



An atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand

Fabrice Stephenson^{1*}, Tom Brough^{2*}, Drew Lohrer³, Daniel Leduc⁴, Shane Geange⁵, Owen Anderson⁴, David Bowden⁴, Malcolm R. Clark⁴, Niki Davey⁶, Enrique Pardo⁵, Dennis P. Gordon⁴, Brittany Finucci⁴,

Michelle Kelly⁴, Diana Macpherson⁴, Lisa McCartain³, Sadie Mills⁴, Kate Neill⁴, Wendy Nelson⁴, Rachael Peart⁴, Matthew H, Pinkerton⁴, Geoffrey B. Read⁴, Jodie Robertson⁵, Ashley Rowden^{4,7}, Kareen Schnabel⁴, Andrew Stewart⁸, Carl Struthers⁸, Leigh Tait^{9,10}, Di Tracey^{4,7}, Shaun Weston⁵, Carolyn 5 Lundquist^{3,11}

1 University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

2 National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Dunedin, New Zealand 10 3 National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Hamilton, New Zealand 4 National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Wellington, New Zealand 5 New Zealand Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand 6 National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Nelson, New Zealand 15 7 Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand 8 Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa, Wellington, New Zealand

9 National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Christchurch, New Zealand

10 School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand 11 School of Environment, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

20

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence to: Fabrice Stephenson (fabrice.stephenson@waikato.ac.nz)



25

Abstract

The waters of Aotearoa New Zealand span over 4.2 million km^2 of the South Pacific Ocean and harbour a rich diversity of seafloor associated taxa. Due to the immensity and remoteness of the area, there are significant gaps in the availability of data to quantify and map the distribution of seafloor and demersal biodiversity, limiting effective management. In this study, we

- 30 describe the development and accessibility of an online atlas of seabed biodiversity that aims to fill these gaps. Species distribution models were developed for 579 taxa across four taxonomic groups: demersal fish, reef fish, subtidal invertebrates and macroalgae. Spatial layers for taxa distribution based on habitat suitability were statistically validated and then, as a further check, evaluated by taxonomic experts to provide measures of confidence to guide the future use of these layers. Spatially explicit uncertainty (SD) layers were also developed for each taxon distribution. We generated layer-specific metadata,
- 35 including statistical and expert evaluation scores, which were uploaded alongside the accompanying spatial layers to the open access database Zenodo. This database provides the most comprehensive source of information on the distribution of seafloor taxa for Aotearoa New Zealand and is thus an invaluable resource for managers, researchers and the public that will guide the management and conservation of seafloor communities.

1. Introduction

- 40 The identification of priority areas for resource management and conservation biology is often hampered by a lack of comprehensive knowledge of biodiversity patterns (Ferrier et al., 2007; Arponen et al., 2008; Hortal et al., 2015). Unfortunately, producing quantitative descriptions of large-scale biodiversity patterns is challenging, particularly in offshore marine environments, where sampling is both difficult and expensive, resulting in patchy and/or irregular coverage (Leathwick et al., 2006; Thomson et al., 2014). Species distribution models (SDMs), which are correlative models that predict the
- 45 occurrence of species in relation to environmental variables, can provide estimates of biodiversity patterns across broad spatial scales where data are often sparse. Spatial predictions of species' distributions from SDMs have become an important part of resource management and conservation biology (Moilanen et al., 2011). The development of SDMs has been further advanced by increasing accessibility to biological records from open-access data repositories such as OBIS (https://obis.org/) and GBIF (https://www.gbif.org/), or journals that specialise in providing
- 50 descriptions of, and access to, datasets (e.g., Earth System Science Data). In addition, the spatially explicit environmental variables that are used to predict species' distributions from the SDMs are also more accessible and at increasingly finer spatial resolutions (i.e., smaller grid cells size), e.g., Bio-ORACLE (Assis et al., 2018). Finally, the development of flexible machine learning methods (e.g., Boosted Regression Tree (Elith et al., 2006), Random Forest (Breiman, 2001), Maxent (Phillips et al., 2006), among others) and advances in computing power means it is possible to automate the predictions of a large number of
- 60
 2019), providing a platform to explore and download data without the requirement to have access to traditional desktop-based
 GIS. Online atlases exist for several coastal regions, including Ireland's Marine Atlas (https://atlas.marine.ie/), the Oregon

 Coastal Atlas (https://www.coastalatlas.net/), the European Atlas of the Seas (Barale et al., 2015) and the atlas for marine
 biodiversity
 conservation
 in
 the
 Coral
 Triangle

 (https://uoa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MinimalGallery/index.html?appid=e0ccfa15b54d49de9ea6a8db2079d8ac).
 The
 Coral
 Triangle





- 65 To facilitate access to biodiversity information for spatial management and systematic conservation planning, an online openaccess atlas of seabed biodiversity for the seas around Aotearoa New Zealand was developed. Aotearoa New Zealand's Territorial Sea (TS) and Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) extends over 4.2 million km² of the South Pacific Ocean (≈25 – 57°S; 162°E – 172°W) and contains high biological diversity, reflecting its wide latitudinal gradient (subtropical to subantarctic), range of water depths (intertidal to deep ocean trenches), and zones of high productivity (Costello et al., 2009,
- 70 Gordon et al., 2010). In addition, the relatively large distance between Aotearoa New Zealand and other major land masses has resulted in a high degree of species endemism (i.e., a high number of species are not found elsewhere on the planet) (Gordon et al., 2010). Such high biodiversity values mean that it is critical that spatial information of biodiversity is available for effective spatial management and conservation planning (Costello et al., 2017). Here, we provide an overview of the development and availability of spatial predictions for 579 taxa across four biotic groups (demersal fish, reef fish, subtidal
- 75 invertebrates and macroalgae), their respective estimates of uncertainty, and the online atlas created to download these data. In addition, we provide extensive metadata which includes information on the robustness of the predictions based on quantitative assessments (i.e., how closely the model predictions match taxa records) and expert assessment. Together, these assessments provide valuable information for guiding the appropriate use of biodiversity information accessed from the atlas of seabed biodiversity of Aotearoa New Zealand.

