Paleo±Dust: Quantifying uncertainty in paleo-dust deposition across archive types

Nicolás J. Cosentino^{1,2,3,4,5}, Gabriela <u>Torre^{6,7}</u>, Fabrice Lambert¹, Samuel Albani², François De Vleeschouwer⁵, Aloys J.-M. Borv⁸

5 ¹Instituto de Geografía, Facultad de Historia, Geografía y Ciencia Política, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Macul, 7820436, Chile

²Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Ambiente e della Terra, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Milan, 20126, Italy ³Universidad de Buenos Aires. Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales. Buenos Aires, Argentina ⁴CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires. Centro de Investigaciones del Mar y la Atmósfera (CIMA). Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁵Instituto Franco-Argentino de Estudios sobre el Clima y sus Impactos (IFAECI) - IRL 3351 - CNRS-CONICET-IRD-UBA Buenos Aires, Argentina

<u>Facultad</u> de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, X5000JJC, Argentina <u>Centro</u> de Investigaciones en Ciencias de la Tierra (CICTERRA), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICET), Cordoba, X5016GCA, Argentina

Laboratoire d'Océanologie et de Géosciences (LOG, UMR 8187, Université de Lille-CNRS-Université Côte d'Opale-IRD), Lille, F-59000, France

Correspondence to: Nicolás J. Cosentino (nicolas.cosentino@cima.fcen.uba.ar)

10

15

20 Abstract. Mineral dust aerosol concentrations in the atmosphere varied greatly on glacial-interglacial timescales. The greatest changes in global dust activity occurred in response to changes in orbital parameters that affect dust emission intensity through glacial activity, and dust lifetime in the atmosphere through changes in the global hydrological cycle. Long-term changes in surface dust deposition rate are registered in geological archives such as loess, peats, lakes, marine sediments, and ice. Data provided by these archives is crucial for guiding simulations of dust, and for better understanding

- 25 the natural global dust cycle. However, the methods employed to derive paleo-dust deposition rates differ markedly between archives and are subject to different sources of uncertainty. Here, we present Paleo±Dust, an updated compilation of bulk and <10-µm paleo-dust deposition rate with quantitative 1-σ uncertainties that are inter-comparable among archive types (Cosentino et al., 2023). Paleo±Dust incorporates a total of <u>285</u> pre-industrial Holocene (pi-HOL) and <u>209</u> Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) dust flux constraints from studies published until December 2022, including for the first time peat records.
- 30 We also recalculate previously published dust fluxes to exclude data from the last deglaciation and thus obtain more representative constraints for the last pre-industrial interglacial and glacial end-member climate states. Based on Paleo±Dust, the global LGM:pi-HOL ratio of <10-µm dust deposition rate is 3.1 ± 0 2 (1σ). We expect Paleo±Dust to be of use for future paleoclimate dust studies and simulations using Earth system models of high to intermediate complexity.

Deleted: Torre ³
Deleted: 4
Deleted: 5
Deleted: Bory ⁶
Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Superscript
Deleted: ³ Facultad
Deleted: ⁴ Centro
Deleted: ³ Instituto Franco-Argentino para el Estudio del Clima y sus Impactos (IFAECI, UMI, CNRS-CONICET-UBA-IRD), Buenos Aires, Cl428, Argentina ⁶

Deleted: 284	

Deleted: 8

Deleted: 208

Deleted: uc.cl

1 Introduction

Mineral dust aerosols interact with climate through multiple mechanisms at different timescales, constituting a long recognized, relevant <u>component of</u> the Earth system. However, although some of the dust-climate interactions are well understood in principle, many remain relatively unconstrained quantitatively, to the point where it is still unknown whether the net radiative effect of dust implies net cooling or warming in the present day (Kok et al., 2023). Thus, dust remains an important contributor to past and future climate change uncertainty (e.g., Andreae et al., 2005; Sherwood et al., 2020). Both natural and anthropogenic processes are responsible for present-day dust emissions (Ginoux et al., 2012: Stanelle et al.,

- 55 2014; Chen et al., 2018). To constrain naturally induced changes in the dust cycle (a prerequisite to disentangle the presentday, human-induced component) one can turn to pre-industrial records of dust activity. Based on such records spanning the last several hundreds of thousands of years, it has been shown that the most dramatic changes in dust activity have taken place at glacial-interglacial timescales (Lambert et al., 2008; Simonsen et al., 2019; Struve et al., 2022), although significant variability is present at all timescales (Lovejoy and Lambert, 2019). Thus, global observational datasets of past dust activity
- 60 for the pre-industrial Holocene and last glacial periods are key to constraining natural processes involved in dust-climate interactions, through multiproxy comparisons, their use in guiding Earth system simulations of past climates, and as a tool for model-data comparisons.

Paleo-dust archives include continental (i.e., loess-paleosol sequences, lake, peat, and ice) and marine sediments. The dust dynamic parameter that may be calculated from information preserved in these deposits is dust deposition rate, from which

- 65 other aspects of the dust cycle must be inferred, such as atmospheric dust concentrations and dust emission rate, typically through Earth system simulations. Each archive type has its strengths and weaknesses in terms of spatial representativity and how well they preserve dust (Albani et al., 2015), and it is only through a combination of constraints from all these archives that a spatially extensive coverage of paleo-dust activity can be achieved. The degree of coverage is important due to short-scale variability in dust emissions associated with the uneven distribution of dust sources, as well as to spatially abrupt
- 70 changes in dust deposition fluxes associated with precipitation-controlled wet deposition and distance-to-dust-sourcescontrolled dry deposition.

Several compilations of paleo-dust deposition fluxes exist that combine constraints from different archive types (Mahowald et al., 1999, 2006; Kohfeld and Harrison, 2001; Tegen et al., 2002; Maher et al., 2010; Albani et al. 2014, 2015; Lambert et al., 2015), as well as ones that specialize in marine sediment cores (Kohfeld et al., 2013; Kienast et al., 2016). These

- 75 compilations have allowed data-model comparisons (Hopcroft et al., 2015; Kienast et al., 2016; Ohgaito et al., 2018; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2020; Braconnot et al., 2021; Krätschmer et al., 2022), tuning of modelled dust emission rates against observed dust deposition rates (Mahowald et al., 2006; Albani et al. 2014, 2015, 2016; Albani and Mahowald, 2019; Braconnot et al., 2021), and simulations with intermediate-complexity biogeochemistry models (Lambert et al., 2015, 2021; Heinemann et al., 2019). One key aspect missing from these compilations, except from the Holocene compilation by Albani
- 80 et al. (2015), is a quantification of uncertainty in paleo-dust deposition rates. This is essential for compilations derived from

2

Deleted: player Deleted: in multiple archive types, as each of these is subject to different sources of uncertainty. Explicit site-specific uncertainties in paleo-dust deposition rates may be used for selecting subsets of observations against which to compare dust simulations,

85 weighting observations for dust emission tuning purposes, or deriving distributions of global interpolations, for example through bootstrapping, Monte Carlo experiments, or Bayesian approaches.

During the seven years since the last paleo-dust compilation was published (that of Kienast et al., 2016), there has been significant progress in the global coverage of paleo-dust proxies. Here, we update the previous compilations of global paleodust deposition flux and grain size observations with the newest data. Moreover, three improvements were introduced. First,

- 90 given the expected high variability of dust deposition fluxes during the transition between the last glacial period and current interglacial (compared to that within any of those periods), we exclude any data corresponding to the last deglaciation to more precisely quantify dust deposition fluxes during the last glacial and current interglacial end-member climate states. Second, we derive site-specific, quantitative uncertainties of bulk and <10-µm paleo-dust deposition fluxes both for Holocene and last glacial observations. Third, we include for the first time dust deposition rate observations from peat bogs.
- 95 The manuscript is organized as follows: section 2 details our methodology in constructing Paleo±Dust, section 3 presents the main results, section 4 describes the structure of the datasets, and section 5 presents some concluding remarks.

2 Methods

2.1 Dust mass accumulation rate

The mean Dust Mass Accumulation Rate (DMAR) for a given time window at a location on the surface of the Earth may be 100 quantified by dating two horizons along a vertical sedimentary profile (t_{top} , t_{bottom}), measuring the profile thickness between these two horizons (http:// its mean dry bulk density (DBD), and the mass fraction represented by atmospherically derived mineral dust not associated to direct volcanic ash fall (i.e., dust), henceforth defined as the eolian content (EC), as:

 $DMAR = \frac{h_{thick} * DBD * EC}{\Delta t},$

where $\Delta t = t_{bottom} - t_{top}$. Given that bulk *DMAR* may be very sensitive to small, local dust sources, we also define a finer-105 grained DMAR, which is more representative of deposition of far-travelled dust derived from the main dust sources globally. A 10-µm dust diameter is used to define this finer-grained dust fraction, as this is either the uppermost or intermediate-bin threshold diameter that is found in the greatest number of CMIP6 Earth system models with dust representation (Zhao et al., 2022), thus facilitating model-data comparisons. It is also the recommended threshold definition between the coarse and super coarse size ranges of dust (Adebiyi et al., 2023). The fraction of DMAR that corresponds to dust particles less than 10 110 μ m in diameter (*DMAR*₁₀) is simply:

$DMAR_{10} = DMAR * f_{10}$,

where f_{l0} is the <10-µm dust mass fraction. This is the approach to calculating paleo-dust deposition fluxes used for all continental archives (i.e., loess-paleosol sequences, lakes, peat, and ice), and for a few sediment cores in the marine realm.

3

Deleted: fine-Deleted: -giant Most *DMAR* constraints from marine sediment cores use the ²³⁰Th normalization technique, which is for the most part independent of the profile chronology, as discussed further in section 2.8.

The absolute error on DMAR (aDMAR) and DMAR10 (aDMAR10) can be expressed as:

$$\sigma DMAR = DMAR \int \left(\frac{\sigma h_{thick}}{h_{thick}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma DBD}{DBD} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma EC}{EC} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma t_{bottom}^2 + \sigma t_{top}^2}{\left(t_{bottom} - t_{top} \right)^2}$$
and

120 an

$$\sigma DMAR_{10} = DMAR_{10} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma DMAR}{DMAR} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma f_{10}}{f_{10}} \right)^2 \right],$$

where a_{hthick} , a_{DBD} , a_{EC}^{PC} , a_{bottom} , σ_{top} and a_{fi0} are the absolute errors of h_{thick} , DBD, EC, t_{bottom} , t_{top} and f_{i0} , respectively. All errors reported in Paleo±Dust are Gaussian 1- σ uncertainties,

- Our approach to assigning uncertainties to the components of *DMAR* combines objective and subjective considerations. On 125 the one hand, when measurement uncertainties are reported in the original studies, these uncertainties are used. One example is the use of 232 Th as a dust proxy to calculate *EC* in marine sediments. This method requires normalization of measured 232 Th in marine sediments to the mean global concentration of 232 Th in dust: the variability of this normalizing value provides a means to calculate the uncertainty in *EC*. Instead, when uncertainties are not reported, these are defined based on the distribution of reported relative uncertainties for sites of the same archive type (conservatively choosing the 75th
- 130 percentile of this distribution). On the other hand, when the uncertainty for any component of *DMAR* is not reported, it is assigned. Assigning uncertainty is more problematic as the true uncertainty is usually not known. This is the case for example for uncertainties in chronologies of loess-paleosol sequences derived through pedostratigraphy. In such cases, we assigned higher subjective uncertainties to methods deemed more uncertain (based on <u>the literature and</u> the authors' experience). This combination of approaches implies that reported *DMAR* uncertainties in Paleo±Dust should be considered
- 135 to reflect the relative uncertainties among sites, more so than exact uncertainties for each site. Sections 2.3-2.8 expand on these considerations for each archive type and DMAR component.

2.2 Criteria for inclusion of sites

Previous compilations of paleo-*DMAR* served as the starting point for the construction of Paleo±Dust. A literature search was performed to include new sites for studies published until (and including) December 2022. Recent advances in the study
of peat bogs as a dust archive (e.g., De Vleeschouwer et al., 2014; Kylander et al., 2016, 2018; Marx et al., 2018; Sjöström et al., 2020) have allowed the inclusion of this archive type for the first time in a dust compilation.

