Annual maps of forest and evergreen forest in the Brazilian Amazon from analyses of PALSAR and MODIS images

Yuanwei Qin¹, Xiangming Xiao^{1*}, Hao Tang², Ralph Dubayah³, Russell Doughty⁴, Diyou Liu⁵, Fang Liu¹, Yosio Shimabukuro⁶, Egidio Arai⁶, Xinxin Wang⁷, Berrien Moore III⁴

5

¹Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA ²Department of Geography, National University of Singapore, 1 Arts Link, Kent Ridge, Singapore 117570 ³Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA ⁴College of Atmospheric and Geographic Sciences, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 73019, USA

⁵College of Land Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100083, China ⁶Brazilian National Institute for Space Research, INPE, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil ⁷Coastal Ecosystems Research Station of the Yangtze River Estuary, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Biodiversity Science and Ecological Engineering, Institute of Biodiversity Science, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

15

Correspondence to: Xiangming Xiao (xiangming.xiao@ou.edu)

Abstract. Many forest cover maps have been generated by using optical and/or microwave images, but these forest cover maps have large area and spatial discrepancies. To date, few studies have assessed forest cover maps in terms of two biophysical

- 20 parameters used in forest definition: (1) canopy height and (2) canopy coverage. We generated annual forest cover maps from 2007 to 2010 and evergreen forest cover maps from 2000 to 2021 in the Brazilian Amazon using the images from the Phased Array type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar and the time series images from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, using the forest definition of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations (> 5-m tree height and > 10% canopy coverage) as the reference. We used the canopy height and canopy coverage datasets from the
- 25 Geoscience Laser Altimeter System during 2003-2007 to assess annual forest cover maps from 2007 to 2010 and annual evergreen forest cover maps from 2003 to 2007, and the results show high accuracy of these forest cover and evergreen forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon. These annual forest cover maps and annual evergreen forest cover maps provide data support for the analyses of the causes, processes, and consequences of forest cover changes in the Brazilian Amazon.

30 1. Introduction

The global forest area is 40.6×10^6 km² and accounts for ~31% of the total land area, according to the 2020 Global Forest Resources Assessment (FRA) published by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations (FAO, 2020). Forests, especially tropical forests, play major roles in the carbon cycle (Fan et al., 2019; Mitchard, 2018), water cycle (Lovejoy and Nobre, 2019), and biodiversity (Jenkins et al., 2013). Tropical forests contain ~230 Pg C of aboveground 35 biomass, which is ~40% to ~60% of the carbon stored in the Earth's terrestrial vegetation (Baccini et al., 2012; Saatchi et al., 2011). However, large areas of forests have been deforested for agriculture, wood, and charcoal production (FAO, 2020). A total of 1.8 × 10⁶ km² of forests have been lost since 1990 due to human activities and natural disturbances, although the rate of net forest loss has declined slightly (FAO, 2020). The extensive forest area loss has caused significant losses of forest carbon stock (Mitchard, 2018) and biodiversity (Ochoa-Quintero et al., 2015). Thus, updated and accurate annual maps of forests are essential for us to track and assess the loss and gain of forest area and their impacts on carbon, water, climate, and biodiversity.

Satellite remote sensing can observe large land surface areas at regular temporal resolutions, which is suitable for generating annual maps of forests at regional, continental, and global scales. Substantial progress has been achieved in identifying and mapping the spatial and temporal changes of forests across local, regional, and global spatial scales by using various image datasets and algorithms (Souza et al., 2020; Fao, 2020; Hansen et al., 2013; Shimada et al., 2014). The time

- 45 series optical remote sensing images at coarse and moderate spatial resolutions, such as the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR, 1000-m) and MODIS (500-m and 250-m), have been widely used to generate global or continental forest cover maps, which were based on forest spectral and phenology features (Friedl et al., 2010; Hansen et al., 2002). Before 2008, optical images at a high spatial resolution (e.g., Landsat) were expensive and often used to map forests in the hotspots of deforestation, such as the Brazilian Amazon (Skole and Tucker, 1993; Inpe, 2023). In 2008, all the 30-m Landsat images 50 became freely available to the public (Woodcock et al., 2008), Google Earth Engine, a powerful cloud computing platform.
- 50 became freely available to the public (Woodcock et al., 2008). Google Earth Engine, a powerful cloud computing platform, was also developed to process these big datasets (Gorelick et al., 2017). Continental or global tree cover and forest cover maps at a high spatial resolution have been generated using Landsat images (Sexton et al., 2015; Hansen et al., 2013; Souza et al., 2020).
- In comparison to the optical images, images from microwave sensors, in particular, L-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR), have two advantages: (1) they are affected less by clouds and cloud shadows, and (2) they have stronger penetration capability into forest canopy and interact with tree branches and trunks, and thus, are more sensitive to forest structure and aboveground biomass. SAR images have advantages in forest mapping, especially in the tropical region where clouds and cloud shadows are frequent (Qin et al., 2017; Shimada et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2016a; Chen et al., 2018; Reiche et al., 2016). In the Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS), the Phased Array type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR) is the
- 60 first L-band microwave remote sensing sensor to carry out global land surface observations (Shimada et al., 2014). The 25-m and 50-m ALOS PALSAR data have been used to map regional and global forest and forest change (Shimada et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018; Thapa et al., 2014). However, some non-vegetation land cover types, such as rocky land, bare land, and buildings, have high backscatter signals similar to those of forests, and they are misclassified as forest, resulting in commission errors in the forest cover maps. The combination of microwave and optical images allows us to produce improved
- 65 forest cover maps with reduced commission errors. Thus, we developed a simple but robust algorithm to identify and generate annual forest cover maps using both ALOS PALSAR and MODIS images, and the algorithm has been successfully applied to map forest cover in Asia (Qin et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2016a) and South America (Qin et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2019).

Previous studies suggested that forest definition is one of the major reasons for the discrepancy of forest cover maps (Sexton et al., 2015), and our study showed the validation data affect the accuracy assessment of forest cover maps, although these forest cover maps had the same forest definition (Qin et al., 2017). Accuracy assessments and uncertainty analyses of the forest cover maps have been carried out using reference datasets from various approaches, including field surveys, images with higher spatial resolutions, and previously available land cover maps (Xiao et al., 2011; Fritz et al., 2012; Olofsson et al.,

70

2012; Stehman et al., 2012; Tyukavina et al., 2017). Field surveys are carried out either over a region or in situ that aim to track long-term forest area and biomass changes under human activities and natural disturbances (Matricardi et al., 2020).
75 These field forest surveys are time-consuming and have a high labor cost; thus, limited field samples are collected, which may introduce large bias and are not suitable to assess the forest cover maps at continental or global scales (Tang et al., 2019a). The

