¹ **A synthesized field survey database of vegetation and active** ² **layer properties for the Alaskan tundra (1972-2020)**

- 3 Xiaoran Zhu¹, Dong Chen², Maruko Kogure², Elizabeth Hoy^{3,4}, Logan T. Berner⁵, Amy L.
- 4 Breen⁶, Abhishek Chatterjee⁷, Scott J. Davidson^{8,9}, Gerald V. Frost¹⁰, Teresa N.
- 5 Hollingsworth^{11,12}, Go Iwahana⁶, Randi R. Jandt⁶, Anja N. Kade¹³, Tatiana V. Loboda², Matt J.
- 6 Macander¹⁰, Michelle Mack¹⁴, Charles E. Miller⁷, Eric A. Miller¹⁵, Susan M. Natali¹⁶, Martha K.
- 7 Raynolds¹⁷, Adrian V. Rocha¹⁸, Shiro Tsuyuzaki¹⁹, Craig E. Tweedie²⁰, Donald A. Walker¹⁷,
- 8 Mathew Williams²¹, Xin Xu², Yingtong Zhang¹, Nancy French²², Scott Goetz⁵
- 9 ¹ Department of Earth & Environment, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, 02215, USA
- $10\,$ Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 20742, USA
- **11** ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA
- 12 Global Science & Technology, Inc., Greenbelt, Maryland 20770, USA
- ⁵ 13 School of Informatics, Computing, and Cyber Systems, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona 86004, 14 USA
- ⁶ International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775, USA
- ⁷ 16 *The Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109, USA*
⁸ School of Geography Earth and Environmental Sciences University of Plymouth Plymouth PI
- ⁸ School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, PL3 4PA, UK
- $\frac{18}{19}$ ⁹Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, N2L 3G1, Canada
- ¹⁰Alaska Biological Research, Inc., Fairbanks, Alaska 99775, USA
20 ¹¹Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute, Rocky Mountain Re
- ¹¹Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula, Montana 59801, USA
¹²Boreal Ecology Team, PNW Research Station, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775, USA ¹² 21 ²Boreal Ecology Team, PNW Research Station, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775, USA
¹³ Department of Biology and Wildlife. University of Alaska Fairbanks. Fairbank
-
- ¹³Department of Biology and Wildlife, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775, USA
¹⁴Department of Biological Sciences. Northern Arizona University. Flagstaff. Arizona 86004. USA ¹⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona 86004, USA
-
- ¹⁵ 24 Bureau of Land Management Alaska Fire Service, Fort Wainwright, Alaska 99703, USA
¹⁶ Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, Massachusetts 02540, USA
- ¹⁶Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, Massachusetts 02540, USA
26 ¹⁷Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks Alask
- ¹⁷ 17 11 1⁷ 17 11 15 11 16 11 26 Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks Alaska 99775, USA
¹⁸ 18 Department of Biological Sciences. University of Notre Dame. Notre Dame. Indiana 46556
- ¹⁸Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
¹⁹Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science. Hokkaido University. Sapporo. 060-0810. Japan
- ¹⁹Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-0810, Japan
²⁰Department of Biological Sciences and the Environmental Science and Engineering Program, The l ²⁰Department of Biological Sciences and the Environmental Science and Engineering Program, The University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA

1

- 30 Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA
31 ²¹School of GeoSciences, University of Edinb
- 21 ²¹ School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FF, UK
32 ²² Michigan Tech Research Institute, Michigan Technological University, Ann
- 32 22Michigan Tech Research Institute, Michigan Technological University, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105, USA
- 33
- 34 *Correspondence to*: Dong Chen (itscd@umd.edu)

 Abstract. Studies in recent decades show strong evidence of physical and biological changes in the Arctic tundra largely in response to rapid rates of warming. Given the important implications of these changes on ecosystem services, hydrology, surface energy balance, carbon budgets, and climate feedbacks, research on the trends and patterns of these changes is becoming increasingly important and can help better constrain estimates of local, regional, and global impacts as well as inform mitigation and adaptation strategies. Despite this high need, scientific understanding of tundra ecology and change remains limited largely due to the inaccessibility of this region and less intensive study compared to other terrestrial biomes. A synthesis of existing datasets from past field studies can make field data more accessible and open up possibilities for collaborative research as well as for investigating and informing future studies. Here, we synthesize field datasets of vegetation, and active layer properties from the Alaskan tundra, one of the most well-studied tundra regions. Given the potential increasingly intensive fire regimes in the tundra, fire history and severity attributes have been added to data points where available. The resulting database is a resource that future investigators can employ to analyze spatial and temporal patterns in soil, vegetation, and fire disturbance-related environmental variables across the Alaskan tundra. This database, titled Synthesized Alaskan Tundra Field Database (SATFiD), can be accessed at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center (ORNL DAAC) for Biogeochemical Dynamics (Chen et al., 2023:

https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/2177).