2.2.1 Time span

One of the main objectives of Paleo±Dust is to compile global constraints on *DMAR* for the <u>ongoing interglacial and last</u> <u>glacial periods</u>. In particular, Paleo±Dust compiles observations for the pre-industrial Holocene (pi-HOL), between year

Deleted: 8	
Deleted: ɛ	
Deleted: E	
Deleted: ɛ	
Deleted: and where	
Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ɛ	
Deleted: ɛ	
Deleted: Aet	
Deleted:	
Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ∆ɛt	
Formatted: Subscript	
Deleted: . All errors repor uncertainties	rted in Paleo±Dust are Gaussian 1-σ

Deleted: ε

Deleted: last

Deleted: glacial-interglacial variability

1850 CE and 11.7 ka BP, and the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), between 19.0 and 26.5 ka BP (Clark et al., 2009). We thus excluded observations of *DMAR* for the present day and recent past, as well as for the last deglaciation (11.7-19.0 ka BP), to represent *DMAR* due to natural processes for the two main quasi-equilibrium climate states at glacial-interglacial timescales.

- 165 Whether this may be achieved or not for any particular site will depend on the sampling resolution along the vertical profile for dating. In order to include a site in Paleo±Dust, it needs to be possible to define a sub-section of the full sampled vertical section of a sedimentological archive whose time interval falls mostly (i.e., ≥75%) within either the pi-HOL or LGM as previously defined. Another criterion that needs to be fulfilled is that the site's time window is resolved within uncertainty: if tbottom ≤ ttop + qtop and ttop ≥ tbottom qtbottom, then that DMAR constraint is discarded.
- 170 Each entry in Paleo±Dust is associated to a specific time window within pi-HOL or LGM defined by *tbottom* and *ttop*. This allows for selecting sub-sets of data that include or exclude a specific time range of interest. As most of the previous compilations only report general time periods (e.g., Holocene, Late Holocene, LGM, last glacial), the original references of each entry in these compilations were revisited to extract exact values for *tbottom* and *ttop*. Given the imposed age criteria described above, most of the reported *DMAR* values in these compilations are different from those reported in Paleo±Dust for the same site, as a different set of samples from each site may have been used in this study to calculate *DMAR*.

2.2.2 Geomorphological setting

The most important consideration for selecting sites for Paleo±Dust is that the lithogenic fraction of the sediment be dominated by atmospheric deposition, or that the atmospherically derived lithogenic fraction may be estimated quantitatively. The site's geomorphological setting exerts a primary control on this. Sites of loess deposits with a massive structure reflective of deposition of dust from the atmosphere are included in the database, while loessoid sites consisting of

- 180 structure reflective of deposition of dust from the atmosphere are included in the database, while loessoid sites consisting of reworked loess by either fluvial or slope processes are excluded (e.g., Pye, 1995). Peat usually consists of an upper ombrotrophic section where lithogenic materials are exclusively supplied by the atmosphere, and a lower minerotrophic section where lithogenic materials are also supplied laterally by groundwater (e.g., Shotyk, 1996). Only the ombrotrophic section of peat sections are considered in this study. In the case of lake sediments, non-eolian lithogenic material may be
- 185 advected into a studied site through fluvial inlets, or via slope processes within the lake basin, a process known as lake sediment focusing (e.g., Blais and Kalff, 1995). We only included lake sites from endorheic basins, and discarded sites that lack a quantification of the fraction of lithogenic material advected through lake sediment focusing. With respect to marine sediments, we excluded sites located in continental margins, as they may be influenced by riverine inputs, as well as highlatitude sites potentially influenced by ice-rafted debris (e.g., Kienast et al., 2016). In both cases, exceptions are made to sites
- 190 where these non-eolian lithogenic inputs are quantified. Other marine sites may be affected by sediment focusing, that is, lateral submarine transport of non-eolian sediment. The ²³⁰Th normalization method can be used to isolate the eolian lithogenic component in these cases (e.g., Francois et al., 2004). Finally, polar ice cores are retrieved from upland landscape positions, and for those sites that are located far from local lithogenic sources (those included in Paleo±Dust), their lithogenic materials are assumed to be wind-blown (i.e., Albani et al., 2015).

5

Deleted: a

Deleted: *ɛ*

For loess, local geomorphology and topography can enhance dust deposition rate by focusing wind-blown material on the surface of windward escarpments (e.g., Comola et al., 2019), which may translate to local loess *DMAR* higher than the mean regional value (e.g., Xiong et al., 2015). This effect cannot be easily identified in the profile, particularly when a regional

200

215

loess stratigraphy is not available in the literature against which to compare the local stratigraphy. In general, upland loess sites are considered to be less prone to topography-induced enhancements in mass accumulation rates (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2003). We therefore performed a case-by-case evaluation of the geomorphological setting of each loess site, and discarded sites only when this potential problem is suggested explicitly in the original studies.

Conversely, the preservation potential of loess sites located in topographic lows within high-relief environments may be limited due to slope or fluvial erosional processes that disrupt the continuity of the loess stratigraphy, generating hiatuses. These erosional hiatuses may be evident in the field or may only be discerned through high-resolution dating (e.g., <u>Stevens et al., 2018</u>; Volvakh et al., 2022). For these reasons, we restricted the analyzed vertical extent of loess-paleosol profiles to sections with no intervening erosional hiatuses, be it that these can be directly inferred from the field, or that they are inferred from inversions in measured ages. We also excluded sites altogether that have a loess stratigraphy that considerably 210 deviates from a well-defined regional loess stratigraphy in regions where such a reference regional loess stratigraphy is

available (based on the original authors' assessment).

2.3 Uncertainty in top and bottom age

Direct absolute dating of the sedimentary units of interest is the main tool for defining a chronology for dust records. The two most popular techniques are ¹⁴C and optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating. When t_{bottom} and t_{top} are obtained by direct absolute dating, the reported 1- σ uncertainties are used (Figure 1). If dating uncertainty is not reported, 3.4%, 9.1%

and 13.1% relative uncertainties are <u>assigned to</u> ¹⁴C-, OSL- and thermoluminescence-based age determinations. These values represent the 75th percentile of the distributions of measured ¹⁴C (N = 83), OSL (N = 129), and thermoluminescence (N = 20) age relative uncertainties for sites included in Paleo±Dust. Absolute ages are usually reported as years before present, where the reference age (0 years BP) may be the year of sampling or a fixed year (e.g., 1950 CE). We do not homogenize reported ages across studies so that they are referenced to the same year. Given that we focus on mean LGM and pi-HOL

dust deposition rates, this does not introduce a significant uncertainty.

If a study presents a continuous age model, and this model includes, for example, the full LGM age interval (26.5-19.0 ka BP), then 26.5 ka BP is defined as t_{bottom} and 19 ka BP as t_{top} (Figure 1). If the study reports a modelled error to these interpolated ages, we used these reported values. If not, we calculated the errors in t_{bottom} and t_{top} as the L2 norm of the errors

225 of the two closest bracketing measured ages. The advantage of this error is that it is higher than the errors of either measured age. This extra uncertainty can be thought of as due to the interpolation.
When no absolute ages are available and the chronology is defined based on correlation of a sediment parameter with any

given reference record, for example of the magnetic susceptibility signal in marine sediment cores or loss-paleosol profiles to the oxygen isotope record of marine benthic foraminifera (e.g., Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), then a considerably higher

6

 Deleted: σ

 Deleted: assumed

 Deleted: for

relative error is assigned (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2003; Figure 1). Wiers et al. (2019) quantified the uncertainty in the chronology of a Late Pleistocene, Arctic marine sediment core obtained by correlating magnetic properties in the core with

- 235 global patterns of δ^{18} O in benthic foraminifera. They found a high absolute error during the <u>ongoing interglacial and last</u> <u>glacial periods</u> of approximately ±6 kyr, which remained relatively constant during this <u>time span</u>. We apply this same absolute error for *t_{top}* and/or *t_{bottom}* obtained through such correlations (Figure 1). When these chronologies are in addition supported by absolute dating, we instead assumed a considerably lower absolute error of ±3 kyr. In the case of loess, chronologies may also be defined based on pedostratigraphy, by assuming that loess and paleosol units can be correlated to
- 240 glacial and interglacial periods, respectively, as defined by marine isotope stratigraphy (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2003). Only pi-HOL dust deposition rates were obtained through this method (N = 11, all from China; Sun et al., 2000), as the LGM period cannot be discriminated from the encompassing last glacial period in terms of any pedostratigraphic unit. An evaluation of the uncertainty in the chronologies of these sites would require validation against absolute dates, which are not available for these sites. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge there are no similar validation studies for other sites that we
- 245 could use as a model. We thus compensate this lack of validation by assigning a higher absolute uncertainty compared to magnetic correlations (±9 kyr).

For the specific case where the surface of a sedimentary unit is one of the bounding surfaces for a *DMAR* constraint and no continuous age model is available, t_{top} is assumed to be 0 ka BP, and the uncertainty in this assumption is related to the difficulty in defining surface level, and is quantified in the following way (Figure 1): if for example t_{bottom} is dated at 1.0 ±

250 10% ka BP at 15 cm depth, then in 1 cm centred at the top surface, the time span covered is 0.067 ka, assuming a linear relationship between age and depth. Then the age of the surface is fully contained within 0 ka BP ± (0.067/2) ka BP. Translating this absolute time interval to an equivalent 1-σ uncertainty interval, we multiply by 68.27%, and we get: 0 ka BP ± (0.067/2)*0.6827 ka BP. Finally, we multiply this 1-σ interval by 1.1, which corresponds to the relative age uncertainty for t_{bottom} in our example (= 10%). The final surface age is 0.000 ka BP ± 0.025 ka BP (1σ). This procedure includes the uncertainty due to the difficulty in defining the surface level, and the uncertainty due to the dating method.

Finally, all polar ice core *DMAR* constraints in Paleo±Dust were obtained based on correlation to a common chronology for each of the polar regions: the 2012 Antarctic Ice Core Chronology (AICC2012, Veres et al., 2013) for Antarctic ice cores, and the 2005 Greenland Ice Core Chronology (GICC05, Svensson et al., 2008) for Greenland ice cores. In both cases, uncertainties in ages are as reported in each chronologic framework.

260 2.4 Uncertainty in sediment profile thickness

As $h_{hick} = h_{hottom} - h_{top}$, where h_{hottom} and h_{top} are the measured depths of the bottom and top layers, respectively, the error on the sedimentary thickness between dated layers is:

 $\sigma h_{\text{thick}} = \left[\sigma h_{\text{top}}^{2} + \sigma h_{\text{bottom}}^{2} = \sigma h_{\sqrt{2}} \right],$

where $\underline{a}_{h} = \underline{a}_{h_{top}} = \underline{a}_{h_{bottom}}$ is the error on the measured depth to a dated layer beneath the surface level.

Deleted: last glacial	
Deleted: -interglacial cycle	
Deleted: naried	

Deleted: σ

Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ε	
Deleted: <i>ɛ</i>	
Deleted: <i>ε</i>	

The uncertainty in measuring layer depth is associated to the fact that the sample obtained for dating has a finite vertical height, defined by the amount of sample mass required to perform dating. If, for example, loess sampling for dating is carried out by inserting horizontal corers with 2 cm in diameter into the vertical face of the sedimentary profile, then the full

- 280 sample will be included within ±1 cm from the centre of the corer. We may translate this total depth range to an equivalent range with 1-σ uncertainty by multiplying by 0.6827, resulting in an absolute 1-σ uncertainty in depth (<u>a</u><u>h</u>) of ±0.6827 cm. If not reported, we assumed a horizontal corer diameter of 7.9 cm, which is the mean value reported for loess sites in Paleo±Dust. For lake, marine, peat and polar ice sampling where coring is performed vertically from the surface, the relevant quantity from which to calculate <u>a</u><u>h</u> is the height of each sample <u>in the vertical direction along the corer, or in other words</u>
- 285 <u>the thickness</u> obtained after field operations in the laboratory. If not reported, we assumed a sample height of 2 cm for marine sediment and peat bog records, and of 7.9 cm for lake sediment samples (in all cases the mean reported value for sample height in Paleo±Dust). All ice core sites in Paleo±Dust have reported sample height.

When the site chronology is based on magnetic susceptibility, $\underline{a}h$ is calculated as $\underline{a}h = 0.6827 \text{ x } h_{MS}/2$, where h_{MS} is the depth interval between susceptibility measurements.

290 2.5 Uncertainty in dry bulk density

In a study of forest soils in California, it was found that between three and 17 samples were needed to estimate mean soil DBD at ±10% at a 95% confidence level (Han et al., 2016). Here, a significantly higher DBD relative uncertainty of 15% (for 1 σ) is assumed for sites with no reported DBD uncertainty, given that several sites have less than three DBD determinations, and a greater variety of sediment and soil types are considered in this compilation compared to the study by Han et al.