- higher spatial resolution images and land cover maps do not have accurate canopy height information, which is one of the primary criteria for forest definition.
- Canopy height and canopy coverage are two important characteristics of forests. Airborne lidar observations are being used to accurately measure canopy height and canopy coverage, but these measurements are mainly carried out at a local scale (Tang et al., 2019b; Hudak et al., 2002; Leitold et al., 2018). The satellite Lidar-based canopy height and canopy coverage monitoring has evolved remarkably, which provides an opportunity to use them to evaluate the accuracy and uncertainty of forest cover maps and improve forest cover mapping. Recently, new and reliable canopy height and canopy cover percentage datasets (Tang et al., 2019a) were generated using the Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) onboard the Ice, Clouds,
- 85 and Land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) satellite. These new datasets provide a unique opportunity to assess annual forest cover maps generated according to the FAO FRA forest definition (trees > 5-m height and 10% canopy coverage). The recently developed ICESat/GLAS canopy height and canopy coverage dataset has four features (Tang et al., 2019a). First, at the footprint level, the ICESat/GLAS canopy coverage is consistent with the airborne Lidar estimated with almost no bias. Second, ICESat/GLAS showed higher sensitivity to high canopy coverage in densely forested areas than that of optical remote sensing
- 90 images. Third, ICESat/GLAS shows a stronger ability to differentiate subtle temporal changes in canopy coverage compared to optical remote sensing. Fourth, ICESat/GLAS provides unique information about the vertical canopy cover and structure, an important variable in defining tree canopy height.

Tropical forests in the Brazilian Amazon are influenced by mining, deforestation, fires, severe droughts, wind storms, and other disturbances (Tyukavina et al., 2017; Espírito-Santo et al., 2014; Aragão et al., 2018; Sonter et al., 2017; Li et al.,

- 95 2019). Because of frequent clouds, cloud shadows, high aerosols, and limited accessibility of the Amazon, in situ reference data, field surveys, and very high spatial resolution images are very limited, which prevents a robust inter-comparison among these data sources. Here, we selected the Brazilian Amazon as a hotspot with a large forest area and extensive forest change. We assessed the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps at 50-m spatial resolution during 2007-2010 (Qin et al., 2017) and the MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2003-2007 at 500-m spatial resolution (Qin et al., 2019) using the
- 100 ICESat/GLAS canopy height and canopy coverage data. To our limited knowledge, this is the first study using a large lidar-

based canopy height and canopy coverage dataset to assess the accuracy and uncertainty of annual forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon.

2. Methods

105 2.1. Study area

The Brazilian Amazon covers an area between 18°S to 6°N and 74° W to 41° W, and includes nine states (Acre, Amazonas, Amapá, Pará, Mato Grosso, Maranhão, Rondônia, Roraima, and Tocantins). The Brazilian Amazon has the largest tropical forests and most diverse terrestrial ecosystems in the world (Jenkins et al., 2013). Annual precipitation increases from ~1500 mm per year in the southeast to > 3000 mm per year in the northwest in normal years (Qin et al., 2019). On average, the Brazilian Amazon has an annual precipitation of ~2000 mm per year and an annual mean temperature of 27 °C (Almeida et al., 2017). The two major biomes in Brazil are the Amazon in the north and west, and the Cerrado, *i.e.*, a vast ecoregion of

tropical savanna, in the south and east. Rapidly changing land use, disturbances (e.g., fire), climate, and other human activities have resulted in substantial deforestation and degradation in the Brazilian Amazon over the past decades (Fearnside, 2005; Nepstad et al., 2014; Matricardi et al., 2020). The rapid expansion of cropland and pasture areas makes Brazil a leading global exporter of agricultural and livestock commodities, especially soybean and beef.

2.2. ALOS PALSAR mosaic data and pre-processing

The ALOS PALSAR is an L-band active microwave remote sensing sensor, and PALSAR images are less affected by cloud and atmospheric conditions than optical images. The 50-m ALOS PALSAR mosaic data, provided by the Earth Observation Research Center, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), include HH gamma-naught and HV gamma-

- 120 naught, and three other layers (mask information, local incidence angle, and total dates from the ALOS launch date) (Shimada et al., 2014). Three major pre-processing tasks were carried out to reduce the noise in the ALOS PALSAR data (Shimada et al., 2014). The ALOS PALSAR strip data, with the minimum response to surface moisture, were used to generate the annual ALOS PALSAR mosaic data. The raw images were calibrated based on published coefficients, and outputs with 16-looks were further provided to reduce speckle noise. PALSAR HH and HV backscatter data were orthorectified and slope corrected using
- 125 the Digital Elevation Model (90-m) from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission. The Digital Number (DN, amplitude values) of HH and HV were converted to backscattering coefficients in decibels (gamma-naught, γ° , see Equation 1).

$$\gamma^{\circ} = 10 \times \log_{10} < DN^2 > +CF \quad (1)$$

Where CF is the absolute calibration factor with a value of -83 (Shimada et al., 2009). We also calculated the Difference (see Equation 2) and Ratio (see Equation 3) between HH and HV:

130

$$Difference = HH - HV \quad (2)$$
$$Ratio = \frac{HH}{HV} \quad (3)$$

Deleted: evergreen forests

2.3. MODIS surface reflectance and vegetation indices

The MOD09A1 (Collection 006) data product provides land surface reflectance at a spatial resolution of 500 m (463 m) after atmospheric correction, including gases, aerosols, and Rayleigh scattering. The MOD09A1 data product has seven spectral bands: Blue (459 - 479 nm), Green (545 - 565 nm), Red (620 - 670 nm), Near-Infrared (NIR, 841 - 876 nm and 1230 - 1250 nm), Shortwave Infrared (SWIR, 1628 - 1652 nm and 2105 - 2155 nm), and two quality layers. For each 8-day composite, the best-quality value is selected from all the acquisitions within every eight days. <u>Although the 8-day MOD09A1</u> <u>data product has a spatial resolution of 500m, it is generated based on daily observations and has a high opportunity to get</u> cloud-free observations. Besides, MOD09A1 has a data collection since 2000, which could track long-term forest cover

- changes due to frequent policy and environmental changes in the Brazilian Amazon, especially the different phases of <u>deforestation</u>. We identified and excluded all observations in each image covered by cloud (cloud, internal cloud, and high cirrus), cloud shadow, high aerosols, or snow labelled in the data quality layers. We also identified and excluded all the observations with a blue band value larger than 0.2 as an additional cloud flag. We then calculated three vegetation indices for
- 145 each observation in time series (see Equation 4, 5, 6): Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), and Land Surface Water Index (LSWI) (Xiao et al., 2002).

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - RED}{NIR + RED}$$
(4)

$$EVI = 2.5 \times \frac{NIR - RED}{NIR + 6 \times RED - 7.5 \times BLUE + 1}$$
(5)

$$LSWI = \frac{NIR - SWIR}{NIR + SWIR}$$
(6)

150 where BLUE, RED, NIR, and SWIR represent land surface reflectance values for Blue, Red, Near Infrared (841 - 876 nm), and Shortwave Infrared (1628 - 1652 nm) bands from MOD09A1, respectively.