80 2. Methods

This atlas was developed to provide geo-referenced seafloor biodiversity information, and their associated uncertainty, for the waters surrounding Aotearoa New Zealand. The process began with the pooling of datasets on taxa occurrence and high-resolution environmental variables that can be used to develop SDMs (Figure 1). The methods used to produce the taxon distribution layers followed well documented procedures and are provided in the supplementary materials (supplementary

85 materials 1). Briefly, spatial distributions were generated for each taxon from four biotic groups: demersal fish (*n taxa* = 235), reef fish (*n taxa* = 51), subtidal invertebrates (*n taxa* = 207) and macroalgae (*n taxa* = 86) using ensemble SDM methods. These ensembles used the combined outputs from flexible machine learning Boosted Regression Tree (BRT) and Random Forest (RF) models as in Stephenson et al. (2021a).

Spatial predictions and associated uncertainty estimates were generated with differing geographical extents for the biotic 90 groups to avoid predicting into completely unsampled parts of the study area where such extrapolation would be highly uncertain (Figure 2). Demersal fish and subtidal invertebrate taxa were predicted from the coastline to depths of 2000 m at a 1 km grid resolution (Figure 2), whereas macroalgae and reef fish taxa were predicted to subtidal reef habitats only (defined by New Zealand Department of Conservation (DOC) national rocky reef layer) at a 250 m grid resolution. Macroalgae were

predicted to depths shallower than 40 m while reef fish were predicted to the full depth range of reef habitat (see supplementary

95 materials 1 for further details). Spatial predictions were represented by a habitat suitability index (HSI) - the relative suitability of the environmental envelope for each taxon ranging from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating a more suitable environment. To aid interpretation of HSI values we followed the subjectively defined categorization by Georgian et al. (2019): < 0.4 = low suitability, 0.4 - 0.8 = moderate suitability, and > 0.8 = high suitability. Spatially explicit measures of uncertainty for each taxon were represented by the standard deviation of the mean HSI (SD).

100







Figure 1 Infographic illustrating the development of the atlas described in this study. Presence and absence (p/a) records for four taxonomic groups were combined with over 20 spatially explicit environmental variables and used to run ensembled SDMs of Boosted Regression Trees and Random Forest models. The models were statistically validated using best practice procedures and evaluated by taxonomic experts to further appraise model accuracy. These assessments were incorporated within the metadata of each layer and uploaded, along with the layers themselves, to the Zenodo data portal. World imagery basemap utilised on inset (ESRI 2022).

An important component of being able to appropriately use the predicted distributions for management or future scientific investigations is to estimate how well the models perform. That is, if a model is assessed as performing very poorly, it may be

- 110 best to use the associated prediction with caution or not at all. Historically, this model assessment was derived from statistical model fit metrics (i.e., assessing how well the model predicts to the available biological data). However, these measures can sometimes result in over-confidence in the model results (Bowden et al., 2021). Therefore, for each taxon, two measures of model performance were provided in the metadata (Figure 1): firstly, model fit metrics using standard statistical validation; and secondly an expert evaluation (see supplementary materials 1 for methods and further information). Combined, these
- 115 assessments provide complementary information that highlights limitations and inaccuracies in the predicted taxa layers. Statistical model fits provided in the atlas include model evaluation scores (True Skill Statistic score) and the number of unique spatial occurrences to develop the modelled distribution for each taxon. The True Skill Statistic provides an index ranging from -1 to +1, where +1 equals perfect agreement and -1 = no better than random. The number of records varied from 50-13926 for demersal fish, 36-339 for reef fish, 70-10804 for subtidal invertebrates, and 50-422 for macroalgae, with a minimum
- 120 of 30 records for each modelled taxa, each representing a unique spatial occurrence. Predicted distributions were also evaluated by taxonomists and ecologists with substantial expertise in the distribution and abundance of the various taxonomic groupings. The expert assessment focussed on the congruence between predicted taxa distribution and expert view of taxa distribution, with scores ranging from 1 (Very accurate - the predicted distribution reflects expert view of taxa distribution (> 80% overlap)) to 5 (Inaccurate – the predicted distribution does not match the expert's view of the taxa distribution (i.e., < 20% agreement)).</p>
- 125 Taxon layers with expert evaluation scores of 4 or 5 (n=17) are likely to contain substantial inaccuracies (irrespective of the statistical model fits) and should therefore only be used with caution (this is highlighted in the metadata field "use limitations").



Science Signate Data

Metadata were developed for each layer following standard metadata protocols (ISO 19139 Geographic Information - Metadata - Implementation Specification, 2007) – see the supplementary materials 1 for an overview and description of the metadata categories and an example for the demersal fish *Chrysophrys auratus* (Australasian snapper) (Figure 1). Metadata for all taxa

available in the atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand is available to download with this publication (Figure 3) and includes information on both the statistical model validation and expert evaluation for each layer in the atlas.



135 Figure 2 (A) Example output map from the atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand as plotted in the NZ Department of Conservation's online geoportal (see supplementary materials 2). The example includes; (A) mean predicted habitat suitability index (HSI, 0 - 1) for Australasian snapper (*Chrysophrys auratus*, a demersal fish species) and (B) associated estimates of uncertainty (measured as the standard deviation of the mean HSI). Image produced under permission from the NZ Department of Conservation.