- (2016). If, instead, the measurement error is reported in the original study, then this value is used. Finally, if *DBD* was measured but could only be estimated visually from a figure in the original study, a greater uncertainty of 20% is used. The same uncertainty is assigned when *DBD* was assumed equal to that of a near-by site (<100 km) where it was measured. If *DBD* was not measured and no near-by sites with measured density are available, a value for *DBD* of 1.45 g cm⁻³ and an uncertainty of 30% are used for loess and lake sites, except for loess sites in the Chinese Loess Plateau and in East Central Europe (west of 21.5°E) where mean values of 1.48 g cm⁻³ (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2003) and of 1.497 g cm³ (Újvári et al.,
- 2010; Peric et al., 2020) are preferred, respectively. For peat bog sites, when *DBD* is not measured (N = 5), the mean value of *DBD* among all sites with *DBD* measurements globally is used (= 0.12 g cm^{-3} , N = 18). No assumptions on *DBD* of marine sediment cores is required as *DBD* was always measured in sites that require *DBD* for the derivation of *DMAR* (those not based on ²³⁰Th normalization).
- 305 For polar ice cores, density is taken as that of ice: 916,750 g m⁻³. This value is assumed to have a small variation between ± 50 g m⁻³, which translates to a 1- σ variability of ± 50 g m⁻³ x 0.6827 = 34.14 g m⁻³. This approach is justified by the fact that density of ice after approximately 100 m depth below the surface almost constant with depth (e.g., Gerland et al., 1999).

Deleted: ε		
Deleted: (

Deleted: and the original study assumed a DBD value based on literature, an uncertainty of 30% is applied. If DBD was not measured and no specific value assumed in the original study, a

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: is

Deleted: (Kohfeld and Harrison, 2003)

320 2.6 Uncertainty in the mass fraction of dust

The calculation of EC, and its uncertainty depends strongly on the type of dust archive considered (Figure 2).

2.6.1 Loess-paleosol sequences

In loess studies with a focus on dust dynamics, *EC* is usually assumed to be 1, that is, loess is assumed to be fully composed of aeolian dust. However, while organic particles present in dust sources may be transported by wind and later deposited in

- 325 the same manner as lithic particles (Muhs et al., 2014), here we assume that organic matter in loess is post-depositional in origin (e.g., Hatté et al., 2001), and subtract total organic carbon (TOC) to calculate EC. Instead, carbonates constitute in some regions a significant fraction of airborne dust (Scheuvens and Kandler, 2014), which supports the interpretation that carbonates in loess are dominantly primary, that is, derived from dust sources (e.g., Li et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2019). However, carbonates in loess have also been shown to be authigenic (e.g., Da et al., 2023). Unfortunately, for most
- 330 published studies it is not possible to calculate the contributions of primary and <u>authigenic carbonates to the total carbonate content (TCC)</u> of loess. We thus assume that 50% of carbonate present in loess in primary, while 50% is authigenic. Furthermore, previous research has shown that wet climates during interglacials tend to deplete 10% of carbonates in soils (by weight), while no loss occurs during glacial periods (Meng et al., 2015, 2018; Zhang et al., 2023). We account for this post-depositional loss of carbonates in Holocene loess-paleosol sections in our *EC* calculations.
- 335 Relative 1-σ uncertainty in EC is assumed to be 10% (20%) if both (either) TOC and (or) TCC are (is) reported (Figure 2). If both TOC and TCC are available and there is also a quantification of volcanic inputs, then the relative uncertainty is reduced to 1%. Instead, when neither TOC nor TCC data is available, loess-paleosol units are classified as either organic carbon-rich or organic carbon-poor, based on the physical description of the unit of interest, and the sum of TOC and TCC is assigned a value of 6 wt% and 2 wt%, respectively. These correspond respectively to the first and third quartiles of the sum of TOC and
- 340 <u>TCC</u> for sites in Paleo±Dust where both <u>TOC and TCC</u> were determined (N = 28). In addition, when <u>TOC</u> is not determined, values of 0.2 wt% and 1 wt% are assumed for LGM and pi-HOL sites, based on previous studies (Yang et al., 2015). In these cases when both <u>TOC</u> and <u>TCC</u> are assumed, relative uncertainty in *EC* is highest at 30%.

2.6.2 Lake sediment cores

The procedure for calculating EC in lake sediment cores is similar to that for loess deposits, except that biogenic silica is an

345 extra sediment component that can be very relevant in terms of mass and needs to be corrected for (Figure 2). Another effect to consider in lake sediment cores is sediment focusing, by which local sediments from the lake catchment can contribute to the siliciclastic mass. Some lake sediment studies isolate long-range dust from local catchment sediment, for example through grain size end-member modelling, trace element geochemistry (Petherick et al., 2009) or through corrections that consider lake bathymetry (Arcusa et al., 2020). Given the greater number of corrections required to calculate *EC*, the

9

350 maximum potential relative uncertainty in EC is greater than for loess records (i.e., 50% versus 30%).

Deleted:	the mass	fraction	of non-vo	lcanic	mineral	dust
Deleted:)					

Deleted:	most
Deleted:	Similarly

Deleted: while

Deleted: we also assume that carbonates in locss-paleosol sequences are authigenic (e.g., Da et al., 2023). This is certainly not the case for some locss sites fed by carbonate-rich dust sources, as shown by Li et al. (2013) based on geochemical proxies. However.

Deleted: secondary

Deleted: Thus

Deleted: , when available, the organic matter and carbonate fractions are subtracted to derive EC.

Deleted:

Deleted:	one of these two
Deleted:	fractions
Deleted:	a correction for
Deleted:	fractions
Deleted:	is
Deleted:	no such compositional information is available
Deleted:	EC
Deleted:	0.94
Deleted:	0.98
Deleted:	EC
Deleted:	the total organic matter
Deleted:	carbonate contents

2.6.3 Marine sediment cores

- A few studies of dust using marine sediment records calculate *EC* based on the same principles as with loess and lake sediment cores: by subtracting the carbonate, organic matter, and biogenic silica mass fractions, and when available, correcting for volcanic inputs (Figure 2). However, most studies that look at dust archived in marine sediment cores use isotope ²³²Th measurements to calculate *EC*, assuming a global mean concentration of ²³²Th in dust of 14.0 \pm 4.6 ppm (Kienast et al., 2016; Ouyang et al., 2022). The relative <u>1- σ </u> uncertainty in this mean value (i.e., <u>33%</u>) is used as the uncertainty in *EC* when calculated based on ²³²Th. Studies that used a different global mean value of ²³²Th concentration in
- 385 dust were recalculated with this value.

When cores are extracted close to the continents, an important fraction of the siliciclastic mass may be due to riverine inputs. Following Singh et al. (2011) and Kienast et al. (2016), we excluded sites located less than 300 km from the coast, except for the equatorial Atlantic Ocean off the coast of Brazil, for which this distance is 600 km (Holocene) and 800 km (LGM). This rule does not hold for studies that isolate dust from riverine contributions to terrigenous sediment (e.g., McGee et al., 2013).

- 390 Another potential terrigenous component that may obscure dust signals in marine sediment cores is ice-rafted debris. Here, we follow Kienast et al. (2016) and excluded marine sediment cores that are potentially affected by ice-rafted debris with high probability, that is, sites located poleward of 55°N in the North Pacific, poleward of 50°N in the North Atlantic, and poleward of 50°S in the southern oceans. These same latitudinal thresholds are applied to both pi-HOL and LGM paleo-dust sites. While the polar fronts may have changed latitudinally in time (and thus the extent of the influence of ice-rafted debris),
- 395 there is no evidence of this from the relationship between latitude and paleo-lithogenic fluxes in marine sediment cores (Kienast et al., 2016). Sites located poleward of these latitudinal thresholds are kept when lithogenic fluxes are confirmed to be mostly due to eolian dust, for example through the measurement of n-alkanes, a proxy for continent-derived materials (e.g., Lamy et al., 2014).

2.6.4 Polar ice cores

400 The value of $EC_{\rm f}$ for the two Antarctic ice cores included in Paleo±Dust (both for the pi-HOL and LGM) was calculated based on Coulter counter insoluble particle volume concentration data, assuming an insoluble particle density of 2.5 g cm⁻³ (Delmonte et al., 2005). The relative 1- σ uncertainty in Antarctic EC is 15.3%, which represents the L2 norm of a 11.4% error component due to uncertainty in insoluble particle density (2.0-2.8 g cm⁻³, Kohfeld and Harrison, 2001), a 10% error component due to the lack of a volcanic input correction, and a 2% component derived from replicate Coulter counter 405 measurements (Figure 2).

In the case of Greenland ice cores, *EC* is based on δ^{18} O and Ca²⁺ concentration data. Sample depths with δ^{18} O < -40% (cold periods) are assigned a Ca-to-dust ratio of 0.095, while sample depths with δ^{18} O > -37‰ are assigned a Ca-to-dust ratio of 0.26 (Steffensen, 1997; Ruth et al., 2002), with a linear interpolation for in-between values of δ^{18} O (Albani et al., 2015). Uncertainty in *EC* is assumed to be 22.4%, which is the L2 norm of a 10% uncertainty component due to possible volcanic

10

Deleted: 1 of

Deleted: to

Deleted: fraction

inputs (as for Antarctic cores) and 20% due to a combination of analytical and Ca_{k}^{2+} proxy uncertainties (Figure 2; Albani et al., 2015).

415 2.6.5 Peat cores

There are multiple ways in the literature to calculate EC in peat bogs. One way is by measuring the fraction of noncombustible mineral ash in total dry peat mass, which we can approximate as the siliciclastic mass fraction (e.g., Martínez Cortizas et al., 2020). A preferred approach is to use the concentration of one (e.g., Sharifi et al., 2018) or multiple (e.g., Pratte et al., 2020) conservative lithogenic elements that are not subject to post-depositional mobilization, and that have no

- 420 anthropogenic source, such as Sc, Zr, Y and the REEs, normalized typically to the mean concentration of these elements in the upper continental crust. Irrespective of the method employed, the lowest relative uncertainty in the calculation of *EC* is assigned to cases where the choice of the dust proxy or combination of dust proxies is based on a principal component analysis (PCA, Figure 2). This is because *EC* is sensitive to the choice of the geochemical proxy for dust (Shotyk et al., 2002; E. Resongles, pers. comm.), and a PCA analysis usually provides the best way to identify the element or set of
- 425 elements that best represents atmospherically derived lithogenic fluxes. However, high discrepancies in DMAR calculated with different elements that were all found to be associated with dust based on PCA analyses has also been reported (Kylander et al., 2016). This stresses the need to carefully select the elements to be used for DMAR calculations based on the site-specific element behaviour. Specifically, it is recommended that when more than one element is identified as the optimal dust proxy, that DMAR be calculated based on the combination of those elements (e.g., based on the sum of REEs, Sc, Y and
- 430 Zr if all these elements are identified as equally suitable to represent dust). To be consistent with the choice of uncertainties in *EC* for loess-paleosol sequences, lakes and marine sediments, a relative uncertainty of 10% is assigned to PCA-supported *EC* calculations for peat bogs (Figure 2). If no PCA analysis is available, *DMAR* calculated based on multiple elemental proxies is preferred over a single-proxy approach, including the case when that single proxy is the non-combustible ash fraction. Moreover, when independent information is available that allows
- 435 identifying samples with high inputs of direct volcanic ash fall, such as based on Nd isotopes (e.g., Vanneste et al., 2015), a correction is applied by which high *DMAR* values for those samples are replaced by background *DMAR* values in the same profile.

2.7 Uncertainty in the <10-µm dust mass fraction

We assign the lowest uncertainty to *f₁₀* when it is calculated from a full <u>volumetric</u> grain size distribution. (Figure 3). This // 440 uncertainty is associated with the reproducibility of measurements (~0.7% after propagation of each bin's uncertainty), based on laser-diffraction determinations of 135 South American loess samples from three sites, dated between 8-53 ka BP (<u>Coppo</u> et al., 2022a), as well as with the use of different laser-diffraction devices and assumptions on their optical settings (19.9%), based on measurements of loess samples from East Central Europe (Varga et al., 2019). We thus, assign a 20% relative uncertainty to *f₁₀* when calculated from full grain size distributions using laser diffraction devices. Instead, Coulter counters

11

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: a

Deleted: variability

Deleted:

Deleted: derived either from particle counter or laser diffraction measurements (Figure 3).

Deleted: (~0.7% after propagation of each bin's uncertainty

Deleted: V. Nogués, pers. comm.