The MODIS/Terra Vegetation Index data product (MOD13Q1) has a spatial resolution of 250-m and a temporal resolution of 16 days. The MOD13Q1 dataset includes two vegetation index layers (NDVI and EVI). The highest NDVI and EVI values were chosen from the best <u>daily</u> observations every 16 days. Although the MOD13Q1 data product has a spatial

- 155 resolution of 250m, its daily revisit cycle provided more opportunities for cloud-free observations in the Brazilian Amazon compared to the high spatial resolution images, such as the 30-m 16-day Landsat images. We used the observations with the property of "VI produced with good quality" based on the MOD13Q1 quality band (DetailedQA) in time series analysis. In this study, we used the NDVI layer and calculated the maximum NDVI values (NDVImax) in a year for each pixel during 2007-2010. To match the 50-m PALSAR data, we resampled the 250-m MODIS NDVImax into 50-m spatial resolution using
- 160 the nearest sampling approach.

2.4. ICESat canopy height and cover percentage data

The ICES at GLAS, launched in 2003, is the first lidar sensor for global land surface observations. The GLAS sensor recorded the land surface elevations through time at ~65-m footprints, which have an along-track distance of about 175 m and a maximum between-track distance of about 30 km at the equator. The GLAS sensor had a revisit cycle of 91 days. We recently developed a new approach and calculated the canopy height (meters) and canopy coverage (%) datasets using the GLA14 lidar

Deleted: available

datasets during 2003-2007 (Tang et al., 2019a). At each footprint, maximum canopy height and canopy coverage are calculated from lidar waveform signals and screened from several confounding factors (e.g., cloud, noise, and topographic slope) (Tang et al., 2019a). The derived canopy coverage showed almost no bias compared to airborne lidar estimates and was sensitive to

- 170 signal dynamics over dense forests, even with canopy cover exceeding 80%. The ICESat/GLAS-based canopy height and canopy coverage estimates could better characterize footprint-level canopy conditions than the existing data products derived from conventional optical remote sensing (Tang et al., 2019a). Yet, it cannot be used to generate a wall-to-wall map of forest structure due to its limited spatial samplings.

In the Brazilian Amazon, there were about 1.1×10^6 footprints of canopy height and canopy coverage retrieved from \blacktriangleleft 175 the ICESat/GLAS observations during 2003-2007 (Fig. 1). Among these footprints, about 1.0×10^6 footprints had a canopy height of more than 5 m, which accounted for about 96% of all the footprints (Fig. 1c), and about 0.94×10^{6} (86.7%) footprints had a canopy coverage of more than 10% (Fig. 1d). When considering both canopy height and canopy coverage, 0.9×10^6 footprints (85.1%) had a canopy height of > 5 m and canopy coverage of > 10%, and these footprints were thus identified as forest footprints in terms of the FAO FRA forest definition. About 27.7% of the forest footprints had canopy height larger than 180 30 m and canopy coverage larger than 80%, which suggested that the Brazilian Amazon is an area with largely tall and dense trees. The ICESat/GLAS canopy height and canopy coverage data had distinct spatial distributions (Fig. 1a, b). Those tall and

dense forests were mainly located in the north and west of the Brazilian Amazon, where human activities and natural disturbances are limited. Short and open forests were mainly located in the Cerrado area, south and east of the Brazilian Amazon, where extensive agriculture production occurs,

Formatted: Justified







190

2.5. FAO forest definition

Hundreds of different forest definitions have been used in forest management (FAO, 2002; Lund, 2014). FAO defines forest as land with a minimum area of 0.5 hectares with (1) a tree canopy height of > 5 m and (2) a canopy coverage of >10% at the time of observations, and it also includes lands with trees that can reach these thresholds at the time of tree mature (FRA, 2020). Using the FAO's forest definition as the reference (a tree canopy height of > 5 m and a canopy coverage of >10%), we identified and generated annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon during 2007-2010. We defined evergreen forests as forests with green leaves year-round, a tree canopy cover more than 10%, and a tree height larger than 5 m. Then we generated annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps from 2000 to 2021. Note that as we use satellite images to identify and map forests, we do not consider lands with trees that can reach these two thresholds at the time of tree mature.

200 Due to various spatial resolutions of satellite images, tree distribution patterns, and terrains, the minimum forest mapping area may not be exactly 0.5 hectares.

	Electromagnetic wave of PALSAR can penetrate the tree canopy and interact with the tree trunks and branches.
I	Forests have higher volume backscatter signals in HH and HV compared to croplands, grasslands, and water bodies. Thus,
205	PALSAR data are sensitive to forest structure and biomass. However, PALSAR data can be affected by local incidence angle
	and soil moisture as PALSAR data is acquired at a different date each year. We calculated the acquisition date (Fig. 2), the
	local incidence angle (Fig. S1), and HH and HV gamma-naught values for each year and their standard deviations (Fig. S2)

2.6. Algorithm and data of annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007-2010

.

October) and the local incidence angle is stable. About 90% of the area has standard deviation values of less than 1 dB for 210 PALSAR HH and HV data. PALSAR data have advantages in identifying and mapping the spatial and temporal changes of forests in the tropics with frequent clouds compared to optical satellite remote sensing. Using the FAO's forest definition as the reference, we developed a robust decision tree algorithm to identify and generate forest cover maps by ALOS PALSAR <u>data</u>: $-15 \le HV \le -9$, $3 \le Difference \le 7$, and $0.35 \le Ratio \le 0.75$, based on the forest and non-forest training samples (Oin et al., 2016a; Qin et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2017). Several land cover types (e.g., rocks and buildings) had high backscatter values

during 2007-2010 in the Brazilian Amazon. PALSAR HH and HV data were mainly acquired in the dry season (from June to

- 215 of HH and HV, which were often confused with the forests when only HH and HV data were used. These land cover types usually have low vegetation coverage with NDVImax < 0.5 (Qin et al., 2016a; Qin et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2017). To reduce the commission errors from these land cover types, we combined both PALSAR and NDVImax from MOD13Q1 to produce annual forest cover maps (namely PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps) at 50-m spatial resolution in the Brazilian Amazon during 2007-2010 using these threshold values: $-15 \le HV \le -9$, $3 \le Difference \le 7$, $0.35 \le Ratio \le 0.75$, and NDVImax ≥ 0.5
- 220 (Qin et al., 2016a; Qin et al., 2017). We also carried out a three-year temporal consistency filter to reduce the effects of noise (Qin et al., 2016a; Qin et al., 2017).

Deleted: A

Moved down [1]: Using the FAO's forest definition as the reference, we developed a robust workflow to identify and generate forest cover maps by integrating ALOS PALSAR and MODIS NDVImax data (Qin et al., 2016a; Qin et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2017).

-	Deleted: Therefore,
-{	Moved (insertion) [1]
-{	Deleted: workflow
1	Deleted: integrating
-	Deleted: and MODIS NDVImax
1	Field Code Changed
1	Deleted: Several land cover types (e.g., rocks and buildings) have

with the forests when only HH and HV data are used. T

Deleted: used

Deleted: of forests

Formatted: Font: (Asian) +Body Asian (SimSun), (Asian) Chinese (Simplified, Mainland China)



Fig. 2. Spatial distribution maps of ALOS PALSAR acquisition date during 2007 (a), 2008 (b), 2009 (c), and 2010 (d).

