Synthesis Product for Ocean Time-Series (SPOTS) – A ship-based biogeochemical pilot

Nico Lange¹, Björn Fiedler¹, Marta Álvarez², Alice Benoit-Cattin³, Heather Benway⁴, Pier Luigi Buttigieg⁵, Laurent Coppola^{6,7}, Kim Currie⁸, Susana Flecha⁹, Dana S. Gerlach⁴, Makio

5 Honda¹⁰, I. Emma Huertas¹¹, Siv K. Lauvset¹², Frank Muller-Karger¹³, Arne Körtzinger^{1,14}, Kevin M. O'Brien^{15,16}, Sólveig R. Ólafsdóttir³, Fernando C. Pacheco¹⁷, Digna Rueda-Roa¹³, Ingunn Skjelvan¹², Masahide Wakita¹⁰, Angelicque White^{17,18}, Toste Tanhua^{1*}

¹GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany ²Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO-CSIC), A Coruña, Spain 10 ³Marine and Freshwater Research Institute, Hafnarfjordur, Iceland ⁴Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, United States ⁵Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany ⁶Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Villefranche-sur-Mer, France 15 ⁷CNRS, OSU STAMAR, UAR2017, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France ⁸National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research Ltd (NIWA), Dunedin, New Zealand ⁹Instituto Mediterráneo de Estudios Avanzados (CSIC-UIB), Esporles, Spain ¹⁰Mutsu Institute for Oceanography, Research Institute for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Mutsu, Japan ¹¹Instituto de Ciencias Marinas de Andalucía (CSIC), Cádiz, Spain 20 ¹²NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway ¹³College of Marine Science, University of South Florida, St. Petersburg, Florida, USA ¹⁴Christian Albrecht University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany ¹⁵Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, 25 Washington, USA

¹⁶Cooperative Institute for Climate, Ocean and Ecosystem Studies, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

¹⁷Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA

¹⁸Daniel K. Inouye Center for Microbial Oceanography: Research and Education, Honolulu, HI, USA

30

*Correspondence: Toste Tanhua ttanhua@geomar.de Abstract. The presented pilot for the "Synthesis Product for Ocean Time-Series" (SPOTS) includes data from 12

- 35 fixed ship-based time-series programs. The related stations represent unique open ocean and coastal marine environments within the Atlantic Ocean, Pacific Ocean, Mediterranean Sea, Nordic Seas, and Caribbean Sea. The focus of the pilot has been placed on biogeochemical essential ocean variables: dissolved oxygen, dissolved inorganic nutrients, inorganic carbon (pH, total alkalinity, dissolved inorganic carbon, and partial pressure of CO₂), particulate matter, and dissolved organic carbon. The time-series used include a variety of temporal resolutions
- 40 (monthly, seasonal, or irregular), time ranges (10 36 years), and bottom depths (80 6000 m), with the oldest samples dating back to 1983 and the most recent one corresponding to 2021. Besides having been harmonized into the same format (semantics, ancillary data, units), the data were subjected to a qualitative assessment in which the applied methods were evaluated and categorized. The most recently applied methods of the time-series programs usually follow the recommendations outlined by the Bermuda Time-Series Workshop report (Lorenzoni and
- 45 Benway, 2013) which is used as the main reference for "method recommendations by prevalent initiatives in the field". However, measurements of dissolved oxygen and pH in particular, still show room for improvement. Additional data-quality descriptors include precision and accuracy estimates, indicators for data variability, and offsets compared to a reference and widely recognized data product for the global ocean: the "GLobal Ocean Data Analysis Project" (GLODAP). Generally, these descriptors indicate a high level of continuity in measurement
- 50 quality within time-series programs and a good consistency with the GLODAP data product, even though robust comparisons to the latter are limited. The data are available as (i) a merged comma-separated file that is compliant with the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) exchange format and ii) a format dependent on user queries via the Environmental Research Division's Data Access Program (ERDDAP) server of the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS). The pilot increases the data utility, findability, accessibility, interoperability, and
- 55 reusability following the FAIR philosophy, enhancing the readiness of biogeochemical time-series. It facilitates a variety of applications that benefit from the collective value of biogeochemical time-series observations and forms the basis for a sustained time-series living data product, SPOTS, complementing relevant products for the global interior ocean carbon data (GLobal Ocean Data Analysis Project), global surface ocean carbon data (Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas; SOCAT), and global interior and surface methane and nitrous oxide data (MarinE MethanE and NiTrous Oxide product).

Aside from the actual data compilation, the pilot project produced suggestions for reporting metadata, implementing quality control measures, and making estimations about uncertainty. These recommendations aim at encouraging the community to adopt more consistent and uniform practices for analysis and reporting and at

65 updating these practices regularly. The detailed recommendations, links to the original time-series programs, the original data, their documentation, and related efforts are available on the SPOTS website. This site also provides access to the data product (DOI: 10.26008/1912/bco-dmo.896862.2, Lange et al., 2023) and ancillary data.

1. Introduction

- 70 Continuing global anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions in combination with increasing nutrient inputs into the ocean over the past decades have resulted in unprecedented changes in the ocean biogeochemistry (O'Brien et al., 2017; Friedligstein et al., 2022) and marine ecosystem states (e.g., Edwards et al., 2013; Barton et al., 2016). As climate change progresses, these complex changes will aggravate (Bopp et al., 2013; Cooley et al., 2022). To disentangle natural variability, occurring on a range of temporal and spatial scales (Valdés and Lomas, 2017),
- and human-induced changes in marine ecosystems (Henson et al., 2016; Benway et al., 2019) decades of sustained fixed-location time-series observations are required. Following recommendations from international programs such as the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS, 1990) and Global Ocean Ecosystem Dynamics (GLOBEC, 1997), only few ship-based fixed ocean time-series programs have been established around the globe since the late 1980s. The ongoing observations of these programs have captured the evolving changes in ocean biogeochemistry
- 80 and associated impacts on marine food webs, marine biodiversity, and ecosystems. Examples of observed changes include changes in the ocean's anthropogenic carbon inventory, oxygen levels, seawater pH, ventilation rates, and vertical nutrient transports (e.g., Bates et al., 2014; Tanhua et al., 2015; Neuer et al., 2017). Even though the collective value of multiple time-series data is greater than that provided by each individual time-series, ship-based time-series programs have primarily been launched to support the specific goals of individual programs and
- 85 ancillary projects. The International Group of Marine Ecological Time Series (IGMETS, O'Brien et al., 2017) demonstrated the collective value by performing an integrative and collective assessment of over 340 ship-based time-series thereby increasing the range of space- and time scales that can be addressed and highlighting the importance of joint and multidisciplinary time-series observing programs (Valdés and Lomas, 2017). Despite their indisputable importance and the wealth of ship-based time-series data, difficulties in data
- 90 discoverability, accessibility, and interoperability presently limit ship-based time-series data utilization, the realization of their full scientific potential, and the overall recognition of the programs (Benway et al., 2019; Tanhua et al., 2021). Moreover, these challenges have prevented shipboard time-series from becoming a more formalized and endorsed component of the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS, Moltmann et al., 2019). In addition to the lack of a community-agreed time-series data public release agreement that leads to free sharing of
- 95 time-series data being uncommon, the lack of standardized formats, semantics, units, scales, standards, quality assurance- and control, metadata reporting, and user interfaces across and within time-series sites represent the main data challenges. The usage of different measurement protocols sometimes without comprehensive reporting of the corresponding variable-inherent uncertainties and the time-consuming manual data retrieval at multiple access points are further prone to data handling errors. Existing biogeochemical (BGC) data synthesis products
- 100 have already tackled these challenges for other observation types and increased the utility of large amounts of individual datasets, e.g., the MarinE MethanE and NiTrous Oxide product (MEMENTO, Kock and Bange, 2015), the Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP, Lauvset et al., 2022) and the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT, Bakker et al., 2016). However, neither IGMETS (O'Brien et al., 2017) nor OceanSites (Weller et al., 2016), a global network of long-term autonomous open ocean reference stations, have generated a global data
- 105 synthesis product of time-series data that would complement existing BGC data synthesis products. To address these shortcomings and to follow up on the Bermuda Time Series workshop from 2013 (Lorenzoni et al., 2013), both the Ocean Carbon and Biogeochemistry program and the EU Horizon 2020 project EuroSea convened workshops with several time-series operators. Resulting from these workshops a call was formulated for a pilot data synthesis product of well-established time-series programs that focuses on a limited set of variables.
- 110 Further, a roadmap was created to develop a pilot product that aims at establishing a Findable Accessible Interoperable Reusable (FAIR, Wilkinson et al., 2016) data management plan for shipboard ocean time-series (Benway et al., 2020). This goes hand in hand with the GOOS Implementation Roadmap (GOOS, 2020) calling for more systematic and sustainable approaches for climate-relevant observations across ocean data platforms and networks (Belward et al., 2016), especially regarding the GOOS defined scientific applications: The ocean carbon
- 115 content (Q1.1); ocean dead zones (Q2.1); rates of acidification (Q2.2); and ocean productivity (Q3.2). Following these calls, we here describe the resultant Synthesis Product for Ocean Time-Series (SPOTS) pilot, synthesizing high-quality data from 12 global ship-based time-series sites with a focus on BGC essential ocean variables (EOV). This paper briefly presents the included time-series programs (Sect. 2), describes the methods applied to compile and assess the product (Sect. 3) and data quality assessment (Sect. 4), describes the final product
- 120 (Sect. 5), elaborates on the stakeholder usability (Sect. 6), and describes the data access (Sect.7). Finally, the main findings of the effort are presented (Sect. 8) and next steps to guarantee the continuity and success of SPOTS are identified (Sect. 9).

2. Data Sources

- 125 The SPOTS pilot includes data from 12 fixed ship-based time-series programs (Fig. 1), all of which routinely measure BGC EOVs. All major climate zones are covered, although not all ocean biogeochemical zones are (Reygondeau et al., 2013). Existing datasets were extended whenever possible by publicly available and more recent data (Table S1). In addition to capturing different marine environments (Sect. 2.1), the characteristics of the time-series programs also differ in terms of the station visit frequency, i.e. temporal resolution (monthly, seasonal,
- 130 or irregular), the time range of the observational period, the bottom depth and whether a dedicated research vessel is used (Table 1). If a time-series program consists of two or more related stations, usually the deepest station was selected. The included data from GIFT and RADCOR display exceptions to this rule as for both sites data from three related stations were selected.
- Table 1: Key metadata of participating time-series programs. Ordered according to ocean basin: Pacific (4); Atlantic (3); Marginal Seas (2); Nordic Seas (3). T=Temperature (CTD); S=Salinity (either bottle or CTD-data, the Asterisk denotes if more than 50% of station visits are CTD-data only); O₂=Oxygen; NO₃=Dissolved nitrate; NO₂=Dissolved nitrite; PO₄=Dissolved phosphate; SiOH₄=Dissolved silicate; NH₄=Dissolved ammonium; DIC=Dissolved inorganic carbon; TA=Total alkalinity; *p*CO₂=Partial pressure of carbon dioxide; POC=Particulate organic carbon; PON=Particulate organic nitrogen;
 POP=Particulate organic phosphorus; TPC=Total particulate carbon; TPN=Total particulate nitrogen; TPP=Total particulate phosphorus: DOC=Dissolved organic carbon.

Time-Series Site	Time-Series Location		Temporal Resolution	Bottom Depth	# of Visits	Dedicated Vessel	Variables	Original DOI(s)
KNOT	44.0°N 155.0°E	1992– 2020	1-3 cruises yr ⁻¹	6000 m	21	No	T,S,O2,NO3,NO2,SiOH 4,PO4,NH4,DIC,TA,pH	10.25921/tarq-6v91
К2	47.0°N 160.0°E	1999– 2020	1-3 cruises yr ⁻¹	6000 m	49	No	T,S,O2,NO3,NO2,SiOH 4,PO4,NH4,DIC,TA,pH ,DOC	10.25921/mpfz-sv16
ALOHA	22.8°N 158.0°W	1988– 2019	Monthly	4750 m	311	Yes	T,S,O ₂ ,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,SiOH 4,PO4,DIC,TA,pH, TPC,TPN,TPP,DOC	10.1575/1912/bco- dmo.3773.1
Munida	45.8°S 171.5°E	1998– 2019	6 cruises yr ⁻¹	1000 m	80	Yes	T,S*,NO3,SiOH4,PO4, DIC,TA	NA
GIFT	36.9°N 6.0°W	2005– 2015	Seasonal	315 m – 842 m	26	Yes	T,S [*] ,O ₂ ,NO ₃ ,SiOH ₄ , TA,pH,DOC	10.20350/digitalCSI C/10549; 10.20350/digitalCSI C/12499
cvoo	17.6°N 24.3°W	2006– 2019	1-3 cruises yr ⁻¹	3600 m	42	Partly	T,S,O2,NO3,NO2,SiOH 4,PO4,NH4,DIC,TA, POC,PON,POP	10.1594/PANGAEA .958597
RADCOR	43.4°N 8.4°E	2013– 2020	Monthly	15 m – 80 m	80	Yes	T,S*,O2,NO3,NO2,SiO H4, PO4,DIC,TA,pH	10.1594/PANGAEA .919087; 10.20350/digitalCSI C/13786
CARIACO	10.5°N 64.7°W	1995– 2017	Monthly	1300 m	230	Yes	T,S,O2,NO3,NO2,SiOH 4,PO4,NH4,TA,pH, TPC,TPN,TPP,DOC	10.1575/1912/bco- dmo.3093.1
DYFAMED	42.3°N 7.5°E	1991– 2017	Monthly	2400 m	190	No	T,S [*] ,O ₂ ,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,SiO H ₄ ,PO ₄ ,DIC,TA,pH	10.17882/43749
IrmingerSea	64.3°N 28.0°W	1983– 2019	Seasonal	1000 m	131	Yes	T,S,O ₂ ,NO ₃ ,SiOH ₄ ,PO 4,DIC,TA, <i>p</i> CO ₂	10.3334/cdiac/otg.ca rina_irmingersea_v2 ; 10.25921/vjmy- 8h90
IcelandSea	68.0°N 12.7°W	1985– 2019	Seasonal	1850 m	146	Yes	T,S,O ₂ ,NO ₃ ,SiOH4,PO 4,DIC,TA, <i>p</i> CO ₂	10.3334/cdiac/otg.ca rina_icelandsea; 10.25921/qhed-3h84
OWSM	66.0°N 2.0°E	2001– 2021	4-12 cruises yr ⁻¹	2100 m	147	Until 2009	T,S [*] ,O ₂ ,NO ₃ ,SiOH ₄ ,P O ₄ , DIC,TA	10.3334/cdiac/otg_ts m_ows_m_66n_2e



Figure 1: Locations of participating ship-based time-series stations.

145 **2.1. Marine Environment of Time-Series Sites**

2.1.1. A Long-term Oligotrophic Habitat Assessment (ALOHA)

The deep water (~4750 m) time-series station of the Hawaii Ocean Time-Series program (HOT), ALOHA (Karl and Church, 2019), is located 100 km north of Oahu, Hawaii, more than one Rossby radius (50 km) away from the steep topography associated with the Hawaiian Ridge. ALOHA serves as an open ocean benchmark and its research goals are aligned with the main objectives of the JGOFS and the World Ocean Circulation Experiment

- 150 research goals are aligned with the main objectives of the JGOFS and the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE). One of the principals of the HOT program is to observe seasonal and interannual variations in water mass characteristics and BGC variables. The monthly measurements since 1988 are representative of the oligotrophic North Pacific eastern subtropical gyre with Station ALOHA lying in the center of the North Pacific and North Equatorial Current. Typically, the site is characterized by a relatively deep permanent pycnocline (and 155
- 155 nutricline), and a shallow mixed-layer depth. Intermittent local wind forcing caused by extratropical cyclones' cold fronts impacts the annual cycle of the surface waters (Karl et al., 1996).

2.1.2. CArbon Retention In A Colored Ocean (CARIACO)

- The station of the CARIACO Oceanographic Time-Series Program (Muller-Karger et al., 2019) is located in the Cariaco Basin, a semi-enclosed tectonic depression located on the continental shelf off northern Venezuela in the southern Caribbean Sea. The Cariaco Basin is composed of two approximately 1400 m deep sub-basins that are connected to the Caribbean Sea by two shallow (140 m deep) channels. These channels allow for the open exchange of near-surface water. The restricted circulation below the 140 m sills, coupled with highly productive surface waters due to seasonal wind-driven coastal upwelling (around 450 g C m⁻² y⁻¹; Muller-Karger et al., 2010),
- 165 has led to sustained anoxia below around 250 m. The goal of the near-monthly measurements at CARIACO between 1995 and 2017 was to observe linkages between oceanographic processes and the production, remineralization, and sinking flux of particulate matter in the Cariaco Basin, and how these change over time. It also aimed at understanding climatic changes in the region.