Deleted:

Deleted: and for all archive types except ice cores also with the efficiency of manual or mechanical sieving (e.g., ~14% for a 62.5µm sieve, Lopez-García et al., 2021), among other sources of

Deleted: Here, we

Deleted: conservative

Deleted: based on these methods for all archive types except for ice cores, which are assigned a relative uncertainty of 1% when

- 460 measure particle volume more accurately than laser diffraction devices (Simonsen et al., 2018), so that volumetric grain size distributions and f_{40} , measured by this technique, are arguably more accurate as well. We thus assign a lower relative uncertainty to f_{40} of 5% when calculated from Coulter counter measurements. This specific value of 5% is, however, arbitrary as the authors are not aware of studies that quantified sources of uncertainty of Coulter counter-derived grain sizes. In many cases where such measurements are carried out, the full-size distribution data is not published and f_{10} is not reported
- 465 in the original study. For a number of Holocene sites published previously to 2015, Albani et al. (2015) compiled these distributions. Also, Albani et al. (2014) compiled f_{10} values for Holocene and LGM dust archive sites published prior to 2014. For all these sites, fin was retrieved from these studies. For the rest of the sites in Paleo±Dust, if data was not available but the grain size distribution was plotted in the original study, we estimated f_{10} visually adding an extra 10% of uncertainty (30% in total). To perform this visual estimation, we used a vector graphics editor (Adobe Illustrator) to draw two polygons:
- 470 one that encompassed the area under the curve of the volumetric grain size distribution for all measured particle sizes, and one for sizes $<10 \,\mu\text{m}$. We calculated f_{10} as the ratio of the latter to the former area. Greater uncertainty (30%) is associated to f_{10} when it is calculated from reported grain size bin volumetric abundances (e.g., clay, silt), either because grain size was determined using the sieve and pipette method, or because the full grain size distribution is not reported. Also, a higher uncertainty is assigned when f_{10} is calculated from a reported mean or median value (40%). To derive f_{10} in these cases (from
- 475 mean, median or bins) we used the average grain size distribution from the Coppo et al. (2022a) dataset to obtain linear leastsquare regression equations for f_{40} vs. mean ($R_c^2 = 0.71$), f_{40} vs. median ($R^2 = 0.81$), f_{40} vs. f_{20} ($R^2 = 0.88$), among others (see site-specific notes for more details), except when f_{40} calculated from mean grain size was retrieved directly from Albani et al. (2014). When no grain size measurements are available for a given site, but only for near-by sites (<100 km) that are comparable in terms of their geomorphological setting, then the same value for f_{10} is used in both sites, with an extra 10%
- 480 uncertainty for the site with no data. The same is true for sites that include grain size data for a different time window than the one considered.

For marine sediment cores, it is rarely the case that grain size information is available (only 6% of sites). For sites with no grain size information, if they are within <u>500 km</u> from a site with grain size measurements and provided the two sites have similar bathymetries and are not separated by significant bathymetric features, then the same f_{10} value is used for both sites,

- 485 with an extra 10% uncertainty for the site with no measurements. For all other marine sediment sites, f_{i0} values are assumed based on their downwind distance from known dust sources. If sites are located >2000 (1000-2000, <1000) km downwind from known dust sources south of 15°S, we assume $f_{i0} = 1.00 (0.75, 0.50) \pm 60\%$. For locations in the ocean north of 15°S where dust sources are more intense, these threshold distances are instead 3000 and 1500 km. The downwind directions from dust sources were qualitatively defined based on Holocene and LGM dust deposition maps from Mahowald et al. (2006),
- 490 although dust sources not considered in this study such as Alaska were also considered.

Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Subscript
Formatted:	Font:	Italic,	Subscript

Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript			
Deleted: that			
Deleted: atudu			

Deleted: s	
Deleted: by	
Deleted: (30%),	
Deleted: or	
Deleted: , based on assumptions of the underlying grain size distribution.	
Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript	
Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript	
Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript	
Formatted: Superscript	
Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript	
Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript	
Formatted: Subscript	
Formatted: Font: Italic, Subscript	
Deleted: +5 degrees in latitude and longitude	

	-
I	2
•	-

500 2.8 The use of 230-thorium normalization for total sediment flux calculations in marine sediment cores

It has long been recognized that the traditional method of obtaining lithogenic mass accumulation rates between two dated horizons in marine sediment cores (e.g., Mortlock et al., 1991) cannot be directly attributed to pelagic sedimentation from the water column due to the process of sediment focusing, which is the lateral transport of sediment acting on the seafloor and along different depths in the ocean. Instead, the majority of lithogenic flux estimations from marine sediment cores

- 505 interested in the vertical fluxes of particles use the ²³⁰Th normalization method, which accounts for sediment focusing and provides estimations of pelagic mass accumulation rates. This method was first proposed by Bacon (1984) and is fully described in Francois et al. (2004). Briefly, the main assumption of the method is that the flux of scavenged ²³⁰Th to the seafloor is equal to the decay production rate of ²³⁰Th from ²³⁴U dissolved in the overlying water column. This is a reasonable assumption given the short residence time of ²³⁰Th in ocean water, and the fact that its removal from the dissolved
- 510 pool is mostly through adsorption to sediments derived vertically in the water column, a process known as proximal scavenging.

The *DMAR* calculation for the case of marine sediment cores using the 230 Th normalization technique is calculated as the product of the sediment bulk mass accumulation rate (*SBMAR*) and *EC*:

DMAR = SBMAR * EC,

515 where

525

SBMAR = $\frac{\beta_{230} * z}{\text{Th}_2 230_{xs}^2}$ (e.g., Francois et al., 2004). (eq. 1)

Here, β_{230} is the decay constant of ²³⁴U (and production constant of ²³⁰Th) throughout the water column, with a value of 2.562 x 10⁻⁵ dpm_v cm⁻³ kyr⁻¹ (Costa et al., 2020), z is the water depth to a given site, and *Th*_230°_{xs} is the decay-corrected excess ²³⁰Th activity (in dpm_vg⁻¹).

520 Another advantage of the 230 Th normalization method is that it provides *DMAR* estimates that are for the most part independent of the chronology of sediment accumulation. This is particularly relevant for high-resolution *DMAR* studies as large uncertainties exist for *DMAR* using the traditional method when estimates are attempted for two horizons located close to each other in age.

The relative uncertainty in deriving *SBMAR* based on the ²³⁰Th normalization method is 30% (Figure 4), based on calibration studies (Henderson et al., 1999; Scholten et al., 2001; Yu et al., 2001a, 2001b).

2.8.1 Extra uncertainty in DMAR due to downslope sediment flow

If particles settling into the studied site directly from the overlying water column have the same 230 Th activity as particles that have previously been advected laterally for some distance, then eq. 1 can be used as discussed before to calculate pelagic *SBMAR*. This is typically the case when laterally advected sediment is resuspended by bottom currents from a position in the

530 seafloor at a similar water depth compared to the studied site (Francois et al., 2004). However, when the ²³⁰Th activity of

13

Deleted: p

Deleted: p

both sediment components differ, inaccuracies may appear in the calculation of pelagic SBMAR. In principle, this may be the case when laterally advected sediment is originated at positions in the seafloor shallower than the studied site (note

- 535 dependence of *SBMAR* on the water depth in eq. 1) and transported by either downslope bottom currents or by intermediate nepheloid currents (Francois et al., 2004). This is favoured in regions of the seafloor with high regional bathymetric gradients, such as along the continental slope or in the open ocean close to bathymetric features (e.g., seamounts, aseismic ridges).
- Only a few studies carried out bathymetric analyses to derive explicit corrections to their *SBMAR* estimates to account for this potential effect of downslope sediment flow. One such example is from a site located at the foot of the Sierra Leone Rise in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean (EN066-29GGC, Francois et al., 1990). Based on a detailed analysis of the surrounding bathymetry, these authors defined a worst-case scenario in which all laterally transported sediment originated from the topmost part of the rise (with the greatest possible difference in water depth with the studied site and thus the greatest deviation in ²³⁰Th activity). In this scenario, the true pelagic *SBMAR* was overestimated by 36.5% compared to *SBMAR* as
- 545 calculated with eq. 1 (Francois et al., 1990). Nonetheless, the true overestimation was probably lower as a more realistic scenario is one in which laterally transported sediment was not fully derived from the top of the rise, but rather partially from different steps along the rise at different water depths (Francois et al., 1990).

Instead, a study that looked at six cores in the Juan de Fuca Ridge separated <50 km from each other found no systematic differences in 230 Th-normalized sediment fluxes, despite hundred-metre-scale relief between sites (Costa and McManus,

- 550 2017). Whether this is an indication of the lack of sensitivity of ²³⁰Th-normalized sediment fluxes to downslope sediment flow at a global level remains to be determined. Because of this uncertainty, here we do not attempt to apply corrections to ²³⁰Th-normalized *DMAR* estimates from marine sediment cores to account for this effect. Another reason for not doing so is that it would require an in-depth, site-specific bathymetric analysis, which is out of the scope of this study. Instead, we raised the uncertainty in *SBMAR* for those sites that have probably experienced sediment focusing through downslope flow. We did
- 555 so for sites that (i) are located in positions in the seafloor with high regional bathymetric gradients, (ii) are located at a relatively deep position compared to its surroundings, and (iii) have experienced sediment focusing. We evaluated the first two criteria by using GEBCO_2022, a global bathymetric grid at 15 arc-second horizontal resolution (GEBCO Compilation Group, 2022). For each marine sediment core site in Paleo±Dust, we took a 5-degree latitude by 5-degree longitude area centred at the site and calculated the difference between the 5th and 95th percentiles in bathymetry for all GEBCO_2022
- 560 grid cells located in that area. We also calculated this value for a reference marine sediment core site: EN066-29GGC (Francois et al., 1990). Any given marine sediment core site in Paleo±Dust where this 5th-95th percentile difference was equal to or higher than half of the 5th-95th difference for the reference site was considered to satisfy condition (i). Condition (ii) was satisfied for those sites located at a water depth of at least the median of that of the area defined for each site. Finally, condition (iii) was satisfied for those sites with a sediment focusing factor greater than one, where the focusing
- 565 factor is the ratio of laterally advected to vertical sediment flux, as defined by Francois et al. (2004) and retrieved for each site either from the original study or from the compilation by Costa et al. (2020).
 - 14

Those sites that passed the above criteria were assigned an extra uncertainty component to *SBMAR*, combined based on the L2 norm to the base relative uncertainty component of 30% common to all *SBMAR* estimates for marine sediment cores arising from ²³⁰Th normalization (Figure 4). This extra component is calculated for each site based on a realistic scenario for

570 reference site EN066-29GGC (half of the worst-case scenario, Francois et al., 1990), and is proportional to the site's focusing factor.

3 Results

Paleo±Dust consists of a total of <u>285</u> pi-HOL and <u>209</u> LGM sites, of which approximately a third are sites published since 2016 and not included in previous paleo-dust deposition flux compilations (Table 1). Of all sites, 52% correspond to loess,

- 575 39% to marine sediment cores, <u>4.7</u>% to peat cores, <u>2.6</u>% to lake cores and <u>1.6</u>% to polar ice cores. All peat sites are new to this compilation, with 65% of the sites published since 2016. Loess is the archive type with the highest number of new sites published since 2016 at 89, and except for peat sites, it is also the archive type with the highest percentage of new sites at 35%. All *DMAR* determinations from peat sites are for pi-HOL, which can be explained by the fact that the vast majority of peat bogs globally only started to form during the last deglaciation (Yu et al., 2010).
- 580 While there are several studies that report dust measurements in non-polar ice caps and mountain glaciers for the pi-HOL and LGM, the reported quantity is in all cases the particle number concentration (Fisher, 1979; Thompson et al., 1989, 1995, 1997, 1998; Clifford et al., 2019; Beaudon et al., 2022), except for a site on the Penny ice cap where the mass concentration is reported (Zdanowicz et al., 2000). Many of these studies also lack grain size data. The derivation of mass deposition rate from particle number concentration alone is not straightforward and requires several assumptions (Kohfeld and Harrison,
- 585 2001), including the grain size distribution and the distribution of particle density with grain size. We thus follow Kohfeld and Harrison (2001) and do not derive dust deposition rates in these cases. Thus, no ice cores from non-polar sites are included in Paleo±Dust.

