

Individual tree point clouds and tree measurements from multi-platform laser scanning in German forests. Weiser et al.

2nd round

Thank you for the revised version of the paper alongside your comments on the 1st review. The manuscript has improved greatly as a result of this process. I am satisfied with the quality of the data, its description and its presentation for use by third-parties.

Re-reading the manuscript as part of this second review I have some further minor suggestions that the authors may wish to consider, please see these below. Nevertheless, I can recommend the publication of this manuscript in a close form to the revised submission.

Technical corrections/ suggestions

- Line 12: available for download, **hosted by** the PANGAEA
- You might consider combining tables 1 and 2 and including the information for the TLS scanner also (currently held in lines 164 onwards), this would allow direct comparison and be a bit more compact.
- Centre dashes in Table 4
- Thanks for including Table 6, this is an improvement. **Consider replacing 0 values with dashes** to aid readability, also ensure the “total” row is all on one line
- Line 374: replace “good” with “high” or similar, good is too subjective
- Line 496: Weiser et al 2021 should maybe be Weiser et al 2021c (also line 505 and line 720)
- Table A1, please add a header for relative tree count values, please also tidy up column widths and header justification. Otherwise a great addition.