Recurrent mapping of Hourly Surface Ozone Data (HrSOD) across China
during 2005–2020 for ecosystem and human health risk assessment
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Abstract

Surface ozone is an important air pollutant detrimental to human health and vegetation productivity. Regardless of its short atmospheric lifetime, surface ozone has significantly increased since the 1970s across the Northern Hemisphere, particularly in China. However, high temporal resolution surface ozone concentration data is still
lacking in China, largely hindering accurate assessment of associated environmental and human health impacts. Here, we collected hourly ground ozone observations (over 6 million records), meteorological data, remote sensing products, and social-economic information, and applied the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) recurrent neural networks to map hourly surface ozone data (HrSOD) at a 0.1° × 0.1° resolution across China during 2005–2020. Benefiting from its advantage in time-series prediction, the LSTM model well captured the spatiotemporal dynamics of observed ozone concentrations, with the sample-based, site-based, and by-year cross-validation coefficient of determination ($R^2$) values being 0.72, 0.65 and 0.71, and root mean square error (RMSE) values being 11.71 ppb (mean = 30.89 ppb), 12.81 ppb (mean = 30.96 ppb) and 11.14 ppb (mean = 31.26 ppb), respectively. Air temperature, atmospheric pressure, and relative humidity were found to be the primary influencing factors. Spatially, surface ozone concentrations were high in northwestern China and low in the Sichuan Basin and northeastern China. Among the four megacity clusters in China, namely the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, the Pearl River Delta, the Yangtze River Delta, and the Sichuan Basin, surface ozone concentration kept decreasing before 2016. However, it tended to increase thereafter in the former three regions, though an abrupt decrease in surface ozone concentrations occurred in 2020. Overall, the HrSOD provides critical information for surface ozone pollution dynamics in China and can support fine-resolution environmental impact and human health risk assessment. The data set is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7415326 (Zhang et al., 2022).

1 Introduction

Ozone ($O_3$) is an important constituent of the atmosphere and is ubiquitously present in both the troposphere and the stratosphere. Stratospheric ozone protects life on Earth by absorbing harmful solar ultraviolet (UV) rays (Norval et al., 2007; Slaper et al., 1997; van der Leun et al., 2003). Tropospheric ozone is a major gaseous pollutant produced in a series of complex reactions between volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and...
nitrogen oxides (NOx) in the presence of sunlight (Wang et al., 2017a). Exposure to high-concentration surface ozone can cause severe impacts on human health, inducing high morbidity in respiratory, cardiopulmonary, and cardiovascular diseases (Berman et al., 2012; Li et al., 2018; Magzamen et al., 2017). Moreover, surface ozone of high concentrations could damage the leaf cell structure of plants and thus decrease natural vegetation productivity, crop yield and quality (Cooper et al., 2014; Giles, 2005; Lu et al., 2018; Tian et al. 2016).

In the past decades, the number of ozone pollution events has increased significantly, particularly in highly populated and developed regions (Huang et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2016; Maji and Namdeo, 2021; Sahu et al., 2021). Real-time surface ozone monitoring networks have been established on a regional basis around the world (Chang et al., 2017). But their coverage is still insufficient in both space and time, due to uneven distribution of monitoring sites and lack of mid- to long-term continuous records in the majority of the world (Chang et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018). In contrast, satellite remote sensing can monitor the spatial and temporal variability of ozone at regional to global scales. For instance, the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) on the Aura satellite, launched in 2004, provides global daily total column ozone retrievals. Nonetheless, satellite-based estimates of surface ozone concentrations are not available at high spatial and temporal resolutions (Liu et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2019). Hence, various models have been developed to extrapolate site observations, refine satellite retrievals, or fuse them to generate long-term, high-quality surface ozone datasets (e.g., Liu et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2022).