1 Introduction

53 Over recent decades, the Arctic tundra has warmed three to four times faster than the global average rate (Rantanen et al., 2022), leading to profound physical and ecological changes. Over this period, shrubs and trees have become more abundant in both the North American and Eurasian Low Arctic (Hagedorn et al., 2014; Rees et al., 2020; Mekonnen et al., 2021; Dial et al., 2022). Across the Arctic tundra, as defined by the circumpolar Arctic bioclimatic subzones map (CAVM Team, 2003; Walker et al., 2005; Raynolds et al., 2019), a lengthening of the growing season has been observed due to rising temperatures (Goetz et al., 2005; Ernakovich et al., 2014; Arndt et al., 2019). At the same time, widespread increases in vegetation productivity have been documented by both field measurements (Myers-Smith et al., 2020) and satellite observations (Goetz et al., 2005; Berner et al., 2020). While the direct mechanisms underlying Arctic "greening" are complicated and vary among ecosystems (Rocha et al., 2018; Myers- Smith et al., 2020), it is believed these mechanisms are fundamentally driven by the increasingly favorable growing conditions for vegetation created by warming, including longer growing seasons (Goetz et al., 2005; Arndt et al., 2019; Berner et al., 2020). Moreover, because of this warming, carbon-rich permafrost across the Arctic tundra has shown signs of thawing (Lewkowicz and Way, 2019; Heijmans et al., 2022). Permafrost degradation is apparent through the increasing occurrence of thermokarst and deepening of the active layer thickness (ALT), both of which have contributed to increased nutrient availability and a changing cover of surface water bodies across the Arctic tundra (Schuur et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2021). Additionally, wildfires, while historically rare during recent geological periods, are a significant disturbance agent that may have entered a stage of increasing severity,

frequency, and extent (French et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2010). Altogether, these physical and biological changes have

Deleted: exceptionally

Deleted: biological

change and long-term trends, and (4) provide a source of vegetation and soil properties data that could improve

understanding of physical processes and be used to inform and validate process-based models and simulations.

Study Area

- This database, titled Synthesized Alaskan Tundra Field Database (SATFiD), synthesizes field-based datasets from
- the Alaskan tundra as defined by the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map (CAVM) (CAVM Team, 2003; Walker et
- al. 2005; Raynolds et al. 2019). Data from this area can be further categorized by four major subregions: the North
- Slope, Noatak, Seward Peninsula, and Southwest Alaska (Fig. 1). These subregions span a large range of climatic
- and topographic conditions. In the North Slope, the northernmost Arctic Coastal Plain ecoregion is located in
- Bioclimate Subzone D of the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map and is characterized by flat, poorly-drained
- lowlands with herbaceous and dwarf-shrub vegetation and a mosaic of water bodies (CAVM Team, 2003; Gallant et
- al., 1995). All Alaskan tundra south of the Arctic Coastal Plain ecoregion lie within Subzone E of CAVM and is
- generally warmer and more densely vegetated (CAVM Team, 2003). Within this subzone, farther inland in the
- North Slope, is the Arctic Foothills ecoregion, which experiences warmer summer temperatures and features rolling
- hills, more distinct drainage networks, and taller, extensive shrub cover (Gallant et al., 1995). The Noatak subregion
- follows the Noatak River Valley and has a dry climate compared to the Seward Peninsula to its south (He et al.,
- 2021). The Southwest is the warmest subregion of the Alaskan tundra. It consists of coastal plains with wet soils and
- shallow active layers, and winding rivers and streams (Gallant et al., 1995).

3 Data and methods

3.1 Data

Datasets compiled into SATFiD were obtained from three main sources: (1) direct correspondence with principal

- investigators, (2) data repositories including the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center
- (ORNL DAAC) and the Environmental Data Initiative (EDI), and (3) a systematic search for literature that was
- based on field data collected in the Alaskan tundra. Permission was obtained from each principal investigator for
- incorporation of their datasets in this synthesis. A list of these original datasets and access to ones that are published
- and publicly available are included in Appendix A (Table A1). These datasets spanned many research projects with
- diverse research foci pertaining to the Alaskan tundra. That translates to specific variables included in the original
- datasets that vary greatly. Even for the same variables, sampling frequency, and number of samples,
- instrumentation, and methodology often varied by project. To create a database that can advance capacity for
- synthesis research on the Alaskan tundra, variables were selected for inclusion in the database (section 3.2) and
- these data were standardized and filtered (section 3.3).
- The individual datasets that were ingested defined plots that varied in size, sampling within sites versus along
- transects, and sampling techniques. For consistency, we define unique data points as points that were collected at
- unique latitude, longitude, and collection dates as provided in the original datasets.

3.2 In-situ variables selection

 The variables included in SATFiD (shown in Table 1) were selected from the incorporated datasets with a goal of preserving variables that were gathered frequently in the various studies and are most relevant to the study of Alaskan tundra vegetation and active layer properties. In addition to the field data variables, data descriptors and wildfire-related variables were added to our database. The data descriptors include the assigned plot ID, dataset ID, dataset name, latitude, longitude, date of collection, and year of collection. For each data point, the dataset ID and name link it to its original dataset. These variables were added to facilitate the use of our database and also to allow the users to be able to trace back the original datasets when such a need arises. The geospatial and remote-sensing based wildfire-related variables were added to link data points to the known wildfire history at each point (since wildfire plays a critical role affecting the aboveground and belowground conditions of tundra ecosystems). In total, 161 34 variables are contained in SATFiD (Table 1). Ground-based burn severity variables are not included in this database as their collection methods were inconsistent across datasets, including various qualitative or quantitative

163 measures of severity that could not be reconciled into a single variable.

