1	British Antarctic Survey's Aerogeophysical Data: Releasing 25 Years of
2	Airborne Gravity, Magnetic, and Radar Datasets over Antarctica
3	
4	Alice C. Frémand ^{1*} , Julien A. Bodart ^{1, 2*} , Tom A. Jordan ¹ , Fausto Ferraccioli ^{1, 3} , Carl
5	Robinson ¹ , Hugh F.J. Corr ¹ , Helen J. Peat ¹ , Robert G. Bingham ² , and David G. Vaughan ¹
6	
7	¹ British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK.
8	² School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
9	³ Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale, Trieste, Italy
10	
11	* These authors contributed equally to this work.
12	
13	Corresponding authors: Alice C. Fremand (<u>almand@bas.ac.uk</u>) and Julien A. Bodart
14	(julien.bodart@ed.ac.uk)
15	
10	V D
10	Key Points
10	• We present the release of 64 encountry is all detects (including gravity magnetic had nick
20 19	• we present the release of 64 aerogeophysical datasets (including gravity, magnetic, bed-pick, and radar data) obtained from 24 surveys flown by the British Antarctic Survey over West
20 21	Antarctica, East Antarctica, and the Antarctic Peninsula between 1994 and 2020
22	A marched, East A marched, and the A marche I emissia between 1994 and 2020.
23	• The published datasets have been standardised according to the FAIR (Findable Accessible
24	Interoperable and Re-Usable) data principles and integrated into a user-friendly data interface.
25	the Polar Airborne Geophysics Data Portal, to further enhance the interactivity of the datasets.
26	
27	• We discuss how the data were acquired and processed and show the potential re-usability of
28	the newly released aerogeophysical data by investigating the englacial architecture of the ice
29	from airborne radars using an automatic layer-continuity method.
30	

31 Abstract

32

33 Over the past 50 years, the British Antarctic Survey (BAS) has been one of the major acquirers of 34 aerogeophysical data over Antarctica, providing scientists with gravity, magnetic and radar datasets that 35 have been central to many studies of the past, present, and future evolution of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. 36 Until recently, many of these datasets were not openly available, restricting further usage of the data for different glaciological and geophysical applications. Starting in 2020, scientists and data managers 37 38 at BAS have worked on standardising and releasing large swaths of aerogeophysical data acquired 39 during the period 1994-2020, including a total of 64 datasets from 24 different surveys, amounting to 40 ~450,000 line-km (or 5.3 million km²) of data across West Antarctica, East Antarctica, and the Antarctic 41 Peninsula. Amongst these are the extensive surveys over the fast-changing Pine Island (BBAS 2004-42 05) and Thwaites (ITGC 2018-19 & 2019-20) glacier catchments, and the first ever surveys of the 43 Wilkes Subglacial Basin (WISE-ISODYN 2005-06) and Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains (AGAP 44 2007-09). Considerable effort has been made to standardise these datasets to comply with the FAIR 45 (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Re-Usable) data principles, as well as to create the Polar 46 Airborne Geophysics Data Portal (https://www.bas.ac.uk/project/nagdp/), which serves as a userfriendly interface to interact with and download the newly published data. This paper reviews how these 47 48 datasets were acquired and processed, presents the methods used to standardise them, and introduces 49 the new data portal and interactive tutorials that were created to improve the accessibility of the data. Lastly, we exemplify future potential uses of the aerogeophysical datasets by extracting information on 50 51 the continuity of englacial layering from the fully published airborne radar data. We believe this newly 52 released data will be a valuable asset to future glaciological and geophysical studies over Antarctica 53 and will extend significantly the life cycle of the data. All datasets included in this data release are now 54 fully accessible at: https://data.bas.ac.uk.

55 56

57 Key Words: Aerogeophysics, Gravity, Magnetics, Radar, Antarctica, Airborne, Ice Thickness, Data,
 58 FAIR

60 **1. Introduction**

61 As one of the fastest changing environments on Earth, Antarctica has been at the epicentre of scientific research since the early 1960s. Understanding the past, present, and future of the Antarctic 62 Ice Sheet is of special interest, particularly in the context of rapid climatic changes already affecting 63 large parts of the Antarctic Peninsula and threatening the stability of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet 64 (IPCC, 2021). One way to quantify how the ice sheet will respond to these changes is to conduct 65 66 studies of englacial and basal properties of the ice using geophysical techniques such as gravity, magnetic, and radar. By studying the bedrock topography beneath an ice sheet, we can better estimate 67 68 where a retreating ice stream is more likely to stabilise or de-stabilise further (Holt et al., 2006; Vaughan et al., 2006; Tinto and Bell, 2011; Ross et al., 2012; Morlighem et al., 2020) and how 69 landforms or subglacial water-routing systems can affect the flow-regime of ice streams (Bell et al., 70 2011; Wright et al., 2012; Schroeder et al., 2013; Ashmore and Bingham, 2014; Siegert et al., 2014; 71 72 Young et al., 2016; Napoleoni et al., 2020). By studying the subglacial geology, we can better 73 understand magmatic, tectonic and sedimentary influences on ice flow over timescales of hundreds, thousands or even millions of years (Bell et al., 1998; Blankenship et al., 2001; Studinger et al., 2001; 74 Bamber et al., 2006; Bell et al., 2006; Jordan et al., 2010; Bingham et al., 2012), and quantify the 75 influence of geothermal heat flux on ice dynamics (Schroeder et al., 2014; Jordan et al., 2018). 76 Finally, the use of gravity techniques enables us to better understand the bathymetry beneath fast-77 78 changing ice shelves and ice-stream fronts and quantify areas of high sensitivity (Greenbaum et al., 79 2015; Millan et al., 2017; Tinto et al., 2019; Jordan et al., 2020).

80 Since the mid-1960s, the British Antarctic Survey (BAS) has been involved in acquiring 81 aerogeophysical data with a particular focus on radar-data acquisition using a 35- and 60-MHz radioecho sounder developed at the Scott Polar Research Institute (Robin et al., 1970), and, in collaboration 82 83 with the Technical University of Denmark, using slightly improved versions of the same analogue radar system until the early 1990s (Robin et al., 1977). The subsequent development of an in-house 84 digital radar system at BAS in 1993-94 (Corr and Popple, 1994), and accompanying gravity and 85 86 magnetic instruments, allowed for the first surveys over West Antarctica's Evans Ice Stream to be 87 conducted in 1994-95, marking the start of modern digital aerogeophysical surveying of the Antarctic 88 by BAS. Further improvements in survey techniques and instruments have allowed BAS to develop 89 its aerogeophysical capabilities further and become one of the leaders in aerogeophysics over the 90 Antarctic.

91 Since the mid-1990s, aerogeophysical datasets acquired by BAS have played a vital role in understanding past and current ice-dynamical and lithospheric processes over the Antarctic Ice Sheet. 92 In total, BAS flew 24 survey campaigns between 1994 and 2020, representing a total of ~450,000 93 94 line-km of aerogeophysical data over the Antarctic Peninsula and the West and East Antarctic Ice 95 Sheets (hereafter abbreviated to WAIS and EAIS, respectively) (Fig. 1, Table 1). The total cumulative survey coverage since 1994 is 5.3 million km^2 , equivalent to > 30 % of the total area of the Antarctic 96 97 Ice Sheet (14.2 million km²). Many of these surveys were acquired as part of large international collaborative projects such as the International Polar Year AGAP project, the European Space Agency 98 99 (ESA) PolarGAP project, and the US-UK International Thwaites Glacier Collaboration (ITGC), amongst others. Importantly, much of the data acquired since then have been central to the output of 100 101 large international science groups, such as the SCAR-funded (Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research) BEDMAP (I/II/III), ADMAP (I/II), AntArchitecture, and IBCSO projects (Lythe et al., 102 103 2001; Arndt et al., 2013; Fretwell et al., 2013; Golynsky et al., 2018).



105 Figure 1. Map showing all the published datasets included in this data release. The colours are the 106 same as those used on the data portal interface. Abbreviations are as follows: AC: AFI Coats Land (2001-02); 107 AD: Andrill HRAM (2008-09); AG: AGAP (2007-09); AI: Adelaide Island (2010-11); BB: BBAS (2004-05); BC: Black Coast (1996-97); CI: Charcot Island (1996-97); DF: DUFEK (1998-99); EV: EVANS (1994-95); 108 F15: FISS 2015 (2015-16); F16: FISS-EC-Halley 2016 (2015-16); GI: GRADES-IMAGE (2006-07); IG: 109 110 ICEGRAV (2012-13); IM: IMAFI (2010-11); JRI: James Ross Island (1997-98); LA: Larsen Ice Shelf (1997-111 98); M: MAMOG (2001-02); PG: PolarGAP (2015-16); PI: Pine Island Glacier Ice Shelf (2010-11); SP: SPARC (2002-03); T18: ITGC Thwaites (2018-19); T19: ITGC Thwaites (2019-20); TO: TORUS (2001-02); 112 113 WI: WISE-ISODYN (2005-06). The legend on the right-hand side of the figure shows the colour corresponding to each survey. The background image is from the Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica (LIMA; Bindschadler et 114 115 al., 2008).

116 Despite the importance of these surveys for understanding the Antarctic cryosphere and 117 tectonics, until now the underlying data have been relatively inaccessible to the wider scientific 118 communities due to the scale of the data-management task required. This lack of accessibility has

hampered the ability of the wider research community to extract further valuable information from

120 these datasets. In 2020, a collaborative project between the UK Polar Data Centre (PDC,

- 121 https://www.bas.ac.uk/data/uk-pdc/) and the BAS Airborne Geophysics science team was set up to
- improve the FAIR-ness (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability and Re-Usability; Wilkinson et al.,
- 123 2016) of these data. The main objectives of this collaboration were to comply with national and
- international policies on data sharing and accessibility, foster new collaborations, and allow the

125 further re-use of these data beyond the lifespan of the science projects.

This paper presents the result of this successful collaboration between data managers and
 scientists to standardise and release most of BAS' aerogeophysical data acquired to date using modern

instruments from 1994 onwards. Data acquired prior to this, while particularly useful to long-term monitoring of ice sheet conditions, are much more challenging and time-consuming to bring up to

130 modern standards (see Schroeder et al., 2019; Sect 5.3), and are thus not included in the data release

discussed here. Section 2 of this paper reviews the main scientific findings from each survey flown
 between 1994 and 2020. Section 3 describes the various instruments and techniques used to acquire

and process the data. Section 4 outlines the format and data publishing strategy for our datasets

following the FAIR data principles, as well as the creation of a new data portal and interactive, open-

- access tutorials. Finally, Section 5 provides a case study for the re-usability of the newly released
- aerogeophysical data, as well as suggestions on future uses of the data portal and aspirations for future
- data releases.
- 138

139 **2. Background**

140 The following section reviews the main scientific findings related to the acquisition of aerogeophysical data from BAS for the period 1994-2020 and is divided into two sub-sections: 141 findings from surveys conducted pre-2004 using older aerogeophysical instruments and for which the 142 fully processed 2-D radar data is not published as part of this data release (see Table 1, Section 5.3), 143 144 and surveys conducted post-2004 using the PASIN-1 (2004-2015) and PASIN-2 (2015-2020) radar systems and more modern data acquisition methods. Figures 2-3 present the wide-ranging datasets of 145 146 gravity and magnetic anomalies, bed elevation and ice thickness, and 2-D radar profiles ensuing from the surveys discussed in Sections 2.1. and 2.2. 147

148

2.1. Aerogeophysical Surveys for the Period 1994-2004

149 The first surveys conducted by BAS since the mid-1990s involved extensive gravity and magnetic surveying of the western and eastern Antarctic Peninsula and Weddell Sea Embayment. 150 Surveys over Evans Ice Stream (1994-95), Black Coast (1996-97), Charcot Island (1996-97), and 151 James Ross Island (1997-98) (Fig. 1, Table 1) provided new insights into the history of crustal 152 153 boundaries between the eastern Antarctic Peninsula and the Filchner Block (Ferris et al., 2002), evidence of crustal thinning below Evans Ice Stream (Jones et al., 2002), and new understanding of 154 the magmatic and tectonic processes around the Mount Haddington stratovolcano on James Ross 155 Island (Jordan et al., 2009). A further study covering the Larsen Ice Shelf (Antarctic Peninsula) was 156 157 conducted conjointly by BAS and Instituto Antártico Argentino in 1997-98. The radar data acquired during this survey was used in ocean (Holland et al., 2009) and firn-density (Holland et al., 2011) 158 159 models to improve our understanding of ice-ocean interactions and ice-surface elevation change on 160 the ice shelf. In 1998-99, extensive aeromagnetic surveying of the Dufek Massif (West Antarctica / East Antarctica) revealed the presence of a Jurassic dyke swarm that likely acted as a magma 161 transport and feeder system to the Ferrar Large Igneous Province (Ferris et al., 2003). In 2001-02, an 162 163 additional survey was flown as part of the TORUS (Targeting ice-stream Onset Regions and Underice Systems) initiative to assess the factors controlling the dynamics of the Rutford Ice Stream using 164 gravity, magnetic and radar instruments over a high-resolution grid spacing of ~10 km (Vaughan et 165 al., 2008). Lastly for the WAIS, the SPARC (Superterranes of the Pacific Margin Arc) campaign of 166 2002-03 over Northern Palmer Land (Antarctic Peninsula) used gravity and magnetic instruments to 167 168 reveal subglacial imprints of crustal growth linked with the Gondwana margin (Ferraccioli et al., 2006). 169

Over East Antarctica, two surveys conducted in 2001-02 acquired detailed gravity, magnetic
and radar measurements over Slessor Glacier (AFI; Antarctic Funding Initiative Coats Land survey)
and Jutulstraumen Ice Stream (MAMOG; Magmatism as a Monitor of Gondwana break-up). The AFI
Coats Land survey, a UK initiative between BAS and the University of Bristol, provided the first

accurate measurements of ice thickness and bed elevation in the area (Rippin et al., 2003a) (Fig. 2),

and led to the discovery of a ~3-km thick sedimentary basin associated with a weak till layer at the
bed which enhances basal motion and affects the flow regime of this part of the EAIS (Rippin et al.,
2003a ; Bamber et al., 2006; Shepherd et al., 2006). The MAMOG survey revealed the presence of a
subglacial Jurassic continental rift in the area of western Dronning Maud Land, providing early
evidence for the initial Gondwana break up (Ferraccioli et al., 2005a; 2005b).

180

2.2. Aerogeophysical Surveys for the Period 2004-2020

181 Building from the surveys prior to 2004 which were relatively small in areal extent, BAS began surveying larger areas from the mid-2000s onwards (Table 1), primarily due to enhanced 182 183 international collaborations and improvements in data acquisition and instruments which led to data 184 being acquired both at higher resolution and over larger spatial scales. The acquisition strategy was to collect data from multiple geophysical sensors mounted on BAS' Twin Otter aircraft across every 185 186 survey, giving a holistic view of vast and previously unsurveyed regions (Fig. 4-5). The core sensor suite included gravity and magnetic instruments used to understand the geological nature of the 187 188 subglacial basins and mountains along with their tectonic structure, alongside the radar system used to map ice thickness and bed elevation. The development of a new radar system, the Polarimetric 189 Airborne System Instrument (PASIN) (PASIN-1, 2004-2015) (see Section 3.1.3), and an improved 190 version of the same system (PASIN-2, 2015-16 onwards), allowed for the efficient collection of high-191 192 quality digital radar data for BAS-led campaigns in the Antarctic.

We describe the findings from these surveys into two sub-sections (Section 2.2.1 for surveys
between 2004-2015; Section 2.2.2 for surveys between 2015-20) to reflect the acquisition of data
prior to and following the upgrade of the PASIN system (see Section 3.1.3).

196 **2.2.1 2004-2015**

197 The first mission to utilise the PASIN-1 radar system was the 2004-05 BBAS survey of Pine Island Glacier, which aimed to characterise the subglacial conditions of this sensitive glacier of West 198 Antarctica (Vaugan et al., 2006). This survey provided two key findings: a) the discovery of a deep 199 200 subglacial trough, 500 m at its deepest point and 250 km long, through which Pine Island Glacier flows; and b) the existence of well-constrained valley walls which would likely provide a buffer 201 against a potential catastrophic collapse of the WAIS via Pine Island Glacier (Vaughan et al., 2006). 202 Further studies utilising this dataset focused primarily on bed characteristics and the subglacial 203 204 hydrology of the catchment (Rippin et al., 2011; Napoleoni et al., 2020; Chu et al., 2021), as well as tracking englacial layers and quantifying past-accumulation rates (Corr and Vaughan, 2008; Karlsson 205 et al., 2009; 2014; Bodart et al., 2021). The survey was also conducted simultaneously with another 206 207 covering the Thwaites Glacier catchment led by the University of Texas Institute for Geophysics and 208 the National Science Foundation of the United States (Holt et al., 2006), enabling a comparison of the 209 surveying capabilities where the surveys overlapped (e.g. Chu et al., 2021).

210 Table 1. Information on the period, region, sub-region, type of data acquired, total line-coverage 211 (km), total coverage area (km²), and key reference for each survey included in this data release. For 212 "Data", the abbreviations are as follows: Gravity (G), Magnetic (M), Radar (R). For "Regions", abbreviations 213 are as follows: APIS (Antarctic Peninsula Ice Sheet), EAIS (East Antarctic Ice Sheet), WAIS (West Antarctic 214 Ice Sheet). "DML" stands for Dronning Maud Land and "PIG" for Pine Island Glacier. The total area in km² is 215 calculated as a cumulative total area of the spatial footprint of the survey's minimum and maximum extent. *For 216 AGAP, the data release only consists of the BAS-acquired data, which represents approximately half of the total 217 (~120,000 km) survey coverage from the whole AGAP expedition (see Section 2.2.1).