These models, according to their underlying principles, can be generally grouped into chemical transport models (CTMs), geostatistical models, and machine learning models. CTMs are physics-based, accounting for atmospheric chemical reactions, emission inventories, meteorological conditions and transport of atmospheric pollutants, but...
usually are prone to high uncertainties in emission inventories and model assumptions
(Liu et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2019; Travis et al., 2016). Geostatistical models, such as
Kriging interpolation (Adam-Poupart et al., 2014), land-use regression (LUR),
Bayesian maximum entropy (BME; Chen et al., 2020a), and geographically weighted
regression (GWR; Zhang et al., 2020), estimate surface ozone by fitting its relationships
with the influential factors. However, collinearity (the non-independence of predictor
variables) in these geostatistical models usually makes them difficult to estimate
accurately (Jumin et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). Machine learning models, such as
random forest (Wei et al., 2022) and Extreme Gradient Boosting (Liu et al., 2020), have
also been widely used due to their strong data-mining ability. Yet ozone concentrations
were not independent at individual time points, multiple predictor variables were
correlated at different time points, which was neglected in long time series predictions
of ozone.

In recent years, surface ozone pollution in China has become increasingly serious, with
frequent large-scale high ozone pollution events (Li et al., 2017a; Mousavinezhad et al.,
2021; Wang et al., 2017b). Since 2013, China has established a national ozone
observation network (Lu et al., 2018), utilizing which several gridded surface ozone
products were generated (Li et al., 2021; Xue et al., 2020). However, gridded products
covering an hourly time-step are still lacking in China. Such a data gap impedes
accurate assessment of environmental and human health impacts of surface ozone. For
example, in estimating ozone damage to vegetation productivity, hourly ozone data is
usually required for stomatal ozone flux models (e.g., Feng et al., 2012) or generating
ozone exposure index (Ren et al., 2007; Mills et al., 2011). Moreover, hourly ozone
data is advantageous over that at coarser temporal resolution in determining ozone
exposure of humans (Kim et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2022).

To address the issue, here we developed a deep learning model based on the Long Short-
Term Memory (LSTM) recurrent neural networks to generate hourly surface ozone data (HrSOD) at a spatial resolution of 0.1°×0.1° from 2005 to 2020 over China. The paper is organized as follows: the data and methods are introduced in Sect. 2; the results regarding model validation, spatiotemporal variations of surface ozone across China, and surface ozone changes in key regions are presented in Sect. 3; comparison of HrSOD with previous studies and the key variables determining surface ozone dynamics are discussed in Sect. 4; data availability is described in Sect. 5; and the conclusions are summarized in Sect. 6.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Data

2.1.1 Surface ozone observation data

Over six million records of hourly surface ozone concentration measurements during 2015–2020 were obtained from the real-time air quality monitoring platform of the China National Environmental Monitoring Centre (CNEMC; http://www.cnemc.cn/, last access: 20 December, 2021). The monitoring network was expanded to more than 1500 monitoring sites from 2013 to 2020, covering 31 provinces and 368 cities across mainland China. However, these monitoring sites are mainly located in the eastern region of China, with a much lower site distribution density in the northwest (Fig. 1).
Figure 1. Spatial distribution of surface ozone observation sites in China. The color indicates the annual mean surface ozone concentrations at each site during 2015–2020. The bold black lines indicate the boundaries of four megacity clusters of China, namely the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region, the Pearl River Delta (PRD), the Sichuan Basin (SCB), and the Yangtze River Delta (YRD).

Hourly ozone concentrations are measured at all monitoring sites by continuous monitoring instruments, and the unit of ozone reported by CNEMC is μg m$^{-3}$ (standard atmospheric conditions at a temperature of 273 K and a pressure of 1013.25 hPa; 1 μg m$^{-3} = 0.467$ ppb). According to the Ambient Air Quality Standard (GB3095-2012; MEPC, 2012) set by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China (MEPC) for ozone concentration data norms and standards, the ozone data were screened by eliminating outliers and null values. The annual mean hourly ozone concentrations ranged from 14–48 ppb in the period 2015–2020 in China, with areas of high ozone...
concentrations mainly in eastern China, especially in the four densely populated megacity clusters of China, i.e., the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region, the Pearl River Delta (PRD), the Sichuan Basin (SCB) and the Yangtze River Delta (YRD; Fig. 1).