170 **2.1.3. Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (CVOO)**

CVOO is located in the eastern tropical North Atlantic about 800 km from the west coast of Africa, which is influenced by the seasonal eastern boundary upwelling system, high Saharan dust deposition rates, and frequently passing eddies (Schütte et al., 2016). It is part of the Cape Verde Observatory, which also includes an operational atmospheric monitoring site. The combined observations aim at investigating long-term changes of greenhouse

175 gas concentrations in the atmosphere and in the ocean in a key region for air-sea interaction. The irregular measurements of BGC variables at CVOO started in 2006 and are still ongoing, and the project strives for more regular measurements in the future by having a dedicated vessel available. The station has a bottom depth of 3600 m and lies in the center of the Cape Verde Fontal Zone, resulting in large variations of the present oligotrophic water masses. The frontal zone separates most of the eastern tropical North Atlantic from the anticyclonic

180 subtropical gyre system in the North Atlantic (Stramma et al., 2005). This further results in an ocean shadow zone and an oxygen-poor layer between 400 m to 500 m (Stramma et al., 2008), which is being sampled at CVOO. Below the mixed layer, subtropical underwater from the subtropical gyre system, as well as North Atlantic Central Water and South Atlantic Central Water can be present (Tomczak 1981; Pastor et al., 2008).

185 **2.1.4. DYFAMED**

DYFAMED (DYnamique des Flux Atmosphériques en MEDiterranée et leur évolution dans la colonne d'eau; i.e. "DYnamics of Atmospheric Fluxes in the Mediterranean Sea") is located in the central part of the Ligurian Sea, about 50 km off Nice, on the Nice Corsica transect, and is representative of open sea western Mediterranean basin waters. Ongoing multidisciplinary monthly measurements at DYFAMED have been performed since 1991

- 190 observing: i) the evolution of the water mass properties, ii) the carbon export change, and iii) the variability of the biological species relative to climate forcing. The water column can be divided into three principal layers: deep, intermediate, and surface. The latter, typically for the Mediterranean trophic environment, experiences large seasonal variability. Further, the Northern Current front acts as a barrier to exchanges with the coastal zone of the Ligurian Sea and prevents DYFAMED from lateral inputs (Vescovali et al., 1998). Consequently, the primary
- 195 production depends on inputs of nutrients from deeper waters and atmospheric inputs of nitrogen and some trace metals, particularly during summer (Miquel, 2011). The DYFAMED site is characterized by intermediate water (300-400m) that is lower in oxygen concentrations (Levantine Intermediate Water) and deep water that is richer in oxygen, primarily induced by vertical mixing occurring in winter during intense and cold winds (convection processes; Coppola et al., 2018).
- 200

2.1.5. Gibraltar Fixed Time series (GIFT)

Seasonal measurements at GIFT were established in 2005 to quantify the exchange of carbon between the Mediterranean Sea and the adjacent Atlantic Ocean and assess the temporal evolution of BGC fluxes. The three GIFT time-series stations (Flecha et al., 2019) are located along the longitudinal axis of the Strait of Gibraltar,

- 205 which connects the two basins. The Strait is surrounded by the Gulf of Cadiz (west) and the Alboran Sea (east). Water circulation in the channel can be described as a bi-layer system characterized by an inward (eastward) flow of the North Atlantic Central Water in the upper layer and an outward (westward) flow of Mediterranean waters (predominantly formed by a mixture of the Levantine Intermediate Water and the Western Mediterranean Deep Water) at the bottom layer. The depth and thickness of each water mass vary along the Strait, due to topography
- 210 in the channel and the influence of physical mechanisms. In particular, the Espartel sill (358 m depth) and the Camarinal sill (285 m depth) lead to large variability in the proportion of water flows' position. Therefore, sampling depths vary from one campaign to another due to the instant position of the incoming and outcoming flows that are identified by their thermohaline properties through the CTD casts.

215 2.1.6. Irminger Sea station (IRM-TS) and Iceland Sea station (IC-TS)

In 1983, seasonal measurements at the IRM-TS and the IC-TS (Olafsson et al., 2010) were initiated to observe the seasonal variability of carbon-nutrient chemistry in the North Atlantic off the Iceland shelf. The stations are located in two hydrographically different regions north and southwest of Iceland (Takahashi et al., 1985; Peng et al., 1987). The station in the northern Irminger Sea (IRM-TS) is characterized by relatively warm and saline (S > 35) Modified

- 220 North Atlantic Water derived from the North Atlantic Drift. Winter mixing is induced by strong winds and loss of heat to the atmosphere. This location may also be described as representing the subpolar gyre (Hatún et al., 2005). The IC-TS is located in the central Iceland Sea north of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. At the IC-TS cold Arctic Intermediate Water, formed from Atlantic Water and low salinity Polar Water, usually predominates and overlays Arctic Deep Water (Olafsson et al., 2009). The Polar Water influence in the surface layers is variable (Stefansson, 225
- 1962; Hansen and Østerhus, 2000). Both regions are important sources of North Atlantic Deep Water.

2.1.7. K2 and KNOT

The K2 and KNOT stations (Wakita et al., 2017) are located approximately 400 km northeast of Hokkaido Island, Japan in the subarctic western North Pacific. Since 1999 and 1992, respectively, irregular field observations have been conducted at these stations to investigate the inorganic carbon system dynamics in response to variations in 230 hydrography and biological processes. The overarching goal is to investigate the response of the biological pump to climate forcing in the western subarctic Pacific gyre. The region is characterized by high primary productivity, abundant marine resources (FAO, 2016) and might be the first region of the ocean to become undersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate during winter (Orr et al., 2005). The sites are representative of the southwestern

subarctic gyre with both stations lying offshore of the Oyashio Current and just north of the subpolar front. 235

Seasonal cycles are present (e.g., Takahashi et al., 2006; Tsurushima et al., 2002; Wakita et al., 2013) with a highly productive biological pump from spring to fall and strong vertical mixing of deep waters that are rich in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in winter.

240 2.1.8. Munida

This deep-water station is located in the Southwest Pacific Ocean 65 km off the southeast coast of New Zealand and is part the Munida Time Series Transect, which is sampled every two months. Measurements at Munida were established in 1998 to study the role of these waters in the uptake of atmospheric carbon dioxide, and the seasonal, interannual, and long-term changes of the carbonate chemistry. The subantarctic waters are a sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide (Ourrie et al. 2011) and the seasonal surface of DIC are primerily driven by not computing

carbon dioxide (Currie et al., 2011), and the seasonal cycles of DIC are primarily driven by net community production (Brix et al, 2013; Jones et al., 2013) with modification by the annual cycle of sea surface temperature.

2.1.9. Ocean Weather Station Mike (OWSM)

OWSM is located in the Norwegian Sea at the western baroclinic branch of the northwards-flowing Norwegian Atlantic Current where the water depth is 2100 m (Skjelvan et al., 2008; 2022). Hydrographic measurements date back to 1948 while carbonate chemistry measurements started in 2001 to monitor long-term changes in the biogeochemistry. Between 2001 and 2009, the station was sampled monthly, and since 2010, the sampling frequency has been four to six times per year. The site encompasses the cold Norwegian Sea Deep Water and the Arctic Intermediate Water in addition to the relatively warm and saline Atlantic Water. Occasionally during late

summer, fresh Norwegian Coastal Current Water meanders all the way out to OWSM, influencing the surface water at the station. Seasonal variability is observed in the uppermost ~200 m, and long-term trends of carbonate variables are observed at all water depths. Over time, the surface water CO₂ content at OWSM has increased at a faster rate than atmospheric pCO_2 at this site (Skjelvan et al., 2022).

260 2.1.10. RADIALES A Coruña (RADCOR)

The RADIALES program started in 1989 aiming to obtain reliable baselines for long-term studies on climate change and ecosystem dynamics in times of increasing anthropogenic disturbances along the northern and northwestern Spanish coasts (Valdés et al., 2021). The program consists of monthly multidisciplinary perpendicular sections covering the Cantabrian Sea and northwest coastal and neritic Spanish ocean. The A Coruña (NW Galician coast) section (RADIALES A Coruña; RADCOR) started in 1990 (Bode et al., 2020) and CO₂

- 265 (NW Galician coast) section (RADIALES A Coruña; RADCOR) started in 1990 (Bode et al., 2020) and CO₂ variables have been incorporated since 2013 in two stations, E2CO and E4CO. RADCOR is located on the northern edge of the Iberian Upwelling Region. Here, the classical pattern of seasonal stratification of the water column in temperate regions is masked by upwelling events from May to September. These upwelling events provide nutrients to support both primary and secondary production in summer. Nevertheless, upwelling is highly variable
- 270 in intensity and frequency, demonstrating substantial interannual variability, mostly affecting the E2CO station (80 m), while the station closest to shore, E4CO (15 m), is more impacted by estuarine and benthic processes. The CO₂ chemistry and ancillary data were partially published in Guallart et al. (2022) to assess the reliability of directly measuring with a spectrophotometric method seawater ion carbonate in time-series.

275 **3. Methods**

The data flow of the SPOTS pilot depicting the main steps of the synthesis is schematically illustrated in Fig. 2. In the following, the individual components of this data flow are described in detail.



280

Figure 2: Schematic data flow of the SPOTS pilot

3.1. Data Collection

The data from the 12 participating time-series programs were retrieved from (multiple) data centers or directly obtained from the responsible principal investigator, or using a combination of both (Table S1). In the latter case, merging, formatting, additional quality-control (QC), and archiving of existing data were carried out. Only bottle data for BGC EOVs, that had been measured by at least two of the participating programs were included in the pilot project, along with accompanying ancillary pressure, salinity, and temperature data. We have also developed a metadata template for BGC EOV ship-based time-series data (Table S2). The template has subsequently been used to collect all relevant metadata information from each participating time-series program. The collected metadata includes general information about the program, such as information about the principal investigator and the location and timeframe of related station(s). It also includes detailed information on the measured variables - e.g., units; sampling and analytical methods and associated instrumentation; calculation, calibration, and quality control procedures; and standards or (certified) reference materials used. The latter not only vary among the time-series programs, but can also vary within a time-series program over time.

295 **3.2. Data Assembly**

The SPOTS pilot was created by standardizing data format, units, header names, primary QC flags, times, locations, and filling values and subsequently merging the individual datasets of each time-series program into one file. Only data that received a WOCE quality flag 2 (Table S3) were included in the product. Existing data were altered as little as possible without interpolation or calculation of "missing" variables. Similarly, original station-,

- 300 cast- and bottle numbers were kept or created artificially if non-existent to ensure consistency. The headers, units, and flags of the individual time-series datasets were standardized (Table S4) to conform with the WOCE exchange bottle data format (Swift and Diggs, 2008), a comma-delimited ASCII format for bottle data from hydrographic cruises. To enable an automated mapping to other existing vocabularies, we also mapped the WOCE headers to the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) British Oceanographic Data Centre P01 vocabulary collection as well as to the newly proposed BCC hottle standard by ligne at al. (2022). We did not use the latter
- 305 collection, as well as to the newly proposed BGC bottle standard by Jiang et al. (2022). We did not use the latter

as "central" semantics due to restrictions of existing QC-tools (e.g., AtlantOS QC (Velo et al., 2022) and the crossover toolbox (Tanhua et al., 2010; Lauvset and Tanhua, 2015)) to WOCE semantics.

The standardization process also entailed unit conversions, most frequently from micromoles per liter (μ mol L⁻¹; nutrients and dissolved organic carbon (DOC)) or from micrograms per kilogram (μ g kg⁻¹; particulate matter) to

- 310 micromoles per kilogram of seawater (µmol kg⁻¹). The default procedure to convert from volumetric to gravimetric units was to use seawater density at in-situ salinity, reported laboratory temperature (otherwise assuming 20°C as laboratory conditions), and pressure of 1 atm (following recommendations from Jiang et al., 2022). For some time-series datasets, the combined concentration of nitrate and nitrite was reported (Table S4). If explicit nitrite concentrations were provided, these were subtracted to obtain the nitrate values. If not, the combined concentration
- 315 was renamed to nitrate assuming that the relative nitrite amount is negligible. For the HOT program specifically, low-level, high-sensitivity measurements of macronutrients (phosphate and nitrate) were available but not included in the pilot product. For total particulate carbon and total particulate nitrogen, the factors 1/12.01 and 1/14.01 (inverse standard atomic masses) were used, respectively, for the unit conversion to micromoles per kilogram. If neither temperature nor pressure was provided, all corresponding data entries were excluded from the product. The potential density anomaly¹ is the only calculated variable. Missing and excluded values were set to -999.
 - 3.3. Qualitative Assessment of Data

3.3.1. Internally Applied Quality-Control (QC)

- The majority of the programs have established their own routines for QC and correspondingly flag their data using different flagging schemes. We did not double-check the applied flags, nor did we run additional QC checks. The applied QC on the collected stations include statistical outlier checks on routinely measured pressure intervals using either a two- or three- (seasonal) sigma criteria, visual inspections of property-property plots (PPP), and application of crossovers using reference layers (Table S5). For example, K2 and KNOT used North Pacific Deep Water (NPDW), defined as the water mass between 27.69 σ_{θ} (around 2000 dbar) and 27.77 σ_{θ} (around 3500 dbar) (Wakita et al., 2017), as the reference layer for their internal crossover checks. For CVOO and Munida, we
- 330 performed QC by applying a seasonal two-sigma criterium to the data, and for CVOO, we made additional use of comparisons to CANYON-B (Bittig et al., 2018) and crossovers. Since the QC procedures differ from program to program, we have provided recommendations for the QC of future data, so that the flags are applied more consistently across different programs (Sect. 6.3). Further, the standardization of the SPOTS pilot also entailed mapping to a central flagging scheme. We chose the WOCE bottle flag scheme (Table S3). Flags indicating replicate measurements (WOCE flag of 6) were set to 2, whereas all other flags were set to 9 and the corresponding
 - values to -999.

3.3.2. Method Assessment

- Given the inconsistencies in the applied internal quality checks and the fact that bias corrections following crossovers analyses are presently impossible to apply to all included time-series datasets², the comparability of the data for the SPOTS pilot was qualitatively assessed. The information on the applied methods of each time-series program, as provided through the metadata collection, was evaluated against methods recommended by prevalent initiatives in the field, i.e., known standard operating procedures (SOPs). "SOP Flags" were assigned accordingly to each cruise of a time-series program (Table 2).
- 345

Table 2: Meaning of assigned SOP Flags.

Flag	Definition
0	No data
1	Methods meet all SOP requirements (including "desired")
2	Methods only meet SOP "required" requirements
3	Methods do not meet the SOP requirements (or no metadata given)

The majority of the defined "SOP requirements" used for the evaluation are based on the Bermuda Time-Series Workshop report (Lorenzoni and Benway, 2013), with additional implementation of: GO-SHIP manuals (Langdon

¹ Calculated using the Matlab seawater toolbox (Morgan, 1994)

² Crossover require a "constant" reference layer over the entire span of measurements. Especially in coastal and shallow water formation regions this layer is nonexistent. Detrending might make this criterion redundant. However, detrending techniques rely on regular measurement intervals, which is not the case for most ship-based time-series sites.

