

Topical Editor decision: Publish subject to technical corrections

Comments to the author:

Thanks for the detailed response to the reviewers comments.

Dear Prof. Schultz, thanks for taking care of the review process.

I have 3 small things to ask you before the paper can be published:

1) please improve Figure 10 - the lower two rows appear as almost monochrome blue panels

Taking into account your advice, we have produced a new version of Figure 10. Hopefully, the new version will be easier to interpret.

2) in the code and data availability section please include the link to a permanent copy of the git repo (for example on zenodo). This snapshot copy may well include a link back to the live repo, but for reproducibility reasons it is important that the code is available at a location that is outside your control (once you published it there). You can include both links in the code and data availability section then.

We published the code on zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7428215>). In the code and data availability section we have included the permanent DOI, and a link to the live repository, as suggested.

3) please run a spell checker one more time - I discovered a typo at the beginning of the Conclusions. Perhaps there are more?

Thanks for noticing this. We checked the entire manuscript, thus the new version should be OK (or at least have few typos).

Once again, thanks for managing the revision process.