There is a clear Southern versus Northern Hemisphere asymmetry in the number of sites in Paleo±Dust (Figure 5a-b). This is mostly evident in the number of continental dust archives. Only a few loess studies exist from the Pampean region in South

- 590 America, the main loess belt in the Southern Hemisphere, from which DMAR estimates can be obtained (Kemp et al., 2004; Torre et al., 2019; Coppo et al., 2022b). South American loess is restricted to latitudes <40°S, and thus it only archives dust from low to mid-latitude sources in South America, as well as from northernmost Patagonia. Emissions from southernmost Patagonia's main dust sources during the pi-HOL are captured by peat bogs on Malvinas Islands (Monteath et al., 2022), and possibly as well by peat bogs located to the east of the Andes (Vanneste et al., 2015, 2016). These latter studies report on
- 595 sites that are located upwind of the main present-day dust sources, but that have captured pi-HOL dust from smaller sources in the region. Instead, the bulk of dust emissions from central and southern Patagonia (40-50°S) since the LGM are largely unconstrained due to a lack of loess deposits downwind. It is thus critical that marine sediment cores are drilled in the open ocean off the eastern Patagonian coast with a focus on constraining dust fluxes. Elsewhere in the Southern Hemisphere, there is a complete dearth of *DMAR* estimates from loess or loess-like deposits in Australia, and only one pi-HOL *DMAR* estimate
 - 15

Deleted: 284 Deleted: 208 Deleted: 5 Deleted: 2 Deleted: 2

605 in southern Africa (Brunotte et al., 2009). In the Northern Hemisphere, the high latitudes in Asia (i.e., Siberia) constitute the area with the scarcest coverage of DMAR constraints. In turn, Europe is the region with the highest number of new DMAR estimates since 2016.

Based on <u>126</u> sites with paired LGM and pi-HOL *DMAR* determinations (Figure 5c-d), the global LGM:pi-HOL ratio of *DMAR* and *DMAR* is $3 \neq 0.7$, and 3.1 ± 0.7 , respectively (1 σ , Table 1). This latter value is equivalent within error to that

- determined exclusively based on loess *DMAR*₁₀ determinations (3.2 ± 0,7, N = 47). Based exclusively on intermediate-range dust deposition as archived in marine sediments, this ratio is significantly lower, albeit within the same order of magnitude (2.2 ± 0,5, N = 72). Finally, based only on dust archived in polar ice cores, this ratio goes up dramatically to 16.8 ± 4.2, although this is based only on four sites. Three processes are responsible for higher LGM/pi-HOL dust deposition rate ratios for polar ice cores compared to loess: (1) the effect of dust transport in the atmosphere and dry deposition, by which small
- 615 changes in dust emission intensity translate to small changes in close-to-source dust deposition and to comparatively bigger changes in remote dust deposition (Lambert et al., 2008), (2) the effect of mid-latitude precipitation on wet scavenging of dust en route to the poles (Markle et al., 2018), whose variability at glacial-interglacial timescales may imply an amplification of dust deposition rate variability at the poles compared to the low latitudes, and (3) specifically for the Southern Hemisphere, the activation or intensification of dust emissions from Patagonian sources at higher latitudes
- 620 (compared to the present day) during the LGM implied more efficient transport of dust to Antarctica (Andersen et al., 1998; Petit et al., 1999; Lambert et al., 2008; Albani et al., 2012).

Relative 1- σ uncertainties in *DMAR* and *DMAR*₁₀ mostly range between <u>23-99%</u> and <u>38-105%</u>, respectively (5%-95%) percentiles, Figure 5e-f). The least uncertain archive type for *DMAR* and *DMAR*₁₀ is polar ice (median: 23% and <u>25%</u>, respectively, N = 8), while the most uncertain are marine sediments (44% and 74%, N = 191) and loess (45% and 68%, N =

625 <u>258</u>). However, the differences in median relative uncertainties among archive types is significantly lower than the differences among individual sites across archive types. There are no significant differences in the uncertainty of LGM vs. pi-HOL *DMAR* and *DMAR*₁₀. The *DMAR*₁₀ determinations with the greatest uncertainties (the top 5% percentile, with values ><u>105</u>%) all correspond to loess deposits that either lack several determinations and so many assumptions are made (e.g., <10-µm grain size fraction, density, organic content, carbonate content) and/or have *t_{top}* and *t_{bottom}* values that are distinct

630 within error, yet very close to each other.

4 Structure of Paleo±Dust

All Paleo±Dust files are included in the supplementary information file *supplementary_data.zip*. It consists of two main tabdelimited text files containing the most important variables for each site (i.e., main_piHOL_tab.txt, main_LGM_tab.txt), two extra supporting tab-delimited text files with an expanded set of intermediate variables used to calculate the main set of variables (i.e., supporting piHOL tab.txt, supporting LGM tab.txt) and two separate text files (i.e.,

635 variables (i.e., supporting_piHOL_tab.txt, supporting_LGM_tab.txt) and two separate text files (i.e., site_specific_notes_references.txt, site_references.txt) containing site-specific observations and a list of references from where the necessary data was extracted.



Deleted: 125)
Deleted: 4	
Deleted: ,	
Deleted: of DMAR10 is	
Deleted: 8	
Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript	
Deleted: 8	
Deleted: 7	
Deleted: 0	
Deleted: 7	

(Deleted: 21
-(Deleted: 98
(Deleted: 37
Y	Deleted: 106
)(Deleted: 24

-(Deleted: 114
-(Deleted: are all in

Deleted: between them

The two main text files each contain 10 variables: *locality* ('siteName_region'), *type* (i.e., 'ice-core', 'marine', 'loess', 'lake' or 'peat'), *lat_N* (i.e., latitude in degrees north with two decimal places, between -90 and 90), *lon_E* (i.e., longitude in degrees east with two decimal places, between -180 and 180), *top-age_kaBP* and *bottom-age_kaBP* (i.e., twop and tbottom, respectively, in thousands of years before present, with two decimal places), *DMAR_g/m2/a* and *DMAR-1sigma_g/m2/a* (i.e., mean DMAR and 1-σ gDMAR for the defined age bracket, respectively, in g m⁻² a⁻¹, with three significant digits).

The two supporting text files each contain 24 variables, corresponding to the same 10 variables as the main files plus 14 extra variables: *first_appeared_in_dataset* (i.e., reference to compilation where site first appeared), *top-age-lsigma_kaBP* and *bottom-age-lsigma_kaBP* (i.e., $1-\sigma$ group and group and group of the same state of the same state

places), thickness_m (i.e., h_{thick} defined by the age bracket, in m, with two decimal places), depth-lsigma_cm (i.e., 1-σ uncertainty of the depth below the surface of the dated layers, in cm, with two decimal places), DBD_g/m3 and DBD-lsigma_g/m3 (i.e., DBD and 1-σ qDBD, respectively, in g m⁻³, with no decimal places), SBMAR g/m2/a and SBMAR-lsigma_g/m2/a (i.e., SBMAR and its 1-σ uncertainty, respectively, only for type 'marine', in g m⁻² a⁻¹, with three decimal places), EC_adim and EC-lsigma_adim (i.e., EC, as a number between 0 and 1, and 1-σ qEC, respectively, both with three decimal places) and fl0-lsigma_adim (i.e., f₁₀, as a number between 0 and 1, and 1-σ qf₁₀, respectively, both with three decimal places) and flag-marine-sed-downslope (i.e., a flag exclusive to type 'marine' where 1 means that the site is prone to contamination by downslope sediment movement, and where 0 means the site is not prone to such contamination).

5 Conclusions

675 Paleo±Dust is an updated global paleo-dust deposition rate compilation for mean pi-HOL and LGM climate states that includes quantitative estimates of uncertainties. Paleo-dust flux measurements from peat bog cores are included in a paleodust compilation for the first time. By excluding deglaciation dust fluxes, Paleo±Dust better isolates mean interglacial and glacial dust fluxes than previous datasets. Site-specific age brackets allow to sub-sample mean dust deposition constraints for specific time windows of interest. Grain size information is extracted from the original studies to derive fluxes of dust with 680 <10-µm diameter particles.</p>

The main feature of Paleo±Dust is the inclusion of site-specific dust flux uncertainties that are consistent across paleo-dust archive types, that is, that can be used to gauge the relative accuracy in dust flux constraints between sites of different geologic nature. These new uncertainty data may also be of use as a criterion for selecting a sub-set of samples for comparison against dust simulation output, to tune dust emission in Earth system models using dust deposition flux data and

685 a weighted approach based on uncertainty of proxy data, or to construct distribution-based global interpolation maps of paleo-dust deposition rates through Bayesian approaches, Monte Carlo, or bootstrapping experiments for use for example as input in biogeochemical models.



Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ε	
Deleted: a	
Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ε Deleted: ε	
Deleted: ε Deleted: ε	
Deleted: c	

695 Data availability

The <u>Paleo+Dust</u> data <u>files</u> are available as part of the supplementary information (file supplementary data.zip). They are also available on the <u>PANGAEA</u> open access repository (<u>Cosentino et al., 2023</u>).

Author contribution

Based on the CRediT Taxonomy, the following are the individual contributors' roles: NJC (conceptualization, data curation,
 formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing - original draft preparation, writing - review & editing), GT (data curation, methodology, writing - review & editing), FL (conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, supervision, writing - review & editing), SA (conceptualization, methodology, supervision, writing - review & editing), AJ-MB (conceptualization, writing - review & editing).

Competing interests

705 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Muhs, D. Constantin, T. Stevens, S. Pratte, and G. Le Roux for providing us with highly valuable data. We also thank Gabor Újvári and an anonymous reviewer for improving the manuscript with their constructive comments. This research was financed by project ANID-FONDECYT-POSTDOCTORADO2020-3200085 and by a 2022 INQUA

710 Fellowship, both awarded to NJC, and by project ANID-FONDECYT-REGULAR2023-1231682 awarded to FL. The discussions that resulted in this paper also benefited from meeting travel grants from project CLIMAT-AmSud 22-CLIMAT-01.

References

Adebiyi, A., Kok, J. F., Murray, B. J., Ryder, C. L., Stuut, J.-B. W., Kahn, R. A., Knippertz, P., Formenti, P., Mahowald, N.
715 M., García-Pando, C. P., Klose, M., Ansmann, A., Samset, B. H., Ito, A., Balkanski, Y., Di Biagio, C., Romanias, M. N., Huang, Y., and Meng, J.: A review of coarse mineral dust in the Earth system, Aeolian Res., 60, 100849, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2022.100849, 2023.

Albani, S. and Mahowald, N. M.: Paleodust insights into dust impacts on climate, J. Climate, 32, 7897-7913, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0742.1, 2019.

Deletea:	For review purposes, t	
Deleted:	sets	
Deleted:	of this submission	
Deleted:	Paleo±Dust	
Deleted:	has	
Deleted:	been	
Deleted:	uploaded to the	
Deleted:	Pangaea	
Deleted:	public	
Deleted:	https://www.pangaea.de/	

Deleted: under a CC-BY license, and we are currently awaiting a DOI from Pangaea, which will be available once the review process is through.

Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Delmonte, B., Maggi, V., and Winckler, G.: Comparing modeled and observed changes in mineral dust transport and deposition to Antarctica between the Last Glacial Maximum and current climates, Clim. Dynam., 38, 1731-1755, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1139-5, 2012.

Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Murphy, L. N., Raiswell, R., Moore, J. K., Anderson, R. F., McGee, D., Bradtmiller, L. I., Delmonte, B., Hesse, P. P., and Mayewski, P. A.: Paleodust variability since the Last Glacial Maximum and implications for iron inputs to the ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 3944-3954, doi:10.1002/2016GL067911, 2016.

Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Perry, A. T., Scanza, R. A., Zender, C. S., Heavens, N. G., Maggi, V., Kok, J. F., and Otto-740
 Bliesner, B. L.: Improved dust representation in the Community Atmosphere Model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 6, 541-570, doi:1002/2013MS000279, 2014.

Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Winckler, G., Anderson, R. F., Bradtmiller, L. I., Delmonte, B., François, R., Goman, M., Heavens, N. G., Hesse, P. P., Hovan, S. A., Kang, S. G., Kohfeld, K. E., Lu, H., Maggi, V., Mason, J. A., Mayewski, P. A., McGee, D., Miao, X., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Perry, A. T., Pourmand, A., Roberts, H. M., Rosenbloom, N., Stevens, T., and

745 Sun, J.: Twelve thousand years of dust: the Holocene global dust cycle constrained by natural archives, Clim. Past, 11, 869-903, doi:10.5194/cp-11-869-2015, 2015.

Andersen, K. K., Armengaud, A., and Genthon, C.: Atmospheric dust under glacial and interglacial conditions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2281-2284, doi:10.1029/98GL51811, 1998.

Andreae, M. O., Jones, C. D., and Cox, P. M.: Strong present-day aerosol cooling implies a hot future, Nature, 435, 1187-1190, doi:10.1038/nature03671, 2005.