2.7. <u>Algorithm and data of annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2000-2021</u>

240

Evergreen forest is the dominant forest cover type in the Brazilian Amazon (Fanin and Van Der Werf, 2015). Evergreen forests have a unique biophysical feature that evergreen forests have green leaves year-round. Based on the canopy phenology from analyses of time series water-related LSWI and greenness-related EVI calculated from all MOD09A1 data in each year, a novel, simple and robust algorithm was developed to generate annual maps of evergreen forests in the Brazilian Amazon using the FAO's forest definition as the reference and evergreen forest training samples (Xiao et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2019). We calculated (1) the frequency (percentage) of the number of observations with LSWI ≥ 0 over all the available observations (*FQ_{LSW1≥0}*) and (2) the minimum EVI values (*EVI_{min}*) in a year after excluding observations of clouds, cloud shadows, and snow based on the MOD09A1 quality band (StateQA). We applied the Forest-MODIS algorithm (*FQ_{LSW1≥0}* = 100% & *EVI_{min}* ≥ 0.2) (Xiao et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2019) to time series LSWI and EVI data in a year and generated annual maps of evergreen forest from 2000 to 2021 in the Brazilian Amazon. Small numbers of MODIS pixels in the Brazilian Amazon were contaminated by clouds or aerosols, causing a significant drop in EVI values and no significant change in LSWI and NDVI values. However, these pixels may not be detected by the quality layer. Thus, we conducted an additional criterion and made a minor improvement in evergreen forest mapping, *i.e.*, *FQ_{LSW1≥0} > 90% & EVI_{min} ≥ 0.2 & LSW1_{min} ≥ 0.* We also

Deleted: A

Deleted: tropical zone

applied a three-year temporal consistency filter to reduce the effects of noises on forest cover mapping. The Google Earth Engine (GEE) cloud platform was used for MODIS images processing.

2.8. Spatial and statistical analysis

260

270

275

We overlaid all the ICESat/GLAS footprints to the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007-2010 (50-m spatial resolution) and the annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2003-2007 (500-m spatial resolution) and generated a table that records individual ICESat/GLAS footprint IDs, canopy height, canopy coverage, forest and nonforest. We evaluated the accuracy of these annual PALSAR/MODSI forest cover maps and annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps in terms of canopy height and canopy coverage for all forest pixels $(1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ pixels for PALSAR/MODIS})$ forest 265 and 17.5×10^6 pixels for MODIS evergreen forest each year) that contained the information of ICESat/GLAS footprint data.

For the spatial comparison, to avoid the bias caused by different spatial resolutions, we aggregated the 50-m annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and 500-m (463-m) MODIS evergreen forest cover maps into 5-km pixels and calculated their average forest area fraction values within individual 5-km pixels. We then compared the spatial consistency between the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and the annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2007-2010 in the Brazilian Amazon at 5-km spatial resolution based on the linear relationships and significance analyses.

For the forest area comparison, we compared the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest area and annual MODIS evergreen forest area with multiple forest area datasets, including the PALSAR-based forest cover maps developed by JAXA (Shimada et al., 2014), the Landsat-based Global Forest Watch (GFW) dataset (Hansen et al., 2013), and the PRODES forest cover maps (Inpe, 2023). We also calculated the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of forest areas between different forest cover data products in the Brazilian Amazon.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007-2010

- Forest areas estimated by the 50-m PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps (Fig. 3) had a small net loss in the Brazilian Amazon, decreasing from 3.77×10^6 km² in 2007 to 3.75×10^6 km² in 2010 under the influence of changing land-use policies 280 and natural disturbances (Nepstad et al., 2014). To assess the accuracy of the PALSAR/MODIS forest cover map, we used two independent reference datasets. First, we used the land cover maps at the 2-m spatial resolution from the Global Land Cover Validation Reference Dataset in 2010, which had land cover maps in 18 blocks (0.15×10^6 pixels) in the Brazilian Amazon and each block covered an area of 5×5 km² (Olofsson et al., 2012; Stehman et al., 2012). Second, we used the land
- 285 cover maps from the TREES-3 (Achard et al., 2014) reference dataset at the 30-m spatial resolution from the European Commission's Joint Research Centre (JRC), which had land cover maps in 416 blocks (17.09×10^6 pixels) and each block covered an area of 10×10 km². The Global Land Cover Validation Reference Dataset was produced from very high spatial resolution commercial remote sensing data acquired around 2010 and is freely available at the https://web.archive.org/web/20161209205946/https:/landcover.usgs.gov/glc/SitesDescriptionAndDownloads.php. The TREES-3 dataset was produced from Landsat images and is freely available at https://forobs.jrc.ec.europa.eu/trees3/data. We 290

Deleted:

calculated the error matrices (Table S1) and the overall accuracy of the PALSAR/MODIS forest cover map in 2010 was about 91% (Qin et al., 2019).

Deleted: The



295 **Fig. 3.** Spatial distribution maps of annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007 (a), 2008 (b), 2009 (c), and 2010 (d).

We used the ICESat/GLAS canopy height and canopy coverage to evaluate the accuracy of annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007-2010 in the Brazilian Amazon. Fig. 4 shows the distribution of forest pixels from the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps in the 2-dimension space of canopy height and canopy coverage. About 98.5% of PALSAR/MODIS forest pixels in 2010 had canopy height > 5 meters and about 94.4% of PALSAR/MODIS forest pixels in 2010 had canopy coverage > 10%, respectively (Fig. 4). When considering both canopy height and canopy coverage of > 10%. The PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007-2009 had similar high accuracies as that in 2010 (Fig. 4). Overall, the
PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps showed high accuracies using different validation datasets.



Fig. 4. Two-dimension scatter plots and histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy height (m) and canopy coverage (%) at the footprint scale for the forest pixels in the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007-2010. Two-dimension
scatter plots of ICESat/GLAS canopy height and canopy coverage for the forest pixels in the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007 (a), 2008 (d), 2009 (g), and 2010 (j). Histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy height for the forest pixels in the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007 (a), 2008 (d), 2009 (g), and 2010 (j). Histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy height for the forest pixels in the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007 (b), 2008 (e), 2009 (h), and 2010 (k). Histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy coverage for the forest pixels in the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps during 2007 (c), 2008 (f), 2009 (i), and 2010 (l).

315

Geographically, forests were distributed mainly in the Brazilian Amazon's north and west. The mixed landscapes of forest and non-forest were in the south and east of the Brazilian Amazon (Fig. 3). The State of Amazonas $(1.46 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2)$,

Pará $(0.99 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2)$, and Mato Grosso $(0.46 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2)$ had the largest forest areas, which accounted for about 78% of the total forest area in the Brazilian Amazon in 2010. The other six states had a total forest area of $0.81 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ in 2010.