164 **Table 1 List of data variables included in SATFiD. Fire history attributes are sampled from the Alaska Large Fire**

166 **Scar dNBR dataset (Loboda et al., 2018).**

Deleted: by

169 **3.3 Data standardization and cleaning**

170 Multiple types of data standardization were implemented to reconcile the ingested datasets. These standardization

171 decisions are listed in Table 2.

172 **Table 2: List of basic data standardization procedures.**

192 **Deleted:** within a given day

174 **3.4 Fire history and severity sampling**

175 **3.4.1 Sampling fire history data from the Alaska Large Fire Database (1940-2021)**

176 The Alaska Large Fire Database (ALFD) is the longest and most comprehensive spatially-explicit record of fire

177 history in Alaska. Particularly for the tundra, where fire is historically scarce, the ALFD is useful for capturing

178 relatively small fire scars compared to the larger scars found in the neighboring boreal forests, making it a useful

179 tool for identifying fire history at a fine spatial scale. Fires in the ALFD are defined as fires at least 1,000 acres in

180 area, but spatial resolution improves dramatically through the record, with fires of down to 10 acres included by

181 2015. Please see the Uncertainty section (Section 5.2) for a more detailed breakdown of how the ALFD defines

182 large fires and a discussion of implications.

183 We used the ALFD to sample fire history data to each individual data point. Eight fire-related variables were added

184 by sampling fire history polygons that data points intersected. Approximately 17% of the data points in this database

185 were sampled at locations that fall within ALFD fire perimeters (Fig. 3). If a point was within a fire polygon from

186 before the data sampling date, the point was labeled "Burned" in the BURNED_STATUS field. FREQ_PRE is the

187 total count of past fire polygons the data point intersects. YR_LFIRE is the year of the most recent fire prior to the

188 data point being sampled. N_YR_LFIRE is the year of data collection minus the year of the most recent past fire.

189 ALL_FIRE_YRS is a list of fire years for all fire polygons intersected by the data point. YR_NFIRE represents the

- 193 year of the most recent fire after the data point was sampled. N_YR_NFIRE is the year of the next fire minus the
- year of data collection. FREQ_TOTAL is a count of years in ALL_FIRE_YRS, representing the total number of fire
- polygons intersected by the data point. Our database currently extends to 2020 and samples fire history data from the
- 196 2021-updated version of ALFD, but several large tundra fires have occurred since then. These will be incorporated
- along with additional field datasets in future versions of the database.

3.4.2 Sampling fire severity data from the Landsat-derived Burn Scar dNBR dataset (1985-2015)

- A dNBR attribute was sampled to data points from the Landsat-derived Burn Scar dNBR dataset (Loboda et al.,
- 2018). Rasters covering the tundra region of the ABoVE domain were mosaiced for each unique fire year associated
- with the data points. For each burned point, a dNBR value from the mosaicked raster was sampled if available. The
- values were then filtered to remove values of -3000, which represents no data, and -2500, which indicates invalid
- pixels due to factors such as cloud cover.

4 Results

4.1 Database overview

- SATFiD synthesizes 197,830 individual data points gathered from across 37 datasets. The data span the North
- Slope, Noatak, Seward Peninsula, and Southwest subregions of the Alaskan tundra. A large cluster of points can be
- seen on the North Slope in the area of the 2007 Anaktuvuk River Fire scar, which is a notable study point for tundra
- fire research, as well as the continuous north-south transect along the Dalton Highway. Seventeen clustered data
- 210 points in the Seward Peninsula subregion from Jandt 1995 fall outside of the CAVM definition of tundra. These are

data from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and have been confirmed as tundra points (Fig. 1).

Deleted: can

 Figure 1: Map of all points from 1940 through 2021 overtop the Circumpolar Arctic as defined in CAVM clipped to the state of Alaska. 17 of the data points lie outside the CAVM definition of tundra. These points were sampled by BLM and are tundra points. The colored reference boxes indicate the location of points within the circumpolar Arctic and are used to define regions for this study.

- We note that each dataset has unique variables sampled and total number of data points. Many variables are
- measured across multiple datasets, with the most frequently sampled variable across studies being shrub cover,
- which can be found in 23 datasets. Second in greatest coverage across datasets are lichen cover and active layer
- thickness, which appear in 22 datasets (Fig. 2, Table 3). The active layer thickness variable is dominated by the
- Schaefer_2021 dataset, which is 192,483 data points, making up 98.6% of active layer thickness measurements and
- 97.3% of the data points in the database. It is very important to note, however, that despite the large quantity of data
- points, the Schaefer_2021 dataset only includes measurements of active layer thickness and a relatively small
- number of soil moisture measurements (4,892 points); hence, this dataset is not overrepresented in our synthesis and
- in fact does not contribute to any other field-collected variable in this synthesis.

228 **Figure 2: Pie charts showing the distribution of how many data points each dataset contributes to the six field collected**

229 **variables that appear the most across datasets. The top center pie chart indicates that the Schaefer_2021 dataset**

230 **contributed overwhelmingly to active layer thickness data, but as the neighboring pie charts demonstrate, data for other**

231 **variables are more evenly distributed across datasets.**

232 **Table 3: Field-based and fire-related variables by the number of datasets and data points they appear in.**

Number of data points	
2389	
1915	
768	
	6966 195066 1512 127

233 *Extracted from intersected 30 m pixels in the Landsat-derived Burn Scar dNBR dataset (Loboda et al., 2018)

234 **4.2 Descriptive analysis of data by fire attributes**

235 Fire history information from the ALFD allows for the database to be grouped by whether and when points fell

236 within fire perimeters. If a point in a fire perimeter was sampled after the fire, it can be labeled "post-fire", and if the

237 point was sampled before the fire, it can be labeled "pre-fire". In the following figures, we define points that are in

238 fire perimeters from years before and after sampling as "pre-fire" and "post-fire" respectively. Of course, analysis

239 through different grouping methods may be equally if not more interesting to pursue depending on the study of

240 interest. What we present here is one of many ways to explore the data.