- 350 et al., 2010; Becker et al., 2019); the CARIACO Methods Manual (Astor et al., 2013); HOT analytical methods (<u>https://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/hot/protocols/protocols.html</u>), which are based on the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study protocols (IOC, 1994); the guide to BPs for ocean CO₂ measurements (Dickson et al., 2007); results from the Scientific Committee on Research Working Group 147 "Towards comparability of global oceanic nutrient data" (Bakker et al., 2016; Aoyama et al., 2010); and studies on preservation techniques for nutrients (e.g. Dore et
- al., 1996). The requirements were grouped into "Required" and "Desired" SOP, see Table 3. To fulfill all requirements, i.e. receive a SOP flag of 1, the metadata must show that the methods also met the corresponding "Desired" requirements. Only time-series programs that provided granular metadata, i.e. metadata differentiating between different methods applied in time, could obtain a SOP flag of 1.
- 360 Using the example of Total Alkalinity (TA), we briefly explain the assessment method and related recommendations more detailed in the following. For TA, two different methodologies meet our defined "required" recommendations; Potentiometric titration (Dickson et al., 2007), a procedure in which a sea water sample is placed in a cell (open or closed) where it is titrated with a solution of hydrochloric acid, and TA is computed from the titrant volume and e.m.f. measurements (non-linear least-squares approach or on a modified
- 365 Gran approach); and the more recently emerging method where a spectrophotometric system is used to estimate TA by measuring the difference of absorbance at three wavelengths before injecting an acid with indicator solution to the seawater sample and after its injection (Yao and Byrne, 1998). If the TA data from a particular cruise of a time-series station were not estimated by either one of these two methods (or no metadata exists), a SOP flag 3 is assigned. The additional "desired recommendations" related to potentiometric titrations are that the potentiometric
- 370 measurements were either executed using an open-cell titration (two stage titration: total dissolved inorganic carbon is approximately zero in the pH region of 3.0 to 3.5, following SOP3b from Dickson et al., 2007) or that the corresponding curve fitting method was documented (e.g., non-linear least-squares approach). Ideally, both these recommendations are met, but for the pilot, and considering historical data with little metadata, meeting one of these desired recommendations was sufficient. For spectrophotometric measurements, the "desired"
- 375 recommendation is that the indicator dye must be documented (e.g., bromocresol green). In addition, for both method types, the desired recommendations entail that measurements must have been calibrated using certified reference materials and if the samples were stored, the samples must have been poisoned and kept in a dark and cool location. If the TA data from a particular cruise of a time-series stations also meet these "desired" recommendations a SOP flag 1 is assigned, otherwise a SOP flag 2 is given.
- 380

Variable		Required	Desired					
Salinity		AutoSal	(Sub-) standard used regularly					
			Temperature constant					
			Glass bottles					
Dissolved Oxyg	gen	Winkler	Draw temperature used for mass calculation if					
			difference to in situ temperature $> 2.5^{\circ}C$					
			Titration reagent assessed using CSK/OSIL primary					
			standard					
Nutrients	All except	Autoanalyser;	Carrier Solution documented					
silicate		If stored: Frozen upright	Calibrated against Reference Material					
Silicate		Autoanalyser;	Carrier Solution documented					
		If stored and concentrations						
		are above 40 μ mol L ⁻¹ :	Calibrated against Pafarance Material					
		Poisoned and kept cold	Cultorated against reference material					
Dissolved Inorg	ganic Carbon	Coulometry	Calibrated against Certified Reference Material					
			(Andrew Dickson, SIO)					
			If stored: Poisoned, kept in dark and cool location					
		Potentiometric (closed-cell);	Not applicable					
		Calibrated against Certified						
		Reference Material (Andrew						
		Dickson, SIO);						

Table 3: Recommendations by prevalent initiatives in the field requirements used for the method evaluation.

		If stored: Poisoned and kept in						
		a cold, dark location ₃						
Total Alkalinity		Potentiometric Titration	Open Cell or curve fitting method documented					
		(multi-step)	Calibrated against Certified Reference Material					
			(Andrew Dickson, SIO)					
			If stored: Poisoned, kept in dark and cool location					
		Spectrophotometric	Indicator dye documented					
			Calibrated against Certified Reference Material					
			(Andrew Dickson, SIO)					
			If stored: Poisoned, kept in dark and cool location					
рН		Spectrophotometric with scale	Indicator dye: m-cresol purple					
		and temperature reported						
			Indicator dye: Purified					
			If dye is not purified: Correction applied to impurities					
Dontial processing	of CO.	Gas abromatography	Temperature and standard reported					
r ai tiai pressure	01 CO2	Gas-chromatography	remperature and standard reported					
			If stored: Poisoned, kept in dark and cool location					
		Infrared-based system	Temperature and standard reported					
		initiated bused system						
			If stored: Poisoned, kept in dark and cool location					
Particulate	Carbon	High temperature combustion	Dried filters (60°C)					
Matter	and	with reported filter volume						
	Nitrogen	and pore size						
Phosphorus			Standards reported					
		Ash hydrolysis with reported	Dried filters (60°C)					
		filter volume and pore size						
			Standards reported					
Dissolved Organic Carbon		High temperature combustion	Filtered					
			If stored: Frozen or acidified to and refrigerated					
			Calibrated against Reference Material (Dennis					
			Hansell, University of Miami)					

3.4. Quantitative Assessment of Data

In addition to the qualitative method assessment (Sect. 3.3), the bottle data of the time-series are described by our quantitative descriptors: 1) precision, 2) accuracy, 3) variability on the most consistent depth layer, and 4) consistency with GLODAP (Lauvset et al., 2022).

3.4.1. Precision and Accuracy

- 390 Precision and accuracy estimates, as provided by each time-series program's primary quality-assurance procedure, were assigned to the bottle data. The temporal resolution of these estimates varies from estimates given for each cruise, i.e. on a cruise-to-cruise basis, to estimates given for longer time periods (covering multiple cruises) without recorded changes in applied methodology (Table S6), depending on the individual time-series' internal procedure. If only one estimate was given for a variable for the entire time-series, that estimate was only assigned to the most recently applied method. The units correspond to the units of the respective variable.
- Precision estimates are based on replicate samples and expressed as one standard deviation of the replicate measurements⁴. For the inorganic carbon variables, the assigned accuracy estimates represent the deviation from certified reference materials from the A. Dickson Laboratory (Scripps Institution of Oceanography). The pH accuracies of RADCOR are an exception, representing the difference from the theoretical TRIS buffer value at
- 400 25°C. For oxygen concentrations, the assigned accuracy estimates represent the accuracy of the KIO₃ primary standard normality assessed using a certified reference standard from either Ocean Scientific International Ltd (OSIL) or Wako Pure Chemical Industries (WAKO). For nutrient concentrations, the assigned accuracy estimates

³ Capped at a SOP flag 2.

⁴ Exception: IRM- and IC TS using $V_{dub}*C_{mean}$ (following OSPAR, 2011), where V_{dub} is coefficient of variation calculated from dublicates and C_{mean} is the mean of the concentration measured.

405

410

represent the deviation from reference material from either OSIL, WAKO, or QUASIMEME (Wells et al., 1997) or from certified reference material from Kanso Technos Co., Ltd. (KANSO). For total particulate phosphorus concentrations, the assigned accuracy estimates represent deviations from National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) apple leaves (0.159% P by weight). For DOC, the accuracy estimates represent deviations from deep seawater reference material from D. Hansell (RSMAS, University of Miami). The exact calculations to express the above deviations from reference materials differ slightly across the time-series programs (Table S7), thereby preventing combined precision and accuracy estimates to calculate a total uncertainty in a consistent manner. The estimates should not be confused with values provided by instrument manufacturers, which are ideal

values and are usually well below real-world uncertainties.

3.4.2. Minimum Variability

To provide an internal consistency measure of measurement quality, we determined the minimum variability of each BGC variable for each time-series station on the pressure surface (+/- 100 dbar) with the least oxygen variability, i.e. the layer on which oxygen has the lowest coefficient of variation. We chose oxygen as natural variability in oxygen can be linked to either variation in ventilation, water mass changes, or changes in consumption and production by biological activity⁵ (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2006; Keeling et al., 2010; Stramma and Schmidtko, 2019). As these natural oxygen changes are likely to be accompanied by changes in other BGC

- 420 variables, we used the layer that is closest to an oxygen equilibrium as an approximation for the least natural variability in ocean BGC. In addition, this choice allowed us to use the salinity variability as an independent indicator of natural variability. For i) CARIACO, ii) GIFT, iii) Munida, and iv) RADCOR, this layer could not be determined properly, respectively, due to i) anoxic water masses below the mixed layer, ii) varying measurement depths, iii) no oxygen data and iv) a shallow bottom depth of 80 m. The minimum variabilities of the other variables
- 425 were subsequently determined by calculating the coefficient of variation of all samples on the identified pressure surface. A minimum of 10 samples on the pressure surface was required.

3.4.3. Comparisons to GLODAP

The final quantitative descriptor indicates how well the time-series data compares to the GLODAP dataset (GLODAPv2.2021, Lauvset et al., 2021) and vice-versa, with no a priori assumption of which is 'correct'. To this end, we applied an adapted version of the GLODAP crossover routine to all individual cruises of the time-series programs. Generally, the crossover routine calculates a depth-independent offset between a cruise and a reference dataset based on multiple crossing cruises, i.e. "crossover-pairs". The secondary quality control of GLODAP depends heavily on this routine to determine and correct for biases of new cruises, which results in the high internal

- 435 consistency of the core GLODAP variables. In the following, we first describe the crossover routine of GLODAP in detail and subsequently highlight the modifications applied to the routine so that it fits our pilot product needs. For a given variable the depth-independent offset of a new cruise against GLODAP is calculated using the following steps:
- 440 Step 1) Detect all GLODAP cruises that cross the to-be-compared cruise (denoted as Cruise A in the following), i.e. find all "crossover-pairs" of Cruise A in GLODAP. In the 2nd QC of GLODAP, a "crossover-pair" is defined by two cruises that have (at least) three stations within a 2° radius that include (at least) three samples below a minimum of 1500 dbar. These requirements ensure that the influence of natural signals on the calculated offsets is limited. That becomes especially important if the time period between Cruise A and a crossing GLODAP cruise 445 (denoted as Cruise B in the following) is large.

Step 2) Interpolate the samples of Cruise A and Cruise B to the same standard depths. Usually, the concentrations are compared on sigma-4 surfaces⁶. Samples above the chosen minimum depth are ignored to exclude layers that are influenced by daily to interannual variability.

450

Step 3) Compare all existing samples of Cruise A and B that are on the same depth surface and from stations within 2° . For each depth surface, the individual offsets are averaged to obtain depth-dependent mean offsets and standard deviations. For nutrients and oxygen, the offsets are multiplicative, and for the carbon variables and salinity, the offsets are additive.

⁵ Not represented in the variability of salinity

⁶ In regions with a high probability of internal waves, in upwelling and water formation regions the offsets are calculated on pressure surfaces.

Step 4) Calculate the constant offset of Cruise A against Cruise B by inverse variance weighting all depthdependent offsets. The resultant depth-independent offset is also known as the crossover-pair offset.

Step 5) Calculate the standard deviation of the crossover-pair offset by inverse variance weighting all depthdependent standard deviations. This crossover-pair standard deviation reflects the similarity of the offsets within one depth surface and across all depth surfaces. The lower it is, the higher the confidence in the crossover-pair offset.

Step 6) Repeat Steps 2) to 5) for all identified crossover-pairs.

465

Step 7) Calculate the total offset of Cruise A against GLODAP by inverse variance weighting all calculated crossover-pair offsets. The resultant standard deviation describes the overall uncertainty in the total offset.

- For our purposes, we applied an adapted version of the above-described crossover routine using GLODAP as the 470 "reference dataset" against which each time-series station is compared. The term "reference dataset" does not imply that the quality of GLODAP is higher than the quality of the time-series programs, only that it represents a dataset with known consistency in time and space. Each cruise of a time-series station, i.e. station visit, represents another Cruise A in the above-outlined crossover steps.
- For a given time-series station and variable, our adapted crossover routine starts with the identification of crossover-pairs for each station visit, similar to Step 1. However, since multiple time-series cruises only take one profile with fewer than three samples below 1500 dbar, we could not apply the same crossover-pair requirements. We kept the distance requirement of 2° and added a new temporal requirement, that only crossover cruises within +/- 45 days were included in the routine. That permitted relaxing the minimum depth requirement and dropping the requirement of the minimum number of profiles. Table 5 lists the corresponding layer depths (for the samples
- 480 being used in the crossover analysis) for each time-series site. Note that we excluded crossover-pairs of cruises that are included in both products (parts of: IC-TS, IRM-TS, and OWSM). Steps 2 to 6 of the routine are identical and repeated for all time-series station cruises. In the next step, all crossover pair offsets against the same GLODAP cruise, i.e. a particular Cruise B, are averaged. This step was necessary when multiple time-series cruises took place within 90 days and all were compared to the same Cruise B. Consequently, we obtained one depth-
- 485 independent offset (and standard deviation) of the time-series station against each GLODAP cruise that meets the crossing requirements. In a final calculation, we determine the total offset of the time-series station against GLODAP by inverse variance weighting all obtained time-series station offsets. If the standard deviation of the time-series station offset against a particular cruise B was below the consistency estimates of GLODAPv2 (see Table 11 in Olsen et al., 2016), the latter ones were used as standard deviations (for example only one crossover
- 490 pair exits between the entire time-series and a particular Cruise B). The routine was only applied to variables defined as core variables⁷ in GLODAP. Negative (or lower than unity) offsets indicate lower values compared to GLODAP and vice versa.

⁷ Salinity, oxygen, nitrate, phosphate, silicate, DIC, TA and pH

495 **4. Data Assessment Results**

4.1. Method Evaluation

The results of the method assessment indicate that the time-series programs have documented their methodology well and that the most recent methods generally follow recommendations by prevalent initiatives in the field (Fig. 3 and Table 3). The proportion of data allocated a SOP flag 1 is strongly dependent on the variable and program

- 500 assessed. The assigned flags partly reflect that over the 40 years multiple method changes occurred (Fig. 4). Method changes are even more pronounced in programs without a dedicated vessel (Table 1). However, not all changes are captured by the assigned SOP flags, e.g. instrument changes (Table S6). Note that the overall percentages in Fig. 3 are skewed towards ALOHA, as the number of ALOHA samples makes up around 60% of all samples of the product (Sect. 5).
- 505 Further, note that SOPs are constantly evolving and consequently this assessment must be seen as "dynamical". In some cases, programs explicitly choose to not follow the most recent recommendations in favor of method consistency. E.g., unpublished internal analyses and discussions in the HOT program about possible advantages and disadvantages of a purified dye for the pH measurements (recommended following the Bermuda Time-Series Workshop report) resulted in not changing their dye. These additional analyses demonstrate the difficulties in
- 510 determining SOPs, but the knowledge is often not shared with the wider community. Hence, regular time-series workshops that discuss currently applied methodologies, achieve community consensus, and result in method recommendations that are implemented accordingly in the here applied assessment, should take place regularly. In the following, the results will briefly be presented for each assessed variable.



515

520

Figure 3: Overview of assigned SOP flags. Percentages correspond to the number of samples in the combined dataset. Dark green colors indicate samples that have been measured according to all (incl. "desired") SOP requirements, i.e. a SOP flag 1 (Table 2). Light green colors indicate samples that have been measured meeting the "Required" SOP requirements only, i.e. a SOP flag 2. Orange colors indicate samples for which the methods do not meet the SOP requirements, i.e. a SOP flag 3. Variable synonyms correspond to the product header names (Table 1). Note that total particulate matter include the exclusively organic particulate matter measurements from CVOO.

4.1.1. Salinity

For salinity, 96% of the bottle samples meet all SOP criteria. DYFAMED, GIFT, Munida, and RADCOR only
 provided CTD salinity values and are not included in this statistic. The remaining 4% of bottle salinity samples with a SOP flag 3 are a few cruises from ALOHA and CVOO. Salinity samples of the first 26 cruises of ALOHA were measured using an AGE Minisal 2100 salinometer. Also, the first 23 cruises of ALOHA used plastic bottles (instead of glass bottles) to sample salinity, which made them more prone to evaporation. Note that the data were corrected for it. Further, measurements taken on CVOO's research vessel "Islandia" used a Micro-Salinometer 530 MS-310 (RBR Ltd., Canada) instead of the up to now required AutoSal (Guideline Instruments, Canada).

4.1.2. Oxygen

Even though the overall statistics show that 75% of all bottle oxygen samples were measured according to the required SOPs, 6 out of the 11 programs (Munida time-series program does not measure oxygen) did not regularly use certified reference KIO₃ (CSK, WAKO, OSIL) to assess the accuracy of the Winkler titration measurements. ALOHA, DYFAMED, GIFT, K2, KNOT, and RADCOR (as well as very few cruises from CVOO) used standard reference iodate. Further, note that during the first 10 HOT cruises, the in-situ temperature was used to calculate the mass, rather than the sample draw temperature, resulting in a slightly negative bias which is reflected in a SOP Flag 2 of the concerned oxygen samples. The Winkler end-point detection method was either visual (using starch

540 as a color indicator) or computer-controlled potentiometric detection, both of which are accommodated in the applied method assessment.

