Geomorphological landslide inventory map of the Daunia Apennines,

2 southern Italy.

- Francesca Ardizzone¹, Francesco Bucci¹, Mauro Cardinali^{1*}, Federica Fiorucci¹, Luca Pisano², Michele
 Santangelo¹, Veronica Zumpano²
- ⁵ ¹CNR IRPI, Via della Madonna Alta 126, 06128, Perugia, Italy
- 6 ²CNR IRPI, Via Amendola 122, 70126, Bari, Italy
- 7 *Correspondence to: Mauro Cardinali (mauro.cardinali@irpi.cnr.it)

8 Keywords

9 Landslide inventory map, geomorphology, landslides, Daunia, expert mapping, Southern Italy

10 Abstract

11 Detailed and accurate geomorphological historical landslide inventory maps are an invaluable source of information for many 12 research topics and applications. Their systematic preparation worldwide has been advised by many researchers as it may 13 foster our knowledge on landslides, their spatial and temporal distribution, their potential interaction with the built 14 environment, their contribution to landscape dynamics, their response to climate change in the past. Due to the extreme 15 variability of the morphological and radiometric elements that can reveal historical landslides, geomorphological historical 16 landslide inventory maps are produced by expert interpretation, which makes it a time consuming and expensive process, 17 which often discourages wide area mapping activities. In this paper we present a new geomorphological historical landslide 18 inventory map for a 1,460 km² area in the Daunia Apennines, the northern-western sector of the Apulia (Puglia) region, in 19 Southern Italy. The inventory contains 17,437 landslides classified according to relative age, type of movement and estimated 20 depth. Landslides were mapped according to rigorous and reproducible criteria applied by two teams of expert photo-21 interpreters to two sets of stereoscopic aerial photographs taken in 1954/55 and 2003. The dataset consists of a digital archive 22 publicly available at https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.942427 (Cardinali et al., 2022).

23 1 Introduction

Landslides are widespread natural hazards that occur worldwide posing threat to population, structures and infrastructures, causing relevant economic damage to society (Donnini et al., 2017; Froude and Petley, 2018; Petley, 2012). Availability of information on landslides locations, types, and sizes is a key basic information for many activities, from research to emergency support and land planning. Nevertheless, expert landslide mapping is not an investment priority in many countries and institutions, hence homogeneous wide scale landslide maps are rare (Guzzetti et al., 2012; Bucci et al., 2021), although the

- availability of a landslide inventory map is fundamental to the production of landslide hazard and risk maps (Thiery et al.,
 2020). As a result, several applications spanning from land management to susceptibility modelling that would benefit from
 more homogeneous and complete datasets rely on often poor or incomplete/inconsistent data.
- 32 Any landslide mapping activity assumes (i) that landslides leave discernible features on the territory, i.e., a "landslide 33 signature" made by radiometric and morphologic elements (Fiorucci et al., 2018; Guzzetti et al., 2012; Santangelo et al., 2022), 34 (ii) that, in case of use of remote sensing, the data used (e.g. images) portray them, (iii) that the technique adopted is adequate 35 to the data used, and (iv) that the operator (or the automatic or semi-automatic classification system) is able to exploit those 36 features to detect and map landslides. Therefore, any landslide mapping activity is affected by a degree of incompleteness and 37 inconsistency that stems from these basic assumptions. Landslides can remain unnoticed since (i) features were cancelled by 38 erosion, other landslides and/or human activity (Malamud et al., 2004), (ii) the type of image or its spectral and spatial 39 resolution may be inadequate for some types or sizes of landslides or for some locations (e.g. slope orientation), (iii) interpreters 40 or (semi-)automatic systems may be unable to map some landslides using certain images or techniques (e.g. lack of experience, 41 poor or incorrect system training).
- 42

Landslide inventory maps (LIMs) are the simplest tools to represent landslides distribution in a territory. They usually display landslide locations preferably as polygons rather than points or lines which should be used only for scale representation issues. LIMs usually store landslide attributes such as type of movement, estimated time of occurrence, estimated depth, activity at the date of the observation if available, and other more detailed data depending on availability and on the scale of the work (Bucci et al., 2021; Guzzetti et al., 2012; Santangelo et al., 2015).

- 48 Different types of inventories can be prepared. Event inventories (E-LIMs, Antonini et al., 2002; Ardizzone et al., 2012; 49 Donnini et al., 2017; Fiorucci et al., 2017; Harp and Jibson, 2017; Mondini et al., 2012; Santangelo et al., 2022) report 50 landslides that were triggered by specific events (i.e., rainfall, rapid snowmelt, volcanic eruption, earthquake). 51 Geomorphological inventories (G-LIMs) report landslides that can be recognised by geomorphologists usually from the expert 52 interpretation of stereoscopic aerial photographs (Bucci et al., 2021; Cardinali et al., 2001; Guzzetti et al., 2012; Santangelo et 53 al., 2015) but also LiDAR derived images are widely used (Niculită et al., 2016; Razak et al., 2013; Schulz, 2004; Van Den 54 Eeckhaut et al., 2007). They can be seen as the result of many landslide events over thousands of years (Malamud et al., 2004). 55 Multi-temporal landslide inventories (M-LIMs) include the information of G-LIMs and also report landslides that occurred in 56 the last tens of years as visible on historical images available at (possibly) regular time steps of several years (Galli et al., 2008; 57 Guzzetti et al., 2012; Zumpano et al., 2020).
- G-LIMs can be prepared for wide areas and provide a fundamental source of information about landslides that occurred in the last tens of thousands years. This particular type of inventory is an invaluable source of data but is time consuming and requires a high level of training and experience to get accurate and reliable results (Guzzetti et al., 2012), which usually hampers the systematic preparation of G-LIMs at regional or even national/continent scale.
- 62

63 This paper presents a new G-LIM prepared for the Daunia mountains in Puglia Region, Southern Italy. For this area, 64 historically affected by diffuse slow moving large landslides, the Regional government required the preparation of a new 65 landslide inventory to overcome the inhomogeneity among the existing inventories in terms of spatial distribution of landslides 66 and of techniques adopted and working and publication scales. The landslide inventory map was produced through the 67 interpretation of two sets of stereoscopic aerial photographs, taken in 1954/55 and 2003, supplemented by targeted field checks. 68 The new landslide is entirely original dataset openly accessible inventory an at 69 https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.942427 (Cardinali et al., 2022).

70 2 Study area

The study area extends for 1,460 km², in the northern-western sector of the Apulia (Puglia in Italian) region (Southern Italy) (**Figure 1**). The area corresponds to the Daunia Apennine, located in the external (i.e. eastern) part of the Southern Apennine fold and thrust belt system.

The southern Apennine is the result of compressive tectonic dynamics, characterised by an oblique collision of the Calabrian forearc with the Apulian margin (Filice and Seeber, 2019) evolved from an antecedent subductive phase of thrust-emplacement (Vitale et al., 2011; Vitale and Ciarcia, 2013). Currently, the external (eastern) margin preserves the compressive tectonics while the internal sector is characterised by an extensive dynamic with tension faults that dissect the pre-existent fold and thrust structure (Brozzetti et al., 2009; Schiattarella et al., 2003).