241 83% of the data points, 164,118 data points total, came from points that did not have any fire history since 1940

242 according to the ALFD. These are considered "unburned" in recent, recorded fire history although they could have

243 been burned prior to 1940. Out of burned points, 10,847 data points were sampled post-fire and 22,865 were

244 sampled pre-fire (Fig. 3: (a)). A parallel plot showing the distribution after excluding the Schaefer_2021 dataset of

mostly active layer thickness measurements is presented for comparison (Fig. 3: (b)). Within this subset, points with

fire history make up 46% of the data points.

- **Figure 3: (a) Data sorted by if and when the point was burned relative to sampling using fire perimeters from the ALFD,**
- **(b) data excluding the Schaefer_2021 dataset by if and when the point was burned relative to sampling using fire**
- **perimeters from the ALFD.**

 Figure 4: Fire history for data points by subregion. Insets (a)-(d) show points with fire history in the (a) North Slope, (b) Noatak, (c) Seward Peninsula, and (d) Southwest. Several clustered data points in (c) lie outside the CAVM definition of tundra. These points were sampled by BLM and are tundra points.

Points with fire history also varied by when they were sampled relative to the year of most recent fire and how many

times it had burned from 1940 to 2021. Of the points that were sampled pre-fire, almost all fires occurred within one

decade after sampling. In fact, only eight points fell in the 10-19 years-since-sampling bin (Fig. 5: (a)). Of the points

sampled post-fire, the greatest number of points (5,539 points) was sampled within the second decade since fire,

followed by the third decade and then first decade since fire. Still, there were over one hundred points across five

datasets sampled 30 or more years post-fire (Fig. 5 (c)). For both points sampled before and after the most recent

fire, most points had only one fire occurrence between 1940 and 2021. The number of data points falls exponentially

for points burned more than once. There are, however, points that have up to four years of recorded fire for both

points that were sampled before and after the most recent fire (Fig. 5: (b), (d)).

Table 4 summarizes datasets within each subregion and their fire history. The greatest number of burned points, both

sampled before and after fire appear in Southwest Alaska owing largely to the Schaefer_2021 dataset. The Seward

Peninsula subregion, on the other hand, contains the largest number of datasets with fire history. The Noatak

subregion has the greatest number of fire years represented in this database with 17 unique fire years, 14 of them

included for points within the Loboda_2022 dataset. All fire data from the North Slope, with the exception of some

points from a 2017 fire in the Miller_2022 dataset, are from the 2007 Anaktuvuk River Fire (Fig. 4; Table 4).

Pre-fire points by decade sampled

before fire

 $\frac{0}{30-39}$

 (a)

Number of data points
20
20
20

 $10¹$

 $\overline{0}$ $0 - 9$

 (c)

 $10 - 19$

20-29 Years since last sampling Post-fire points by decade sample
after last fire

296 **Table 4: Fire history for points from the ALFD by subregion and datasets. The dataset name follows the convention of**

297 **"Name_Year" where "Name" indicates the names of the principal investigators and "Year" is the year of the data release.**

298 **If the original dataset has not been released publicly, the year of the data acquisition was used.**

299 *Burned points sampled pre-fire appear in square brackets ([])

300 **5 Discussion**

301 **5.1 Scientific implications**

302 SATFiD represents the first effort we know of to compile the field datasets of vegetation, active layer properties,

303 and fire history collected in different parts of the Alaskan tundra and reconcile them into a consistent database. As

304 such, it offers the largest collection of Alaskan tundra field data accessible in one place. It spans both a large

 temporal extent of 49 years and spatial extent, with over 1,000 data points coming from each of the four subregions of the Alaskan tundra.

 The descriptive analyses provided here provide examples of and a starting point for exploring the database and its coverage of various variables spatially and temporally. With this rich resource of in-situ measurements, we encourage future investigators to identify potential research applications and questions that can be asked with this database. Possibilities may involve relating soil variables and vegetation cover to fire history. Studies could look at patterns or differences over spatial extents or between different subregions. They might also consider patterns or trends over time. Researchers could also leverage the database as training points for remote sensing based, spatially explicit or physical, process-based modeling. Variables such as vegetation cover and soil variables such as soil moisture, soil temperature, and active layer thickness could potentially feed into these models.

 Another benefit and potential use of this synthesized database is in discovering opportunities for future research. One aspect of field studies in the Alaskan tundra that we found while compiling the database is that revisits and repeat observations over many years is lacking, likely due in part to the difficulty of accessing the regions where the initial studies took place and limitations placed by government funding that generally favors short-term (3-4 year) studies. As the climate, soil, and vegetation features of the tundra transform, it would be opportune to revisit points in this database in order to measure changes and trends over time. The descriptive analysis we conducted also indicates that a large number of points were burned in the years after field sampling took place, which we've called "pre-fire" points (Fig. 3). These points can be examined by subregion (Fig. 4, Table 4), and information on the number of times burned and how many years passed between the sampling and fire occurrence can be found in the database (Fig. 5, 6). Selecting and revisiting these points based on this fire history information could form the basis for studies on pre- and post-fire analysis of change. SATFiD can also inform future research by providing a broad- scale idea of what variables could be of interest and the common methods used to measure them. This could be a step leading towards greater standardization in variables measured and the techniques used, which would strengthen future sampling and synthesis research efforts.