4.1.3. Nutrients

- In most cases, all nutrient variables were measured simultaneously using one water sample (and/or with replicates at a single depth sampled), and the applied methods were identical. This is represented in similar SOP flags of the nitrate, phosphate, and silicate samples. For these three variables, around 95% of the applied methods met either all SOP requirements or the "required" requirements. The most restricting SOP requirement is the comparison to reference materials, which, especially for older datasets, was not met. The remaining data with a SOP flag 3 corresponds to 2% of the silicate, 7% of the nitrate, and up to 8% of the phosphate samples. These flags are linked
- 550 to the preservation technique applied (poisoned instead of frozen for nitrate and phosphate) which particularly explains the lower fraction of silicate samples that do not fulfill the "required" criteria (DYFAMED, OWSM). Note that internal analyses at DYFAMED resulted in favoring poisoning nutrients for conservation over storing them frozen, and that DYFAMED reversed back to the former method in 2012, as reflected in the large percentage of SOP flags 3. However, such insights were not integrated into this assessment and underpin the need for regular
- 555 workshops discussing and updating SOP recommendations for ship-based time-series. In this context, we want to mention the recently started Euro GO-SHIP project (<u>https://eurogo-ship.eu/</u>), and in particular the related comparability assessment of different nutrient preservation protocols. Nitrite and ammonium samples show slightly different patterns because the number of measured samples deviates

Nitrite and ammonium samples show slightly different patterns because the number of measured samples deviates from the above-described nutrients, i.e. the influence of the ALOHA nutrient samples is smaller.

- 560 Differences in the type of autoanalyzer (rapid flow analyzer or continuous segmented flow), storage duration and temperature, defrost procedure, carrier solution ("in-house" artificial seawater that resembles the nutrient concentrations of the region, "in-house" low nutrient seawater or commercially available OSIL standard), reference material (WAKO, OSIL, KANSO) and sample filtering were not considered in the evaluation. Such differences can also occur in time within a time-series program, as shown for nitrate in Fig. 4. Note that the dependency of the CVOO time-series on research vessels of opportunity results in multiple small methodological
- changes e.g., instrument, sample volume, and whether the sample is analyzed at sea or stored frozen.





is identical to Fig. 3. Note that DYFAMED changed back to poisoning the samples for conservation based on internal analyses of conservation methods.

4.1.4. Dissolved Inorganic Carbon

- 575 For DIC, 88% of the samples were measured according to all method recommendations. DYFAMED is the only time-series program that measures DIC potentiometrically in a closed-cell. Even though DYFAMED made use of Dickson's CRMs since 1999, closed-cell potentiometric measurements of DIC alone have an offset (1-2% lower) (Bradshaw et al., 1981 and Millero et al., 1993), resulting in a SOP flag 2. The remaining samples that do not meet the desired SOP requirements are pre-1991 samples from ALOHA, IRM-TS, and IC-TS, for which certified reference material was unavailable, also resulting in a SOP flag 2.
- Differences in sample storage duration and coulometer calibration methods (gas loop calibration or sodium carbonate solutions) were not considered in the evaluation. Very few samples for DIC are taken on the RADCOR cruises.

585 4.1.5. Total Alkalinity

TA is one of the few variables measured by all participating time-series programs. 87% of the samples met all SOP requirements; 10% the "required" requirements only; and 3% did not meet the required recommendations. The latter correspond to cruises for which metadata on TA are not present (ALOHA cruises 1-22) and to cruises where TA was measured using a single-point titration (only few cruises at DYFAMED, K2 and KNOT) (Fig. 5).

590 The SOP flags of 2 are either linked to i) missing information on the indicator, cell type, and/or curve fitting method used, or ii) non-application of certified reference materials. Differences in storage duration, cell type, end-point, and curve fitting method (least-square or modified Gran functions) were not considered in the evaluation.



595 **Figure 5:** Assigned SOP flags per station exemplarily shown for TA. Flags have been assigned on a cruise-per-cruise basis, i.e. per station visit. The color scheme used, is identical to Fig. 3.

4.1.6. pH

600

Even though most programs which analyze pH follow the methodology of Clayton and Byrne (1993), pH has the lowest number of programs with methods meeting all the SOP requirements. CARIACO's protocol is the only one which meets all pH SOP requirements, as reflected in the overall percentage of samples with a SOP flag of 1 being only 39%. ALOHA, GIFT, and RADCOR reported pH on the total scale at 25°C and 0 dbar and analyzed pH using unpurified m-cresol purple. But none of these programs corrected for the impurities of the dye (54% of the samples), thereby not meeting the SOP flag 1 criteria. A few cruises of DYFAMED, K2 and KNOT measured pH, but pH was measured potentiometrically (less stable and accurate, Lorenzoni and Benway, 2013).

but pH was measured potentiometrically (less stable and accurate, Lorenzoni and Benway, 2013). Differences in the storage duration, and more importantly whether an additional correction for pK* of the indicator dye m-cresol purple was applied (suggested by DelValls and Dickson, 1998), were not part of the SOP flag evaluation. The latter correction has been applied by GIFT and CARIACO.

610 4.1.7. Partial Pressure of CO₂

The only two time-series programs that measure partial pressure of CO_2 (pCO_2) are the IRM-TS and IC-TS, both being measured by the same personnel using identical protocols. The presently applied protocol meets all SOP requirements. Before mid-1993, the samples (3% of the total) were not poisoned for storage, but instead equilibrated gas was isolated and sealed in a 300 mL glass flask. Further temporal changes of the methodology are explained in Olafsson et al. (2010).

4.1.8. Particulate Matter

615

635

640

Particulate matter concentrations are only measured at ALOHA, CARIACO, and CVOO withCVOO being the only station that fumes the dried particulate matter filters with concentrated hydrochloric acid, thereby removing the inorganic carbon components. I.e., CVOO is the only station that measures particulate organic matter only. Note, however, that at CARIACO inorganic components of carbon (nitrogen) particulate matter are assumed to contribute insignificantly to the overall total concentrations. ALOHA and CARIACO meet all SOP requirements for total particulate carbon and nitrogen, whereas CVOO (<1% of all samples) is missing information on standards used. ALOHA's total particulate phosphorus measurements (75% of all samples) also meet all SOP requirements,

- but CARIACO's metadata do not include details on the filter used for these measurements. CVOO also lacks detailed metadata for particulate organic phosphorus. Differences in storage duration, and more importantly, filter sizes and types, heating temperature and duration, and leaching time were not part of the evaluation. According to ALOHA's protocols, differences in the latter resulted in large variations of the measured total particulate phosphorus content. ALOHA total particulate
- 630 phosphorus samples pre-2012 are biased low.

4.1.9. Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)

ALOHA, CARIACO, GIFT, and K2 have measured DOC, and the samples of CARIACO, GIFT and K2 have been filtered. Thus, 33% of the DOC samples have a SOP flag 1, and all samples from ALOHA (67%) received a SOP flag 2.

4.2. Minimum Variability

The layers with the lowest oxygen variability (0.7% - 3.4%) are all located below 1000 dbar and represent the bottom layer in the cases of ALOHA, DYFAMED, and the IRM-TS (Table 4). For CVOO, IC-TS, K2, and OWSM, the determined layers are "near-bottom" to intermediate layers, probably reflecting that oxygen concentrations at the bottom are more prone to boundary layer effects in these regions. At KNOT, we can link this layer to the continual influx of NPDW.

Salinity shows the lowest variability for all time-series stations ranging from 0.003% - 0.086%. The higher values indicate that natural variability likely had a strong influence on the calculated numbers. Silicate is generally the nutrient with the highest variability within and across the time-series programs, with the IRM-TS experiencing the

- 645 highest variability (6.7%). Such a high coefficient of variation cannot solely be linked to large uncertainties in the measurements (silicate accuracies (V_{crm}) at the IRM-TS are around 3.5%). Hence, natural variabilities of the nutrients are very high in this region in the determined layer, which also corresponds with the upper end of the salinity (and temperature) variability. Nonetheless, silicate, having the highest of all nutrient variabilities, fits well to the assigned accuracy values and also to previous findings of rather high uncertainties in silicate concentrations
- 650 (e.g., inter-laboratory studies described in Bakker et al., 2016) and experiences from the GLODAP quality control (Olsen et al., 2016). The coefficients of variation of DIC and TA are below 0.5% for all time-series stations with a maximum of 0.4% (around 9 μmol kg⁻¹) at DYFAMED and a minimum of 0.1% (around 2 μmol kg⁻¹) at ALOHA, K2, KNOT, and CVOO. The latter are within the provided accuracy estimates and indicate very constant DIC and TA data quality. Minimum pH variability could only be calculated for ALOHA (0.04%), which is in the range of
- the provided pH precision values at ALOHA. DOC variabilities could be calculated for ALOHA and K2. For the former, it is 8.5% and thus around twice as large as given accuracy and precision values. For the latter, it is 1.7% and fits very well with the provided precision values. For the IRM-TS and IC-TS pCO_2 data, the determined coefficients of variation are two to three times as large as the stated precision (Olafsson et al., 2010), which again can be linked to the rather high natural variability of all variables at these stations. No minimum consistencies could be calculated for particulate matter.
- 660 could be calculated for particulate matter. The obtained minimum variabilities can in some cases (e.g., ALOHA) be cautiously interpreted as an interconsistency determination of the measurement quality. In these cases, low variability indicates a consistent level of data quality throughout the measurement period. A high variability then likely indicates a variable level of data

665

.....

quality. Here, the determined layers can also be used to detect suspicious samples. However, some sites are characterized by large natural variability on all depth surfaces (on several timescales), likely accompanied and recognizable by high salinity and temperature variability. For these stations (IRM-TS, IC-TS, DYFAMED), the high variability estimates should not be confused with a high variability in measurement quality.

Table 4: Minimum variability expressed as the coefficient of variation (%) except for temperature Here, the standard deviations is given instead (in Celsius degree) as mean temperatures being very close to zero and/or negative resulted in misleading coefficients of variation. The corresponding layer depth of the layer with the least oxygen variability (+/- 100 dbar) on which the variabilities have been calculated, is shown, too. The variable abbreviations are the same as in Table 1. The Hash denotes that CTD salinity values have been used for the calculation. NA denotes Not Available.

	Layer	Τ	S	O ₂	NO ₃	PO ₄	SiOH ₄	DIC	TA	pН	pCO ₂	DOC
ALOHA	4400 dbar	0.005	0.005	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.04	NA	8.5
CARIACO	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CVOO	3000 dbar	0.026	0.008	0.7	1.6	2.8	2.1	0.2	0.1	NA	NA	NA
DYFAMED	2400 dbar	0.032	0.033#	1.8	3.2	4.3	5.1	0.4	0.3	NA	NA	NA
GIFT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IcelandSea	1200 dbar	0.066	0.017	1.4	3.4	4.9	5.1	0.2	0.3	NA	2	NA
IrmingerSea	1000 dbar	0.350	0.086	3.4	4.2	5.3	6.7	0.3	0.4	NA	3	NA
K2	5000 dbar	0.004	0.003	0.6	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.1	0.1	NA	NA	1.7
KNOT	3800 dbar	0.006	0.011	0.7	0.4	0.6	1.0	0.1	0.1	NA	NA	NA
Munida	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OWSM	1200 dbar	0.047	0.009#	0.7	2.5	4.2	6.5	0.2	0.3	NA	NA	NA
RADCOR	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

4.3. Comparison to GLODAP

The relaxation of the crossover analysis (Sect. 3.4.3) enabled the determination of offsets between GLODAP and time-series stations of ALOHA, CVOO, IC-TS, IRM-TS, KNOT, K2, and OWSM (Table 5). Generally, the analysis indicates a very good fit between the SPOTS pilot and GLODAP at these sites. Significant offsets suggest the potential for bias in either the SPOTS pilot or GLODAP, but further analysis of both products is required to assess the source of bias. In the following, the results are presented for each time-series program individually.

685

680

Table 5: Mean offsets (rounded) of the SPOTS pilot against GLODAP core variables. The first number in parentheses shows the number of cruises from the time-series program compared to GLODAP. The second number in the parentheses shows the total number of cruises from GLODAP to which the time-series cruises are compared. The variable abbreviations are the same as in Table 1. The Asterisk denotes whenever the crossover analyses have been performed on pressure surfaces. The Hash denotes that CTD values have been used for the calculation. NPDW stands for North Pacific Deep Water. NA denotes Not Available.

	S	O_2	NO ₃	PO ₄	SiOH ₄	DIC	TA	pН	Layer
ALOHA	0.0019 (3;1)	0% (3;1)	NA	-2% (3;1)	-1% (3;1)	NA	NA	NA	2000 dbar – bottom
CARIACO	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	500 dbar – bottom
CVOO	0.0003 (6;9)	0% (8;9)	0% (6;9)	1% (6;9)	1% (4;5)	0 μmol kg ⁻¹ (2;4)	1 μmol kg ⁻¹ (2;4)	NA	1500 dbar – bottom
DYFAMED	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
GIFT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IcelandSea*	-0.0006 (5;4)	1% (4;3)	-2% (4;3)	-6% (4;3)	-4% (4;3)	-2 μmol kg ⁻¹ (2;2)	NA	NA	1000 dbar – bottom
IrmingerSea*	0.0068 (5;6)	-3% (1;3)	-4% (1;2)	-1% (1;2)	6% (1;1)	NA	NA	NA	500 dbar – bottom
KNOT	0.0002 (28;41)	0% (28;37)	0% (29;39)	0% (29;39)	-1% (27;35)	-2 μmol kg ⁻¹ (28;35)	-5 μmol kg ⁻¹ (30;37)	-0.005 (1;1)	NPDW
K2	0.0004 (15;17)	0% (16;18)	0% (15;17)	0% (16;18)	-1% (15;17)	0 μmol kg ⁻¹ (16;18)	-3 μmol kg ⁻¹ (14;16)	-0.005 (1;1)	NPDW
Munida	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OWSM*	0.0023 [#] (2;4)	0% (1;1)	-3% (1;1)	-3% (1;1)	-1% (1;1)	6 μmol kg ⁻¹ (2;4)	NA	NA	1000 dbar – bottom
RADCOR	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

690

4.3.1. ALOHA

For ALOHA all calculated crossover offsets fall within the provided GLODAP consistencies (Lauvset et al., 2021), indicating a good fit between the two products. There are no crossover cruises for nitrate and carbon variables. Further, only three ALOHA cruises (HOT174 - HOT176) are compared against only one GLODAP cruise 695 (49NZ20051031), as these are the only crossover pairs that meet the crossover criteria. Note that 49NZ20051031 has passed the full 2nd QC of GLODAP and that the individual crossover pairs offsets are similar. Nonetheless, the small amount of underlying data strongly reduces the confidence in the results.

4.3.2. CVOO

700 Crossover offsets could be calculated for all GLODAP core variables which were measured at CVOO. All analyzed variables fall clearly within the provided GLODAP consistencies, indicating a good fit between the two products at CVOO. The results are robust, given the number of CVOO cruises compared to GLODAP. Further, there is very good agreement between the individual crossovers, i.e. low standard deviations of the individual offset between one cruise and GLODAP, and consistency among all CVOO cruise offsets with no large outliers. Data from a few

705 cruises are present in both products.

4.3.3. Iceland Sea

The crossover offsets of the IC-TS of salinity, oxygen, nitrate, and DIC against GLODAP are within the consistency limits of GLODAP, i.e. no significant offset is remarkable between the two products. For nitrate, the

- 710 variability between the individual offsets is large, which reduces confidence in the analysis. For phosphate, the SPOTS pilot has 6% lower concentrations than GLODAP based upon four cruises from the IC-TS (B17-94, B9-96, B12-96, and B5-2002) and three GLODAP cruises (58JH19941028, 58JH19961030 and 316N20020530), which all passed GLODAP's 2nd QC. This large offset mainly originates from the 2002 cruise, while cruises from 1996 indicate a good fit. The same cruises show a -4% offset for silicate, and the underlying data show a similar
- 715 pattern. However, the relatively large minimum variability of salinity (Sect. 4.2) demonstrates that the Iceland Sea is a dynamically active region with deep open ocean convection and complex seasonally varying currents; this high natural variability reduces confidence in the crossover analysis for the Iceland Sea region.

