Response to the comments from the first reviewer on essd-2022-406 "Two years of Volatile Organic Compounds online in-situ measurements at SIRTA (Paris region, France) using Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry"

We thank the reviewer for the comments. In the following, we provide the response to the reviewer using black for original review comments, green for authors' responses, and *blue italic for changes in the revised version*.

I feel the authors have added enough detail on calibrations and quality control to justify publication of this dataset. I thank them for their thorough responses and inclusion of details that explain frequency and methods for quality control. My only suggestions now are technical corrections to make figures legible and easy to follow:

In general, make sure your color schemes allow interpretation for those will color perception difficulties.

All figures went through the Color Blindness Simulator (Coblis). *Figures 5, 8, 9, 10, S2, S3, S4, S5, S7 were adapted accordingly.* 

There are currently two figures labeled "figure 1". The second "figure 1" should be "figure 2".

This was corrected in the revised manuscript.

Figure 5: it is hard to distinguish the two air masses that are "oceanic 2". It looks like there is only one oceanic 2 and one that has no legend label.

This was improved in the revised manuscript.

Figure 9 has many colors. Make sure those color schemes are colorblind friendly. In particular, the wind direction rainbow color scheme should not be used. There should be some indication that the wind speed is colored by wind direction and that the colorbar is wind direction. Also the wind roses in the center panel are illegible.

Figure 9 was modified in the revised manuscript.

Figure S5: The colors and points are very difficult to distinguish on both the figure and legend. I recommend using larger markers. If there's too much overlap in points you could remove some masses from your selection.

Figure S5 was made clearer in the revised manuscript.