

# **Energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Accounts and Datasets for** 40 **Emerging Economies in 2010 - 2019**

Can Cui<sup>1</sup>, Shuping Li<sup>2</sup>, Weichen Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Binyuan Liu<sup>4</sup>, Yuli Shan<sup>5</sup>, Dabo Guan<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Blue and Green Development, Shandong University, Weihai, 264209, China <sup>3</sup>The Bartlett School of Sustainable Construction, University College London, London, UK <sup>4</sup>Integrated Research on Energy, Environment and Society (IREES), Energy and Sustainability Research Institute Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen 9747 AG, the Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

10

5

*Correspondence to*: Dabo Guan (guandabo@tsinghua.edu.cn)

Abstract. Since 2000, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from emerging economies have outstripped those of developed economies. To limit global warming to under 1.5 °C by 2100, over 100 emerging economies have proposed net-zero carbon targets. Yet the supportive data are lacking - no inventory of  $CO_2$  emissions outlines detailed sources by sector or distribution at the

- 15 subnational level for these economies. Here, we redress the balance by establishing a dataset for an energy-related  $CO_2$ emission inventory that covers 47 sectors and 8 energy types in 40 emerging economies(Cui et al., 2021). Their emissions, growing rapidly by 3.0% per year, reached 7.5 Gt in 2019 and were sourced primarily in coal and oil (34.6% and 28.1%, respectively) and consumed by the power and transportation sectors. Meanwhile, among African countries in this group, biomass combustion was responsible for 34.7%–96.2% of emissions. Our dataset fills a data gap by providing a detailed,
- 20 robust emissions accounting baseline for emerging economies - an advance that will support emissions-reduction policymaking at global, national and subnational levels.

Short summary. Emerging economies face challenges towards net-zero targets: inconsistencies in accounting calibers, missing raw data, non-transparent accounting methods, and a lack of detail on emissions. The authors established an accounting framework and compiled detailed inventories of energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 40 emerging economies,

covering 47 sectors and eight energy types. The dataset will support emissions-reduction policymaking at global, national, 25 and sub-national levels.

# **1** Introduction

The twin needs for economic growth and emissions reduction constitute a grand challenge for all countries, not least emerging economies — defined as less developed countries, covering 181 regions including those grouped by the United

Nations(United Nations, 2020; Statistics Division of the United Nations Secretariat, 2022) as developing regions. Aside from 30 the balancing act of meeting emissions targets while maintaining socioeconomic development, these countries face other



issues that are crucial in context: identifying which sectors are major emissions sources, and pinpointing regions with significant levels of domestic emissions. To determine each, fundamental data on emissions are needed.

- That need is becoming urgent, as emerging economies are significant contributors to global emissions. Since 2000, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from emerging economies have outstripped those of developed economies. From 2010 to 2019, their share of emissions has increased from 16.9 Gt and 55.4% to 21.2 Gt and 63.0%: a total of 4.3 Gt, more than three times the reduction in emissions by developed economies(Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy). Although the single emerging economy (excluding China and India) contributed less than 2% of annual global emissions during this period, their collective emissions (i.e. of 99 country's economies) grew faster than the global average of 2.3% per year. Myanmar's fossil-fuel
- 40 emissions, for instance, increased by 18.0% per year(Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy) over seven times the average global rate. Sharing a fast-growing GDP of +3.4% per year on average, and high carbon intensity(World Bank, 2020), emerging economies could potentially become emissions giants within the next decades. Since the world carbon budget tightens to limit global warming, the emerging economies have to confront the climate change challenge, by either mitigation or adaptation.
- 45 Since the Paris Agreement was signed in 2015, more than 100 emerging economies have therefore proposed to reduce emissions or emissions per unit of GDP towards the aim of limiting global warming below 1.5 °C by 2100(Allen et al., 2018). Of these, 37 countries have policy or legislation in place aimed at reducing emissions to net zero(Net Zero Tracker, 2021). Uruguay and Indonesia, for instance, plan to reach carbon neutrality in 2050 and 2060, respectively(UNFCCC, 2021; Net Zero Tracker, 2021), while the governments of most emerging economies in South Asia and Africa are currently
- 50 contemplating a net-zero target.

The crux, as stated above, is that socioeconomic development is essential if emerging economies are to build capacity for better mitigation and adaptation to climate change, even as concomitant  $CO_2$  emissions are inevitable(Riti et al., 2017). However, given that each of these countries, barring China and India, currently emits small volumes of  $CO_2$ , the emission inventory data for them have been scant in comparison to those for major, high-emitting economies — which are amply

55 available from international institutes.

Three such institutes — the International Energy Agency (IEA)(Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy; World Energy Balances), Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR)(Crippa et al., 2020) and Global Carbon Budget (GCB)(Friedlingstein et al., 2020)— publish CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data for nearly 200 countries. The datasets of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from energy consumption (the largest proportion of over 90% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with a global volume of 33.6 Gt in

60 2019(International Energy Agency, 2019)) from the IEA, EDGAR and GCB all provide long time series; however, their free-access versions provide sectoral classifications that lack specificity in economic activities (IEA, GCB, and EDGAR; especially for manufacturing industry), or they offer no subnational divisions (IEA and GCB), and only broad energy types (EDGAR and GCB; see Table S2 in Supplemental Information).

