1	Long-Term Monthly 0.05° Terrestrial Evapotranspiration Dataset (1982–2018)
2	for the Tibetan Plateau
3	
4	Ling Yuan 1,2, Xuelong Chen1,4,6*, Yaoming Ma1,2,3,4,5,6*, Cunbo Han1,4,6, Binbin Wang1,4,5,6, Weiqiang Ma1,4,6
5	
6	¹ State Key Laboratory of Tibetan Plateau Earth System, Environment and Resources (TPESER), Institute of
7	Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China.
8	² College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
9	³ College of Atmospheric Science, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
10	⁴ National Observation and Research Station for Qomolongma Special Atmospheric Processes and
11	Environmental Changes, Dingri 858200, China
12	⁵ Kathmandu Center of Research and Education, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
13	⁶ China-Pakistan Joint Research Center on Earth Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Islamabad 45320,
14	Pakistan
15	
16	Corresponding author and address:
17	Xuelong Chen, Dr., Prof., x.chen@itpcas.ac.cn
18	Yaoming Ma, Dr., Prof., ymma@itpcas.ac.cn
19	Building 3, No.16 Lincui Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100101, China
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

30 Abstract

Evapotranspiration (ET) plays a crucial role in the water balance of the Tibetan Plateau (TP), often referred 31 32 to as the "Asian water tower" region. However, accurately monitoring and comprehending the spatial and temporal variations of ET components (including soil evaporation E_s , canopy transpiration E_c , and intercepted 33 water evaporation E_w) in this remote area remains a significant challenge due to the limited availability of 34 observational data. This study generates a 37-year dataset (1982-2018) of monthly ET components for the TP 35 using the MOD16-STM (MOD16 soil texture model). This model utilizes up-to-date soil properties, 36 37 meteorological data, and remote sensing datasets. The estimated ET results strongly correlate with measurements from nine flux towers, demonstrating a low root mean square error of 13.48 mm/month, a mean 38 39 bias of 2.85 mm/month, a coefficient of determination of 0.83, and an index of agreement of 0.92. The annual average ET for the entire TP, defined as elevations higher than 2500 meters, is approximately $0.93(\pm 0.037) \times 10^3$ 40 Gt/year. The predominant contributor to ET on the TP is E_s , accounting for 84% of the total ET. Our findings 41 reveal a noteworthy upward trend in ET in most central and eastern parts of the TP, with a rate of approximately 42 1-4 mm/year (p<0.05) and a significant downward trend with rates between -3 and 1 mm/year in the 43 northwestern part of TP during the period from 1982 to 2018. The average annual increase in ET for the entire 44 45 TP over the past 37 years is approximately 0.96 mm/year. This upward trend can be attributed to the TP's warming and wetting climate conditions. The MOD16-STM ET dataset demonstrates a reliable performance 46 across the TP compared to previous research outcomes. This dataset is valuable for research on water resource 47 48 management, drought monitoring, and ecological studies. The entire dataset is freely accessible through the 49 Science Data Bank (http://doi.org/10.11922/sciencedb.00020, Y. Ma*, X. Chen*, L. Yuan, 2021) and the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center (TPDC) (https://data.tpdc.ac.cn/en/disallow/e253621a-6334-4ad1-b2b9-50 51 e1ce2aa9688f/, http://doi.org/10.11888/Terre.tpdc.271913, L. Yuan, X. Chen*, Y. Ma*, 2021).

52

53 Keywords: Evapotranspiration; MOD16-STM; Climate change; Asian water tower; Tibetan Plateau

- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59

60 **1. Introduction**

The Tibetan Plateau (TP) (24-40°N, 70-105°E) is often referred to as the "Asian water tower" owing to 61 62 its distinctive geographical and ecological characteristics, as acknowledged in studies by Immerzeel et al. (2010, 2020), Yao et al. (2012), and Xu et al. (2019). Within this region, evapotranspiration (ET) plays a vital role in 63 64 the overall water balance. The TP predominantly features grassland (covering more than 47% of the area) and sparse vegetation or bare soil (surrounding over 33%), as indicated by the Moderate Resolution Imaging 65 66 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) land cover dataset (MCD12C1) (Fig. 1c). Arid or semi-arid conditions mostly 67 characterize this vast expanse. The TP is currently undergoing significant changes in its hydrological cycle, driven by global warming, as documented in studies by Yang et al. (2014), Kuang et al. (2016), and Zohaib et 68 al. (2017). Nevertheless, accurately monitoring the spatial and temporal fluctuations in ET on the TP remains a 69 70 formidable challenge due to the intricate environmental conditions of the TP. Moreover, understanding how ET 71 patterns on the TP will evolve in the context of global warming is essential for assessing the impacts of these 72 changes on the local population's livelihoods.

73 In recent years, various datasets for estimating ET on the TP have been developed, including the 74 complementary relationship (CR) model (Ma et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020), the surface energy balance system 75 (SEBS) model (Chen et al., 2014, 2021; Zhong et al., 2019; Han et al., 2017, 2021), and the Penman-Monteith model with remote sensing (RS-PM) (Wang et al., 2018; Song et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2022), 76 77 among others. However, a considerable variance exists among these TP ET products (Peng et al., 2016; Baik et 78 al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2018). Studies have utilized eddy-covariance measurements (Shi et al., 79 2014; You et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020) and reanalysis datasets (Shi et al., 2014; Dan et al., 80 2017; Yang et al., 2019; De Kok et al., 2020) to investigate ET on the TP. A recent Han et al. (2021) study 81 produced the region's 18-year ET dataset (2001–2018). Enhancements to the canopy conduction algorithm in 82 the Penman-Monteith model have led to improved ET estimates in previous research (Leuning et al., 2008; 83 Zhang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016, 2019; Gan et al., 2018). However, these ET products tend to perform poorly in TP areas with sparse vegetation and arid to semi-arid climates (Zhang et al., 2010; Li et al., 84 85 2014b; Song et al., 2017; Baik et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2018).

The limitations of the MOD16 Penman–Monteith model in arid to semi-arid TP regions are primarily due to its failure to consider the dominant role of topsoil texture and topsoil moisture in governing E_s processes (Yuan et al., 2021). Accurately separating and validating ET components on the TP remains challenging, even though total ET estimates tend to align across different products (Lawrence et al., 2007; Blyth and Harding, 2011; Miralles et al., 2016). The TP is primarily characterized by short and sparse vegetation, and soil moisture is crucial in ET estimation for this region. Several studies have used the Penman–Monteith algorithm to estimate ET on the TP (Wang et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2022). However, these studies have not accounted for the effects of soil moisture (*SM*) on evaporation resistance and stomatal conductance.

94 The enhanced Penman-Monteith model, MOD16-STM (MOD16 soil texture model), has been developed to address these limitations. MOD16-STM redefines the modules for E_s to consider the impacts of SM on soil 95 96 evaporation resistance. This modification is based on eddy-covariance (EC) observations conducted on the TP 97 (Yuan et al., 2021), offering a promising opportunity to estimate ET components in this region accurately. E_s often dominates ET in sparsely vegetated areas, especially in arid and semi-arid regions with large bare soil 98 areas (Wilcox et al., 2003; Kool et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2015; Ma and Zhang, 2022). Previous 99 100 studies have highlighted that 20% to 40% of global ET is attributed to E_s . Bare soil surface evaporation is a rapid process influenced by shallow surface water (Koster and Suarez, 1996). E_s is primarily controlled by water 101 102 diffusion in the soil (Good et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2022). Accurately quantifying and separating E_s is crucial 103 for enhancing our understanding of water and energy cycles on the TP. However, quantifying ET and its 104 components remains challenging due to the influence of atmospheric demand, soil moisture conditions, and complex interactions between heterogeneous vegetation and soil properties (Merlin et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017; 105 Philips et al., 2017; Lehmann et al., 2018). MOD16-STM holds the potential to generate a remote sensing E_s 106 107 and ET component dataset covering the satellite era since 1980. In this study, the MOD16-STM model, 108 acknowledging its limitations, was employed to estimate a long-term ET and ET components dataset spanning 109 37 years (1982–2018) (Yuan et al., 2021).

110 A preferable approach involves directly estimating ET based on topsoil moisture, significantly impacting 111 the TP's surface water exchange. Thus, leveraging the advantages of the MOD16-STM model for ET estimation 112 on the TP, this study aimed to achieve two main objectives: (1) develop a 37-year (1982–2018) monthly ET 113 dataset for the TP at a $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$ spatial resolution; (2) quantify the spatial distribution and spatiotemporal 114 variability of ET and its components across the TP.

- 115 **2. Materials and methods**
- 116 **2.1 Study area**
- 117

The Tibetan Plateau, located between 25–40°N and 74–104°E, spans approximately 2.5 million km² and

consists of land above 2,500 m in altitude (Fig. 1a). This region, as indicated by the FAO drought index dataset, 118 represents the largest landform unit in Eurasia and encompasses hyper-arid, arid, semi-arid, and sub-humid 119 120 climate zones (Fig. 1b). The land cover types primarily include mixed forests, grasslands, bare soil, glaciers, and snow-covered areas (see Fig. 1c). The topsoil predominantly consists of sandy loam, loam, and clay (Fig. 121 1d). The annual average temperature in the region ranges from approximately -3.1°C to 4.4°C. Average annual 122 precipitation gradually increases from less than 50 mm in the northwest to over 1000 mm in the southeast, with 123 the most precipitation occurring during summer (Ding et al., 2017). Over time, the TP has undergone significant 124 125 environmental changes, including increased precipitation, decreased wind speed (wind), fewer snow days, 126 reduced radiation, thawing permafrost, glacier melting, and increased vegetation (Kang et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014; Kuang et al., 2016; Bibi et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). 127



128

Figure 1. Maps of the (a) topography (STRM), (b) climate zones (FAO aridity index), (c) land cover types (MCD12C1),
and (d) soil textures (HWSD) in the study area. The red dots indicate the flux site locations.

131 **2.2 Generation of a long-term series of monthly ET products**

132 This study introduces a novel dataset comprising a long-term series of monthly ET generated using the

133 MOD16-STM model. The process of calculating monthly ET with the MOD16-STM model and the associated

134 driving datasets is illustrated in Figure 2.



135

136

Figure 2. Workflow of the MOD16-STM evapotranspiration product.

137 **2.2.1 Description of MOD16-STM ET model**

138 The MOD16-STM model computes the ET components using the Penman–Monteith equation as follows:

$$E_{c} = \frac{\left(\Delta \times f_{c} \times (R_{n} - G_{0}) + \rho_{a} \times C_{p} \times \frac{VPD}{r_{a}} \times f_{c}\right) \times (1 - F_{wet})}{\lambda \times \left(\Delta + \gamma \times (1 + \frac{r_{c}}{r_{a}})\right)}$$
(1)

$$E_{s} = \frac{(\Delta \times (1 - f_{c}) \times (R_{n} - G_{0}) + \rho_{a} \times C_{p} \times \frac{VPD}{r_{a}}) \times (1 - F_{wet})}{\lambda \times \left(\Delta + \gamma \times (1 + \frac{r_{s}}{r_{a}})\right)} \times \left(\frac{RH}{100}\right)^{\frac{VPD}{\beta}}$$
(2)

$$E_w = E_{wet_s} + E_{wet_c} \tag{3}$$

The total ET combines three distinct components: E_c , E_s , and E_w (wet surface evaporation). For a more detailed explanation of the calculations for E_{wet_s} (evaporation from wet soil) and E_{wet_c} (evaporation from wet canopy), you can refer to Yuan et al. 2021. Here, r_a (s/m) is the aerodynamic resistance, r_c (s/m) is the aerodynamic resistance of water vapor of the canopy, and r_s (s/m) is the surface (or canopy) resistance. Yuan et al. (2021) optimized MOD16 r_a based on the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory (MOST) and calibrated the empirical values of r_c for grassland underlying surfaces. They also pointed out that the topsoil moisture content directly affects the value of r_s , indirectly influencing the E_s process. Therefore, this study extended this optimization algorithm from the site scale to the regional scale. The variables used in the above equations are defined as follows:

- 148 R_n represents the net radiation flux (W/m²).149 G_0 denotes the soil heat flux (W/m²).150 ρ_a is the density of the air (kg/m³).151 C_p stands for the specific heat capacity of the air (J/(kg·K)).152VPD represents the vapor pressure deficit (hPa).
 - Δ represents the slope of the saturated vapor pressure curve (hPa/K).
 - γ is the psychrometric constant (hPa/K), calculated as $\gamma = C_p \cdot P_a \cdot M_a / (\lambda \cdot M_w)$, where λ is the latent heat

155 of vaporization (J/kg), and M_a and M_w are the molecular masses of dry air and wet air, respectively.