Survey	Year	Region	Sub-Region	Data	Total line coverage (km)	Total coverage area (km ²)	Reference
EVANS	1994-95	WAIS/ APIS	Evans Ice Stream	G, M, R	11500	1.06 x10 ⁵	Jones et al. (2002)

Black Coast	1996-97	APIS	Black Coast/ Weddell Sea	М	10000	8.96 x10 ⁴	Ferris et al. (2002)
CHARCOT	1996-97	APIS	Charcot Island	М	7500	1.67 x10 ⁵	Johnson et al. (1999)
James Ross Island	1997-98	APIS	James Ross Island	G, M, R	10000	3.32 x10 ⁴	Jordan et al. (2009)
LARSEN	1997-98	APIS	Larsen Ice Shelf	M, R	5800	5.96 x10 ⁴	Holland et al. (2009)
DUFEK	1998-99	WAIS/ EAIS	Dufek Massif	G, M, R	8300	4.66 x10 ⁴	Ferris et al. (2003)
AFI Coats Land	2001-02	EAIS	Slessor Glacier	G, M, R	5000	6.53 x10 ⁴	Rippin et al. (2003a)
MAMOG	2001-02	EAIS	Jutulstraumen Ice Stream / DML	G, M, R	15500	5.79 x10 ⁴	Ferraccioli et al. (2005a)
TORUS	2001-02	WAIS	Rutford Ice Stream	G, M, R	8600	1.12 x10 ⁵	Vaughan et al. (2008)
SPARC	2002-03	APIS	Northern Palmer Land	G, M	20000	1.07 x10 ⁵	Ferraccioli et al. (2006)
BBAS	2004-05	WAIS	Pine Island Glacier	G, M, R	35000	4.09 x10 ⁵	Vaughan et al. (2006)
WISE- ISODYN	2005-06	EAIS	Wilkes Land	G, M, R	61000	7.91 x10 ⁵	Jordan et al. (2013)
GRADES- IMAGE	2006-07	WAIS/ APIS	Evans & Rutford ice streams	M, R	27500	3.06 x10 ⁵	Ashmore et al. (2014)
AGAP	2007-09	EAIS	Gamburtsev / Dome A	G, M, R	73000*	6.22 x10 ⁵	Ferraccioli et al. (2011)
ANDRILL HRAM	2008-09	WAIS	Ross Ice Shelf & Coulman High	M, R	1200	1.48 x10 ³	-
Adelaide Island	2010-11	APIS	Adelaide Island	M, R	5500	3.76 x10 ³	Jordan et al. (2014)
IMAFI	2010-11	WAIS	Institute & Möller ice streams	G, M, R	25000	1.96 x10 ⁵	Ross et al. (2012)
PIG Ice Shelf	2010-11	WAIS	Pine Island Shelf	M, R	1500	1.80 x10 ³	Vaughan et al. (2012)
ICEGRAV	2012-13	EAIS	Recovery & Slessor glaciers, Bailey Ice Stream	G, M, R	29000	4.75 x10 ⁵	Diez et al. (2018)
FISS 2015	2015-16	WAIS	Foundation Ice Stream / Bungenstock Ice Rise	M, R	7000	1.43 x10 ⁴	-
PolarGAP	2015-16	EAIS	South Pole	G, M, R	38000	8.71 x10 ⁵	Jordan et al. (2018)
FISS 2016	2016-17	WAIS	Filchner Ice Shelf / English Coast / Recovery & Support Force glaciers / Halley station	G, M, R	26000	5.99 x10 ⁵	Hofstede et al. (2021)
ITGC 2018	2018-20	WAIS	Thwaites Glacier	G, M, R	9872	6.43 x10 ⁴	Jordan et al. (2020)
ITGC 2019	2019-20	WAIS	Thwaites Glacier / WAIS Divide / Rutford Ice Stream	G, M, R	4432	4.85 x10 ⁴	-

219 Following on from the BBAS survey, the suite of geophysical instruments on board the BAS 220 Twin Otter aircraft were used to survey the Wilkes Subglacial Basin, Dome C, and the Transantarctic 221 Mountains as part of the 2005-06 WISE-ISODYN survey between BAS and the Italian Programma Nazionale di Ricerche in Antartide (Bozzo and Ferraccioli, 2007; Corr et al., 2007; Ferraccioli et al., 222 223 2007; Jordan et al., 2007). This project revealed, for the first time, the crustal architecture of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin (Ferraccioli et al., 2009; Jordan et al., 2013) and the distribution of a well-224 preserved subglacial sedimentary basin underlying the Wilkes catchment (Frederick et al., 2016). The 225 226 following year, the 2006-07 GRADES-IMAGE (Glacial Retreat in Antarctica and Deglaciation of the Earth System - Inverse Modelling of Antarctica and Global Eustasy) survey, comprising surveys over 227 the transitional area between the Antarctic Peninsula and the WAIS, provided detailed information on 228 229 subglacial properties of Evans Ice Stream (Ashmore et al., 2014), ice-thickness measurements along the grounding line were used as key calibration for the Landsat-derived "ASAID" grounding-line 230 product (Bindschadler et al., 2011), and englacial layers through Bungenstock Ice Rise were used to 231 assess ice-divide stability and the wider ice-flow history and stability of the WAIS's Weddell Sea 232 233 sector during the Holocene (Siegert et al., 2013).

234 Over two austral field seasons from 2007 to 2009, the AGAP (Antarctica's Gamburtsev Province Project) survey, coordinated as part of the fourth International Polar Year between the UK, 235 USA, Germany, Japan, Australia and China, comprised a comprehensive survey of the interior of the 236 237 EAIS, yielding important aerogeophysical data used to interrogate the origin and geophysical 238 characteristics of the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains. Significant scientific discoveries generated by the AGAP survey included observations of widespread freeze-on at the bottom of the ice which 239 240 leads to thickening of the EAIS from the base (Bell et al., 2011), a thick crustal root formed during the Proterozoic aeon (1 Gyr ago) surrounded by a more recent ~2,500-km-long rift system (Ferraccioli et 241 al., 2011), and the existence of ancient pre-glacial fluvial networks at the present ice bed which 242 confirmed the presence of the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains prior to the start of glaciation at the 243 244 Eocene–Oligocene climate boundary (ca. 34 Ma) (Rose et al., 2013; Creyts et al., 2014).

245 Between 2008 and 2011, three surveys utilised the magnetic and radar instruments on board the BAS Twin Otter to conduct high-spatial resolution surveying of Coulman High on Ross Ice Shelf 246 as part of the ANDRILL HRAM (Antartic Drilling - High Resolution Aeromagnetic) project, 247 Adelaide Island (Antarctic Peninsula), and Pine Island Glacier Ice Shelf (West Antarctica). The 2010-248 249 11 Adelaide Island survey provided high-resolution aeromagnetic data to underpin a better understanding of the complex magmatic structure of the Antarctic Peninsula Cenozoic arc/forearc 250 boundary (Jordan et al., 2014). The Pine Island Glacier Ice Shelf survey of the same year revealed a 251 network of sinuous subglacial channels, 500- to 3000-m wide and up to 200-m high, in the ice-shelf 252 253 base, which, combined with surface and basal crevasses formed as a result of the basal melting, could 254 lead to structural weakening of the shelf in the future (Vaughan et al., 2012).





The early 2010s saw the deployment of the PASIN system used as part of two large collaborative projects, namely the 2010-11 Institute-Möller Antarctic Funding Initiative (IMAFI) survey over the Institute and Möller ice streams of West Antarctica, and the 2012-13 ICEGRAV survey over the Recovery and Slessor region of East Antarctica.

The 2010-11 IMAFI project was a UK initiative between BAS and the Universities of 268 Edinburgh, York, Aberdeen and Exeter. The key aims were to investigate the potential stability of this 269 270 sector of West Antarctica and test the ability of the subglacial sedimentary structure to control the flow of two large ice streams draining the WAIS into the Weddell Sea Embayment (Ross et al., 2012). 271 Radar data revealed the presence of a reverse-bed slope with a 400-m decline over a 40-km distance 272 away from the grounding line and that this region was relatively close to flotation, indicating the 273 potential instability of this sector in the light of future grounding-line migration upstream of its 274 275 current position (Ross et al., 2012). Additional analysis using gravity and magnetic data revealed the extent of the Weddell Sea Rift System, adding further evidence for the early-stages of Gondwana 276 break-up and Jurassic extension in the region (Jordan et al., 2013). Further analysis of the radar data 277 acquired during the IMAFI survey led to a new digital elevation model of the subglacial topography 278 around the ice streams of the Weddell Sea Embayment at 1-km resolution, revealing deep subglacial 279 280 troughs between the ice-sheet interior and the grounding line and well-preserved landforms associated 281 with alpine glaciation (Ross et al., 2014; Jeofry et al., 2018), as well as evidence for a temperate

- former WAIS via the discovery of extensive subglacial meltwater channels (Rose et al., 2014). The
- data have also been used to assess the roughness of the subglacial bed (Rippin et al., 2014),
- 284 investigate englacial properties across the catchment as an indicator of past ice-flow dynamics
- (Bingham et al., 2015; Winter et al., 2015; Ashmore et al., 2020; Ross et al., 2020), and to evidence
- the presence of sub-ice shelf channels generated by water flowing from beneath the present ice-sheet(Le Brocq et al., 2013).

The 2012-13 ICEGRAV survey, an international collaboration between BAS and the Technical University of Denmark, National Science Foundation, Norwegian Polar Institute, and the Instituto Antártico Argentino, carried out aerogeophysical surveys over the poorly explored Recovery Glacier catchment and Recovery Subglacial Lakes (Forsberg et al., 2018), revealing a deep 800-km trough underlying Recovery Glacier, with evidence for subglacial water controlling the fast flow in the upstream portion of the ice stream (Diez et al., 2018).

294 2.2.2 2015-2020

The 2015-16 PolarGAP survey was a major international collaboration funded by the 295 296 European Space Agency (ESA) and led by BAS, Technical University of Denmark, Norwegian Polar 297 Institute and the National Science Foundation to fill a gap in global gravity surveying that the European Space Agency GOCE (Gravity field and steady-state Ocean Circulation Explorer) satellite 298 299 network was unable to cover. Alongside the large swath of gravity surveying, opportunistic magnetic and radar data were also acquired over the South Pole and parts of Support Force, Foundation, and 300 301 Recovery ice streams using for a further upgraded radar system, PASIN-2 (see Section 3.1.3). Additional funding from the Norwegian Polar Institute also allowed for a number of dedicated flights 302 303 over the subglacial Recovery Lakes. The acquired data have led to major scientific findings, 304 including: (a) the presence of anomalously high geothermal heat flux near the South Pole (Jordan et al., 2018), (b) the delineation of two subglacial lakes (Recovery Lakes A and B) totalling \sim 4,320 km² 305 in size and composed of saturated till, with evidence of bed lubrication and enhanced flow 306 307 downstream of their location as a result of water drainage (Diez et al., 2019), and (c) the evidence of a large (500-700 km wide) marginal embayment formed during late Neoproterozoic rifting along the 308 309 craton margin and which cuts into the East Antarctic basement around the South Pole region (Jordan et al., 2022). Additional evidence showed that the Pensacola-Pole Basin is characterised by a 310 topographic depression of ~0.5 km below sea level and contains a thick sedimentary layer of 2-3 km 311 in the southern part of the catchment (Paxman et al., 2019). The radar data from the PolarGAP survey 312 have also revealed large troughs at the bottleneck between East and West Antarctica, suggesting that 313 314 drawdown of the EAIS via the WAIS is unlikely (Winter et al., 2018).

315 In the austral summers of 2015-16 and 2016-17, two surveys were flown as part of the Filchner Ice Shelf System (FISS) project led by BAS and with support from the Alfred-Wegener 316 317 Institute in Germany and several other UK institutions (UK National Oceanography Centre, Met Office Hadley Centre, and the universities of Exeter, Oxford, and University College London), with 318 319 the aim to investigate the potential contribution of the Filchner Ice Shelf system to sea-level rise. The 320 2015-16 survey acquired ~7,000 line-km of aerogeophysical data primarily over Foundation Ice 321 Stream and to a smaller extent over Bungenstock Ice Rise. In 2016-17, ~26,000 line-km of aerogeophysical data were acquired over the Academy, Recovery, Slessor, and Support Force 322 glaciers), and parts of the Filchner, and Brunt Ice shelves. Data were also collected over outlet 323 glaciers of English Coast (western Palmer Land, Antarctic Peninsula). Early findings from the 2016-324 325 17 aerogeophysical survey revealed subglacial drainage channels beneath Support Force Glacier (Hofstede et al., 2021), provided evidence for a large ~80 x 30 x 6 km mafic intrusion likely resulting 326 from mantle melting during Gondwana break-up (Jordan and Becker, 2018), and helped to delineate 327 the subglacial bathymetry beneath Brunt Ice Shelf (Hodgson et al., 2019). 328

329 During the 2018-19 and 2019-20 seasons, BAS was involved in aerogeophysical surveying of 330 Thwaites Glacier as part of the UK-US International Thwaites Glacier Collaboration (ITGC) initiative. The 2018-19 survey acquired ~9,900 km of aerogeophysical data over lower Thwaites 331 332 Glacier and Thwaites Glacier Ice Shelf, and the 2019-20 survey acquired ~4,500 line-km over lower Thwaites Glacier, the WAIS Divide ice-core site, and Rutford Ice Stream. These surveys contributed 333 334 to a new bathymetric map of Thwaites, Crosson and Dotson ice shelves from gravity measurements, revealing a deep (>800 m) marine channel extending beneath the ice shelf adjacent to the front of 335 Thwaites Glacier (Jordan et al., 2020). These datasets have also contributed to a new bathymetry 336

model of George VI Sound (Constantino et al., 2020) and were integrated with swath bathymetric data
out-board from Thwaites Glacier (Hogan et al., 2020).



340 Figure 3. Sample radargrams from the ten 2-D radar datasets released with this paper. The 341 colours for each survey on the map are the same as in Fig. 1 and the data portal. The location of each radargram 342 (a-j) is marked on the map by black triangles. The red and blue dashed lines on the radargrams are the surface 343 and bed picks, respectively. A description of each radargram is provided as follows: (a) Flightline G10 (GRADES-IMAGE) showing well-defined subglacial valleys through which Evans Ice Stream flows (ice flow is 344 345 approximately out of page), with stable layering at the onset and in the middle of the topographic low; (b) 346 Flightline F03B (FISS 2015) showing undulating bed topography and disrupted layering at the onset of 347 Foundation Ice Stream; (c) Flightline F27A (FISS 2016) showing variations in subglacial topography at the 348 divide between the Antarctic Peninsula and West Antarctica, with potential evidence of basal freeze-on at the 349 start of the segment; (d) Flightline C09A (IMAFI) showing evidence of preserved layering despite changes in 350 local topography at the bottleneck between East and West Antarctica; (e) Flightline B01 (BBAS) over Ellsworth 351 Subglacial Mountains showing a \sim 1.5 km trough in the ice sheet bed and one of the deepest points in the PIG basin with ~3km of ice underlying the surface; (f) Flightline W43B (WISE-ISODYN) showing internal layers 352 353 draping over the highs and lows in the local Wilkes Subglacial Basin topography, with two particularly bright 354 reflections in the middle and bottom of the ice column; (g) Flightline P33 (PolarGAP) showing the onset of a 355 topographic high near the Transantarctic Mountain Range with internal layering visible down to the ice-bed 356 interface; (h) Flightline A05A (AGAP) showing stable internal layering characteristic of the interior of the 357 EAIS; (i) Flightline G09A (ICEGRAV) showing evidence of a bright reflection likely associated with a

- previously unidentified subglacial lake in the region; and (j) Flightline T10A (ITGC 2019) showing a section of
 inland-sloping bed from a profile in the main trunk of Thwaites Glacier, >200 km from the current grounding
 line position (ice flow is right to left). The horizontal and vertical white bars at the bottom of each radargram
 represent ~3 km in the horizontal direction (i.e. distance) and ~1 km in the vertical direction (i.e. depth)
 respectively.
- 363

364 3. Data Acquisition and Processing

365 The typical acquisition and processing workflow for the aerogeophysical data is shown in Figure 4. Usually, the aircraft is set up systematically to acquire gravity, magnetic, and radar data 366 together, except in situations where surveying objectives are not compatible with the acquisition of all 367 368 three datasets at once (i.e. flying at constant terrain clearance for the radar data affects the quality of 369 the gravity data which is better flown at constant altitude, and vice-versa); although novel gravityacquisition methods are increasingly making this issue redundant (see Section 3.1.1). As shown in 370 371 Table 1, the conventional gravity-magnetic-radar set-up was used in 15 out of 24 surveys, with the 372 remaining seven campaigns using either a magnetic-radar- or gravity-magnetic-only set-up and only 373 two using a magnetic-only set-up. The data acquisition steps for each type of data are described in 374 Section 3.1, and the processing of the data is described in Section 3.2.



Figure 4. Workflow describing the data acquisition, processing, and publishing for the BAS
 aerogeophysical data included in this data release. "STDev" stands for standard deviation, whilst "I/O" refers
 to the output of the SEG-Y files and the import of the files into seismic-interpretation software for quality check.