Firstly, following the IPCC 2006 Guidelines, traditional datasets like those produced by IEA and GCB only cover fossil fuels (coal, oil and natural gas(International Energy Agency, 2019)) in energy-related emissions data, excluding emissions from



biomass — the primary rural energy source in less developed countries. Biomass is, for instance, the main energy source in residential use in Africa's emerging economies, accounting for over 10% of the total energy supply, with emission factors comparable to those of coal and oil(Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2006). Yet it was excluded in energy-related  $CO_2$  emissions in the datasets from the three institutes and some national accounts for its "carbon neutrality",

- 70 which is not true for the unsustainable use such as deforestation. Energy systems are complex, involving a number of sources; so to determine specific pathways in any energy transition, specific emissions data on each energy type are needed. Biomass burning contributes significantly to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Asian and South American countries(Shi et al., 2015), and in CEADs dataset we accounted the emissions from the combustion of unsustainable biomass, which is also energy-related, into the total emissions.
- 75 Secondly, although some countries have high-resolution inventories(Álamos et al., 2022; Puliafito et al., 2021), most of the data for emerging economies are not an adequate basis for sectoral and subnational research and policymaking aimed at reducing emissions in emerging economies. For example, the range of economic sectors within each country is diverse (e.g., 5 sectors for Madagascar, 14 sectors for India), as are national policies for guiding emissions reduction in sectors(Lin and Xie, 2014, p.2; Tan et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2015; Demailly and Quirion, 2006). Thus, it is key for policymakers in emerging
- 80 economies to acquire supporting data on the specific sectors responsible for most emissions in their countries. Within a country, the subnational administrative regions (e.g., provinces, states, cities...) play the actual role of implementing policies of emission abatement. Emerging economies possess fast-growing industries and infrastructure with large emission potential, meanwhile they confront both emission abatement and climate change adaptation issues. Subnational governments have better access to local infrastructure management and socioeconomic policies and thus are more predictive and influential in
- 85 climate change mitigation and adaptation there(Somanathan et al., 2014). Therefore, although national accounting of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is important for global mitigation strategies, emissions data at the subnational level are needed to support domestic tailor-made policies on emissions reduction(Allen et al., 2018). While there are datasets providing sectoral gridded emissions such as EDGAR, using spatial proxies such as point-sourced data, night light and population distribution to disaggregate the national emissions, the emissions relied more on global scale proxy data that can miss regional details, which are better
- 90 covered in local statistics. There is a knowledge gap regarding the lack of detailed and informative data in the context of formulate effective policies and measures to help emerging economics meet their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) while maintaining economic growth and to advocate cooperation among emerging economies in climate change mitigation. Therefore, a systematic, detailed, transparent dataset of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in emerging economies is necessary for policymaking in the context of sectors, subnational regions, and energy types.
- 95 Here, to fill this gap, we present the Carbon Emission Accounts and Datasets for emerging economies (CEADs, <u>https://ceads.net</u>), which aims to provide transparent, verifiable, open-access data on the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of 40 emerging economies (accounting for 17.5% of the emissions and 12.9% of GDP of the world) for the period 2010-2019. Given the variety of statistical capacity among these countries, we standardized sectors using relevant data on energy and economic statistics, and compiled emissions inventories at the regional level using subnational statistics. Given its significance as an



- 100 emissions source in these economies, we included emissions from burning unsustainable biomass in this energy-related emissions dataset. The emissions dataset covers 47 economic sectors and 8 major energy categories in the 40 emergent economies, and in 28 of these we provided a subnational inventory. The 40 countries are selected based on economic development stages, geographic locations, and data availability. From 2010-2019, the collective emissions raised from 6.2 -8.8 Gt, and we noted a continuous surge in emissions growth of 4.0% on average annually. India emitted the most CO<sub>2</sub> and
- 105 Micronesia emitted the least over 2010-2019, and Uganda had the most rapid emission growth of 21.2% per year while Saudi Arabia had the least growth of -6.3% per year. The sources are primarily fossil-fuel combustion in three sectors: power, transportation, and residential. Biomass burning accounts for more than 20% of total emissions in 22 of the economies, and for those in Africa, the figure is as high as 34.7%–96.2%. By revealing patterns in these economies, for instance in subnational emission distributions, the dataset can support both global and domestic policymaking on emissions reduction.
- 110 Researchers and policymakers can use the dataset to distinguish the emission reduction potential of detailed sources and explore the low-carbon pathway towards a net-zero target.

# 2 Method Summary

The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the 40 emerging economies were determined using the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines(Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2006). For most countries that do not provide

- 115 subnational energy statistics, we first compiled the national emissions inventory using national energy balance and emission factors (see Resource Description below for details), then matched the sectors of each country to 47 sectors that agree with our previous datasets(Shan et al., 2017a, b), and finally allocated national emissions at the subnational level, region by region. The data compilation methodology is shown as the left flows in Figure 1. While for countries that have subnational energy statistics such as India, the compilation is different for the nation-to-region step is more supported by local data. Thus,
- 120 these countries' regional emissions are accounted first and then matched into sectoral categories, as shown in the right branches in Figure 1.