- r_a signifies the aerodynamic resistance (s/m).
- r_s represents the surface (or canopy) resistance (s/m).
- F_{wet} is the relative surface wetness.

The vegetation cover fraction (f_c) is estimated using the NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation
 Index).

$$f_c = \left(\frac{NDVI - NDVI_{\min}}{NDVI_{\max} + NDVI_{\min}}\right)^2$$
(4)

161 R_n and G_0 are calculated as follows:

$$R_{n} = (1 - \alpha) \times SWD + LWD - \varepsilon \times \sigma \times LST^{4}$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$G_0 = R_n \times (I_c + (1 - f_c) \times (I_s - I_c))$$
(6)

Here, *SWD* is the downward shortwave radiation, α is land surface albedo, *LWD* is the downward longwave radiation, σ represents the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.67×10⁻⁸ W/(m²·K⁴)), ε is emissivity, and *LST* means land surface temperature. I_c (= 0.05) and I_s (= 0.315) are the ratios of ground heat flux and net radiation for surfaces with full vegetation cover (Su et al., 2002) and bare soil (determined by NDVI<0.25 in this study) (Yuan et al., 2021), respectively. When the air temperature (T_a) is below 5°C, photosynthesis and transpiration 167 processes are not active, and therefore, E_c is not considered in the calculations. When the land surface 168 temperature is below 0°C, the sublimation equation is derived by modifying the surface energy balance equation 169 using the Clausius–Clapeyron equation, accounting for the equilibrium of water vapor in both liquid and frozen 170 states. It's important to note that this study did not estimate the evaporation from water surfaces. Previous 171 research has extensively examined water surface evaporation from lakes on the Tibetan Plateau in detail (Wang 172 et al., 2020). Therefore, this study focuses on land ET estimation, excluding water surface evaporation.

173 Numerous prior studies have employed optimized conductance to estimate E_c (Jarvis et al., 1976; Irmak 174 and Mutiibwa, 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Leuning et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013, 2015), as well as E_s (Sun et al., 175 1982; Camillo and Gurney, 1986; Sellers et al., 1996; Sakaguchi and Zeng, 2009; Ortega-Farias et al., 2010; 176 Tang et al., 2013). This study computed the r_a using the MOST (Thom, 1975; Liu et al., 2007).

$$r_{a} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{z_{h} - d_{0}}{z_{0h}} - \Psi_{h}\right) \ln\left(\frac{z_{m} - d_{0}}{z_{0m}} - \Psi_{m}\right)}{k^{2}u}$$
(7)

Where *k* represents the von Karman's constant (0.41), z_h and z_m denote the measurement heights for T_a and *wind*, and d_0 represents the displacement height. The stability correction functions for momentum (ψ_m) and heat transfer (ψ_h) can be computed using universal parts. These correction terms' mathematical expressions (Eq. 8– 12) are as follows (Högström, 1996; Paulson, 1970).

181 For stable conditions:

$$\psi_m = -5.3 \frac{(z_m - z_{0m})}{L} \tag{8}$$

$$\psi_h = -8.0 \frac{z_h - z_{0h}}{L} \tag{9}$$

182 For unstable conditions:

$$\psi_m = 2\ln\left(\frac{1+x}{1+x_o}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{1+x^2}{1+x_o^2}\right) - 2\tan^{-1}x + 2\tan^{-1}x_o$$
(10)

$$\psi_h = 2\ln\left(\frac{1+y}{1+y_o}\right) \tag{11}$$

183 For neutral conditions:

$$\psi_m = \psi_h = 0 \tag{12}$$

In Equations (8–11), the following variables and parameters are defined: $x = (1-z_m/L)^{0.25}$, $x_o = (1-z_{om}/L)^{0.25}$, $y = (1-11.6 z_h/L)^{0.5}$, and $y_o = (1-11.6 z_{oh}/L)^{0.5}$ (Högström, 1996; Paulson, 1970). Here, *L* represents the Obukhov length (m), calculated as $L = T_a \cdot u_*^2/(k \cdot g \cdot T_*)$, where g = 9.8 m/s² and T_* is the fractional temperature (K) and

187 u_* denotes the friction velocity (m/s). T_* is further defined as $T_*=-(\theta_s-\theta_a)/((\ln(z_h/z_{oh})-\psi_h))$, where θ_s can be 188 approximated using the *LST*, and $\theta_a=T_a+z_h\cdot g/C_p$. The parameterization of u_* and *L* has been successfully applied 189 in previous studies on the TP (Chen et al., 2013). z_{0h} represents the roughness length for heat transfer (m). A 190 parameterization scheme for z_{0h} developed by Yang et al. (2008) has been widely utilized in remote sensing land 191 surface fluxes and land surface models (LSMs) across the TP (Biermann et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2013; Ma et 192 al., 2015). This scheme has also been employed in the current study for consistency.

$$z_{0h} = \frac{70v}{u_*} exp(-7.2u_*^{0.5} |T_*|^{0.25})$$
⁽¹³⁾

where *v* is the fluid kinematic viscosity, $v=1.328 \times 10^{-5} \cdot (P_0/P_a) \cdot (T_a/T_0)^{1.754}$, $P_0=1013$ hPa and $T_0=273.15$ K. The roughness height for momentum transfer (z_{0m}) was determined based on canopy height (h_c), following the method outlined by Chen et al. (2013). The water saturation degree of surface soil (SM/θ_{sat}) is utilized to impose soil classification and soil texture constraints on the r_s and E_s estimates (Yuan et al., 2021), as follows:

$$r_{s} = exp\left(a + b \times \frac{SM}{\theta_{sat}}\right) \tag{14}$$

Here, the parameters *a* and *b* are empirical coefficients that vary based on different soil textures, as documented in Table 1. The estimation of θ_{sat} , which considers soil organic content (SOC) and gravel content, can be obtained using the Soc-Vg scheme (Chen et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2018), and its calculation is as follows:

$$\theta_{sat} = (1 - V_{SOC} - V_g) \times \theta_{sat,m} + V_{SOC} \times \theta_{sat,sc}$$
(15)

200 Where $\theta_{sat,m}$ represents the porosity of the mineral soil and can be calculated as $\theta_{sat,m} = 0.489-0.00126$ %sand 201 (Cosby et al., 1984). Additionally, $\theta_{sat, sc}$ is the porosity of the SOC. It is assumed to be 0.9 m³·m⁻³ in this study, 202 as per the work of Farouki (1981) and Letts et al. (2000). The variables V_{soc} and V_g denote the volumetric 203 fractions of the SOC and gravel, respectively, and their calculation is as follows:

$$V_{SOC} = \frac{\rho_p \times (1 - \theta_{sat,m}) \times m_{SOC}}{\rho_{SOC} \times (1 - m_{SOC}) + \rho_p \times (1 - \theta_{sat,m}) \times m_{SOC} + (1 - \theta_{sat,m}) \times \frac{\rho_{SOC} \times m_g}{1 - m_g}}$$
(16)

$$V_g = \frac{\rho_{SOC} \times (1 - \theta_{sat,m}) \times m_g}{(1 - m_g) \times \left(\rho_{SOC} \times (1 - m_{SOC}) + \rho_p \times (1 - \theta_{sat,m}) \times m_{SOC} + (1 - \theta_{sat,m}) \times \frac{\rho_{SOC} \times m_g}{1 - m_g}\right)}$$
(17)

In these equations, ρ_p represents the mineral particle density and is set at 2700 kg/m³, while ρ_{soc} is the bulk density of organic matter, maintained at 130 kg/m³. Also, m_{soc} and m_g denote the percentages of organic matter and gravel within the topsoil layer.

207 There are many parameters in equations 7–17. Some parameters have already been assessed for their

208 importance in ET estimation by Yuan et al. (2021). There are too many studies on investigating the empirical paramters in equation 7–13. We will not repeat these analysis again. The parameterization method of θ_{sat} in the 209 210 estimation of r_s in this study is composed of various empirical parameters (ρ_p , ρ_{soc} , and $\theta_{sat, sc}$) for different soil 211 types. We have conducted a uncertainty analysis of the estimated θ_{sat} and sensitivity of its uncertainty to the changes of empirical parameters. The impact of the empirical parameters on the estimation of ET is illustrated 212 213 in Figure A1 (a–c). The results indicate that with a 20% uncertainty range in the estimated parameters ρ_p , ρ_{soc} , 214 $\theta_{sat, sc}$ for θ_{sat} , the loss in estimating ET is only below 3%. Thus, the conclusion is drawn that the estimation of 215 ET is not sensitive to uncertainty in the three parameters. Figure A2 also shows the accuracy of the estimated θ_{sat} by the method used in this paper. Additionally, a sensitivity analysis is conducted on the empirical 216 217 parameters a and b for calculating r_s . Keeping θ_{sat} and SM constant, r_s exhibits exponential changes with 218 variations in a and b, leading to significant fluctuations in the estimation of ET. Within a 20% range of variation in a and b, the maximum loss in ET exceeded 50% in Figure A1 (d-e). Therefore, it is essential to perform 219 220 significance tests on the fitting results of the empirical parameters a and b, as well as independent validation of 221 the final ET estimates. The performance of soil surface resistance r_s estimated by the MOD16-STM model at 222 the site scale is demonstrated in Fig.3. The observations at the ten stations show that the soil surface resistance 223 exponentially decreases with the increasing SM/θ_{sat} . The MOD16-STM has caught this exponential law. It has a coefficient of determination (R²) higher than 0.34, which may enable the model to estimate the TP ET 224 225 reasonably.

It demonstrates that the parameterized method of θ_{sat} maintain a high level of consistency with the observed values. The sensitivity test show that the factors that have a significant impact on r_s and ET are the topsoil moisture and soil organic matter content. Figure A3 present the impact of soil organic matter content on θ_{sat} and ET estimation at different soil types. Hereby, we have collected the most updated soil texture and soil moisture data to estimate the soil evaporation resistance.

231

Table 1. Parameters <i>a</i> and <i>b</i>	were based on different	t soil textures used to calc	ulate surface soil resistances.

Texture	$r_{s}=\exp\left(a\right)$	$(+ b \times \frac{SM}{\theta_{sat}})$
	a	b
Sandy Loam	7.65	-7.3
Sand	5.89	-8.17
Loamy Sand	8.02	-17.37
Silt Loam	7.09	-3.79
Loam	6.82	-4.33

10 / 49

Clay Loam	10.17	-7.43
Sandy Clay Loam	9.46	-4.52
Clay	10.02	-6.68
Silty Clay	11.67	-7.25
Silty Clay Loam	8.93	-9.14

Figure 3. The scatter point relationship between soil surface resistance (r_s) and SM/θ_{sat} observed at QOMS (sandy loam), NAMOR (sandy), NASDE (loamy sand), Arou (silt loam), Maqu (loam), IT-CAS (clay loam), US-IHO (sandy clay loam), US-Arm (clay), CH-Oe2 (silty clay), and US-Ib2 (silty clay loam). The red curves show the equations used by the MOD16-STM model. The site information is given in Table 3 and A1.

