089-5110.
769	Kratzert, F., Nearing, G., Addor, N., Erickson, T., Gauch, M., Gilon, O., Gudmundsson, L., Hassidim, A.,
770	Klotz, D., & Nevo, S. (2023). Caravan-A global community dataset for large-sample hydrology.
771	Scientific Data, 10(1), 61.
772	Lehner, B., Messager, M. L., Korver, M. C., & Linke, S. (2022). Global hydro-environmental lake
773	characteristics at high spatial resolution. Scientific Data, 9(1), 351.
774	Li, B., Rodell, M., Kumar, S., Beaudoing, H. K., Getirana, A., Zaitchik, B. F., de Goncalves, L. G.,
775	Cossetin, C., Bhanja, S., & Mukherjee, A. (2019). Global GRACE data assimilation for
776	groundwater and drought monitoring: Advances and challenges. Water Resources Research,
777	55(9), 7564-7586.
778	Lin, P., Rajib, M. A., Yang, Z. L., Somos-Valenzuela, M., Merwade, V., Maidment, D. R., Wang, Y., &
779	Chen, L. (2018). Spatiotemporal evaluation of simulated evapotranspiration and streamflow
780	over Texas using the WRF-Hydro-RAPID modeling framework. JAWRA Journal of the
781	American Water Resources Association, 54(1), 40-54.
782	Lin, P. R., Pan, M., Beck, H. E., Yang, Y., Yamazaki, D., Frasson, R., David, C. H., Durand, M., Pavelsky,
783	T. M., Allen, G. H., Gleason, C. J., & Wood, E. F. (2019). Global Reconstruction of Naturalized
784	River Flows at 2.94 Million Reaches. Water Resources Research, 55(8), 6499-6516.
785	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019wr025287
786	Lin, P. R., Pan, M., Wood, E. F., Yamazaki, D., & Allen, G. H. (2021). A new vector-based global river
787	network dataset accounting for variable drainage density. Scientific Data, 8(1).
788	https://doi.org/ARTN 2810.1038/s41597-021-00819-9
789	Linke, S., Lehner, B., Ouellet Dallaire, C., Ariwi, J., Grill, G., Anand, M., Beames, P., Burchard-Levine,
790	V., Maxwell, S., & Moidu, H. (2019). Global hydro-environmental sub-basin and river reach
791	characteristics at high spatial resolution. Scientific Data, 6(1), 283.
792	Liu, X., Huang, Y., Xu, X., Li, X., Li, X., Ciais, P., Lin, P., Gong, K., Ziegler, A. D., & Chen, A. (2020).
793	High-spatiotemporal-resolution mapping of global urban change from 1985 to 2015. Nature
794	Sustainability, 3(7), 564-570.
795	Lu, J., Wang, G., Chen, T., Li, S., Hagan, D. F. T., Kattel, G., Peng, J., Jiang, T., & Su, B. (2021). A
796	harmonized global land evaporation dataset from model-based products covering 1980-2017.
797	Earth System Science Data, 13(12), 5879-5898.
798	Martens, B., Miralles, D. G., Lievens, H., Van Der Schalie, R., De Jeu, R. A., Fernández-Prieto, D., Beck,
799	H. E., Dorigo, W. A., & Verhoest, N. E. (2017). GLEAM v3: Satellite-based land evaporation
800	and root-zone soil moisture. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(5), 1903-1925.
801	Merchant, C. J., Paul, F., Popp, T., Ablain, M., Bontemps, S., Defourny, P., Hollmann, R., Lavergne, T.,
802	Laeng, A., & De Leeuw, G. (2017). Uncertainty information in climate data records from Earth
803	observation. Earth System Science Data, 9(2), 511-527.
804	Miralles, D. G., Holmes, T., De Jeu, R., Gash, J., Meesters, A., & Dolman, A. (2011). Global land-surface
805	evaporation estimated from satellite-based observations. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences,
806	15(2), 453-469.