140 **3. Data availability**

The atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand is freely accessible via the open-access database Zenodo (Stephenson et al., 2022; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7083642). The atlas is represented by eight .zip folders (Table 1). Four folders of spatial predictions from the SDMs of each of four taxonomic groups (demersal fish, reef fish, subtidal

145 invertebrates and macroalgae). A further four .zip folders contain the associated uncertainty estimates for each SDM for each of the four taxonomic groups. Within each folder, a GeoTiff raster file contains the associated information for each taxa, and the contents of each folder can be viewed using the 'preview' function or downloaded using the 'download' function (Figure 3).





A further component of the atlas is a dataset containing the associated metadata for each spatial layer. Metadata is provided as an excel file with a unique tab for each of the four taxonomic groups (Figure 3). The metadata contains a wealth of information on the development and use of each layer within the atlas including statistical validation and expert appraisal scores (see supplementary Materials 1).

An additional and interactive source for the atlas is via an online GIS database which can be assessed through a web front-155 page: the DOC Marine Data Portal (<u>https://doc-marine-data-deptconservation.hub.arcgis.com</u>). This source, administered by the NZ Department of Conservation, allows users to plot, interrogate and download individual layers of the atlas (see supplementary materials 2).

Folder	Layers	Description	Format	Resolution
Demersal_Fish_Predicted	235	Spatial predictions	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	1 km
distributions.zip		from SDMs of 235	equal area spatial projection	
		demersal fish taxa		
Demersal	235	Spatial explicit	GeoTiff raster files – Albers 1 km	
Fish_Uncertainty.zip		uncertainty (SD) for	equal area spatial projection	
		each demersal fish		
		SDM		
Invertebrate_Predicted	207	Spatial predictions	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	1 km
distributions.zip		from SDMs of 207	equal area spatial projection	
		subtidal invertebrate		
		taxa		
Invertebrate_Uncertainty.zip	207	Spatial explicit	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	1 km
		uncertainty (SD) for	equal area spatial projection	
		each subtidal		
		invertebrate SDM		
Macroalgae_Predicted	86	Spatial predictions	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	250 m
distributions.zip		from SDMs of 207	equal area spatial projection	
		macroalgae taxa		
Macroalgae_Uncertainty.zip	86	Spatial explicit	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	250 m
		uncertainty (SD) for	equal area spatial projection	
		each macroalgae		
		SDM		
Reef fish_Predicted	51	Spatial predictions	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	250 m
distributions.zip		from SDMs of 51 reef	equal area spatial projection	
		fish taxa		
Reef fish_Uncertainty.zip	51	Spatial explicit	GeoTiff raster files - Albers	250 m
		uncertainty (SD) for	equal area spatial projection	
		each reef fish SDM		

Table 1: Summary of the contents of data folders for the atlas of seabed biodiversity on the Zenodo database.





ZECCOOO Search Q Upload Communities

June 28, 2022

An atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand

Stephenson, Fabrice, Brough, Torn; Lohrer, Drew, Leduc, Daniel; Geange, Shane; Anderson, Owen; Bowden, David; Olark, Malcolm R.; Davey, Niki; Pardo, Enrique; Gordon, Dennis P.; Finucci, Brittary; Kelly, Michelle; Macpherson, Diana; McCartain, Lisa, Mills, Sadie; Neill, Kate; Nelson, Wendy; Peart, Rachaet; Pinkerton, Matthew W.; Read, Geoffrey; Robertson, Jodie; Rowden, Ashley; Schnabel, Kareen; Stewart, Andrew; Struthers, Carl; Tait, Leigh; Tracey, Di; Weston, Shaun; Lundquist, Carolyn

Spatial datasets and associated metadata to support the publication of 'An atlas of seabed biodiversity for Actearoa New Zealand'.

The atlas contains prediction of spatial distribution, with associated uncertainty, of over 600 seafloor associated taxa throughout New Zealand waters and were developed using species distribution modelling approaches. Metadata containing model evaluation, expert appraisal scores and ancillary information for all spatial layers in the 'An atlas of seabed biodiversity for Actearoa New Zealand' is also provided. A manuscript, accompanying the publication of the atlas, has been submitted under the same title. The abstract for the manuscript is as follows.

The waters of Actearoa New Zealand span over 4.2 million km2 of the South Pacific Ocean and harbour a rich diversity of seafloor associated taxa. Due to the immensity and remoteness of the area, there are significant gaps in the availability of data to quantify and map the distribution of seafloor and demersal biodiversity (imiting effective management. In this study, we describe the development and accessibility of an online atlas of seabed biodiversity that aims to fill these gaps. Species distribution models were developed for 579 taxa across four taxonomic groups: demersal fish, reef fish, subtidal invertebrates and macroalgae. Spatial layers for taxa distribution based on habitat suitability were statistically validated and then, as a further check, evaluated by taxonomic experts to provide measures of confidence to guide the future use of these layers. Spatially explicit uncertainty (SD) layers were also developed for each taxon distribution. We generated layer specific metadata, including statistical and expert evaluation scores, which were uploaded alongside the accompanying spatial layers to an online open access marine data portal hotsed by the New Zealand Department of Conservation. The online atis is fully interactive, with search and plotting functions, and allows the export of single or multiple spatial layers on seafloor biodiversity. The atlas provides the most comprehensive database on the distribution of seafloor taxa for Actearoa New Zealand and is thus an invaluable resource for managers, researchers and the general public that will guide the management and conservation of seafloor communities.