238 2.2.2 Input data for calculating the TP ET

The MOD16-STM model relies on various remote sensing datasets, reanalysis datasets, and meteorological forcing datasets to estimate monthly ET across the TP. Specific datasets are carefully selected to minimize spatial and temporal gaps in the final product (Table 2). Here's a breakdown of the critical datasets and their sources:

- Monthly meteorological forcing data, including *wind*, *T_a*, air specific humidity (*q*), *P_a*, *SWD*, and *LWD*,
 were obtained from the China Meteorological Forcing Dataset (CMFD) with a 0.1° spatial resolution from
 1982–2018. This data source was accessed from the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center (Yang et al.,
 2010; He et al., 2020). CMFD can be downloaded from TPDC (<u>https://data.tpdc.ac.cn/</u>).
- *LST* and precipitation data were sourced from ERA5-Land, which provides data with a spatial resolution of 0.1° and a monthly temporal resolution. These datasets were obtained from the European

249 Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECWMF).

Albedo (a) data, with a spatial resolution of 0.05° and an 8-day temporal resolution, were derived from
 the Global Land Surface Satellite (GLASS) dataset (Liang et al., 2021).

• A long-term NDVI dataset, with a spatial resolution of 0.05° and daily temporal resolution, was acquired from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Centers for Environmental Information (NOAA-NCEI) (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/climate-data-records/normalizeddifference-vegetation-index). This dataset calculates the canopy height and Leaf Area Index (LAI) (Chen et al., 2013).

- A topsoil moisture dataset for the 0–10 cm depth, with a spatial resolution of 0.25° and a monthly
 temporal resolution, was obtained from the Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam Model (GLEAM)
 (Miralles et al., 2011).
- Upward longwave radiation (*LWU*) was derived from LST using the Stefan-Boltzmann Law. The emissivity of mixed pixels was calculated based on the specific emissivity values for vegetated and bare land surfaces, following Sobrino et al. (2004).
- Soil texture and soil property data were obtained from the Harmonized World Soil Database v1.2
 (HWSD) (Wieder et al., 2014). These data were used to calculate soil evaporation resistance.
- Daily and 8-day input data were averaged over the temporal scale to create monthly datasets to ensure consistency. The average value was considered invalid if the ratio of valid data in any given month was below 90%. Additionally, the spatial resolutions of all input datasets were interpolated to a standard 0.05° spatial resolution using a widely used bilinear interpolation method.
- 269

Table 2. Input datasets are used to calculate the ET on the Tibetan Plateau.

	Data source	Temporal resolution	Availability	Domain	Spatial resolution	Method
SWD	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$	Reanalysis
LWD	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$	Reanalysis
T_a	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^\circ imes 0.1^\circ$	Reanalysis
q	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$	Reanalysis
wind	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$	Reanalysis
P_a	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$	Reanalysis
Precipitation	CMFD	3 h	1979–2018	China land	$0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$	Reanalysis

LST	ERA5	Monthly	1981-2021	Global	$0.1^\circ imes 0.1^\circ$	Reanalysis
α	GLASS	8 days	1981–2019	Global	$0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$	Satellite
NDVI	AVHRR	Daily	1981–2019	Global	$0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$	Satellite
SM	GLEAM	Monthly	1979–2019	Global	0.25° x 0.25°	Reanalysis
Soil Properties	HWSD	1	1	China land	0.083°/1 km	/

270 2.3 Validation methods

271 **2.3.1 Model validation at site scale**

272 Limited stations on the TP make it impossible to collect ET observations at all kinds of soil textures. We have collected datasets from 17 flux sites outside the TP (Table A1 in Appendix A). Five sites are used to verify 273 the relationship between soil surface resistance and SM/θ_{sat} . This result is presented in Fig. 3. The other twelve 274 275 verification sites include ten different soil textures (sandy loam, sand, loamy sand, silt loam, loam, clay loam, 276 sandy clay loam, clay, silty clay, and silty clay loam) and three surface cover types (grassland, evergreen forest, and cropland) (Table A1). These twelve sites are used to do model validation at the site scale. When evaluating 277 the MOD16-STM at the site scale, the meteorological forcing data comes from the station measurement. This 278 279 helps us to minimize the simulation uncertainty due to the errors in the model forcing datasets. This methodology can allow us to diagnose the model's limitation in representing the evapotranspiration process. Figure A4 shows 280 281 that MOD16-STM can capture the ET variations at the twelve sites. Table A2 also lists the statistical values of 282 the daily ET estimation. Since these sites include all kinds of soil textures and different canopy covers, we 283 believe the MOD16-STM model could be applied to the TP regional scale.

284 2.3.2 ET product evaluation

285 The remote sensing ET product is validated through comparison with flux tower observations on the TP. 286 Table 3 lists details of nine flux stations on the TP used for the ET product evaluation. These stations belong to 287 the China-Flux (Dang-Xiong site (DX), Hai-Bei site (HB), Yu et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2019a), the Tibetan Observation and Research Platform (TORP) (BJ, NADORS, SETORS, QOMS, NAMORS, and Shuang-Hu 288 (SH), Ma et al., 2020), and the Heihe Watershed Allied Telemetry Experimental Research (HiWATER) (Arou, 289 Liu et al., 2011, 2018; Che et al., 2019) networks. The nine stations are in areas with three different land cover 290 291 types: alpine meadow, alpine steppe, and Gobi. Half-hourly flux data measured by eddy-covariance from the 292 nine stations are collected. It's important to note that the energy balance closure ratio (ECR) indicates whether 293 the sum of sensible heat (*H*), latent heat (*LE*), and soil heat flux (G_0) matches the R_n . Half-hourly data are 294 screened and corrected accordingly to ensure the reliability of eddy-covariance measurements. Half-hourly *LE* 295 data is corrected using the Bowen ratio energy balance correction method (Chen et al., 2014).

$$ECR = \frac{H + LE}{R_n - G_0} \tag{18}$$

$$LE_{cor} = \frac{1}{ECR} \times LE \tag{19}$$

296 297

Table 3. Details of the nine flux observation stations on the TP used for the ET product evaluation

Sites	Long., Lat.	Land cover type	Elevation (m)	Availability	Climate zone	Reference
Shuang-Hu (SH)	88.83°E, 33.21°N	Alpine meadow	4947	2013–2018	Semi-arid	Ma et al. (2015b)
BJ	91.90°E, 31.37°N	Alpine meadow	4509	2010–2016	Semi-arid	
NADORS	79.60°E, 33.38°N	Alpine steppe	4264	2010–2018	Arid	
SETORS	94.73°E, 29.77°N	Alpine meadow	3326	2007–2018	Sub-humid	Ma et al., 2020
QOMS	86.95°E, 28.35°N	Gobi	4276	2007–2018	Semi-arid	
NAMORS	90.99°E, 30.77°N	Alpine meadow	4730	2008-2018	Semi-arid	
Arou	100.46°E, 38.05°N	Alpine meadow	3033	2008–2017	Sub-humid	Liu et al., 2011, 2018; Che et al., 2019
Dang-Xiong (DX)	91.06°E, 30.49°N	Alpine meadow	2957	2004–2010	Semi-arid	Yu et al., 2006;
Hai-Bei (HB)	101.32°E, 37.61°N	Alpine meadow	3190	2002-2010	Sub-humid	Zhang et al., 2019a

298

In the validation process, the half-hourly LE_{cor} data obtained from all the flux sites are subjected to further processing, including conversion to daily and monthly averages, while employing a stringent quality control procedure. Daily values are null if derived from valid data points amounting to less than 80% in a single day. Similarly, monthly average values are disregarded in the validation if they are derived from valid data points accounting for less than 80% of observations for that month. This approach ensured the robustness of the validation process.

305 2.4 Accuracy metrics

306 The accuracy of the modeled ET was assessed by comparing the pixel values (M_i) , corresponding to the 307 latitude and longitude of the flux site, with the flux tower measurements (G_i) . Several statistical metrics are

employed for validation, including the Coefficient of Determination (R^2) , a measure of the proportion of the 308 309 variance in the observed data (G_i) that is explained by the modeled data (M_i) . A higher R² value indicates a stronger linear relationship between the two datasets. Mean Bias (MB) represents the average difference 310 311 between the modeled ET (M_i) and the observed flux tower measurements (G_i) . Positive MB values suggest overestimation by the model, while negative values indicate underestimation. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) 312 measures the standard deviation of the differences between modeled and observed values $(M_i - G_i)$. A smaller 313 RMSE implies greater accuracy in the model's predictions. Index of Agreement (IOA) indicates the degree of 314 315 agreement between modeled and observed data, with a value of 1 indicating perfect agreement. Higher IOA 316 values indicate better agreement between the two datasets. The equations for these parameters are as follows:

$$R^{2} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{i} - \overline{M})(G_{i} - \overline{G})\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_{i} - \overline{M})^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{i} - \overline{G})^{2}}, 0 \le R^{2} \le 1$$
(20)

$$MB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - G_i)$$
(21)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - G_i)^2}$$
(22)

$$IOA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - G_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|M_i - \overline{G}| + |G_i - \overline{G}|)^2}$$
(23)

The subscript *i* denotes individual samples, and *n* is the total number of samples used in the assessment. The significance of each parameter helps evaluate the model's performance in estimating ET.

319

320 3. Results

321 **3.1 Evaluation of ET products against flux tower measurements**

The reliability of remote sensing-based ET estimates is often questioned without ground measurement verification. This study compares the simulated monthly ET rates from the 0.05° grid where each EC site is located with the flux tower observational data to validate the MOD16-STM ET results. The validation outcomes for monthly MOD16-STM ET, using flux tower data, are illustrated in Fig. 4. The modeled ET exhibits excellent performance and high consistency across the TP compared to ET observations.

Specifically, the grassland sites (SETORS, Arou, DX, and HB) display strong agreement, with R² and IOA
 values exceeding 0.82 and 0.95, respectively. The NAMORS site has the lowest performance, with the highest

15 / 49

- 329 RMSE (17.8 mm/month) and the lowest R² and IOA (0.63 and 0.87, respectively). On average, the mean R² and 330 IOA values exceed 0.83 and 0.93, respectively. All R^2 values pass the significance test at the p < 0.05 level. The mean |MB| and RMSE values are less than 3 mm/month and 14 mm/month. It's important to note that positive 331 332 MB values indicate an overestimation of ET, particularly during the dry season over barren land (QOMS, DX, SH, and NADORS) (Fig. 4). Conversely, underestimation occurs at higher ET rates in the summer, likely 333 because the soil is close to saturation, leading to an overestimation of r_s and underestimation of E_s and ET. 334 Generally, the time series of ET variations at the nine flux tower stations exhibit seasonal and annual periodic 335 336 variations (Fig. 5). The site-scale validation results demonstrate that MOD16-STM ET provides accurate
- 337 estimates in the TP region.

339 Figure 4. The validation of the MOD16-STM monthly ET at (a) SETORS, (b) Arou, (c) HB, (d) QOMS, (e) DX, (f) NAMORS, (g) BJ,

340

(h) SH, (i) NADORS, and (j) all sites.

Figure 5. Time series variations in the MOD16-STM simulated ET (blue solid line with "*" marks) and flux-towerobserved ET (red circles) at (a) SETORS, (b) Arou, (c) HB, (d) QOMS, (e) DX, (f) NAMORS, (g) BJ, (h) SH, and (i)
NADORS.

345 **3.2 Spatial pattern of the multiyear averaged ET across TP**

341

Figure 6 displays the spatial distribution of the average annual ET and its three components across the TP. ET exhibits a decreasing trend from southeast to northwest, with the highest values exceeding 1000 mm/year in the southeastern TP (the Heng-duan Mountains) and the lowest values of less than 100 mm/year in the Qaidam Basin and northwestern TP. This spatial pattern of annual ET closely mirrored that of the aridity index (Fig. 1b), which is influenced by atmospheric demand and water supply. The sub-humid zone, covering approximately 32.9% of the TP, contributes the highest proportion (43% of the TP's total ET) compared to other climate zones. *E_s* dominates the central and western TP, with its spatial distribution closely resembling the overall ET. The spatial distributions of E_c and E_w are in line with the distribution of vegetation. High values of E_c (>200 mm/year) and E_w (>50 mm/year) are primarily concentrated in densely vegetated areas such as the Heng-duan Mountains in the southeastern TP.