2.1.2 Predictor variables

The predictor variables include meteorological factors, land use, population, gross domestic product, remote sensed total column ozone products, and surface ozone concentration observations (see Table 1).

(1) Climate data

A total of seven climatic variables (solar radiation intensity, temperature, relative humidity, pressure, horizontal wind velocity, vertical wind velocity, and precipitation) were obtained from the ERA5-Land reanalysis dataset (Table 1). The dataset has a spatial resolution of $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ (about 9 km) and an hourly time-step and was produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF; https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts, last access: 20 December, 2021). The ERA5 reanalysis data combines land surface model simulations with ground and satellite observations (Albergel et al., 2018; Hersbach et al., 2020), and has been widely used across the world (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021). It has also been validated in China, showing good performance in air temperature (Zou et al., 2022), solar radiation (Jiang et al., 2020), and precipitation (Jiang et al., 2021).

(2) Remote sensing data

We collected remote sensing data including OMI Level 3 global daily total ozone grid product (OMITO$_3$G; in DU; Pawan, 2012) and ozone profile products (PROFOZ; v0.9.3, level 2) measured by the OMI, which is carried by the Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura satellite. The OMI provided daily and near-global column concentration observations.
data (0.25° × 0.25°) and profiles (13 km × 24 km) of O₃, NO₂, SO₂, HCHO. The ozone profile product contained 24 vertical ozone layers (Mcpeters et al., 2008), of which the first layer was selected to represent surface ozone in this study.
Table 1. Summary of the data sources used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Temporal resolution</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground measurements</td>
<td>O$_3$: Ozone</td>
<td>$\mu g m^{-3}$ convert to ppb</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>CNEMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate data</td>
<td>DSR: Downward shortwave radiation</td>
<td>W m$^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH: Relative humidity</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEM: 2-m air temperature</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: Surface pressure</td>
<td>hPa</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1° × 0.1°</td>
<td>ERA5-Land reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WU: 10m u-component of wind</td>
<td>m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WV: 10m v-component of wind</td>
<td>m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRE: Precipitation</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic data</td>
<td>POP: Population</td>
<td>One person per grid</td>
<td>1 km × 1 km</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Resource and Environment Science and Data Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GDP: Gross Domestic Production</td>
<td>10000 yuan per grid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite remote sensing data</td>
<td>TO$_3$: Total column ozone</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>0.25° × 0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>OMI/Aura products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFO$_3$: Surface ozone concentrations</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>13 km × 24 km</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land use: Forest \ Grassland \ Urban land \ Cropland</td>
<td>0.05°×0.05°</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>MODIS products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) Auxiliary data
Socio-economic data reflect human living and production activities, which are major sources of ozone precursors (VOCs and NOx). Thus, it is also an important factor for ozone simulation. We obtained population distribution data and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) data with 1 km spatial resolution from the Resource and Environmental Science and Data Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Xu et al., 2017). The data has a time interval of five years and thus it is available in four years (2005, 2010, 2015, and 2020) during the study period. The nationwide land use data was derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS; Friedl and Sulla-Menashe, 2015) product (at a resolution of 0.05°).

(4) Data processing
We constructed a 0.1° × 0.1° grid over China and averaged concurrent surface ozone measurements of monitoring sites within a grid cell to obtain grid-level surface ozone concentrations. In addition, all predictor variables (including climate data, land use data, population distribution, GDP data, and remote sensing data) were aggregated or resampled to the targeted grid resolution of 0.1° × 0.1° using the nearest neighbor interpolation and bilinear interpolation approach (Fig. 2).

**Figure 2.** Flowchart for generating hourly surface ozone data (HrSOD) across China.
2.2 Model development

2.2.1 The long short-term memory network model

The long short-term memory network is a special type of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) that differs from traditional neural networks. The traditional artificial neural network (ANN) is fully connected between layers and has no connection within a specific layer, whereas the hidden layers of RNNs are connected (Hochreiter et al., 1997). The output of an RNN is not only affected by the current input features but also influenced by the output of the previous or next moment, hence RNNs have better performance in estimating time-series and have been widely used to proceed sequence data (Goodfellow et al., 2016).