Although there are a large number of points dispersed throughout the four subregions of the Alaskan tundra, the map

of the 197,830 unique data points in SATFiD also demonstrates strong geographic clustering. This makes intuitive

- sense as in-situ studies of this remote region are challenging, and investigators typically collect large quantities of
- data within their relatively small, accessible study areas. Based on this database, future researchers can also identify
- areas that have not been sampled before that may be interesting for ecological reasons and fill gaps in data
- availability as well as knowledge of the various conditions in the heterogeneous tundra landscape. There are also
- many areas within fire extents defined by the ALFD that have not been sampled by any datasets ingested in this
- database and could be the sites for fire-related field studies.
- Additionally, we intend to keep SATFiD updated biennially to include newly acquired field data in the Alaskan
- tundra, allowing the further expansion of SATFiD's utility in studies of long-term changes in the tundra. To that end, we will actively seek funding to support the future updates.
	-

5.2 Uncertainty

- The datasets ingested in SATFiD originate from a variety of research efforts led by different principal investigators
- and span five decades of field sampling. This leads to large variances in both the documentation and methods
- employed for sampling. Often, a same or similar variable is measured slightly differently between datasets. These
- differences produce uncertainties that can propagate and influence results in unpredictable ways when conducting
- synthesis studies with these data and represent an important consideration for any synthesis work.

 In order to help identify potential sources of uncertainty that should be factored or acknowledged in research using these data, we have compiled variables that commonly have methodological differences among datasets as well as the common measurement methods applied for each (Table 5). Of particular note is how different datasets have defined their plots. For many soil and vegetation variables, measurement instrumentation varied as did the number of samples taken. Another important consideration is that soil moisture tends to vary significantly within and across seasons. One-time measurements are less meaningful than measurements logged over an entire season or number of years. For vegetation cover data, the accuracy of cover depends on methodology as some are more quantitative while others are more qualitative. Also, not all the chosen functional types for this synthesis were included by every dataset. It is unclear whether these functional types did not exist in the study area or if the categorization schema was different, in which case they could have been grouped in with other functional types. As an example, several datasets that measured cover did not include moss or litter covers (Table 5). An expanded version of Table 5 that lists each dataset and summaries of methods for each variable when provided in the original dataset can be found with the data release on the ORNL DAAC. We would strongly encourage investigators to refer to this expanded table as well as the original datasets' metadata and associated paper publications for additional details in methodology. An important next step for synthesis research using our database is taking this information, conducting meta-analysis, and finding ways to factor in and address uncertainties.

 Fire attributes including fire history information sampled from the ALFD as well as dNBR from the Landsat-derived Burn Scar dNBR dataset (Loboda et al., 2018) are not comprehensive or perfectly accurate. Before 1987, the ALFD defined large fires as fires at least 1,000 acres in area. Between 1987 and 2015, fires of at least 100 acres were also included. Since 2015, fires of at least 10 acres have been added (Kasischke et al., 2002; Alaska Large Fire Database | FRAMES, 2022). Smaller fires are missing from the record especially earlier in the ALFD record, and some fine scale heterogeneity of burned versus unburned vegetation is also not captured by the fire polygons (Miller et al., 2023). Fire history attributes for data points are only as accurate as the ALFD. Likewise, the DNBR field is also only as accurate as the dNBR dataset it was derived from, which only extends from 1985 to 2015 (Loboda et al., 2018). Points from the early and more recent years of our database's records do not have this attribute even if they were burned.

Table 5: Variables with greatest varied sampling methods and several common measurement methods employed.

Variable Common measurement methods

LATITUDE,	Coordinates given may refer to the center, NE corner, or SE corner of the plot depending on the dataset. Datasets from LTER points often only give coordinates at point, not quadrat level. Data have been averaged as appropriate to the point level.
LONGITUDE	
DATE	Most datasets include the year, month, and day of data collection, but there are several for which the date was specified only as far as the month or year. These are formatted YYYYMM00 and YYYY0000 respectively.
PH	pH was measured from free water in a soil pit, directly from the soil at various depths, and from soil samples taken to a lab.
SOIL MOIST %	Instrumentation varied. Campbell Scientific Hydrosense II handheld probes, ground-penetrating radar, DualEM, and TDR 300 were used.
ALT MEAN CM	Instrumentation varied. Mechanical probing or ground penetrating radar used.
LAI MEAN	Instrumentation varied. SunScan wands, LAI 2000 Plant Canopy Analyzers, and LI-COR 2200 Plant Canopy Analyzers were used.
SHRUB HEIGHT CM	In most cases, the mean height from multiple measurements was taken, but in a few cases, only the tallest shrub was measured. When only mean vegetation height is available, this is the height provided.
MOSS COVER %,	Not all datasets that measured vegetation cover included each of these plant
LICHEN COVER %,	functional types. Plot sizes and delineations varied greatly. $1 \text{ m x} 1 \text{ m plots}$, 10 m x 10 m plots, and plots with a specific radius and transects out from the center
GRAMINOID COVER %,	were most common. Ocular assessment or visual estimates were the most common measurement methods. Hits recorded by a vertically mounted laser
FORB_COVER_%,	using a vegetation point-intercept (VPI) sampling approach was also common. For these, top cover measurements were prioritized over total cover, which
SHRUB COVER %,	includes all vegetation in the vertical path of the laser hit.
BARE COVER %,	
LITTER COVER %	