The multiyear average ET for each season on the TP is depicted in Figure 6, covering spring (March, April, 356 357 and May), summer (June, July, and August), autumn (September, October, and November), and winter 358 (December, January, and February). The estimated ET reflects the general seasonal patterns quite accurately. 359 During spring, the average ET is higher than in autumn, ranging from 20 to 250 mm/month in spring and from 20 to 150 mm/month in autumn. This difference can be attributed to the increase in surface water generated by 360 the thawing of permafrost and snow and ice melting as temperatures rise in spring, intensifying surface 361 evaporation processes. Additionally, vegetation transpiration increases during the growing season. In summer, 362 363 ET exceeds 200 mm/month over most TP, except for large areas in the northwestern TP where ET remains 364 below 100 mm/month. Conversely, in winter, lower ET values are observed primarily in the densely vegetated 365 southeastern region of the TP due to reduced water availability (precipitation) and lower T_a across the entire TP during this season. 366

Over the TP, the multiyear seasonal ET averages across the entire TP are as follows: 90.79±3.16 mm/year 367 $(0.23(\pm 0.0081) \times 10^3 \text{ Gt/year})$ in spring, $152.05 \pm 8.44 \text{ mm/year}$ $(0.38(\pm 0.021) \times 10^3 \text{ Gt/year})$ in summer, 368 369 71.96±2.86 mm/year $(0.18(\pm 0.0074) \times 10^3$ Gt/year) in autumn, 30.54±1.85 mm/year and $(0.077(\pm 0.0047) \times 10^{3}$ Gt/year) in winter. The multiyear average ET is 346.5±13.2 mm/year, representing both 370 371 the mean and standard deviation, which characterizes interannual variability. This corresponds to approximately $0.88(\pm 0.034) \times 10^3$ Gt/year. Among its components, E_s accounted for 292.36±10.39 mm/year (0.74(\pm 0.027) \times 10^3 372 Gt/year), E_c amounted to 47.85±3.34 mm/year (0.12(±0.006)×10³ Gt/year), and E_w is 7.07±2.89 mm/year 373 $(0.02(\pm 0.001) \times 10^3 \text{ Gt/year})$. Notably, E_s constitutes the majority of ET on the TP, exceeding 84%. Wang et al. 374 375 (2020) accurately estimated that the water evaporated from all plateau lakes is 0.0517 Gt/year. Therefore, utilizing the area-weighted average method, the annual average water evaporation across the entire TP is 376 approximately $0.93(\pm 0.037) \times 10^3$ Gt/year. Furthermore, the TP has an average annual rainfall of about 1.8×10^3 377 378 Gt/year, estimated by Jiang et al. (2022). Approximately 53% of the TP's precipitation returns to the atmosphere 379 through ET.

380

381 Figure 6. Spatial distributions of the multiyear (1982–2016) mean seasonal ET in (a) Spring, (b) Summer, (c) Autumn,

and (d) Winter across the TP.

383

Figure 7. Spatial pattern of the multiyear (1982–2018) mean annual (a) ET (evapotranspiration), (b) E_s (soil evaporation), (c) E_c (canopy transpiration), and (d) E_w (intercepted water evaporation) across the TP.

388 **3.3 Temporal variations in ET across TP**

389 Quantifying variations in ET, both inter- and intra-annual, holds significant importance in understanding 390 monsoon phenomena and studying climate change patterns on the TP. Figure 7 presents the spatial distribution 391 of annual ET and its component trends from 1982 to 2018. These trends exhibit spatial heterogeneity across the 392 TP. The annual ET has seen a significant increase, with rates ranging from 1 to 4 mm/year (p < 0.05), primarily 393 in the central and eastern TP, encompassing more than 86% of the TP. Conversely, there has been a notable 394 decrease in annual ET, with rates ranging from -3 to -1 mm/year in the northwestern TP. Similarly, the trends 395 for E_s mirror those of ET, albeit with slightly lower magnitudes (1–3 mm/year, p<0.05). E_c and E_w have shown slightly increasing trends of 0–2 mm/year (p<0.05). When averaged across the entire TP, ET, E_s , and E_c 396 397 exhibited significant increases during the period from 1982 to 2018, with rates of 0.96 mm/year, 0.64 mm/year, 398 and 0.44 mm/year, respectively (p < 0.05; see Fig. 8). Seasonally, positive, and significant trends are observed in 399 all seasons for ET (Fig. 9), with the strongest trends occurring in summer (0.46 mm/year). Furthermore, 400 multisource ET products indicate that most regions of the TP have exhibited consistent ET changes over the 401 past 30 years (Yin et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Li et al., 402 2021; Ma et al., 2022).

403

Figure 8. Spatial patterns of the trends (1982–2018) of the annual (a) ET (evapotranspiration), (b) E_s (soil evaporation), (c) E_c (canopy transpiration), and (d) E_w (intercepted water evaporation) across the TP. The stippling on the maps

Figure 9. Time series of the (a) anomalies in the annual ET and its three components, and (b) anomalies in seasonal mean
 ET. The least squares fitted linear trend are demonstrated by the dashed colored lines.

The rise in ET across the entire TP from 1982 to 2018 can be attributed to the concurrent warming and increased precipitation experienced in this region during the same period. Since the 1980s, the TP has undergone a general trend of greening, warming, and heightened precipitation, as illustrated in Figure 10. ET has consistently increased over the past four decades, but there was a notable shift in climate factors around 2000. From 1982 to 2000, ET showed a continuous increase, accompanied by a rapid decline in wind speed, while the R_n remained relatively stable. However, between 2000 and 2018, there was a sharp decrease in R_n alongside an unchanged wind speed, but ET continued to rise during this period.

417 Consequently, R_n and wind speed are not the dominant factors driving annual variations in ET. The 418 significant increases in T_a , *SM*, and precipitation have coincided with the greening of the land surface over the 419 last two decades. These factors collectively contribute to the observed increase in ET. In the most recent decade, 420 the substantial growth in *SM* has emerged as the primary control factor for ET growth.

422 Figure 10. Time series of the annual anomalies in the (a) NDVI, (b) T_a , (c) R_n , (d) u, (e) *SM*, and (f) precipitation and 423 their least squares fitted linear trends during two periods of 1982-2000 and 2000-2018.

In summary, the increase in ET over the TP can be attributed to multiple factors. The rise in available surface water plays a significant role throughout the study. Additionally, there is evidence of a general increase in precipitation across the TP (Fig. 10). The combined impact of warming (shown by T_a in Fig. 10) and vegetation greening (shown by *NDVI* in Fig. 10) further facilitate the opening of vegetation stomata, promoting increased vegetation transpiration. Warming the land surface and increased wind speeds enhance the efficiency of turbulent water exchange between the land and atmosphere. Furthermore, land surface warming accelerates the melting permafrost and glaciers on the TP. The surface wetting and the thickening of the active soil layer facilitate water transport from the lower soil layers to the upper layers. These environmental changes, such as water availability, precipitation patterns, vegetation dynamics, and temperature trends, all contribute to the increase in ET over the TP.

434 **3.4 Comparison of the MOD16-STM product to other ET products over the TP**

435 We have compared the accuracy of the MOD16-STM product and other available TP region datasets. It is shown in Fig. 11. The MOD16-STM ET model demonstrates high performance on the TP, with an average R² 436 437 value of 0.87 and an average RMSE of 13.48 mm/month. Wang et al. (2018) evaluated a modified PML model 438 for ET estimation on the TP, reporting R² values exceeding 0.85 and RMSE values lower than 14 mm/month. 439 The spatially averaged ET for 1982–2012 is 378.1 mm/year. Wang et al. (2020) assessed the performance of 440 the generalized nonlinear complementary principle for ET estimation based on flux tower observations from the 441 TP. Their results indicated an R² of 0.93 and a RMSE of 0.40 mm/day. The spatially averaged ET during 1982– 2014 is 398.3 mm/year. Han et al. (2021) used a combination of the effective aerodynamic roughness length 442 and the surface energy balance model to estimate ET for the entire TP from 2001 to 2018 (Han-ET). They found 443 good agreement between modeled and in-situ measured values (R²>0.81, RMSE<14.5 mm/month), and the 444 average annual ET is approximately 496 ± 23 mm, which is higher than the 346.5 ± 13.2 mm obtained in this study 445 (Fig. 12). The discrepancy can be attributed to differences in models and periods used in the two studies. Ma et 446 al. (2022) also employed the PML V2 model to estimate ET on the TP (PML), yielding R² and RMSE values 447 ranging from 0.4 to 0.9 and 0.3 to 0.8 mm/day, respectively. The 35-year mean annual ET rates from PML-Ma 448 449 resulted in an average value of 353±24 mm/year for the entire TP. Notably, the proportion of soil evaporation 450 estimated by PML-Ma was approximately 64% of the total ET, which is lower than the estimated 84% in this 451 study. The primary reason for this difference may be attributed to variations in land cover classification. The 452 MODIS land cover classification largely categorizes the land surface in the northwestern TP as bare soil, 453 increasing the proportion of soil evaporation.

Figure 11. Taylor diagram of the monthly-scale ET dataset validated with flux ET observations.

456 **4. Discussions**

457 **4.1 Cross-Comparison of the Spatial Distribution of ET on the TP**

A cross-comparison of the multi-year average values of various ET products is conducted to assess the 458 459 differences and consistency in their spatial patterns. From the spatial distribution of annual average ET (Figure 12), all the ET products for the TP exhibit a decreasing trend from southeast to northwest, consistent with the 460 transition in surface types from forests to grasslands and bare soil. In the Henduan Mountains region, all 461 462 products show high values (>600 mm/year) due to the dense vegetation and ample precipitation. However, significant absolute differences are observed among these 15 ET products. There are high differences among 463 464 the products in the sparsely vegetated western and central TP regions. In the central TP region, where the Han-465 ET product exhibits the highest annual ET (>600 mm/year), while GLDAS-VIC has the lowest (approximately 35 to 50 mm/year). In the northwestern TP, EB-ET, GLDAS, MERR-2, and GLEAM-v3.5a products display 466 467 low values (<50 mm/year), while others range between 100 and 300 mm/year. In the extremely arid Qiangtang 468 Plateau, all products show low values due to limited available surface water. ERA-Interim, ERA5-Land, PML-Zhang, CR-Ma, MOD16-STM, and GLEAM-v3.5b have relatively balanced distributions in the central and 469 western TP regions (200–350 mm/year). There are high differences in the distribution of ET among the products 470 471 in the downstream area of the Yarlung Tsangpo River. The spatial resolution of our product is 0.05°. This might 472 be the reason for MOD16-STM has low ET for this topographic complex region. It is worth noting that MOD16 ET product has many missing values in the northwestern TP region, making it inadequate for a comprehensive 473 25 / 49

476 Figure 12. Spatial distribution of annual averaged ET on the TP during 2000 to 2014 derived from 15 products.

477 **4.2 ET components partitioning**

It is also necessary to have ET components comparative validation to enhance the practicality of the data generated in this study. Unfortunately, there are no measured ET component data publicly available at the moment. Comparative validation can be conducted based on existing research findings. Cui et al. (2020) 26 / 49 estimated the E_c /ET at the Nagqu Station (31.37°N, 91.90°E; 4509 m above sea level) in the central region of the TP using laser spectroscopy and chamber methods. During the observation period, the isotopic-based E_c /ET ranged from 15% to 73%, with an average value of 43%. We calculated E_c /ET from our dataset at the same location and time period (June and July). The values of E_c /ET from MOD16-STM are in the range of 13.1% to 62.6%. The average of E_c /ET is 38.4%±4.7%, which has a difference of 4.6% relative to isotopic estimation. Our E_c /ET estimation is close to the observation at Nagqu. Guo et al. (2017) also pointed that E_c constituted less than half of total ET (41% annually, 29% during monsoon) in Magazangbu catchment over the TP.