The LSTM can further overcome the limitations of conventional RNNs that they could be trapped by vanishing gradient or exploding gradient during training (Bengio et al., 1994; Razvan et al., 2013). It excels through integrating input gates, forgetting gates, and output gates into the cell structure. The input gates control whether a cell value can be added to a memory cell, the forgetting gates determine the weight of the value, and the output gates determine which information eventually is output from the cell. The LSTM has a long-term memory capability, which is ideal to predict long time-series of historical ozone concentrations.

Specifically, based on LSTM, we built a five-layer neural network model for surface ozone concentration prediction. It consists of an input layer, two LSTM layers, one Dense layer (also called the fully connected layers), and an output layer (Table 2). The data specification for the model input layer is in a 3-dimensional format (n_samples, n_time_steps, n_features), n_samples represents the batch size for training, n_time_steps is the time window of 24 hours, representing the first 24 hours’ O₃ sequence to predict the O₃ at the 25th hour, and n_features is the number of 12 variables in the training set. The number of neurons in each hidden layer is 50, and we used mean
absolute error (MAE) as the loss function and the Adaptive moment estimation (Adam) as the optimization algorithm. The model was trained for 50 epochs with a batch size of 3000. The CNEMC ground measurements were used as the target for the model training and validation.

Table 2. Detailed configuration of the neural network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training algorithm</td>
<td>Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hidden layers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of neurons in a hidden layer</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of input variables</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of output variables</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training data percentage</td>
<td>90 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation data percentage</td>
<td>10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data normalization</td>
<td>Minmax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss function</td>
<td>Mean absolute error (MAE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization algorithm</td>
<td>Adaptive moment estimation (Adam)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Model evaluation

The 10-fold cross-validation (CV) approach was utilized to evaluate the performance of the LSTM model, with three sampling strategies, namely sample-based CV, site-based CV and by-year CV, corresponding to the model’s performances on capturing overall, spatial and temporal patterns, respectively. In each strategy, 90 % of the total surface ozone observations were randomly sampled for training, and the rest 10 % was used for validation, the process of which was repeated 10 times. The overall adjusted coefficient of determination ($R^2$), root mean square error (RMSE), linear regression slope, and intercept were calculated to evaluate the performance of the model.
3 Results

3.1 Model validation

At the hourly time-scale, the LSTM model obtained $R^2$ values of 0.72, 0.65, 0.71 using three CV sampling methods (sample-based, site-based and by-year), respectively, and the corresponding RMSE values were 11.71 ppb, 12.81 ppb, 11.14 ppb (Figs. 3a–c). At the daily time-step, the model’s performance improved with $R^2$ values being 0.71, 0.63, 0.71 (sample-based, site-based, and by-year) and RMSE values being 8.53 ppb, 9.61 ppb, and 7.97 ppb (Figs. 3d–f). The predictive ability of the model further improved at the monthly time-step, with higher $R^2$ values of 0.82, 0.72, 0.84 (sample-based, site-based, and by-year) and smaller RMSE values of 5.14 ppb, 6.54 ppb, 4.39 ppb (sample-based, site-based, and by-year (Figs. 3g–i).