Files (7.4 GB)		•
Name	Size	
Demersal Fish_Predicted distributions.zip	1.8 GB	• Preview & Download
md5:ae369e8af11b9bd73f3e5b42038c0e6e 🚱		
Demersal Fish_Uncertainty.zip	1.8 GB	Preview ADownload
md5:49b10ff9141e082a3d07ee5ff0d8761c 🎯		
Invertebrate_Predicted distributions.zip	1.8 GB	Preview ADownload
md5:618afca7b470d83bcb25cf053c1e14d7 🔕		
Invertebrate_Uncertainty.zip	1.7 GB	Preview Lownload
nd5:23344a06e8d44e1fceae3c219a741d24 🎯		
Macroalgae_Predicted distributions.zip	77.5 MB	Preview ADownload
nd5/494d6ee51fcf53c2Dee754c9e783f1b3 🖗		
Macroalgae_Uncertainty.zip	77.5 MB	Preview & Download
md5:52234a854584f388ac5aa7f68749bced 🖗		
Reef fish_Predicted distributions.zip	54.6 MB	Preview & Download
nd5:6d9b5f2c5e229e8e26d1528a7b6e2e1e 🚱		
Reef fish_Uncertainty.zip	54.6 MB	Preview & Download
md5:46786a404ab1af48a84817ab11a944d6 🖗		
StephensonBrough et al. NZ Seafloor Biod Atlas_METADATA.xlsx	87.9 kB	& Download
nd5:02f717853ba6857e07d1631505e6dfbe 🕖		



License (for files):

Figure 3 The front end of the Zenodo database for the atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand. Also illustrated are the atlas's eight data folders and metadata file as viewed on Zenodo. Image created under standard terms and conditions © Zenodo.

Dataset Open Access



4. Discussion

The atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand represents the most comprehensive, freely available biodiversity information for the area to date. The atlas is composed of predicted taxon distributions, associated uncertainty estimates and comprehensive metadata for 579 taxa. This resource provides managers and decision makers with tools to address environmental issues faced by subtidal ecosystems. The tools provide a detailed understanding of hotspots for key taxa (e.g., those with important functional roles, threatened taxa), as well as biodiversity (e.g., species richness) more generally. It should be noted, however, that the models used to develop taxa predictions are based on long-term correlations between taxa occurrence and environmental variables and do not consider temporal variability (e.g., seasonal or decadal variation) in

175 environmental conditions or anthropogenic stressors that may influence biodiversity patterns. Thus, the models provide a temporally smoothed indication of the distribution of seafloor taxa at a broad scale; a foundation that may be built upon by future modelling approaches that incorporate temporally dynamic variables and data on the distribution of stressors.

4.1 Using the database

- 180 Like many jurisdictions, Aotearoa New Zealand is currently transitioning to an ecosystem based management approach for the marine environment (Le Heron et al., 2020; Hewitt et al., 2018), where the impacts of resource use/stressors are appraised and mitigated across a diverse suite of ecosystem components. The availability of an open access atlas housing a significant number of biodiversity layers provides an accessible source of data layers to inform further research, management and decision-making to support ecosystem-based management. These data are particularly relevant to marine spatial planning approaches which are
- 185 useful tools for marine ecosystem-based management (Peart, 2019; Domínguez-Tejo et al., 2016). Such analyses allow the optimisation of spatial scenarios for the conservation of biodiversity (e.g., MPA planning (Asaad et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2020)) and for resource-use/biodiversity trade-offs (e.g., siting fishing corridors/aquaculture areas; (Rowden et al., 2019; Henriques et al., 2017)). Spatial planning using decision support tools requires spatial layers (typically raster datasets) on the distribution of biodiversity values within a specified study area. Tools including Zonation (Moilanen et al., 2009) and Marxan
- (Ball et al., 2009) are then used to perform spatial prioritisations of a seascape to determine the best locations for management interventions that align with management objectives while considering the distribution of biodiversity values associated with each input layer. Thus, the layers provided by the atlas in this study represent key inputs for marine spatial planning. Users may construct spatial prioritisations using a broad suite of input layers to determine high priority locations for biodiversity generally or select a subset of layers to represent, for example, key ecosystem functions (e.g., seafloor biogenic habitats), threatened taxa, or those of cultural/recreational importance.
 - 4.2 Metadata

An important component of the atlas is the provision of detailed metadata to guide the use of each layer. Users of the atlas can consult layer-specific metadata to make informed decisions on the applicability of a given layer for a certain analysis. The most critical pieces of information in the metadata are the expert-derived evaluation score and the statistical model evaluation

- 200 score, with the former being used to inform the 'use limitations' field of the metadata. These two model evaluation scores provide complementary information for a particular layer that allows users to establish decision rules around which layers are deemed suitable for further analyses. For example, if users are interested in constructing analyses to determine the best locations for the management of taxa/taxa groups, they may choose to select layers that have high statistical (Table S3) and expert derived (Table S6) evaluation scores (i.e., scores < 2), with only those layers being used in further analysis.
- 205 Alternatively, users may opt to use each layer in the atlas but weight their contribution to a spatial planning scenario by one or both evaluation scores. The subsequent weighting value can be applied to each layer to scale the HSI values according to the expert derived and/or statistical evaluation values (e.g., Stephenson et al., 2021b). Within the Zonation and Marxan decision





support tools, differential weighting of data layers is achieved by providing the software with the weighting values associated with each layer while coding a prioritisation. These tools then scale the HSI values automatically as part of the spatial
prioritisation. If users wish to perform basic prioritisation 'outside' of decision support tools, they may scale the HSI values

manually using GIS or statistical software (e.g., R).