807	Muñoz-Sabater, J., Dutra, E., Agustí-Panareda, A., Albergel, C., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Boussetta, S.,
808	Choulga, M., Harrigan, S., & Hersbach, H. (2021). ERA5-Land: A state-of-the-art global





810Muñoz Sabater, J., (2019): ERA5811Service (C3S) Climate D812Nandi, S., & Reddy, M. J. (2022).813mapping using VIC, RAI814Newman, A. J., Clark, M. P., San815Brekke, L., Arnold, J. R816watershed-scale hydrome817and assessment of region818System Sciences, $19(1)$, 2819Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma820forecasting absolute/relat821of Hydrology, 522, 439-4822Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H.,823River basin, China: clima824450.825Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013).826methods. Journal of hydr827Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J828methods for monthly stre829of Hydrology, 586, 12489830Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, S831Extending global river g832Letters.833Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q.834Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F835land degradation: new ev836China and Southeast Tibe837496.838Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam839the hydrologic budget of840Resources Research, 56(1)841Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole842A. & Michaelides, K. (Land hourly data from 1981 to present. Copernicus Climate Change ata Store (CDS)., 10.24381/cds.e2161bac An integrated approach to streamflow estimation and flood inundation PID and LISFLOOD-FP. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i>, <i>610</i>, 127842. apson, K., Wood, A., Hay, L. E., Bock, A., Viger, R. J., Blodgett, D., ., Hopson, T., & Duan, Q. (2015). Development of a large-sample teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
811Service (C3S) Climate D812Nandi, S., & Reddy, M. J. (2022).813mapping using VIC, RAI814Newman, A. J., Clark, M. P., San815Brekke, L., Arnold, J. R816watershed-scale hydrome817and assessment of region818System Sciences, 19(1), 2819Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma820forecasting absolute/relat821of Hydrology, 522, 439-4822Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H.,823Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013).826methods. Journal of hydr827Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J828methods for monthly stre829of Hydrology, 586, 12489830Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, .831Extending global river g832Letters.833Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q.834Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F835land degradation: new ev836China and Southeast Tibe837496.838Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam839the hydrologic budget of840Resources Research, 56(1)841Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole842A. & Michaelides, K. (ata Store (CDS)., 10.24381/cds.e2161bac An integrated approach to streamflow estimation and flood inundation PID and LISFLOOD-FP. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i>, <i>610</i>, 127842. apson, K., Wood, A., Hay, L. E., Bock, A., Viger, R. J., Blodgett, D., ., Hopson, T., & Duan, Q. (2015). Development of a large-sample teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>plogic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 Nandi, S., & Reddy, M. J. (2022). mapping using VIC, RAI Newman, A. J., Clark, M. P., San Brekke, L., Arnold, J. R watershed-scale hydrome and assessment of region <i>System Sciences</i>, 19(1), 2 Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma forecasting absolute/relat of Hydrology, 522, 439-4 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., Rizer basin, China: clima 450. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013). methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, M. Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, I. Extending global river g Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev Schnidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli 	 An integrated approach to streamflow estimation and flood inundation PID and LISFLOOD-FP. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i>, <i>610</i>, 127842. apson, K., Wood, A., Hay, L. E., Bock, A., Viger, R. J., Blodgett, D., ., Hopson, T., & Duan, Q. (2015). Development of a large-sample teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>plogic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 mapping using VIC, RAI Newman, A. J., Clark, M. P., San Brekke, L., Arnold, J. R watershed-scale hydrome and assessment of region <i>System Sciences</i>, 19(1), 2 Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma forecasting absolute/relat of Hydrology, 522, 439-4 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013). methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, Extending global river g Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 	 PID and LISFLOOD-FP. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i>, <i>610</i>, 127842. ppson, K., Wood, A., Hay, L. E., Bock, A., Viger, R. J., Blodgett, D., ., Hopson, T., & Duan, Q. (2015). Development of a large-sample teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>plogic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 Newman, A. J., Clark, M. P., San Brekke, L., Arnold, J. R watershed-scale hydrome and assessment of region <i>System Sciences</i>, 19(1), 2 Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma forecasting absolute/relat of Hydrology, 522, 439-4 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., River basin, China: clima 450. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013). methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, Y methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, S Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli 	 apson, K., Wood, A., Hay, L. E., Bock, A., Viger, R. J., Blodgett, D., a, Hopson, T., & Duan, Q. (2015). Development of a large-sample teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 a, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 90, 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