79 The western sector of the Daunia Apennine is characterised by a wide variety of formations, mainly clay-rich flysch lithologies 80 having different mechanical properties, highly affected by folds and faults (Cotecchia et al., 2020; Losacco et al., 2021; 81 Pellicani et al., 2014b), resulting in medium-to-high relief with the elevations ranging between 55 and 1152 m a.s.l., where the 82 highest peak is represented by Monte Cornacchia. Morphologically, it is strongly influenced by both the prolonged tectonic 83 stresses and by the differences in composition and erodibility, where selective erosion has alternatively produced gentler and 84 rounded slopes on the more erodible clayey formations, and steep slopes cut on harder rocks. In this sector, the orography 85 associated with the widespread presence of clay-rich materials and the intense deformation is the main cause of landslides 86 (Ciarcia et al., 2003; Losacco et al., 2021; Spalluto et al., 2021; Wasowski et al., 2010; Zumpano et al., 2020). The eastern 87 sector is characterised by the Sub-Apennine clay formation and alluvial deposits, and by gentle slopes slightly dipping towards 88 NE. In this sector, several orders of terraced fluvial deposits overlay the sub-Apennine clay formation. Here, mass movements 89 are mainly concentrated along the scarps of the terraces.

90 The Daunia Apennine is influenced by a Mediterranean sub-humid climate with mild and often wet winters and usually hot 91 and dry summers. In general, inter-annual temperature variations are significant and the total yearly precipitation seldom 92 exceeds 1000 mm (Wasowski et al., 2012, 2010). In the area, torrential streams flow NE-SW, draining the sediments from the

93 Daunia Mountains, through the Tavoliere Plain towards the Adriatic Sea.

In response to the litho-structural and morpho-climatic setting of this area, landslides are widespread. They are mostly slow moving slide-type and flow-type movements, involving soil and earth, and secondarily rock material. Rapid moving (i.e. debris flows) and fast moving (i.e. rock falls) landslides are less abundant (Wasowski et al., 2010, 2012; di Lernia et al., 2022; Zumpano et al., 2020). The widespread presence of landslides in the area is a major cause of damage to urban settlements, to the road network, and to the stability of buildings. These features determine a direct effect on the development and the economy of the area (Pellicani et al., 2014b, a; Zumpano et al., 2020).



100

101 Figure 1 - Location map of the study area. Base map: DTM at 8 m resolution made available by the Regione Puglia 102 administration.

104 **3 Materials and methods**

The procedure adopted to prepare the landslide inventory map is illustrated in **Figure 2**. The overall procedure consists in three main phases: (i) data collection; (ii) photo-interpretation; and, (iii) editing. The entire work was carried out by a "mapping team" made up by four interpreters and one supervisor, and an "editing team" made by three GIS editors.

108 The first stage of the work consisted in the collection of data available for the study area (i.e., ancillary data, Figure 2; complete 109 list in the Appendix A) and the pre-processing of the aerial-photographs to produce oriented stereo-models in absolute 110 coordinates to be used for the visual interpretation in a photogrammetric GIS environment. Such photogrammetric pre-111 processing included the interior and exterior orientation of each pair of aerial photographs. For the interior orientation, a non-112 metric camera model was adopted for which the focal length of the camera, the flight altitude and the pixel size were required, 113 while for the representation of the ground-to-image geometry (exterior orientation) Ground Control Points (GCPs) were used. 114 The planimetric coordinates (x, y) of GCPs were manually chosen by visual comparison of the aerial photographs and an 115 orthophoto available taken in 2006 (at 1 m resolution available for download at http://www.sit.puglia.it/) and 1988 (at 1 m resolution, available as WMS service at http://www.pcn.minambiente.it/mattm/servizio-wms/). The altimetric coordinate (z) 116 117 of the GCPs were obtained from a Digital Elevation Model (8 m resolution available for download at http://www.sit.puglia.it/). 118 Stereo models were prepared for both aerial photographs epochs, 1954/55 and 2003, i.e. the oldest and the latest aerial 119 photographs acquisitions available for the entire study area.

120 The second phase of the work is the photo-interpretation (Figure 2) of the aerial photographs. At the very initial stage of the 121 work, the entire mapping team defined a legend through an expeditious photo-interpretation in representative 122 geomorphological settings and according to well-established landslide classifications schemas (Cruden and Varnes, 1996, 123 Hungr et al., 2014, WP/WLI, 1993). Then, divided in pairs, for each stereo-pair the mapping team prepared a preliminary 124 interpretation $(1^{st}$ level G-LIM in **Figure 2**) that was then reviewed by the supervisor and discussed with the mapping team. 125 After this step, an updated version of the map was produced (2nd level G-LIM in **Figure 2**). Each of the two mapping team 126 pairs performed their preliminary photo-interpretation in alternating strips along the flight plan. The side-lap between 127 subsequent strips was therefore common between the different couples of interpreters, and it was used to compare, correct and 128 homogenise the interpretation made by different sub-teams independently. Such a continuous interaction among 129 geomorphologists made it possible to best define the characteristics of the identified landslides, limiting interpretation 130 inconsistencies among operators. Finally, at significant advancement steps, extensive field checks were performed by the entire 131 mapping team to check, validate and correct the inventory based on field evidence. After the field check stage, the interpretation 132 phase was concluded and the landslide mapping considered quasi-definitive, i.e., occasional changes might be needed if 133 inconsistencies were observed in the third stage (e.g., consistency with contour maps and hydrography).

The third and last step of the procedure, (editing) consists in the preparation of the geographical database. The interpreted features drawn as polylines by the mapping teams were verified on the digital topography, i.e., contour lines at scale 1: 5,000 (editing phase in **Figure 2**). The checked polylines were then converted into polygon features, and verified with topological 137 checks to avoid overlapping. Finally, the polygons were coded according to the adopted legend (legend description is available 138 in Section 4 and the database schema is described in the metadata of the digital archive available at 139 https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.942427, Cardinali et al., 2022). Landslides recognised in the study area were 140 classified by type according to Cruden and Varnes, (1996) and Hungr et al., (2014). Additionally, according to WP/WLI, 141 (1993) landslides were classified based on the estimated depth (as shallow or deep-seated), and the inferred relative age (as 142 relict, very old, old or recent). Examples and descriptions of landslides of different type, relative age, and depth, as well as of 143 other geomorphological elements are detailed in Section 4. A more detailed explanation of the criteria used for the definition 144 of the legend was given by Bucci et al., (2021).

145

146 **3.1 Available data**

The Geomorphological landslide inventory map (G-LIM) of Daunia Sub-Apennines was prepared through systematic visual interpretation of a set of 270 b/w stereoscopic aerial photographs taken in 1954/55 at 1: 33,000 scale and of 384 b/w stereoscopic aerial photographs taken in 2003 at 1: 30,000 scale. Aerial photographs were provided as 800 dpi scanned copies. All the ancillary data used for interpretation are listed and described in detail in Appendix A.