Arcusa, S. H., McKay, N. P., Routson, C. C., and Munoz, S. E.: Dust-drought interactions over the last 15,000 years: A network of lake sediment records from the San Juan Mountains, Colorado, Holocene, 30, 559-574, doi:10.1177/0959683619875192, 2020.

Bacon, M. P.: Glacial to interglacial changes in carbonate and clay sedimentation in the Atlantic Ocean estimated from ²³⁰Th 755 measurements, Isotope Geosci., 2, 97-111, doi:10.1016/0009-2541(84)90183-9, 1984.

Beaudon, E., Sheets, J. M., Martin, E., Sierra-Hernández, M. R., Mosley-Thompson, E., and Thompson, L. G.: Aeolian dust preserved in the Guliya ice cap (northwestern Tibet): A promising paleo-environmental messenger, Geosciences, 12, doi:10.3390/geosciences12100366, 2022.

Blais, J. M., and Kalff, J.: The influence of lake morphometry on sediment focusing, Limnol. Oceanogr., 40, 582-588, doi:10.4319/lo.1995.40.3.0582, 1995.

Braconnot, P., Albani, S., Balkanski, Y., Cozic, A., Kageyama, M., Sima, A., Marti, O., and Peterschmitt, J.-Y.: Impact of dust in PMIP-CMIP6 mid-Holocene simulations with the IPSL model, Clim. Past, 17, 1091-1117, doi:10.5194/cp-17-1091-2021, 2021.

Brunotte, E., Maurer, B., Fischer, P., Lomax, J., and Sander, H.: A sequence of fluvial and aeolian deposits (desert loess) and palaeosoils covering the last 60ka in the Opuwo basin (Kaokoland/Kunene Region, Namibia) based on luminescence dating, Quatern. Int., 196, 71-85, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2008.06.008, 2009.

Chen, S., Jiang, N., Huang, J., Xu, X., Zhang, H., Zang, Z., Huang, K., Xu, X., Wei, Y., Guan, X., Zhang, X., Luo, Y., Hu, Z., and Feng, T.: Quantifying contributions of natural and anthropogenic dust emission from different climatic regions, Atmos. Environ., 191, 94-104, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.043, 2018.

770 Clark, P. U., Dyke, A. S., Shakun, J. D., Carlson, A. E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J. X., Hostetler, S. W., and McCabe, A. M.: The Last Glacial Maximum, Science, 325, 710-714, doi:10.1126/science.1172873, 2009.

Clifford, H. M., Spaulding, N. E., Kurbatov, A. V., More, A., Korotkikh, E. V., Sneed, S. B., Handley, M., Maasch, K. A., Loveluck, C. P., Chaplin, J., McCormick, M., and Mayewski, P. A.: A 2000 year Saharan dust event proxy record from an ice core in the European Alps, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 12882-12900, doi:10.1029/2019JD030725, 2019.

775 Comola, F., Giometto, M. G., Salesky, S. T., Parlange, M. B., and Lehning, M.: Preferential deposition of snow and dust over hills: Governing processes and relevant scales, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 7951-7974, doi:10.1029/2018JD029614, 2019.

Coppo, R., Cosentino, N. J., Torre, G., del Rio, I., Sawakuchi, A. O., Berman, A. L., Koester, E., Delmonte, B., and Gaiero, D. M.: Pampean loess chronology, physical, chemical, and provenance characterization, Version 1.0. Interdisciplinary Earth
 780 Data Alliance (IEDA) [data set], https://doi.org/10.26022/IEDA/112531, 2022a.

Coppo, R., Cosentino, N. J., Torre, G., del Rio, I., Sawakuchi, A. O., Berman, A. L., Koester, E., Delmonte, B., and Gaiero, D. M.: Coeval minimum south American and maximum Antarctic last glacial maximum dust deposition: A causal link?, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 295, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2022.107768, 2022b.

 Cosentino, N. J., Torre, G., Lambert, F., Albani, S., De Vleeschouwer, F., and Bory, A. J.-M.: Paleo±Dust: Quantifying uncertainty in paleo-dust deposition across archive types, PANGAEA [data set], http://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.962969, 2023.

Costa, K. M., Hayes, C. T., Anderson, R. F., Pavia, F. J., Bausch, A., Deng, F., Dutay, J.-C., Gibert, W., Heinze, C., Henderson, G., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Hoffmann, S., Jaccard, S. L., Jacobel, A. W., Kienast, S. S., Kipp, L., Lerner, P., Lippold, J., Lund, D., Marcantonio, F., McGee, D., McManus, J. F., Mekik, F., Middleton, J. L., Missiaen, L., Not, C., Pichat, S., Robinson, L. F., Rowland, G. H., Roy-Barman, M., Tagliabue, A., Torfstein, A., Winckler, G., and Zhou, Y.:

nd, G. H., Koy-Barman, M., Tagnabue, A.,

790

20

Deleted:

²³⁰Th normalization: New insights on an essential tool for quantifying sedimentary fluxes in the modern and Quaternary ocean, Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 35, doi:10.1029/2019PA003820, 2020.

Costa, K., and McManus, J.: Efficacy of 230Th normalization in sediments from the Juan de Fuca Ridge, northeast Pacific 795 Ocean, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 197, 215-225, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.10.034, 2017.

Da, J., Li, G. K., and Ji, J.: Seasonal changes in the formation time of pedogenic carbonates on the Chinese Loess Plateau during Quaternary glacial cycles, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 305, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2023.108008, 2023.

De Vleeschouwer, F., Ferrat, M., McGowan, H., Vanneste, H., and Weiss, D.: Extracting paleodust information from peat geochemistry, Past Global Changes (PAGES) Magazine, 22, 88-89, 2014.

800 Delmonte, B., Petit, J. R., Krinner, G., Maggi, V., Jouzel, J., and Udisti, R.: Ice core evidence for secular variability and 200year dipolar oscillations in atmospheric circulation over East Antarctica during the Holocene, Clim. Dynam., 24, 641-654, doi:10.1007/s00382-005-0012-9, 2005.

Fisher, D. A.: Comparison of 105 years of oxygen isotope and insoluble impurity profiles from the Devon Island and Camp Century ice cores, Quaternary Res., 11, 299-305, doi:10.1016/0033-5894(79)90077-2, 1979.

805 Francois, R., Bacon, M. P., and Suman, D. O.: Thorium 230 profiling in deep-sea sediments: High-resolution records of flux and dissolution of carbonate in the equatorial Atlantic during the last 24,000 years, Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 5, 761-787, doi:10.1029/PA005i005p00761, 1990.

Francois, R., Frank, M., Rutgers van der Loeff, M. M., and Bacon, M. P.: 230Th normalization: An essential tool for interpreting sedimentary fluxes during the late Quaternary, Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 19, 810 doi:10.1029/2003PA000939, 2004.

GEBCO Compilation Group: GEBCO 2022 Grid, doi:10.5285/e0f0bb80-ab44-2739-e053-6c86abc0289c, 2022.

Gerland, S., Oerter, H., Kipfstuhl, J., Wilhelms, F., Miller, H., and Miners, W. D.: Density log of a 181 m long ice core from Berkner Island, Antarctica, Ann. Glaciol., 29, 215-219, doi:10.3189/172756499781821427, 1999.

Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-scale attribution of anthropogenic and natural dust

815 sources and their emission rates based on MODIS Deep Blue aerosol products, Rev. Geophys., 50, doi:10.1029/2012RG000388, 2012.

Han, Y., Zhang, J., Mattson, K. G., Zhang, W., and Weber, T. A.: Sample sizes to control error estimates in determining soil bulk density in California forest soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 80, 756-764, doi:10.2136/sssaj2015.12.0422, 2016.

Hatté, C., Antoine, P., Fontugne, M., Lang, A., Rousseau, D.-D., and Zöller, L.: δ^{13} C of loess organic matter as a potential proxy for paleoprecipitation, Quaternary Res., 55, 33-38, doi:10.1006/gres.2000.2191, 2001. 820

21

Deleted: Deleted: Heinemann, M., Segschneider, J., and Schneider, B.: CO₂ drawdown due to particle ballasting by glacial aeolian dust: an estimate based on the ocean carbon cycle model MPIOM/HAMOCC version 1.6.2p3, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 1869-1883, doi:10.5194/gmd-12-1869-2019, 2019.

Henderson, G. M., Heinze, C., Anderson, R. F., Winguth, A. M. E.: Global distribution of the ²³⁰Th flux to ocean sediments constrained by GCM modelling, Deep Sea Res., Part I, 46, 1861-1893, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00030-8, 1999.

Hopcroft, P. O., Valdes, P. J., Woodward, S., and Joshi, M. M.: Last glacial maximum radiative forcing from mineral dust aerosols in an Earth system model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 8186-8205, doi:10.1002/2015JD023742, 2015.

830 Kemp, R. A., Toms, P. S., King, M., and Kröhling, D. M.: The pedosedimentary evolution and chronology of Tortugas, a Late Quaternary type-site of the northern Pampa, Argentina, Quatern. Int., 114, 101-112, doi:10.1016/S1040-6182(03)00045-4, 2004.

Kienast, S. S., Winckler, G., Lippold, J., Albani, S., and Mahowald, N. M.: Tracing dust input to the global ocean using thorium isotopes in marine sediments: ThoroMap, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 30, 1526-1541, doi:10.1002/2016GB005408, 2016.

Kohfeld, K. E., Graham, R. M., de Boer, A. M., Sime, L. C., Wolff, E. W., Le Quéré, C., and Bopp, L.: Southern Hemisphere westerly wind changes during the Last Glacial Maximum: paleo-data synthesis, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 68, 76-95, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.01.017, 2013.

Kohfeld, K. E. and Harrison, S. P.: DIRTMAP: the geological record of dust, Earth-Sci. Rev., 54, 81-114, doi:10.1016/S0012-8252(01)00042-3, 2001.

Kohfeld, K. E. and Harrison, S. P.: Glacial-interglacial changes in dust deposition on the Chinese Loess Plateau, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 22, 1859-1878, doi:10.1016/S0277-3791(03)00166-5, 2003.

Kok, J. F., Storelvmo, T., Karydis, V. A., Adebiyi, A. A., Mahowald, N. M., Evan, A. T., He, C., and Leung, D. M.: Mineral dust aerosol impacts on global climate and climate change, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 4, 71-86, doi:10.1038/s43017-022-00379-5, 2023.

Krätschmer, S., van der Does, M., Lamy, F., Lohmann, G., Völker, C., and Werner, M.: Simulating glacial dust changes in the Southern Hemisphere using ECHAM6.3-HAM2.3, Clim. Past, 18, 67-87, doi:10.5194/cp-18-67-2022, 2022.

Kylander, M. E., Martínez-Cortizas, A., Bindler, R., Greenwood, S. L., Mörth, C.-M., and Rauch, S.: Potentials and problems of building detailed dust records using peat archives: An example from Store Mosse (the "Great Bog"), Sweden,

850 Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 190, 156-174, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.028, 2016.

Deleted:

Kylander, M. E., Martínez-Cortizas, A., Bindler, R., Kaal, J., Sjöström, J. K., Hansson, S. V., Silva-Sánchez, N., Greenwood, S. L., Gallagher, K., Rydberg, J., Mörth, C.-M., and Rauch, S.: Mineral dust as a driver of carbon accumulation in northern latitudes, Sci. Rep-UK, 8, 6876, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-25162-9, 2018.

855 Lambert, F., Delmonte, B., Petit, J. R., Bigler, M., Kaufmann, P. R., Hutterli, M. A., Stocker, T. F., Ruth, U., Steffensen, J. P., and Maggi, V.: Dust-climate couplings over the past 800,000 years from the EPICA Doce C ice core, Nature, 452, 616-619, doi:10.1038/nature06763, 2008.

Lambert, F., Opazo, N., Ridgwell, A., Winckler, G., Lamy, F., Shaffer, G., Kohfeld, K., Ohgaito, R., Albani, S., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Regional patterns and temporal evolution of ocean iron fertilization and CO2 drawdown during the last glacial termination, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 554, 116675, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116675, 2021.

Lambert, F., Tagliabue, A., Shaffer, G., Lamy, F., Winckler, G., Farias, L., Gallardo, L., and De Pol-Holz, R.: Dust fluxes and iron fertilization in Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum climates, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 6014-6023, doi:10.1002/2015GL064250, 2015.

Lamy, F., Gersonde, R., Winckler, G., Esper, O., Jaeschke, A., Kuhn, G., Ullermann, J., Martinez-Garcia, A., Lambert, F., 865 and Kilian, R.: Increased dust deposition in the Pacific Southern Ocean during glacial periods, Science, 343, 403-407, doi:10.1126/science.1245424, 2014.