Metadata fields on the spatial resolution and the prediction domain for each taxon provide additional useful information to guide database users. The spatial resolution (in km²) describes the size of the grid cells for each raster layer and will be either

- 215 1 km² (for demersal fish and subtidal invertebrates) or 0.06km² (250x250m) for reef fish and macroalgae. The prediction domain describes the extent (i.e., number of rows and columns) and distribution of cells that contain some information for a given taxa (e.g., all cells with HSI values). As mentioned above, the prediction domain is clipped to all areas within the EEZ and TS shallower than 2000m for demersal fish and subtidal invertebrates. For reef fish and macroalgae, the domain is further clipped to a 'rocky reef' layer. Users should be aware that the rocky reef layer has known inaccuracies, and that many reef fish
- 220 and macroalgae are not reef-obligates (i.e., also found over soft sediment habitats).

Each SDM in this database has an associated layer for spatially explicit uncertainty which holds important information for the utility of the SDMs. Due to limited sampling and/or uncertain taxa-environmental relationships, some regions within a predicted distribution will have higher uncertainty than others (Beale and Lennon, 2012; Elith and Leathwick, 2009). Spatial

- 225 planning approaches typically account for the spatial variability in uncertainty by including uncertainty layers within prioritisations that effectively discount the biodiversity values of cells by their associated uncertainty value, thus reducing contribution of areas with higher uncertainty. A similar approach may be achieved outside of decision support tools by subtracting the uncertainty layers from their associated SDM layer. The uncertainty layers, however, provide useful information on where additional sampling may be needed to improve our understanding of the distribution of individual taxa
- 230 and hence their inclusion in future management planning.

4.3 Updating of database

The development of the SDMs that underpin this database incorporated the best available information on seafloor taxa at the time of this publication; however, substantial gaps in our knowledge of some taxa and in some areas of Aotearoa New

- Zealand's large EEZ still exist. As such, it is anticipated that the layers in this database will be iteratively updated when new information on the distribution of seafloor associated taxa become available (e.g., new taxa records, Figure 1). Surveys of deeper (> 2000 m) and remote areas of the EEZ are ongoing and will yield valuable new information on the presence and absence of seafloor taxa that can be used to update the SDMs. Substantial gaps in occurrence data are also apparent in shallow (<200m) coastal habitat that should be addressed in future surveys. It is recommended that a review of the availability of new</p>
- 240 presence records for seafloor taxa be undertaken every five years, where an additional 50 presence records triggers the updating of a particular SDM. Further sampling can also address areas of model uncertainty and will also allow an expansion of this database as it is anticipated that new SDMs will be developed for taxa not currently included in this database (due to having less than 50 presence locations).

Even with new data, presence-absence analyses have major limitations where managers might want to prioritise areas of high 245 biomass or high overall biodiversity. Standardised data on taxa abundance should be recorded, which would allow the generation of SDMs that predict the distribution the relative abundance/density that often provide a more accurate representation of biodiversity patterns (Howard et al., 2014; Young et al., 2015). It is anticipated that the database described in this publication will provide the framework and repository for a diverse suite of information on seafloor biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand that can be used to inform management of the marine environment.





250

5. Supplement links

The Aotearoa New Zealand atlas of seafloor biodiversity (Zenodo); https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7083642

The Aotearoa New Zealand atlas of seafloor biodiversity (Geoportal); (<u>https://doc-marine-data-</u> 255 <u>deptconservation.hub.arcgis.com</u>

Supplementary material 1: Model development methods and use of the Department of Conservation geoportal (see associated .pdf)

260 6. Author contributions

Fabrice Stephenson, Tom Brough – Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Investigation, Project administration, Visualisation, Writing – original draft preparation, Writing – review and editing.

Drew Lohrer, Daniel Leduc, Shane Geange, Carolyn Lundquist – Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – 265 review and editing.

Owen Anderson, David Bowden, Malcolm R. Clark, Niki Davey, Dennis P. Gordon, Brittany Finucci, Michelle Kelly, Diana Macpherson, Sadie Mills, Kate Neill, Wendy Nelson, Rachael Peart, Matt Pinkerton, Geoffrey B. Read, Ashley Rowden, Kareen Schnabel, Andrew Stewart, Carl Struthers, Leigh Tait, Di Tracey – Resources, Validation, Writing – review and editing.

270 editing

Enrique Pardo, Jodie Robertson, Shaun Weston, Lisa McCartain - Software, Visualisation, Writing - review and editing.

7. Acknowledgements

275 We would like to acknowledge Auckland Museum Tāmaki Paenga Hira, National Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa, and the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research for access to underlying specimen data that was used to construct the species distribution models for this manuscript.



8. References

- 280 Aiello-Lammens, M. E., Boria, R. A., Radosavljevic, A., Vilela, B., and Anderson, R. P.: spThin: an R package for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use in ecological niche models, Ecography, 38, 541-545, 2015. Allouche, O., Tsoar, A., and Kadmon, R.: Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models: prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS), J. Appl. Ecol., 43, 1223-1232, 2006.
- Anderson, O., Stephenson, F., and Behrens, E.: Updated habitat suitability modelling for protected corals in New Zealand waters, NIWA report prepared for Department of Conservation (DOC), NIWA CLIENT REPORT No: 2020174WN 2020.
- Anderson, O. F., Guinotte, J. M., Rowden, A. A., Tracey, D. M., Mackay, K. A., and Clark, M. R.: Habitat suitability models for predicting the occurrence of vulnerable marine ecosystems in the seas around New Zealand, Deep Sea Res. Part I, 115, 265-292, 2016. Arponen, A., Moilanen, A., and Ferrier, S.: A successful community-level strategy for conservation prioritization, J. Appl. Ecol., 45, 1436-1445, 2008.
- 290 Asaad, I., Lundquist, C. J., Erdmann, M. V., and Costello, M. J.: An interactive atlas for marine biodiversity conservation in the Coral Triangle, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 163-174, 10.5194/essd-11-163-2019, 2019. Asaad, I., Lundquist, C. J., Erdmann, M. V., Van Hooidonk, R., and Costello, M. J.: Designating Spatial Priorities for Marine Biodiversity Conservation in the Coral Triangle, Front. Mar. Sci, 5, 10.3389/fmars.2018.00400, 2018.