151 **3.2 Hardware and software**

152 For the interpretation phase we used the digital stereoscopic vision 3D PluraView System, composed of two monitors for the 153 stereoscopic vision of digital images and a 45° inclined mirror placed on the bisector of the two monitors equipped with passive 154 3D glasses, (https://www.3d-pluraview.com/en/). A dedicated computer, equipped with IMAGINE Photogrammetry software 155 (https://www.hexagongeospatial.com/products/power-portfolio/imagine-photogrammetry) was used for the pre-processing of 156 digital stereoscopic images, and ArcGis software with the 3D Analyst extension tool, was used to digitise the 3D data in a GIS 157 environment (Ardizzone et al., 2013; Fiorucci et al., 2015). The spatial resolution of the images (~1m for 1954/55 epoch, 158 ~0.9m for 2003 images) and the zoom capability of the 3D PluraView System allow the identification of very small features. 159 The stereo pairs were investigated using a zoom level at scale 1: 2500 to obtain mapped features compatible with a publication 160 scale of 1: 5000. The capabilities of ERDAS IMAGINE ™ Photogrammetry Suite and ArcGIS ™ Stereo Analyst of preparing, 161 managing and viewing multiple sets of oriented images all having the same reference system allowed the fast comparison of 162 landscape features in different epochs, improving the interpretation.



Figure 2. Flowchart of the procedure to prepare the geomorphological landslide inventory map. Pink rectangles indicate contributions of different teams/operators.

164 **4 Geomorphological Landslide Inventory Map.**

The inventory covers an area of 1,460 km² and include 17,347 landslides (**Figure 3**), corresponding to an average density of about 15.6 landslides per square kilometre (**Table 1**) if lowlands plains are excluded. Locally, landslide density reaches 60 landslides per square kilometre. Landslide size (Landslide Area, A_L in m²) is in the range $1.9 \times 10^1 < A_L < 6.8 \times 10^6$ (**Table 1**). Overall, landslides cover an area of 442 km², which represents the 39% of the hilly and mountainous portion of the study area.

In this section the different groups of elements composing the inventory will be analytically described. Sections 4.1 to 4.3 describe landslides classified according to their relative age, type classification and estimated depth. Section 4.4 describes the widespread landslides (i.e. landslides represented as groups of failures due to their small size and high spatial frequency). Section 4.5 describes the descriptive statistics of the landslide inventory, and Section 4.6 presents the geomorphological elements (i.e. elements that are considered in relation with slope evolution and that can provide useful information for landslide identification and mapping).

- 176
- 177
- 178
- 179

	Number of features	Total Area [m ²]	Minimum area [m ²]	Maximum area [m ²]
Very old relict landslides	37	8.85×10^{7}	1.38×10 ⁵	6.78×10^{6}
Very old landslides	120	8.75×10^{7}	5.82×10^{4}	2.86×10^{6}
Pre-2003 landslides	14,793	3.39×10 ⁸	7.1×10^{1}	2.3×10^{6}
Recent landslides (2003)	2,049	5.01×10^{6}	1.89×10^{1}	1.63×10 ⁵
Widespread landslides	348	1.13×10^{7}	3.78×10^{2}	3.54×10^{5}
Entire inventory	17,347	4.421.89×10 ⁸	1.89×10^{1}	6.77×10^{6}

180 **Table 1**. Descriptive statistics of landslides by relative age.

182 **4.1 Landslide by relative age**

Based on their appearance in aerial photographs, landslides were classified according to their relative age, namely: (i) very old relict landslides, (ii) very old landslides, (iii) old landslides, and (iv) recent landslides (**Figure 3**). The four relative age levels are the result of a major subdivision, referring to the general morphologic appearance of landslides (Keaton and DeGraff, landslides), and are based on the assumption that evidence of landslides become less obvious with the increasing age, due, for example, to erosion processes, vegetation growth, and occurrence of other landslides. Hence, older landslides are more difficult to detect than more recent ones.

Landslides modifications within the two epochs (1954 and 2003) were negligible for very old relict and very old landslides, whereas they were more frequent for old landslides (i.e. pre-2003). Therefore, the final landslide delineation considers by

191 definition the latest evidence within the 49-year time interval.

In our G-LIM, the most represented landslides in the study area are old landslides (**Table 1, Figure 3C**), corresponding to 14,793 landslides covering a total landslide area of 339 km². Recent landslides (**Figure 3D**) are also very numerous (2,049) They are generally small, and cover a total area of 5 km². Very old relict and very old landslides (**Figure 3A, B**) are represented by 37 and 120 landslides respectively, and cover an area of 88 and 87 km². The feature of being fewer and larger than more recent landslides is common to other geomorphological inventories (Bucci et al., 2021, 2016a; Santangelo et al., 2015). The spatial relationships between landslides belonging to the relative age groups are displayed in **Figure 4**, where a representative example of the landslide relative age pattern for the entire inventory is shown.



Figure 3. Spatial distribution of (A) very old relict landslides, (B) very old landslides, (C) old landslides and (D) recent landslides.

Figure 4B-E shows the inventory disaggregated according to the four relative age classes recognised. The sequence of the four panels represents a timeline, where landslides of each time step are shown with their own colour, the same as Figure 4A, whereas landslides belonging to antecedent time steps are represented in white.

204

In addition to the four mentioned relative age classes, a generation principle based on crosscut relationships, defines minor subdivisions within each age class: younger landslides cover the older ones. For the overall inventory, this criterion allowed us to detect up to: i) two landslides generations within the relict landslides, ii) two generations within the very old landslides, iii) four generations within the old landslides, iv) two generations within the recent landslides. Such minor subdivisions are applicable only to landslides that overlap, i.e. it is not applicable for landslides that do not overlap. For instance, in **Figure 4D** the age class "old" records up to three overlapping classes, indicated respectively in light orange (first failure "old"), orange (second failure "old") and red (third failure "old").



Figure 4 - Example of the relative age classes in the area of the Pietramontecorvino municipality (see **Figure 1** for reference). (A) Detail of the inventory in the selected location. Black outline polygon indicates the area in B to I. Very old relict landslides (B), very old landslides (C), old landslides (D), and recent landslides (E) are portrayed in detail. Black outlined polygons in B to E are represented singularly in a perspective view on © GoogleEarthTM in B' to F'. In the legend, Rec: Recent landslides; O1, O2, O3: old landslides of first, second and third generation; VO1: Very old landslides of first generation; R: relict landslides; OAF: old alluvial fan; Te: terrace.

- 213
- 214

215 Landslides classified as very old relict (Figure 4B) generally affect entire slopes, where the energy of the relief is greatest. 216 They are usually controlled by the geological and lithological structure and the presence of main faults (Bucci et al., 2016a). 217 Very old relict landslides are deeply dismantled by the erosive action of watercourses and often reshaped by recurring 218 gravitational phenomena. These morphological modifications are often related to the regional morphological and tectonic 219 evolution, which has determined considerable base level variations in the study area. As a consequence, these landslides are 220 often suspended with respect to the present day base level, and totally or partially isolated from the recent evolution of the 221 drainage network. Therefore, very old relict landslides are considered to have occurred under geomorphological and climatic 222 conditions different from the present day (WP/WLI, 1993).