³⁷³

- 382 One additional caveat when using SATFiD is its long-term nature. Because it ingests various datasets that were
- 383 collected over half a century, during which the Arctic tundra has undergone substantial warming (Kaufman et al.,
- 384 2009), the tundra conditions from the earlier field campaigns may be quite different from those acquired in recent

years. For example, two data entries in SATFiD collected decades apart with similar values of certain measurements

386 do not necessarily mean that the two tundra sites that they represent are ecologically similar. Users should take into

account this non-static nature of the Arctic tundra when adopting SATFiD for long-term analyses.

6 Data availability

SATFiD (Chen et al., 2023) is available from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center

(ORNL DAAC): https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/2177. SATFiD is also accessible via a Google Earth Engine

application (https://ee-ytzhang.projects.earthengine.app/view/satfid) that allows users to query the database and

visualize summary statistics and locations of data points by attribute.

7 Conclusion

 As warming and other climate drivers continue to induce physical and biological changes in the Alaskan tundra, in- situ field measurements of vegetation, active layer, and fire properties are becoming increasingly important as tools to understand and analyze patterns and trends in the region. We synthesized data from the last half-century of tundra field research into a database with utility for synthesis and future research activities of the Alaskan tundra. We reconciled 197,830 individual data points from 37 datasets into a consistent database with 34 variables. Of these 34 variables, eight fire history variables derived from geospatial and remote sensing datasets provide fire information for data points, allowing for scientific analysis relating vegetation and active layer properties to fire attributes.

SATFiD is a database investigators can leverage to engage in collaborative synthesis research as well as use to

inform aspects of future studies from research questions to study areas and methodologies. This collaborative effort

- to synthesize tundra field data fits within the scope of the NASA Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABoVE)
- Phase 3 goal of combining efforts of multiple research projects to benefit future research. In the context of climate
- change and its effects on the Alaskan tundra, we hope that this timely synthesis effort will make the data collected
- over the last five decades more accessible and help inform and guide future research in this region.

Appendix A

Table A1: Reference list for all datasets in the SATFiD.

Dataset Citation

Appendix B

Figure B1: Map of the Alaska Large Fire Database (ALFD) circumpolar Arctic fire perimeters through 2021.

Author contributions

DC designed the synthesis project. DC and MK initiated the process for listing datasets. XZ and DC compiled the

- database and wrote the draft. EH mentored XZ and contributed to compiling the database and writing. All authors
- contributed to discussing the results and editing of the final paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

- This paper was supported by the NASA Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability Experiment (ABoVE) through NASA
- Terrestrial Ecology program grants NNX15AT79A and NNH16CP09C; the NASA summer internship program
- through the NASA Terrestrial Ecology program and the Carbon Cycle and Ecosystems Office; the College of
- Behavioral and Social Sciences at the University of Maryland, College Park through the Dean's Research Initiative
- award; NSF-1915307; NSF-2103539; and Gordon & Betty Moore Foundation-#8414. Resources supporting this
- work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Center for Climate
- Simulation (NCCS) at Goddard Space Flight Center.

References

- Arndt, K. A., Santos, M. J., Ustin, S., Davidson, S. J., Stow, D., Oechel, W. C., Tran, T. T. P., Graybill, B., and
- Zona, D.: Arctic greening associated with lengthening growing seasons in Northern Alaska, Environ. Res. Lett., 14,
- 125018, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab5e26, 2019.
- Berner, L. T., Massey, R., Jantz, P., Forbes, B. C., Macias-Fauria, M., Myers-Smith, I., Kumpula, T., Gauthier, G.,
- Andreu-Hayles, L., Gaglioti, B. V., Burns, P., Zetterberg, P., D'Arrigo, R., and Goetz, S. J.: Summer warming
- explains widespread but not uniform greening in the Arctic tundra biome, Nat. Commun., 11, 4621,
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18479-5, 2020.
- CAVM Team: Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, Alaska, 2003.
- Chapin, F. S., Sturm, M., Serreze, M. C., McFadden, J. P., Key, J. R., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Rupp, T. S.,
- Lynch, A. H., Schimel, J. P., Beringer, J., Chapman, W. L., Epstein, H. E., Euskirchen, E. S., Hinzman, L. D., Jia,
- G., Ping, C.-L., Tape, K. D., Thompson, C. D. C., Walker, D. A., and Welker, J. M.: Role of Land-Surface Changes
- in Arctic Summer Warming, Science, 310, 657–660, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1117368, 2005.
- Chen, D., Zhu, X., Kogure, M., Hoy, E. E., Xu, X., French, N. H. F., Berner, L. T., Breen, A. L., Bret-Harte, S.,
- Davidson, S. J., Ebersole, J. J., Frost, G. V., Goetz, S. J., Hewitt, R. E., Hung, J. K. Y., Iversen, C. M., Iwahana, G.,
- Jandt, R., Jenkins, L. K., Kade, A. N., Klupar, I., Loboda, T. V., Ludwig, S., Macander, M. J., Mack, M. C., Meyers,
- C. R., Michaelides, R. J., Miller, E. A., Natali, S., Nawrocki, T. W., Nelson, P. R., Parsekian, A. D., Rastetter, E.,
- Raynolds, M. K., Rocha, A. V., Schaefer, K., Schickhoff, U., Schuur, E. a. G., Tsuyuzaki, S., Tweedie, C. E., Zesati,
- S. V., Walker, D. A., Webber, P. J., Williams, M., and Zona, D.: Field Data on Soils, Vegetation, and Fire History
- for Alaska Tundra Sites, 1972-2020, https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/2177, 2023.
- Chen, Y., Hu, F. S., and Lara, M. J.: Divergent shrub-cover responses driven by climate, wildfire, and permafrost
- interactions in Arctic tundra ecosystems, Glob. Change Biol., 27, 652–663, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15451, 2021.
- Dial, R. J., Maher, C. T., Hewitt, R. E., and Sullivan, P. F.: Sufficient conditions for rapid range expansion of a
- boreal conifer, Nature, 608, 546–551, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05093-2, 2022.
- Ernakovich, J. G., Hopping, K. A., Berdanier, A. B., Simpson, R. T., Kachergis, E. J., Steltzer, H., and Wallenstein,