Among the three CV sampling strategies, the site-based CV (Figs. 3b, e, h) $R^2$ values were slightly lower than the sample-based CV (Figs. 3a, d, g) $R^2$ values and by-year CV (Figs. 3c, f, i) $R^2$ values, while the RMSE values were slightly higher than the sample-based CV RMSE values and by-year CV RMSE values. It is noted that the model underestimated surface ozone when it was at high concentrations, but this bias was largely ameliorated at the monthly time-step (Figs. 3g–i).
Figure 3. Comparisons between model estimated surface ozone concentrations and observations across China. The panels are sample-based cross validations at hourly, daily and monthly time-steps (a, d, g), site-based cross validations at hourly, daily and monthly time-steps (b, e, h), and by-year cross validations at hourly, daily and monthly time-steps (c, f, i). The dashed and black lines represent the 1:1 lines and the linear regression lines, respectively.
3.2 Spatiotemporal variations of surface ozone across China

Figure 4. Diurnal (a) and interannual (b) variations of mean surface ozone concentrations in China during 2005–2020. Boxplots indicate the median (horizontal line) and interquartile ranges (boxes) and the whiskers specify the maximum and minimum values.

Fig. 4a shows the diurnal variations of mean hourly surface O\(_3\) concentrations across China during 2005-2020. The diurnal variation presented a unimodal curve, which started to increase at around 9:00–10:00 (UTC + 8) and peaked at around 15:00 (UTC + 8) reaching about 46 ppb. After that, the hourly mean O\(_3\) concentrations gradually declined to about 25–28 ppb. The annual average O\(_3\) concentration across China (Fig. 4b) ranged from 32.56 ± 7.59 ppb to 33.61 ± 7.16 ppb during 2005–2020. During the first part of the period (2005–2016), ozone concentrations remained stable. However, after 2016 the O\(_3\) concentration showed a significantly increasing trend from 2016 (32.75 ± 7.17 ppb) to 2019 (33.61 ± 7.16 ppb), and then an abrupt decrease by 2020 (33.09 ± 6.93 ppb).
Figure 5. Mean annual O$_3$ concentrations during the periods 2005–2012 (a), and 2013–2020 (b), with their difference also shown (c).

Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b show the mean annual surface ozone concentrations in China from 2005 to 2012 and from 2013 to 2020, respectively. The spatial distribution of surface ozone concentrations was similar and did not change significantly over the 16-year period. High O$_3$ concentrations were primarily in the northwest of China, while areas with low O$_3$ concentrations were mainly located in the Sichuan Basin and the northern
part of northeast China. Compared to the first eight years, mean annual surface ozone concentrations in the last eight years increased by 0–2 ppb in most northern regions of China (Fig. 5c). In particular, the BTH region experienced a faster increase of 2–5 ppb. In contrast, in southern China, surface O₃ concentrations had generally decreased, especially in the southern coastal regions, which decreased by 2–5 ppb.

**Figure 6.** Seasonal average surface ozone concentrations from 2005 to 2020 across China in spring (a), summer (b), autumn (c), and winter (d).

The multi-year mean seasonal O₃ concentrations were predicted to be 37.64 ± 3.35, 39.16 ± 2.37, 28.40 ± 3.17, and 25.07 ± 2.60 ppb in spring (March–May), summer (June–August), autumn (September–November), and winter (December, January, and February), respectively (Fig. 6). Surface ozone concentrations in spring were higher in northern and eastern China. In summer, the areas with high ozone concentrations were North China, the Northwestern District of China and southern Inner Mongolia. The
hotspot areas with high O₃ concentrations in autumn shrink sharply and spread to the southeast coast. During winter, the areas of high O₃ concentrations almost disappeared in southeastern China.

3.3 Surface ozone changes in key regions

![Figure 7. Temporal dynamics of mean annual mean surface O₃ concentrations in the BTH (a), SCB (b), PRD (c), and YRD (d) regions. BTH: Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region; SCB: Sichuan Basin; PRD: Pearl River Delta; YRD: Yangtze River Delta.](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2022-428)