Landslides classified as very old (**Figure 4C**) show evidence of active and passive interaction with river dynamics, being often partly eroded from them and locally modifying their path. Also, morphological modifications of very old landslides can be induced by the occurrence of other mass movements over time. Very old landslides are generally large and in agreement with the present day river network, they are mainly distributed where the local relief is high and they often occur in or near very old relict landslides, as local reactivations. Landslides classified as old (Figure 4D) present recognizable evidence on both 1954/55 and 2003 aerial photographs epochs.

These landslides generally present morphological characteristics typical of landslides which are not modified by erosion (e.g., concave-convex shape of the slope, presence of steps and back slopes in the deposit area). Old landslides tend to cluster spatially, as it is evident from the several generations of mass movements that were recognised. Spatial clustering of old landslides is even more evident in the vicinity of very old or very old relict landslides. Old landslides are hypothesised to have occurred in more recent time (yet undefined) compared to very old and very old relict landslides.

Landslides classified as recent (**Figure 4E**) are represented by landslides that show evidence of having occurred close to the date of the 2003 aerial image. The diagnostic elements for the identification of recent landslides refer not only to the morphological evidence but above all to the spectral elements (photographic tone and contrast) which can be perceived even with a bi-dimensional (2D) view of the images. Recent landslides are mainly shallow failures essentially involving the soil horizons or the alteration of the debris cover for a few metres in depth. Their spatial distribution is ubiquitous and only limited to the areas where the triggering event induced the landslides. Therefore, they were found both in previously unaffected slopes or within pre-existing landslides.

241 As opposed to the recent landslides, which evidence is stronger, some uncertainty characterises the delineation of older 242 landslide borders, which boundaries can be affected or even dismantled by different degrees of erosion, or covered by more 243 recent slope failures. General considerations on relative age criteria of classification (Keaton and DeGraff, 1996) support our 244 own experimental observations on landslide morphological appearance that the uncertainty degrees in landslide mapping 245 increase with the increasing landslide age (Bucci et al., 2021). However, we do not have information on absolute age of the 246 landslides in our study area, and only hypothesise that the majority of the mapped landslides occurred in the last 10–20 Kyr, 247 as suggested by findings of recent studies on landslide age in Southern Apennine (Gioia et al., 2011) and elsewhere (Niculită 248 et al., 2016; Pánek et al., 2014).

249 **4.2 Landslide by type**

The type of the landslides is assigned based on the morphological and radiometric signature of each landslide on the aerial photographs. Landslide types included in the legend of the G-LIM are (i) slide type landslides (which include deep seated rock slides or earth slides, shallow soil slides or earth slides), (ii) earth flow, (iii) slide-earth flow, (iv) debris flow, (v) rock fall and (vi) sackung (**Table 2**). In **Table 2**, widespread landslides refer to polygons representing groups of landslides whose size is smaller than the smallest feature that can be represented at the publication scale of the final map.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of landslides by type.

Landslide type	Number of features	Total Area [m ²]	Minimum area [m²]	Maximum area [m²]
Slide type	9,867	2.9×10 ⁸	1.9×10^{1}	6.8×10^{6}
Earth flow	2,435	4.6×10^{7}	3.6×10 ¹	1.3×10^{7}

Slide-earth flow	4,657	1.6×10^{8}	8.8×10^{1}	2.9×10^{6}
Debris flow	38	6.5×10 ⁵	1.4×10^{2}	1.4×10^{5}
Rock fall	1	6.0×10^4	6.0×10^4	6.0×10^4
Sackung	1	5.0×10 ⁵	5.0×10^{5}	5.0×10 ⁵
Total	16,999	4.3×10 ⁸	1.9×10^{1}	1.3×10^{7}
Widespread landslide	Number of features	Total Area [m²]	Minimum area [m²]	Maximum area [m²]
Shallow earth/soil slides and earth flows	138	7.1×10 ⁶	5.2×10^{2}	3.5×10 ⁵
Debris flow	193	4.1×10^{6}	3.8×10^{2}	1.4×10^{5}
Rock fall	17	1.3×10 ⁵	5.2×10^{2}	2.4×10^{4}
Total	348	1.1×10^{7}	3.8×10^{2}	3.5×10 ⁵

According to the type of movement, the most represented landslides in the study area are slides (9,867 landslides, **Figure 5A**) covering a total landslide area of 287 km². The 4,657 slide-earth flows (**Figure 5B**) cover an area of 158 km², whereas earth flows are represented by 2435 landslides (**Figure 5C**) covering an area of 46 km². **Table 2** reveals that these three classes represent more than 95% of the total landslides, while debris flows (38), rock falls (1) and sackungs (1) are rare.

262

The main features of the three more represented landslide types are illustrated in **Figure 6**. Inspection of the figure highlights the main cartographic differences (**Figure 6A, C, E**) between different landslide types (**Figure 6B, D, F**).

Figures 6A, B illustrates the slide type landslides. Such failures present a well-defined scarp which can be semi-circular (rotational slides) or angular (translational slides). The slide deposit is convex, with a morphologically depressed head of the deposit, characterised by a centripetal drainage and local counter-slopes, and a toe characterised by a typical upward bulge (**Figure 6B**). It is reasonable to expect a volume balancing between escarpment and deposit areas since slides are usually characterised by low mobility of landslide material. This is clear in **Figure 6A, B** that shows a planimetric and altimetric shift of about 25 metres of the displaced material, with no evidence of chaotic rearrangement and/or volumetric changes.

Slide-earth flows (**Figure 6C, D**) initiate as slides, then evolving into flows. Therefore, they show the characteristics of slides (most commonly rotational) in the escarpment area and in the head of the deposit, where local endorheic conditions can promote seasonal swamps with diffuse organic soils development (**Figure 6C, D**). On the other hand, the transport zone and accumulation zone are more similar to earth flows, characterised by the typical lobe shape of the accumulation zone (**Figure**

275 **6C, D**).



Figure 5. Spatial distribution of (A) slide type landslides, (B) slide-earth flows, (C) earth flows, (D) widespread landslides.

276

Earth flows (**Figure 6E, F**) are characterised by an overall elongated planar shape, with the median part narrower than detachment and accumulation zones. The mobility of earth flows is generally higher than slides, which is also evident in their more elongated shape. A typical earth flow is usually bounded by lateral streams draining the deposits into the main river valley, which typically experiences narrowing of the valley section and erosion on the opposite side of the landslide toe (**Figure 6F**)

Debris flows and rock falls are not statistically represented in our inventory because the Daunia Apennine lacks the environmental conditions favouring their development, such as steep rocky slopes and sub-vertical cliffs. However, local geomorphological conditions (e.g. steep edges of suspended fluvial terraces) can promote the formation of coalescing phenomena of small debris flows and small rock falls, which cannot be mapped individually but were included within areas with widespread landslides (**Figure 5D**, Section 4.4). Finally, sackungs are poorly represented in our study area.



Figure 6. Examples in map (left column) and on \bigcirc GoogleEarthTM. images (right column) of slide type failures (A,B), slide-earthflow landslides (C,D), earthflows (E,F). For each row, black outlined polygons and camera symbols in the left image identify the landslide shown in the corresponding image on the right and the point of view, respectively.

288 **4.3 Landslide estimated depth**

The estimated depth of a landslide is assigned based on the main morphological characteristics of the landslide, such as: slope height, and extent of curvatures along the slope (convexity and concavity). Based on these morphological characteristics, landslides were classified as deep-seated or shallow.