Figure 1: Overview of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data collection and compilation. Blocks in color show the data sources for each step in the process. Blocks outlined in black show the phased calculated using the adjacent equations. Explanations of the Equations 1-5 are elaborated in detail in the Methods section.

### 2.1 Resource Description

The lack of detail in published  $CO_2$  emissions data for emerging economies is in part down to the lack of global attention paid to these data per se. Moreover, these economies themselves produce little relevant data.

Generally, energy-sourced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data are compiled based on energy consumption data from energy balances, and emission factor data from laboratory experiments (for further clarification, see sections below). Since emission factor data are accessible from national statistics organizations and the IPCC recommendation(Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2006, 2019), the availability of energy balances is key to data preparation of emissions accounting.

However, unlike developed economies — which may belong to international bodies such as the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and produce uniform, standardized data — the state of statistics in emerging economies varies hugely. Without such data and a perfect statistical system, detailed emission accounting is difficult and the

rough sectoral classifications in the energy statistics of emerging economies are insufficient for well-targeted policymaking.
 To compile CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data for emerging economies, we drew from energy balances, emission factors, sector-mapping indicators, and regional downscaling indicators. Figure 1 also shows the raw data collection for each type.

### 2.1.1 Energy balances

140 Energy balance data depict the balance between the supply, transformation (that is, conversion) and consumption of specific energy types and sectors. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion are calculated based on both energy combustion



arising during the process of transformation (such as the production of electricity and heat), and final consumption (by industry or transportation, for instance). The energy balance data in this report are derived from national statistics and regional institutes (detailed data sources are listed by country in Table S1 in Supplemental Information).

# 145 2.1.2 Emission factors

Emission factors are defined as the emissions per unit (thermal or physical) of energy combustion. Our dataset adopts nationally published emission factors by energy type as a priority. For countries that do not publish these, the IPCC's recommended default emission factors by energy type are used for the calculation. (Detailed data sources are listed by country in Table S1 in Supplemental Information.)

# 150 2.1.3 Sector-mapping indicators

Since the energy consumption statistics from each of the 40 emerging economies vary in terms of sectors represented, we standardized the sectors into 47, based on the sector definitions of the countries. Using sector-mapping indicators, we then distributed emissions among the 47 sectors (see Table S4 in Supplemental Information). The indicators included sectoral data on energy consumption, production, outputs and employment, among other categories, and they are comparable among

155 similar sectors: the production of ferrous and nonferrous metal, for instance, share the same raw sector – metal production, and the mapping indicator to split the two sectors from the raw sector should be identical. But the sector-mapping indicators can vary for independent sectors that do not derive from one raw sector.

The indicators are collected from national statistical institutes, national economic reports, industrial reports and other datasets. (Detailed data sources are listed by country in Table S1 in Supplemental Information.)

#### 160 2.1.4 Nation-to-region downscaling indicators

Most countries publish national data on energy balances; fewer publish subnational statistics. We calculated emissions at the regional level priorly by using regional data on energy consumption. For countries lacking regional energy statistics, we used other indicators to downscale national emissions to the regional level. These included regional data on GDP by sector (to represent emissions from economic sectors), population (for residential sectors) and energy consumption by certain

165 industries, among others.

The indicators are collected from national statistics and economic reports. (For detailed information on this, see data sources by country in Table S1 in Supplemental Information.)



(3)

# 2.2 Methods

### 2.2.1 National emission accounts

170 Following IPCC guidelines(Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2006), national CO<sub>2</sub> emissions can be calculated as follows:

 $CE = \sum_{iJ} CE_{iJ} = \sum_{iJ} AD_{iJ} \times EF_{iJ}$ (1) where CE is the CO emissions from the activity ture *i* (such as the energy ture for energy related emissions eccurting)

where  $CE_{IJ}$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the activity type *i* (such as the energy type for energy-related emissions accounting, industrial process type for process-related emissions accounting, etc.) from sector *J*, *AD* is the activity volume (such as

175 energy consumption), and EF is the emission factor which measures the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions released by a unit of activity volume.

In contrast to datasets that focus on fossil fuel  $CO_2$  emissions, this dataset also includes  $CO_2$  emissions from unsustainable biomass fuel combustion. The calculation is the same as for Equation 1, and the emissions from biomass combustion are categorized as biomass.

### 180 2.2.2 Sectoral emission accounts

185

195

Sectoral emissions provide a more granular and accurate picture of emission patterns. Since statistical calibers of sectors vary by country, emissions are accounted and rearranged to a uniform sector classification. Here, we match the sectors to those in the CEADs datasets(Shan et al., 2017b, a), which include emissions data by sector — 47 in all (for details, see Table S4 in Supplemental Information). Based on the national emission accounts above and indicators for sectoral mapping, the  $CO_2$  emissions by matched sectors are as follows:

$$CE_{ij} = CE_{iJ} \times \frac{SI_{ij}}{SI_{iJ}}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Where SI stands for sector-mapping indicator, including sectoral energy consumption, sectoral energy intensity, sectoral value added, sectoral output, etc. J is the sector defined by national official statistics, and j is the matched sector in the list of 47 sectors.