815Brekke, L., Arnold, J. R816watershed-scale hydrome817and assessment of region818System Sciences, 19(1), 2819Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma820forecasting absolute/relat821of Hydrology, 522, 439-4822Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H.,823River basin, China: clima824450.825Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013).826methods. Journal of hydr827Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J828methods for monthly stre829of Hydrology, 586, 12489830Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, B831Extending global river g832Letters.833Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q.834Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F835land degradation: new ev836China and Southeast Tibe837496.838Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam839the hydrologic budget of840Resources Research, 56(1)841Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole842A. & Michaelides, K. (., Hopson, T., & Duan, Q. (2015). Development of a large-sample teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 90, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>plogic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 816 watershed-scale hydrome 817 and assessment of region 818 System Sciences, 19(1), 2 819 Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma 820 forecasting absolute/relat 821 of Hydrology, 522, 439-4 822 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., 823 River basin, China: clima 824 450. 825 Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013). 826 methods. Journal of hydr 827 Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, 2 828 methods for monthly stre 829 of Hydrology, 586, 12489 830 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, . 831 Extending global river g 832 Letters. 833 Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. 834 Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F 835 land degradation: new ev 836 China and Southeast Tibe 837 496. 838 Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam 839 the hydrologic budget of 840 Resources Research, 56(1) 841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosold 842 A Wichaelides, K. (teorological data set for the contiguous USA: data set characteristics al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 90, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>plogic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
817and assessment of region818System Sciences, 19(1), 2819Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma820forecasting absolute/relat821of Hydrology, 522, 439-4822Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H.,823River basin, China: clima824450.825Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013).826methods. Journal of hydr827Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J828methods for monthly stre829of Hydrology, 586, 12489830Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, R831Extending global river g832Letters.833Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q.834Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F835land degradation: new ev836China and Southeast Tibe837496.838Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam839the hydrologic budget of840Resources Research, 56(1)841Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole842A. & Michaelides, K. (al variability in hydrologic model performance. <i>Hydrology and Earth</i> 09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 m, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
818System Sciences, 19(1), 2819Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma820forecasting absolute/relat821of Hydrology, 522, 439-4822Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H.,823River basin, China: clim824450.825Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013).826methods. Journal of hydr827Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J828methods for monthly stre829of Hydrology, 586, 12489830Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, .831Extending global river g832Letters.833Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q.834Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F835land degradation: new ev836China and Southeast Tibe837496.838Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam839the hydrologic budget of840Resources Research, 56(1)841Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole842A. & Michaelides, K. (09-223. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-209-2015 nn, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 90, 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 Niraula, R., Meixner, T., & Norma forecasting absolute/relat of Hydrology, 522, 439-4 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., River basin, China: clim. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013). methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, A Extending global river g <i>Letters</i>. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole <i>A. & Michaelides K. (</i> 	 n, L. M. (2015). Determining the importance of model calibration for ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 90, 438-Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 forecasting absolute/relat of Hydrology, 522, 439-4 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., River basin, China: clim. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013) methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, I Extending global river g <i>Letters</i>. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole <i>A. & Michaelides, K. (Cong. S.)</i> 	 ive changes in streamflow from LULC and climate changes. <i>Journal</i> 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, 90, 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 <i>of Hydrology</i>, <i>522</i>, 439-4 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., River basin, China: clim. 450. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013) methods. <i>Journal of hydr</i> Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre <i>of Hydrology</i>, <i>586</i>, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, . Extending global river g Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, <i>56</i>(1841) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli <i>A. & Michaelides, K. (Cong. S. (Co</i>	 51. & Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 Qu, S., Wang, L., Lin, A., Zhu, H., River basin, China: clim. 450. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013) methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, Extending global river g <i>Letters</i>. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli 	& Yuan, M. (2018). What drives the vegetation restoration in Yangtze ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , <i>90</i> , 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i> , <i>18</i> (8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 River basin, China: clim. 450. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013) methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre <i>of Hydrology</i>, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, B Extending global river g <i>Letters</i>. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli <i>A. & Michaelides, K. (Laboration and Southeast Key China and Southeast Key China and Southeast China and Southeast China</i> 	 ate change or anthropogenic factors? <i>Ecological Indicators</i>, <i>90</i>, 438- Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i>, <i>18</i>(8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 450. Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013) methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, Z methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, Extending global river g <i>Letters.</i> Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli <i>A. & Michaelides, K. (Laboration and Southeast The Sources Research Sources Research</i>) 	Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i> , <i>18</i> (8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 Razavi, T., & Coulibaly, P. (2013) methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, J methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, J Extending global river g Letters. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of Resources Research, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole A. & Michaelides, K. (2013) 	Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization <i>ologic engineering</i> , <i>18</i> (8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 methods. Journal of hydr Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, 2 methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, 3 Extending global river g Extending global river g Letters. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schnia and Southeast Tibe China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of Resources Research, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 	<i>ologic engineering</i> , <i>18</i> (8), 958-975. X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 Ren, K., Fang, W., Qu, J., Zhang, 2 methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, Extending global river g Extending global river g <i>Letters</i>. Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosold 	X., & Shi, X. (2020). Comparison of eight filter-based feature selection amflow forecasting–three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
 methods for monthly stre of Hydrology, 586, 12489 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, Extending global river g <i>Letters.</i> Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli <i>A. & Michaelides, K. (Cond.)</i> 	amflow forecasting-three case studies on CAMELS data sets. <i>Journal</i> 7.
829of Hydrology, 586, 12489830Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang,831Extending global river §832Letters.833Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q.834Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F835land degradation: new ev836China and Southeast Tibe837496.838Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam839the hydrologic budget of840Resources Research, 56(1)841Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole842A. & Michaelides, K. (17.
 Riggs, R. M., Allen, G. H., Wang, Extending global river § <i>Extending global river §</i> <i>Letters.</i> Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schmidt, A. Schmidt, A. Staw, D. T., Rosolu Schmidt, A. & Michaelides, K. (Schmidt, Schmidt, Schm	
 831 Extending global river § 832 Letters. 833 Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. 834 Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F. 835 land degradation: new ev 836 China and Southeast Tibe 837 496. 838 Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam 839 the hydrologic budget of 840 Resources Research, 56(1) 841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 842 A. & Michaelides, K. (1990) 	J., Pavelsky, T. M., Gleason, C. J., David, C. H., & Durand, M. (2023).
 <i>Letters.</i> Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe China and Southeast Tibe <i>496.</i> Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole <i>A. & Michaelides K. (Laboration of the second </i>	auge records using satellite observations. Environmental Research
 833 Schaake, J., Cong, S., & Duan, Q. 834 Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F. 835 land degradation: new ev 836 China and Southeast Tibe 837 496. 838 Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam 839 the hydrologic budget of 840 <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) 841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 842 A. & Michaelides, K. (1990) 	
 834 Schmidt, A. H., Montgomery, D. F 835 land degradation: new ev 836 China and Southeast Tibe 837 496. 838 Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam 839 the hydrologic budget of 840 <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) 841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 842 A. & Michaelides, K. (1990) 	(2006). US MOPEX data set.