Deep-seated landslides are predominantly represented by failures that cover considerable extents, even exceeding one square kilometre. Many of the deep-seated landslides can be classified as very old relict, very old and old. They often involve considerable volumes of material, and can alter the local morphology and geological structure. In the inventory map, deepseated landslides are represented with two polygons, distinguishing the deposit area from the scarp area.

Shallow landslides are generally small ($\sim 10^4 \text{ m}^2$) and mainly represented by slide type and flow type landslides. They are generally characterised by a scarp not very pronounced, with an estimated height of less than 2 metres, and by a deposit without

- 298 evident concavities and convexities on its surface. In the inventory, shallow landslides are mapped as single polygons including
- both the scarp and the deposit area.

300 4.4 Widespread landslides

301 The areas of widespread landslides (**Table 2**, **Figure 5D**) are represented by landslides which size is smaller than the smallest 302 feature that can be represented at the publication scale of the final map. In the map we distinguished between: i) shallow 303 earth/soil slides and earth flows, ii) debris flows, iii) rock falls. Their spatial distribution is mainly related to locally steep 304 slopes, for instance in the vicinity of badlands, in the crown areas of pre-existing large landslides, or along the edges of ancient 305 suspended fluvial terraces (Figure 7). Widespread shallow slides and flows typically involve weathered clayey soils, while 306 widespread debris flows and rock falls are commonly feeded by loose debris or poorly cemented conglomerates and breccias. 307 Finally, it was not possible to assign a well-defined age to widespread landslides, although their morphological evidence 308 suggests seasonal reactivations.

309 4.5 Descriptive statistics of the G-LIM

Descriptive statistics of landslide number and size are shown in **Figure 7**. Box plots in **Figure 7A**, **B** show the distribution of landslide areas for the landslide classified based on the expected relative age (**Figure 7A**) and the type of movement (**Figure 7B**).

Inspection of the plot in **Figure 7A** confirms that slides, slide-earth flows and flows are the most represented landslides of the inventory. Among these three classes, the slide type landslides are characterised by the largest size variability, while the slide earth flows are on average slightly larger than the others. In the plot of **Figure 7A**, landslides (on the left) are separated by areas of widespread landslides (on the right) because the latter are not related to a single feature and cannot be directly compared to single landslides. This is the reason why the areas of widespread landslides are on average larger than the single 318 landslides. In particular, the areas of widespread soil slides and earth flows are larger than the others because they typically

319 involve edges of fluvial terraces characterised by strong lateral continuity.

320 The plot in Figure 7B shows the size distribution of the four relative age classes of landslides. The clear separation of the age

- 321 classes according to their median values is a statistically robust indication of the reliability of this landslide classification 322 strategy, which is underused in the landslide-oriented international literature.
- 323 Comparing Figure 7A and 7B, it is worth noting that the median area of all landslide types is around 10^5 m^2 , which corresponds
- to the median area of the old landslides group. This was expected since this is the most represented landslide age class within the inventory.
- **Figure 7C** shows landslides count (represented by a colour gradient and labels) and cumulated area (proportional to circle sizes), grouped by relative age classes and landslide type. Visual inspection of the plot reveals that relict and very old landslides are not represented by small landslides, and in particular by debris flows and rock falls, which tend to be small and easily obliterated by erosion and subsequent failures. Further evidence is that a large portion of the total landslide area is occupied by few relict and very old kilometre-scale slope failures, whereas a decreasing trend both in cumulated area and total number of landslides is evident through time for all landslide types within the old landslides age class. Such evidence suggests a size
- 332 threshold effect of previous landslides on subsequent slope movements, probably controlled by slope-scale morphological and
- hydrological perturbations induced by the occurrence of the first failure.



Figure 7. Plots summarising landslide statistics. (A) Landslides number (represented by a colour gradient and labels) and cumulated area (proportional to circle sizes), grouped by relative age classes and landslide type (SK, sackung; SEF, slide earth flow; S, slide; RF, rock fall; DF, debris flow; EF, earth flow). (B) Distribution of landslide areas within each relative age class. The relative age classes are indicated through a letter (R, relict; V, very old; and O, old), and a subscript number that indicates the generation within the age class (1–4). (C) Scatterplot showing cumulative size and count of landslides grouped by relative age in the abscissa and type in the ordinate. Landslide number is represented by a colour gradient and labels; total area is proportional to circle sizes.

335 **4.6 Geomorphological elements**

336 In the landslide inventory map, some geomorphological elements are also reported in addition to the mass movements (Figure 337 8). Such elements can be considered in relation with slope evolution and can provide useful information for landslide 338 identification and mapping. In particular, our map includes alluvial deposits, fluvial terraces, and alluvial fans. Alluvial 339 deposits are flat and always located in the lowest portions of the Tavoliere delle Puglie Plain and along the main water courses 340 draining the hilly and mountain areas of the Daunia Apennine. Older alluvial/fluvial deposits are nowadays suspended over 341 the present-day base level and are organised in fluvial terraces at different elevations, recording the ongoing deepening of the 342 drainage network during the Quaternary. Alluvial fans were recognized and mapped in two relative age classes according to 343 their appearance. They were classified as relict if dismantled and dissected due to the incision of the present-day river network. 344 They were instead classified as recent if well preserved.

345



Figure 8 - (A) Excerpt of the landslide inventory map. Landslides were colored in white for enhancing the geomorphological elements. (B) Birds Eye view of the same area as (A) prepared using the \mathbb{C} GoogleEarth TM . In the legend: te, fluvial terrace; oaf, old alluvial fan; af, alluvial fan; all, alluvial deposits; wl, widespread landslides; l, landslide.

346

347 5 Conclusion

- 348 The landslide inventory map presented in this paper is a new catalogue of landslide phenomena in the Daunia Apennine. It
- 349 provides new data uniformly distributed over the study area at an unprecedented cartographic detail.
- 350 The new landslide inventory map was produced upon request of the authorities of the Puglia Region, to solve the heterogeneity
- and limitations in the currently available landslide inventories (Pellicani et al., 2014b; Pellicani and Spilotro, 2015), mainly

due to: i) partial coverage of the territory, ii) heterogeneity in the degree of cartographic detail, iii) lack of metadata and other methodological information, iv) geospatial inconsistencies where the different products overlap.

354 The new inventory represents a significant improvement upon earlier mapping because: (i) it presents a new homogeneous 355 landslide coverage for the Daunia Apennine, (ii) it is acquired with a uniform method and based on rigorous and reproducible 356 criteria, (iii) it keeps the same cartographic detail throughout the entire area, and (iv) it presents a systematic classification of 357 landslides by type, relative age, and depth, hence providing basic information at 1: 5000 scale for landslide characterization 358 and related land management activities. In addition, the spatially distributed information on landslide coverage portrayed in 359 the map, and the detailed scale of mapping, provide the fundamental information for landslide susceptibility and hazard 360 assessment (Guzzetti et al., 2005), and for supporting and integrating the study of seismic microzonation and the assessing of 361 seismic hazard both in urban and rural areas (Vignaroli et al., 2019). In addition to the technical and land management benefits, 362 our inventory provides new data to further investigation of the Quaternary evolution of the landscape of this part of the 363 Southern Apennines.