320 3.2. Annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2000-2021

Evergreen forest areas also slightly decreased from 3.73 × 10⁶ km² in 2007 to 3.72 × 10⁶ km² in 2010 in the Brazilian
Amazon (Fig. 5), consistent with the PALSAR/MODIS forest area estimated with a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 0.03 × 10⁶ km². The evergreen forest area was 3.94 × 10⁶ km² in 2000 and then declined substantially to 3.66 × 10⁶ km² in 2021 (Fig. 5), with a net forest area loss of 0.28 × 10⁶ km² (7%). We previously assessed the accuracy of the evergreen forest cover
map in 2010 using 18 blocks with 5 × 5 km² (1.27 × 10³ pixels) of land cover maps at 2-m spatial resolution from the Global

Land Cover Validation Reference Dataset in 2010 and 416 blocks with 10×10 km² (0.13 × 10⁶ pixels) of land cover maps from the 30-m TREES-3 (Achard et al., 2014) reference dataset. The overall accuracy of the MODIS evergreen forest cover map in 2010 was about 97% (Qin et al., 2019).





13



Here, we used the ICESat/GLAS canopy height and canopy coverage data to evaluate the accuracy of the evergreen forest cover maps during 2003-2007 in the Brazilian Amazon. About 98.1% (0.77 × 10⁶ pixels) of evergreen forest pixels had
canopy height of > 5 meters, and about 93.8% (0.73 × 10⁶ pixels) of evergreen forest pixels had canopy coverage of > 10% in 2003-2007. When considering both canopy height and canopy coverage, about 93.0% (0.73 × 10⁶ pixels) of evergreen forest pixels during 2003-2007 had canopy height of > 5 m and canopy coverage of >10% (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6. Two-dimension scatter plots and histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy height (m) and canopy coverage (%) for the
 forest pixels from the annual MODIS evergreen forest maps during 2003-2007. Two-dimension scatter plots of ICESat/GLAS
 canopy height and canopy coverage for the forest pixels from the annual MODIS evergreen forest maps during 2003 (a), 2004

(d), 2005 (g), 2006 (j), and 2007 (m). Histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy height for the forest pixels from the annual MODIS evergreen forest maps during 2003 (b), 2004 (e), 2005 (h), 2006 (k), and 2007 (n). Histograms of ICESat/GLAS canopy coverage for the forest pixels from the annual MODIS evergreen forest maps during 2003 (c), 2004 (f), 2005 (i), 2006 (l), and 2007 (o).

350 2007 (

3.3. Uncertainties in the accuracy assessment of PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and MODIS evergreen forest cover maps

- The Global Land Cover Validation Reference Dataset and TREES-3 land cover dataset were produced from optical remote sensing images, which were sensitive to canopy coverage instead of canopy height. Thus, our PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps had high user's and producer's accuracy for forest cover type, while the non-forest cover type had relatively low user's or producer's accuracy (Table S1), which may be attributed to the uncertainties in the reference maps. Unlike the optical remote sensing image, the ICESat-1 data used in this study had the maximum canopy coverage data and the maximum canopy height from 2003 to 2007 (Tang et al., 2019a). As the Brazilian Amazon had high annual primary forest loss rates of 17,654 km²/yr in the 2000s (INPE, 2023), the maximum canopy height and canopy coverage of ICESat-1 data may not include the
- impacts of deforestation. Thus, ~94% of PALSAR/MODIS forest cover pixels and MODIS evergreen forest cover pixels meet the forest definition.

3.4, Spatial consistency between annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2007-2010

Deleted: 3

At the regional scale, we analyzed the reasonability of the MODIS evergreen forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon using the PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps as the reference maps. At the 5-km spatial resolution, the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps had a good spatial consistency with evergreen forest cover maps, especially for the dense forest during 2007-2010 (Fig. 7). The PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps had more forest area than that of MODIS evergreen

370 forest cover maps in the Cerrado area, south and east of the Brazilian Amazon. There might be some non-evergreen forest and sparse forest in the Cerrado area, which are not identified as forest in the MODIS evergreen forest cover maps. Overall, the forest area fraction between the PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and the MODIS evergreen forest cover maps was near the 1:1 linear relationship at the 5-km spatial resolution (Fig. 7 i-l), showing that the combination of PALSAR and MODIS images, and dense time series MODIS images can both generate high-accuracy forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon. <u>At the site level, PALSAR/MODIS forest cover and MODIS evergreen forest cover maps showed good consistency with the very showed good consistency with the very showed good consistency.</u>

high spatial resolution images in the Brazilian Amazon (Fig. 8)



Fig. 7. Spatial consistency between annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps during 2007-2010 at a spatial resolution of 5 km. (a-d) Annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps. (e-h) Annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps. (i-l) Linear regression analyses between the area fraction of MODIS evergreen forest and area fraction of PALSAR/MODIS forest for individual years (2007-2010) at a spatial resolution of 5 km (0.23 million pixels each year at a spatial resolution of 5 km). The average area fraction of forest was calculated at an interval of 5%.



385

395

Fig. 8. Zoom-in windows of very high spatial resolution images, PALSAR/MODIS forest cover, and MODIS evergreen forest cover maps at three sites in the Brazilian Amazon. (a, d, and g) Very high spatial resolution images from ArcMap. (b, e, and h) PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps. (c, f, and i) MODIS evergreen forest cover maps.

390 3.5, Annual forest area comparison in the Brazilian Amazon

A number of studies compared several forest cover maps derived from optical images and/or microwave images and reported that these forest cover maps have significant differences in forest area, spatial distributions, and temporal changes, which were attributed to the differences in forest definitions, satellite data, and forest mapping algorithms (Sexton et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2017). For example, one study compared eight previously satellite-based forest cover maps generated by optical images and found that global forest area ranged from 32.1×10^6 km² to 41.4×10^6 km², and claimed that one of the major

Deleted: 4

shadows substantially reduced the number of good-quality observations in optical images used to generate annual forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon (Qin et al., 2019). Our previous studies in Asia (Qin et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2016b) and South
400 America (Qin et al., 2017) compared annual forest maps derived from (1) optical images only, (2) microwave images only, and (3) optical + microwave images, and concluded that the use of both optical and microwave images would substantially improve the accuracy of forest maps.

Our results here also show that forest area estimated in the Brazilian Amazon from the annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps is consistent with that from the annual MODIS evergreen forest cover maps and the annual PALSAR-based forest cover maps developed by JAXA (Shimada et al., 2014) with both RMSE values of 0.03 × 10⁶ km² during 2007-2010 (Fig. Q). Forest area estimated from annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and annual MODIS evergreen forest cover

maps are slightly smaller $(0.3 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2)$ than that from the Landsat-based Global Forest Watch (GFW) dataset (Hansen et al., 2013) generated using multiple-year Landsat 7 images around 2010. The forest area estimated from the PRODES project (INPE, 2023) is much smaller than the area estimated by GFW, PALSAR/MODIS, and MODIS evergreen forest cover maps,

410 as the PRODES project is focused on primary forests and deforestation in primary forest areas. Only one or two Landsat-like images that were as cloud-free as possible in the dry season, and were used to generate annual PRODES forest cover maps (INPE, 2023). Because of large areas of cloud coverage, annual estimates of forest areas from the PRODES showed large interannual variation (Qin et al., 2019). Our work again demonstrated the importance and potential of L-band microwave remote sensing and daily optical remote sensing images in forest cover mapping.