4.3.4. Irminger Sea

- 720 All crossover offsets of the IRM-TS against GLODAP are above GLODAP's consistency limits except for phosphate. However, given i) that the minimum depth had to be set to only 500 m in a deep water formation area and ii) the relatively large minimum variability of salinity (Sect. 4.2), the larger offsets were expected and are likely attributable to the inherent natural variability of this region. Further, the relatively small number of crossovers does not allow for a more in-depth investigation of the offsets.
- 725

4.3.5. KNOT

Crossover offsets could be calculated for all GLODAP core variables. The calculations were performed on the NPDW, which has a residence time of about 500 years (Stuiver et al., 1983). Following the definition from Wakita et al. (2010), we used 27.69 σ (around 2000 dbar) and 27.77 σ (around 3500 dbar) as limits. All of the so-calculated

730 offsets of KNOT against GLODAP are clearly within the consistency limits except for TA (-5 μmol kg⁻¹). Confidence in the analysis is provided through a large number of crossover cruises and consistency of calculated offsets. Data from a few cruises are present in both products.

4.3.6. K2

735 Crossover offsets could be calculated for all GLODAP core variables. The calculations were again performed on the NPDW using the identical limits as those of KNOT. All of the so-calculated offsets of K2 against GLODAP are clearly within the consistency limits. Confidence in the analysis is provided through a large number of crossover cruises and consistency of calculated offsets, as exemplarily shown for nitrate (Fig. 6). Data from a few cruises are present in both products.



Figure 6: Total weighted offset of the SPOTS pilot nitrate data against GLODAPv2.2021 at station K2 in the North Pacific Deep Water (NPDW) layer. The total weighted offset is multiplicative and illustrated by the red line (here equal to 1.00, i.e. no offset). The dashed red lines are the corresponding standard deviation. The black dots display the weighted offsets of individual K2 cruises against GLODAP cruises with the corresponding error bars displaying their standard deviation. If the calculated standard deviation of the individual cruises is lower than GLODAP's nitrate consistency limit (2%) it is set to the latter. The summary figure indicates a very good fit between the SPOTS pilot product and GLODAP at the K2 station for nitrate with a total weighted offset of 0.0%.

750 **4.3.7. OWSM**

Crossover offsets at OWSM indicate slight mismatches between the nitrate, phosphate, and DIC concentrations of the SPOTS pilot vs. GLODAP. The total weighted mean offsets are -3%, -3%, and 6 µmol kg⁻¹, respectively. The former two offsets are only based upon a comparison between the OWSM cruise from 20020415 (no CRUISE ID present) and 316N20020530. Three more recent OWSM cruises from 2019 are additionally checked against 58JH20190515. Both GLODAP cruises passed GLODAP's 2nd OC. However, the DIC offsets are very dependent

755 58JH20190515. Both GLODAP cruises passed GLODAP's 2nd QC. However, the DIC offsets are very dependent on the crossover pair and the final offset should be treated with caution. The small number of crossovers does not allow for a more in-depth investigation of the relatively small offsets.

5. Product File Description

The product file variable names are described in Table S8. Each fixed-location time-series station is identified by the entry under "TimeSeriesSite", and individual cruises are identified by "CRUISE". Station, cast, and bottle numbers are linked to the original cruise campaign numbering (if provided). In some cases, station number duplicates within the same time-series program exist as the data originates from different research vessels of opportunity (Table 1). Nitrate values can contain nitrite concentrations (Table S4). Since all pH values were reported on the total scale at 25°C, no additional pH temperature entry is provided. Conversely, for *p*CO₂ corresponding temperature measurements are given. In addition to the WOCE flags, each bottle variable is further

- 765 corresponding temperature measurements are given. In addition to the wOCE hags, each bottle variable is further accompanied by the assigned SOP Flag (Sect. 4.1) and by the provided precision and accuracy estimates (Sect. 3.4.1). The last column lists the digital object identifier (DOI) of the original dataset. All missing entries are indicated by -999.
- A total of 108,332 water samples are included in the product. Bottle salinity with 75,654 measurements is the variable with the most abundant data (Table 6). The number of bottle salinity samples is about twice the number of bottle oxygen and nutrient (excluding ammonium and nitrite) samples and almost five times the number of included DIC and TA samples. pH and nitrite have around 10,000 samples and the product includes between 4,900 and 7,600 samples of particulate matter, DOC, and ammonium. With 1,898 samples from the IRM-TS and the IC-TS, *p*CO₂ is the variable with the fewest measurements. Silicate, nitrate, and TA are the only variables measured
- 775 at all sites. Around 56% of all bottle data values originate from ALOHA (Table 6) and 14% from CARIACO. The remaining 25% are distributed rather equally across the different programs. ALOHA's large percentage can be explained by measurements at ALOHA i) having taken place consistently on a monthly basis for ≥30 years; ii) including up to 30 hydrocasts per station visit; and iii) including all but two of the product's bottle variables. The dominance of ALOHA's measurements is most pronounced for salinity, total particulate phosphate, and DOC
- (around 70% 80% of the samples are measured at ALOHA). For oxygen and nutrients, ALOHA's samples represent around 52% of all samples, and for the inorganic carbon variables (DIC, TA, and pH) between 32% 42%.
- Table 6: Summary statistics showing the total number of samples per variable included in the SPOTS pilot of each time series site. Percentages in brackets show fractions in comparison to the total number per variable except for the last column.
 Percentages are rounded; thus, the sum is not always equal to exactly 100%. Variable abbreviations are identical to Table 1.
 The star denotes that CVOO measures particulate organic matter only even though here counted towards total particulate matter measurements for better overview.

	S	O ₂	NO ₃	NO ₂	PO ₄	SiOH ₄	NH4	DIC	TA	рН	pCO ₂	TPC	TPN	ТРР	DOC	Total
ALOHA	63334 (84%)	21937 (57%)	18130 (52%)	750 (6%)	17648 (53%)	17656 (52%)	0	5911 (35%)	5780 (32%)	4124 (42%)	0	3659 (48%)	3637 (49%)	3675 (75%)	4778 (67%)	171019 (56%)
CARIACO	4026 (5%)	3528 (9%)	3705 (11%)	3768 (32%)	3724 (11%)	3691 (11%)	3680 (69%)	0	3687 (21%)	3760 (39%)	0	3870 (51%)	3804 (51%)	1221 (25%)	975 (14%)	43439 (14%)
CVOO	345 (<1%)	534 (1%)	451 (1%)	507 (4%)	451 (1%)	411 (1%)	73 (1%)	346 (2%)	304 (2%)	0	0	39* (1%)	39* (1%)	24* (<1%)	0	3524 (1%)
DYFAMED	0	2328 (6%)	1525 (4%)	1670 (14%)	1611 (5%)	1482 (4%)	0	1086 (6%)	1114 (6%)	56 (1%)	0	0	0	0	0	10872 (4%)
GIFT	0	480 (1%)	479 (1%)	0	0	477 (1%)	0	0	470 (3%)	463 (5%)	0	0	0	0	199 (3%)	2568 (1%)
IcelandSea	2214 (3%)	2111 (5%)	2070 (6%)	0	2087 (6%)	2101 (6%)	0	1824 (11%)	280 (2%)	0	1101 (58%)	0	0	0	0	13788 (4%)
IrmingerSea	1901 (3%)	1792 (5%)	1774 (5%)	0	1767 (5%)	1784 (5%)	0	1477 (9%)	209 (1%)	0	797 (42%)	0	0	0	0	11501 (4%)
K2	1921 (3%)	1904 (5%)	1996 (6%)	1997 (17%)	1994 (6%)	1983 (6%)	1188 (22%)	1897 (11%)	1805 (10%)	509 (5%)	0	0	0	0	1129 (16%)	18323 (6%)
KNOT	1864 (2%)	1997 (5%)	1859 (5%)	1893 (16%)	1851 (6%)	1862 (5%)	376 (7%)	1821 (11%)	1802 (10%)	174 (2%)	0	0	0	0	0	15445 (5%)
Munida	0	0	285 (1%)	0	285 (1%)	280 (1%)	0	220 (1%)	298 (2%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1368 (<1%)
OWSM	49 (<1%)	905 (2%)	1004 (3%)	0	911 (3%)	1004 (3%)	0	2053 (12%)	1320 (7%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	7246 (2%)
RADCOR	0	1215 (3%)	1270 (4%)	1279 (11%)	1268 (4%)	1284 (4%)	0	190 (1%)	739 (4%)	678 (7%)	0	0	0	0	0	7923 (3%)
Total	75654	38731	34548	11810	33597	34015	5317	16825	17808	9764	1898	7568	7480	4920	7081	307016

790 **6. Stakeholders**

The main stakeholder groups of SPOTS are the data providers on the upstream-end, i.e. the individual time-series programs (Sect. 2), and users of time-series data on the downstream-end. Regarding the latter, the SPOTS pilot is intended to be applied in different ocean BGC fields: evaluations of ocean BGC, neural networks such as CANYON-B (Bittig et al., 2018), CANYON-MED (Fourrier et al. 2020), or ESPER (Carter et al., 2021), regional

- 795 ocean BGC models, (e.g., models participating in RECCAP such as Ishii et al., 2015), 1D model applications (e.g., Mamnun et al., 2022 using REcoM2), global ocean BGC models participating in model intercomparison projects (e.g., Coupled Model Intercomparison Project - Orr et al., 2016); evaluations of autonomous BGC observing networks such as BGC Argo (Bittig et al., 2019); global scientific assessments such as the Global Carbon Budget (Friedlingstein et al., 2022); or multi time-series studies and analyses (e.g., Bates et al., 2014; O'Brien et al., 2017).
- 800 These time-series can also contribute ocean carbonate chemistry data to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, especially target 14.3 to minimize and address the impacts of ocean acidification.

6.1. Benefits

The main goal of SPOTS is that both stakeholder groups benefit from the product. Through a use-case, the benefits for the users are demonstrated in Sect. 6.2.

- 805 Upstream, data providers benefit from the product through 1) increased impact of individual ship-based time-series programs, 2) increased visibility and discoverability, particularly of smaller and less well-known time-series programs. Here, two "pull factors" contribute: i) the linking of all time-series data with those of larger time-series programs and ii) being exposed to global data systems through the Ocean Data and Information System (ODIS), coordinated by IOC-UNESCO (https://book.oceaninfohub.org) (Sect. 7.2). The larger sites also benefit from the
- 810 latter, but the impact of larger time-series programs is in particular increased through enhanced usability of their data. Here, the proverb "the whole is greater than the sum of its parts" perfectly describes the benefits of SPOTS. The envisioned (non-exhaustive) list of users underscores the idea that consistent and inter-comparable data from multiple time-series programs (i.e. the "whole") leads to an extended range of applications relative to those of a single time-series program. The data being automatically uploaded to ERDDAP (Environmental Research)
- 815 Division's Data Access Program), which increases the accessibility, interoperability, and machine-readability (Sect. 7.2), also becomes important in broadening users and applications of data from these time-series programs. Further, participating time-series programs benefit from optional data management support for formatting, QC, and data archival. This support aims at reducing the data management workload of individual programs and being directly ascribed to the FAIR data practices. Regarding guidelines and SOPs, the participating time-series
- 820 programs also benefit from the product fostering collaborations across several programs, which is especially relevant for emerging time-series programs.

The product contributes to the development of a sustained, globally distributed network of time-series observatories that sample a core set of biogeochemical and ecological variables guided by common SOPs (methodological, FAIR data, etc.). These are required attributes of a GOOS observing network, and achieving this

status would ultimately help position ship-based time-series programs for expansion under the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science umbrella. In addition, the product links individual time-series efforts to larger policy directives such as the Marine Strategy Directive Framework in Europe with respect to e.g., ocean monitoring indicators.

6.2. Use-Case

- As an example to demonstrate both the utility and potential misuses of the SPOTS pilot, we applied the recently developed Trends of Ocean Acidification Time Series software (TOATS, <u>https://github.com/NOAA-PMEL/TOATS</u>) to the mixed layer TA data included in the product (Fig. 7). The TOATS software is a supplement to the recently published best practices for assessing trends of ocean acidification time-series and provides a python based Jupyter Notebook to compare trends across different (BGC) time-series data sets (Sutton et al., 2022). It was
- 835 developed based on several published trend analysis techniques to standardize estimating and reporting trends from ocean carbon time-series data sets. Following a strict methodological analysis⁸, TOATS estimates i) the linear trend, ii) its uncertainties, and iii) the trend detection time of the assessed time-series data. The latter indicates the

⁸ 1. assess data gaps in the time-series; 2. remove periodic signals (i.e. normally occurring variations due to predictable cycles) from the time-series; 3. assess a linear fit to the data with the periodic signal(s) removed; 4. estimate whether a statistically significant trend can be detected from the time-series; 5. consider uncertainty in the measurements and reported trends; and 6. present trend analysis results in the context of natural variability and uncertainty.

minimum observational period needed to statistically distinguish between natural variability (noise) and anthropogenic forcing. This method requires time-series with sub-seasonal sampling frequency to constrain seasonal variability of surface ocean carbonate chemistry; however, for the purpose of this example, we assessed all time-series programs rather than restricting the assessment to time-series datasets with regular monthly measurements. The only non-trivial calculation step we applied before running TOATS was to calculate the surface mixed layer depth for each cruise (defined using a 0.3 potential density anomaly criteria following de Boyer Montégut et al. (2004)) and to average TA concentrations within the estimated mixed layers. The results of our

- 845 use-case (Fig. 7) show trends in alkalinity for all time-series (seven of them with significant trends). The ease of use in applying TOATS to multiple time-series simultaneously demonstrates the main benefits and potential misuse of the SPOTS pilot. Concerning the benefits, the combination of the SPOTS pilot and TOATS enables any user to perform joint time-series studies that follow published SOPs without requiring any in-depth digital knowledge. The need to, a priori, know about existing time-series program data and to subsequently mine,
- 850 format, and QC the data, is either mitigated or removed for all time-series datasets included in SPOTS. The required input format of TOATS is also readily available by accessing the time-series product data through ERDDAP (Sect. 7.2). Further, the linked open data structure of the data product allows detailed information on methods and their changes over time to be readily exposed through systems such as ODIS, and delivered to users through portals such as IODE's Ocean InfoHub (https://oceaninfohub.org/). This will enable a sophisticated
- 855 information-driven data selection of (subsets of) time-series data to analyze the effects of method changes on detected trends without having to study multiple cruise reports. A similar advantage is provided through the possibility of selecting subsets of data based on the assigned SOP flags (Sect. 4.1). Lastly, the estimates of precision and accuracy included in the SPOTS pilot (Sect. 3.4) additionally enable confident uncertainty estimations of the trend analyses (uncertainties of the observations being a mandatory input in TOATS).
- 860 Regarding the potential misuse of the SPOTS pilot, caution must be applied in interpreting the results, particularly because the use-case analysis includes values accompanied by SOP flags 2 and 3. Simply assuming that the determined trends (Fig. 7) are valid and interpreting differences across time-series programs could lead to false conclusions. Robust trend analysis also requires the user to acknowledge the impact of large data gaps in time-series that inhibit the ability to constrain seasonal variability in many of the included datasets (e.g. CVOO), and
- 865 make it impossible to remove periodic signals with confidence (second step of TOATS trend analysis). Following TOATS guidelines, we recommend applying TOATS to surface ocean biogeochemical data with at least regular seasonal measurements or to restrict the trend analysis to specific seasons. Increasing the number of samples using additional interpolation and computational techniques could relax this restriction (e.g., multivariate linear regression; Vance et al., 2022), but computations accompanied by large uncertainties might also harm the
- 870 robustness of the trend analyses. Note that in the case of interpolating concentrations of single variables vertically, we recommend using a quasi-Hermetian piecewise polynomial (Key et al., 2010). And if techniques to increase the data coverage involve using CO2SYS (van Heuven et al., 2011), we recommend explicitly stating the used carbonate dissociation constants, the bisulfate dissociation constant, and the borate-to-salinity ratio. Another large pitfall is neglecting the provided metadata and assuming that restricting the analyses to time-series
- 875 data with a SOP flag 1 erases all artifacts in the trend analyses. Such a restriction would increase the robustness of the analysis, but unaccounted differences within the SOP flag 1 (Sect. 4.1) would still bias the results. For example, ALOHA total particulate phosphorus samples analyzed before 2012 are biased low but still fulfill all assessed SOP requirements (Sect. 4.1). Similarly, some standardizations of the product resulted in the neglect of valuable timeseries details (e.g., information on ventilation events provided through the unique QC flags of CARIACO (Sect.
- 880 3.2)). We included all information in the additional metadata, made it easily accessible, and encourage users consult it, particularly to check for any correlations of the trend analyses to method changes (Table S6) and/or specific time-series events.
- Even though this example highlights a multiple time-series study use-case, it depicts the benefits and especially the potential misuses for other applications of the SPOTS pilot. If the limitations of the product (e.g., data gaps and varying baselines) are acknowledged, quality descriptors are utilized, and the data are used in conjunction with the supporting metadata, multiple applications can benefit from this time-series product.



