Author Response to Referee #1

Three years of soil moisture observations by a dense cosmic-ray neutron sensing cluster at an agricultural research site in north-east Germany

Maik Heistermann et al. Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., doi:10.5194/essd-2023-19

RC: Referee Comment, AR: Author Response, ☐ Manuscript text

Dear referee,

thank you very much for your positive response, and for the time and effort spent to examine the manuscript and the data set.

Your comments, even if minor, are very helpful. Please find a point-by-point reply below.

Kind regards,

Maik Heistermann (on behalf of the author team)

Comments and responses

RC: [...] It would be good to see a volumetric soil moisture dataset for the static CRNS so that non-experts can use the data without having to derive their own calibration. The data is there for those with more experience to run their own calibration procedure.

AR: We appreciate the suggestion, and understand the motivation behind it. Originally, we had decided *not* to include CRNS-based soil moisture estimates, $\theta(N)$, in this data publication since the results depend very much on the chosen processing methods, calibration strategies, temporal resolution etc.. Hence, in our view estimation products should not be part of such an observational data set. And, if we formally included $\theta(N)$ in the data publication, we would also have to comprehensively document the retrieval workflow in the manuscript which is, in our view, beyond the scope and the aims of the data paper.

Still, we agree that non-expert users should be enabled to make use of the data. Hence, we suggest a compromise: we will add, for each stationary CRNS location, the volumetric soil moisture $\theta(N)$ estimated from daily average neutron intensities (i.e. a daily soil moisture product), and briefly outline the main features of the underlying workflow in the meta data. In that context, we will also emphasize that the results are sensitive to the applied methodology, and that other users might come up with different values of $\theta(N)$. As we consider these additional files as a "convenience" feature, we would prefer not to extensively highlight and document this additional product in the data paper (manuscript) itself, except for a note at the end of the first paragraph of section 5, after 1. 381 of the preprint:

The data subsets for the stationary CRNS contain an exemplary soil moisture product retrieved from observed neutron counts.

Technically, we will create two directories in the subset "crns_stationary.zip": the directory "observations" will include the original stationary CRNS observations, as before. The directory "products" will contain the daily CRNS-based soil moisture product, including the corresponding meta data.

RC: L14 - would be useful if this was a hyperlink. It is, after all, the main focus of the paper.

AR: We fully agree. In the submitted version of the preprint, we included a hyperlink in the pdf, but apparently, it was removed in the course of preprint posting. In fact, all hyperlinks were removed. We will discuss this issue with the editorial office in case of an acceptance of the manuscript.

RC: L24 - suggest "allowing users to obtain"

AR: Will be implemented.

RC: L57 - suggest "hence allowing"

AR: Will be implemented.

RC: L62 - suggest "...spanning all seasons and providing observations across more diverse..."

AR: Will be implemented.

RC: L66 – suggest "...not only allows studying of the effect of the vertical soil moisture distribution on the CRNS signal, but also, together with groundwater observations, facilitates investigation of the processes of infiltration and groundwater recharge."

AR: Will be implemented.

RC: L71 – suggest "...muon-monitoring allows studying of novel methods for the local correction..."

AR: Will be implemented.

RC: Table 1, 3.4 – does the Roving data not include lat/long and elevation?

AR: This is correct. We will include these variables in Tab. 1.

RC: L103 - isn't the Quaesta instrument the same that is sold through Hydroinnova just under licence?

AR: No, the instruments with IDs 11, 26, 27 and 30 (Tab. 2) are only provided by Quaesta, as far as we know.

RC: L150 - how is this justified/calculated?

AR: The purpose of providing an estimate of N_0 for the mobile CRNS in the manuscript was to give experienced users some guidance on how to obtain plausible soil moisture estimates from the roving observations. However, the value of N_0 typically depends on the retrieval method, which is a non-trivial procedure beyond the scope of this data paper: it includes the spatial distribution of the calibration measurements, the standardization of neutron intensities, and the accounting for the effects of incoming neutrons, humidity and barometric pressure, as well as soil organic matter, lattice water and vegetation.

Based on the referee comment, we think that it would indeed be more informative and consistent to provide – instead of an estimate of N_0 – a measure of the efficiency (i.e., sensitivity) of the roving CRNS in comparison

to the stationary CRNS (see also Table 2). That way, users themselves can decide how to estimate N_0 on the basis of the efficiency-corrected count rates and the featured dataset. Hence, we suggest to replace the sentence in lines 149-151 of the preprint,

Since the sensor on a handwagon is not shielded by car material, we used a slightly larger calibration factor $N_0 = 13447$ cph compared to other studies.

by the following sentence:

In relation to the calibrator CRNS (compare Tab. 2 and Sect. 3.2), the handwagon rover has a sensitivity of 5.51, i.e. in the same interval, it will count 5.51 times the number of epithermal neutrons detected by the calibrator probe (see also Heistermann et al., 2021).

- RC: L163 what depth does the GNSS method reflect? Is it fixed or moisture dependent more information is required
- AR: The penetration depth of GNSS-R in fact varies with soil moisture. More precisely, the variation of the apparent reflection depth is the key factor in soil moisture retrieval (see Il. 160-161). According to Larson et al. (2010), the penetration depth varies between 1 cm (wet soil) and 6 cm (very dry soil). We will revise the manuscript in order to include this information.
- RC: L217 do you mean accuracy of 0.05 m3/m3?
- AR: Yes, correct... thanks for spotting this mishap!
- RC: L201 suggest "... using a weighing pluviometer..."
- AR: Will be implemented.
- RC: Figure 6 caption suggest "weight-based pluviometer" or just "weighing pluviometer"
- AR: Will be implemented.
- RC: Climate.zip the files are .csv (comma separated values) but the text in the files is tab delimited. They should be .tsv or .tab files
- AR: We agree that this is an unnecessary source of confusion. We will revise all files and consistently use tab as separators, and replace the misleading extension ".csv" by ".txt".
- RC: CRNS_roving.zip again the files are not comma delimited they are tab delimited so the file extension is not correct
- AR: See previous response.
- RC: CRNS_stationary.zip it would be nice to have an actual worked version of the soil moisture data with a time series of CRNS soil moisture this would help non-CRNS researchers to use the data based on the processing method that you specify. All the other data for processing is alternative ways is there if required for more advanced users.
- AR: Please see our response to the first comment.

- RC: Soil_moisture_manual_sampling.zip the file called theta_grav_OM_LW_texture.txt does not have lattice water values.
- AR: Sorry for the confusion. The file name was incorrect, it should be "theta_grav_OM_texture.txt" instead. Lattice water content was only determined for composite samples of two adjacent depths, so lattice water content for these composite samples can be found in "OM_LW_composite.txt". We will correct the file name and also update the file name in the meta data json-file.

References

Heistermann, M., Francke, T., Schrön, M., and Oswald, S. E. (2021): Spatio-temporal soil moisture retrieval at the catchment scale using a dense network of cosmic-ray neutron sensors, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 25, 4807–4824, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-4807-2021.

Larson, K. M., J. J. Braun, E. E. Small, V. U. Zavorotny, E. D. Gutmann and A. L. Bilich (2010): GPS Multipath and Its Relation to Near-Surface Soil Moisture Content, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 3(1), 91-99, https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2009.2033612.