M. D.: Predicted responses of arctic and alpine ecosystems to altered seasonality under climate change, Glob.

- Change Biol., 20, 3256–3269, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12568, 2014.
- French, N. H. F., Jenkins, L. K., Loboda, T. V., Flannigan, M., Jandt, R., Bourgeau-Chavez, L. L., and Whitley, M.:
- Fire in arctic tundra of Alaska: past fire activity, future fire potential, and significance for land management and
- ecology, Int. J. Wildland Fire, 24, 1045–1061, https://doi.org/10.1071/WF14167, 2015.
- Gallant, A.L., Binnian, E.F., Omernik, J.M., and Shasby, M.B.: Ecoregions of Alaska, U.S. Geological Survey
- Professional Paper 1567, 73, United States Government Printing Office: Washington, DC, USA, 1995.
- Goetz, S. J., Bunn, A. G., Fiske, G. J., and Houghton, R. A.: Satellite-observed photosynthetic trends across boreal
- North America associated with climate and fire disturbance, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 102, 13521–13525,
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506179102, 2005.
- Hagedorn, F., Shiyatov, S. G., Mazepa, V. S., Devi, N. M., Grigor'ev, A. A., Bartysh, A. A., Fomin, V. V.,
- Kapralov, D. S., Terent'ev, M., Bugman, H., Rigling, A., and Moiseev, P. A.: Treeline advances along the Urals
- mountain range driven by improved winter conditions?, Glob. Change Biol., 20, 3530–3543,
- https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12613, 2014.
- He, J., Chen, D., Jenkins, L., and Loboda, T.V.: Impacts of wildfire and landscape factors on organic soil properties in Arctic tussock tundra, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 085004, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac1192, 2021.
- Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Magnússon, R. Í., Lara, M. J., Frost, G. V., Myers-Smith, I. H., van Huissteden, J.,
- Jorgenson, M. T., Fedorov, A. N., Epstein, H. E., Lawrence, D. M., and Limpens, J.: Tundra vegetation change and
- impacts on permafrost, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 3, 68–84, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00233-0, 2022.
- Alaska Large Fire Database | FRAMES: https://www.frames.gov/catalog/10465, last access: 21 December 2022.
- Hu, F. S., Higuera, P. E., Walsh, J. E., Chapman, W. L., Duffy, P. A., Brubaker, L. B., and Chipman, M. L.: Tundra
- burning in Alaska: Linkages to climatic change and sea ice retreat, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 115,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001270, 2010.
- Kasischke, E. S., Williams, D., and D. Barry: Analysis of the patterns of large fires in the boreal forest region of Alaska, Int. J. Wildland Fire, 11, 131–144, 2002.
- Kaufman, D. S., Schneider, D. P., McKay, N. P., Ammann, C. M., Bradley, R. S., Briffa, K. R., Miller, G. H., Otto-
- Bliesner, B. L., Overpeck, J. T., Vinther, B. M., Members, P., Abbott, M., Axford, Y., Bird, B., B. Birks, H. J.,
- Bjune, A. E., Briner, J., Cook, T., Chipman, M., Fracus, P., Gajewski, K., Geirsdóttir, Á., Hu, F. S., Kutchko, B.,
- Lamoureux, S., Loso, M., MacDonald, G., Peros, M., Porinchu, D., Schiff, C., Seppä, H., and Thomas, E.: Recent
- Warming Reverses Long-Term Arctic Cooling. Science, 325, 1236–1239. https://doi.org/1173983, 2009.
- Lewkowicz, A. G. and Way, R. G.: Extremes of summer climate trigger thousands of thermokarst landslides in a High Arctic environment, Nat. Commun., 10, 1329, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09314-7, 2019.
- Loboda, T. V., Chen, D., Hall, J. V., and He, J.: ABoVE: Landsat-derived Burn Scar dNBR across Alaska and Canada, 1985-2015, ORNL DAAC, https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1564, 2018.
- Mack, M. C., Bret-Harte, M. S., Hollingsworth, T. N., Jandt, R. R., Schuur, E. A. G., Shaver, G. R., and Verbyla, D.
- L.: Carbon loss from an unprecedented Arctic tundra wildfire, Nature, 475, 489–492,
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10283, 2011.
- Masrur, A., Petrov, A. N., and DeGroote, J.: Circumpolar spatio-temporal patterns and contributing climatic factors
- of wildfire activity in the Arctic tundra from 2001–2015, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 014019,
- https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa9a76, 2018.