379 3.1. Data Acquisition and Instrumentation

380 All BAS aerogeophysical data acquisition is conducted using Twin Otter aircraft due to their remote capabilities, long fuel range (up to 1,000 km), and operability. The aircraft's twin turbo-prop 381 382 engines enable it to conduct rapid take-off and landing and operate in small and remote airfields commonly covered in snow and icy terrains using mounted skis. All data acquisition since the early 383 384 1990s has been conducted using the BAS DeHavilland Twin Otter aircraft "VP-FBL" (Fig. 5). The aircraft typically flies at a nominal speed of ~60 m/s, which results in an along-track distance between 385 each stacked radar trace of 0.2 m (prior to processing). The following sections describe the acquisition 386 387 of the data for the gravity (3.1.1), magnetic (3.1.2), radar (3.1.3), and GPS and lidar (3.1.4)instruments on board the aircraft. 388







389

Figure 5. Photographs of the aerogeophysical set-up on the BAS Twin Otter aircraft "VP-FBL"
 for PASIN-2. (a) The pre-PASIN-2 (used in 2015-16 PolarGAP only) configured to mimic the set-up of
 PASIN-1 data collection in polarimetric mode. The eight folded dipole transmitting and receiving antennas are

- fixed under the wings (two transmit and two receive antennas on each wing) with the port configured as V
- (vertical) and starboard as H (horizontal). The annotations show the location of the radar (R), magnetic (M), and
 gravity and lidar (G + L) instruments on board the aircraft. (b) The PASIN-2 set-up in standard/swath mode.
- 395 gravity and hdar (G+L) instruments on board the aircraft. (b) The PASIN-2 set-up in standard/swath mode.
 396 The eight folded dipole transmitting and receiving antennas are fixed under the wings and inside the aircraft and
- are operated using a RF (Radio Frequency) switch, and an additional four receiving antennas are situated in the
- belly enclosure. When in standard swath mode, all antennas are configured in H orientation with the starboard
- and belly antennas also in H orientation. The PASIN-1 set-up in standard mode (not shown here) had a similar
- 400 configuration as shown in (b) bar the belly antenna (i.e. only four transmit on port and four receive on starboard
- in H orientation) (c) The PASIN-2 set-up in polarimetric mode. The eight folded dipole transmitting and
 receiving antennas are fixed under the wings and inside the aircraft and are operated using an RF switch, and an
- 402 receiving antennas are fixed under the wings and inside the aircraft and are operated using an RF switch, and a403 additional four receiving antennas are situated in the belly enclosure. When in polarimetric mode, the port
- 404 antennas are configured in V orientation and the starboard and belly antennas in H orientation. The PASIN-1
- 405 set-up in polarimetric mode (not shown here as rarely flown) had the two pairs of outboard antennas rotated to V
- 406 and the inboard in H configuration. Photo credit: Carl Robinson.

407 **3.1.1 Gravity**

408 Until 2012, BAS aerogravity measurements were acquired with a LaCoste and Romberg air409 sea gravimeter modified by Zero Length Spring Corporation (ZLS). The gravimeter was mounted in a
410 gyro-stabilised, shock-mounted platform at the centre of the aircraft to minimise the effect of
411 vibrations and rotational motions.

412 Starting with the 2015-16 PolarGAP survey, aerogravity data began to be aquired using a novel strapdown method which, unlike traditional surveys using a stabilised gravity platform, allowed 413 414 for the collection of gravity data during draped or turbulent flights (Jordan and Becker, 2018). For this survey, both the LaCoste and Romberg and the strapdown systems were operated together with results 415 416 from the two systems merged to provide an optimum data product with the long-term low and 417 predictable drift of the LaCoste and Romberg system and dynamic stability of the strapdown system. 418 Subsequent surveys used a strapdown sensor alone, removing the need to prioritise the quality of the gravity data over the radar data and allowing for flights at a constant terrain clearance for optimal 419 radar-data collection. The optimum resolution of the system is approximately 100 s along-track 420 421 (Jordan and Becker, 2018).

The first strapdown sensor deployed by BAS was the iMAR RQH-1003 provided by
Technical University (TU) Darmstadt, and consisting of three Honeywell QA2000 accelerometers
(mounted in mutually perpendicular directions) and three Honeywell GG1230 ring laser gyroscopes.
The subsequent 2018-19 and 2019-20 ITGC surveys over Thwaites Glacier used the iMAR iCORUS
strapdown airborne gravimeter systems from Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory and BAS
respectively, which have approximately equivalent internal components to the TU Darmstadt system.

428 **3.1.2 Magnetics**

429 The Twin Otter is configured for fixed wing magnetometer operation. The aircraft modifications include inboard-positioned wingtip fuel pumps, pod-boom hard points and a 430 431 demagnetised airframe to maximise magnetic-data collection. Scintrex CS3 Cesium sensors are used 432 due to their high sensitivity, high cycling rates, excellent gradient tolerance, fast response and low 433 susceptibility to the electromagnetic interference. The resolution of the magnetometers has greatly 434 increased over time, with the current systems having a measurement accuracy of 0.2 pT compared 435 with the older systems used between 1991-2003 (10 pT; Sintrex H8 Cesium) and 1973-1990 (500 pT; Geometrics G-803 Potassium). 436

437 **3.1.3 Radar**

438 Prior to 2004, BAS deployed a custom-built, 8-array element radar system, referred here as
439 "BAS-built" (Corr and Popple, 1994). This was a coherent radar system operating at a centre

440 frequency of 150 MHz and using a transmit power of 1,200 W (Rippin et al., 2003a). The radar 441 system was equipped with eight folded dipole transmitting and receiving antennas fixed under the 442 wings (four transmitting on port wing, four receiving on starboard wing). Similar to the current systems, the "BAS-built" system transmitted both a conventional narrow-sounding pulse mode of 443 444 $0.25 \,\mu s$ and a deep-sounding $4\mu s$, 10-MHz chirp (Table 2). As developments in digital acquisition 445 became commercially available, several technical upgrades were applied to the radar system. These 446 ranged from using a LeCroy scope to acquire logarithmic detected waveforms to accomodate complex 447 coherent acquisition, as well as the replacement of the LeCroy oscilloscope by a low sample-448 frequency 12-bit dual ADC (analogue-to-digital converted) card in the later years of operation (see Figure S1). During this time, the dynamic range of the system was extended by the interleaved 449 450 transmission of different waveform, which were conventional short wavetrain pulses at the centre 451 frequency.

452 After operating for ten successive field seasons, the "BAS-built" radar system was retired and 453 replaced by a more modern radar system, the Polarimetric Airborne Survey INstrument (PASIN) 454 (Corr et al., 2007). In contrast to the "BAS-built" system, PASIN was designed to sound ice much deeper (up to 5 km compared with 3.3 km for the earlier system) thanks to improved digital 455 electronics and added power in the transmitting antennas (see Table 2). Additionally, modern methods 456 of digitisation enabled by the use of ADC cards, rather than a digitising scope, allowed phase and not 457 458 just power to be recorded in greater resolution on PASIN, which eventually allowed for the use of 459 more advanced processing techniques such as Synthetic-Aperture-Radar to be applied to the data (see 460 Section 3.2.3).

The older PASIN-1 (2004-2015) and the newer PASIN-2 (2015-Present) systems are bi-static 461 462 radars operating at a 150-MHz centre frequency and configured as follows: (a) PASIN-1: 10-MHz bandwidth system with eight folded dipole transmitting and receiving antennas fixed under the wings 463 464 (four transmitting on port wing, four receiving on starboard wing) operating in H (horizontal) orientation when in standard mode and more rarely with the port (transmit) and starboard (receive) 465 antennas positioned in both H and V (vertical) orientation when in polarimetric mode (see similar 466 467 PASIN-2 set-up in Figure 5a) (Corr et al., 2007); and (b) PASIN-2: 13-MHz bandwidth system with 468 eight folded dipole transmitting and receiving antennas fixed under the wings and inside the aircraft 469 with RF switches and an additional four receiving antennas in the belly enclosure (see Figure 5b-c; 470 Table 2). The main difference between the PASIN-1 and -2 systems is the ability for across-track 471 swath processing to be applied to the PASIN-2 data by allowing both transmit and receive on the 472 folded dipole antenna via the use of RF switches.

473 In further contrast with PASIN-1, the PASIN-2 radar has a very flexible configuration, with 474 the standard configuration being as a twelve channel swath radar (with eight transmit and twelve 475 receive). However, other configurations are also possible, including a polarimetric mode to give H 476 and V data where the port antennas are rotated 180 degrees (see Table S1). A final configuration is a 477 mixed antenna gain path for areas where ice is heavily disrupted and where the starboard signal can be attenuated by several decibels. Since 2016, the PASIN-2 system has undergone minor modifications 478 479 to reduce noise and improve system operations, including (a) low-pass filters in the RF switches, (b) the use of a 10-GHz waveform generator, and (c) new 1 kW solid-state power amplifiers which have 480 481 lowered transmit system noise and increased transmitter and receiver isolation.

482 Data for both versions of the PASIN system are received using sub-Nyquist digitisation and
483 stacking and stored on removable solid-state disks or tapes, and then copied to duplicate spinning
484 disks for data archiving. On average, a 4.5-hour flight will generate ~150-200 GB of data for PASIN485 1 and up to 3 TB of data for PASIN-2. The systems systematically acquire a shallow-sounding 0.1 µs
486 pulse (PASIN-1) / 1 µs short-attenuated chirp (PASIN-2), and a deep-sounding 4 µs, 10-MHz
487 (PASIN-1) / 13-MHz (PASIN-2) linear chirp (Table 2). The shallow-sounding pulse/short-attenuated

chirp product is best used to assess internal layering in the upper ~1.5-2 km of the ice sheet, whereas
the deeper-sounding chirp is best suited to assess englacial layering and bed characteristics in deep-ice
conditions (Fig. 6 c-e). The radar is capable of sounding ice to depths of up to 5 km with a horizontal
resolution of 10 cm (before processing) and a depth resolution in the vertical direction of 8.4 m
(PASIN-1) and 6.5 m (PASIN-2).

493 Table 2. Radar Parameters for the three radar systems deployed by BAS between 1994 and the 494 Present. Note that PASIN-1/2 have a number of programmable settings for flight-specific objectives (e.g. 1 to 8 495 waveforms programmable for PASIN-2), and the numbers provided here are for the most commonly used 496 settings. For PASIN-2, a standard set-up consists of 5 waveforms as follows: 4 µs H (0°), 4 µs V (0°), 4 µs H 497 (90°) , 4 µs V (90°) , 1 µs H (Table S1). Abbreviations in the table are as follows: ADC = Analogue to Digital 498 Converter; FPGA = Field Programmable Gate Array; SF = Sample Frequency; SI = Sample Interval; PRF = 499 Pulse-Repetition Frequency; PRI = Pulse Repetition Interval. *BAS-built and PASIN-1 systems used RF 500 combiners on the receiver to produce a single RF input-to-sample, with PASIN-1 splitting these into a high and 501 low gain channel for standard mode (2 ADC channels) and combining these for pairs of H and V in polarimetric 502 mode (4 ADC channels). **Radar Range Resolution is calculated using a radiowave velocity in ice of 168 503 m/microseconds and does not include the effect of the processing on the vertical resolution of the system which 504 is expected to be ~50% greater than the values provided in the table, thus these numbers should be interpreted as 505 the theoretical system performance. Diagrams showing the configurations of the three radar systems are 506 provided in the Supplementary Information (Fig. S1-3).

Radar Parameters	BAS-built (1994-2004)	PASIN-1 (2004-15)	PASIN-2 (2015-Present)
Antennas Configuration 8x folded dipole (4 Tx / 4 Rx)*		8x folded dipole (4 Tx / 4 Rx)*	8x folded dipole + 4x belly (8 Tx/Rx + 4 Rx only)
Centre Frequency	150 MHz	150 MHz	150 MHz
Transmitted Pulse Width	0.25 μs (pulse) 4 μs linear (chirp)	0.1 μs (pulse) 4 μs linear (chirp)	1 μs (Tukey envelope chirp) 4 μs linear (Tukey envelope chirp)
Chirp Bandwidth	4 MHz (pulse) 10 MHz (chirp)	10 MHz	13 MHz
Antenna Gain	11 dBi	11 dBi	11 dBi
PRF / PRI	20,000 Hz (PRI: 50 μs)	15,635 Hz (PRI: 64 μs)	15,635 Hz (PRI: 64 μs)
Peak Transmit Power	300 W / antenna (1.2 kW total)	1 kW / antenna (4 kW total)	1 kW / antenna (8 kW total)
Receiver SF	25 MHz (scope max single shot)	88 MHz	120 MHz
Receiver FPGA decimation	-	4	-
Receiver Effective SF	25 MHz (SI: 40.0 ns)	22 MHz (SI: 45.5 ns)	120 MHz (SI: 8.3 ns)
Receiver Trace Stacking	64	25 (standard) 50 (polarimetric)	25
Effective PRF (post- stacking)	312.5 Hz	312.5 Hz (standard 2 waveforms)	125.1 Hz (5 waveforms) 208.5 Hz (3 waveforms)
ADC Resolution	12-bit	14-bit	16-bit
Equivalent Sustained Data Rate per ADCs (FPGA)	100 MB/s	176 MB/s (standard) 352 MB/s (polarimetric)	960 MB/s (system: 2.88 GB/s)
Average Data Storage Rate for Full PRI	~1 MB/s	11 MB/s (maximum)	173 MB/s (all arrays)

Radar Range Resolution**	21.0 m (pulse) 8.4 m (chirp)	8.4 m	6.5 m
--------------------------	---------------------------------	-------	-------

The pulse repetition frequency of the PASIN (1/2) system is 15,635 Hz and hardware stacking is typically set to 25 in standard mode, which results in an effective pulse-coded waveform acquisition rate of 312.5 Hz for each transmit pulse (Table 2). Following stacking, the final sampling frequency of PASIN-1 is 22 MHz and PASIN-2 is 120 MHz (Table 2).

512 3.1.4 GPS and lidar

513 Since 1978, navigation has transitioned from basic aircraft data, imagery, and dead reckoning
514 to more modern means, including the use of carrier-phase Global Positioning System (GPS) systems.

Between 1994 and 2004, the BAS Twin Otter aircraft was equipped with a Trimble GPS 515 system (1994-95 surveys: Trimble 4000SSE; 1996-2003 surveys: Trimble 4000SSI). Since 2004, the 516 aircraft is equipped with two, 10-Hz GPS receivers (Leica 500 and ASHTEC Z12 for 2004-18 517 surveys; Javad Delta and Novatel Span for post-2018 surveys) installed on board the aircraft. On the 518 519 ground, two Leica 500 GPS base stations (replaced by Javad TRIUMPH-2 for post-2018 surveys) are 520 positioned and equipped with choke-ring antennas set up specifically to obtain an unobstructed view of the sky above. Aircraft turns are typically limited to 10-degree banking angles in order to avoid 521 losing lock with GNSS satellites orbiting close to the horizon. The estimated accuracy of the absolute 522 position of the aircraft is 10 cm or less, with the relative accuracy approximately one order of 523 524 magnitude better. Since 2010, the aircraft altitude and inertial information has been provided by an iMAR FSAS inertial measurement unit (IMU), with the data logged on a Novatel Span receiver. 525 526 Additional attitude information from the strapdown gravity system is also available for post processing of other datasets. 527

528 For all modern surveys, the aircraft was also equipped with a Riegl Q240i-80 laser altimeter 529 system (or lidar) in the floor camera hatch to accurately detect the ice surface. The lidar data used for 530 correction of the radar data are typically extracted from the nadir point value with no correction for aircraft altitude. The system has a repetition frequency up to 2 kHz which results in an along-track 531 measurement every 3 cm with an accuracy of up to 5 cm. The lidar is used up to altitudes of 700 m 532 and is constrained by cloud/fog-free conditions. From 2010 onwards, the lidar onboard the Twin Otter 533 534 was capable of obtaining swath lidar data, athough only the single-point data along the centre line is provided as part of this data release. 535

536 3.2. Data Processing

537 3.2.1 Gravity

The raw aerogravity data are processed to obtain levelled free-air gravity anomalies. 538 539 Although additional survey-specific processing might have been applied to the data, general processing steps for the LaCoste and Romberg system include the calculation of the observed gravity 540 541 and a range of corrections and filtering functions as described in Jordan et al. (2007; 2010) and Valliant (1992). In particular, corrections for vertical acceleration, Eotvos horizontal motion (Harlan, 542 543 1968), latitude (Moritz, 1980), and free air (Hackney and Featherstone, 2003) were applied to obtain the final free-air anomalies before subsequent 9-12 km low-pass filtering. As the free-air values refer 544 545 to the WGS84 ellipsoid, they are defined in geodesy as gravity disturbance (Hackney and Featherstone, 2003). 546

547 The strapdown gravity method adopted from 2015-onwards directly combined observations
548 of acceleration in all three axes, with orientation and GPS observations combined in a Kalman filter to
549 solve simultaneously for aircraft position and variations in Earth's gravitational field (Becker et al.,

550 2015). For subsequent strapdown-acquisition surveys, some amount of levelling/correction for
551 thermal drift are required. Spectral analysis suggests that the strapdown system can resolve
552 wavelengths on the order of ~5 km (Jordan et al., 2020). Error estimates for the gravity data can be
553 found in the respective survey metadata (see Table 3), or in specific studies utilising the BAS
554 aerogravity data (e.g. Ferraccioli et al., 2006; Forsberg et al., 2018; Jordan and Becker, 2018).

555 Additional processing may include the use of masks to remove aircraft turns, start and end of 556 lines, and other regions of noisy data, or producing an upward continued free-air anomaly by upward continuing each line segment from the collected flight altitude to the highest altitude in the survey. 557 The first level of free-air anomaly for all published BAS data is shown in Figure 2a, although it is 558 worth noting that no correction such as downward continuation has been applied to compile the data 559 560 shown in Figure 2a. It is considered that at the scale of the map, the vertical gradient of residual 561 gravity anomalies at flight altitude is inferior to 2 mGal. Additionally, as the gravity surveys are acquired over the ice sheet, the distance to the bedrock is not only dependent on the flight altitude but 562 also on the ice thickness. 563

564 **3.2.2. Magnetics**

565 The raw aeromagnetic data have been processed using the SCAR ADMAP2 data-release protocols (Golynsky et al., 2018). Data were collected at 10 Hz, allowing for modelling and removal 566 of aircraft dynamic movements using a so-called compensation correction (Ferraccioli, et al. 2007). 567 This correction typically requires a dedicated calibration flight in the direction of the survey lines and 568 tie-lines to have been flown. For some surveys with radial design, or where magnetic-data acquisition 569 was opportunistic, logistical constraints meant no calibration flight could be conducted. In these cases, 570 the generally large depth-to-source due to the thick ice allowed for a 10- to 15-second filter to be 571 applied to minimise noise generated by aircraft motion without compromising the geological signal. 572 573 Given the redundency of collecting 10 Hz (~6 m spaced) observations over thick ice, most surveys were down-sampled to 1 Hz (~60 m) prior to further processing. 574

After magnetic compensation, the magnetic data were corrected for the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF), which is a standard mathematical description of the Earth's main magnetic field. Data impacted by operation of aircraft systems such as pumps and heaters were manually determined. Typically such data were discarded, but survey design and lack of alternative data sources mean that sometimes important geophysical signatures may be present. In some cases the contaminated data were therefore corrected using an offset correction, accepting that the data segment may be more noisy.