 land degradation: new ev China and Southeast Tibe 496. Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole A. & Michaelides K. (1990) 	L., Huntington, K. W., & Liang, C. (2011). The question of communist
 China and Southeast Tibe 496. Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole A. & Michaelides, K. (1996) 	dence from local erosion and basin-wide sediment yield in Southwest
 496. Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam the hydrologic budget of <i>Resources Research</i>, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosoli A. & Michaelides, K. (1990) 	et. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 101(3), 477-
 838 Schreiner-McGraw, A. P., & Ajam 839 the hydrologic budget of Resources Research, 56(1) 841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 842 A. & Michaelides K. (1990) 	
 the hydrologic budget of Resources Research, 56(1) Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosologic A. & Michaelides K. (1) 	, H. (2020). Impact of uncertainty in precipitation forcing data sets on
 840 Resources Research, 56(1) 841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosole 842 A. & Michaelides K. (1) 	of an integrated hydrologic model in mountainous terrain. Water
841 Singer, M. B., Asfaw, D. T., Rosol 842 A & Michaelides K (2), e2020WR027639.
842 A & Michaelides V (em, R., Cuthbert, M. O., Miralles, D. G., MacLeod, D., Quichimbo, E.
Λ , α when a characterized, K . (2021). Hourly potential evapotranspiration at 0.1 resolution for the
global land surface from	1981-present. Scientific Data, 8(1), 224.
844 Spain Anuario de Aforos 2022 An	uario de Aforos—Anuario de Aforos Digital—datos.gob.es (available
845 at: http://datos.gob.e	s/es/catalogo/e00125801-anuario-de-aforos/resource/4836b826-e7fd-
846 4a41-950c-89b4eaea0279))
847 Sörensson, A. A., & Ruscica, R	. C. (2018). Intercomparison and uncertainty assessment of nine
848 evapotranspiration estima	tes over South America. Water Resources Research, 54(4), 2891-2908.
849 Tang, G., Clark, M. P., & Papalexi	
850 planet Earth. <i>Bulletin of t</i>	ou, S. M. (2022). EM-Earth: The ensemble meteorological dataset for
851 Tang, G., Clark, M., Papalexiou, S	ou, S. M. (2022). EM-Earth: The ensemble meteorological dataset for <i>he American Meteorological Society</i> , <i>103</i> (4), E996-E1018.
852 Earth. Federated Research	ou, S. M. (2022). EM-Earth: The ensemble meteorological dataset for <i>he American Meteorological Society</i> , <i>103</i> (4), E996-E1018. A. (2022) EM-Earth: The Ensemble Meteorological Dataset for Planet





853	Tang, G., Clark, M. P., Knoben, W. J. M., Liu, H., Gharari, S., Arnal, L., et al. (2023). The impact of
854	meteorological forcing uncertainty on hydrological modeling: A global analysis of cryosphere
855	basins. Water Resources Research, 59, e2022WR033767. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR0337
856	Thackeray, C. W., Hall, A., Norris, J., & Chen, D. (2022). Constraining the increased frequency of global
857	precipitation extremes under warming. Nature Climate Change, 12(5), 441-448.
858	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01329-1
859	Thailand Royal Irrigation Department 2022 RID River Discharge Data (available at: http://hydro.iis.u-
860	tokyo.ac.jp/GAME-T/GAIN-T/routine/rid-river/disc_d.html)
861	The Global Runoff Data Centre 2022 The global runoff data centre GRDC Data Portal (available at:
862	https://portal.grdc.bafg.de/applications/public.html?publicuser=PublicUser)
863	Ukhurebor, K. E., Azi, S. O., Aigbe, U. O., Onyancha, R. B., & Emegha, J. O. (2020). Analyzing the
864	uncertainties between reanalysis meteorological data and ground measured meteorological data.
865	Measurement, 165, 108110.
866	U.S. Geological Survey 2019 Gages Through the Ages (available at:
867	https://labs.waterdata.usgs.gov/visualizations/gages-through-the-ages)
868	Vermote, Eric; NOAA CDR Program. (2019): NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of AVHRR Leaf Area
869	Index (LAI) and Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR), Version
870	5. [LAI]. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information.