Assis, J., Tyberghein, L., Bosch, S., Verbruggen, H., Serrão, E. A., and De Clerck, O.: Bio-ORACLE v2.0: Extending marine data layers for
 bioclimatic modelling, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 27, 277-284, https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12693, 2018.

Ball, I. R., Possingham, H. P., and Watts, M.: Marxan and relatives: software for spatial conservation prioritisation, in: Spatial conservation prioritisation: Quantitative methods and computational tools, 185-196., 2009.

Barbet-Massin, M., Jiguet, F., Albert, C. H., and Thuiller, W.: Selecting pseudo-absences for species distribution models: how, where and how many?, Methods Ecol. Evol., 3, 327-338, 2012.

- 300 Barale, V., Assouline, M., Dusart, J., and Gaffuri, J.: The European atlas of the seas: relating natural and socio-economic elements of coastal and marine environments in the European Union. Mar. Geod., 38, 79-88. 2015 Beale, C. M. and Lennon, J. J.: Incorporating uncertainty in predictive species distribution modelling, Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 367, 247-258, 10.1098/rstb.2011.0178, 2012. Behrenfeld, M. J. and Falkowski, P. G.: Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite-based chlorophyll concentration, Limnol. Oceanogr., 42,
- 305 1-20, 1997. Bowden, D. A., Anderson, O. F., Rowden, A. A., Stephenson, F., and Clark, M. R.: Assessing Habitat Suitability Models for the Deep Sea: Is Our Ability to Predict the Distributions of Seafloor Fauna Improving?, Front. Mar. Sci, 8, 10.3389/fmars.2021.632389, 2021. Breiman, L.: Random forests, Mach. Learn., 45, 5-32, 2001.
- Cael, B., Bisson, K., and Follett, C. L.: Can rates of ocean primary production and biological carbon export be related through their 310 probability distributions?, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 32, 954-970, 2018.

CSIRO Atlas of Regional Seas: www.cmar.csiro.au/cars, last access: 14/12/2017.
 Compton, T. J., Bowden, D. A., Roland Pitcher, C., Hewitt, J. E., and Ellis, N.: Biophysical patterns in benthic assemblage composition across contrasting continental margins off New Zealand, J. Biogeogr., 40, 75-89, 2013.
 Costello, M. J., Tsai, P., Wong, P. S., Cheung, A. K. L., Basher, Z., and Chaudhary, C.: Marine biogeographic realms and species endemicity,

315 Nat. Commun., 8, 1057, 10.1038/s41467-017-01121-2, 2017. Domínguez-Tejo, E., Metternicht, G., Johnston, E., and Hedge, L.: Marine Spatial Planning advancing the Ecosystem-Based Approach to coastal zone management: A review, Mar. Pol., 72, 115-130, 10.1016/j.marpol.2016.06.023, 2016. Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J. R. G., Gruber, B., Lafourcade, B., and Leitão, P. J.: Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance, Ecography, 36, 27-46, 2013.

- Elith, J. and Leathwick, J. R.: Species Distribution Models: Ecological Explanation and Prediction Across Space and Time, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 40, 677-697, 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159, 2009.
 Elith, J., Kearney, M., and Phillips, S.: The art of modelling range-shifting species, Methods Ecol. Evol., 1, 330-342, 2010.
 Elith, J., Leathwick, J. R., and Hastie, T.: A working guide to boosted regression trees, J. Anim. Ecol., 77, 802-813, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01390.x, 2008.
- 325 Elith, J., Graham, C. H., Anderson, R. P., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., Hijmans, R. J., Huettmann, F., Leathwick, J. R., Lehmann, A., Li, J., Lohmann, L. G., Loiselle, B. A., Manion, G., Moritz, C., Nakamura, M., Nakazawa, Y., Overton, J., Townsend, P., A. Phillips, S. J., Richardson, K., Scachetti-Pereira, R., Schapire, R. E., Soberón, J., Williams, S., Wisz, M. S., and Zimmermann, N. E.: Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data, Ecography, 29, 129-151, 10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04596.x, 2006. Ellis, N., Smith, S. J., and Pitcher, C. R.: Gradient forests: calculating importance gradients on physical predictors, Ecology, 93, 156-168,
- 330 10.1890/11-0252.1, 2012. ESRI, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA FSA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community. World imagery basemap. <u>https://services.arcgisonline.com/ArcGIS/rest/services/World_Imagery/MapServer</u>. Novermber 2022 Ferrier, S., Manion, G., Elith, J., and Richardson, K.: Using generalized dissimilarity modelling to analyse and predict patterns of beta diversity in regional biodiversity assessment, Divers. Distrib., 13, 252-264, 2007.
- 335 Folk, R. L., Andrews, P. B., and Lewis, D. W.: Detrital sedimentary rock classification and nomenclature for use in New Zealand, N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys., 13, 937-968, 10.1080/00288306.1970.10418211, 1970. Frouin, R., McPherson, J., Ueyoshi, K., and Franz, B. A.: A time series of photosynthetically available radiation at the ocean surface from SeaWiFS and MODIS data, Rem Sens Environ, 852519,

Georgian, S. E., Anderson, O. F., and Rowden, A. A.: Ensemble habitat suitability modeling of vulnerable marine ecosystem indicator taxa to inform deep-sea fisheries management in the South Pacific Ocean, Fish. Res., 211, 256-274, 10.1016/j.fishres.2018.11.020, 2019.