415

Fig. 9, Annual forest area estimated in the Brazilian Amazon during 2000-2021 from five forest cover data products. The PALSAR/MODIS forest areas and MODIS evergreen forest areas were calculated by this study. GFW forest areas were

Deleted: 8

18

Deleted: 8

420 calculated from the annual Global Forest Watch forest cover data in 2000 and 2010. PRODES forest areas were calculated from the annual PRODES forest cover maps. JAXA forest areas were calculated from the annual JAXA PALSAR-based forest cover maps.

425 4. Code and Availability

The annual forest and evergreen forest maps codes are available at the figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21445626) (Qin and Xiao, 2022a). The annual forest maps (2007-2010) and evergreen forest maps (2000-2021) in the Brazilian Amazon have been submitted to the figshare data repository (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21445590) (Oin and Xiao, 2022b) in a GeoTIFF format. The data are provided in the spatial reference of South America Albers Equal Area Conic.

5. Conclusion

430

Accurate forest cover maps are critical for tracking rapid forest changes and forest resource management. We generated annual PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and annual evergreen forest cover maps in the Brazilian Amazon from

- 435 2000 to 2021 using the FAO's forest definition as the reference. We then assessed the accuracy of the PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and evergreen forest cover maps using 1.1 million footprints of canopy height and canopy coverage datasets from ICESat/GLAS. We also compared the reasonability of the evergreen forest maps using the PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps, which are little affected by the frequent clouds. The accurate PALSAR/MODIS forest cover maps and the evergreen forest cover maps could be used to understand better the interactions between forest and human activities and natural
- 440 disturbances (Qin et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2021), which is vital to the forest resource management and forest conservation in the Brazilian Amazon. In the future, two recently launched platforms, the ICESat-2 satellite launched on September 15, 2018 (Markus et al., 2017) and the Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) instrument housed on the International Space Station (Dubayah et al., 2020), provides data that can substantially improve forest cover maps by providing Lidar-based canopy height and canopy coverage data to the public.
- 445

Acknowledgments: This study was supported in part by research grants from NASA Land Use and Land Cover Change program (NNX14AD78G), NASA Geostationary Carbon Cycle Observatory (GeoCarb) Mission (GeoCarb Contract # 80LARC17C0001), and NSF EPSCoR project (IIA-1946093, IIA-1920946).

Author contributions: X.X. and Y.Q. designed the study. Y.Q. and X.X. analysed the data, interpreted the results, and drafted
 the manuscript. H.T. and R.D. provided the ICESat canopy height and coverage data. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

References

455 Achard, F., Beuchle, R., Mayaux, P., Stibig, H. J., Bodart, C., Brink, A., Carboni, S., Desclee, B., Donnay, F., Eva, H. D., Lupi, A., Rasi, R., Seliger, R., and Simonetti, D.: Determination of tropical deforestation rates and related carbon losses from 1990 to 2010, Global Change Biol, 20, 2540-2554, 10.1111/gcb.12605, 2014.

Almeida, C. T., Oliveira-Júnior, J. F., Delgado, R. C., Cubo, P., and Ramos, M. C.: Spatiotemporal rainfall and temperature trends throughout the Brazilian Legal Amazon, 1973–2013, Int J Climatol, 37, 2013-2026, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4831, 2017.

- 460 Aragão, L. E. O. C., Anderson, L. O., Fonseca, M. G., Rosan, T. M., Vedovato, L. B., Wagner, F. H., Silva, C. V. J., Silva Junior, C. H. L., Arai, E., Aguiar, A. P., Barlow, J., Berenguer, E., Deeter, M. N., Domingues, L. G., Gatti, L., Gloor, M., Malhi, Y., Marengo, J. A., Miller, J. B., Phillips, O. L., and Saatchi, S.: 21st Century drought-related fires counteract the decline of Amazon deforestation carbon emissions, Nature Communications, 9, 536, 10.1038/s41467-017-02771-y, 2018.
- Baccini, A., Goetz, S. J., Walker, W. S., Laporte, N. T., Sun, M., Sulla-Menashe, D., Hackler, J., Beck, P. S. A., Dubayah, R., Friedl, M. A.,
 Samanta, S., and Houghton, R. A.: Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps, Nat Clim Change, 2, 182-185, 10.1038/Nclimate1354, 2012.

Chen, B. Q., Xiao, X. M., Ye, H. C., Ma, J., Doughty, R., Li, X. P., Zhao, B., Wu, Z. X., Sun, R., Dong, J. W., Qin, Y. W., and Xie, G. S.: Mapping Forest and Their Spatial-Temporal Changes From 2007 to 2015 in Tropical Hainan Island by Integrating ALOS/ALOS-2 L-Band SAR and Landsat Optical Images, Ieee J-Stars, 11, 852-867, 10.1109/jstars.2018.2795595, 2018.

- 470 Dubayah, R., Blair, J. B., Goetz, S., Fatoyinbo, L., Hansen, M., Healey, S., Hofton, M., Hurtt, G., Kellner, J., Luthcke, S., Armston, J., Tang, H., Duncanson, L., Hancock, S., Jantz, P., Marselis, S., Patterson, P. L., Qi, W., and Silva, C.: The Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation: High-resolution laser ranging of the Earth's forests and topography, Science of Remote Sensing, 1, 100002, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srs.2020.100002</u>, 2020.
- Espírito-Santo, F. D. B., Gloor, M., Keller, M., Malhi, Y., Saatchi, S., Nelson, B., Junior, R. C. O., Pereira, C., Lloyd, J., Frolking, S., Palace,
 M., Shimabukuro, Y. E., Duarte, V., Mendoza, A. M., López-González, G., Baker, T. R., Feldpausch, T. R., Brienen, R. J. W., Asner, G. P.,
 Boyd, D. S., and Phillips, O. L.: Size and frequency of natural forest disturbances and the Amazon forest carbon balance, Nature Communications, 5, 3434, 10.1038/ncomms4434_https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms4434#supplementary-information, 2014.

Fan, L., Wigneron, J. P., Ciais, P., Chave, J., Brandt, M., Fensholt, R., Saatchi, S. S., Bastos, A., Al-Yaari, A., Hufkens, K., Qin, Y., Xiao, X., Chen, C., Myneni, R. B., Fernandez-Moran, R., Mialon, A., Rodriguez-Fernandez, N. J., Kerr, Y., Tian, F., and Penuelas, J.: Satellite-observed pantropical carbon dynamics, Nat Plants, 5, 944-951, 10.1038/s41477-019-0478-9, 2019.

Fanin, T. and van der Werf, G. R.: Relationships between burned area, forest cover loss, and land cover change in the Brazilian Amazon based on satellite data, Biogeosciences, 12, 6033-6043, 10.5194/bg-12-6033-2015, 2015.

FAO: Expert Meeting on Harmonizing Forest-Related Definitions for Use by Various Stakeholders, 2002.

FAO: Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020: Main report. Rome., https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9825en, 2020.

485 Fearnside, P. M.: Deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia: History, rates, and consequences, Conserv Biol, 19, 680-688, DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00697.x, 2005.

FRA: Terms and Definitions, 2020.

Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., and Huang, X. M.: MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization of new datasets, Remote Sens Environ, 114, 168-182, 10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.016, 2010.