Among the four megacity clusters, mean annual surface ozone concentrations in BTH (mean = 31.63 ppb), YRD (mean = 32.85 ppb), and PRD (mean = 30.28 ppb) regions were higher than in the SCB (mean = 23.33 ppb) region during 2005–2020 (Fig. 7). In the BTH region (Fig. 7a), surface ozone concentrations remained stable from 2005 to 2015. However, after 2015 it showed a continuous and noticeable increase from 31.07 ± 3.31 ppb in 2015 to 33.72 ± 3.34 ppb in 2019, before decreasing to 32.99 ± 3.19 ppb in 2020. In the PRD and YRD regions (Figs. 7c and d), the annual ozone concentrations showed an obvious decline from 29.59 ± 3.94 and 34.01 ± 2.85 ppb in 2013 to 27.35 ±
3.41 ppb and 31.01 ± 2.96 ppb in 2016, respectively, and then increased from 2016 to 30.70 ± 4.39 ppb and 33.84 ± 3.21 ppb in 2019. Same with BTH, both regions experienced a decrease in ozone concentrations in 2020. In contrast, annual surface ozone concentrations in the SCB region were constantly low and had a slow decreasing trend.

**Figure 8.** Mean monthly surface ozone concentrations in China and the four hotspot regions of BTH (a), SCB (b), PRD (c), and YRD (d). BTH: Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region; SCB: Sichuan Basin; PRD: Pearl River Delta; YRD: Yangtze River Delta.

The seasonal patterns of surface ozone concentrations were different in four key regions (Fig. 8). The monthly mean ozone concentrations were higher than 38.00 ppb across China from April to July and less than 24.00 ppb in January, November, and December. The ozone concentrations in BTH were unimodal distribution and gradually increased with time, peaking in June (55.58 ppb) and then began to decline, reaching the lowest value in December (15.22 ppb). Unlike BTH, the other three regions (YRD, SCB, and PRD) showed a bimodal pattern. The first peak of ozone concentrations occurred in May (45.29 ppb in YRD, 31.76 ppb in PRD, and 30.99 ppb in SCB), and the ozone...
concentrations in the YRD, PRD, and SCB reached the second peak in September (38.53 ppb), October (40.55 ppb), and August (29.90 ppb), respectively. The lowest surface ozone concentrations were found to be 18.35 ppb (YRD in December), 23.03 ppb (PRD in January), and 14.11 ppb (SCB in December).

4 Discussion

4.1 Comparison with previous studies

To make comparisons with previous studies, we also generated maximum daily average 8-hour ozone (MDA8) concentration using the HrSOD data. The HrSOD MDA8 O\textsubscript{3} concentrations compared equally (Table 3) with previous products produced on the daily or monthly scales, indicating that HrSOD is reliable in deriving ozone exposure indexes at longer time-scales. The mean annual HrSOD MDA8 O\textsubscript{3} concentrations (2005–2020) was 43.56 ppb (Figure S1) and it showed no significant trend during the period 2005 to 2015 but tended to increase after 2016 with a growth rate of 0.44 ppb yr\textsuperscript{-1} (p < 0.005), similar to previous reports (Liu et al. 2020; Wei et al. 2022; Xue et al. 2020; Table S1). The spatial distributions of mean annual and seasonal HrSOD MDA8 ozone concentrations were also consistent with existing research (Liu et al. 2020; Meng et al. 2022; Figs. S2 and S3). Areas with higher ozone concentrations in summer were concentrated in the North China Plain (NCP), due to decreasing PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations and high NOx emissions, as well as the influence of rapidly increasing temperatures (2017–2019) and foehn winds (Li et al., 2020). Pollution in southeastern China is more severe in autumn, which may be related to the Asian summer monsoon, tropical cyclones, and sea-land winds (Liu et al. 2020).
Table 3. Comparison with the model performance of previous studies in predicting surface ozone in China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Time Range</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Accuracy ($R^2$/RMSE) (ppb)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWR</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>0.81/ --</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data fusion</td>
<td>2013–2017</td>
<td>[O$_3$] MDA8</td>
<td>0.70/12.23 0.69 / 9.01</td>
<td>Xue et al. (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XGBoost</td>
<td>2005–2017</td>
<td>[O$_3$] MDA8</td>
<td>0.78/10.03 0.90/5.17</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[O$_3$] MDA8</td>
<td>0.69/12.42 0.71/8.87</td>
<td>Zhan et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STET</td>
<td>2013–2020</td>
<td>[O$_3$] MDA8</td>
<td>0.83/8.82 0.90/5.80</td>
<td>Wei et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>2013–2019</td>
<td>[O$_3$] MDA8</td>
<td>0.80/9.77 0.83/6.612</td>
<td>Meng et al. (2022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUR/BME</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[O$_3$] MDA8</td>
<td>0.80/10.97 --</td>
<td>Chen et al. (2020a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>2005–2020</td>
<td>(derived from HrSOD)</td>
<td>0.73/11.37 0.82/6.85</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>2005–2020</td>
<td>Hourly</td>
<td>0.72/11.71 0.71/8.53 0.82/5.14</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSTM: Long Short-Term Memory; XGBoost: Extreme Gradient Boosting; RF: Random Forest; STET: Space-Time extremely randomized trees; LUR/BME: land-use regression/Bayesian maximum entropy; GWR: geographically weighted regression.
4.2 Key variables in estimating surface ozone concentrations