Figure 7: Trend analysis of TA in the mixed layer using TOATS. Data symbols show the original time-series observations (blue circles), the time series of monthly means (black circles), the de-seasoned monthly means (red squares), and the trend of the de-seasoned monthly means (red line) (From Sutton et al., 2022). The monthly anomalies (red squares) that are used for the trend analyses are shown as de-seasoned monthly means. The grey boxes include the yearly trend, adjusted R² and the minimum trend detection time (TDT). An Asterisk next to the yearly trend number indicates that the result is significant (two-sided t-test p-value < 0.05). Note that x- and y-axis are not in synch among the different time series subplots.

6.3. Recommended Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Ship-Based Time-Series Programs

The process of generating the SPOTS pilot resulted in the formulation and recommendation of SOPs regarding metadata documentation, internal QC, and uncertainty estimation. These are directed at the data providers, i.e. those who help run the ship-based time-series programs. The proposed SOPs are briefly presented here, the full guidelines can be accessed at <u>https://www2.whoi.edu/site/mets-rcn/</u>.

900

905

910

915

- 1. **Metadata documentation**: The first SOP is the recommended metadata template (Table S2), which provides a structure for time-series programs to uniformly document the applied methodologies, thereby ensuring that relevant information, including differences between individual cruises, is recorded. It should be filled out for each cruise individually. The metadata enables detailed method comparisons of shipbased BGC EOV data such as the method assessment of the participating sites of the data product. We recommend that the metadata template be updated as the community re-determines, expands, and specifies the SOPs for BGC EOV ship-based time-series data.
- 2. **Consistent QC routine:** The second SOP recommendation involves the use of a consistent routine to QC time-series data. The main goal is that scientists follow consistent criteria to flag single samples. Different characteristics of time-series programs e.g., location (depth and seasonal influence), funding opportunities (duration and frequency of visits), and scientific goals (variables measured) preclude a "one-size-fits-all" QC method. Thus, a decision tree approach guides the user in choosing the appropriate type of QC for their dataset. All suggested semi-automated checks make particular use of comparisons with historical time-series data. To evaluate the flagging results, the SOP is accompanied by a comparison to the well-established HOT QC results.
- 3. **Calculating uncertainty:** The third SOP has been developed by the Oslo and Paris Conventions Commission (OSPAR), Hazardous Substances & Eutrophication Committee (OSPAR, 2011) and was originally intended for assessments of contaminants in biota and sediment done in OSPAR areas. It can also be applied to BGC EOV ship-based data. It provides detailed recommendations for a consistent estimation of one total measure of uncertainty, including exact formulas that combine the information obtained through duplicate measurements (precision) and comparisons to reference material (accuracy).

7. Data Access and Availability

7.1. METS-RCN Website

All information regarding the SPOTS pilot and the collaborative NSF EarthCube funded Marine Ecological Time 925 Series Research Coordination Network (METS-RCN) can be accessed at <u>https://www2.whoi.edu/site/mets-rcn/</u>. The SPOTS web page (<u>https://www2.whoi.edu/site/mets-rcn/ts-data-product/</u>) includes detailed information on the participating time-series programs, including:

- contact person(s)
- time-series website URL
- relevant data repositories
- cruise reports and papers
- detailed metadata on the BGC EOVs measured
- recommended SOPs (Sect. 6.3) and in-depth information on the assigned SOP flags
- links to <u>AtlantOS QC</u> software and crossover toolbox used

935

930

The website also provides several options for users to download the SPOTS pilot (DOI: 10.26008/1912/bco-dmo.896862.2), including:

- Comma-separated value (CVS) format (directly from the website)
- Link to the BCO-DMO repository (<u>https://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/896862</u>, Lange et al., 2023)
- 940 GOOS-relevant ERDDAP server (<u>https://data.pmel.noaa.gov/generic/erddap/tabledap/spots_bgc_ts.html</u>)

7.2. Environmental Research Division's Data Access Program (ERDDAP)

- Providing the data through ERDDAP enables FAIR-compliant data access services and gives users significantly enhanced capabilities rather than just downloading the dataset directly from the website. Optional constraints within the ERDDAP dataset enable downloading subsets of the dataset. The constraint options include amongst others variable-, station- and time selections. ERDDAP also enables downloading the dataset in several formats, such as tab-separated or netCDF. The latter format also entails additional metadata attributes, including alternative variable names (NERC P01 or following the recommendations from Jiang et al. (2022)). On the ERDDAP server,
- 950 users find a link "Make a graph" (<u>https://data.pmel.noaa.gov/generic/erddap/tabledap/spots_bgc_ts.graph</u>), which enables plotting the data using the web-based ERDDAP tool. In addition to giving the users more degrees of freedom, hosting the dataset on the ERDDAP server has two important benefits. First, the dataset is machinereadable, enabling an automated transfer to other repositories and higher-level infrastructures (e.g., SeaDataNet, Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service). Second, ERDDAP data managers are working to provide
- 955 the capability to "push" any metadata stored in an ERDDAP system to ODIS (Section 7.3) which will significantly improve global metadata discoverability and interoperability.

7.3. Interfacing with the Ocean Data and Information System (ODIS)

As noted above, we took particular measures to ensure that the (meta)data composing and associated with the 960 SPOTS data product were aligned with an impactful and globally relevant implementation of the FAIR Principles. Thus, in collaboration with ODIS and Ocean InfoHub, we co-developed two JSON-LD templates to publish timeseries program metadata, using schema.org semantics, as documented in the ODIS Architecture (https://book.oceaninfohub.org/; inspired by Science on Schema, Shepherd et al., 2022). The first template (leveraging the schema.org Type *EventSeries*) is designed to capture the general information about the time-series 965 programs themselves (e.g., location, time, principal investigators, funding, and related datasets). One or more *Event* types are then listed in the subevent property associated with this Type to provide more detail on, e.g., an individual cruise's location, time, personnel, and vessel. Each subevent also includes details on what methods were used during each cruise and provides links to cruise reports. The second template (based on the schema.org Type Dataset) captures (meta)data about the BGC discrete bottle datasets associated with each subevent. Among other 970 metadata, information on which variables are present in each dataset - marked up with permanent identifiers to semantic qualifiers where possible – are included to support automated discovery and reuse. Sharing and using linked open data approaches to represent each participating site, we have considerably enhanced the availability

of ecological time series data in global, federated data systems while advancing ODIS' ability to represent time series activities in general. Presently, these JSON-LD files are hosted on the METS-RCN GitHub repository
 (https://github.com/earthcube/METS-RCN). Eventually, the individual time-series program's data centers can host (and update) these files and assign unique identifiers. The constituent links in these files will remain the same,

preserving their validity even if/when moved to other repositories. The metadata of the SPOTS pilot itself (a schema:*Dataset*) are also exposed to the ODIS federation, clearly linking all related metadata to the data synthesis product, and facilitating its discovery and (re)use by a broad range of stakeholders, in compliance with the UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development's Data and Information Strategy (UNESCO-IOC, 2023).

980

7.4. Fair Data Usage Agreement

While the SPOTS pilot is made available without any restrictions (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0.), users of the data should adhere to fair data use principles: For investigations that rely on data from a particular timeseries program, principal investigators should be contacted to explore opportunities for collaboration and coauthorship and if there are any uncertainties regarding methodological details or interpretation of datasets. The original dataset DOI and any articles where the data are described should be cited. Contacting principal investigators comes with the additional benefit of expert insight into the specific site under investigation. This paper should be cited in any scientific publications that result from the usage of the SPOTS pilot.

990 Conclusion 8.

The SPOTS (Synthesis Product for Ocean Time-Series) pilot synthesized data from 12 ship-based ocean timeseries programs, each representative of a unique marine environment. Time-series data and metadata were compiled and assessed to provide an internally consistent data product. As a pilot study, for feasibility, the focus of this initial ship-based time-series data product was biogeochemical essential ocean variable data (BGC EOV),

- 995 which served as a use-case for the METS RCN (EarthCube Research Coordination Network for Marine Ecological Time Series) and provided a template for a sustained living data product for ocean time-series. Through an external qualitative assessment of the applied methodologies, flags were assigned that reflect the degree to which methods follow recommendation by prevalent initiatives in the field, which determines the
- comparability of the data. The most recently applied methods typically met the required recommendations, but 1000 measurements of oxygen and pH still show room for improvement. Though the methods are adequately documented by many time-series programs, several others need to document their methods more thoroughly. The assessment also revealed the need to determine the level of granularity of both required documentation and required method recommendations for fully comparable data. The importance of inter-laboratory comparison studies (e.g., WEPAL-QUASIMEME) must be highlighted in this context. In addition to the included precision
- 1005 and accuracy estimates, quantitative assessments yielded additional indicators that describe the consistency withinand across the time-series programs. For time-series stations dominated by water masses that contribute negligible natural variability, the calculated minimum variabilities demonstrate a high continuity in measurement quality. Reasonable fits between the Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP) and the majority of the time-series programs further increase the confidence in the data quality.
- 1010 By making BGC EOV datasets from multiple sources consistent and ready to use, the SPOTS pilot facilitates an improved understanding of the variability and trends of ocean biogeochemistry. It represents an important and necessary step forward in broadening our view of a changing ocean and maximizing the return on our continued investment in ship-based ocean time-series programs. It also enhances data readiness (Lindstrom et al., 2012) by implementing FAIR data practices for all included data. In particular, the implementation of ERDDAP
- 1015 (Environmental Research Division's Data Access Program, Section 7.2) and ODIS (Ocean Data and Information System, Sect. 7.3) enables easy data integration into e.g., OceanOPS and Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service. On a higher level, this effort facilitates the consolidation of the international ship-based timeseries network by collaborating closely with the participating time-series programs, developing, and recommending Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), and supporting the network to become more fit-forpurpose.
- 1020

9. Outlook

We envision the SPOTS pilot to be the basis for a sustained living data product of time-series data that supports the timely delivery of scientific information on ocean biogeochemistry trends and variability across the main bioeco domains of the world ocean. A product that complements SOCAT, GLODAP, and MEMENTO, together

- 1025 forming the primary source of EOV data for global marine BGC research and assessment. Three related near-term goals would be to i) regularly update the data of the already included sites to extend the data coverage in time; ii) extend the product by attracting further ship-based time-series programs measuring BGC EOVs, linking to the plus 340 sites identified by IGMETS and particularly closing the gap in the Indian and Southern Ocean to extend the data coverage in space; and iii) promote further development and adoption of SOPs and the proposed SOPs by
- 1030 the ship-based ocean time-series community. In the long term, the product could extend the pilot's scope beyond BGC EOV bottle data and include biological EOVs, routinely measured bottle BGC non EOVs (e.g. dissolved organic nitrogen), as well as measurements from moorings and data from sediment traps (e.g., sediment trap particle fluxes of POC). Work towards a "bio use-case", initiated by METS RCN, has already started, and leveraged from the knowledge and methods developed by this pilot effort. Including time-series data from
- 1035 moorings is far beyond current capabilities though. More generally, we hope that this effort contributes to increasing the recognition of the utility and value of ship-based BGC time-series data. A (ship-based) time-series BGC observing network that collaborates with the observing programs Surface Ocean CO₂ Reference Observing Network (SOCONET) and Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP) and that complies with the requirements of an ocean observing network, as articulated by the GOOS Observations
- 1040 Coordination Group, needs to be established accordingly. This network should govern the product using an integrated approach with the existing BGC data synthesis products SOCAT and GLODAP.

Author contributions

NL, TT, and BF led the team that produced the SPOTS pilot. ABC, AW, BF, DRR, EH, FMK, FP, IS, KC, LC,
MA, MH, MW, NL, SF, and SRO compiled and/or provided original datasets and metadata. FMK, DRR, KC, NL,
SL, and TT developed the BGC EOV metadata template. NL, TT, and BF developed the recommended QC guidelines for BGC EOV time-series programs. NL conducted additional QC analyses on a few original datasets, executed the assessments (method evaluation, minimum variability, GLODAP offset), merged the SPOTS pilot and applied the TOATS notebook to the data. PLB and NL generated machine-readable metadata (ODIS) for all time-series programs and the SPOTS pilot itself. PLB manages the inclusion of the data product and individual time-series programs into the ODIS catalog and ODIS user interface. KOB uploaded the data product to ERDDAP and implemented all related functionalities. DG archived the dataset at BCO-DMO and generated the corresponding metadata landing page. HB coordinates METS RCN and related activities (website, workshops). All authors contributed to the manuscript.

1055

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

- 1060 The SPOTS pilot would not have been possible without the effort of the many scientists who secured funding, dedicated time to collect data, and shared the data that are included. Principal investigators of the different stations are listed on the METS RCN website. Authors acknowledge funding from the United States National Science Foundation grant OCE-2140395 to the Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research (SCOR, United States) for International Ocean Carbon Coordination Project (IOCCP). The development of this pilot product was supported
- 1065 by the IOCCP by valuable input from its scientific steering group members as well as from the OCB Time-Series Panel. Further, we want to acknowledge Adrienne Sutton for leading the development of TOATS and contributing to Sect. 6.2.

Financial Support

- 1070 NL was funded by EU Horizon 2020 through the EuroSea Innovation Action (grant agreement 862626), and by iAtlantic (grant agreement 818123). BF was funded by the WASCAL MRP-CCMS project from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF; grant agreement no. 01LG1805A). The work of SRO was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no. 820989 (COMFORT). FMK and DRR from the CARIACO time-series project were supported financially by the 1075 National Science Foundation (OCE-1259043). The work of IS was supported by Norwegian Environment Agency
- under grant agreement nos. 14078029, 15078033, 16078007, 17018007, and 21087110. The work of K2 and KNOT was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (20H04349) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) KAKENHI. The DYFAMED ship-based time series is supported by the MOOSE program (Mediterranean Ocean Observing System for the Environment) coordinated
- by CNRS-INSU and the Research Infrastructure ILICO (CNRS-IFREMER). GIFT has been supported by the European projects CARBOOCEAN, CARBOCHANGE, SESAME, PERSEUS and COMFORT, the Spanish Ministry of Science through the grants CTM2005/01091-MAR and CTM2008-05680-C02-01 and the Junta de Andalucia through the TECADE project (PY20_00293). The work of HOT is supported by the NSF (OCE-0926766). The METS RCN is supported by NSF 2028291. The RADIALES program is a structural program 1085 funded by Centro Nacional Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO-CSIC).