582 Magnetic data were then corrected for diurnal variations in the magnetic field using 583 observations at a fixed base station, typically filtered with a 30-minute filter to remove shortwavelength noise potentially not seen on the aircraft. Further statistical levelling of the data based on 584 585 internal intersections and crossovers with previous surveys was carried out at times to remove systematic errors associated with flight direction (i.e. heading corrections) and additional long-586 587 wavelength errors associated with incomplete removal of diurnal variations. In some cases, 588 continuation to a fixed altitude above the ice-sheet bed and a final grid-based micro-levelling procedure was applied (Ferraccioli et al. 1998). The magnetic anomaly map shown in Figure 2b 589 shows the spatial coverage and magnitude of magnetic data available. Errors in the data are typically 590 presented as the standard deviation of the crossover errors and can be found in the respective survey 591 metadata (see Table 3). 592

593 **3.2.3. Radar**

All data acquired with the earlier "BAS-built" radar system (1994-2004) were read using a C
 code software to convert the LeCroy data to formats readable by Halliburton Landmark's seismic processing software SeisSpace ProMAX, hereafter referred to as ProMAX. Basic processing was

applied to the data in the hardware analogue domain and later using ProMax, including power
normalisation and final SEG-Y export. Following the transition from the LeCroy oscilloscope to ADC
cards on the "BAS-built" system (see Section 3.1.3), MATLAB replaced the IDL language for data
processing.

601 As opposed to the "BAS-built" system which, by design, had some level of processing done 602 on the raw data internally, the PASIN system was designed to retain much of the sampled data in the 603 rawest form possible to allow for evolving processing techniques to be applied to the data in the future. For all PASIN data (2004-onwards), the first high-level step was to extract the raw data from 604 the tape drives, convert the three-byte values to conventional four-byte integers, combine the 605 waveforms associated with each pulse transmit type, and then export the data into MATLAB-606 607 formatted binary files. The second high-level step was to minimise sidelobe levels by applying a 608 chirp-decompression technique using a Blackman window from a custom-built MATLAB toolbox, 609 resulting in a processing gain of ~10 decibels (dB).

610 The next step was to apply processing techniques both to enhance along-track resolution and improve the signal-to-noise ratio. For the 2004-05 BBAS survey, incoherent stacking of 10 611 consecutive traces was applied and a moving-average window filter used; however, no Synthetic 612 613 Aperture Radar (SAR) techniques were initially applied to these data. First tested on previously 614 acquired PASIN radar data (see Hélière et al., 2007), 2-D SAR processing based on the Omega-K algorithm and subsequently improved versions using Doppler-beam sharpening were applied 615 systematically to all the deep-sounding chirp data from 2005-2006 onwards to increase spatial 616 617 resolution and remove backscattering hyperbolae in the along-track direction (Corr et al., 2007; Jeofry et al., 2018). The benefit of using unfocused along-track SAR processing is that it resolves the bed in 618 much finer detail compared with non-SAR focused data (see Figure 6 d-e); however, SAR-processing 619 620 can also lead to distortions of the amplitude of the ice structure and bed reflection in unhomogenous areas of the ice sheet (e.g. near grounding line; see Hélière et al., 2007) and thus might not always be 621 appropriate for assessing internal layering or absolute amplitudes such as required for bed-reflectivity 622 analysis (e.g. Peters et al., 2007; Castelletti et al., 2019). Additional moving-average filters of varying 623 lengths have also been applied to enhance englacial reflections and improve visualisation of the radar 624 625 data.

Figure 6 shows the three processed radar products provided for the 2010-11 IMAFI survey 626 over West Antarctica. Figure 6c shows the shallow-sounding pulse and Figures 6d-e the deep-627 sounding chirp radar data using the unfocused SAR-processing technique from Hélière et al. (2007) 628 629 (Fig. 6d) and a version of the chirp product processed with coherent summations but with no SARprocessing applied (Fig. 6e). Internal layering is more clearly visible in the upper part of the ice 630 631 column on the pulse data compared with the chirp data (see black-bordered insets in Fig. 6c and 6e). In contrast, deeper internal layering is much more visible on the SAR-chirp than the non-SAR chirp 632 (Fig. 6d-e). Additionally, the peak amplitude of the bed is better resolved in the SAR-processed chirp 633

than the non SAR-processed chirp (see white-bordered inset in Fig. 6d-e).





636 Figure 6. A 25-km segment for flightline 15d of the 2010-11 IMAFI survey showing the three 637 radar products and processing attributes. (a) shows an overview map of the entire survey with an inset over 638 Antarctica, and (b) shows a zoomed-in map over the specific flightline with the 25-km radar segment (defined 639 as A-A') shown in red. The background satellite image in (a-b) is from the Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica 640 (LIMA) (Bindschadler et al., 2008). (c-e) show a 25-km segment of the data for the three products provided for 641 the 2010-11 IMAFI survey as follows: (c) the coherently processed, shallow-sounding pulse, (d) the unfocused 642 2-D SAR-processed, deep-sounding chirp, and (e) the coherently processed, deep-sounding chirp. The black-643 bordered insets zoom to the internal layering in the upper portion of the ice column for (c-e) and the white-644 bordered insets show the difference in bed characteristics between (d-e).

645 Further processing of the PASIN data has also been applied by others using simple image-646 processing techniques such as moving-average filters to enhance the internal layering of the ice and reduce incoherent noise (Ashmore et al., 2020; Bodart et al., 2021), or by applying more complex 647 SAR processing techniques over previously incoherently processed radar data (Castelletti et al., 2019; 648 649 Chu et al., 2021). Additional techniques have also be employed in areas where side-echos from steep 650 valley walls lead to ambiguous bed reflections, as previously employed over Flask Glacier (Antarctic Peninsula) using PASIN SAR-processed data and a combination of velocity and digital elevation 651 652 models to obtain more accurate ice thickness estimates (Farinotti et al., 2013).

Following radar data processing, bed and ice-surface reflections were determined by picking
the onset of the basal echo (i.e. where the echo amplitude is greater than the noise floor). We note that
this is not a universal method applied by all radar data providers, who, may pick the half-amplitude
delay or the peak value, leading in turn to measurement biases across data providers and products (e.g.
Peters et al., 2005; Chu et al., 2021).

658 The BAS approach to picking the bed was to use a semi-automatic first-break pick algorithm 659 on the chirp data below a top-mute window in ProMax (generally ~100 samples above the approximate bed reflection) to locate the precise bed return, followed by manual checks and re-660 661 picking to exclude any unrealistic spikes. In areas where multiple closely spaced reflections were 662 sounded at the bed, the shallowest reflection was assumed to be the bed as off-axis reflections would likely appear lower down in this section. However, in some cases, reflections, which appeared deeper, 663 were chosen, with shallower weak reflections assumed to reflect entrained debris, accreted ice, or 664 665 uncompensated refraction hyperbolae close to the bed. We note, however, that this method has evolved over the years, and that its success is inherently reliant on the radioglaciological experience 666 of the human picker to quality-check the results from the semi-automatic picker and manually re-pick 667 668 the data if necessary. The uncertainty associated with the picking procedure can be partially approximated by calculating the Root-Mean-Square error (RMS) of the bed elevations at crossover 669 points across the survey area. Although these errors are site-specific and can depend on factors such 670 671 as varying bed topography and roughness, larger errors may reflect uncertainties in data processing or analysis (i.e. picking in this case). Areas of more extreme topography typically show the highest 672 673 crossover errors, likely associated with off-axis reflections and entrained debris close to subglacial cliffs, which make deciding on the correct bed pick challenging. In isolated cases, such errors can 674 exceed several hundred meters. In contrast, regions dominated by smooth and flat bed typically show 675 676 lower crossover errors, on the order of several meters only. Survey wide RMS errors are typically reported in each survey's metadata (see Table 3) and average ~9 to 22 m depending on the survey (see 677 678 Rippin et al., 2003; Vaughan et al., 2006; Ross et al., 2012; Jeofry et al., 2018).

679 To estimate ice thickness and hence obtain the bed elevation, the location of the surface 680 reflection in the radar data must be known accurately. However, since the PASIN system does not resolve the ice surface well due to errors in the phase centre of the pulse through the firn layer, the 681 682 surface reflection in the radargram was only rarely used on its own to calculate the ice surface. Usually, range-to-surface from coincident on board-acquired lidar, or alternatively if lidar was not 683 available (i.e., due to clouds or ground clearance higher than 750 m), using the aircraft's radar 684 685 altimeter or surface elevation from an accurate Digital Elevation Model (DEM) (i.e. REMA 8-m 686 DEM for latest surveys; Howat et al., 2019), was used to calculate a "theoretical" surface pick, as follows: 687

Firstly, the same semi-automatic picker used for picking the bed was used on a subset of the
shallow-sounding pulse radargrams with a bottom-mute window set at ~100 samples below the
surface reflection. Secondly, once aircraft-to-surface range was obtained from lidar, a linear trend
between the surface pick from the radargram and the surface range from the lidar was calculated, and
a resulting slope and offset was used to calculate the theoretical location of the surface. Where

693 possible, the range-to-ground value was derived from the lidar data or interpolated from the mean 694 lidar elevation within \sim 700 m. In those rare cases where the surface reflection was picked directly from the radargram, a regression, local to the data gap, was used to fit the radar range to terrain 695 clearance. If lidar was not available to calculate range-to-ground, the height of the aircraft above the 696 697 surface was obtained by the aircraft's radar altimeter which was then converted into a radar delay 698 time. This conversion was done after a two-stage calibration process which involved recording the 699 terrain clearance over a sea surface with the two instruments, and then correction for the penetration depth of the radar altimeter was obtained from the difference in the height above ellipsoid of a 700 701 surveyed 'flat' snow surface and the aircraft. Where possible, the reference surface was chosen to be 702

in the centre of the targeted area.
Once bed and surface were calculated, ice thickness was obtained by calculating the
difference between the bed and surface pick in range samples (relative to the BAS system). The
picked travel time was then converted to depth in metres using a radar wave speed of 168
m/microseconds and a constant firn correction of 10 m. Bed and surface elevations were then

integrated with a high-precision kinematic dual-frequency GPS position solution to provide the final
 point dataset of elevations relative to the WGS84 Ellipsoid. To ensure best accuracy of satellite-orbit

definitions and atmospheric corrections, the interpolated survey locations and aircraft elevations were processed from 10 Hz acupled Preside Point Positioning (PPP) CNISS (INS colutions one month after

- 710 processed from 10-Hz coupled Precise Point Positioning (PPP) GNSS/INS solutions one month after
- 711 data acquisition.

712 4. FAIR Data Publishing

In total, we have published 64 datasets from 24 surveys as part of this data release,
representing ~566 GB of data and ~1800 files. This amounts to a total of 3.62 million gravity and 7.41
million magnetic data points, as well as 14.5 million ice-thickness and bed-elevation measurements.
The complete list of published datasets is provided in Table 3, including the short Digital Object
Identifiers (DOI), which redirect to the metadata sheets and download folders for each respective
dataset archived on the PDC Discovery Metadata System (DMS) data catalogue

719 (https://data.bas.ac.uk/).

Table 3. Short Digital Object Identifiers for the gravity, magnetic, bed-pick, and 2-D radar datasets for each survey flown by BAS and included in this data release. Abbreviations used are the same as in Table 1. The links in this table can also be accessed by adding the short DOI preceded by 'https://doi.org/'.⁽¹⁾ For the AGAP radar data, the US-led survey lines can be found at: https://doi.org/10.1594/IEDA/313685.⁽²⁾ For the PolarGAP survey, data can be downloaded from both the ESA and BAS data catalogues, but the DOI for the gravity and magnetic data (https://doi.org/10.5270/esa-8ffoo3e) belongs to ESA. If using the PDC data

727 record.php?id=GB/NERC/BAS/PDC/01583 and https://data.bas.ac.uk/full-

- 728 record.php?id=GB/NERC/BAS/PDC/01584 respectively. "**" indicates that the data are not held at BAS, but
- 729 instead are available on the CReSIS data portal (<u>https://data.cresis.ku.edu/</u>).

Survey	Year	Region	Gravity	Magnetic	Bed-pick	Radar
EVANS	1994-95	WAIS	<u>10/d549</u>	-	<u>10/d548</u>	-
Black Coast	1996-97	APIS	-	<u>10/d54x</u>	-	-
CHARCOT	1996-97	APIS	-	<u>10/d54z</u>	-	-
JRI	1997-98	APIS	<u>10/d55g</u>	<u>10/d55f</u>	-	-
LARSEN	1997-98	APIS	-	<u>10/d55k</u>	-	-
DUFEK	1998-99	WAIS	<u>10/d546</u>	<u>10/d544</u>	<u>10/d542</u>	-
AFI Coats Land	2001-02	EAIS	-	<u>10/dpnw</u>	<u>10/dpnx</u>	-
MAMOG	2001-02	EAIS	<u>10/dpqg</u>	<u>10/dpqh</u>	<u>10/dpqd</u>	-
TORUS	2001-02	WAIS	<u>10/dpqm</u>	<u>10/dpqj</u>	<u>10/dpqf</u>	-

SPARC	2002-03	APIS	<u>10/d552</u>	<u>10/d55x</u>	-	-
BBAS	2004-05	WAIS	<u>10/dpn6</u>	<u>10/dpn3</u>	<u>10/dpnz</u>	<u>10/gzqs</u>
WISE-ISODYN	2005-06	EAIS	<u>10/d554</u>	<u>10/d553</u>	<u>10/cncc</u>	<u>10/gzqq</u>
GRADES-IMAGE	2006-07	WAIS	-	<u>10/d55d</u>	<u>10/d55c</u>	<u>10/gzqj</u>
AGAP	2007-09	EAIS	<u>10/dpnf</u>	<u>10/dpnn</u>	<u>10/dpnr</u>	<u>10/gzqw</u> ¹
ANDRILL HRAM	2008-09	WAIS	-	<u>10/d54w</u>	-	-
Adelaide Island	2010-11	APIS	-	<u>10/dn8b</u>	-	-
IMAFI	2010-11	WAIS	<u>10/dn8g</u>	<u>10/dn8h</u>	<u>10/dn8f</u>	<u>10/gzqr</u>
PIG Ice Shelf	2010-11	WAIS	-	<u>10/d55m</u>	<u>10/d55n</u>	-
ICEGRAV	2011-13	EAIS	<u>10/dpqb</u>	<u>10/dpp9</u>	10/cjzn	10/gzqt
FISS 2015	2015-16	WAIS	-	<u>10/g36h</u>	<u>10/g35q</u>	<u>10/g35m</u>
PolarGAP	2015-16	EAIS	<u>10/g7kw</u> ²	<u>10/g7kw</u> ²	<u>10/g7qq</u>	<u>10/g7qp</u>
FISS 2016	2016-17	WAIS	<u>10/g36f</u>	<u>10/g36j</u>	<u>10/g35t</u>	<u>10/g35p</u>
ITGC 2018	2018-19	WAIS	<u>10/dn26</u>	<u>10/dn24</u>	**	**
ITGC 2019	2019-20	WAIS	<u>10/g68r</u>	<u>10/g68q</u>	<u>10/gp4z</u>	<u>10/g7qn</u>

We note that individual profiles opportunistically acquired following larger aerogeophysical
surveys (i.e. flightlines over Flask Glacier; Farinotti et al., 2013) are not included in this data release
unless specifically mentioned in the metadata for each survey (see Table 3). Such small-scale datasets
will be added to the data portal in future releases (see Section 4.3).

Below, we discuss the release of the datasets centered around the four FAIR data principles
(i.e. Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Re-Usable; Wilkinson et al., 2016), starting with the
formats and attributes used to store and describe the data (Interoperability; Sect. 4.1), the metadata
and Digital Object Identifiers assigned to each dataset (Findability; Sect. 4.2), the data-portal interface
and functionalities (Accessibility; Sect. 4.3), and finally the creation of a user guide and open-access
tutorials written in Python and MATLAB for reading the data programmatically (Re-usability; Sect.
4.4).

742 4.1. Interoperability: Data Formats and Attributes

743 In order to make our data as interoperable as possible, the choice of an open format for all our
744 datasets was a priority. We followed the best practices of the geophysics community and used
745 common data formats and naming conventions to describe the variable names. These are detailed
746 further here.

747 The gravity, magnetic, and bed-pick data are stored in open ASCII data formats, namely XYZ 748 and CSV files, to ensure long-term access and unresctricted use of the data in the future (Fig. 4). 749 Additionally, we followed the SCAR ADMAP2 data-release protocols (Golynsky et al., 2018) for the 750 naming convention of the channels for the magnetic data. For the radar data, we chose to release the bed-pick data separately from the full radar data (see Figure 4), although the full radar product 751 752 contains most of the information stored in the ASCII bed-pick files. Publishing the bed-pick data separately from the radar data was a deliberate choice: it alleviates the need for users to download the 753 754 full radar datasets to access light-weight tabular data, and improves the accessibility of the point data 755 for large gridded products such as SCAR's BEDMAP (Fretwell et al., 2013) and NASA's BedMachine (Morlighem et al., 2020) projects. The bed-pick data are stored as ASCII-formatted files 756 (namely XYZ and CSV), whereas the full radar data are stored as SEG-Y and NetCDF files, reasons 757 758 for which are described below.