#### 190 2.2.3 Regional emission accounts

downscaling can be described as:

Some countries have regional energy statistics that facilitate energy-related  $CO_2$  emissions accounting at the regional, provincial or state level. The accounting methods are similar to national ones, as the relevant data are available from the regional statistics. However, most developing countries do not have complete regional statistics, and regional sectoral emissions accounting for these countries requires other key indicators to enable national emissions to be downscaled. The

$$CE_{ijr} = CE_{ijC} \times \frac{SIR_{ijr}}{SIR_{ijC}}$$



200

210

Where  $CE_{ijr}$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from activity *j* of energy *i* in region *r*, *SIR* represents the regional-and-sectoral mapping indicator, and  $\frac{SIR_{ijr}}{SIR_{ijc}}$  means the proportion of region *r*'s energy or economic indicators to that of the whole country (*C*). The indicators used for downscaling can be energy consumption, industrial production or other figures that approximately reflect the proportion of a region's emissions to the national total.

Some countries have subnational energy statistics by energy type that can support regional, provincial, or state-level energyrelated  $CO_2$  emissions. For these countries, the regional emissions can be accounted as the national emissions, and then the energy consumption data is used as the national-regional downscaling indicator to ensure the consistency of the regional and the national emission inventory. After that, the regional emission inventory is matched into 47 sectors:

205 
$$CE_{iJr} = CE_{iJC} \times \frac{ECSR_{iJr}}{ECSR_{iJC}}$$
 (4)

$$CE_{ijr} = CE_{ijr} \times \frac{SI_{ij}}{SI_{ij}}$$
(5)

Where  $CE_{iJr}$  refers to the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of energy *i* consumed by sector *J* in region *r*,  $CE_{iJC}$  refers to the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of energy *i* consumed by sector *J* in country *C*, *ECSR* is the energy consumption by sector and region, and  $\frac{ECSR_{iJr}}{ECSR_{iJC}}$  is the share of the consumption of energy *i* by sector *J* in region *r* in that of country *C*.  $CE_{iJr}$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from activity *J* of sector *i* in region *r*, and  $\frac{SI_{ij}}{SI_{ij}}$  is the share of sector-mapping indicator of sector *j* in activity *J*.

# **3 Results**

#### 3.1 Dataset overview

This dataset will be updated annually, and will expand to cover more countries, time series and sources of  $CO_2$  emissions. The dataset currently covers the period from 2010 to 2019 for 40 countries (Cui et al., 2021), of which 28 have a subnational

- 215 inventory. Also as indicated above, we compiled the emissions inventory for 47 economic sectors and 8 major categories (different energy sub-groups, which vary by country; see Table S5 in Supplemental Information for energy categories and sub-groups). By pinning down the sectoral sources of emissions, we provide better data for use alongside socioeconomic data in potential macro and micro research, along with the necessary basic data to support policymaking on meeting climate targets.
- A map of the 2019 emissions of the 40 selected economies is shown in **Figure 2**. This reveals a domestic regional heterogeneity in emissions, and shows too that per-capita emissions are relatively low for most of the countries (lower than the world average, 4.4 t per person(International Energy Agency, 2019; World Bank, 2020)). Although India still remains the largest emitters among emerging economies, the emissions of countries in Southeast Asia and South America have recently surged.





- Emissions of the 40 emerging economies we studied grew from 5.7 Gt CO<sub>2</sub> in 2010 to 7.5 Gt CO<sub>2</sub> in 2019, with an average annual growth rate of 3.0%. India's emissions grew from 1.4 Gt to 2.3 Gt (+6.0% per year). Emissions of the other 39 countries rose from 4.3 Gt to 5.2 Gt (averagely +1.9% per year) over the designated period, a trend related to the high-carbon energy systems and ongoing industrialization, which stimulated both economic growth and a rise in emissions. Twelve of the countries show an annual growth rate in emissions higher than 5%: Uganda, Laos, Niger, Jordan, Cambodia, Myanmar, Philippines, Madagascar, Kenya, Indonesia, Paraguay, and Mongolia.
- Among the sectors accounted for, power is the largest contributor to emissions, with 31.7% of the total, followed by transportation sector at 14.2%. From the perspective of energy type, the combustion of coal and oil products contributed 34.6% and 28.1% of emissions, respectively. Unsustainable biomass combustion discharged 23.9% of total emissions, but emissions from this source exceeded 30% in 17 countries, and 50% in 12 countries including Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda,
- 235 Guatemala, Myanmar, and Paraguay.



Figure 2: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the selected emerging economies in 2019, in million tons. Darker blue indicates lower per-capita emissions; darker red, higher per-capita emissions.