The importance of different variables in the LSTM model was calculated by the permutation importance method (François et al., 2006). Specifically, the feature importance was determined by the degree of decline in the performance score of the model after the random rearrangement of different features. As shown in Fig. S4, air temperature, surface pressure, relative humidity, day of year (DOY), and downwelling surface radiation were the top five factors affecting the spatiotemporal variability of surface ozone concentrations in China, consistent with previous studies (e.g., Wei et al. 2022).

The importance of air temperature in predicting surface ozone concentrations is mainly reflected in two aspects. On the one hand, temperature and UV radiation intensity has a strong correlation and can be used to characterize UV radiation intensity; on the other hand, high temperatures contribute to the volatilization of ozone precursors (such as biogenic volatile organic compounds) and accelerate the rate of photochemical reactions (Xu et al., 2011). There is a significantly negative correlation between ozone concentrations and atmospheric pressure, as changes in air pressure are usually correlated with temperature. For example, low pressure corresponds to higher temperatures, and when the near-surface is controlled by low pressure, pollutants from surrounding areas converge towards the center, driven by high-pressure air masses, resulting in a sharp increase in ozone concentrations in the center of the low pressure (Kovač-Andrić et al., 2009). The relative humidity is negatively correlated with O₃ concentrations because the high relative humidity generally corresponds to precipitation, fog, and other weathers that do not have strong UV radiation, which is not conducive to the occurrence of photochemical reactions and the further development of O₃ pollution. Furthermore, precipitation facilitates the removal of pollutants such as O₃ (Chen et al., 2020b; Li et al., 2017b). The importance of DOY indicates there is temporal autocorrelation in ozone concentrations of neighboring days. GDP and population data show levels of urbanization, fossil fuel consumption, and other socio-economic activities, which are closely related to emissions of ozone.
precursors such as NOx and VOCs (Trainer et al., 2000). Although DSR is not the most important variable, it also makes a great contribution to simulating O3 concentrations, which is necessary for the photochemical reactions of O3 generation (Chen et al., 2020b).

4.3 The driving factors for surface ozone concentrations in key regions

The four city clusters (BTH, PRD, SCB, and YRD) are key areas for the Chinese government to combat air pollution. However, they have different meteorological conditions, climatic backgrounds, and levels of economic development, resulting in differences in surface ozone concentration variabilities (Yan et al., 2021). The increase in surface ozone concentrations in the BTH region was mainly attributable to anthropogenic emissions (VOCs and NOx), as well as a rapid reduction in PM2.5, which slowed down the sink of hydrogen peroxide radicals and thus accelerated ozone production. The meteorological conditions of lower humidity and strong solar radiation also contributed to ozone pollution (Li et al., 2019; Mousavinezhad et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2021). In the YRD, PRD and SCB, meteorological factors had a greater influence on surface ozone concentration changes after 2016, with the increase in ozone concentrations in the YRD attributed to increased solar radiation and temperature, and lower atmospheric pressure. Simulation results from the three-dimensional air quality model and system also indicated that greenhouse gas emissions caused changes in meteorological factors that led to increased O3 concentrations in the YRD region (Xie et al., 2017). Weaker meridional winds, lower relative humidity, and higher temperatures escalated ozone pollution in the PRD region from 2016 to 2018. A decrease in solar radiation and planetary boundary layer height accelerated the decrease in ozone concentrations in the SCB region after 2017 (Mousavinezhad et al., 2021). In addition, ozone concentrations in three areas (BTH, YRD, and PRD) decreased in 2020, mainly due to COVID-19 lockdown (Wei et al., 2022).