The SEG-Y format has been used extensively by radar scientists since the early 1980s to store
radar data. This is primarily due to the lack of a radar-specific format, SEG-Y having been developed
primarily to store seismic data. The advantage of using SEG-Y files is that data can be readily

imported into seismic-interpretation software for data interpretation and analysis. The drawbacks of

- vising SEG-Y, however, are numerous, making this option unsuitable for long-term data storage.
- These include: (1) limited space for metadata, (2) the choice of byte-information to store the radar
 data is subjective due to the nature of the SEG-Y format, (3) until recently, the byte stream structure
- which includes the geolocation of each radar trace (i.e. the X and Y positions) was restricted to integer
- format leading to large inaccuracies in the actual trace position despite the use of high-resolution, sub-
- 768 metre GPS data (see Section 3.1.4). Recognising, however, the need from the geophysical community
- to view and analyse the radar data in conventional data formats, we have decided to continue
- producing SEG-Y files for each flightline and acquisition mode (e.g. pulse and chirp). The SEG-Y
- files were produced using the Revision 1.0 SEG-Y format and georeferenced using the navigational
- position of each trace from the GPS on board the aircraft in Polar Stereographic (EPSG: 3031)
- projection. Each SEG-Y file contains the following byte-information: trace number (byte: 1-4 and 58), PRI-Number (byte: 9-12), Cartesian X-coordinate (byte: 73-76), Cartesian Y-coordinate (byte: 77-
- 8), PRI-Number (byte: 9-12), Cartesian X-coordinate (byte: 73-76), Cartesian Y-coordinate (byte: 77-80), number of samples for each SEG-Y trace (byte: 115-116), and the sampling interval (byte: 117-118).

777 As a result of the issues mentioned above, we also exported and published the radar data in NetCDF-formatted files. We chose the NetCDF format due to its portability and array-oriented 778 779 structure, the ability to store large amounts of metadata and variables into one portable file, its machine-readable capability, and to harmonise our data products with other fields such as climate 780 781 science (e.g. ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis products; NCAR climate data), glaciology (e.g. Le Brocq et al., 2010; Morlighem et al., 2017; Lei et al., 2021) and, increasingly, radar geophysics itself (e.g. 782 Paden et al., 2014; Blankenship et al., 2017), which already all make use of this data format 783 effectively. The NetCDF files we produced contain extensive metadata relating to the acquisition and 784 processing of the radar data, as well as a set of CF-compliant (Climate and Forecast; 785 https://cfconventions.org/) variables that are tied to the radar data (Table 4). As a minimum, each 786 787 NetCDF file contains a radar data variable (one for the pulse and/or one for the chirp if both exist) in 2-D format, and a set of 1-D variables relating directly to the radar data, such as the trace number, PRI 788 789 number, fast time, and the X and Y coordinates (Table 4). We also provided additional radar-related 790 variables which were extracted from the radar data following processing, such as the surface and bed picks, the surface and bed elevation, the ice thickness, longitude and latitude, time of the trace, and 791 the elevation of the aircraft (Table 4). Additional 1-D variables include the source of the surface pick 792 793 (from lidar or radar) if this exists, the range between the aircraft and the ice surface, and in case the 794 pulse- and chirp-radar variables do not have the same length, we provide two sets of variables for the 795 trace number and PRI number.

Table 4. Attributes for each variable stored in the NetCDF files. For each attribute name, we
provide the long name, the dimension (1- or 2-D, x- or y-axis), the short or CF-compliant standard name, and
the unit of the measurement. The standard name is only provided if it exists as part of the CF convention
(https://cfconventions.org/), otherwise a short name is provided. "dBm" stands for decibel-milliwatts and "a.s.l."
stands for above sea level. Note that the surface and bed pick data are referenced to the sampling time of the
BAS radar systems across the 64 microseconds pulse repetition interval window, and digitised according to the
receiver sampling frequency (see Table 2).

NetCDF Attributes	Long Name	Dimension	Short / Standard Name	Unit
traces	Trace number for the radar data	1-D (x-axis)	traceNum	integer count (unitless)
fast_time	Two-way travel time	1-D (y-axis)	time	microseconds
x_coordinates	Cartesian x- coordinates for the radar data	1-D (x-axis)	projection_x_coordinate	meters (WGS84 EPSG: 3031)

y_coordinates	Cartesian y- coordinates for the radar data	1-D (x-axis)	projection_y_coordinate	meters (WGS84 EPSG: 3031)
chirp_data	Radar data for the processed chirp	2-D (x- and y- axis)	-	power (dBm)
pulse_data	Radar data for the processed pulse	2-D (x- and y- axis)	-	power (dBm)
longitude_layerData	Longitudinal position of the trace number	1-D (x-axis)	longitude	degree_east (WGS84 EPSG: 4326)
latitude_layerData	Latitudinal position of the trace number	1-D (x-axis)	latitude	degree_north (WGS84 EPSG: 4326)
UTC_time_layerData	Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) of trace number	1-D (x-axis)	resTime	seconds of the day
PriNumber_layerData	Incremental integer reference number related to initialisation of the radar system	1-D (x-axis)	PriNum	integer count (unitless)
terrainClearance_layerData	Terrain clearance distance from platform to air interface with ice, sea or ground	1-D (x-axis)	resHt	meters
aircraft_altitude_layerData	Aircraft altitude	1-D (x-axis)	Eht	meters a.s.l. (WGS84 ellipsoid)
surface_altitude_layerData	Ice surface elevation for the trace number	1-D (x-axis)	surface_altitude	meters a.s.l. (WGS84 ellipsoid)
surface_pick_layerData	Location down trace of surface pick (BAS system)	1-D (x-axis)	surfPickLoc	time sample (microseconds)
bed_altitude_layerData	Bedrock elevation for the trace number	1-D (x-axis)	bed_altitude	meters a.s.l. (WGS84 ellipsoid)
bed_pick_layerData	Location down trace of bed pick (BAS system)	1-D (x-axis)	bedPickLoc	time sample (microseconds)
land_ice_thickness_layerData	Ice thickness for the trace number	1-D (x-axis)	land_ice_thickness	meters

Lastly, to aid visualisation and improve efficiency in navigating the datasets, we created lightweight quick-look PDF files of the radar data for each flightline of each survey (see example for the WISE-ISODYN survey in Figure 7). The choice of ~25 or ~50-km length for the 2-D radargram was chosen based on clarity of the image and varies from survey to survey. The quick-look PDF files are stored alongside the SEG-Y and NetCDF files and are accessible using the links provided in Table 3.



811 Figure 7. Example of a segmented quick-look image from the 2005-06 WISE-ISODYN survey. (a) 812 Overview map of the survey flightlines (grey lines) with an inset over Antarctica and the specific flightline 813 highlighted in blue. (b) Zoom-in version of (a) showing the specific flightline with the footprint of the 50-km 814 segment (red line) and start point for the radargram (black dot) shown in (c). The background satellite image in 815 (a-b) is from the Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica (LIMA) (Bindschadler et al., 2008). (c) 50-km segmented 816 radar image of the chirp data with distance in kilometres shown in the bottom x-axis and the trace number 817 shown in the top x-axis. The y-axis shows the travel time in microseconds. The format of the title in (c) is as 818 follows: Survey Name and Flight ID, First Trace of Segment, Last Trace of Segment. The red and blue dashed 819 lines on the radargram in (c) show the surface and bed pick respectively.

820 4.2. Findability: Metadata and Digital Object Identifiers

821 ISO 19115/19139 Geographic Information metadata are provided for each data type of each

- survey and is archived alongside the datasets onto the PDC DMS catalogue (<u>https://data.bas.ac.uk/;</u>
- see Table 3). Each metadata record provides detailed information about the dataset, including an
- 824 abstract, list of personnel involved in the acquisition or analysis of the dataset, and detailed lineage
- 825 information on the acquisition and processing steps used to produce the dataset amongst others. All
- 826 our data are covered under the UK Open Government License
- 827 (<u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u>), enabling the re-use of the data
- 828 freely and with flexibility, whilst at the same time ensuring acknowledgment of those involved in the

collection and processing of the data. In addition, we use Earth Science-specific keywords and
vocabularies from the Global Change Master Directory (GCMD, 2021) to describe our data in a
consistent and comprehensive manner in accordance with ISO 19115 standards. Lastly, a Digital
Object Identifier is minted for each dataset so that it can be discoverable and adequately cited. The
end goal is to provide all the information necessary for effective, long-term data re-use.

834 The data are shared via the web-based RAMADDA (Repository for Archiving and MAnaging 835 Diverse DAta; https://geodesystems.com/) data repository system which is an open-source content and data management platform. The download of the data is done through a standard HTTP-protocol 836 837 where no login account is required. In the backend, the data are stored following a simple folder structure on the PDC server that is mirrored onto RAMADDA. This simple structure allows us to 838 839 maintain a balance between the services we can provide and our ability to move away from specific 840 tools - RAMADDA in this case - and potentially adopt more performant systems in the future. The 841 goal is to stay as independent of the platform we use as possible while providing the most effective service possible. 842

843 4.3. Accessibility: Polar Airborne Geophysics Data Portal

To increase the accessibility and discoverability of our data, we developed a new data portal,
the Polar Airborne Geophysics Data Portal (<u>https://www.bas.ac.uk/project/nagdp/</u>). The portal
interactively showcases the wide coverage of aerogeophysical datasets collected by BAS and enables
users to easily discover and download the published datasets via a series of widgets and functionalities
aimed at enhancing the user experience.

The portal is divided into five layer-menus: "Aerogravity", "Aeromagnetics", "AeroRadar", "Boundaries & Features", and "Basemaps". The first three menus contain shapefile layers for the gravity, magnetic, and radar datasets respectively. The "Boundaries & Features" menu contains a set of specific boundary layers, such as the Antarctic Coastline and Ice Drainage boundaries amongst others, and the "Basemaps" menu contains background gridded maps of ice thickness, surface and bed elevations, magnetic anomaly and geothermal heat flow amongst others.

The track lines for each dataset correspond to individual polyline shapefiles (either segmented in 25 or 50-km, or by flightline) which contain key statistics such as the minimum, maximum, and median gravity and magnetic anomalies, and minimum, maximum, and median ice surface, bed elevation, and ice thickness. The shapefiles also contain direct links to the survey's metadata and to direct links to download the data via the RAMADDA interface.

A powerful functionality of the portal is the ability to view the aerogeophysical data rapidly via the creation of quick-look gravity, magnetic, and radar plots for each flightlines (see Section 5.2; Figure 7c). For the magnetic and gravity data, graphs showing the magnetic or free-air anomaly along straight lines were created in the direction Westernmost-Easternmost if the profile is mainly in the direction of the longitude, or Northernmost-Southernmost if the profile is predominantly in the direction of the latitude. For the radar data, the segmented images were produced in a similar format to Figure 7c and split into ~25 and~50 km segments depending on the survey.

868 4.4. Re-Usability: User Guide and Tutorials

To increase further the re-usability of our data, we provided a user guide for the data portal as
well as interactive, open-source Jupyter Notebook tutorials written in Python and MATLAB for
reading in the gravity, magnetic, and radar datasets and conducting first-order analyses on the data.
These are archived on the BAS GitHub repository and provided via an interactive web interface using
Jupyter Book (<u>https://antarctica.github.io/PDC_GeophysicsBook</u>). We believe these to be particularly
beneficial for ensuring accessibility and re-usability of our data to as wide of a range of users as

875 possible, primarily as a result of the complexity around reading in aerogeophysical data formats.

876 **5. Discussion**

877 This final section exemplifies the potential re-usability of the newly released aerogeophysical
878 data via the interrogation of the englacial architecture of the ice as sounded by BAS ice-penetrating
879 radars. We also explore the future use of the new data portal and discuss opportunities in terms of data
880 release and further potential re-use of the BAS aerogeophysical data.

881 5.1. Internal Layering Continuity Index

882 Englacial layering, as imaged by ice-penetrating radars, is a powerful means of extracting information on past ice-dynamical processes (Rippin et al., 2003b; Siegert et al., 2003; Bingham et al., 883 2015) amongst others. For example, the presence of well-preserved and continuous englacial layering 884 may reflect stable ice conditions and suggest limited changes in past ice-flow conditions, ice divide 885 886 migration, or melting within or at the base of an ice sheet (Karlsson et al., 2012). In contrast, poor 887 continuity in englacial layering, primarily characterised by buckled or absent layering, may be indicative of past ice-flow switching or increased englacial stress gradients (Siegert et al., 2003; 888 Bingham et al., 2015). 889

890 The Internal Layer Continuity Index (or ILCI; Karlsson et al., 2012) provides an automated tool for quantitatively assessing the continuity of englacial layering based on A-scope radar profiles. 891 892 This method has the advantage of being much less laborious than manual methods (e.g. Rippin et al., 893 2003a: Siegert et al., 2003; Bingham et al. 2007) and removes the potential subjectivity in assessing layer continuity. By design, the ILCI is sensitive to the number and strength of internal reflections, 894 such that low values indicate discontinuity and high values indicate high continuity. Whilst the ILCI 895 has previously been calculated over individual surveys (Karlsson et al., 2012; Bingham et al., 2015; 896 Winter et al., 2015; Karlsson et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020), until now, this approach had not been 897 898 tested at a regional scale over Antarctica and with the use of multiple radar datasets. Enabled by the 899 comprehensive release of large swaths of fully standardised and open-access aerogeophysical data 900 described in this paper, we aim to demonstrate that much more information can be extracted from 901 these data on a regional- to continental-scale, which would not have otherwise been possible before.

902 Here, we have calculated the ILCI on the ten PASIN radar datasets acquired between 2004-2020 that have been published as part of this data release (see Table 3; Figure 8-9); and which amount 903 to ~300,000 line-km of data. Since we were primarily interested in regional changes in layer 904 continuity, the ILCI was smoothed using a horizontal window of 1,000 samples (representing ~25-45 905 906 km distance depending on the dataset) to remove any small-scale anomalies in the data and only making use of the deep-sounding chirp product due to its capability of imaging deeper internal layers. 907 908 The upper and lower 20% of the ice were also omitted in the calculations due to the inability of the PASIN system to resolve continuous layers in the upper portion of the ice column, and because 909 910 internal layering is typically absent near the ice-bed interface (Drews et al., 2009; Karlsson et al., 911 2012).



913 Figure 8. Internal Layer Continuity Index for the ten PASIN datasets for which the fully 914 processed 2-D radar data were released as part of this paper (see Table 3). The background map shows ice-915 flow velocities from the In-SAR MEaSUREs dataset (Rignot et al., 2017) superimposed over a hill-shade from 916 the BedMachine bed elevation v2 dataset (Morlighem, 2020). The red and blue colour bar shows ice-flow 917 velocities in metres per annum, and the magma colour bar shows the continuity of internal layers throughout the 918 radar dataset (low continuity = yellow; high continuity = dark purple). The black-bordered rectangles (a-c) 919 correspond to the close-up plots in Figure 9a-c. The red triangles correspond to existing deep ice-cores located 920 near the BAS radar surveys.

An important consideration in employing the ILCI over multiple datasets is that the results 921 922 will vary based on data acquisition (i.e. radar frequency, system resolution) and processing applied 923 (i.e. incoherent vs 2-D SAR), thus a pan-Antarctic comparison of internal layer continuity must be 924 analysed in this context. This is especially the case here, where we have applied the ILCI to data acquired over a period of >15 years with two different systems (namely PASIN-1 and PASIN-2) and 925 926 using different processing regimes. Therefore, care must be taken when interpreting the results from different surveys together, as for example, a low level of layer continuity in the main trunk of Pine 927 928 Island Glacier on the BBAS survey may not reflect the same level of discontinuity on the low-929 continuity areas of the PolarGAP survey. This caution noted, the results presented here offer an 930 opportunity to identify some regional patterns of potential value for future work, which we now 931 discuss.

- Figure 8 shows that there is a good correspondence between discontinuous layering where ice flow is fast (> 200 m a⁻¹) such as over Foundation Ice Stream (FISS) and the main trunk of Pine Island Glacier (BBAS) and Slessor Glacier (ICEGRAV) (Fig. 8 and 9a). Whilst layer discontinuity is mainly present over the WAIS due to the high concentration of fast-flowing ice streams in this region, several sections covering the EAIS also show signs of layer discontinuity, particularly in the upstream portions of the fast-flowing Lambert Glacier (AGAP) and David and Ninnis glaciers (WISE-ISODYN) (yellow arrows in Fig. 9b-c).
- 939 Unsurprisingly, areas of high continuity are mainly observed over the interior of the EAIS, 940 particularly on flightlines extending deep into East Antarctica and South Pole (Fig. 8 and 9a-b), as well as into the deeper parts of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin and Dome C (black arrow in Fig. 9c) 941 where deep ice-cores have been drilled (red triangles in Fig. 8-9). Areas of high layer continuity over 942 943 the WAIS include numerous ice-rises (i.e. Bungenstock, Fletcher, Henry, and Korff) as imaged on the 944 GRADES-IMAGE, IMAFI, and FISS surveys (black arrows in Fig. 9a), the deeper sections of the southern Pine Island Glacier basin on the BBAS data, as well as on PolarGAP survey lines upstream 945 946 of the FISS grids covering Foundation Ice Stream and Recovery and Slessor glaciers (Fig. 9a).
- 947 Also visible are the disruptive effects of local bed topography on the continuity of internal
- 948 layering, such as over the Ellsworth Subglacial Highlands (BBAS), the Transantarctic Mountains
- 949 (IMAFI and PolarGAP), and the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains (AGAP) (see yellow arrows in
- Fig. 9a-b), whereas relatively flat bed topography in the deep interior of the EAIS allow layering to
- 951 remain relatively undisturbed there (Fig. 8 and black arrows in Fig. 9b).



953 Figure 9. Zoomed-in sections of the Internal Layer Continuity Index shown in the black-954 bordered rectangles on Figure 8. The basemap datasets and colour scales are the same as in Figure 8. (a) ILCI 955 results over the WAIS (including Pine Island Glacier, Rutford Ice Stream, Institute-Möller Ice Stream, and 956 Foundation Ice Stream) and bottleneck with the EAIS (including South Pole, Pensacola Mountains and Slessor 957 Glacier), (b) ILCI results for the AGAP survey over East Antarctica's Dome A and South Pole, (c) ILCI results 958 for the WISE-ISODYN survey over East Antarctica's Wilkes Subglacial Basin and Dome C. Arrows refer to 959 locations mentioned in the text, with black arrows highlighting examples of high layer continuity and yellow 960 arrows low layer continuity. As per Figure 8, the red triangles correspond to existing deep ice-cores located near 961 the BAS radar surveys. Abbreviations correspond to locations mentioned in the text, as follows: BIR 962 (Bungenstock Ice Rise); DC (Dome C); DG (David Glacier); ESH (Ellsworth Subglacial Highlands); FIR 963 (Filchner Ice Rise); FIS (Foundation Ice Stream); GSM (Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains); HIR (Henry Ice 964 Rise); KIR (Korff Ice Rise); LG (Lambert Glacier); NG (Ninnis Glacier); RG (Recovery Glacier); SG (Slessor 965 Glacier); SP (South Pole); TM (Transantarctic Mountains); WDC (WAIS Divide Core); WSB (Wilkes 966 Subglacial Basin).