871	https://doi.org/10.7289/V5TT4P69.
872	Wang, J., Walter, B. A., Yao, F., Song, C., Ding, M., Maroof, A. S., Zhu, J., Fan, C., McAlister, J. M., &
873	Sikder, S. (2022). GeoDAR: georeferenced global dams and reservoirs dataset for bridging
874	attributes and geolocations. Earth System Science Data, 14(4), 1869-1899.
875	Wilby, R., & Dessai, S. (2010). Robust adaptation to climate change.
876	Xiong, J., Yin, J., Guo, S., He, S., & Chen, J. (2022). Annual runoff coefficient variation in a changing
877	environment: A global perspective. Environmental Research Letters, 17(6), 064006.
878	Yamazaki, D., Ikeshima, D., Sosa, J., Bates, P. D., Allen, G. H., & Pavelsky, T. M. (2019). MERIT Hydro:
879	a high-resolution global hydrography map based on latest topography dataset. Water Resources
880	Research, 55(6), 5053-5073.
881	Yamazaki, D., Ikeshima, D., Tawatari, R., Yamaguchi, T., O'Loughlin, F., Neal, J. C., Sampson, C. C.,
882	Kanae, S., & Bates, P. D. (2017). A high-accuracy map of global terrain elevations. Geophysical
883	Research Letters, 44(11), 5844-5853.
884	Yang, L., Yang, Y., Villarini, G., Li, X., Hu, H., Wang, L., Blöschl, G., & Tian, F. (2021). Climate more
885	important for Chinese flood changes than reservoirs and land use. Geophysical Research Letters,
886	48(11), e2021GL093061.
887	Yang, L., Yang, Y. X., Villarini, G., Li, X., Hu, H. C., Wang, L. C., Bloschl, G., & Tian, F. Q. (2021).
888	Climate More Important for Chinese Flood Changes Than Reservoirs and Land Use.
889	Geophysical Research Letters, 48(11). https://doi.org/ARTN
890	e2021GL09306110.1029/2021GL093061
891	Yin, Z., Lin, P., Riggs, R., Allen, G. H., Lei, X., Zheng, Z., & Cai, S. (2023). A Synthesis of Global
892	Streamflow characteristics, Hydrometeorology, and catchment Attributes (GSHA) for Large
893	Sample River-Centric Studies (1.0) [Data set]. Zenodo.
894	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8090704
895	Zaitchik, B. F., Rodell, M., & Reichle, R. H. (2008). Assimilation of GRACE terrestrial water storage
896	data into a land surface model: Results for the Mississippi River basin. Journal of





897	Hydrometeorology, 9(3), 535-548.
898	Zhang, J., Lin, P., Gao, S., & Fang, Z. (2020). Understanding the re-infiltration process to simulating
899	streamflow in North Central Texas using the WRF-hydro modeling system. Journal of
900	Hydrology, 587, 124902.
901	Zhang, J., Wang, T., & Ge, J. (2015). Assessing vegetation cover dynamics induced by policy-driven
902	ecological restoration and implication to soil erosion in southern China. PLoS One, 10(6),
903	e0131352.
904	Zhang, S., Zhou, L., Zhang, L., Yang, Y., Wei, Z., Zhou, S., Yang, D., Yang, X., Wu, X., & Zhang, Y.
905	(2022). Reconciling disagreement on global river flood changes in a warming climate. Nature
906	Climate Change, 1-8.
907	Zhang, Y., & Liang, S. (2014). Changes in forest biomass and linkage to climate and forest disturbances
908	over Northeastern China. Global change biology, 20(8), 2596-2606.
909	Zhang, Y., Zheng, H., Zhang, X., Leung, L. R., Liu, C., Zheng, C., Guo, Y., Chiew, F. H., Post, D., &
910	Kong, D. (2023). Future global streamflow declines are probably more severe than previously
911	estimated. Nature Water, 1-11.
912	
913	