### 3.2 Case study: Myanmar

- 240 Myanmar is one of the emerging economies with fastest emissions growth as well as the highest proportion of unsustainable biomass emissions. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data for Myanmar is an exemplar of emerging economies' emission patterns. We analyzed the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from sectoral and energy sources; emissions data from other institutes, including IEA, EDGAR and GCB, are included for purposes of comparison (for other emerging economies, see Table S6 in Supplemental Information).
- With a land area of 676,500 km<sup>2</sup>, Myanmar is the largest country on the Indochinese Peninsula. The population numbers more than 54 million(World Bank, 2019) and has grown at an annual rate of 0.8% over the past decade. By 2030, the



population is predicted to reach 60 million(Department of Population Ministry of Labour and Immigration and Population of Myanmar, 2014). Myanmar is in the early stage of urbanization, with less than a third of its population living in towns and cities but an additional 7 million people predicted to move from rural to urban areas by 2050(World Bank, 2019). In recent years, the country's economy has developed rapidly under a series of government reforms. Its GDP, growing by over 7% per year over the past decade, reached \$85 billion (at 2010 exchange rates) in 2019(World Bank, 2019). Among its economic sectors, the service sector is the most economically significant, contributing 43.2% of the national GDP. However, the country's industrial sector grew rapidly in the period from 2010 to 2019, at an annual rate of 9.6%, making it the fastest-growing sector in the country(Myanmar Ministry of Electricity and Energy and ERIA, 2019). Unsustainable biomass has
traditionally been the dominant energy source in Myanmar, although the demand for petroleum products has swiftly increased with industrialization. The rise in fossil-fuel consumption has inevitably boosted CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, which grew by 6.3% per year between 2010 and 2019.

Myanmar is one of the countries most vulnerable to climate change since its infrastructure and agriculture are sensitive to extreme weather and sea level rise(Oo et al., 2018). To mitigate climate change, in 2017 and 2021 Myanmar's government

- submitted and updated the first Nationally Determined Contributions, respectively, to set a series of sectoral goals to deal with the challenge. These include increasing hydroelectricity's share in overall energy generation, ensuring that energy sources for achieving rural electrification are at least 30% renewable, and expanding the country's forested area to 30% by 2030(The Republic of the Union of Myanmar, 2015, 2019). However, the country's total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, reflecting the rising trend, stood at 49.0 Mt in 2010, and at 85.3 Mt in 2019. Although Myanmar aims to develop in a sustainable way(The
- 265 Republic of the Union of Myanmar, 2019), its economic growth coupled to an ongoing rise in emissions looks set to continue into the foreseeable future, as evidenced by historical data and related research(Aung et al., 2017; Vo et al., 2019). Given the limits on future emissions, it is necessary for Myanmar to identify its sectors and energy sources that are the heaviest contributors.

The biggest contributor to Myanmar's emissions is its residential sector, followed by other manufacturing (of agricultural goods, construction materials, oil and natural gas), and wholesale and retail (see the area plot in **Figure 3**). Emissions from the residential sector grew moderately from 14.7 Mt in 2010 to 21.8 Mt in 2019. Its share of emissions dropped to 29.8% in 2019 — a decrease of 6.4% compared with the peak year, 2013. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the other manufacturing sector are stable, with its share of emissions decreasing from 21.3% in 2010 to 18.0% in 2019. With the wholesale and retail sector, emissions fluctuated from 10.4 Mt in 2010 to 11.2 Mt in 2019, reducing its share of overall emissions from 21.3% to 13.2%.

- At the same time, the proportion of emissions from two other sectors has rapidly grown, with that of power and heat rising from 7.2% to 13.1%, and transportation increasing from 8.7% to 19.6%, over the period from 2010 to 2019. Given ongoing investment in the infrastructure of these two sectors, their share in emissions look likely to increase further(Takeyama, 2018). In the country's energy mix, biomass is the biggest contributor to national CO<sub>2</sub> emissions over the 2010–2019 period (see the pie charts in Figure 3). It remains a major energy source in Myanmar's residential and service sectors, contributing around
- 280 50% of total energy consumption(Tun and Juchelková, 2019). The country's biomass fuel is mainly derived from the



285

Science

overharvesting of forest timber(Tun and Juchelková, 2019), which has led to a reduction in forest cover and forest degradation. This biomass use is neither renewable nor sustainable over time due to the long cycle of forest restoration. The proportion of  $CO_2$  contributed by biomass stands at over a half (55.3%-76.8%) across the designated period. As two sectors — power and heat, and transportation — are both oil-dominated and growing rapidly,  $CO_2$  emissions from oil products have increased significantly, from 7.1 Mt in 2010 to 25.1 Mt in 2019, a rise of 197.7%. Emissions from natural gas increased from 4.1 Mt to 10.3 Mt. Because coal is not Myanmar's primary fuel source, its volume of emissions is significantly lower than that of other types of energy.



Figure 3: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of Myanmar over 2010–2019. The red line shows the growth of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; the blue dotted line charts the growth of GDP. The pie charts show CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by energy source; the area plot, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by sector (17 sector aggregates merged from 47 sectors: see Table S4 in Supplemental Information for details).

# **3.3 Technical Validation**

295

According to CEADs calculations, Myanmar's emissions (excluding those linked to biomass) reveal a trend consistent with the  $CO_2$  statistics from the other institutes. At 2010, the start of the designated period, the values of IEA statistics were lower than those from CEADs, but grew closer after 2013, and by 2019 nearly tallied. Once the CEADs biomass emissions are





factored in, however, its overall carbon emissions are far higher than those calculated by other institutes (**Figure 4**), whose energy emissions data do not cover biomass.