490 Fritz, S., McCallum, I., Schill, C., Perger, C., See, L., Schepaschenko, D., van der Velde, M., Kraxner, F., and Obersteiner, M.: Geo-Wiki: An online platform for improving global land cover, Environ Modell Softw, 31, 110-123, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.11.015</u>, 2012.

Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., and Moore, R.: Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone, Remote Sens Environ, 202, 18-27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031

495 Hansen, M. C., DeFries, R. S., Townshend, J. R. G., Sohlberg, R., Dimiceli, C., and Carroll, M.: Towards an operational MODIS continuous field of percent tree cover algorithm: examples using AVHRR and MODIS data, Remote Sens Environ, 83, 303-319, Pii S0034-4257(02)00079-2 Doi 10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00079-2, 2002. Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Justified, Space Before: 6 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman) Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Deleted: ¶

-{	Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
1	Field Code Changed
-	Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
-	Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Deleted: 1

505	T. R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C. O., and Townshend, J. R.: High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change, Science, 342, 850-853. Data available on-line from: http://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest , 10.1126/science.1244693, 2013.	<
	Hudak, A. T., Lefsky, M. A., Cohen, W. B., and Berterretche, M.: Integration of lidar and Landsat ETM+ data for estimating and mapping forest canopy height, Remote Sens Environ, 82, 397-416, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00056-1 2002.	
	INPE, PRODES Legal Amazon Deforestation Monitoring System: http://www.obt.inpe.br/OBT/assuntos/programas/amazonia/prodes_	

Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tvukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S. V., Goetz, S. J., Loveland,

Jenkins, C. N., Pimm, S. L., and Joppa, L. N.: Global patterns of terrestrial vertebrate diversity and conservation, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 110, 510 E2602-E2610, 10.1073/pnas.1302251110, 2013.

Leitold, V., Morton, D. C., Longo, M., dos-Santos, M. N., Keller, M., and Scaranello, M.: El Niño drought increased canopy turnover in Amazon forests, New Phytol, 219, 959-971, 10.1111/nph.15110, 2018.

 Li, G., Lu, D., Moran, E., Calvi, M. F., Dutra, L. V., and Batistella, M.: Examining deforestation and agropasture dynamics along the Brazilian TransAmazon Highway using multitemporal Landsat imagery, Gisci Remote Sens, 56, 161-183, 10.1080/15481603.2018.1497438, 2019.

Lovejoy, T. E. and Nobre, C.: Amazon tipping point: Last chance for action, Sci Adv, 5, ARTN eaba2949,10.1126/sciadv.aba2949, 2019.

Lund, H. G.: Definitions of Forest, Deforestation, Aorestation, and Reforestation (Forest Information Services), 2014.

Markus, T., Neumann, T., Martino, A., Abdalati, W., Brunt, K., Csatho, B., Farrell, S., Fricker, H., Gardner, A., Harding, D., Jasinski, M., Kwok, R., Magruder, L., Lubin, D., Luthcke, S., Morison, J., Nelson, R., Neuenschwander, A., Palm, S., Popescu, S., Shum, C. K., Schutz, S20
 B. E., Smith, B., Yang, Y., and Zwally, J.: The Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2): Science requirements, concept, and implementation, Remote Sens Environ, 190, 260-273, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.12.029</u>, 2017.

Matricardi, E. A. T., Skole, D. L., Costa, O. B., Pedlowski, M. A., Samek, J. H., and Miguel, E. P.: Long-term forest degradation surpasses deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon, Science, 369, 1378-1382, 10.1126/science.abb3021, 2020.

Mitchard, E. T. A.: The tropical forest carbon cycle and climate change, Nature, 559, 527-534, 10.1038/s41586-018-0300-2, 2018.

525 Nepstad, D., McGrath, D., Stickler, C., Alencar, A., Azevedo, A., Swette, B., Bezerra, T., DiGiano, M., Shimada, J., da Motta, R. S., Armijo, E., Castello, L., Brando, P., Hansen, M. C., McGrath-Horn, M., Carvalho, O., and Hess, L.: Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions in beef and soy supply chains, Science, 344, 1118-1123, 10.1126/science.1248525, 2014.

Ochoa-Quintero, J. M., Gardner, T. A., Rosa, I., Ferraz, S. F. D., and Sutherland, W. J.: Thresholds of species loss in Amazonian deforestation frontier landscapes, Conserv Biol, 29, 440-451, 10.1111/cobi.12446, 2015.

530 Olofsson, P., Stehman, S. V., Woodcock, C. E., Sulla-Menashe, D., Sibley, A. M., Newell, J. D., Friedl, M. A., and Herold, M.: A global land-cover validation data set, part I: fundamental design principles, Int J Remote Sens, 33, 5768-5788, 10.1080/01431161.2012.674230, 2012.

Qin, Y. and Xiao, X.: Codes for forest and evergreen forest mapping in the Brazilian Amazon, figshare [code], 10.6084/m9.figshare.21445626.v1, 2022a.

535 Qin, Y. and Xiao, X.: Annual PALSAR/MODIS forest and evergreen forest maps in the Brazilian Amazon, figshare [dataset], 10.6084/m9.figshare.21445590.v1, 2022b.

Qin, Y., Xiao, X., Dong, J., Zhang, G., Roy, P. S., Joshi, P. K., Gilani, H., Murthy, M. S., Jin, C., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Chen, B., Menarguez, M. A., Biradar, C. M., Bajgain, R., Li, X., Dai, S., Hou, Y., Xin, F., and Moore, B., 3rd: Mapping forests in monsoon Asia with ALOS PALSAR 50-m mosaic images and MODIS imagery in 2010, Sci Rep, 6, 20880, 10.1038/srep20880, 2016a.

540 Qin, Y. W., Xiao, X. M., Dong, J. W., Zhang, G. L., Shimada, M., Liu, J. Y., Li, C. G., Kou, W. L., and Moore, B.: Forest cover maps of China in 2010 from multiple approaches and data sources: PALSAR, Landsat, MODIS, FRA, and NFI, Isprs Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 109, 1-16, 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.08.010, 2015.

Qin, Y. W., Xiao, X. M., Dong, J. W., Zhou, Y. T., Wang, J., Doughty, R. B., Chen, Y., Zou, Z. H., and Moore, B.: Annual dynamics of forest areas in South America during 2007-2010 at 50m spatial resolution, Remote Sens Environ, 201, 73-87, 10.1016/j.rse.2017.09.005, 2017.

Formatted: Font	: (Default)	+Headings (Time	s New Roman)
Formatted: Font	: (Default)	+Headings (Time	s New Roman)

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
Deleted: , last
Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman) Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman) Qin, Y. W., Xiao, X. M., Dong, J. W., Zhang, Y., Wu, X. C., Shimabukuro, Y., Arai, E., Biradar, C., Wang, J., Zou, Z. H., Liu, F., Shi, Z., Doughty, R., and Moore, B.: Improved estimates of forest cover and loss in the Brazilian Amazon in 2000-2017, Nature Sustainability, 2, 764-772, 10.1038/s41893-019-0336-9, 2019.