364 Analysis of the data revealed that the great majority of landslide volume was mobilised by relict and very old landslides, 365 whereas the landslide maximum size decreased over time and tended to cluster around pre-existing failures. The results have 366 relevance to determine the statistics of landslide size (Malamud et al., 2004), and to trigger detailed studies on the types, 367 patterns, and distribution of landslides in relation to geology (Bucci et al., 2016b) structure (Bucci et al., 2013), tectonic (Bucci 368 et al., 2014) and climatic forcing (Schiattarella et al., 2017). The presented dataset also documents the relationships between 369 landslides and other geomorphological elements, in particular with ancient and recent alluvial deposits and alluvial fans. These 370 fluvial related landforms can be used as input data to study quaternary depositional (Mirabella et al., 2018) and erosional events 371 (Mancini et al., 2020), and in combination with landslide information, to study the interplay of gravitational and fluvial 372 processes (Santangelo et al., 2013).

In the G-LIM presented, the vast majority of landslides are slow-moving, whereas rapid and fast landslides are rare (debris flows and rock falls). Such evidence should be further confirmed by in-depth studies (e.g. multi-temporal inventories or susceptibility models) as it may indicate a relatively low exposure of human life compared to other mountain areas of Southern Apennines. On the other hand, it must be taken into account that the increasing frequency of extreme rainfall events may trigger rapid and fast moving landslides also in this area, differently from what was observed in the past. This aspect further shows that systematic landslide mapping to prepare new inventories and update the existing ones is crucial, particularly in the ongoing climate change scenario (Donnini et al., under review).

We acknowledge that scarcity of data represents a limitation and a challenge for the landslide community. Most regional-scale studies are less effective (or analysed) than expected due to the lack of easy sharing of landslide information in digital format.

- 382 Our work is also intended as a contribution to the broader geomorphological community, promoting the sharing of landslide
- 383 dataset at regional scale from various environments.

Finally, it is worth reminding that a landslide map, even if accurate, does not answer all questions regarding hazards or risk at any scale. A Landslide map is informative about the area covered by landslides, but nothing can be said about the remaining territory. The map dataset should be used as an indication to optimise resources and to plan investigations aimed at determining landslide hazard and risk at a larger scale. In our case, the map dataset is published at 1: 5000 scale, and should be consulted at most at the same detail level.

389

6 Authors contributions

We declare that all authors' contributions in preparing both the dataset and the manuscript are equivalent to that of a first author. This is why all authors appear in alphabetical order.

393 7 Acknowledgments

- 394 This work was supported by the Civil Protection of the Puglia region, in the framework of the project "Integrated assessment
- 395 of geo-hydrological instability phenomena in the Apulia region, interpretative models and definition of rainfall thresholds for
- 396 landslide triggering" funded by the P.O.R. Puglia 2014-2020, Asse V Azione 5.1. (Project identification number:
- 397 B82F16003840006).

398 8 Data availability

- 399 Dataset is available at: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.942427 (Cardinali et al., 2022).
- 400

401 **Competing interests**

402 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

403 **References**

- Antonini, G., Ardizzone, F., Cardinali, M., Galli, M., Guzzetti, F., and Reichenbach, P.: Surface deposits and landslide
 inventory map of the area affected by the 1997 Umbria-Marche earthquakes, Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana,
 Volume spe, 843–853, 2002.
- 408 Ardizzone, F., Basile, G., Cardinali, M., Casagli, N., Del Conte, S., Del Ventisette, C., Fiorucci, F., Garfagnoli, F., Gigli, G.,

- Guzzetti, F., Iovine, G., Mondini, A. C., Moretti, S., Panebianco, M., Raspini, F., Reichenbach, P., Rossi, M., Tanteri,
 L., and Terranova, O.: Landslide inventory map for the Briga and the Giampilieri catchments, NE Sicily, Italy, Journal
 of Maps, 8, 176–180, https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2012.694271, 2012.
- Ardizzone, F., Fiorucci, F., Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., Mondini, A. C. A. C., Rossi, M., Reichenbach, P., Guzzetti, F.,
 and Ardizzone F.: Very-High Resolution Stereoscopic Satellite Images for Landslide Mapping, in: Landslide Inventory
 and Susceptibility and Hazard Zoning, Berlin, Heidelberg, Citation Key: CNRPRODOTTI89033, 95–101, 2013.
- Brozzetti, F., Boncio, P., Lavecchia, G., and Pace, B.: Present activity and seismogenic potential of a low-angle normal fault
 system (Città di Castello, Italy): Constraints from surface geology, seismic reflection data and seismicity,
 Tectonophysics, 463, 31–46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.09.023, 2009.
- Bucci, F., Cardinali, M., and Guzzetti, F.: Structural geomorphology, active faulting and slope deformations in the epicentre
 area of the MW 7.0, 1857, Southern Italy earthquake, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 63, 12–24,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2013.04.005, 2013.
- Bucci, F., Novellino, R., Tavarnelli, E., Prosser, G., Guzzetti, F., Cardinali, M., Gueguen, E., Guglielmi, P., and Adurno, I.:
 Frontal collapse during thrust propagation in mountain belts: a case study in the Lucania Apennines, Southern Italy,
 Journal of the Geological Society, 171, 571–581, https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2013-103, 2014.
- Bucci, F., Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., and Guzzetti, F.: Landslide distribution and size in response to
 Quaternary fault activity: The Peloritani Range, NE Sicily, Italy, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 41,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3898, 2016a.
- Bucci, F., Mirabella, F., Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., and Guzzetti, F.: Photo-geology of the Montefalco Quaternary Basin,
 Umbria, Central Italy, Journal of Maps, 12, https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2016.1210042, 2016b.
- 429 Bucci, F., Santangelo, M., Fiorucci, F., Ardizzone, F., Giordan, D., Cignetti, M., Notti, D., Allasia, P., Godone, D., 430 Lagomarsino, D., Pozzoli, A., Norelli, E., and Cardinali, M.: Geomorphologic landslide inventory by air photo 431 of the High Agri Valley (Southern Italy), Journal of Maps, 17. 376-388. interpretation 432 https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2021.1943552, 2021.
- Cardinali, M., Antonini, G., Reichenbach, P., and Guzzetti, F.: Photo geological and landslide inventory map for the Upper
 Tiber River basin, 2001.
- Cardinali, M., Ardizzone, F., Bucci, F., Fiorucci, F., Pisano, L., Santangelo, M., and Zumpano, V.: Geomorphological
 landslide inventory map of the Daunia Mountains, Southern Italian Apennines, PANGAEA [data set],
 https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.942427, 2022.
- Ciarcia, S., Di Nocera, S., Matano, F., and Torre, Ma. L.: Evoluzione tettono-sedimentaria e paleogeografica dei depocentri
 «wedge-top» nell'ambito del «foreland basin system» pliocenico dell'Appennino meridionale (settore Irpino-Dauno),
 Boll. Soc. Geol. It., 122, 117–137, 2003.
- Cotecchia, F., Santaloia, F., and Tagarelli, V.: Towards A Geo-Hydro-Mechanical Characterization of Landslide Classes:
 Preliminary Results, Applied Sciences, 10, 7960, https://doi.org/10.3390/app10227960, 2020.
- 443 Cruden, D. M. and Varnes, D. J.: Landslide Types and Processes, Special Report National Research Council Transportation
 444 Research Board, 247, 36–75, 1996.
- di Lernia, A., Cotecchia, F., Elia, G., Tagarelli, V., Santaloia, F., and Palladino, G.: Assessing the influence of the hydraulic
 boundary conditions on clay slope stability: The Fontana Monte case study, Engineering Geology, 297, 106509,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106509, 2022.
- Donnini, M., Napolitano, E., Salvati, P., Ardizzone, F., Bucci, F., Fiorucci, F., Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., and Guzzetti,
 F.: Impact of event landslides on road networks: a statistical analysis of two Italian case studies, Landslides, 14, 1521–
 1535, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-017-0829-4, 2017.
- Donnini, M., Santangelo, M., Gariano, S.L., Bucci, F., Peruccacci, S., Alvioli M., Althuwaynee, O., Ardizzone, F., Bianchi,
 C., Bornaetxea, T., Brunetti, M.T., Cardinali, M., Esposito, G., Grita, S., Marchesini, I., Melillo, M., Salvasti, P.,
 Yazdani, M., Fiorucci, F.: Landslides triggered by an extraordinary rainfall event in Central Italy on September 15,
 2022, under review.
- Filice, F. and Seeber, L.: The Culmination of an Oblique Time-Transgressive Arc Continent Collision: The Pollino Massif
 Between Calabria and the Southern Apennines, Italy, Tectonics, 38, 3261–3280,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004932, 2019.
- 458 Fiorucci, F., Ardizzone, F., Rossi, M., and Torri, D.: The Use of Stereoscopic Satellite Images to Map Rills and Ephemeral