When sectoral emissions from CEADs and the other institutes are compared, additional disparities emerge. For instance, IEA's figure on 2017 transport emissions in Myanmar was 5.9 Mt, whereas CEADs' is 13.0 Mt. There are two reasons for

- 300 the difference. From the perspective of statistical caliber, CEADs' energy classification is more detailed. For example, CEADs breaks down oil products into motor gasoline, diesel oil, fuel oil and other forms, each with a corresponding emission factor. IEA does not select the same energy subcategories and the emission factors used are different from CEADs', which results in different emission data. CEADs and IEA also use different sources of energy consumption data. CEADs uses data from Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA). The IEA draws from multiple data sources
- 305 including, for example, the Myanmar Central Statistical Organization, International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) and Asia Pacific Energy Research Centre (APERC). There is a clear gap between energy consumption statistics produced by CEADs and IEA. For example, in 2017, the base data of oil products used in Myanmar's transport sector adopted by IEA were 1875 ktoe, but data CEADs used show that the oil products consumed in this sector were 4196 ktoe. As mentioned, CEADs prefer national and regional statistical institutes from transparent and accessible websites, while IEA collects
- 310 national data from websites, publications and "direct communications" the latter being more flexible but less available for verification by data users.

GCB and EDGAR, meanwhile, depend on multiple data sources such as British Petroleum (BP), IEA and the United Nations, which inherit the raw data differences between the sources and CEADs dataset. This dataset provides country-specific sources of the raw data used (Table S1 in Supplemental Information), and researchers can access the websites where the data

315 was sourced to provide evidence for evaluating the reliability and robustness of the dataset.







Figure 4: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of Myanmar from different institutes, 2010-2019. Different colors represent the sourced datasets: red for CEADs with biomass emissions; blue for EDGAR; yellow for CEADs without biomass emissions; green for IEA; and purple for GCB.

# 320 **3.4 Uncertainty and limitation**

According to the emissions accounting method mentioned above, the uncertainty of CEADs dataset lies in the data on energy consumption and emission factor. We estimated the uncertainty of emissions using Monte Carlo simulation, finding that emissions factor contributes the most of total uncertainty (**Figure 5**). The overall uncertainty of total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is 20.3% (median; 2.8%-76.2% for 90% CI), in which the emission-factor-related uncertainty is 14.8% (median; 0%-64.4% for 90%

- 325 Confident Interval, CI) and the activity-data-related uncertainty is 4.3% (median; 0.9%-32.7% for 90% CI). From the perspective of energy types, biomass and oil products are the main sources of uncertainty (median uncertainties are 93.4% and 17.4%, respectively), while natural gas is the smallest source (median uncertainty: 4.0%). Biomass emissions are the most uncertain source because its emission factors vary largely among species and derived locations, and the biomass-relied sectors are usually difficult to count thus with high uncertainty, such as the residential sector. When excluding biomass, the
- 330 uncertainty of emissions from fossil fuel combustion is 8.7% (median; 2.3%-51.1% for 90% CI), slightly higher than datasets covering developed countries with highly complete statistics. By sector uncertainties are shown in Figure S1 in Supplemental Information.







Figure 5: Data uncertainty of total emissions, from activity data, from emission factors, and by energy groups.

335 CEADs collects energy consumption data from the energy balances of national energy bureaus, statistical offices, and regional institutes. Although the energy data are derived from national official statistics, there is significant variation in basic data from the 40 emerging economies. Mongolia and Djibouti, for instance, do not provide energy data for detailed sectors; thus their allocation





of sectoral emissions will increase the uncertainty of results. A similar issue holds in downscaling national emissions to acquire regional data. Emission factors are collected from national publications and the IPCC's emission factor database (EFDB) and used based on energy types. Therefore, the sectoral difference in emission factors is not yet considered, which also led to uncertainty in emissions accounting. It should be noted that this dataset only covers the energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the 40 emerging economies, and excludes emissions related to industrial processes and land use change other than biomass combustion.

# **4 Discussion and Conclusions**

# 4.1 Comparison with existing resources

- 345 To verify the CEADs inventory, we compared it with published data from the IEA(Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy), EDGAR(fast track)(Crippa et al., 2021) and GCB(Friedlingstein et al., 2020). Since this dataset, unlike those of other institutes, accounts for emissions from biomass combustion, we used both the whole-emission inventory and the inventory with biomass excluded for comparison (**Figure 6**).
- As we show, the total emissions data from IEA, EDGAR and GCB are lower than those in the CEADs inventory (**Figure 6**a); 350 while after excluding the emissions from biomass combustion, however, the CEADs data chime more closely with the emissions data of these institutes (**Figure 6**b). From this perspective, the CEADs inventory is relatively consistent with fossil-fuel related emissions data from IEA, EDGAR and GCB (See Table S6 in Supplemental Information for a comparison of data from the institutes for the 40 emerging economies). Excluding the most uncertain biomass-related emissions, CEADs' fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions accounting for the 40 emerging economies show a robust and rational performance, with the datasets'
- differences (for 50% CI as shown in boxes in **Figure 6**b) from CEADs-fossils lying in -7.7%-11.4%, 2.5%-32.3%, and -0.8%-28.0% for IEA, EDGAR and GCB, respectively.