488 Moreover, we assess the similarities and differences between MOD16-STM and GLEAM-v3.5a ET components on the TP. Figure 13 shows that GLEAM's E_s values are generally smaller than our estimation 489 490 throughout the TP region. The most recent results from Zheng et al. (2022) also suggest that the GLEAM product 491 underestimates global E_s outputs. Conversely, GLEAM's E_c values are overestimated in the central and eastern TP. The differences in E_w are minimal because the values in that region are inherently small. Previous research 492 493 has indicated that in the central TP region, E_s /ET accounts for over 60% (Cui et al., 2020), and the average 494 E_s /ET ratio across the entire region exceeds 65% (Wang et al., 2018). The reason for the relatively higher E_s in 495 the central TP is that this region primarily consists of high-altitude grassland as the underlying surface. In the 496 summer, the dominant processes are E_c and E_s , but in the winter E_s becomes the predominant process. 497 Consequently, the proportion of E_s is higher over the entire year. GLEAM's results show that the E_c process predominates in the central TP, which differs somewhat from the findings of this study. Zheng et al. (2022) also 498 499 indicate that the E_s process predominates in the central TP, exceeding 300 mm/year. Therefore, the ET 500 components in this study, when compared with previous research, are more in line with the actual conditions in 501 the TP.

502 503

Figure 13. Spatial comparison of ET components and their differences (MOD16-STM minus GLEAM-v3.5a).

4.3 Discrepancy in the estimation of annual ET over the TP

505 Figure 14 provides a comprehensive overview of the periods covered by various ET datasets and their annual ET estimations for the TP. Yao et al. (2013) estimated TP's ET (PT-Yao) in China using a satellite-driven 506 modified Priestley-Taylor algorithm, constrained by NDVI and apparent thermal inertia derived from 507 temperature changes. Their reported mean annual ET for the TP is approximately 320 mm/year. Song et al. 508 509 (2017) estimated TP's ET (PM-Song) from 2000 to 2010 using the improved Penman-Monteith method and meteorological and satellite remote sensing data at a 1 km spatial resolution. They concluded that the average 510 annual ET on the TP is 350.3 mm/year. Additionally, 18 mean annual ET values are estimated using existing 511 ET products (PML-Zhang (Zhang et al., 2019b), EB-ET (Chen et al., 2019), CR-Ma (Ma et al., 2019), CMIP6-512 ssp126 (Eyring et al., 2016), GLDAS-Noah (Rodell et al., 2004), GLASS (Liang et al., 2021), GLEAM-v3.5b 513 (Miralles et al., 2011, 2016), ERAR-Land (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021), MTE (Jung et al., 2010), PM-Li (Li et 514 al., 2014a, 2014b), LPJ-Yin (Yin et al., 2013)) are included for comparison. Han-ET, ERA5-Land, and CMIP6 515 produce the highest values (>400 mm/year), while LPJ-Yin, GLASS, EB-ET, GLDAS, and GLEAM values are 516 less than 300 mm/year. The results demonstrate substantial variability in the TP's estimated mean annual ET 517 values. These differences are influenced by objective factors such as data accuracy, limitations of validation 518

- 519 method, and algorithm flaws. The ensemble mean of all datasets yields an annual ET of 348.6 mm/year, with
- 520 the MOD16-STM model's estimation (346.5 mm/year) being the closest to this ensemble mean. Overall, the

Figure 14. (a) The annual mean ET values of 18 datasets. The *x*-axis is the time coverage of the ET datasets, and the *y*axis is the multiyear mean value. (b) The bars denote the mean values and variations of the annual ET.

522

4.4 Errors caused by objective factors

The MOD16-STM and other models rely on remote sensing and reanalysis data as primary input sources. However, it's essential to acknowledge the inherent uncertainty in these datasets (Ramoelo et al., 2014). For example, the topsoil water content from satellite data includes some errors (Liu et al. 2021). This indicates that SM from GLEAM may introduce uncertainties to our ET estimation. Some studies have documented the greening of the TP. Figure 10a demonstrated a significant decrease in NDVI after 2000, contrasting with the NDVI changes reported by Wang et al. (2022). This inconsistency highlights the considerable uncertainty in the NDVI data.

Additionally, *LST* plays a fundamental role in calculating the surface energy balance. Consequently, errors in ERA5 *LST* can also bring uncertainty to the ET estimation. This study used a threshold value of NDVI (0.25) to categorize land surfaces as bare soil or canopy-covered pixels. This threshold value may miss-classify bare soil and grassland on the TP. The land cover mismatches between the reality and the land surface types in the
 MOD16-STM ET model can also introduce errors in the model simulation.

It is worth noting that flux towers used for validation typically cover areas ranging from a few hundred square meters to several square kilometers. These validation sites' representativeness depends on observation instrument height, turbulence intensity, topography, environment, and vegetation conditions. While site-scale evaluations of the MOD16-STM ET are conducted in this study, it's essential to recognize the uncertainties stemming from the limited number of validation sites. Future research should consider validation across various land cover types, climate zones, elevations, and seasons to provide a more comprehensive assessment of model performance.

545 While the MOD16 model directly estimates ET, bypassing the need for calculating sensible heat, it still relies on empirical coefficients, particularly those redefined for different soil textures. However, the remaining 546 empirical parameters, such as C_L (the mean potential and stomatal conductance per unit leaf area), can introduce 547 548 uncertainties into simulation results. Thus, future studies should prioritize parameterizing these empirical factors 549 based on physical processes to reduce simulation uncertainties. It's crucial to consider the influence of physical 550 processes related to deeper soil water and heat transfer on resistance. The MOD16-STM algorithm's accuracy 551 is highly dependent on higher-precision soil moisture products. Since a substantial portion of the TP is covered 552 by permafrost and seasonally frozen soil, assessing soil moisture conditions during freezing and thawing periods becomes challenging. Consequently, it is essential to employ observations during freeze-thaw periods to validate 553 554 the model's applicability.

In summary, enhancing the model by incorporating physical parameterizations, especially for empirical coefficients, and accounting for the complexities of soil moisture variations in frozen regions will reduce uncertainties in *ET* simulation results in future research.

558 **5. Conclusion**

In this study, we have developed a 37-year (1982–2018) monthly ET dataset with a high spatial resolution (0.05°) for the TP using the newly developed MOD16-STM model. This dataset covers the entire study area with high spatial resolution and a long time series, making it a valuable resource for climate studies. Then, we investigated ET's spatial distribution and temporal trends across the TP. Key findings are summarized below:

• The ET product generated by the MOD16-STM model exhibits strong performance on the TP. 564 Compared to flux tower observation data, the model achieves high R² and IOA values of 0.83 and 0.93,

30 / 49

respectively, with an RMSE of 13.48 mm/month and a modest bias (MB) of 2.58 mm/month. This ET dataset holds potential applications in water resource management, drought monitoring, and ecological studies.

• The ET on the TP displays spatial heterogeneity and temporal variations driven by a combination of atmospheric demand and water supply. Generally, annual ET decreases from the southeastern to the northwestern regions of the TP. E_s accounts for over 84% of the annual ET, and the estimated multiyear mean annual ET on the TP for 1982–2018 is 346.5±13.2 mm. This corresponds to an annual water evaporation of about 0.93±0.037 Gt from the entire TP.

• Significant temporal trends are observed in the ET. Most parts of the central and eastern TP exhibit increasing trends of about 1 to 4 mm/year (p<0.05), whereas the northwestern TP shows a decreasing trend of -3 to -1 mm/year (p<0.05). Averaged across the entire TP, the ET increased significantly at a rate of 0.96 mm/year (p<0.05) from 1982 to 2018. This increase in ET over the entire TP from 1982 to 2018 can be attributed to the warming and wetting of the climate during this period.

578 These findings contribute to a better understanding of the ET dynamics on the Tibetan Plateau and provide 579 a valuable dataset for climate research and related applications.

580 Data availability

The monthly ET dataset presented and analyzed in this article has been released. It is freely available at the Science Data Bank (http://doi.org/10.11922/sciencedb.00020, Y. Ma*, X.Chen*, L. Yuan, 2021) and the National Tibetan Plateau Data Center (TPDC) (https://data.tpdc.ac.cn/en/disallow/e253621a-6334-4ad1-b2b9e1ce2aa9688f/, http://doi.org/10.11888/Terre.tpdc.271913, L. Yuan, X.Chen*, Y. Ma*, 2021). The dataset is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license.

586 Author contributions

587 YMM, LY, and XLC led the writing of this paper and acknowledge responsibility for the experimental 588 data and results. LY, XLC, and YMM drafted the document, and LY led the consolidation of the input and 589 simulation dataset. XLC revised the manuscript. This paper is written in cooperation with all the co-authors.

590 Declaration of Competing Interest

591 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that 592 could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

31 / 49

593 Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the datasets provided by the China-Flux (http://www.chinaflux.org/), Ameri-Flux 594 (https://ameriflux.lbl.gov/), GHG-Europe (http://www.europe-fluxdata.eu/ghg-europe), the National Tibetan 595 596 Plateau Data Center (https://data.tpdc.ac.cn/zh-hans/data), the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECWMF) (https://www.ecmwf.int/), NOAA-NCEI (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/climate-597 data-records/normalized-difference-vegetation), the Global Land Evaporation 598 Amsterdam Model (https://www.gleam.eu/), and the National Earth System Science Data Sharing Infrastructure (http://glass-599 product.bnu.edu.cn/). The authors would like to thank all their colleagues at the observation stations on the TP 600 for their maintenance of the instruments. 601

602 Financial support

This study is funded by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research (STEP) Program (2019QZKK0103 and 2019QZKK0105) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42230610, 91837208, 41975009).

- 606
- 607
- 608
- 609
- 610

611

612

613

- 614
- 615
- 616
- 617

- 619
- 620
- 621

Appendix A: MOD16-STM model validation at flux site out of the Tibetan Plateau

Table A1. Basic information about the five test sites (which are used to test the relationship between soil surface

for the MOD16-STM model) and 12 verification sites (used for the MOD16-STM model) for the MOD16-STM model for the MOD16-STM m

evaluation at site scale). All the stations are located outside of the Tibetan Plateau.