967 Altogether, the results presented in Figures 8 and 9 show considerable promise for those radar
968 datasets to be exploited further in the future, particularly with regards to tracking or otherwise
969 characterising the englacial architecture of the ice and as motivated by the SCAR AntArchitecture
970 group. At present, only two BAS radar datasets (BBAS and IMAFI) have been comprehensively
971 assessed for deep englacial layers (Karlsson et al., 2009; Ashmore et al., 2020; Ross et al., 2020;
972 Bodart et al., 2021). Importantly, the close proximity of deep ice cores, such as the WAIS Divide
973 (Buizert et al., 2015; Sigl et al., 2016), EPICA Dome C (EPICA Community Members, 2004), and

- 974 South Pole (Winski et al., 2019), to these newly released surveys (Fig. 8-9) provide ready
- opportunities for these layers to be dated, increasing significantly their wider use for glaciological and
 geophysical applications (e.g. Siegert and Payne, 2004; Parrenin and Hindmarsh, 2007; Cavitte et al.,
- **977** 2018; Sutter et al., 2021).

978 5.2. Polar Airborne Geophysics Data Portal

979 One specificity of the platform is that it offers three types of geophysical datasets - namely 980 gravity, magnetic and radar - at the same time geospatially. Although some surveys were acquired 981 over 25 years ago, they may never have been exploited or analysed fully in a form that reached peer-982 reviewed publications, nor combined with other geophysical data before, increasing in turn their re-983 usability. By publishing this resource, we anticipate that the portal and datasets will foster new 984 research and discoveries related to our understanding of ice-sheet processes and crust and lithosphere 985 heterogeneity beneath the Antarctic Ice Sheet.

986 Additionally, the portal enables users to combine the published line datasets with gridded 987 products to compare the ability of the interpolated datasets to match the direct observations. For instance, as shown in Figure 10 for the 2012-13 ICEGRAV survey, the portal allows users to readily 988 989 investigate the free-air gravity anomaly with the bed topography from BEDMAP2 or assess the consistency between the measured ICEGRAV magnetic anomalies and the gridded aeromagnetic 990 991 product (Fig. 10). Alternatively, the quick-look radargrams can be compared with the ice-thickness and bed-elevation grid cells from BEDMAP to assess sub-km variations in along- and across-flow on 992 993 the radar data which may have been smoothed out in the 1-km gridded product.

With its ~207,000 line-km of gravity, ~338,000 line-km of magnetic, and ~352,000 line-km of radar data published, the Polar Airborne Geophysics Data Portal provides a robust platform for the dissemination of the BAS aerogeophysical data. Further opportunities offered by the data portal are the potential for the platform to be used to plan future field surveys or encourage future compilation efforts based on gaps in the data coverage or quality of the data.



1006

1007 **5.3. Future Work**

Although most of data published here have already been incorporated into previous data compilations such as BEDMAP2 or ADMAP2, the more recent datasets presented here will provide useful additions to future editions of such initiatives. Examples of this are the data acquired as part of the 2012-13 ICEGRAV aeromagnetic campaign in Dronning Maud Land where the last compilation effort of magnetic anomalies had shown a large gap (Goodge and Finn, 2010; Fig. 10), or the new icethickness and bed-elevation data acquired over Thwaites Glacier (2018-20), South Pole (2015-16), and Filchner Ice Shelf (2015-17) which are expected to be added to the new BEDMAP3 compilation.

aerogravity survey with the BEDMAP2 bed elevation basemap (b) ICEGRAV aeromagnetic survey with the magnetic anomaly basemap from Goodge and Finn (2010), (c) ICEGRAV aeroradar survey with the ice

thickness basemap from BEDMAP2. (d) Magnetic anomaly along the profile highlighted in (c) with a

comparison with the aeromagnetic anomaly map from Goodge and Finn (2010).

1015 Even though most of the gravity, magnetic and bed-pick data acquired since the mid-1990s are now fully published, radar data from older surveys (1994-2004) for which the bed-pick data are 1016 1017 published and already integrated into larger gridded products (e.g. BEDMAP; Fretwell et al., 2013) 1018 are vet to be published in full as per the more modern surveys (2004-2020) released here (see Table 1019 3). This is primarily due to poorer data management practices at times of acquisition and less well-1020 documented processing procedures which restrict the re-usability of these older radar dataset. Much 1021 older analogue radar data acquired on films and video tapes prior to the deployment of digital radars (i.e. pre-1994) also offer additional opportunities, although the necessity for manual digitisation 1022 1023 makes this task much more time consuming and challenging. It is worth noting, however, that many of the regions broadly covered by these older surveys have recently been re-flown using more modern 1024

instruments, much as part of NASA's Operation IceBridge programme (MacGregor et al., 2021),
although reprocessing and modernising older radar data can bring substantial benefits, as already
demonstrated by Schroeder et al. (2019). Additional reprocessing of older radar data using modern 2D SAR techniques would also be beneficial, as recently demonstrated on BAS data (see Castelletti et al., 2020; Chu et al., 2021).

As a result of the very flexible configuration of the PASIN-2 system, much more data can also be extracted from the raw radar files already acquired, including fully polarised data used to image ice crystal-fabric orientation for estimating ice deformation processes (i.e. Young et al., 2021), or 3-D swath radar data used to reconstruct the sub-surface at finer resolution and without compromising on across-track resolution as for conventional 2-D data (Holschuh et al., 2020).

1035 Combined, these will likely add further opportunities for future data releases, alongside our
1036 intention to publish newly acquired data regularly via the data portal and following the procedures
1037 detailed in this paper.

1038 6. Conclusion

We have presented here the release of 64 aerogeophysical datasets from 24 surveys flown by 1039 BAS between 1994 and 2020 over the Antarctic Peninsula and East and West Antarctica. Altogether, 1040 1041 the data release consists of ~450,000 line-km (or ~5.3.million km²) of aerogeophysical data on 1042 gravity, magnetic and radar measurements (including bed-pick from 1994-onwards and the fully 1043 processed 2-D radar data from 2004-onwards) which have all been standardised according to the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Re-Usable) data principles. A new data portal, the 1044 Polar Airborne Geophysics Data Portal (https://www.bas.ac.uk/project/nagdp/), and interactive, open-1045 access tutorials written in Python and MATLAB have also been created to improve the interactivity 1046 1047 and user-accessibility of our datasets.

1048 Aside from discussing the data acquisition and processing steps, we have demonstrated that 1049 much more information can be extracted from the newly released aerogeophysical data by assessing the continuity of englacial layering along ~300,000 line-km of the ice-penetrating radar data. Using an 1050 automated layer continuity extraction method on all ten fully published 2-D radar datasets, we have 1051 shown that large volumes of radar lines contain well-preserved englacial layering from which further 1052 glaciological and geophysical information could be extracted. We note that the analysis shown in 1053 Section 5.1 is only possible because the data have been comprehensively standardised and made open-1054 1055 access. Whilst we acknowledge that this type of work may suffer from a lack of funding opportunities, the results presented here would suggests that re-modernising already acquired data 1056 1057 may be as important as acquiring new data. It also enables their use in emerging fields such as 1058 artificial intelligence, which rely on large amounts of standardised data.

1059 Although all of the datasets released here have so far made a significant contribution to our 1060 understanding of past and current ice-dynamical and lithospheric influences, partly through their 1061 contributions to major international collaborative projects such as the SCAR BEDMAP and ADMAP programmes, they have until now largely remained unpublished in their full form, thus restricting the 1062 further usage of the data beyond the life cycle of the science projects. It is our hope that these newly 1063 1064 released data will offer further research opportunities and enable the wider scientific community to benefit from the abundance of newly published aerogeophysical data over Antarctica, particularly 1065 within the context of recently established international projects such as the SCAR AntArchitecture 1066 1067 and RINGS Action groups, the latter of which focuses primarily on fillings gaps in radar observations at the boundaries of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. 1068

Reflecting on our collaboration between data managers and scientists, we believe that this
 project sets a positive example for further release of aerogeophysical data, particularly for future
 international initiatives that are aiming to harmonise the availability and findability of

- 1072 aerogeophysical data collected across Antarctica. A full list of all available datasets can be found in
- 1073 Table 3 of this paper, or via the BAS Discovery Metadata System (<u>https://data.bas.ac.uk</u>).

1074 Data Availability Statement

1075 All the data included in this manuscript are freely available via the BAS Discovery Metadata System

1076 (<u>https://data.bas.ac.uk</u>), with direct links to the datasets found in Table 3 of this paper. The user guide

- 1077 for the data portal and the Jupiter Notebook tutorials designed for reading in the gravity, magnetic,
- and radar data in Python and MATLAB are freely accessible on the Jupyter Book interface
- 1079 (<u>https://antarctica.github.io/PDC_GeophysicsBook</u>) or via the BAS GitHub repository
- 1080 (https://github.com/antarctica/PDC_GeophysicsBook). The code used to produce the Internal Layer
- 1081 Continuity Index over the whole BAS radar data (Fig. 8-9) is available on the GitHub page of J.A.B.
- 1082 (<u>https://github.com/julbod</u>).

1083 Competing Interests

1084 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1085 Author Contribution Statement

1086 A.C.F. and J.A.B. co-led this data release. A.C.F. initiated the collaboration between the Polar Data Centre and the BAS Airborne Geophysics science team, with input from H.J.P. A.C.F. quality-1087 1088 checked and published the gravity, magnetic and bed-pick datasets, with input from T.A.J., F.F. and J.A.B. J.A.B re-processed, quality-checked, and published the fully processed radar datasets and 1089 accompanying files, with input from A.C.F., T.A.J. and C.R. The three BAS radar systems and 1090 1091 accompanying radar processing software libraries were designed by H.F.J.C. The aerogeophysical data were primarily acquired and processed by H.F.J.C, C.R., F.F. and T.A.J. J.A.B created the data 1092 1093 portal, with input from A.C.F., T.A.J. and F.F. A.C.F. and J.A.B. populated the data portal with the gravity, magnetic, and radar track-lines. A.C.F. created the Jupyter Notebook tutorials, with input 1094 1095 from J.A.B. for the radar tutorials and user guide. J.A.B. wrote the code and analysed the results for 1096 the layer continuity index. J.A.B wrote the initial manuscript and created the figures, with input from

1097 A.C.F. All authors commented and contributed to the final edits of the manuscript prior to publication.

1098 Acknowledgments

1099 We would like to thank the many BAS personnel involved over the last three decades in developing

- and maintaining the aerogeophysical instruments and in acquiring and processing the data presentedin this paper. In particular, we would like to credit and acknowledge Hugh Corr and Nick Frearson for
- 1102 designing the PASIN radar systems. We are also especially grateful to the many pilots that flew the
- 1103 BAS Twin Otter aicraft "VP-FBL" throughout the years, including Lee Proudfoot, Greg Harris, Pete
- Buckley, Doug Pearson, Mark Beasley, Doug Cochrane, Andy Vidamour, Oli Smith, and particularly
- 1105 David Leatherdale and Ian Potten who flew most of the survey km. We would also like to highlight
- the scientific contribution of the late Richard Hindmarsh, particularly with regards to the GRADES-
- 1107 IMAGE survey. Many campaigns that BAS conducted were also in partnership with national and
- international collaborators and institutes, which we would also like to acknowledge more widely. Wewould also like to acknowledge the help from the BAS PDC and MAGIC (Mapping and GIS) teams
- 110 for their valuable input throughout this project. Lastly, the authors thank Neil Ross and an anonymous
- 1111 reviewer for their constructive suggestions, which improved this manuscript. J.A.B was partly funded
- by the NERC Doctoral Training Partnership (grant reference number: NE/L002558/1) hosted in the
- **1113** Edinburgh E^3 DTP program.

1114 **References**

1116 1117 1118 1119	Arndt, J.E., Schenke, H.W., Jakobsson, M., Nitsche, F.O., Buys, G., Goleby, B., Rebesco, M., Bohoyo, F., Hong, J., Black, J. and Greku, R.: The International Bathymetric Chart of the Southern Ocean (IBCSO) Version 1.0—A new bathymetric compilation covering circum-Antarctic waters. Geophys. Res. Lett., 40(12), 3111-3117, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50413</u> , 2013
1120	
1121 1122 1123	Ashmore, D.W., Bingham, R.G., Hindmarsh, R.C., Corr, H.F. and Joughin, I.R.: The relationship between sticky spots and radar reflectivity beneath an active West Antarctic ice stream. Ann. Glaciol., 55(67), 29-38, <u>https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG67A052</u> , 2014
1124	
1125 1126	Ashmore, D.W. and Bingham, R.G.: Antarctic subglacial hydrology: current knowledge and future challenges. Antarct. Sci., 26(6), 758-773, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102014000546</u> , 2014
1127	
1128 1129 1130	Ashmore, D.W., Bingham, R.G., Ross, N., Siegert, M.J., Jordan, T.A. and Mair, D.W.: Englacial architecture and age-depth constraints across the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(6), p.e2019GL086663, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086663</u> , 2020
1131	
1132 1133 1134	Bamber, J.L., Ferraccioli, F., Joughin, I., Shepherd, T., Rippin, D.M., Siegert, M.J. and Vaughan, D.G.: East Antarctic ice stream tributary underlain by major sedimentary basin. Geology, 34(1), 33-36, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G22160.1</u> , 2006
1135	
1136 1137 1138	Becker, D., Nielsen, J.E., Ayres-Sampaio, D., Forsberg, R., Becker, M. and Bastos, L.: Drift reduction in strapdown airborne gravimetry using a simple thermal correction. J. Geodesy, 89(11), 1133-1144, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-015-0839-8</u> , 2015
1139	
1140 1141 1142	Bell, R.E., Blankenship, D.D., Finn, C.A., Morse, D.L., Scambos, T.A., Brozena, J.M. and Hodge, S.M.: Influence of subglacial geology on the onset of a West Antarctic ice stream from aerogeophysical observations. NATURE, 394(6688), 58-62, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/27883</u> , 1998
1143	
1144 1145 1146	Bell, R.E., Studinger, M., Fahnestock, M.A. and Shuman, C.A.: Tectonically controlled subglacial lakes on the flanks of the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains, East Antarctica. Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(2), L02504, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025207</u> , 2006
1147	
1148 1149 1150 1151	Bell, R.E., Ferraccioli, F., Creyts, T.T., Braaten, D., Corr, H., Das, I., Damaske, D., Frearson, N., Jordan, T., Rose, K. and Studinger, M.: Widespread persistent thickening of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet by freezing from the base. Science, 331(6024), 1592-1595, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200109, 2011
1152	
1153 1154	Bindschadler, R., Vornberger, P., Fleming, A., Fox, A., Mullins, J., Binnie, D., Paulsen, S.J., Granneman, B. and Gorodetzky, D.: The Landsat image mosaic of Antarctica. Remote Sens. Environ.,

1155 112(12), 4214-4226, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.07.006</u>, 2008

1156	
1157 1158 1159 1160 1161	Bindschadler, R.A.; Choi, H., Wichlacz, A, Bingham, R., Bohlander, J., Brunt, K., Corr, H., Drews, R., Fricker, H., Hall, M., Hindmarsh, R., Kohler, J., Padman, L., Rack, W., Rotschky, G., Urbini, S., Vornberger, P. and Young, N.: Getting around Antarctica: New high-resolution mappings of the grounded and freely-floating boundaries of the Antarctic ice sheet created for the International Polar Year. The Cryosphere, 5, 569-588, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-569-2011</u> , 2011
1162	
1163 1164 1165 1166	Bingham, R.G., Siegert, M.J., Young, D.A. and Blankenship, D.D.: Organized flow from the South Pole to the Filchner-Ronne ice shelf: An assessment of balance velocities in interior East Antarctica using radio echo sounding data. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 112(F3), F03S26, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000556</u> , 2007
1167	
1168 1169 1170	Bingham, R.G., Ferraccioli, F., King, E.C., Larter, R.D., Pritchard, H.D., Smith, A.M. and Vaughan, D.G.: Inland thinning of West Antarctic Ice Sheet steered along subglacial rifts. Nature, 487(7408), 468-471, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11292</u> , 2012
1171	
1172 1173 1174 1175	Bingham, R.G., Rippin, D.M., Karlsson, N.B., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Le Brocq, A.M., Rose, K.C., Ross, N. and Siegert, M.J.: Ice-flow structure and ice dynamic changes in the Weddell Sea sector of West Antarctica from radar-imaged internal layering. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 120(4), 655-670, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003291</u> , 2015
1176	
1177 1178 1179 1180 1181	Blankenship, D.D., Morse, D.L., Finn, C.A., Bell, R.E., Peters, M.E., Kempf, S.D., Hodge, S.M., Studinger, M., Behrendt, J.C. and Brozena, J.M.: Geologic controls on the initiation of rapid basal motion for West Antarctic ice streams: A geophysical perspective including new airborne radar sounding and laser altimetry results. The West Antarctic Ice Sheet: Behavior and Environment, 77, 105-121, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/AR077p0105</u> , 2001
1182	
1183 1184 1185 1186 1187	Blankenship, D. D., Kempf, S. D., Young, D. A., Richter, T. G., Schroeder, D. M., Greenbaum, J. S., van Ommen, T., Warner, R. C., Roberts, J. L., Young, N. W., Lemeur, E., Siegert, M. J., and Holt, J. W.: IceBridge HiCARS 1 L1B Time-Tagged Echo Strength Profiles, Version 1. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/W2KXX0MYNJ9G</u> , 2017
1188	
1189 1190 1191	Bodart, J.A., Bingham, R.G., Ashmore, D.W., Karlsson, N.B., Hein, A.S. and Vaughan, D.G.: Age-depth stratigraphy of Pine Island Glacier inferred from airborne radar and ice-core chronology. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 126(4), p.e2020JF005927, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF005927</u> , 2021
1192	
1193 1194 1195	Bozzo, E. and Ferraccioli, F.: The Italian-British Antarctic geophysical and geological survey in northern Victoria Land 2005-06-towards the International Polar Year 2007-08. https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/15403, 2007