References

- Astor, Y.M., Lorenzoni, L., and Scranton, M. (eds) 2013. Handbook of Methods for the Analysis of Oceanographic Parameters at the CARIACO Time-series Station: Cariaco Time Series Study. Caracas, Venezuela, Fundación La Salle de Ciencias Naturales, 178pp. (Serie Ciencia y Tecnología N° 12). DOI: 10.25607/OBP-1543
 - Aoyama, M., Dickson, A.G., Hydes, D.J., Murata, A., Oh, J.R., Roose, P., and Woodward, E.M.S. (eds.) 2010. Comparability of nutrients in the world's ocean. Tsukuba, Japan: Mother Tank, pp. 11-30
- Bakker, D.C.E., Pfeil, B., Landa, C. S., Metzl, N., O'Brien, K.M., Olsen, A., Smith, K., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Jones, S.D., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Schuster, U., Steinhoff, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., 1095 Wada, C., Wanninkhof, R., Alin, S.R., Balestrini, C.F., Barbero, L., Bates, N.R., Bianchi, A.A., Bonou, F., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Burger, E.F., Cai, W., Castle, R.D., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., Evans, W., Featherstone, C., Feely, R.A., Fransson, A., Goyet, C., Greenwood, N., Gregor, L., Hankin, S., Hardman-Mountford, N.J., Harlay, J., Hauck, J., Hoppema, M., Humphreys, M.P., Hunt, C.W., Huss, B., Ibánhez, J.S.P., Johannessen, T., Keeling, R., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Kozyr, A., Krasakopoulou, E., Kuwata, A., 1100 Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S.K., Lefèvre, N., Lo Monaco, C., Manke, A., Mathis, J.T., Merlivat, L., Millero, F. J., Monteiro, P.M.S., Munro, D.R., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar, A.M., Ono, T., Paterson, K., Pearce, D., Pierrot, D., Robbins, L.L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schweitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Sullivan, K.F., Sutherland, S.C., Sutton, A.J., Tadokoro, K., Telszewski, M., Tuma, M., Van Heuven, S.M.A.C., Vandemark, D., Ward, B., Watson, A.J., and Xu, S. 2016. A multi-decade 1105 record of high quality fCO2 data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO2 Atlas (SOCAT). Earth System
 - Bakker K., Aoyama M., Woodward, E.M.S., Ossebaar, S., and van Ooijen, J. 2016. Comparability of Oceanic Nutrient data: Report from an International Nutrient Workshop Focusing on Phosphate (PO4) Analysis. Poster CLIVAR Open Science Conference, Qingdao, China

Science Data 8: 383-413. DOI:10.5194/essd-8-383-2016

- Bakker, K., Ossebaar, S., and van Ooijen J. 2016. Comparability of Oceanic Nutrient Data: Using an Approved Silicate Standard and Good Lab Practice doesn't Automatically Imply Good Inter-Comparison Results. Poster CLIVAR Open Science Conference, Qingdao, China
- Barton, A.D., Irwin, A.J., Finkel, Z.V., and Stock, C.A. 2016. Anthropogenic climate change drives shift and shuffle in North Atlantic phytoplankton communities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 113, 2964–2969. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1519080113
 - Bates, N.R., Astor, Y.M., Church, M.J., Currie, K., Dore, J.E., González-Dávila, M., Lorenzoni, L., Muller-Karger, F., Olafsson, J., and Santana-Casiano, J.M. 2014. A time-series view of changing ocean chemistry due to ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO2 and ocean acidification. Oceanography 27(1):126–141. DOI: 10.5670/oceanog.2014.16
- Becker, S., Aoyama, M., Woodward, E.M.S., Bakker, K., Coverly. S., Mahaffey, C., and Tanhua, T. 2019. GO-SHIP Repeat Hydrography Nutrient Manual: The precise and accurate determination of dissolved inorganic nutrients in seawater, using Continuous Flow Analysis methods. In: GO-SHIP Repeat Hydrography Manual: A Collection of Expert Reports and Guidelines. Version 1.1, [56pp.]. DOI: 10.25607/OBP-555.
 Available online at: http://www.go-ship.org/HydroMan.html
 - Belward, A., Bourassa, M., Dowell, M., Briggs, S., Dolman, H.A.J., Holmlund, K., Husband, R., Quegan, S.,
 Simmons, A., Sloyan, B., Stammer, D., Steffen, K., Tanhua, T., Verstraete, M., Richter, C., Eggleston, S.,
 Hill, K., Tassone, C., Mora, B., and Saatchi, S. 2016. The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs.
- Benway, H.M., Lorenzoni, L., White, A.E., Fiedler, B., Levine, N.M., Nicholson, D.P., DeGrandpre, M.D., Sosik,
 H.M., Church, M.J., O'Brien, T.D., Leinen, M., Weller, R.A., Karl, D.M., Henson, S.A. and, Letelierm
 R.M. 2019. Ocean Time Series Observations of Changing Marine Ecosystems: An Era of Integration,
 Synthesis, and Societal Applications. Front. Mar. Science, 6:393. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00393
- Benway, H., Buck, J., Fujieki, L., Kinkade, D., Lorenzoni, L., Schildhauer, M., Shepherd, A., White, A. 2020.
 NSF EarthCube Workshop for Shipboard Ocean Time Series Data Meeting Report. 59pp. DOI 10.1575/1912/25480
 - Bittig, H.C., Steinhoff, T., Claustre, H., Fiedler, B., Williams, N. L., Sauzède, R., Körtzinger, A., and Gattuso, J. 2018. An alternative to static climatologies: Robust estimation of open ocean CO2 variables and nutrient concentrations from T, S, and O2 data using Bayesian Neural Networks. Front. Mar. Science, 5, 328. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00328

1120

1140

1110

- Bittig, H.C., Maurer, T.L., Plant, J.N., Wong, A. P., Schmechtig, C., Claustre, H., Trull, T.W., Udaya Bhaskar, T.V.S., Boss, E., and Dall'Olmo, G. 2019. A BGC-Argo guide: Planning, deployment, data handling and usage. Front. Mar. Science, 6, 502. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00502
- Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J.C., Doney, S.C., Dunne, J.P., Gehlen, M., Halloran, P., Heinze, C., Ilyina, T., Séférian, R., Tjiputra, J., and Vichi, M. 2013. Multiple stressors of ocean ecosystems in the 21st century: projections with CMIP5 models, Biogeosciences, 10, 6225–6245. DOI: 10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013
 - de Boyer Montégut, C., Madec, G., Fischer, A.S., Lazar, A., and Iudicone, D. 2004. Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology. J. Geophys. Res., 109, C12003. DOI: 10.1029/2004JC002378
- 1150 Bradshaw, A.L., Brewer, P.G., Shafer, D.K., and Williams, R.T. 1981. Measurements of total carbon dioxide and alkalinity by potentiometric titration in the GEOSECS program. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 55: 99–115.
 - Brix, H., Currie, K.I., and Fletcher, S.E.M. 2013. Seasonal variability of the carbon cycle in subantarctic surface water in the South West Pacific. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 27:1–12. DOI: 10.1002/gbc.20023
- Clayton, T.D., and Byrne, R. H. 1993. Spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements: total hydrogen ion concentration scale calibration of m-cresol purple and at-sea results. Dee-Sea Res., 40: 2315–2329
 - Cooley, S., Schoeman, D., Bopp, L., Boyd, P., Donner, S., Ghebrehiwet, D.Y., Ito, S.I., Kiessling, W., Martinetto, P., Ojea, E., Racault, M.F., Rost, B., and Skern-Mauritzen, M. 2022. Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 379–550. DOI: 10.1017/9781009325844.005
 - Coppola, L., Legendre, L., Lefevre, D., Prieur, L., Taillandier, V., and Diamond Riquier, E. 2018. Seasonal and inter-annual variations of dissolved oxygen in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (DYFAMED site). Progress in Oceanography, 162, 187-201. DOI:10.1016/j.PCean.2018.03.001
 - Currie, K.I., Reid, M.R., and Hunter, K.A. 2011. Interannual variability of carbon dioxide draw-down by subantarctic surface water near New Zealand. Biogeochemistry 104:23–34. DOI: 10.1007/s10533-009-9355-3
- DelValls, T.A., Dickson, A.G. 1998. The pH of buffers based on 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl1,3-propanediol ('tris') in synthetic sea water. Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 45 (9), 1541–1554
 - Dickson, A.G. 1990. Thermodynamics of the dissociation of boric acid in synthetic seawater from 273.15 to 318.15 K. Deep. Res. Part A 37, 755–766. DOI: 10.1016/0198-0149(90)90004-F
 - Dickson, A.G., Sabine, C.L., and Christian, J.R. (eds.) 2007. Guide to best practices for ocean CO2 measurements. PICES Special Publication 3, 191 pp.
- 1175 Dore, J.E., Houlihan, T., Hebel, D.V., Tien, G., Tupas, L., and Karl, D.M. 1996. Freezing as a method of sample preservation for the analysis of dissolved inorganic nutrients in seawater. Marine Chemistry, Volume 53, Issues 3–4, Pages 173-185, ISSN 0304-4203. DOI: 10.1016/0304-4203(96)00004-7
 - Edwards, K.F., Litchman, E., and Klausmeier, C.A. 2013. Functional traits explain phytoplankton community structure and seasonal dynamics in a marine ecosystem. Ecol. Lett. 16, 56–63. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12012
- 1180 FAO 2016. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture, Food and Agric. Organ. of the U. N., Rome. [Available at http://www.fao.org/3/a-i5555e.pdf.]
 - Flecha, S., Pérez, F.F., Murata, A., Makaoui, A., and Huertas, I.E. 2019. Decadal acidification in Atlantic and Mediterranean water masses exchanging at the strait of Gibraltar. Scientific Reports, 9(1), 15533. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-52084-x
- 1185 Fourrier, M., Coppola, L., Claustre, H., D'Ortenzio, F., Sauzède, R., and Gattuso, J.P. 2020. A Regional Neural Network Approach to Estimate Water-Column Nutrient Concentrations and Carbonate System Variables in the Mediterranean Sea: CANYON-MED. Front. Mar. Sci. 7:620. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00620
- Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M.W., O'Sullivan, M., Andrew, R.M., Bakker, D.C.E., Hauck, J., Le Quéré, C., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Anthoni, P., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., Bopp, L., Chau, T.T.T., Chevallier, F., Chini, L.P., Cronin, M., Currie, K. I., Decharme, B., Djeutchouang, L., Dou, X., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., Feng, L., Gasser, T., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Grassi, G., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö., Harris, I., Houghton, R.A., Hurtt, G.C., Iida, Y., Ilyina, T., Luijkx, I.T., Jain, A.K., Jones, S. D., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein

1145

1160

- Goldewijk, K., Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J.I., Körtzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N.,
 Lienert, S., Liu, J., Marland, G., McGuire, P.C., Melton, J.R., Munro, D.R., Nabel, J.E.M.S., Nakaoka, S.,
 Niwa, Y., Ono, T., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Rosan,
 T. M., Schwinger, J., Schwingshackl, C., Séférian, R., Sutton, A.J., Sweeney, C., Tanhua, T., Tans, P.P.,
 Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tubiello, F., Van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Wada, C., Wanninkhof, R., Watson,
 A.J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A.J., Yuan, W., Yue, C., Yue, X., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, J. 2022. Global Carbon
 Budget 2021. Earth System Science Data 14, 1917-2005. DOI: 10.5194/essd-14-1917-2022
 - GLOBEC 1997. Global Ocean Ecosystem Dynamics Science Plan. GLOBEC Report No. 9. GLOBEC International Project Office, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, UK. 83p
 - GOOS 2020. A Roadmap for the Implementation of the Global Ocean Observing System. 2030 Strategy. IOC, Paris. GOOS Report No. 249
- 1205 Elisa F. Guallart, Fajar, N. M., García-Ibáñez, M. I., Castaño-Carrera, M., Santiago-Doménech, R. Hassoun, A., Pérez, F.F., Easley, R. A., and Marta Álvarez, M. 2022. Spectrophotometric Measurement of Carbonate Ion in Seawater over a Decade: Dealing with Inconsistencies. Environmental Science & Technology 56 (12), 7381-7395. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.1c06083

Hansen, B. and Østerhus, S. 2000. North Atlantic-Nordic Seas exchanges. Prog. Oceanogr., 45, 109-208

1210 Hátún, H., Sandø, A.B., Drange, H., Hansen, B., and Valdimarsson, H. 2005. Influence of the Atlantic Subpolar Gyre on the thermohaline circulation. Science, 309, 1841–1844

Hawaii Ocean Time-series. *Analytical Methods*. Last Accesed on 19.04.2023. https://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/hot/protocols/protocols.html

- Henson, S.A., Beaulieu, C., and Lampitt, R. 2016. Observing climate change trends in ocean biogeochemistry:
 when and where. Glob. Change Biol. 22, 1561–1571. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13152
 - van Heuven, S., Pierrot, D., Rae, J.W.B., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D.W.R. 2011. MATLAB Program Developed for CO2 System Calculations. ORNL/CDIAC-105b. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S.Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. DOI: 10.3334/CDIAC/otg.CO2SYS_MATLAB_v1.1
- 1220 Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) 1994. Protocols for the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS) Core Measurements. Paris, France, UNESCO-IOC, 170pp. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission Manuals and Guides : 29. JGOFS Report; 19. DOI: 10.25607/OBP-1409
 - International Oceanographic Data and Information Exchange 2022. *The Ocean InfoHub Project*. Last Accessed on 19.04.2023. https://book.oceaninfohub.org/
- 1225 JGOFS 1990. U.S. Joint Global Ocean Flux Study Long Range Plan: The Role of Ocean Biogeochemical Cycles in Climate Change. U.S. JGOFS Planning Office, Woods Hole, MA. 216 pp
 - Jiang, L., Pierrot, D., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R.A., Tilbrook, B., Alin, S., Barbero, L., Byrne, R.H., Carterm B.R., Dicksonm A.G., Gattuso, J., Greeley, D., Hoppema, M., Humphreys, M.P., Karstensen, J., Lange, N., Lauvset, S.K., Lewis, E.R., Olsen, A., Pérez, F.F., Sabine, C., Sharp, J.D., Tanhua, T., Trull, T.W., Velo, A., Allegra, A.J., Barker, P., Burger, E., Cai, W., Chen, C., Cross, J., Garcia, H., Hernandez-Ayon, J.M.,
 - Hu, X., Kozyr, A., Langdon, C., Lee, K., Salisbury, J., Wang, Z.A. and Xue, L. 2022. Best Practice Data Standards for Discrete Chemical Oceanographic Observations. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:705638. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2021.705638

1230

1240

- Jones, K., Currie, K.I., McGraw, C.M., Hunter, K.A. 2013. The effect of coastal processes on phytoplankton biomass and primary production within the near-shore Subtropical Frontal Zone. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 124, 44-55. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2013.03.003
 - Karl, D.M., Christian, J.R., Dore, J.E., Hebel, D.V., Letelier, R.M., Tupas, L.M., and Winn, C.D. 1996. Seasonal and interannual variability in primary production and particle flux at Station ALOHA. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, Volume 43, Issues 2–3, Pages 539-568. ISSN 0967-0645. DOI: 10.1016/0967-0645(96)00002-1
 - Karl, D.K., and Church, M.J. 2019. Station ALOHA: A Gathering Place for Discovery, Education, and Scientific Collaboration. Limnol Oceanogr. Bull. 28:10-12. DOI: 10.1002/lob.10285
 - Keeling, R.F., Körtzinger, A., and Gruber, N. 2010. Ocean Deoxygenation in a Warming World. Annual Review of Marine Science, Vol. 2:199-229. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163855

- 1245 Key, R.M., Tanhua, T., Olsen, A., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Schirnick, C., van Heuven, S., Kozyr, A., Lin, X., Velo, A., Wallace, D.W.R., and Mintrop, L. 2010. The CARINA data synthesis project: introduction and overview. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 105–121. DOI: 10.5194/essd-2-105-2010
 - Kock, A., and Bange, H. W. 2015. Counting the ocean's greenhouse gas emissions. Eos Earth & Space Science News, 96, 10-13. DOI:10.1029/2015EO023665
- 1250 Lange, N., Fiedler, B., Tanhua, T., Körtzinger, A., Benway, H., White, A. E., Muller-Karger, F., Wakita, M., Skjelvan, I., Ólafsdóttir, S., Álvarez, M., Currie, K. I., Coppola, L., Huertas, E. I. (2023) Synthesis Product for Time-Series (SPOTS) - A ship-based biogeochemical pilot. Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO). (Version 1) Version Date 2023-06-05. http://lod.bcodmo.org/id/dataset/896862
- 1255 Lueker, T.J., Dickson, A.G., and Keeling, C.D. 2000. Ocean pCO2 calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K1 and K2: validation based on laboratory measurements of CO2 in gas and seawater in equilibrium. Mar. Chem., 70, 105-119. DOI: 10.1016/S0304-4203(00)00022-0
- Langdon, C. 2010. Determination of Dissolved Oxygen in Seaweater By Winkler Titration using Amperometric Technique. In, The GO-SHIP Repeat Hydrography Manual: A Collection of Expert Reports and Guidelines.
 Version 1, (eds Hood, E.M., C.L. Sabine, and B.M. Sloyan). 18pp.. (IOCCP Report Number 14; ICPO Publication Series Number 134). DOI: 10.25607/OBP-1350
- Lauvset, S.K., Lange, N., Tanhua, T., Bittig, H.C., Olsen, A., Kozyr, A., Álvarez, M., Becker, S., Brown, P.J., Carter, B.R., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Feely, R.A., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Jeansson, E., Jutterström, S., Jones, S.D., Karlsen, M. K., Lo Monaco, C., Michaelis, P., Murata, A., Pérez, F.F., Pfeil, B., Schirnick, C., Steinfeldt, R., Suzuki, T., Tilbrook, B., Velo, A., Wanninkhof, R., Woosley, R.J., and Key, R.M. 2021. An updated version of the global interior ocean biogeochemical data product, GLODAPv2.2021. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 5565–5589. DOI: 10.5194/essd-13-5565-2021
- Lauvset, S. K., Lange, N., Tanhua, T., Bittig, H. C., Olsen, A., Kozyr, A., Alin, S., Alvarez, M., Azetsu-Scott, K., Barbero, L., Becker, S., Brown, P. J., Carter, B. R., da Cunha, L. C., Feely, R. A., Hoppema, M., Humphreys, M. P., Ishii, M., Jeansson, E., Jiang, L.-Q., Jones, S. D., Lo Monaco, C., Murata, A., Müller, J. D., Perez, F. F., Pfeil, B., Schirnick, C., Steinfeldt, R., Suzuki, T., Tilbrook, B., Ulfsbo, A., Velo, A., Woosley, R. J., and Key, R. M. 2022. GLODAPv2.2022: the latest version of the global interior ocean biogeochemical data product. Earth System Science Data. 5543-5572. DOI: 10.5194/essd-14-5543-2022
- Lindstrom, E., Gunn, J., Fischer, A., McCurdy, A., Glover, L., Alverson, K., et al. 2012. A Framework for Ocean
 Observing. By the Task Team for an Integrated Framework for Sustained Ocean Observing. Paris: UNESCO. DOI: 10.5270/OceanObs09-FOO