CEADs, IEA, EDGAR and GCB all follow IPCC guidelines for accounting emissions, but as we have seen, using different sources for energy data and emission factor data can lead to disparities in accounting, as explained in the Myanmar case study. This dataset, by contrast, provides country-specific sources of the raw data used in emissions accounting. Researchers

360 can access the relevant websites and provide evidence for evaluating the reliability and robustness of the dataset.







Figure 6: Disparities between the published data of three institutes (IEA, EDGAR and GCB) and the CEADs inventory with (A) and without (B) biomass emissions. The boxplots show the minimum, the first quartile, the median, the third quartile and the maximum of the differences across the nation-year emissions data among the institutes. The percentages are the relative difference between the three institutes and CEADs. For instance, (A) shows that emissions data from IEA, EDGAR and GCB are generally lower than those in the CEADs inventory, while (B) shows that the data from these three institutes are close to the CEADs inventory when biomass emissions are excluded from it.

# 4.2 Future application

This dataset fills a gap by providing a more detailed and robust emissions accounting baseline for 40 emerging economies. A

- 370 complete, systematic dataset for emerging economies could benefit national as well as global targets for emission reduction. To date, the low-carbon development research on emerging emitters is much less than that focuses on large emitters such as China and India. Thus, this dataset is a key tool for the academic community, providing the fundamental data and detailed information on emerging economies' emission patterns necessary for relevant studies and a better understanding of the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and socioeconomic development. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions data can, for instance, be used in
- 375 analyzing the driving forces of emissions growth, in identifying major sectoral sources, and in pinpointing the pathway for low-carbon transitions in energy systems. It would be beneficial for researchers and policymakers to distinguish the emission reduction potential of detailed sources and explore the low-carbon pathway towards a net-zero target.
  The embedding incomparison incomparison for 28 of the 40 comparison included in this detact can be used in embrying of emerging.

The subnational emission inventory for 28 of the 40 countries included in this dataset can be used in analysis of emerging economies and as a basis for tailor-made policies. Since 18 of the 40 emerging economies have also proposed reaching

380 carbon neutrality in the next few decades, such subnational emissions data could support their strategies for domestic emissions reduction. For example, key sectors with dominant emissions for certain states or provinces can be identified, and policymaking could advocate differentiated emission reduction targets for different regions. These detailed emissions data offer essential support for in-depth analyses of emerging economies' emission growth, providing sectoral characteristics of emissions growth for low-carbon scenario simulations for research on climate targets such as net-zero ones. Those in turn



385 will help to clarify the emissions profiles of nations and subnational regions within them, and guide policy making around climate-change mitigation in the developing world as well as across global society.

# Data availability

390

Note that the full raw data files for emissions accounting were collected from the countries' official statistic. The websites of the data source are listed in Table S1 in Supplemental Information. Our dataset is available on Zenodo website(Cui et al., 2021) at https://www.zenodo.org/record/7309360 (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7309360).

#### Author contribution

C.C. and D.G. designed the research. C.C., S.L., W.Z., and B.L. constructed the dataset. C.C. and S.L. analysed the data. C.C. prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

### **Competing interests**

395 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41921005).

### References

Álamos, N., Huneeus, N., Opazo, M., Osses, M., Puja, S., Pantoja, N., Denier van der Gon, H., Schueftan, A., Reyes, R., and
 Calvo, R.: High-resolution inventory of atmospheric emissions from transport, industrial, energy, mining and residential activities in Chile, Earth System Science Data, 14, 361–379, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-361-2022, 2022.

Allen, M., Dube, O. P., Solecki, W., Aragón–Durand, F., Cramer, W., Humphrey, S., Kainuma, M., Kala, J., Mahowald, N., Mulugetta, Y., Perez, R., Wairiu, M., and Zickfeld, K.: Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty,

405 strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts t 2018.

Net Zero Tracker: https://www.eciu.net/netzerotracker, last access: 30 August 2021.

Aung, T. S., Saboori, B., and Rasoulinezhad, E.: Economic growth and environmental pollution in Myanmar: an analysis of environmental Kuznets curve, Environ Sci Pollut Res, 24, 20487–20501, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9567-3, 2017.

410 Crippa, M., Solazzo, E., Huang, G., Guizzardi, D., Koffi, E., Muntean, M., Schieberle, C., Friedrich, R., and Janssens-



Maenhout, G.: High resolution temporal profiles in the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research, Sci Data, 7, 121, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0462-2, 2020.

Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Banja, M., Oliver, J. G. J., Grassi, G., Rossi, S., and Vignati, E.: GHG emissions of all world countries - 2021 Report, Publications Office of the European Union, LU, 2021.

415 Cui, C., Li, S., Zhao, W., Liu, B., Shan, Y., and Guan, D.: Energy-related CO2 Emission Accounts and Datasets for 40 Emerging Economies in 2010 - 2019, Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7309360, 2021.

Demailly, D. and Quirion, P.: CO2 abatement, competitiveness and leakage in the European cement industry under the EU ETS: grandfathering versus output-based allocation, Climate Policy, 6, 93–113, https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2006.9685590, 2006.