4.4 Uncertainties and limitations

In this research, uncertainties exist in several aspects. First, the monitoring stations were
mainly concentrated in the central-eastern region of China, which potentially could not fully capture the relationship between surface ozone concentrations and environmental factors in western China. Moreover, most monitoring stations were in urban areas, resulting in limitations of the model in estimating surface ozone concentrations in natural and agricultural ecosystems. Second, the input data may also cause uncertainty. For example, ERA5 reanalysis data underestimates surface temperatures in the coastal urban agglomerations of southeast China and the Tibetan Plateau region (Li et al., 2022; Zou et al., 2022), which may lead the model to underestimate ozone concentrations. To further improve ozone estimation accuracy, it is necessary to improve the accuracy of meteorological data, land use maps, as well as socio-economic data. In addition, the mismatch in temporal resolution between OMI remote sensing data and ozone measurements may also affect the final estimation accuracy. Although the temporal trends of surface ozone concentrations are well captured by the LSTM networks, spatial information, such as the changes in pollutant concentrations due to the emission and transport of surrounding pollutants are not fully considered. Therefore, the current deep learning model can be further improved by combining other algorithms. For instance, CNN networks have powerful feature extraction capability and can be combined with LSTM to generate an integrated CNN-LSTM model, which makes better use of the temporal memory strengths and feature representation capability in prediction.

4.5 Potential applications of HrSOD

Compared to current available surface ozone products in China, HrSOD covers a longer time range and has a higher temporal resolution. This enables it to support more robust historical environmental impact and human health risk assessments. HrSOD can be used to derive various ozone exposure indicators, such as seasonal 7-h mean O₃ concentrations (M7), seasonal 12-h mean O₃ concentrations (M12; Legge et al., 1995), sum of all hourly average concentrations > 60 μg kg⁻¹ (SUM06; Lefohn and Foley, 1992), cumulative ozone exposure index based on sigmoid-weighted daytime O₃ concentrations (W126; Fuhrer et al., 1997), accumulated hourly O₃ concentration over a threshold of X μg kg⁻¹ during daylight hours (AOTX; Fuhrer et al., 1997). Therefore,
HrSOD can meet various requirements by ozone impact models, providing flexibility for assessing ozone effects on ecosystem (Ren et al., 2007) and epidemiological studies (Huangfu and Atkinson, 2020).

5 Data availability

The HrSOD dataset is available on the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7415326 (Zhang et al., 2022). The gridded ozone concentration data are provided in NetCDF format at 0.1° spatial resolution and hourly temporal resolution during 2005–2020 in ppb. The file size is 40 GB. The daily data is a NetCDF file and the file is named "YYYYMMDD.nc", where "YYYY", "MM" and "DD" refer to the year, month, and day of the file.

6 Conclusions

In this study, we trained and validated a LSTM model to estimate HrSOD during 2005–2020 across China. The predictor variables included meteorological factors, remote sensing data, socio-economic data, and land use data, and more than six million ground station monitoring records were collected as reference data. Compared with observations, the model showed good performances at diurnal, seasonal to annual time-scales and site to regional levels. HrSOD showed that surface $O_3$ concentrations in China tended to increase from 2016 to 2019 due to anthropogenic and meteorological factors such as temperature, humidity, and radiation intensity, despite a decrease in 2020 due to COVID-19 lockdown. In summary, HrSOD had high spatial and temporal accuracies, long time ranges and high temporal resolution, enabling it to be easily converted to various evaluation indicators for ecosystem and human health assessments.
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