	Site	Lat; lon	Land cover	θ (cm)	fsand	f_{clay}	m_{soc} (%)	θ_{sat}	Soil Texture	Reference
	IT-Cas	45.07; 8.71	CRO	5	0.28	0.29	2.6	/	Clay loam	Denef et al. (2013)
	US-IHO	36.47; 100.62	Bare	5	0.58	0.28	/	0.53	Sandy Clay Loam	Lemone et al. (2007)
Test Sites	US-Arm	36.61; -97.49	CRO	5	0.28	0.43	1.5	/	Clay	Fischer et al. (2007)
Test Sites	CH-Oe2	47.29; 7.73	CRO	5	0.095	0.43	2.8	/	Silty Clay	Alaoui and Goetz (2008)
	US-IB2	41.84; -88.24	GRA	0~15	0.106	0.29	2.4	/	Silty clay Loam	/
	US-Dk1	35.97; -79.09	GRA	10	0.48	0.09	/	0.52	Loam	Novick et al. (2004)
	US-Fwf	35.45; -111.77	GRA	5	0.30	0.13	3.2	/	Silt Loam	Dore et al. (2012)
	US-Wkg	31.74; -109.94	GRA	5	0.67	0.17	1.0	/	Sandy Loam	Ameri-Flux
Verification	CA-Obs	53.98; -105.11	ENF	5	0.72	0.05	4.3	/	Sandy Loam	Ameri-Flux
sites	CA-Ojp	53.91; -104.69	ENF	5	0.94	0.03	2.5	/	Sand	Ameri-Flux
	CA-Ca2	49.87; -125.29	ENF	5	0.74	0.03	3.0	/	Loamy Sand	Ameri-Flux
	CA-Ca3	49.53; -124.90	ENF	5	0.39	0.20	4.9	/	Loam	Ameri-Flux
	US-Dk3	35.97; -79.09	ENF	5	0.25	0.34	2.4	/	Silt Loam	Ameri-Flux
	US-Fuf	35.08; -111.76	ENF	5	0.31	0.35	3.9	/	Clay Loam	Ameri-Flux
	US-Ib1	41.86; -88.22	CRO	2.5	0.10	0.35	1.8	/	Silty clay Loam	Denef et al. (2013)
	ES-ES2	39.28; -0.32	CRO	5	0.11	0.47	3.7	/	Silty Clay	Kutsch et al. (2010)
	IT-Bci	40.52; 14.96	CRO	5	0.32	0.46	1.5	/	Clay	Denef et al. (2013)

637 Table A2. Assessment results of the daily ET (mm/day) simulated by the MOD16-STM model at the 12 verification sites.

	Sites	$R^2(p < 0.05)$	IOA	MB	RMSE
	US-DK1	0.71	0.91	0.27	0.74
Grassland	US-Fwf	0.59	0.84	0.06	0.55
	US-Wkg	0.69	0.84	0.005	0.58
	CA-Obs	0.88	0.96	0.05	0.33
Г	CA-Ojp	0.79	0.93	0.11	0.38
Forest	CA-Ca2	0.77	0.92	0.23	0.49
	CA-Ca3	0.79	0.94	0.02	0.44
	US-Dk3	0.79	0.92	0.51	0.87
	US-Fuf	0.58	0.81	0.33	0.66
	US-Ib1	0.65	0.88	0.39	1.08
Cropland	ES-ES2	0.87	0.91	0.04	0.94
	IT-Bci	0.41	0.76	0.14	1.14
Mean	/	0.72	0.89	0.18	0.68

The in-situ meteorological observation data drive this simulation.

661 Figure A2. Validation of the consistency between the estimated and the observed values for θ_{sat} over the TP.

Figure A4. Time-series comparisons of the daily ET estimated by the MOD16-STM model and observations at the 12
verification sites, which include three grassland sites (US-DK1, US-Fwf, and US-Wkg), three cropland sites (US-IB1,
ES-ES2, and IT-Bci), and six evergreen forest sites (CA-Obs, CA-Ojp, CA-Ca2, CA-Ca3, US-DK3, and US-Fuf)
respectively.

685

691 References

- Alaoui, A., and Goetz, B.: Dye tracer and infiltration experiments to investigate macropore flow, Geoderma.,
 144(1–2), 279–286, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2007.11.020, 2008.
- Baik, J., Liaqat, U. W., and Choi, M.: Assessment of satellite- and reanalysis-based evapotranspiration products
 with two blending approaches over the complex landscapes and climates of Australia, Agric. For. Meteorol.,
- 696 263, 388–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.09.007, 2018.
- Bibi, S., Wang, L., Li, X., Zhou, J., Chen, D., Yao, T.: Climatic and associated cryospheric, biospheric, and
 hydrological changes on the Tibetan Plateau: a review, Int. J. Climatol., 38, 1-17,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5411, 2018.
- 700 Biermann, T., Babel, W., Ma, W., Chen, X., Thiem, E., Ma, Y., Foken, T.: Turbulent flux observations and
- modeling over a shallow lake and a wet grassland in the Nam Co basin, Tibetan Plateau, Theor. Appl.

- 702 Climatol., 116(1–2), 301–316, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0953-6, 2014.
- Blyth, E., and Harding, R. J.: Methods to separate observed global evapotranspiration into the interception,
 transpiration, and soil surface evaporation components, Hydrol. Process., 25(26), 4063–4068,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.8409, 2011.
- Camillo, P. J. and Gurney, R. J.: A resistance parameter for bare soil evaporation models, Soil Sci., 141(2), 95–
 105, https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-198602000-00001, 1986.
- 708 Chang, Y., Qin, D., Ding, Y., Zhao, Q., Zhang, S: A modified MOD16 algorithm to estimate evapotranspiration
- 709 over the alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau, China, J. Hydrol., 561, 16–30,
 710 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.03.054, 2018.
- Chen, D., Xu, B., Yao, T., Guo, Z., Cui, P., Chen, F., Zhang, R., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Fan, J., Hou, Z., Zhang,
 T.: Assessment of past, present, and future environmental changes on the Tibetan Plateau, Kexue
 Tongbao/Chinese Science Bulletin, 60(32), 3025–3035, https://doi.org/10.1360/N972014-01370, 2015.
- Chen, X., Massman, W. J., Su, Z.: A Column Canopy-Air Turbulent Diffusion Method for Different Canopy
 Structures, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124(2), 488–506, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028883, 2019.
- Chen, X., Su, Z., Ma, Y., Liu, S., Yu, Q., Xu, Z.: Development of a 10-year (2001–2010) 0.1° data set of landsurface energy balance for mainland China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(23), 13097–13117,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-13097-2014, 2014.
- Chen, X., Su, Z., Ma, Y., Yang, K., Wen, J., Zhang, Y.: An Improvement of Roughness Height Parameterization
 of the Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) over the Tibetan Plateau, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.,
- 721 52(3), 607–622, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-12-056.1, 2013.
- Chen, X., Su, Z., Ma, Y., Trigo, I., Gentine, P.: Remote Sensing of Global Daily Evapotranspiration based on a
 Surface Energy Balance Method and Reanalysis Data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126(16), e2020JD032873,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032873, 2021.
- 725 Chen, Y., Xia, J., Liang, S., Feng, J., Fisher, J. B., Li, X., Li, X., Liu, S., Ma, Z., Miyata, A., Mu, Q., Sun, L.,
- 726 Tang, J., Wang, K., Wen, J., Xue, Y., Yu, G., Zha, T., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Zhao, T., Zhao, L., Yuan, W.:
- Comparison of satellite-based evapotranspiration models over terrestrial ecosystems in China, Remote
 Sens. Environ., 140, 279–293, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.045, 2014.
- 729 Chen, Y., Yang, K., Tang, W., Qin, J., and Zhao, L.: Parameterizing soil organic carbon's impacts on soil
- porosity and thermal parameters for Eastern Tibet grasslands, Sci. China Earth Sci., 55(6), 1001–1011,
- 731 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-012-4433-0, 2012.

- Che, T., Li, X., Liu, S., Li, H., Xu, Z., Tan, J., Zhang, Y., Ren, Z., Xiao, L., Deng, J., Jin, R., Ma, M., Wang, J.,
 and Yang, X.: Integrated hydrometeorological, snow and frozen-ground observations in the alpine region
 of the Heihe River Basin, China, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 11, 1483–1499, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-111483-2019, 2019.
- Cleugh, H. A., Leuning, R., Mu, Q., Running, S. W.: Regional evaporation estimates from flux tower and
 MODIS satellite data, Remote Sens. Environ., 106(3), 285–304, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.07.007,
 2007.
- Cosby, B. J., Hornberger, G. M., Clapp, R. B., and Ginn, T. R.: A Statistical Exploration of the Relationships
 of Soil Moisture Characteristics to the Physical Properties of Soils, Water Resour. Res., 20(6), 682–690,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i006p00682, 1984.
- Cui, J., Tian, L., Wei, Z., Huntingford, C., Wang, P., Cai, Z., ... Wang, L.: Quantifying the Controls on
 Evapotranspiration Partitioning in the Highest Alpine Meadow Ecosystem. Water Resour. Res., 56(4).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR024815, 2020.
- Dan, J., Gao, Y., and Zhang, M.: testing and Attributing Evapotranspiration Deviations Using Dynamical
 Downscaling and Convection-Permitting Modeling over the Tibetan Plateau, Water., 13(15), 2096:
 https://doi.org/10.3390/w13152096, 2017.
- De Kok, R.J., Kraaijenbrink, P.D.A., Tuinenburg, O.A., Bonekamp, P.N.J., Immerzeel, W.W.: Towards
 understanding the pattern of glacier mass balances in High Mountain Asia using regional climatic modeling,
 Cryosphere, 14, 3215–3234, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3215-2020, 2020.
- Denef, K., Galdo, I. D., Venturi, A., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Assessment of Soil C and N Stocks and Fractions
 across 11European Soils under Varying Land Uses, Open J. Soil Sci., 03(07), 297–313,
 https://doi.org/10.4236/ojss.2013.37035, 2013.
- Dore, S., Montes-Helu, M., Hart, S. C., Hungate, B. A., Koch, G. W., Moon, J. B., Finkral, A., Kolb, T. E.:
 Recovery of ponderosa pine ecosystem carbon and water fluxes from thinning and stand-replacing fire,
 Glob. Chang. Biol., 18(10), 3171–3185, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02775.x, 2012.
- Ding, J., Chen, L., Ji, C., Hugelius, G., Li, Y., Liu, L., Qin, S., Zhang, B., Yang, G., Li, F., Fang, K., Chen, Y.,
 Peng, Y., Zhao, X., He, H., Smith, P., Fang, J., Yang, Y.: Decadal soil carbon accumulation across Tibetan
- permafrost regions, Nature Geoscience, 10(6), 420–424, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2945, 2017.
- 760 Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the
- Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, Geosci.
 40 / 49

- 762 Model Dev., 9, 1937–1958. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016, 2016.
- Farouki, O.T.: The thermal properties of soils in cold regions, Cold Reg. Sci., Technol. 5, 67–75,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(81)90041-0, 1981.
- Fischer, M. L., Billesbach, D. P., Berry, J. A., Riley, W. J., and Torn, M. S.: Spatiotemporal variations in
 growing season exchanges of CO2, H2O, and sensible heat in agricultural fields of the Southern Great
 Plains, Earth Interact., 11(17), https://doi.org/10.1175/EI231.1, 2007.
- Gan, R., Zhang, Y., Shi, H., Yang, Y., Eamus, D., Cheng, L., Chiew, F., Yu, Q.: Use of satellite leaf area index
 estimating evapotranspiration and gross assimilation for Australian ecosystems, Ecohydrology, 11(5),
 e1974, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1974, 2018.
- Good, S. P., Noone, D., and Bowen, G.: Hydrologic connectivity constrains partitioning of global terrestrial
 water fluxes, Science, 349(6244), 175–177, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5931, 2015.
- Guo, X., Tian, L., Wang, L., Yu, W., Qu, D.: River recharge sources and the partitioning of catchment
 evapotranspiration fluxes as revealed by stable isotope signals in a typical high-elevation arid catchment.
 J. Hydrol., 549, 616–630, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.04.037, 2017
- Han, C., Ma, Y., Chen, X., Su, Z.: Trends of land surface heat fluxes on the Tibetan Plateau from 2001 to 2012,
 Int. J. Climatol., 37, 4757–4767, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5119, 2017.
- Han, C., Ma, Y., Wang, B., Zhong, L., Ma, W., Chen, X., and Su, Z.: Long-term variations of actual
 evapotranspiration over the Tibetan Plateau, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 13, 3513–3524,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3513-202, 2021.
- He, J., Yang, K., Tang, W., Lu, H., Qin, J., Chen, Y., Li, X.: The first high-resolution meteorological forcing
 dataset for land process studies over China, Sci. Data., 7(1), 25, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0369y, 2020.
- Immerzeel, W. W., Van Beek, L. P. H., Bierkens, M. F. P.: Climate change will affect the Asian water towers.
 Science, 2010, 328(5984), 1382–1385. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183188
- 786 Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A. F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby, S., Davies,
- 787 B., Elmore, A., Emmer, A., Feng, M., Fernández, A., Haritashya, U., Kargel, J., Koppes, M., Kraaijenbrink,
- 788 P., Kulkarni, A., Mayewski, P., Nepal, S., Pacheco, P., Painter, T., Pellicciotti, F., Rajaram, H., Rupper, S.,
- 789 Sinisalo, A., Shrestha, A., Viviroli, D., Wada, Y., Xiao, C., Yao, T., Baillie, J. E. M.: Importance and
- vulnerability of the world' 's water towers, Nature, 577(7790), 364–369, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-
- 791 019-1822-y, 2020.