- 459 Gullies, Remote Sensing, 7, 14151–14178, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs71014151, 2015.
- Fiorucci, F., Giordan, D., Santangelo, M., Dutto, F., Rossi, M., and Guzzetti, F.: Criteria for the optimal selection of remote
 sensing images to map event landslides, Risk Assessment, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies, Socioeconomic and
 Management Aspects, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2017-111, 2017.
- Fiorucci, F., Giordan, D., Santangelo, M., Dutto, F., Rossi, M., and Guzzetti, F.: Criteria for the optimal selection of remote
 sensing optical images to map event landslides, 405–417, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-405-2018, 2018.
- Froude, M. J. and Petley, D. N.: Global fatal landslide occurrence from 2004 to 2016, Natural Hazards and Earth System
 Sciences, 18, 2161–2181, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-2161-2018, 2018.
- Galli, M., Ardizzone, F., Cardinali, M., Guzzetti, F., and Reichenbach, P.: Comparing landslide inventory maps,
 Geomorphology, 94, 268–289, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.09.023, 2008.
- Gioia, D., Di Leo, P., Giano, S. I., and Schiattarella, M.: Chronological constraints on a Holocene landslide in an
 intermontane basin of the southern Apennines, Italy: Morphological evolution and palaeoclimate implications, The
 Holocene, 21, 263–273, https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683610378879, 2011.
- 472 Guzzetti, F., Reichenbach, P., Cardinali, M., Galli, M., and Ardizzone, F.: Probabilistic landslide hazard assessment at the
 473 basin scale, Geomorphology, 72, 272–299, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.06.002, 2005.
- 474 Guzzetti, F., Mondini, A. C., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., Santangelo, M., and Chang, K.-T.: Landslide inventory maps: New
 475 tools for an old problem, Earth-Science Reviews, 112, 42–66, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.02.001, 2012.
- Harp, E. L. and Jibson, R. W.: Inventory of landslides triggered by the 1994 Northridge, California earthquake, https://doi.org/10.5066/F7Z60MKF, 2017.
- Hungr, O., Leroueil, S., and Picarelli, L.: The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update, Landslides, 11, 167–194, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-013-0436-y, 2014.
- Keaton, J. R. and DeGraff, J. V.: Surface observation and geologic mapping, in: Landslides: Investigation and mitigation.,
 1996.
- 482 Losacco, N., Bottiglieri, O., Santaloia, F., Vitone, C., and Cotecchia, F.: The Geo-Hydro-Mechanical Properties of a 483 Processes, 11, Turbiditic Formation as Internal Factors of Slope Failure Geosciences, 429, 484 https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences11100429, 2021.
- Malamud, B. D., Turcotte, D. L., Guzzetti, F., and Reichenbach, P.: Landslide inventories and their statistical properties,
 Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 29, 687–711, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1064, 2004.
- Mancini, M., Vignaroli, G., Bucci, F., Cardinali, M., Cavinato, G. P., Di salvo, C., Giallini, S., Moscatelli, M., Polpetta, F.,
 Putignano, M. L., Santangelo, M., and Sirianni, P.: New stratigraphic constraints for the Quaternary source-to-sink
 history of the Amatrice Basin (central Apennines, Italy), Geological Journal, 55, 4226–4251,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.3672, 2020.
- Mirabella, F., Bucci, F., Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., Caielli, G., De Franco, R., Guzzetti, F., and Barchi, M. R.: Alluvial fan shifts and stream captures driven by extensional tectonics in central Italy, Journal of the Geological Society, jgs2017-138, https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2017-138, 2018.
- Mondini, A. C., Chang, K., Rossi, M., Marchesini, I., and Guzzetti, F.: Semi-automatic recognition and mapping of eventinduced landslides by exploiting multispectral satellite images and DEM in a Bayesian framework, 852415, https://doi.org/10.1117/12.977432, 2012.
- 497 Niculiță, M., Mărgărint, M. C. M. C., and Santangelo, M.: Archaeological evidence for Holocene landslide activity in the
 498 Eastern Carpathian lowland, Quaternary International, 415, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2015.12.048, 2016.
- Pánek, T., Hartvich, F., Jankovská, V., Klimeš, J., Tábořík, P., Bubík, M., Smolková, V., and Hradecký, J.: Large Late
 Pleistocene landslides from the marginal slope of the Flysch Carpathians, Landslides, 11, 981–992,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-013-0463-8, 2014.
- Pellicani, R. and Spilotro, G.: Evaluating the quality of landslide inventory maps: comparison between archive and surveyed
 inventories for the Daunia region (Apulia, Southern Italy), Bull Eng Geol Environ, 74, 357–367,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-014-0639-z, 2015.
- Pellicani, R., Van Westen, C. J., and Spilotro, G.: Assessing landslide exposure in areas with limited landslide information,
 Landslides, 11, 463–480, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-013-0386-4, 2014a.
- Pellicani, R., Frattini, P., and Spilotro, G.: Landslide susceptibility assessment in Apulian Southern Apennine: heuristic vs.
 statistical methods, Environ Earth Sci, 72, 1097–1108, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-3026-3, 2014b.