Qin, Y. W., Xiao, X. M., Wigneron, J. P., Ciais, P., Brandt, M., Fan, L., Li, X. J., Crowell, S., Wu, X. C., Doughty, R., Zhang, Y., Liu, F., Sitch, S., and Moore, B.: Carbon loss from forest degradation exceeds that from deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon, Nat Clim Change, 11, 442-448, 10.1038/s41558-021-01026-5, 2021.

 Qin, Y. W., Xiao, X. M., Dong, J. W., Zhang, G. L., Roy, P. S., Joshi, P. K., Gilani, H., Murthy, M. S. R., Jin, C., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Chen,
 B. Q., Menarguez, M. A., Biradar, C. M., Bajgain, R., Li, X. P., Dai, S. Q., Hou, Y., Xin, F. F., and Moore, B.: Mapping forests in monsoon Asia with ALOS PALSAR 50-m mosaic images and MODIS imagery in 2010, Sci Rep-Uk, 6, 10.1038/srep20880, 2016b.

Reiche, J., Lucas, R., Mitchell, A. L., Verbesselt, J., Hoekman, D. H., Haarpaintner, J., Kellndorfer, J. M., Rosenqvist, A., Lehmann, E. A., Woodcock, C. E., Seifert, F. M., and Herold, M.: Combining satellite data for better tropical forest monitoring, Nature Clim. Change, 6, 120-122, 10.1038/nclimate2919, 2016.

560 Saatchi, S. S., Harris, N. L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E. T. A., Salas, W., Zutta, B. R., Buermann, W., Lewis, S. L., Hagen, S., Petrova, S., White, L., Silman, M., and Morel, A.: Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 108, 9899-9904, DOI 10.1073/pnas.1019576108, 2011.

Sexton, J. O., Noojipady, P., Song, X.-P., Feng, M., Song, D.-X., Kim, D.-H., Anand, A., Huang, C., Channan, S., Pimm, S. L., and Townshend, J. R.: Conservation policy and the measurement of forests, Nat Clim Change, 6, 192-196, 10.1038/nclimate2816, 2015.

565 Shimada, M., Isoguchi, O., Tadono, T., and Isono, K.: PALSAR Radiometric and Geometric Calibration, Ieee T Geosci Remote, 47, 3915-3932, Doi 10.1109/Tgrs.2009.2023909, 2009.

Shimada, M., Itoh, T., Motooka, T., Watanabe, M., Shiraishi, T., Thapa, R., and Lucas, R.: New global forest/non-forest maps from ALOS PALSAR data (2007-2010), Remote Sens Environ, 155, 13-31, 10.1016/j.rse.2014.04.014, 2014.

Skole, D. and Tucker, C.: Tropical Deforestation and Habitat Fragmentation in the Amazon - Satellite Data from 1978 to 1988, Science, 260, 570 1905-1910, DOI 10.1126/science.260.5116.1905, 1993.

Sonter, L. J., Herrera, D., Barrett, D. J., Galford, G. L., Moran, C. J., and Soares-Filho, B. S.: Mining drives extensive deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon, Nature Communications, 8, 1013, 10.1038/s41467-017-00557-w, 2017.

Souza, C. M., Z. Shimbo, J., Rosa, M. R., Parente, L. L., A. Alencar, A., Rudorff, B. F. T., Hasenack, H., Matsumoto, M., G. Ferreira, L., Souza-Filho, P. W. M., de Oliveira, S. W., Rocha, W. F., Fonseca, A. V., Marques, C. B., Diniz, C. G., Costa, D., Monteiro, D., Rosa, E. R.,

575 Vélez-Martin, E., Weber, E. J., Lenti, F. E. B., Paternost, F. F., Pareyn, F. G. C., Siqueira, J. V., Viera, J. L., Neto, L. C. F., Saraiva, M. M., Sales, M. H., Salgado, M. P. G., Vasconcelos, R., Galano, S., Mesquita, V. V., and Azevedo, T.: Reconstructing Three Decades of Land Use and Land Cover Changes in Brazilian Biomes with Landsat Archive and Earth Engine, Remote Sens-Basel, 12, 2735, 2020.

Stehman, S. V., Olofsson, P., Woodcock, C. E., Herold, M., and Friedl, M. A.: A global land-cover validation data set, II: augmenting a stratified sampling design to estimate accuracy by region and land-cover class, Int J Remote Sens, 33, 6975-6993, 10.1080/01431161.2012.695092, 2012.

Tang, H., Armston, J., Hancock, S., Marselis, S., Goetz, S., and Dubayah, R.: Characterizing global forest canopy cover distribution using spaceborne lidar, Remote Sens Environ, 231, 111262, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111262</u>, 2019a.

Tang, H., Song, X.-P., Zhao, F. A., Strahler, A. H., Schaaf, C. L., Goetz, S., Huang, C., Hansen, M. C., and Dubayah, R.: Definition and measurement of tree cover: A comparative analysis of field-, lidar- and landsat-based tree cover estimations in the Sierra national forests,
 USA, Agr Forest Meteorol, 268, 258-268, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.024</u>, 2019b.

Thapa, R. B., Itoh, T., Shimada, M., Watanabe, M., Takeshi, M., and Shiraishi, T.: Evaluation of ALOS PALSAR sensitivity for characterizing natural forest cover in wider tropical areas, Remote Sens Environ, 155, 32-41, DOI 10.1016/j.rse.2013.04.025, 2014.

Tyukavina, A., Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Stehman, S. V., Smith-Rodriguez, K., Okpa, C., and Aguilar, R.: Types and rates of forest disturbance in Brazilian Legal Amazon, 2000-2013, Sci Adv, 3, e1601047, 10.1126/sciadv.1601047, 2017.

590 Woodcock, C. E., Allen, R., Anderson, M., Belward, A., Bindschadler, R., Cohen, W., Gao, F., Goward, S. N., Helder, D., Helmer, E., Nemani, R., Oreopoulos, L., Schott, J., Thenkabail, P. S., Vermote, E. F., Vogelmann, J., Wulder, M. A., Wynne, R., and Team, L. S.: Free access to Landsat imagery, Science, 320, 1011-1011, 2008.

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)
Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Times New Roman)

Deleted: UNFAO: Expert Meeting on Harmonizing Forest-Related Definitions for Use by Various Stakeholders, 2002.¶ 595 Xiao, X., Dorovskoy, P., Biradar, C., and Bridge, E.: A library of georeferenced photos from the field, Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 92, 453-454, 10.1029/2011eo490002, 2011.

Xiao, X., Boles, S., Frolking, S., Salas, W., Moore, B., Li, C., He, L., and Zhao, R.: Observation of flooding and rice transplanting of paddy rice fields at the site to landscape scales in China using VEGETATION sensor data, Int J Remote Sens, 23, 3009-3022, 10.1080/01431160110107734, 2002.

600 Xiao, X. M., Biradar, C. M., Czarnecki, C., Alabi, T., and Keller, M.: A Simple Algorithm for Large-Scale Mapping of Evergreen Forests
Formatted: Justified, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 0 pt in Tropical America, Africa and Asia, Remote Sens-Basel, 1, 355-374, Doi 10.3390/Rs1030355, 2009.