420 Department of Population Ministry of Labour and Immigration and Population of Myanmar: Myanmar Population and Housing Census Policy Brief on Population Projections, 2014.

Feng, K., Davis, S. J., Sun, L., and Hubacek, K.: Drivers of the US CO2 emissions 1997–2013, Nat Commun, 6, 7714, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8714, 2015.

- Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J.,
  Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie, L., Forster, P. M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Harris, I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono, K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Liu, Z., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R.,
  Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L.,
- Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton, A. J., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Zaehle, S.: Global Carbon Budget 2020, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3269–3340, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020, 2020.
- 435 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama, Japan, 2006.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IPCC, Switzerland, 2019.

International Energy Agency: CO2 emission from fuel combustion, 2019.

440 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Energy: https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/data-product/greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-energy#data-sets.

World Energy Balances: https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/data-product/world-energy-balances.

Lin, B. and Xie, C.: Reduction potential of CO2 emissions in China's transport industry, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 33, 689–700, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.02.017, 2014.

445 Myanmar Ministry of Electricity and Energy and ERIA: Energy Demand and Supply of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar 2010-2017, Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia - ERIA, 2019.



455

Oo, A. T., Huylenbroeck, G. V., and Speelman, S.: Assessment of climate change vulnerability of farm households in Pyapon District, a delta region in Myanmar, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 28, 10–21, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.02.012, 2018.

450 Puliafito, S. E., Bolaño-Ortiz, T. R., Fernandez, R. P., Berná, L. L., Pascual-Flores, R. M., Urquiza, J., López-Noreña, A. I., and Tames, M. F.: High-resolution seasonal and decadal inventory of anthropogenic gas-phase and particle emissions for Argentina, Earth System Science Data, 13, 5027–5069, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-5027-2021, 2021.

Riti, J. S., Song, D., Shu, Y., and Kamah, M.: Decoupling CO2 emission and economic growth in China: Is there consistency in estimation results in analyzing environmental Kuznets curve?, Journal of Cleaner Production, 166, 1448–1461, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.117, 2017.

Shan, Y., Guan, D., Zheng, H., Ou, J., Li, Y., Meng, J., and Mi, Z.: Data Descriptor: China CO2 emission accounts 1997–2015, Scientific Data, 5, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.201, 2017a.

Shan, Y., Guan, D., Liu, J., Mi, Z., Liu, Z., Liu, J., Schroeder, H., Cai, B., Chen, Y., Shao, S., and Zhang, Q.: Methodology and applications of city level CO2 emission accounts in China, Journal of Cleaner Production, 161, 1215–1225, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.075, 2017b.

Shi, Y., Matsunaga, T., Saito, M., Yamaguchi, Y., and Chen, X.: Comparison of global inventories of CO2 emissions from biomass burning during 2002–2011 derived from multiple satellite products, Environmental Pollution, 206, 479–487, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.08.009, 2015.

Somanathan, E., Sterner, T., Sugiyama, T., Chimanikire, D., Dubash, N. K., Essandoh-Yeddu, J. K., Fifita, S., Goulder, L.,
Jaffe, A., Managi, S., Mitchell, C., Montero, J. P., Teng, F., Zylicz, T., Angelsen, A., Aoki, K., Asano, K., Betsill, M.,
Bhandary, R. R., Braathen, N.-A., Bulkeley, H., Burtraw, D., Carlson, A., Gomez-Echeverri, L., Haites, E., Jotzo, F.,
Kandlikar, M., Kimura, O., Kohlin, G., Komatsu, H., Marquard, A., Mehling, M., Muller, D., Mundaca, L., Paterson, M.,
Roger, C., Seyboth, K., Spiller, E., and Jänicke, M.: Chapter 15 National and Sub-national Policies and Institutions, in:
Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change Working Group III Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,

USA, 1183, 2014.

Statistics Division of the United Nations Secretariat: Historical and updated classification of developed and developing regions, 2022.

Takeyama, Y.: Recent Development of the Myanmar Economy, Institute for International Monetary Affairs, Newsletter, 2018, 2018.

Tan, X., Li, H., Guo, J., Gu, B., and Zeng, Y.: Energy-saving and emission-reduction technology selection and CO2 emission reduction potential of China's iron and steel industry under energy substitution policy, Journal of Cleaner Production, 222, 823–834, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.133, 2019.

The Republic of the Union of Myanmar: Myanmar's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution-INDC, 2015.

480 The Republic of the Union of Myanmar: Myanmar Climate Change Strategy (2018-2030), 2019.

Tun, M. M. and Juchelková, D.: Biomass Sources and Energy Potential for Energy Sector in Myanmar: An Outlook, Resources, 8, 102, https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8020102, 2019.

UNFCCC: INDCs as communicated by Parties, 2021.





United Nations: World Economic Situation and Prospects 2020, World Economic Situation and Prospects, 163-171, 2020.

485 Vo, A. T., Vo, D. H., and Le, Q. T.-T.: CO2 Emissions, Energy Consumption, and Economic Growth: New Evidence in the ASEAN Countries, Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 12, 145, https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm12030145, 2019.

World Bank: World Development Indicators, Washington, DC: World Bank, 2019.

World Bank: World Bank national accounts data, 2020.