- 509 Petley, D.: Global patterns of loss of life from landslides, Geology, 40, 927–930, https://doi.org/10.1130/G33217.1, 2012.
- Razak, K. A., Santangelo, M., Westen, C. J. V., Straatsma, M. W., and Jong, S. M. D.: Generating an optimal DTM from
 airborne laser scanning data for landslide mapping in a tropical forest environment, Geomorphology (Amst.), 190, 112–
 125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.02.021, 2013.
- Santangelo, M., Gioia, D., Cardinali, M., Guzzetti, F., and Schiattarella, M.: Interplay between mass movement and fluvial
 network organization: An example from southern Apennines, Italy, Geomorphology (Amst.), 188, 54–67,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.12.008, 2013.
- Santangelo, M., Gioia, D., Cardinali, M., Guzzetti, F., and Schiattarella, M.: Landslide inventory map of the upper Sinni
 River valley, Southern Italy, Journal of Maps, 11, 444–453, https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2014.949313, 2015.
- Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., Bucci, F., Fiorucci, F., and Mondini, A. C.: Exploring event landslide mapping using Sentinel 1 SAR backscatter products, Geomorphology, 397, 108021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.108021, 2022.
- Schiattarella, M., Di Leo, P., Beneduce, P., and Ivo Giano, S.: Quaternary uplift vs tectonic loading: a case study from the
 Lucanian Apennine, southern Italy, Quaternary International, 101–102, 239–251, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040 6182(02)00126-X, 2003.
- Schiattarella, M., Giano, S. I., and Gioia, D.: Long-term geomorphological evolution of the axial zone of the Campania Lucania Apennine, southern Italy: a review, Geologica Carpathica, 68, 57–67, https://doi.org/10.1515/geoca-2017 0005, 2017.
- 526 Schulz, W. H.: Landslides mapped using LIDAR imagery, Seattle, Washington, 2004.
- Spalluto, L., Fiore, A., Miccoli, M. N., and Parise, M.: Activity maps of multi-source mudslides from the Daunia Apennines
 (Apulia, southern Italy), Nat Hazards, 106, 277–301, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04461-3, 2021.
- Thiery, Y., Terrier, M., Colas, B., Fressard, M., Maquaire, O., Grandjean, G., and Gourdier, S.: Improvement of landslide
 hazard assessments for regulatory zoning in France: STATE–OF–THE-ART perspectives and considerations,
 International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 47, 101562, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101562, 2020.
- Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Poesen, J., Verstraeten, G., Vanacker, V., Nyssen, J., Moeyersons, J., Beek, L. P. H. van, and
 Vandekerckhove, L.: Use of LIDAR-derived images for mapping old landslides under forest, Earth Surface Processes
 and Landforms, 32, 754–769, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1417, 2007.
- 535 Vignaroli, G., Mancini, M., Bucci, F., Cardinali, M., Cavinato, G. P., Moscatelli, M., Putignano, M. L., Sirianni, P., 536 Santangelo, M., Ardizzone, F., Cosentino, G., Di Salvo, C., Fiorucci, F., Gaudiosi, I., Giallini, S., Messina, P., Peronace, 537 E., Polpetta, F., Reichenbach, P., Scionti, V., Simionato, M., and Stigliano, F.: Geology of the central part of the 538 Amatrice Basin (Central Apennines. Italv). Journal of Maps. 15. 193-202. 539 https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2019.1570877, 2019.
- Vitale, S. and Ciarcia, S.: Tectono-stratigraphic and kinematic evolution of the southern Apennines/Calabria–Peloritani
 Terrane system (Italy), Tectonophysics, 583, 164–182, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.11.004, 2013.
- Vitale, S., Ciarcia, S., Mazzoli, S., and Zaghloul, M. N.: Tectonic evolution of the 'Liguride' accretionary wedge in the
 Cilento area, southern Italy: A record of early Apennine geodynamics, Journal of Geodynamics, 51, 25–36,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2010.06.002, 2011.
- Wasowski, J., Lamanna, C., and Casarano, D.: Influence of land-use change and precipitation patterns on landslide activity
 in the Daunia Apennines, Italy, Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology, 43, 387–401,
 https://doi.org/10.1144/1470-9236/08-101, 2010.
- Wasowski, J., Lamanna, C., Gigante, G., and Casarano, D.: High resolution satellite imagery analysis for inferring surface–
 subsurface water relationships in unstable slopes, Remote Sensing of Environment, 124, 135–148,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.05.007, 2012.
- WP/WLI: International Geotechnical societies UNESCO Working Party on World Landslide Inventory. Multilingual
 landslide glossary, Richmond: BiTech Publishers Ltd, 1993.
- Zumpano, V., Ardizzone, F., Bucci, F., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., Parise, M., Pisano, L., Reichenbach, P., Santaloia, F.,
 Santangelo, M., Wasowski, J., and Lollino, P.: The relation of spatio-temporal distribution of landslides to urban
 development (a case study from the Apulia region, Southern Italy), Journal of Maps, 0, 1–8,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2020.1746417, 2020.
- 557
- 558

559 Appendix A

574

575

576 577

578

579

580

560 In the first phase of work, information and cartographic/thematic products were collected to support the preparation of the 561 geomorphological landslide inventory map of the Daunia Apennines. Some of the listed products have been provided by the 562 Regione Puglia, others can be consulted on the web, through Cartographic Portals or Web servers:

- Regional Technical Maps in digital format at a scale of 1:5,000, supplied by Regione Puglia (www.sit.puglia.it);
- Geological maps at scale 1:50.000 (CARG), available on the ISPRA cartographic portal;
- Geological maps at a scale of 1:100,000 (Geological Map of Italy), available on the ISPRA cartographic portal;
- Hydrogeomorphological map in scale 1:25.000 available on the SIT site of the Regione Puglia (http://webapps.sit.puglia.it/freewebapps/Idrogeomorfologia/index.html);
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM, cell 8×8m) provided by Regione Puglia (www.sit.puglia.it);
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM) made by LiDAR (1×1m cell), carried out within the framework of the Extraordinary
 Plan for Environmental Remote Sensing (PST-A) and provided by the Ministry of Ecological Transition (MiTE).
- Urbanised and land use maps, provided by the Regione Puglia (www.sit.puglia.it);
- Orthophoto maps provided by the Regione Puglia (www.sit.puglia.it);
- Landslide inventory maps of Apulia from:
 - *IFFI Inventory*: Inventory of Phenomena Franosi in Italy, compiled by ISPRA;

 Official Archives: Piano Stralcio per l'Assetto Idrogeologico (PAI) of the Basin Authorities of Puglia, Basilicata, of the Rivers Trigno, Biferno and minor Saccione and Fortore, and of the Rivers Liri-Garigliano and Volturno; Project Inventory of Phenomena Franosi in Italy (IFFI - update 2006), compiled by ISPRA; Census Project of Italian Areas Historically Vulnerated by Geological and Hydraulic Disasters (AVI), drawn up by the National Group for Defence against geo-hydrological Disasters of the National Research Council (GNDCI-CNR);

- AdBP photo interpretation: landslides from the photo interpretation study conducted by AdBP (Basin Authority of Puglia Region);
- Province of Foggia: it deals with the landslides surveyed by the Province of Foggia within the framework
 of the agreements signed with the AdBP concerning the "Activity of collection and classification of data on
 landslide phenomena in the Province of Foggia" and "Conduct of studies for the deepening of the aspects
 related to the classified landslide phenomena".