1197 1198 1199 1200	Buizert, C., Cuffey, K.M., Severinghaus, J.P., Baggenstos, D., Fudge, T.J., Steig, E.J., Markle, B.R., Winstrup, M., Rhodes, R.H., Brook, E.J. and Sowers, T.A.: The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology–Part 1: Methane synchronization (68–31 ka BP) and the gas age–ice age difference. Clim. Past, 11(2), 153-173. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-11-153-2015</u> , 2015
1201	
1202 1203 1204	Castelletti, D., Schroeder, D.M., Mantelli, E. and Hilger, A.: Layer optimized SAR processing and slope estimation in radar sounder data. J. Glaciol., 65(254), 983-988. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2019.72, 2019
1205	
1206 1207 1208	Cavitte, M.G., Parrenin, F., Ritz, C., Young, D.A., Van Liefferinge, B., Blankenship, D.D., Frezzotti, M. and Roberts, J.L.: Accumulation patterns around Dome C, East Antarctica, in the last 73 kyr. The Cryosphere, 12(4), 1401-1414, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1401-2018</u> , 2018
1209	
1210 1211 1212 1213	Chu, W., Hilger, A.M., Culberg, R., Schroeder, D.M., Jordan, T.M., Seroussi, H., Young, D.A., Blankenship, D.D. and Vaughan, D.G.: Multi-system synthesis of radar sounding observations of the Amundsen Sea sector from the 2004-2005 field season. J. Geophys. ResEarth, p.e2021JF006296, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006296</u> , 2021
1214	
1215 1216 1217	Constantino, R.R., Tinto, K.J., Bell, R.E., Porter, D.F. and Jordan, T.A.: Seafloor depth of George VI Sound, Antarctic Peninsula, from inversion of aerogravity data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(21), p.e2020GL088654, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088654</u> , 2020
1218	
1219 1220 1221	Corr, H. and Popple, M.: Airborne radio echo sounding on the Evans flowline, Ronne Ice Shelf. Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf Programme Report, 8, 9-11. <u>http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/515954</u> , 1994
1222	
1223 1224 1225 1226	Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Frearson, N., Jordan, T., Robinson, C., Armadillo, E., Caneva, G., Bozzo, E. and Tabacco, I.: Airborne radio-echo sounding of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin, the Transantarctic Mountains and the Dome C region. Terra Ant. Reports, 13, 55-63, <u>https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/13578</u> , 2007
1227	
1228 1229	Corr, H.F. and Vaughan, D.G.: A recent volcanic eruption beneath the West Antarctic ice sheet. Nat. Geosci., 1(2), 122-125, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo106</u> , 2008
1230	
1231 1232 1233 1234	Creyts, T.T., Ferraccioli, F., Bell, R.E., Wolovick, M., Corr, H., Rose, K.C., Frearson, N., Damaske, D., Jordan, T., Braaten, D. and Finn, C.: Freezing of ridges and water networks preserves the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains for millions of years. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(22), 8114-8122, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061491</u> , 2014
1235	

1236 1237 1238	Diez, A., Matsuoka, K., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Corr, H.F., Kohler, J., Olesen, A.V. and Forsberg, R.: Basal settings control fast ice flow in the Recovery/Slessor/Bailey Region, East Antarctica. Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(6), 2706-2715, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076601</u> , 2018
1239	
1240 1241 1242 1243	Diez, A., Matsuoka, K., Jordan, T.A., Kohler, J., Ferraccioli, F., Corr, H.F., Olesen, A.V., Forsberg, R. and Casal, T.G.: Patchy lakes and topographic origin for fast flow in the Recovery Glacier system, East Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 124(2), 287-304, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JF004799, 2019
1244	
1245 1246 1247	Drews, R., Eisen, O., Weikusat, I., Kipfstuhl, S., Lambrecht, A., Steinhage, D., Wilhelms, F. and Miller, H.: Layer disturbances and the radio-echo free zone in ice sheets. The Cryosphere, 3(2), 195-203, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-3-195-2009</u> , 2009
1248	
1249 1250	EPICA Community Members: Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core. Nature, 429, 623-628, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02599</u> , 2004
1251	
1252 1253 1254	Ferraccioli, F., Gambetta, M. & Bozzo, E.: Microlevelling procedures applied to regional aeromagnetic data: an example from the Transantarctic Mountains (Antarctica), Geophys. Prospect, 46, 177-196, <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2478.1998.00080.x</u> , 1998
1255	
1256 1257 1258 1259	Ferraccioli, F., Jones, P.C., Curtis, M.L. and Leat, P.T.: Subglacial imprints of early Gondwana break-up as identified from high resolution aerogeophysical data over western Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica. Terra Nova, 17(6), 573-579, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2005.00651.x</u> , 2005a
1260	
1261 1262 1263 1264	Ferraccioli, F., Jones, P. C., Curtis, M. L., Leat, P. T., and Riley, T. R.: Tectonic and magmatic patterns in the Jutulstraumen rift (?) region, East Antarctica, as imaged by high-resolution aeromagnetic data. Earth, Planets and Space, 57(8), 767-780, <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03351856</u> , 2005b
1265	
1266 1267 1268	Ferraccioli, F., Jones, P.C., Vaughan, A.P.M. and Leat, P.T.: New aerogeophysical view of the Antarctic Peninsula: More pieces, less puzzle. Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(5), L05310, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024636</u> , 2006
1269	
1270 1271 1272 1273	Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T., Armadillo, E., Bozzo, E., Corr, H., Caneva, G., Robinson, C., Frearson, N. and Tabacco, I.: Collaborative aerogeophysical campaign targets the Wilkes Subglacial Basin, the Transantarctic Mountains and the Dome C region. Terra Ant. Reports, 13, 1-36, <u>https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/13741</u> , 2007
1274	

1275 1276 1277	Ferraccioli, F., Armadillo, E., Jordan, T., Bozzo, E. and Corr, H.: Aeromagnetic exploration over the East Antarctic Ice Sheet: a new view of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin. Tectonophysics, 478(1-2), 62-77, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.03.013</u> , 2009
1278	
1279 1280 1281	Ferraccioli, F., Finn, C.A., Jordan, T.A., Bell, R.E., Anderson, L.M. and Damaske, D.: East Antarctic rifting triggers uplift of the Gamburtsev Mountains. Nature, 479(7373), 388-392, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10566</u> , 2011
1282	
1283 1284 1285	Ferris, J.K., Vaughan, A.P. and King, E.C.: A window on West Antarctic crustal boundaries: the junction between the Antarctic Peninsula, the Filchner Block, and Weddell Sea oceanic lithosphere. Tectonophysics, 347(1-3), 13-23, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(01)00235-9</u> , 2002
1286	
1287 1288 1289	Ferris, J.K., Storey, B.C., Vaughan, A.P., Kyle, P.R. and Jones, P.C.: The Dufek and Forrestal intrusions, Antarctica: A centre for Ferrar large igneous province dike emplacement?. Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(6), 1348, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016719</u> , 2003
1290	
1291 1292 1293 1294	Forsberg, R., Olesen, A.V., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Matsuoka, K., Zakrajsek, A., Ghidella, M. and Greenbaum, J.S.: Exploring the Recovery Lakes region and interior Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, with airborne gravity, magnetic and radar measurements. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 461(1), 23-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/SP461.17</u> , 2018
1295	
1296 1297 1298	Frederick, B.C., Young, D.A., Blankenship, D.D., Richter, T.G., Kempf, S.D., Ferraccioli, F. and Siegert, M.J.: Distribution of subglacial sediments across the Wilkes Subglacial Basin, East Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 121(4), 790-813, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003760</u> , 2016
1299	
1300 1301 1302 1303	Fretwell, P., Pritchard, H.D., Vaughan, D.G., Bamber, J.L., Barrand, N.E., Bell, R., Bianchi, C., Bingham, R.G., Blankenship, D.D., Casassa, G. and Catania, G.: Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica. The Cryosphere, 7(1), 375-393, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-375-2013</u> , 2013
1304	
1305 1306 1307 1308	Global Change Master Directory (GCMD): GCMD Keywords, Version 12.2. Greenbelt, MD: Earth Science Data and Information System, Earth Science Projects Division, Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). <u>https://forum.earthdata.nasa.gov/aphp/tag/GCMD+Keywords</u> [Accessed: 01/12/2021], 2021
1309	
1310 1311 1312 1313	Golynsky, A.V., Ferraccioli, F., Hong, J.K., Golynsky, D.A., von Frese, R.R.B., Young, D.A., Blankenship, D.D., Holt, J.W., Ivanov, S.V., Kiselev, A.V. and Masolov, V.N.: New magnetic anomaly map of the Antarctic. Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(13), 6437-6449, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078153</u> , 2018

1315 1316 1317	Goodge, J.W. and Finn, C.A.: Glimpses of East Antarctica: Aeromagnetic and satellite magnetic view from the central Transantarctic Mountains of East Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResSol. Ea., 115(B9), <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JB006890</u> , 2010
1318	
1319 1320 1321 1322	Greenbaum, J.S., Blankenship, D.D., Young, D.A., Richter, T.G., Roberts, J.L., Aitken, A.R.A., Legresy, B., Schroeder, D.M., Warner, R.C., Van Ommen, T.D. and Siegert, M.J.: Ocean access to a cavity beneath Totten Glacier in East Antarctica. Nat. Geosci., 8(4), 294-298, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2388</u> , 2015
1323	
1324 1325 1326	Hackney, R.I. and Featherstone, W.E.: Geodetic versus geophysical perspectives of the 'gravity anomaly'. Geophys. J. Int. 154(1), 35-43, <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.2003.01941.x</u> , 2003
1327	
1328 1329	Harlan, R.B.: Eotvos corrections for airborne gravimetry. J. Geophys. Res., 73(14), 4675-4679, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/JB073i014p04675</u> , 1968
1330	
1331 1332 1333	Hélière, F., Lin, C.C., Corr, H. and Vaughan, D.: Radio echo sounding of Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica: Aperture synthesis processing and analysis of feasibility from space. IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 45(8), 2573-2582, <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2007.897433</u> , 2007
1334	
1335 1336 1337 1338	Hodgson, D.A., Jordan, T.A., Rydt, J.D., Fretwell, P.T., Seddon, S.A., Becker, D., Hogan, K.A., Smith, A.M. and Vaughan, D.G.: Past and future dynamics of the Brunt Ice Shelf from seabed bathymetry and ice shelf geometry. The Cryosphere, 13(2), 545-556, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-545-2019</u> , 2019
1339	
1340 1341 1342 1343	Hofstede, C., Beyer, S., Corr, H., Eisen, O., Hattermann, T., Helm, V., Neckel, N., Smith, E.C., Steinhage, D., Zeising, O. and Humbert, A.: Evidence for a grounding line fan at the onset of a basal channel under the ice shelf of Support Force Glacier, Antarctica, revealed by reflection seismics. The Cryosphere, 15(3), 1517-1535, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1517-2021</u> , 2021
1344	
1345 1346 1347 1348 1349	Hogan, K.A., Larter, R.D., Graham, A.G., Arthern, R., Kirkham, J.D., Totten Minzoni, R., Jordan, T.A., Clark, R., Fitzgerald, V., Wåhlin, A.K. and Anderson, J.B: Revealing the former bed of Thwaites Glacier using sea-floor bathymetry: implications for warm-water routing and bed controls on ice flow and buttressing. The Cryosphere, 14(9), 2883-2908, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2883-2020</u> , 2020
1350	
1351 1352	Holland, P.R., Corr, H.F., Vaughan, D.G., Jenkins, A. and Skvarca, P.: Marine ice in Larsen ice shelf. Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(11), L11604, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038162</u> , 2009
1353	

1354 1355 1356	Holland, P.R., Corr, H.F., Pritchard, H.D., Vaughan, D.G., Arthern, R.J., Jenkins, A. and Tedesco, M.: The air content of Larsen ice shelf. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(10), L10503, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047245, 2011
1357	
1358 1359 1360	Holschuh, N., Christianson, K., Paden, J., Alley, R.B. and Anandakrishnan, S.: Linking postglacial landscapes to glacier dynamics using swath radar at Thwaites Glacier, Antarctica. Geology, 48(3), 268-272. https://doi.org/10.1130/G46772.1, 2020
1361	
1362 1363 1364 1365	Holt, J.W., Blankenship, D.D., Morse, D.L., Young, D.A., Peters, M.E., Kempf, S.D., Richter, T.G., Vaughan, D.G. and Corr, H.F.: New boundary conditions for the West Antarctic Ice Sheet: Subglacial topography of the Thwaites and Smith glacier catchments. Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(9), L09502, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025561</u> , 2006
1366	
1367 1368	Howat, I.M., Porter, C., Smith, B.E., Noh, M.J. and Morin, P.: The reference elevation model of Antarctica. The Cryosphere, 13(2), 665-674, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-665-2019</u> , 2019
1369	
1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375	IPPC, Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson- Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 147–286, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.003</u> , 2021
1376	
1377 1378 1379	Jeofry, H., Ross, N., Corr, H.F., Li, J., Morlighem, M., Gogineni, P. and Siegert, M.J.: A new bed elevation model for the Weddell Sea sector of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 10(2), 711-725, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-711-2018</u> , 2018
1380	
1381 1382 1383	Johnson, A., Cheeseman, S. and Ferris, J.: Improved compilation of Antarctic Peninsula magnetic data by new interactive grid suturing and blending methods. Ann. GeophysItaly, 42(2). https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-3717, 1999
1384	
1385 1386 1387	Jones, P.C., Johnson, A.C., von Frese, R.R. and Corr, H.: Detecting rift basins in the Evans Ice Stream region of West Antarctica using airborne gravity data. Tectonophysics, 347(1-3), 25-41, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(01)00236-0</u> , 2002
1388	
1389 1390 1391 1392	Jordan, T., Ferraccioli, F., Corr, H., Robinson, C., Caneva, G., Armadillo, E., Bozzo, E. and Frearson, N.: Linking the Wilkes Subglacial Basin the Transantarctic Mountains and the Ross Sea with a new airborne gravity survey. Terra Ant. Reports, 13, 37-54, <u>https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/15749</u> , 2007

1394 1395 1396	Jordan, T.A., Ferraccioli, F., Jones, P.C., Smellie, J.L., Ghidella, M. and Corr, H.: Airborne gravity reveals interior of Antarctic volcano. Phys. Earth Planet In., 175(3-4), 127-136, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2009.03.004, 2009
1397	
1398 1399 1400	Jordan, T. A., Ferraccioli, F., Vaughan, D. G., Holt, J. W., Corr, H., Blankenship, D. D., & Diehl, T. M.: Aerogravity evidence for major crustal thinning under the Pine Island Glacier region (West Antarctica). Bulletin, 122(5-6), 714-726, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/B26417.1</u> , 2010
1401	
1402 1403 1404	Jordan, T.A., Ferraccioli, F., Armadillo, E. and Bozzo, E.: Crustal architecture of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin in East Antarctica, as revealed from airborne gravity data. Tectonophysics, 585, 196- 206, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.06.041</u> , 2013
1405	
1406 1407 1408 1409	Jordan, T.A., Neale, R.F., Leat, P.T., Vaughan, A.P.M., Flowerdew, M.J., Riley, T.R., Whitehouse, M.J. and Ferraccioli, F.: Structure and evolution of Cenozoic arc magmatism on the Antarctic Peninsula: a high resolution aeromagnetic perspective. Geophys. J. Int., 198(3), 1758-1774, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu233, 2014
1410	
1411 1412 1413	Jordan, T.A., Martin, C., Ferraccioli, F., Matsuoka, K., Corr, H., Forsberg, R., Olesen, A. and Siegert, M.: Anomalously high geothermal flux near the South Pole. Sci. RepUK, 8(1), 1-8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35182-0, 2018
1414	
1415 1416 1417	Jordan, T.A. and Becker, D.: Investigating the distribution of magmatism at the onset of Gondwana breakup with novel strapdown gravity and aeromagnetic data. Phys. Earth Planet In., 282, 77-88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2018.07.007</u> , 2018
1418	
1419 1420 1421 1422	Jordan, T.A., Porter, D., Tinto, K., Millan, R., Muto, A., Hogan, K., Larter, R.D., Graham, A.G. and Paden, J.D.: New gravity-derived bathymetry for the Thwaites, Crosson, and Dotson ice shelves revealing two ice shelf populations. The Cryosphere, 14(9), 2869-2882, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2869-2020</u> , 2020
1423	
1424 1425 1426	Jordan, T.A., Ferraccioli, F. and Forsberg, R.: An embayment in the East Antarctic basement constrains the shape of the Rodinian continental margin. Communications Earth & Environment, 3(1), 1-8, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00375-z</u> , 2022
1427	
1428 1429 1430	Karlsson, N.B., Rippin, D.M., Vaughan, D.G. and Corr, H.F.: The internal layering of Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica, from airborne radar-sounding data. Ann. Glaciol., 50(51), 141-146. https://doi.org/3189/S0260305500250660, 2009
1431	