Glad, A., Monnet, J.-M., Pagel, J., and Reineking, B.: Importance and effectiveness of correction methods for spatial sampling bias in species with sex-specific habitat preference, Ecol Evol, 9, 13188-13201, 10.1002/ece3.5765, 2019.

Gordon, D. P., Beaumont, J., MacDiarmid, A., Robertson, D. A., and Ahyong, S. T.: Marine Biodiversity of Aotearoa New Zealand, PLoS ONE 5, e10905, 2010.

345 Hamylton, S. M.: Spatial analysis of coastal environments, Cambridge University Press, 2017. Henriques, N. S., Monteiro, P., Bentes, L., Oliveira, F., Afonso, C. M. L., and Gonçalves, J. M. S.: Marxan as a zoning tool for development and economic purposed areas - Aquaculture Management Areas (AMAs), Ocean Coast Manag., 141, 90-97, 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.03.016, 2017.



- Hewitt, J., Faulkner, L., Greenaway, A., and Lundquist, C.: Proposed ecosystem-based management principles for New Zealand, Resource Management Journal November 2018, 10-13., 2018.
- Hijmans, R. J., Phillips, S., Leathwick, J., and Elith, J.: dismo: Species Distribution Modeling R package version 1.1-4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dismo, 2017.
- Hortal, J., de Bello, F., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., Lewinsohn, T. M., Lobo, J. M., and Ladle, R. J.: Seven shortfalls that beset large-scale knowledge of biodiversity, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 46, 523-549, 2015.
- 355 Howard, C., Stephens, P. A., Pearce-Higgins, J. W., Gregory, R. D., Willis, S. G., and McPherson, J.: Improving species distribution models: the value of data on abundance, Methods Ecol. Evol., 5, 506-513, 10.1111/2041-210x.12184, 2014. Jouffray, J.-B., Wedding, L. M., Norström, A. V., Donovan, M. K., Williams, G. J., Crowder, L. B., Erickson, A. L., Friedlander, A. M., Graham, N. A., and Gove, J. M.: Parsing human and biophysical drivers of coral reef regimes, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B, 286, 20182544, 2019
- 360 Le Heron, E., Le Heron, R., Taylor, L., Lundquist, C. J., and Greenaway, A.: Remaking ocean governance in Aotearoa New Zealand through boundary-crossing narratives about ecosystem-based management, Mar Policy, 122, 10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104222, 2020. Leathwick, J., Elith, J., Francis, M., Hastie, T., and Taylor, P.: Variation in demersal fish species richness in the oceans surrounding New Zealand: an analysis using boosted regression trees, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 321, 267-281, 2006. Liaw, A. and Wiener, M.: Classification and regression by randomForest, R news, 2, 18-22, 2002.
- 365 Lundquist, C., Stephenson, F., McCartain, L., Watson, S., Brough, T., Nelson, W., Neill, K., Anderson, T., Anderson, O., Bulmer, R., Gee, E., Pinkerton, M., Rowden, A., and Thompson, D.: Evaluating Key Ecological Areas datasets for the New Zealand Marine Environment. Project #DOC19206, Hamilton, New Zealand. 120 p., 2020. Available at https://www.doc.govt.nz/our-work/mpas-research-programme/ Mitchell, J. S., Mackay, K. A., Neil, H. L., Mackay, E. J., Pallentin, A., and Notman, P.: Undersea New Zealand, 1:5,000,000, NIWA Chart, Miscellaneous Series No. 92, 2012.
- 370 Moilanen, A., Kujala, H., and Leathwick, J.: The Zonation framework and software for conservation prioritization, In A. Moilanen, K. A. Wilson, & H. P. Possingham (Eds.), *Spatial conservation prioritization* (pp. 196-210). Oxford University Press 2009. Moilanen, A., Leathwick, J. R., and Quinn, J. M.: Spatial prioritization of conservation management, Conserv. Lett., 4, 383-393, 2011. Müller, D., Leitão, P. J., and Sikor, T.: Comparing the determinants of cropland abandonment in Albania and Romania using boosted regression trees, Agric. Syst., 117, 66-77, 2013.
- 375 New Zealand fish and squid distributions from research bottom trawls. Southwestern Pacific OBIS, 486781 records: http://nzobisipt.niwa.co.nz/resource.do?r=obisprovider, last access: 01/09/2019. Catch data from New Zealand research trawls. Southwestern Pacific OBIS, 15157 records: http://nzobisipt.niwa.co.nz/resource.do?r=trawl, last access: 01/09/2019. Peart, R.: Sea Change Tai Timu Tai Pari: addressing catchment and marine issues in an integrated marine spatial planning process, Aquat.
- Conserv. Mar. Freshwater Ecosyst., 29, 1561-1573, 10.1002/aqc.3156, 2019.
 Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., and Schapire, R. E.: Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions, Ecol. Modell., 190, 231-259, 2006.
 Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M., Elith, J., Graham, C. H., Lehmann, A., Leathwick, J., and Ferrier, S.: Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: implications for background and pseudo-absence data, Ecol. Appl., 19, 181-197, 2009.
- Binkerton, M., Gall, M., Wood, S., and Zeldis, J.: Measuring the effects of bivalve mariculture on water quality in northern New Zealand using 15 years of MODIS-Aqua satellite observations, Aquac. Environ. Interact., 10, 529-545, 2018.
 Pinkerton, M., Rickard, G., Nodder, S., and Macdonald, H.: Climate change impacts and implications: net primary production, particulate flux and impacts on fish species in the New Zealand region, NIWA report 62 pp, 2016.
 R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria 2020.
- Ridgway, G.: Generalized Boosted Models: A guide to the gbm package, 2007.
 Ridgway, K., Dunn, J., and Wilkin, J.: Ocean interpolation by four-dimensional weighted least squares—Application to the waters around Australasia, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 19, 1357-1375, 2002.
 Rowden, A. A., Anderson, O. F., Georgian, S. E., Bowden, D. A., Clark, M. R., Pallentin, A., and Miller, A.: High-Resolution Habitat Suitability Models for the Conservation and Management of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems on the Louisville Seamount Chain, South Pacific
- 395 Ocean, Front. Mar. Sci, 4, 335, 2017. Rowden, A. A., Stephenson, F., Clark, M. R., Anderson, O. F., Guinotte, J. M., Baird, S. J., Roux, M. J., Wadhwa, S., Cryer, M., and Lundquist, C. J.: Examining the utility of a decision-support tool to develop spatial management options for the protection of vulnerable marine ecosystems on the high seas around New Zealand, Ocean Coast Manag., 170, 1-16, 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.12.033, 2019. Smith, A. N., Duffy, C., Anthony, J., and Leathwick, J. R.: Predicting the distribution and relative abundance of fishes on shallow subtidal
- 400 reefs around New Zealand. Science for Conservation 323, 29pp. Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand, 2013. Stephenson, F., Rowden, A. A., Anderson, O. F., Pitcher, C. R., Pinkerton, M. H., Petersen, G., and Bowden, D. A.: Presence-only habitat suitability models for vulnerable marine ecosystem indicator taxa in the South Pacific have reached their predictive limit, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 78, 2830-2843, 10.1093/icesjms/fsab162, 2021a.
- Stephenson, F., Brough, T., Lohrer, D., Leduc, D., Geange, S., and Lundquist, C.:An atlas of seabed biodiversity for Aotearoa New Zealand. [Data set]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6766002. 2022
- Stephenson, F., Leathwick, J. R., Geange, S. W., Bulmer, R. H., Hewitt, J. E., Anderson, O. F., Rowden, A. A., and Lundquist, C. J.: Using Gradient Forests to summarize patterns in species turnover across large spatial scales and inform conservation planning, Divers. Distrib., 24, 1641 - 1656, doi:10.1111/ddi.12787, 2018a.
- Stephenson, F., Goetz, K., Sharp, B. R., Mouton, T. L., Beets, F. L., Roberts, J., MacDiarmid, A. B., Constantine, R., and Lundquist, C. J.: 410 Modelling the spatial distribution of cetaceans in New Zealand waters, Divers. Distrib., 26, 495-516, 10.1111/ddi.13035, 2020.
- Stephenson, F., Hewitt, J. E., Torres, L. G., Mouton, T. L., Brough, T., Goetz, K. T., Lundquist, C. J., MacDiarmid, A. B., Ellis, J., and Constantine, R.: Cetacean conservation planning in a global diversity hotspot: dealing with uncertainty and data deficiencies, Ecosphere, 12, e03633, https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3633, 2021b.
- Stephenson, F., Rowden, A., Anderson, T., Hewitt, J., Costello, M., Pinkerton, M., Morrison, M., Clark, M., Wadhwa, S., Mouton, T., and Lundquist, C.: Mapping Key Ecological Areas in the New Zealand Marine Environment: Data collation, NIWA Client Report 2018332HN, prepared for the Department of Conservation, 2018b. Available at https://www.doc.govt.nz/our-work/mpas-research-programme/ Stephenson, F., Rowden, A. A., Brough, T., Petersen, G., Bulmer, R. H., Leathwick, J. R., Lohrer, A. M., Ellis, J. I., Bowden, D. A., Geange,
 - S. W., Funnell, G. A., Freeman, D. J., Tunley, K., Tellier, P., Clark, D. E., Lundquist, C. J., Greenfield, B. L., Tuck, I. D., Mouton, T. L., Neill, K. F., Mackay, K. A., Pinkerton, M. H., Anderson, O. F., Gorman, R. M., Mills, S., Watson, S., Nelson, W. A., and Hewitt, J. E.:





420 Development of a Seafloor Community Classification for the New Zealand Region Using a Gradient Forest Approach, Front. Mar. Sci, 8, 10.3389/fmars.2021.792712, 2022.

Thomson, R. J., Hill, N. A., Leaper, R., Ellis, N., Pitcher, C. R., Barrett, N. S., and J Edgar, G.: Congruence in demersal fish, macroinvertebrate, and macroalgal community turnover on shallow temperate reefs, Ecol. Appl., 24, 287-299, 2014.

Walters, R. A., Goring, D. G., and Bell, R. G.: Ocean tides around New Zealand, N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwater Res., 35, 567-579, 2001.

- 425 Inherent Optical Properties (IOPs) Algaorithm Theoretical Basis Document: https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/atbd/giop/, last access: 27/07/2020.
 - Wright, D., Pendleton, M., Boulware, J., Walbridge, S., Gerlt, B., Eslinger, D., Sampson, D., and Huntley, E.: ArcGIS Benthic Terrain Modeler (BTM), v. 3.0, Environmental Systems Research Institute, NOAA Coastal Services Center, Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management, Available Onlineat Http://Esriurl. Com, 5754, 2012.
- Young, M., Carr, M. H., and Robertson, M.: Application of species distribution models to explain and predict the distribution, abundance and assemblage structure of nearshore temperate reef fishes, Divers. Distrib., 21, 1428-1440, 10.1111/ddi.12378, 2015.
 Zhao, Q., Stephenson, F., Lundquist, C., Kaschner, K., Jayathilake, D., and Costello, M. J.: Where Marine Protected Areas would best represent 30% of ocean biodiversity, Biol. Conserv., 244, 10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108536, 2020.

435