Li, G., Chen, J., and Chen, Y.: Primary and secondary carbonate in Chinese loess discriminated by trace element composition, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 103, 26-35, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.10.049, 2013.

Lisiecki, L. E. and Raymo, M. E.: A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic δ 180 records, 870 Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 20, PA1003, doi:10.1029/2004PA001071, 2005.

Lovejoy, S. and Lambert, F.: Spiky fluctuations and scaling in high-resolution EPICA ice core dust fluxes, Clim. Past, 15, doi:10.5194/cp-15-1999-2019, 2019.

Maher, B. A., Prospero, J. M., Mackie, D., Gaiero, D., Hesse, P. P., and Balkanski, Y.: Global connections between aeolian dust, climate and ocean biogeochemistry at the present day and at the last glacial maximum, Earth-Sci. Rev., 99, 61-97, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2009.12.001, 2010.

875

860

Mahowald, N. M., Kohfeld, K., Hansson, M., Balkanski, Y., Harrison, S. P., Prentice, I. C., Schulz, M., and Rodhe, H.: Dust sources and deposition during the last glacial maximum and current climate: A comparison of model results with paleodata from ice cores and marine sediments, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 15895-15916, doi:10.1029/1999JD900084, 1999.

Mahowald, N. M., Muhs, D. R., Levis, S., Rasch, P. J., Yoshioka, M., Zender, C. S., and Luo, C.: Change in atmospheric 880 mineral aerosols in response to climate: Last glacial period, preindustrial, modern, and doubled carbon dioxide climates, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D10, doi:10.1029/2005JD006653, 2006.

Markle, B. R., Steig, E. J., Roe, G. H., Winckler, G., and McConnell, J. R.: Concomitant variability in high-latitude aerosols, water isotopes and the hydrologic cycle, Nat. Geosci., 11, 853-859, 2018.

Martínez Cortizas, A., López-Costas, O., Orme, L., Mighall, T., Kylander, M. E., Bindler, R., and Gallego Sala, A.: Holocene atmospheric dust deposition in NW Spain, Holocene, 30, 507-518, doi:10.1177/0959683619875193, 2020.

Marx, S. K., Kamber, B. S., McGowan, H. A., Petherick, L. M., McTainsh, G. H., Stromsoe, N., Hooper, J. N., and May, J.-H.: Palaeo-dust records: A window to understanding past environments, Global Planet. Change, 165, 13-43, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2018.03.001, 2018.

McGee, D., deMenocal, P. B., Winckler, G., Stuut, J. B. W., and Bradtmiller, L. I.: The magnitude, timing and abruptness of 890 changes in North African dust deposition over the last 20,000 yr, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 371-372, 163-176, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.054, 2013.

Meng, X., Liu, L., Balsam, W., Li, S., He, T., Chen, J., and Ji, J.: Dolomite abundance in Chinese loess deposits: A new proxy of monsoon precipitation intensity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 10391-10398, doi:10.1002/2015GL066681, 2015.

Meng, X., Liu, L., Wang, X. T., Balsam, W., Chen, J., and Ji, J.: Mineralogical evidence of reduced East Asian summer
 monsoon rainfall on the Chinese loess plateau during the early Pleistocene interglacials, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 486, 61-69, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.12.048, 2018.

Meng, X., Liu, L., Zhao, W., He, T., Chen, J., and Ji, J.: Distant Taklimakan Desert as an important source of aeolian deposits on the Chinese Loess Plateau as evidenced by carbonate minerals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 4854-4862, doi:10.1029/2018GL081551, 2019.

900 Monteath, A., Hughes, P., Cooper, M., Groff, D., Scaife, R., and Hodgson, D.: Late glacial-Holocene record of Southern Hemisphere westerly wind dynamics from the Falkland Islands, South Atlantic Ocean, Geology, 50, 880-885, doi:10.1130/G49805.1, 2022.

Mortlock, R. A., Charles, C. D., Froelich, P. N., Zibello, M. A., Saltzman, J., Hays, J. D., and Burckle, L. H.: Evidence for lower productivity in the Antarctic Ocean during the last Glaciation, Nature, 351, 220-223, doi:10.1038/351220a0, 1991.

905 Muhs, D. R., Prospero, J. M., Baddock, M. C., and Gill, T. E.: Identifying sources of aeolian mineral dust: Present and past. In: Knippertz, P., Stuut, J. B. (eds) Mineral dust. Springer, Durdrecht, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-8978-3 3, 2014.

Ohgaito, R., Abe-Ouchi, A., O'ishi, R., Takemura, T., Ito, A., Hajima, T., Watanabe, S., and Kawamiya, M.: Effect of high dust amount on surface temperature during the Last Glacial Maximum: a modelling study using MIROC-ESM, Clim. Past, 14, 1565-1581, doi:10.5194/cp-14-1565-2018, 2018.

910 Otto-Bliesner, B. L., Brady, E. C., Tomas, R. A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P. J., Mahowald, N. M., Shafer, S. L., Kluzek, E., Lawrence, P. J., Leguy, G., Rothstein, M., and Sommers, A. N.: A comparison of the CMIP6 *midHolocene* and *lig127k* Simulations in CESM2, Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 35, doi:10.1029/2020PA003957, 2020.

Ouyang, S., Duan, Z., Lin, W., and Luo, Y.: Revisit of thorium-based dust fluxes and their implications for the iron fertilization hypothesis, J. Oceanogr., 78, 49-62, doi:10.1007/s10872-021-00626-1, 2022.

915 Peric, Z. M., Markovic, S. B., Sipos, G., Gavrilov, M. B., Thiel, C., Zeeden, C., and Murray, A. S.: A post-IR IRSL chronology and dust mass accumulation rates of the Nosak loess-palaeosol sequence in northeastern Serbia, Boreas, 49, 841-857, doi:10.1111/bor.12459, 2020.

Petherick, L. M., McGowan, H. A., and Kamber, B. S.: Reconstructing transport pathways for late Quaternary dust from eastern Australia using the composition of trace elements of long traveled dusts, Geomorphology, 105, 67-79, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.12.015, 2009.

- Petit, J.-R., Jouzel, J., Raynaud, D., Barkov, N. I., Barnola, J. M., Basile, I., Bender, M., Chappellaz, J., Davisk, M., Delaygue, G., Delmotte, M., Kotlyakov, V. M., Legrand, M., Lipenkov, V. Y., Lorius, C., Pepin, L., Ritz, C., Saltzmank, E., and Stievenard, M.: Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica, Nature, 399, 429-436, doi:10.1038/20859, 1999.
- 925 Pratte, S., Bao, K., Sapkota, A., Xhang, W., Shen, J., Le Roux, G., and De Vleeschouwer, F.: 14 kyr of atmospheric mineral dust deposition in north-eastern China: A record of palaeoclimatic and palaeoenvironmental changes in the Chinese dust source regions, Holocene, 30, 492-506, doi:10.1177/0959683619892661, 2020.

Pye, K.: The nature, origin and accumulation of loess, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 14, 653-667, doi:10.1016/0277-3791(95)00047-X, 1995.

930 Ruth, U., Wagenbach, D., Bigler, M., Steffensen, J. P., Röthlisberger, R., and Miller, H.: High-resolution microparticle profiles at NorthGRIP, Greenland: case studies of the calcium-dust relationship, Ann. Glaciol., 35, 237-242, doi:10.3189/172756402781817347, 2002.

Scheuvens, D., and Kandler, K.: On composition, morphology, and size distribution of airborne mineral dust. In Knippertz, P., Stuut, J. B. (eds) Mineral Dust. Springer, Dordrecht, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-8978-3 2, 2014.

935 Scholten, J. C., Fietzke, J., Vogler, S., Rutgers van der Loeff, M. M., Mangini, A., Koeve, W., Waniek, J., Stoffers, P., Antia, A., and Kuss, J.: Trapping efficiencies of sediment traps from the deep eastern North Atlantic: the ²³⁰Th calibration, Deep-Sea Res., II, 48, 2383-2408, doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00176-4, 2001.

Sharifi, A., Murphy, L. N., Pourmand, A., Clement, A. C., Canuel, E. A., Naderi Beni, A., Lahijani, H. A. K., Delanghe, D., and Ahmady-Birgani, H.: Early-Holocene greening of the Afro-Asian dust belt changed sources of mineral dust in West 940 Asia, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 481, 30-40, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.001, 2018.

Sherwood, S. C., Webb, M. J., Annan, J. D., Armour, K. C., Forster, P. M., Hargreaves, J. C., Hegerl, G., Klein, S. A., Marvel, K. D., Rohling, E. J., Watanabe, M., Andrews, T., Braconnot, P., Bretherton, C. S., Foster, G. L., Hausfather, Z., von der Heydt, A. S., Knutti, R., Mauritsen, T., Norris, J. R., Proistosescu, C., Rugenstein, M., Schmidt, G. A., Tokarska, K. B., and Zelinka, M. D.: An assessment of Earth's climate sensitivity using multiple lines of evidence, Rev. Geophys., 58, 945 e2019RG000678, doi:10.1029/2019RG000678, 2020.

Shotyk, W.: Natural and anthropogenic enrichments of As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn in ombrotrophic versus minerotrophic peat bog profiles, Jura Mountains, Switzerland. Water Air Soil Pollut., 90, 375-405, doi:10.1007/BF00282657, 1996.

Shotyk, W., Krachler, M., Martinez-Cortizas, A., Cheburkin, A. K., and Emons, H.: A peat bog record of natural, preanthropogenic enrichments of trace elements in atmospheric aerosols since 12 370 ¹⁴C yr BP, and their variation with Holocene climate change, Earth Planet Sc. Lett., 199, 21-37, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00553-8, 2002.

Simonsen, M. F., Baccolo, G., Blunier, T., Borunda, A., Delmonte, B., Frei, R., Goldstein, S., Grinsted, A., Kjær, H. A., Sowers, T., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., Vladimirova, D., Winckler, G., Winstrup, M., and Vallelonga, P.: East Greenland ice core dust record reveals timing of Greenland ice sheet advance and retreat, Nat. Commun., 10, 4494, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12546-2, 2019.

955 Simonsen, M. F., Cremonesi, L., Baccolo, G., Bosch, S., Delmonte, B., Erhardt, T., Kjaer, H. A., Potenza, M., Svensson, A., and Vallelonga, P.: Particle shape accounts for instrumental discrepancy in ice core dust size distributions, Clim. Past., 14, 601-608, doi:10.5194/cp-14-601-2018, 2018.

Singh, A. K., Marcantonio, F., and Lyle, M.: Sediment focusing in the Panama Basin, Eastern Equatorial Pacific Ocean, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 309, 33-44, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.06.020, 2011.

960 Sjöström, J. K., Martínez Cortizas, A., Hansson, S. V., Silva Sánchez, N., Bindler, R., Rydberg, J., Mörth, C.-M., Ryberg, E. E., and Kylander, M. E.: Paleodust deposition and peat accumulation rates - Bog size matters, Chem. Geol., 554, 119795, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2020.119795, 2020.

Stanelle, T., Bey, I., Raddatz, T., Reick, C., and Tegen, I.: Anthropogenically induced changes in twentieth century mineral dust burden and the associated impact on radiative forcing, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 13526-13546, doi:10.1002/2014JD022062, 2014.

965

950

Steffensen, J. P.: The size distribution of microparticles from selected segments of the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core representing different climatic periods, J. Geophys. Res. - Oceans, 102, 26755-26763, doi:10.1029/97JC01490, 1997.

Stevens, T., Buylaert, J.-P., Thiel, C., Újvári, G., Yi, S., Murray, A. S., Frechen, M., and Lu, H.: Ice-volume-forced erosion of the Chinese Loess Plateau global Quaternary stratotype site, Nat. Commun., 9, 983, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03329-2, 2018.

970

Struve, T., Longman, J., Zander, M., Lamy, F., Winckler, G., and Pahnke, K.: Systematic changes in circumpolar dust transport to the Subantarctic Pacific Ocean over the last two glacial cycles, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 119, e2206085119, doi:10.1073/pnas.2206085119, 2022

Svensson, A., Andersen, K. K., Bigler, M., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Davies, S. M., Johnsen, S. J., Muscheler, R.,

975 Parrenin, F., Rasmussen, S. O., Röthlisberger, R., Seierstad, I., Steffensen, J. P., and Vinther, B. M.: A 60 000 year Greenland stratigraphic ice core chronology, Clim. Past, 4, 47-57, doi:10.5194/cp-4-47-2008, 2008.