1280

- Lorenzoni, L., and Benway, H.M. (eds). 2013. "Report of Global intercomparability in a changing ocean," in Proceedings of the international time series methods workshop, 2012, Ocean Carbon and Biogeochemistry (OCB) Program and International Ocean Carbon Coordination Project (IOCCP), (St. George's: Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences), 61
- Mamnun, N., Völker, C., Vrekoussis, M., and Nerger, L. 2022. Uncertainties in ocean biogeochemical simulations: Application of ensemble data assimilation to a one-dimensional model. Front. Mar. Sci. 9:984236. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2022.984236
- Millero, F.J., Zhang, J.Z., Lee, K., and Campbell, D.M. 1993. Titration alkalinity of seawater. Mar. Chem. 44: 1285 153–165.
 - Miquel, J.C., Martín, J., Gasser, B., Rodriguez-y-Baena, A., Toubal, T., Fowler, and S.W. 2011. Dynamics of particle flux and carbon export in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea: A two decade time-series study at the DYFAMED site. Progress In Oceanography, 73 91(4): 461-481. DOI :10.1016/j.PCean.2011.07.018
- Moltmann, T., Turton, J., Zhang, H., Nolan, G., Gouldman, C., Griesbauer, L., Willis, Z., Muñiz P.Á., Barrell, S.,
 Andersson, E., Gallage, C., Charpentier, E., Belbéoch, M., Poli, P., Rea, A., Burger, E., Legler, D.,
 Lumpkin, R., Meinig, C., and Zhang, Y. 2019. A Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS), Delivered
 Through Enhanced Collaboration Across Regions, Communities, and New Technologies. Frontiers in
 Marine Science. 6. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00291
- Morgan, P.P. 1994. SEAWATER: A Library of MATLAB Computational Routines for the Properties of Sea Water. CSIRO Marine Laboratories Report 222. 29pp
 - Muller-Karger, F.E., Astor, Y.M., Benitez-Nelson, C.R., Buck, K.N., Fanning, K.A., Lorenzoni, L., Montes, E., Rueda-Roa, D.T., Scranton, M.I., Tappa, E., Taylor, G.T., Thunell, R.C., Troccoli, L., and Varela,

R. 2019. The scientific legacy of the CARIACO Ocean time-series program. Annual Review of Marine Science, 11, 413–437. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095150

- 1300 Neuer, S., Benway, H., Bates, N., Carlson, C., Church, M., and DeGrandpre, M. 2017. Monitoring ocean change in the 21st Century. Eos 98. DOI: 10.1029/2017EO080045
 - Olafsson, J., Olafsdottir, S.R., Benoit-Cattin, A., Danielsen, M., Arnarson, T.S., and Takahashi, T. 2009. Rate of Iceland Sea acidification from time series measurements. Biogeosciences, 6, 2661–2668. DOI: 10.5194/bg-6-2661-2009
- 1305 Olafsson, J., Olafsdottir, S. R., Benoit-Cattin, A., and Takahashi, T. 2010. The Irminger Sea and the Iceland Sea time series measurements of sea water carbon and nutrient chemistry 1983–2008. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 99–104. DOI: 10.5194/essd-2-99-2010
- Olsen, A., Key, R.M., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S.K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Pérez, F.F. and Suzuki, T. 2016. The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2) an internally consistent data product for the world ocean. Earth System Science Data, 8(2), 297-323. DOI:10.5194/essd-8-297-2016
 - Orr, J.C., Fabry, V., Aumont, O. et al. 2005. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. Nature 437, 681–686. DOI: 10.1038/nature04095
- Orr, J.C., Najjar, R.G., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Bullister, J.L., Danabasoglu, G., Doney, S.C., Dunne, J.P., Dutay,
 J., Graven, H., Griffies, S. M., John, J.G., Joos, F., Levin, I., Lindsay, K., Matear, R.J., McKinley, G.A.,
 Mouchet, A., Oschlies, A., Romanou, A., Schlitzer, R., Tagliabue, A., Tanhua, T., and Yool, A. 2017.
 Biogeochemical protocols and diagnostics for the CMIP6 Ocean Model Intercomparison Project (OMIP).
 Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2169–2199. DOI: 10.5194/gmd-10-2169-2017
- O'Brien, T. D., Lorenzoni, L., Isensee, K., and Valdés, L. (eds). 2017. What are Marine Ecological Time Series telling us about the ocean? A status report. IOC-UNESCO, IOC Technical Series, No. 129: 297. Paris: IOC-UNESCO
 - OSPAR commission 2011. JAMP Guidelines for estimation of a measure for uncertainty in OSPAR monitoring. Agreement 2011-3. HASEC 11/12/1, Annex 5
 - Pastor, M., Pelegri, J., Hernandezguerra, A., Font, J., Salat, J., and Emelianov, M. 2008. Water and nutrient fluxes off Northwest Africa. Cont. Shelf Res., 28, 915–936. DOI: 10.1016/j.csr.2008.01.011
 - Peng, T., Takahashi, T., Broecker, W.S., and Olafsson, J. 1987. Seasonal variability of carbon dioxide, nutrients and oxygen in the northern North Atlantic surface water: Observations and a model. Tellus, 39B, 439–458. DOI: 10.3402/tellusb.v39i5.15361
- Reygondeau, G., Longhurst, A., Martinez, E., Beaugrand, G., Antoine, D., and Maury, O. 2013. Dynamic
 biogeochemical provinces in the global ocean. Global Biogeochem. Cy., 27, 1046–1058. DOI: 10.1002/gbc.20089
 - Sarmiento, J.L. and Gruber, N. 2006. OceanBiogeochemical Dynamics. Princeton University Press. xiii 503 pp. Princeton, Woodstock: Princeton University Press. Geological Magazine, 144(6), 1034-1034. DOI :10.1017/S0016756807003755
- 1335 Schütte, F., Brandt, P. and Karstensen, J. 2016. Occurrence and characteristics of mesoscale eddies in the tropical northeastern Atlantic Ocean. Ocean Sci., 12(3), 663–685. DOI:10.5194/os-12-663-2016
 - Shepherd, A., Jones, M.B., Richard, S., Jarboe, N., Vieglais, D., Fils, D., Duerr, R., Verhey, C., Minch, M., Mecum, B., Bentley, N. 2022. Science-on-Schema.org v1.3.1. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7872383
- Skjelvan, I., Falck, E., Rey, F., and Kringstad, S.B. 2008. Inorganic carbon time series at Ocean Weather Station
 M in the Norwegian Sea. Biogeosciences, 5, 549–560. DOI: 10.5194/bg-5-549-2008
 - Skjelvan, I., Lauvset, S.K., Johannessen, T., Gundersen, K., Skagseth, Ø. 2022. Decadal trends in Ocean Acidification from the Ocean Weather Station M in the Norwegian Sea. Journal of Marine Systems, Volume 234,2022,103775. ISSN 0924-7963. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2022.103775
 - Stefansson, U. 1962. North Icelandic Waters, Rit Fiskideildar, 3: 1-269

1325

- 1345 Stramma, L., Hüttl, S., and Schafstall, J. 2005. Water masses and currents in the upper tropical northeast Atlantic off northwest Africa. J. Geophys. Res., 110, C12006. DOI :10.1029/2005JC002939
 - Stramma, L., Johnson, G. C., Sprintall, J. and Mohrholz, V. 2008. Expanding Oxygen-Minimum Zones in the Tropical Oceans. Science, 320(5876), 655–658. DOI:10.1126/science.1153847

- Stramma, L., and Schmidtko, S. 2019. Global Evidence of Ocean Deoxygenation. Ocean Deoxygenation:
 Everyone's Problem: Causes, Impacts, Consequences and Solutions, edited by D. Laffoley and J. M. Baxter (Gland, Switzerland: IUCN, 2019), pp. 25–36
 - Stuiver, M., Quay, P.D., and Ostlund, H.G. 1983. Abyssal water carbon-14 distribution and the age of the world oceans. Science, 219, 849–851. DOI: 10.1126/science.219.4586.849
- Sutton, A.J., Roman, B., Brendan, C., Wiley, E., Newton, J., Simone, A., Bates, N.R., Wei-Jun, C., Currie, K.,
 Feely, R.A., Sabine, C., Tanhua, T., Tilbrook, B., and Wanninkhof, R. 2022. Advancing best practices for assessing trends of ocean acidification time series. 2022. JOURNAL=Frontiers in Marine Science.
 VOLUME=9. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2022.1045667
 - Takahashi, T., Olafsson, J., Broecker, W. S., Goddard, J., Chipman, D.W., and White, J. 1985. Seasonal variability of the carbon-nutrient chemistry in the ocean areas west and north of Iceland. Rit Fiskideildar, 9, 20–36
- 1360 Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S.C., Feely, R. A., and Wanninkhof, R. 2006. Decadal change of the surface water pCO2 in the North Pacific: A synthesis of 35 years of observations. J. Geophys. Res., 111, C07S05. DOI:10.1029/2005JC003074
 - Tanhua, T., van Heuven, S., Key, R.M., Velo, A., Olsen, A., and Schirnick, C. 2010. Quality control procedures and methods of the CARINA database. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 2: 205–240. DOI:10.5194/essd-2-35-2010
- 1365 Tanhua, T., Orr, J.C., Lorenzoni, L., and Hansson, L. 2015. Increasing ocean carbon and ocean acidification. WMO Bull. 64, 48–51

1370

1375

1380

- Tanhua, T., McCurdy, A., Fischer, A., Appeltans, W., Bax, N., Currie, K., DeYoung, B., Dunn, D., Heslop, E., Glover, L.K., Gunn, J., Hill, K., Ishii, M., Legler, D., Lindstrom, E., Miloslavich, P., Moltmann, T., Nolan, G., Palacz, A., Simmons, S., Sloyan, B., Smith, L.M., Smith, N., Telszewski, M., Visbeck, M., and Wilkin, J. 2019. What We Have Learned From the Framework for Ocean Observing: Evolution of the Global Ocean Observing System. Front. Mar. Sci. 6:471. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00471
- Tanhua, T., Lauvset, S., Lange, N., Olsen, A., Álvarez, M., Diggs, S., Bittig, H., Brown, P., Carter, B., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Feely, R., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Jeansson, E., Kozyr, A., Murata, A., Pérez, F., Pfeil, B., Schirnick, C., and Key, R. 2021. A vision for FAIR ocean data products. Communications Earth & Environment. 2. 136. DOI: 10.1038/s43247-021-00209-4
- Tomczak, M. 1981: An analysis of mixing in the frontal zone of South and North Atlantic Central Water off North-West Africa. Prog. Oceanogr., 10, 173–192. DOI:10.1016/0079-6611(81)90011-2
- Tsurushima, N., Nojiri, Y., Imai, K., and Watanabe, S. 2002. Seasonal variations of carbon dioxide system and nutrients in the surface mixed layer at Station KNOT (44°N, 155°E) in the subarctic North Pacific- Deep Sea Res., Part II, 49, 5377–5394. DOI: 10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00197-2
- UNESCO-IOC. 2023. Ocean Decade Data & Information Strategy. Paris, UNESCO. The Ocean Decade Series, 45
- Uppstrom, L.R., 1974. The boron/chlorinity ratio of deep-sea water from the Pacific Ocean. Deep-Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr. 21, 161–162. DOI: 10.1016/0011-7471(74)90074-6
- 1385 Valdés, L., and Lomas, M.W. 2017. New light for ship-based time series. In: What are Marine Ecological Time Series telling us about the ocean? A status report. pp. 11–17. Ed. by T. D. O'Brien, L. Lorenzoni, K. Isensee, and L. Valdés. IOC UNESCO, IOC Technical Series, No. 129. 297 pp.
 - Valdés, L., Bode, A., Latasa, M., Nogueira, E., Somavilla, R., Varela, M.M., González-Pola, C., and Casas, G. 2021. Three decades of continuous ocean observations in North Atlantic Spanish waters: The RADIALES time series project, context, achievements and challenges. Progress in Oceanography, Volume 198, 102671. ISSN 0079-6611. DOI: 10.1016/j.PCean.2021.102671
 - Vance, J.M., Currie, K., Zeldis, J., Dillingham, P., Law, C. 2022. An empirical MLR for estimating surface layer DIC and a comparative assessment to other gap-filling techniques for ocean carbon time series. Biogeosciences, 19(1). DOI: 10.5194/bg-19-241-2022
- 1395 Velo, A., Cacabelos, J., Pérez, F.P., Tanhua, T., and Lange, N. 2021. AtlantOS Ocean Data QC: Software packages and best practice manuals and knowledge transfer for sustained quality control of hydrographic sections. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4532402
- Vescovali, I., Oubelkheir, K., Chiaverini, J., Pizay, M.D., Stock, A. and Marty, I.C. 1998. The Dyfamed timeseries station: a reference to coastal studies in the Mediterranean sea. IEEE Oceanic Engineering Society.
 OCEANS'98. Conference Proceedings (Cat. No.98CH36259), Nice, France, 1998, pp. 1785-1789 vol.3. DOI: 10.1109/OCEANS.1998.726393

Wakita, M., Watanabe, S., Honda, M., Nagano, A., Kimoto, K., Matsumoto, K., Kitamura, M., Sasaki, K., Kawakami, H., Fujiki, T., Sasaoka, K., Nakano, Y., and Murata, A. 2013. Ocean acidification from 1997 to 2011 in the subarctic western North Pacific Ocean. Biogeosciences, 10, 7817–7827. DOI: 10.5194/bg-10-7817-2013

1405

1415

- Wakita, M., Nagano, A., Fujiki, T., and Watanabe, S. 2017. Slow acidification of the winter mixed layer in the subarctic western North Pacific. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 6923–6935. DOI: 10.1002/2017JC013002122
- Weller, R.A., Gallage, C., Send, U., Lampitt, R.S., and Lukas, R. 2016. OceanSITES: Sustained Ocean Time
 Series Observations in the Global Ocean. vol. 2016
 - Wilkinson, M.D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I.J.J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J-W., Santos, L.B.D.S., Bourne, P.E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A.J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C.T., Finkers, R., Gonzalez-Beltran, A., Gray, A.J.G., Groth, P., Goble, C., Grethe, JS., Heringa, J., 't Hoen, P.A.C., Hooft, R., Kuhn, T., Kok, R., Kok, J., Lusher, S.J., Martone, M.E., Mons, A., Packer, A.L., Persson, B., Rocca-Serra, P., Roos, M., van Schaik, R., Sansone, S-A., Schultes, E., Sengstag, T., Slater, T., Strawn, G., Swertz, M.A., Thompson, M., van der Lei, J., van Mulligen, E., Velterop, J., Waagmeester, A., Wittenburg, P., Wolstencroft, K., Zhao, J., and Mons, B. 2016. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Scientific data, vol. 3, 160018. DOI: 10.1038/sdata.2016.18
- 1420 Yao, W., and Byrne, R. H. (1998). Simplified seawater alkalinity analysis: Use of linear array spectrometers. Deep-Sea Research I, 45, 1383-1392. DOI: 10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00018-1