- Irmak, S., and Mutiibwa, D.: On the dynamics of canopy resistance: Generalized linear estimation and
 relationships with primary micrometeorological variables, Water Resour. Res., 46(8), W08526,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008484, 2010.
- Jarvis, P. G.: The Interpretation of the Variations in Leaf Water Potential and Stomatal Conductance Found in
 Canopies in the Field. Philos, Trans. R. Soc. London. B, Biol. Sci., 273(927), 593–610,
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1976.0035, 1976.
- 798 Jiang, Y., Yang, K., Qi, Y., Zhou, X., He, J., Lu, H., Li, X., Chen, Y., Li, X., Zhou, B., Mamtimin, A., Shao, C.,
- Ma, X., Tian, J., and Zhou, J.: TPHiPr: a long-term (1979–2020) high-accuracy precipitation dataset (1/
 30°, daily) for the Third Pole region based on high-resolution atmospheric modeling and dense
 observations, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 621–638, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-621-2023, 2023.
- Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Seneviratne, S. I., Sheffield, J., Goulden, M. L., Bonan, G., Cescatti, A.,
 Chen, J., De Jeu, R., Dolman, A., Eugster, W., Gerten, D., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Heinke, J., Kimball,
- J., Law, B., Montagnani, L., Mu, Q., Mueller, B., Oleson, K., Papale, D., Richardson, A., Roupsard, O.,
- Running, S., Tomelleri, E., Viovy, N., Weber, U., Williams. C., Wood, E., Zaehle, S., Zhang, K.: Recent
 decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to limited moisture supply, Nature, 467(7318), 951–
 954, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09396, 2010.
- Khan, M. S., Liaqat, U. W., Baik, J., and Choi, M.: Stand-alone uncertainty characterization of GLEAM,
 GLDAS, and MOD16 evapotranspiration products using an extended triple collocation approach, Agric.
 For. Meteorol., 252, 256–268, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.01.022, 2018.
- Kuang, X., and Jiao, J. J.: Review on climate change on the Tibetan plateau during the last half century, J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 3979-4007, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024728, 2016.
- 813 Kutsch, W. L., Aubinet, M., Buchmann, N., Smith, P., Osborne, B., Eugster, W., Wattenbach, M., Schrumpf,
- 814 M., Schulze, E., Tomelleri, E., Ceschia, E., Bernhofer, C., Béziat, P., Carrara, A., Di Tommasi, P.,
- 815 Grunwald, T., Jones, M., Magliulo, V., Moureaux, C., Olioso, A., Sanz, M., Saunders, M., Sógaard, H.,
- 816 Ziegler, W.: The net biome production of full crop rotations in Europe, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 139(3),
- 817 336–345, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.07.016, 2010.
- Kool, D., Agam, N., Lazarovitch, N., Heitman, J.L., Sauer, T.J., Ben-Gal, A.: A review of approaches for
 evapotranspiration partitioning, Agric. For. Meteorol., 184, 56–70,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.09.003, 2014.

- Koster, R. D., and Suarez, M. J.: The Influence of Land Surface Moisture Retention on Precipitation Statistics.
 J. Clim., 9(10), 2551–2567, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009, 1996.
- Lawrence, D. M., Thornton, P. E., Oleson, K. W., and Bonan, G. B.: The Partitioning of Evapotranspiration into
 Transpiration, Soil Evaporation, and Canopy Evaporation in a GCM: Impacts on Land–Atmosphere
 Interaction, J. Hydrometeorol., 8, 862–880, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM596.1, 2007.
- Lehmann, P., Merlin, O., Gentine, P., and Or, D.: Soil texture effects on surface resistance to bare soil evaporation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(19), 10, 398-10, 405, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078803, 2018.
- Lemone, M. A., Chen, F., Alfieri, J. G., Cuenca, R. H., Hagimoto, Y., Blanken, P., Niyogi, D., Kang, S., Davis,

K., Grossman, R. L.: NCAR/CU surface, soil, and vegetation observations during the International H2O

- Project 2002 field campaign, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc, 88(1), 65–81, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-881-65, 2007.
- 832 Letts, M.G., Comer, N.T., Roulet, N.T., Skarupa, M.R., Verseghy, D.L.: Parametrization of peatland hydraulic 833 properties for the Canadian land surface scheme, Atmos. Ocean., 38, 141 - 160,834 https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2000.9649643, 2000.
- Leuning, R., Zhang, Y.Q., Rajaud, A., Cleugh, H., Tu, K.: A simple surface conductance model to estimate
 regional evaporation using MODIS leaf area index and the Penman–Monteith equation, Water Resour.,
 Res. 44 (10), W10419, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006562, 2010.
- Liang, S., Cheng, J., Jia, K., Jiang, B., Liu, Q., Xiao, Z., Yao, Y., Yuan, W., Zhang, X., Zhao, X., Zhou, J.: The
 global land surface satellite (GLASS) product suite, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 102, E323–E337,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0341.1, 2021.
- Liu, J., Chai, L., Dong, J., Zheng, D., Wigneron, J. P., Liu, S., Zhou, J., Xu, T., Yang, S., Song, Y., Qu, Y., Lu, 841 Z.: Uncertainty analysis of eleven multisource soil moisture products in the third pole environment based 842 843 the three-corned hat method, Remote Sens. Environ., 255, 112225, on 844 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112225, 2021.
- Liu, S., Lu, L., Mao, D., and Jia, L.: Evaluating parameterizations of aerodynamic resistance to heat transfer
 using field measurements, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 769–783, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-11-769-2007,
 2007.
- 848 Liu, S.M., Li, X., Xu, Z.W., Che, T., Xiao, Q., Ma, M.G., Liu, Q.H., Jin, R., Guo, J.W., Wang, L.X., Wang,
- 849 W.Z., Qi, Y., Li, H.Y., Xu, T.R., Ran, Y.H., Hu, X.L., Shi, S.J., Zhu, Z.L., Tan, J.L., Zhang, Y., Ren, Z.G.:
- 850 The Heihe Integrated Observatory Network: A Basin-Scale Land Surface Processes Observatory in China, 43 / 49

- Liu, S. M., Xu, Z. W., Wang, W. Z., Jia, Z. Z., Zhu, M. J., Bai, J., and Wang, J. M.: A comparison of eddycovariance and large aperture scintillometer measurements with respect to the energy balance closure problem, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 1291–1306, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-1291-2011, 2011.
- Li, S., Hao, X., Du, T., Tong, L., Zhang, J., Kang, S.: A coupled surface resistance model to estimate crop
 evapotranspiration in the arid region of northwest China, Hydrol. Process., 28(4), 2312–2323,
 https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.04.0072, 2013.
- Li, S., Wang, G., Sun, S., Chen, H., Bai, P., Zhou, S., Huang, Y., Wang, J., Deng, P.: Assessment of Multisource
 Evapotranspiration Products over China Using Eddy Covariance Observations, Remote Sens., 10(11),
 1692, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111692, 2018.
- 861 Li, X., Liang, S., Yuan, W., Yu, G., Cheng, X., Chen, Y., Zhao, T., Feng, J., Ma, Z., Ma, M., Liu, S., Chen, J.,
- Shao, C., Li, S., Zhang, X., Zhang, Z., Sun, G., Chen, S., Ohta, T., Varlagin, A., Miyata, A., Takagi, K.,
 Saiqusa, N., Kato, T.: Estimation of evapotranspiration over the terrestrial ecosystems in China,
 Ecohydrology, 7(1), 139–149, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1341, 2014a.
- Li, X., Wang, L., Chen, D., Yang, K., and Wang, A.: Seasonal evapotranspiration changes (1983-2006) of four
 large basins on the Tibetan Plateau, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119(23), 13,079–13,095,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022380, 2014b.
- Li, S., Zhang, L., Kang, S., Tong, L., Du, T., Hao, X., and Zhao, P.: Comparison of several surface resistance
 models for estimating crop evapotranspiration over the entire growing season in arid regions, Agric. For.
 Meteorol., 208, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.04.002, 2015.
- Ma, N., Szilagyi, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, W.: Complementary-Relationship-Based Modeling of Terrestrial
 Evapotranspiration Across China During 1982–2012: Validations and Spatiotemporal Analyses, J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124(8), 4326–4351, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029850, 2019.
- Ma, N., Zhang, Y.: Increasing Tibetan Plateau terrestrial evapotranspiration primarily driven by precipitation,
 Agric. For. Meteorol., 317, 108887, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.108887, 2022.
- Ma, N., Zhang, Y., Guo, Y., Gao, H., Zhang, H., Wang, Y.: Environmental and biophysical controls on the
 evapotranspiration over the highest alpine steppe, J. Hydrol., 529, 980–992,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.013, 2015.
- 879 Ma, N., Y. Zhang, C.-Y. Xu, and J. Szilagyi: Modeling actual evapotranspiration with routine meteorological
- variables in the data-scarce region of the Tibetan Plateau: Comparisons and implications, J. Geophys. Res.
 44 / 49

⁸⁵¹ Vadose Zo. J., 17(1), 180072, https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.04.0072, 2018.

- Biogeosci., 120, 1638–1657, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003006, 2015.
- Ma, Y., Hu, Z., Xie, Z., Ma, W., Wang, B., Chen, X., Li, M., Zhong, L., Sun, F., Gu, L., Han, C., Zhang, L.,
 Liu, X., Ding, Z., Sun, G., Wang, S., Wang, Y., and Wang, Z.: A long-term (2005–2016) dataset of hourly
 integrated land–atmosphere interaction observations on the Tibetan Plateau, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 12,
- 885 2937–2957, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2937-2020, 2020.
 886 Merlin, O., Stefan, V. G., Amazirh, A., Chanzy, A., Ceschia, E., Er-Raki, S., Khabba, S.: Modeling soil
- evaporation efficiency in a range of soil and atmospheric conditions using a meta-analysis approach, Water
 Resour. Res., 52(5), 3663–3684, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018233, 2016.
- Miralles, D. G., Holmes, T. R. H., De Jeu, R. A. M., Gash, J. H., Meesters, A. G. C. A., Dolman, A. J.: Global
 land-surface evaporation estimated from satellite-based observations, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15(2), 453–
 469, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-453-2011, 2011.
- Miralles, D. G., Jiménez, C., Jung, M., Michel, D., Ershadi, A., McCabe, M. F., Hirschi, M., Martens, B.,
 Dolman, A. J., Fisher, J. B., Mu, Q., Seneviratne, S. I., Wood, E. F., and Fernández-Prieto, D.: The
 WACMOS-ET project Part 2: Evaluation of global terrestrial evaporation data sets, Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci., 20, 823–842, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-823-2016, 2016.
- 896 Monteith, J.L.: Evaporation and environment. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol., 19, 205–234, 1965.
- Muñoz-Sabater, J., Dutra, E., Agustí-Panareda, A., Albergel, C., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Boussetta, S.,
 Choulga, M., Harrigan, S., Hersbach, H., Martens, B., Miralles, D., Piles, M., Rodríguez-Fernández, N.,
 Zsoter, E., Thépaut, J. N.: ERA5-Land: A state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for land applications,
- 900 Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13(9), 4349–4383, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4349-2021, 2021.
- Mu, Q., Heinsch, F. A., Zhao, M., and Running, S. W.: Development of a global evapotranspiration algorithm
 based on MODIS and global meteorology data, Remote Sens. Environ., 111(4), 519–536.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.04.015, 2007.
- Mu, Q., Zhao, M., and Running, S. W.: Improvements to a MODIS global terrestrial evapotranspiration
 algorithm, Remote Sens. Environ., 115(8), 1781–1800, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.02.019, 2011.
- Novick, K. A., Stoy, P. C., Katul, G. G., Ellsworth, D. S., Siqueira, M. B. S., Juang, J., Oren, R.: Carbon dioxide
 and water vapor exchange in a warm temperate grassland, Oecologia., 138(2), 259–274,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1388-z, 2004.
- 909 Ortega-Farias, S., Poblete-Echeverría, C., and Brisson, N.: Parameterization of a two-layer model for estimating
- 910 vineyard evapotranspiration using meteorological measurements, Agric. For. Meteorol., 150(2), 276–286, 45 / 49