1432 1433 1434	Karlsson, N.B., Rippin, D.M., Bingham, R.G. and Vaughan, D.G.: A 'continuity-index' for assessing ice-sheet dynamics from radar-sounded internal layers. Earth Planet Sc. Lett. 335, 88-94, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.04.034</u> , 2012
1435	
1436 1437 1438	Karlsson, N.B., Bingham, R.G., Rippin, D.M., Hindmarsh, R.C., Corr, H.F. and Vaughan, D.G.: Constraining past accumulation in the central Pine Island Glacier basin, West Antarctica, using radio-echo sounding. J. Glaciol., 60(221), 553-562. <u>https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13j180</u> , 2014
1439	
1440 1441 1442	Karlsson, N.B., Binder, T., Eagles, G., Helm, V., Pattyn, F., Liefferinge, B.V. and Eisen, O.: Glaciological characteristics in the Dome Fuji region and new assessment for "Oldest Ice". The Cryosphere, 12(7), 2413-2424, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-2413-2018</u> , 2018
1443	
1444 1445 1446	Lei, Y., Gardner, A.S. and Agram, P.: Processing methodology for the ITS_LIVE Sentinel-1 ice velocity product. Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discussions, 1-27. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2021-393</u> , 2021
1447 1448 1449 1450	Le Brocq, A.M., Payne, A.J. and Vieli, A.: An improved Antarctic dataset for high resolution numerical ice sheet models (ALBMAP v1). Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2(2), 247-260, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2-247-2010, 2010
1451	
1452 1453 1454 1455	Le Brocq, A.M., Ross, N., Griggs, J.A., Bingham, R.G., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Jenkins, A., Jordan, T.A., Payne, A.J., Rippin, D.M. and Siegert, M.J.: Evidence from ice shelves for channelized meltwater flow beneath the Antarctic Ice Sheet. Nat. Geosci., 6(11), 945-948, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1977, 2013
1456	
1457 1458 1459	Luo, K., Liu, S., Guo, J., Wang, T., Li, L., Cui, X., Sun, B. and Tang, X.: Radar-Derived Internal Structure and Basal Roughness Characterization along a Traverse from Zhongshan Station to Dome A, East Antarctica. Remote Sensing, 12(7), 1079, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12071079</u> , 2020
1460	
1461 1462 1463	Lythe, M.B. and Vaughan, D.G.: BEDMAP: A new ice thickness and subglacial topographic model of Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResSol. Ea., 106(B6), 11335-11351, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900449, 2001
1464	
1465 1466 1467 1468	MacGregor, J.A., Boisvert, L.N., Medley, B., Petty, A.A., Harbeck, J.P., Bell, R.E., Blair, J.B., Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., Buckley, E.M., Christoffersen, M.S. and Cochran, J.R.: The scientific legacy of NASA's Operation Icebridge. Rev. Geophys., 59(2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020RG000712, 2021
1469	

1470 1471 1472	Millan, R., Rignot, E., Bernier, V., Morlighem, M. and Dutrieux, P.: Bathymetry of the Amundsen Sea Embayment sector of West Antarctica from Operation IceBridge gravity and other data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44(3), 1360-1368, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072071</u> , 2017
1473	
1474 1475	Moritz, H.: Geodetic reference system 1980. B. Geod., 54(3), 395-405, https://doi.org/10.1007/s001900050278, 1980
1476	
1477 1478 1479 1480 1481 1482 1483	Morlighem, M., C. Williams, E. Rignot, L. An, J. E. Arndt, J. Bamber, G. Catania, N. Chauché, J. A. Dowdeswell, B. Dorschel, I. Fenty, K. Hogan, I. Howat, A. Hubbard, M. Jakobsson, T. M. Jordan, K. K. Kjeldsen, R. Millan, L. Mayer, J. Mouginot, B. Noël, C. O'Cofaigh, S. J. Palmer, S. Rysgaard, H. Seroussi, M. J. Siegert, P. Slabon, F. Straneo, M. R. van den Broeke, W. Weinrebe, M. Wood, and K. Zinglersen: BedMachine v3: Complete bed topography and ocean bathymetry mapping of Greenland from multi-beam echo sounding combined with mass conservation. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954</u> , 2017
1484	
1485 1486 1487	Morlighem, M.: MEaSUREs BedMachine Antarctica, Version 2. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/E1QL9HFQ7A8M</u> , 2020
1488	
1489 1490 1491 1492	Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Binder, T., Blankenship, D., Drews, R., Eagles, G., Eisen, O., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg, R., Fretwell, P. and Goel, V.: Deep glacial troughs and stabilizing ridges unveiled beneath the margins of the Antarctic ice sheet. Nat. Geosci., 13(2), 132-137, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0510-8, 2020
1493	
1494 1495 1496 1497	Napoleoni, F., Jamieson, S.S., Ross, N., Bentley, M.J., Rivera, A., Smith, A.M., Siegert, M.J., Paxman, G.J., Gacitúa, G., Uribe, J.A. and Zamora, R.: Subglacial lakes and hydrology across the Ellsworth Subglacial Highlands, West Antarctica. The Cryosphere, 14(12), 4507-4524, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4507-2020, 2020
1498	
1499 1500 1501 1502	Paden, J., J. Li, C. Leuschen, F. Rodriguez-Morales, and R. Hale: IceBridge MCoRDS L1B Geolocated Radar Echo Strength Profiles, Version 2. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/90S1XZRBAX5N</u> [Accessed: 01/12/2021], 2014, updated 2021
1503	
1504 1505 1506	Parrenin, F., Hindmarsh, R.: Influence of a non-uniform velocity field on isochrone geometry along a steady flowline of an ice sheet. J. Glaciol., 53(183), 612-622, <u>https://doi.org/10.3189/002214307784409298</u> , 2007
1507	
1508 1509	Paxman, G.J., Jamieson, S.S., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Bentley, M.J., Ross, N., Forsberg, R., Matsuoka, K., Steinhage, D., Eagles, G. and Casal, T.G.: Subglacial Geology and Geomorphology

1510 1511	of the Pensacola-Pole Basin, East Antarctica. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(6), 2786-2807, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC008126</u> , 2019
1512	
1513 1514 1515	Peters, M.E., Blankenship, D.D. and Morse, D.L.: Analysis techniques for coherent airborne radar sounding: Application to West Antarctic ice streams. J. Geophys. ResSol. Ea., 110(B6), B06303, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003222</u> , 2005
1516	
1517 1518 1519 1520	Peters, M.E., Blankenship, D.D., Carter, S.P., Kempf, S.D., Young, D.A. and Holt, J.W.: Along-track focusing of airborne radar sounding data from West Antarctica for improving basal reflection analysis and layer detection. IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 45(9), 2725-2736, <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2007.897416</u> , 2007
1521	
1522 1523 1524	Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., & Scheuchl, B.: MEaSUREs InSAR-based Antarctica ice velocity map, version 2. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/D7GK8F5J8M8R</u> , 2007
1525	
1526 1527 1528	Rippin, D.M., Bamber, J.L., Siegert, M.J., Vaughan, D.G. and Corr, H.F.J.: Basal topography and ice flow in the Bailey/Slessor region of East Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 108(F1), 6008, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JF000039, 2003a
1529	
1530 1531 1532	Rippin, D.M., Siegert, M.J. and Bamber, J.L.: The englacial stratigraphy of Wilkes Land, East Antarctica, as revealed by internal radio-echo sounding layering, and its relationship with balance velocities. Ann. Glaciol., 36, 189-196, <u>https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816356</u> , 2003b
1533	
1534 1535 1536	Rippin, D.M., Bamber, J.L., Siegert, M.J., Vaughan, D.G. and Corr, H.F.J.: Basal conditions beneath enhanced-flow tributaries of Slessor Glacier, East Antarctica. J. Glaciol., 52(179), 481-490, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828467, 2006
1537	
1538 1539	Rippin, D.M., Vaughan, D.G. and Corr, H.F.: The basal roughness of Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica. J. Glaciol., 57(201), 67-76, <u>https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311795306574</u> , 2011
1540	
1541 1542 1543 1544	Rippin, D.M., Bingham, R.G., Jordan, T.A., Wright, A.P., Ross, N., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Le Brocq, A.M., Rose, K.C. and Siegert, M.J.: Basal roughness of the Institute and Möller Ice Streams, West Antarctica: Process determination and landscape interpretation. Geomorphology, 214, 139-147, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.01.021</u> , 2014
1545	
1546 1547	Robin, G.D.Q., Swithinbank, C.W.M. and Smith, B.M.E.: Radio echo exploration of the Antarctic ice sheet. International Association of Scientific Hydrology Publication, 86, 97-115, 1970
1548	

1549 1550	Robin, G.D.Q., Drewry, D.J. and Meldrum, D.T.: International studies of ice sheet and bedrock. Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B., 279(963), 185-196, <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1977.0081</u> , 1977
1551	
1552 1553 1554 1555	Rose, K.C., Ferraccioli, F., Jamieson, S.S., Bell, R.E., Corr, H., Creyts, T.T., Braaten, D., Jordan, T.A., Fretwell, P.T. and Damaske, D.: Early east Antarctic Ice Sheet growth recorded in the landscape of the Gamburtsev Subglacial Mountains. Earth Planet Sc. Lett., 375, 1-12, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.053</u> , 2013
1556	
1557 1558 1559 1560	Rose, K.C., Ross, N., Bingham, R.G., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Le Brocq, A.M., Rippin, D.M. and Siegert, M.J.: A temperate former West Antarctic ice sheet suggested by an extensive zone of subglacial meltwater channels. Geology, 42(11), 971-974, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G35980.1</u> , 2014
1561	
1562 1563 1564 1565	Ross, N., Bingham, R.G., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Le Brocq, A., Rippin, D.M., Young, D., Blankenship, D.D. and Siegert, M.J.: Steep reverse bed slope at the grounding line of the Weddell Sea sector in West Antarctica. Nat. Geosci., 5(6), 393-396, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1468</u> , 2012
1566	
1567 1568 1569	Ross, N., Jordan, T.A., Bingham, R.G., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Le Brocq, A., Rippin, D.M., Wright, A.P. and Siegert, M.J.: The Ellsworth subglacial highlands: inception and retreat of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. Bulletin, 126(1-2), 3-15, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/B30794.1</u> , 2014
1570	
1571 1572 1573	Ross, N., Corr, H. and Siegert, M.: Large-scale englacial folding and deep-ice stratigraphy within the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. The Cryosphere, 14(6), 2103-2114, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2103-2020</u> , 2020
1574	
1575 1576 1577	Scheinert, M., Ferraccioli, F., Schwabe, J., Bell, R., Studinger, M., Damaske, D., and Richter, T. D.: New Antarctic gravity anomaly grid for enhanced geodetic and geophysical studies in Antarctica. Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(2), 600-610, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067439</u> , 2016
1578	
1579 1580 1581	Schroeder, D.M., Blankenship, D.D. and Young, D.A.: Evidence for a water system transition beneath Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica. P. Natl. A. Sci., 110(30), 12225-12228, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302828110</u> , 2013
1582	
1583 1584 1585	Schroeder, D.M., Blankenship, D.D., Young, D.A. and Quartini, E.: Evidence for elevated and spatially variable geothermal flux beneath the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. P. Natl. A. Sci., 111(25), 9070-9072, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405184111</u> , 2014
1586	
1587 1588	Schroeder, D.M., Dowdeswell, J.A., Siegert, M.J., Bingham, R.G., Chu, W., MacKie, E.J., Siegfried, M.R., Vega, K.I., Emmons, J.R. and Winstein, K.: Multidecadal observations of the

1589 1590	Antarctic ice sheet from restored analog radar records. P. Natl. A. Sci., 116(38), 18867-18873, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1821646116, 2019
1591	
1592 1593 1594	Shepherd, T., Bamber, J.L. and Ferraccioli, F.: Subglacial geology in Coats Land, East Antarctica, revealed by airborne magnetics and radar sounding. Earth Planet Sc. Lett., 244(1-2), 323-335, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eps1.2006.01.068</u> , 2006
1595	
1596 1597 1598	Siegert, M.J., Payne, A.J. and Joughin, I.: Spatial stability of Ice Stream D and its tributaries, West Antarctica, revealed by radio-echo sounding and interferometry. Ann. Glaciol., 37, 377-382, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816022, 2003
1599	
1600 1601	Siegert, M.J. and Payne, A.J.: Past rates of accumulation in central West Antarctica. Geophys. Res. Lett., 31(12), L12403, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020290</u> , 2004
1602	
1603 1604 1605	Siegert, M., Ross, N., Corr, H., Kingslake, J. and Hindmarsh, R.: Late Holocene ice-flow reconfiguration in the Weddell Sea sector of West Antarctica. Quaternary Sci. Rev., 78, 98-107, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.08.003</u> , 2013
1606	
1607 1608 1609 1610	Siegert, M.J., Ross, N., Corr, H., Smith, B., Jordan, T., Bingham, R.G., Ferraccioli, F., Rippin, D.M. and Le Brocq, A.: Boundary conditions of an active West Antarctic subglacial lake: implications for storage of water beneath the ice sheet. The Cryosphere, 8(1), 15-24, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-15-2014</u> , 2014
1611	
1612 1613 1614 1615	Sigl, M., Fudge, T.J., Winstrup, M., Cole-Dai, J., Ferris, D., McConnell, J.R., Taylor, K.C., Welten, K.C., Woodruff, T.E., Adolphi, F. and Bisiaux, M.: The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology–Part 2: Annual-layer counting (0–31 ka BP). Clim. Past, 12(3), 769-786, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-769-2016</u> , 2016
1616	
1617 1618 1619	Studinger, M., Bell, R.E., Blankenship, D.D., Finn, C.A., Arko, R.A., Morse, D.L. and Joughin, I.: Subglacial sediments: A regional geological template for ice flow in West Antarctica. Geophys. Res. Lett., 28(18), 3493-3496, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011788</u> , 2001
1620	
1621 1622 1623	Sutter, J., Fischer, H. and Eisen, O.: Investigating the internal structure of the Antarctic ice sheet: the utility of isochrones for spatiotemporal ice-sheet model calibration. The Cryosphere, 15, 3839-3860, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3839-2021</u> , 2021
1624	
1625 1626 1627	Tinto, K.J. and Bell, R.E.: Progressive unpinning of Thwaites Glacier from newly identified offshore ridge: Constraints from aerogravity. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(20), L20503, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049026</u> , 2011

1628	
 Tinto, K.J., Padman, L., Siddoway, C.S., Springer, S.R., Fricker, H. Porter, D.F., Frearson, N.P., Howard, S.L. and Siegfried, M.R.: Ross Ice Sh driven by the tectonic imprint on seafloor bathymetry. Nat. Geosci., 12(6), 4 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0370-2, 2019 	A., Das, I., Tontini, F.C., elf response to climate 141-449,
1633	
Valliant, H.D.: LaCoste & Romberg Air/Sea Meters: An Overview,Geophysical Exploration at Sea. London, CRC Press, 1992	CRC Handbook of
1636	
 Vaughan, D.G., Corr, H.F., Ferraccioli, F., Frearson, N., O'Hare, A. Blankenship, D.D., Morse, D.L. and Young, D.A.: New boundary condition ice sheet: Subglacial topography beneath Pine Island Glacier. Geophys. Res <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025588</u>, 2006 	, Mach, D., Holt, J.W., s for the West Antarctic Lett., 33(9), L09501,
1641	
 Vaughan, D.G., Corr, H.F., Smith, A.M., Pritchard, H.D. and Sheph and water piracy between Rutford ice stream and Carlson inlet, West Antarc 41-48, <u>https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308784409125</u>, 2008 	erd, A.: Flow-switching ctica. J. Glaciol., 54(184),
1645	
 Vaughan, D.G., Corr, H.F., Bindschadler, R.A., Dutrieux, P., Gudm A., Newman, T., Vornberger, P. and Wingham, D.J.: Subglacial melt channel floating part of Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 117 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002360, 2012 	undsson, G.H., Jenkins, els and fracture in the (F3), F03012,
1650	
 Wilkinson, M.D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I.J., Appleton, G., A Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.W., da Silva Santos, L.B., Bourne, P.E. and Bouwn Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci. Data, 3(1), 1 https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18, 2016 	xton, M., Baak, A., 1an, J.: The FAIR Guiding 1-9,
1655	
 Winski, D.A., Fudge, T.J., Ferris, D.G., Osterberg, E.C., Fegyveresi Thundercloud, Z., Cox, T.S., Kreutz, K.J., Ortman, N. and Buizert, C.: The South Pole Ice Core–Part 1: volcanic matching and annual layer counting. C 1808, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-15-1793-2019</u>, 2019 	i, J.M., Cole-Dai, J., SP19 chronology for the Clim. Past, 15(5), 1793-
 1660 1661 Winter, K., Woodward, J., Ross, N., Dunning, S.A., Bingham, R.G. 1662 M.J.: Airborne radar evidence for tributary flow switching in Institute Ice St 1663 Implications for ice sheet configuration and dynamics. J. Geophys. ResEar 1664 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003518</u>, 2015 1665 	, Corr, H.F. and Siegert, tream, West Antarctica: rth, 120(9), 1611-1625,
 Winter, K., Ross, N., Ferraccioli, F., Jordan, T.A., Corr, H.F., Forsb Olesen, A.V. and Casal, T.G.: Topographic steering of enhanced ice flow at East and West Antarctica. Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(10), 4899-4907, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077504</u>, 2018 	erg, R., Matsuoka, K., the bottleneck between

1671	Wright, A.P., Young, D.A., Roberts, J.L., Schroeder, D.M., Bamber, J.L., Dowdeswell, J.A.,
1672	Young, N.W., Le Brocq, A.M., Warner, R.C., Payne, A.J. and Blankenship, D.D.: Evidence of a
1673	hydrological connection between the ice divide and ice sheet margin in the Aurora Subglacial Basin,
1674	East Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 117(F1), F01033, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002066,
1675	2012
1676	
1677	Young, D.A., Schroeder, D.M., Blankenship, D.D., Kempf, S.D. and Quartini, E.: The
1678	distribution of basal water between Antarctic subglacial lakes from radar sounding. Philos. T. R. Soc.
1679	A., 374(2059), 20140297, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0297, 2016
1680	
1681	Young, T.J., Schroeder, D.M., Jordan, T.M., Christoffersen, P., Tulaczyk, S.M., Culberg, R.
1682	and Bienert, N.L.: Inferring ice fabric from birefringence loss in airborne radargrams: Application to
1683	the eastern shear margin of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica. J. Geophys. ResEarth, 126(5),
1684	2020JF006023, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF006023, 2021