- Mekonnen, Z. A., Riley, W. J., Berner, L. T., Bouskill, N. J., Torn, M. S., Iwahana, G., Breen, A. L., Myers-Smith,
- I. H., Criado, M. G., Liu, Y., Euskirchen, E. S., Goetz, S. J., Mack, M. C., and Grant, R. F.: Arctic tundra
- shrubification: a review of mechanisms and impacts on ecosystem carbon balance, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 053001,
- https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abf28b, 2021.
- Miller, E. A., Jones, B. M., Baughman, C. A., Jandt, R. R., Jenkins, J. L., and Yokel, D. A.: Unrecorded Tundra
- Fires of the Arctic Slope, Alaska USA, Fire, 6, 101, https://doi.org/10.3390/fire6030101, 2023.
- Myers-Smith, I. H., Kerby, J. T., Phoenix, G. K., Bjerke, J. W., Epstein, H. E., Assmann, J. J., John, C., Andreu-
- Hayles, L., Angers-Blondin, S., Beck, P. S. A., Berner, L. T., Bhatt, U. S., Bjorkman, A. D., Blok, D., Bryn, A.,
- Christiansen, C. T., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Cunliffe, A. M., Elmendorf, S. C., Forbes, B. C., Goetz, S. J., Hollister, R.
- D., de Jong, R., Loranty, M. M., Macias-Fauria, M., Maseyk, K., Normand, S., Olofsson, J., Parker, T. C.,
- Parmentier, F.-J. W., Post, E., Schaepman-Strub, G., Stordal, F., Sullivan, P. F., Thomas, H. J. D., Tømmervik, H.,
- Treharne, R., Tweedie, C. E., Walker, D. A., Wilmking, M., and Wipf, S.: Complexity revealed in the greening of
- the Arctic, Nat. Clim. Change, 10, 106–117, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0688-1, 2020.
- Oechel, W. C., Hastings, S. J., Vourlrtis, G., Jenkins, M., Riechers, G., and Grulke, N.: Recent change of Arctic
- tundra ecosystems from a net carbon dioxide sink to a source, Nature, 361, 520–523,
- https://doi.org/10.1038/361520a0, 1993.
- Raynolds, M. K., Walker, D. A., Balser, A., Bay, C., Campbell, M., Cherosov, M. M., Daniëls, F. J. A., Eidesen, P.
- B., Ermokhina, K. A., Frost, G. V., Jedrzejek, B., Jorgenson, M. T., Kennedy, B. E., Kholod, S. S., Lavrinenko, I.
- A., Lavrinenko, O. V., Magnússon, B., Matveyeva, N. V., Metúsalemsson, S., Nilsen, L., Olthof, I., Pospelov, I. N.,
- Pospelova, E. B., Pouliot, D., Razzhivin, V., Schaepman-Strub, G., Šibík, J., Telyatnikov, M. Yu., and Troeva, E.: A
- raster version of the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map (CAVM), Remote Sens. Environ., 232, 111297,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111297, 2019.
- Rees, W. G., Hofgaard, A., Boudreau, S., Cairns, D. M., Harper, K., Mamet, S., Mathisen, I., Swirad, Z., and
- Tutubalina, O.: Is subarctic forest advance able to keep pace with climate change?, Glob. Change Biol., 26, 3965–
- 3977, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15113, 2020.
- Rocha, A. V., Blakely, B., Jiang, Y., Wright, K. S., and Curasi, S. R.: Is arctic greening consistent with the ecology
- of tundra? Lessons from an ecologically informed mass balance model, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 125007,
- https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaeb50, 2018.
- Russell, I. C.: Notes on the Surface Geology of Alaska, GSA Bull., 1, 99–162, https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB-1-99, 1890.
- Schrader, F. C.: Recent Work of the U. S. Geological Survey in Alaska, Bull. Am. Geogr. Soc., 34, 1–16,
- https://doi.org/10.2307/198855, 1902.
- Schuur, E. A. G., Crummer, K. G., Vogel, J. G., and Mack, M. C.: Plant Species Composition and Productivity
- following Permafrost Thaw and Thermokarst in Alaskan Tundra, Ecosystems, 10, 280–292,
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-007-9024-0, 2007.
- Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schädel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D.,
- Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D., Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C.
- C., and Vonk, J. E.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, Nature, 520, 171–179,
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14338, 2015.
- Walker, D. A., Raynolds, M. K., Daniëls, F. J. A., Einarsson, E., Elvebakk, A., Gould, W. A., Katenin, A. E.,
- Kholod, S. S., Markon, C. J., Melnikov, E. S., Moskalenko, N. G., Talbot, S. S., Yurtsev, B. A., and Team, C.: The
- Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map, J. Veg. Sci., 16, 267–282, 2005.

Page 14: [1] Deleted Zhu, Xiaoran 4/26/24 9:35:00 AM

.

x....