Tegen, I., Harrison, S. P., Kohfeld, K., Prentice, I. C., Coe, M., and Heimann, M.: Impact of vegetation and preferential source areas on global dust aerosols: Results from a model study, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, AAC 14-1-AAC 14-27, doi:10.1029/2001JD000963, 2002.

980 Thompson, L. G., Davis, M. E., Mosley-Thompson, E., Sowers, T. A., Henderson, K. A., Zagorodnov, V. S., Lin, P.-N., Mikhalenko, V. N., Campen, R. K., Bolzan, J. F., Cole-Dai, J., and Francou, B.: A 25,000-year tropical climate history from Bolivian ice cores, Science, 282, 1858-1864, doi:10.1126/science.282.5395.1858, 1998.

Thompson, L. G., Mosley-Thompson, E., Davis, M. E., Bolzan, J. F., Dai, J., Klein, L., Yao, T., Wu, X., Xie, Z., and Gundestrup, N.: Holocene-Late Pleistocene climatic ice core records from Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Science, 246, 474-477, doi:10.1126/science.246.4929.474, 1989.

Thompson, L. G., Mosley-Thompson, E., Davis, M. E., Lin, P.-N., Henderson, K. A., Cole-Dai, J., Bolzan, J. F., and Liu, K.-B.: Late Glacial Stage and Holocene tropical ice core records from Huascarán, Peru, Science, 269, 46-50, doi:10.1126/science.269.5220.46, 1995.

Thompson, L. G., Yao, T., Davis, M. E., Henderson, K. A., Mosley-Thompson, E., Lin, P.-N., Beer, J., Synal, H.-A., Cole-900 Dai, J., and Bolzan, J. F.: Tropical climate instability: The Last glacial cycle from a Qinghai-Tibetan ice core, Science, 276, 1821-1825. doi:10.1126/science.276.5320.1821.1997.

Torre, G., Gaiero, D. M., Sawakuchi, A. O., del Rio, I., and Coppo, R.: Revisiting the chronology and environmental conditions for the accretion of late Pleistocene-early Holocene Pampean loess (Argentina), Quaternary Sci. Rev., 213, 105-119, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.04.018, 2019,

995 Újvári, G., Kovács, J., Varga, G., Raucsik, B., and Markovic, S. B.: Dust flux estimates for the Last Glacial Period in East Central Europe based on terrestrial records of loess deposits: a review, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 29, 3157-3166, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.07.005, 2010. Deleted:

Moved down [2]: Torre, G., Gaiero, D. M., Sawakuchi, A. O., del Rio, I., and Copo, R.: Revisiting the chronology and environmental conditions for the accretion of late Pleistocene-early Holocene Pampean loess (Argentina), Quaternary Sci. Rev., 213, 105-119, doi:10.1016/j.uuascirev.2019.04.018.⁴

Moved down [1]: Vanneste, H., De Vleeschouwer, F., Bertrand, S., Martínez-Cortizas, A., Vanderstraeten, A., Mattielli, N., Coronato, A., Piotrowska, N., Jeandel, C., and Le Roux, G.: Elevated dust deposition in Tierra del Fuego (Chile) resulting from Neoglacial Darwin Cordillera glacier fluctuations, J. Quaternary Sci., 31, 713-722, doi:10.1002/jqs.2896, 2016. Vanneste, H., De Vleeschouwer, F., Martínez-Cortizas, A., von Scheffer, C., Piotrowska, N., Coronato, A., and Le Roux, G.: Lateglacial elevated dust deposition linked to westerly wind shifts in southern South America, Sci. Rep-UK, 5, 11670, doi:10.1038/srep11670_2015 Veres, D., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Toyé Mahamadou Kele, H. Lemieux-Dudon, B., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Blayo, E., Blunier, T., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Rasmussen, S. O., Severi, M., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., and Wolff, E. W.: The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-

site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years, Clim, Past, 9.

1733-1748, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013, 2013.

(Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted:

Vanneste, H., De Vleeschouwer, F., Bertrand, S., Martínez-Cortizas, A., Vanderstraeten, A., Mattielli, N., Coronato, A.,
 <u>Piotrowska, N., Jeandel, C., and Le Roux, G.: Elevated dust deposition in Tierra del Fuego (Chile) resulting from Neoglacial</u>
 Darwin Cordillera glacier fluctuations, J. Quaternary Sci., 31, 713-722, doi:10.1002/jgs.2896, 2016.

Vanneste, H., De Vleeschouwer, F., Martínez-Cortizas, A., von Scheffer, C., Piotrowska, N., Coronato, A., and Le Roux, G.: Late-glacial elevated dust deposition linked to westerly wind shifts in southern South America, Sci. Rep-UK, 5, 11670, doi:10.1038/srep11670, 2015.

Varga, G., Gresina, F., Újvári, G., Kovács, J., and Szalai, Z.: On the reliability and comparability of laser diffraction grain
 size measurements of paleosols in loess records, Sediment. Geol., 389, 42-53, doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2019.05.011, 2019.

Veres, D., Bazin, L., Landais, A., Tové Mahamadou Kele, H., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Parrenin, F., Martinerie, P., Blayo, E., Blunier, T., Capron, E., Chappellaz, J., Rasmussen, S. O., Severi, M., Svensson, A., Vinther, B., and Wolff, E. W.: The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years, Clim. Past, 9, 1733-1748, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013, 2013

1035 Volvakh, N. E., Kurbanov, R. N., Zykina, V. S., Murray, A. S., Stevens, T., Költringer, C. A., Volvakh, A. O., Malikov, D. G., Taratunina, N. A., and Buylaert, J.-P.: First high-resolution luminescence dating of loess in Western Siberia, Quat. Geochronol., 73, 101377, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2022.101377, 2022.

Wiers, S., Snowball, I., O'Regan, M., and Almqcist, B.: Late Pleistocene chronology of sediments from the Yermak Plateau and uncertainty in dating based on geomagnetic excursions, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 20, 3289-3310, 1040 doi:10.1029/2018GC007920.2019.

Xiong, L.-Y., Tang, G.-A., Strobl, J., and Zhu, A.: Paleotopographic controls on loess deposition in the Loess Plateau of China, Earth Surf. Proc. Land., 41, 1155-1168, doi:10.1002/esp.3883, 2015.

 Yang, S., Ding, Z., Li, Y., Wang, X., Jiang, W., and Huang, X.: Warming-induced northwestward migration of the East Asian monsoon rain belt from the Last Glacial Maximum to the mid-Holocene, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 13178-13183, doi:10.1073/pnas.1504688112, 2015.

Yu, Z., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W., and Hunt, S. J.: Global peatland dynamics since the Last Glacial Maximum, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, doi:10.1029/2010GL043584, 2010.

Yu, E.-F., Francois, R., Bacon, M. P., and Fleer, A. P.: Fluxes of ²³⁰Th and ²³¹Pa to the deep sea: implications for the interpretation of excess ²³⁰Th and ²³¹Pa/²³⁰Th profiles in sediments, Earth Planet. Sc. Lett., 191, 219-230, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00410-1, 2001a.

1050

(Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted:

Yu, E.-F., Francois, R., Bacon, M. P., Honjo, S., Fleer, A. P., Mangnanini, S. J., Rutgers van der Loeff, M. M., and Ittekot, V.: Trapping efficiency of bottom-tethered sediment traps estimated from the intercepted fluxes of ²³⁰Th and ²³¹Pa, Deep Sea Res., Part I, 48, 865-889, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00067-4, 2001b.

Zdanowicz, C. M., Zielinski, G. A., Wake, C. P., Fisher, D. A., and Koerner, R. M.: A Holocene record of atmospheric dust deposition on the Penny ice cap, Baffin Island, Canada, Quaternary Res., 53, 62-69, doi:10.1006/qres.1999.2091, 2000.

Zhang, Z., Zheng, Z., Meng, X., Lai, Z., Hou, Y., and Ji, J.: Gradually increasing precipitation since 20ka as evidenced by loess dolomite abundance in the Ili Basin, Central Asia, Catena, 232, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2023.107420, 2023.

Zhao, A., Ryder, C. L., and Wilcox, L. J.: How well do the CMIP6 models simulate dust aerosols?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 205-2119, doi:10.5194/acp-22-2095-2022, 2022

Deleted:



Figure 1: Criteria for assigning uncertainty to the top and bottom ages. OSL: optically stimulated luminescence, TL: thermoluminescence, AICC2012: Antarctic Ice Core Chronology 2012 (Veres et al., 2013), GICC05: Greenland Ice Core 1065 Chronology 2005 (Svensson et al., 2008).

Loess- paleosol	① Correction for carbonates, OM, volcanic inputs	$-$ all three (two, one): 1% (10%, 20%) relative 1 σ
		— 30% relative 1σ
Lake	OM, bSiO ₂ , volcanic inputs,	all five (four, three, two, — one): 1% (10%, 20%, 30%, 40%) relative 1σ
	2 Assumed	— 50% relative 1σ
Marine	(1) Correction for carbonates, OM, bSi0 ₂ , river and volca- nic inputs	all five (four, three, two, — one): 1% (10%, 20%, 30%, 40%) relative 1σ
	2) Based on ²² 1h	$- 33\%$ relative 1σ
Polar ice	(1) Antarctic ice cores: based on Coulter counter insoluble particle volume con- centration data (no volcanic correction)	— 15.3% relative 1σ
	$(2) \begin{array}{c} \text{Greenland ice cores: based on assumed} \\ \delta^{18} \text{O vs. calcium:dust concentration} \\ \text{ratio (plus no volcanic correction)} \end{array} \\ (2) \begin{array}{c} \text{Greenland ice cores: based on assumed} \\ \text{Greenland ice cores: based on assumed ice cores: based on assumed \\ \text{Greenland ice cores: based on assumed} \\ Greenland ice cores: based on assumed ice cores: based on assumed \\ \text{Greenland ice cores: based on assumed \\ $	— 22.4% relative 1σ
Peat	① PCA guides decision on dust	— 10% relative 1σ
	+ volcanic correction applied (e.g., Nd isotopes)	— 1% relative 1σ
	2 No PCA, multi-proxy approach,	— 20% relative 1σ
	(3) No PCA nor volcanic correction, multiple dust proxies considered	— 30% relative 1σ
	(4) No PCA, single-proxy approach,	— 50% relative 1σ
	5 No PCA, single-proxy approach,	— 60% relative 1σ

Figure 2: Criteria for assigning uncertainty to the non-volcanic dust fraction. OM: organic matter, bSiO₂: biogenic silica, PCA: principal component analysis.



Figure 3: Criteria for assigning uncertainty to the <10-µm grain size fraction. GSD: grain size distribution.



1075 Figure 4: Criteria for assigning uncertainty to the sediment bulk mass accumulation rate when using the ²³⁰Th normalization technique for deriving dust fluxes from marine sediment cores.

1080





Figure 5: Distribution of sites for the (a) pre-industrial Holocene (pi-HOL) and (b) Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) time periods, (c) pi-HOL and (d) LGM <10-μm dust deposition rate uncertainty, The coastlines for all panels correspond to those of the present day.</p>

Deleted: .

1090 Table 1: Number of sites in Paleo±Dust for the pre-industrial Holocene (pi-HOL, year 1850 CE-11.7 ka BP) and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 19.0-26.5 ka BP) with a dust deposition rate determination. For the mean LGM/pi-HOL dust flux ratio (<10-μm fraction) calculations, only sites with both pi-HOL and LGM determinations were considered.

	# of pi-HOL sites	# of LGM sites	LGM/pi-HOL dust flux ratio			
	(published since 2016)	(published since 2016)	(<10- μ m fraction, ± 1 σ)			
Polar ice	4 (0)	4 (0)	16.8 ± 4.2 (N = 4)			Deleted: 0
Marine sediments	93 (18)	98 (29)	2.2 ± 0.5 (N = 72)			Deleted: 7
Loess	154 (51)	104 (38)	3.2 ± 0.7 (N = 47)			Deleted: 7
Peat	23 (15)	0 (0)	-			Deleted: 8
Lakes	<u>11 (5)</u>	<u>3 (1)</u>	2.3 ± 12 (N = 3)			Deleted: 10
TOTAL	<u>285 (93)</u>	<u>209 (64)</u>	3.1 ± 0.7 (N = 126)			Deleted: 4
L]	111 A	Deleted: 2

Deleted: 0 Deleted: 1.9 Deleted: 2 Deleted: 2 Deleted: 2 Deleted: 284 Deleted: 92 Deleted: 208 Deleted: 63 Deleted: 8 Deleted: 125

-	
- 4	Δ
~	