- 911 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.11.012, 2010.
- Peng, J., Loew, A., Chen, X., Ma, Y., and Su, Z.: Comparison of satellite-based evapotranspiration estimates
 over the Tibetan Plateau. Hydrol, Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 3167–3182, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-31672016, 2016.
- 915 Phillips, T. J., Klein, S. A., Ma, H. Y., Tang, Q., Xie, S., Williams, I. N., Joseph, A., David, R., Margaret, S.:
- Using ARM observations to evaluate climate model simulations of land-atmosphere coupling on the U.S.
 Southern Great Plains, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122(21), 11,524–11, 548, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027141, 2017.
- Ramoelo, A., Majozi, N., Mathieu, R., Jovanovic, N., Nickless, A., and Dzikiti, S.: Validation of Global
 Evapotranspiration Product (MOD16) using Flux Tower Data in the African Savanna, South Africa,
 Remote Sens.-Basel, 6, 7406–7423, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs6087406, 2014.
- Rodell, M., Houser, P. R., Jambor, U., Gottschalck, J., Mitchell, K., Meng, C. J., Arsenault, K., Cosgrovem B.,
 Radakovich, J., Bosilovich, M., Entin, J., Walker, J., Lohmann, D., Toll, D.: The Global Land Data
 Assimilation System, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 85, 381–394, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-85-3-381,
 2004.
- Sakaguchi, K., Zeng, X.: Effects of soil wetness, plant litter, and under-canopy atmospheric stability on ground
 evaporation in the Community Land Model (CLM3.5), J. Geophys. Res., Atmos. 114(D1),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010834, 2009.
- Sellers, P. J., Randall, D. A., Collatz, G. J., Berry, J. A., Field, C. B., Dazlich, D. A., and Bounoua, L.: A Revised
 Land Surface Parameterization (SiB2) for Atmospheric GCMS. Part I: Model Formulation, J. Clim. 9(4),
 676–705, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<0676:ARLSPF>2.0.CO;2, 1996.
- Schlesinger, W. H., and Jasechko, S.: Transpiration in the global water cycle, Agric. For. Meteorol., 189-190,
 115–117, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.011, 2014.
- Shi, Q., Liang, S.: Surface-sensible and latent heat fluxes over the Tibetan Plateau from ground measurements,
 reanalysis, and satellite data, Amos. Chem. Phys.,14, 5659–5677, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-56592014, 2014.
- Sobrino, J. A., Jiménez-Muñoz, J. C., and Paolini, L.: Land surface temperature retrieval from LANDSAT TM
 5, Remote Sens. Environ., 90(4), 434–440, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2004.02.003, 2004.
- 939 Song, L., Zhuang, Q., Yin, Y., Zhu, X., and Wu, S.: Spatio-temporal dynamics of evapotranspiration on the
- 940 Tibetan Plateau from 2000 to 2010, Environ. Res. Lett., 12(1), 014011, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-46 / 49

941 9326/aa527d, 2017.

- Su, Z.: The Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS) for estimating turbulent heat fluxes, Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci., 6, 85–100, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-85-2002, 2002.
- Sun, S.F.: Moisture and heat transport in a soil layer forced by atmospheric conditions, Master thesis, Dept. of
 Civil Engineering, University of Connecticut, 72, 1982.
- Tang, J. Y. and Riley, W. J.: A new top boundary condition for modeling surface diffusive exchange of a generic
 volatile tracer: theoretical analysis and application to soil evaporation, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17, 873–
 893, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-873-2013, 2013.
- Wang, B., Ma, Y., Su, Z., Wang, Y., and Ma, W.: Quantifying the evaporation amounts of 75 high-elevation
- large dimictic lakes on the Tibetan Plateau, Sci. Adv., 6(26), https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay8558, 2020.
- Wang, G., Lin, S., Hu, Z., Lu, Y., Sun, X., and Huang, K.: Improving Actual Evapotranspiration Estimation
 Integrating Energy Consumption for Ice Phase Change Across the Tibetan Plateau, J. Geophys. Res.
 Atmos., 125(3), e2019JD031799, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031799, 2020.
- Wang, W., Li, J., Yu, Z., Ding, Y., Xing, W., Lu, W.: Satellite retrieval of actual evapotranspiration in the
 Tibetan Plateau: components partitioning, multi-decadal trends and dominated factors identifying, J.
 Hydrol., 559, 471–485, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.02.065, 2018.
- Wang, Y., Lv, W., Xue, K. et al. Grassland changes and adaptive management on the Qinghai–Tibetan
 Plateau. Nat. Rev. Earth. Environ 3, 668–683 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00330-8
- Wei, Z., Yoshimura, K., Wang, L., Miralles, D. G., Jasechko, S., Lee, X.: Revisiting the contribution of
 transpiration to global terrestrial evapotranspiration. Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 2792–2801.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072235
- Wieder, W.R., J. Boehnert, G.B. Bonan, and M. Langseth.: Regridded Harmonized World Soil Database v1.2.
 Data set, Available online [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active
 Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1247, 2014.
- 965 Wilcox, B.P., Breshears, D.D., Seyfried, M.S.: Water balance on rangelands, In: Stewart, B.A., Howell, T.A.
- 966 (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Water Science, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 791–794,
 967 http://www.cprl.ars.usda.gov/wmru/pdfs/DekkerEvettTDR.pdf, 2003.
- Wu, C., Hu, B. X., Huang, G., and Zhang, H.: Effects of climate and terrestrial storage on the temporal variability
 of actual evapotranspiration, J. Hydrol., 549, 388–403, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.04.012, 2017.
- 970 Yang, K., He, J., Tang, W., Qin, J., Cheng, C. C. K.: On downward shortwave and longwave radiations over 47 / 49

- high altitude regions: Observation and modeling in the Tibetan Plateau, Agric. For. Meteorol., 150(1), 38–
 46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.08.004, 2010.
- Yang, K., Koike, T., Ishikawa, H., Kim, J., Li, X., Liu, H., Liu S., Ma Y., Wang, J.: Turbulent flux transfer over
 bare-soil surfaces: Characteristics and parameterization, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 47(1), 276–290,
- 975 https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAMC1547.1, 2008.
- Yang, K., Wu, H., Qin, J., Lin, C., Tang, W., and Chen, Y.: Recent climate changes over the Tibetan Plateau
 and their impacts on energy and water cycle: A review, Glob. Planet. Change., 112, 79–91,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.12.001, 2014.
- Yang, Y., Liu, Y., Li, M., Hu, Z., Ding, Z.: Assessment of reanalysis flux products based on eddy covariance
 observations over the Tibetan Plateau, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 138, 275–292,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-019-02811-1, 2019.
- Yao, T., Thompson, L., Yang, W., Yu, W., Gao, Y., Guo, X., Yang, X., Duan, K., Zhao, H., Xu, B., Pu, J., Lu,
 A., Xiang, Y., Kattel, D., Joswiak, D.: Different glacier status with atmospheric circulations in Tibetan
 Plateau and surroundings, Nature Clim.Change, 2(9), 663–667, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1580,
 2012.
- Yao, Y., Liang, S., Cheng, J., Liu, S., Fisher, J. B., Zhang, X., Jia, K., Zhao, X., Qin, Q., Zhao, B., Han, S.,
 Zhou, G., Li, Y., Zhao, S.: MODIS-driven estimation of terrestrial latent heat flux in China based on a
 modified Priestley-Taylor algorithm, Agric. For. Meteorol., 171–172, 187–202,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.11.016, 2013.
- Yin, Y., Wu, S., Zhao, D., Zheng, D., Pan, T.: Modeled effects of climate change on actual evapotranspiration
 in different eco-geographical regions in the Tibetan Plateau, J. Geogr. Sci., 23(2), 195–207,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1341, 2013.
- You, Q., Xue, X., Peng, F., Dong, S., Gao, Y.: Surface water and heat exchange comparison between alpine
 meadow and bare land in a permafrost region of the Tibetan Plateau, Agric. For. Meteorol., 232, 48–65,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.08.004, 2017.
- Yuan, L., Ma, Y., Chen, X., Wang, Y., Li, Z.: An enhanced MOD16 evapotranspiration model for the Tibetan
 Plateau during the unfrozen season, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126, e2020JD032787,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032787, 2021.
- 999 Yu, G. R., Wen, X. F., Sun, X. M., Tanner, B. D., Lee, X., Chen, J. Y.: Overview of ChinaFLUX and evaluation
- 1000 of its eddy covariance measurement, Agric. For. Meteorol., 137(3-4), 125-137,

- 1001 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.02.011, 2006.
- Zhang, G., T. Yao, H. Xie, K. Yang, L. Zhu, C. K. Shum, T. Bolch, S. Yi, S. Allen, L. Jiang, W. Chen, and C.
 Ke: Response of Tibetan Plateau lakes to climate change: Trends, patterns, and mechanisms, Earth-Science
 Reviews, 103269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103269, 2020.
- Zhang, L. M., Luo, Y. W., Liu, M., Chen, Z., Su, W., He et al.: Carbon and water fluxes observed by the Chinese
 Flux Observation and Research Network (2003–2005) (in Chinese), Sci. Data., 4(1),
 https://doi.org/10.11922/csdata.2018.0028.zh, 2019.
- Zhang, K., Kimball, J. S., Nemani, R. R., and Running, S. W.: A continuous satellite-derived global record of
 land surface evapotranspiration from 1983 to 2006, Water Resour. Res., 46(9), W09522,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008800, 2010.
- Zhang, Y., Kong, D., Gan, R., Chiew, F. H. S., McVicar, T. R., Zhang, Q., and Yang, Y.: Coupled estimation
 of 500 m and 8-day resolution global evapotranspiration and gross primary production in 2002–2017,
 Remote Sens. 820 Environ., 222, 165–182, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.12.031, 2019b.
- Zhang, Y., Peña-Arancibia, J. L., McVicar, T. R., Chiew, F. H. S., Vaze, J., Liu, C., Lu, X., Zheng, H., Wang,
 Y., Liu, Y., Miralles, D., Pan, M.: Multi-decadal trends in global terrestrial evapotranspiration and its
 components, Sci. Rep., 6, 19124, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19124, 2016.
- Zhao, H., Zeng, Y., Lv, S., and Su, Z.: Analysis of soil hydraulic and thermal properties for land surface
 modeling over the Tibetan Plateau, Earth Syst. Sci. Data., 10, 1031–1061, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-101031-2018, 2018.
- Zheng, C., Jia, L., Hu, G.: Global Land Surface Evapotranspiration Monitoring by ETMonitor Model Driven
 by Multi-source Satellite Earth Observations. J. Hydrol., 128444,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.128444, 2022.
- Zheng, D., Zhang, Q., Wu, S.: Mountain genecology and sustainable development of the Tibetan Plateau,
 Springer Science & Business Media, 57, 2000.
- Zhong, L., Ma, Y., Hu, Z., Fu, Y., Hu, Y., Wang, X., Cheng, M., and Ge, N.: Estimation of hourly land surface
 heat fluxes over the Tibetan Plateau by the combined use of geostationary and polar-orbiting satellites,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 5529–5541, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-5529-2019, 2019.
- 1028 Zohaib, M., H. Kim, and M. Choi.: Evaluating the patterns of spatiotemporal trends of root zone soil moisture
- in major climate regions in East Asia, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 7705–7722,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026379, 2017.