

5



A 41-year (1979-2019) passive microwave derived lake ice phenology data record of the Northern Hemisphere

Yu Cai¹, Claude R. Duguay^{2,3}, Chang-Qing Ke¹

¹ Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science and Technology, Key Laboratory for Land Satellite Remote Sensing Applications of Ministry of Natural Resources, School of Geography and Ocean Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

² Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

10 ³ H2O Geomatics Inc., Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to: Chang-Qing Ke (kecq@nju.edu.cn)

Abstract: Seasonal ice cover is one of the important attributes of lakes at middle and high latitude regions. The annual freeze-up

- 15 and break-up dates and the durations of ice cover (i.e., lake ice phenology) are sensitive to the weather and climate, and hence can be used as an indicator of climate variability and change. The Calibrated Enhanced Resolution Brightness Temperature (CETB) dataset available from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) provides an alternate source of passive microwave brightness temperature (T_B) measurements for the determination of lake ice phenology on a 3.125 km grid. This study used Scanning Multi-channel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR), Special Sensor Microwave Image (SSM/I) and Special Sensor
- 20 Microwave Imager/Sounder (SSMIS) data from the CETB dataset to extract the ice phenology for 56 lakes across the Northern Hemisphere from 1979 to 2019. According to the differences in T_B between lake ice and open water, a threshold algorithm based on the moving t test method was applied to determine the lake ice status for grids located at least 6.25 km away from the lake shore, and the ice phenology dates for each lake were then extracted. When ice phenology could be extracted from more than one satellite over overlapping periods, results from the satellite offering the largest number of observations were prioritized. The lake
- 25 ice phenology results showed strong agreement with an existing product derived from Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS (AMSR-E) and Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2 (AMSR-2) data (2002 to 2015), with mean absolute errors of ice dates ranging from 2 to 4 days. Compared to near-shore in-situ observations, the lake ice results, while different in terms of spatial coverage, still showed overall consistencies. The produced lake ice record also displayed significant consistencies when compared to a historical record of annual maximum ice cover of the Laurentian Great Lakes of North America. From 1979 to 2019,
- 30 the average complete freezing duration and ice cover duration for lakes forming a complete ice cover on an annual basis were 153 and 161 days, respectively. The lake ice phenology dataset a new climate data record (CDR) will provide valuable information to the user community about the changing ice cover of lakes in the last four decades. The dataset is available at https://www.pangaea.de/tok/c8fc0eab3d30777fc38979ad514217b6b7e86a65 (Cai et al., 2021).

1 Introduction

35 Climate change is one of the major challenges facing humanity. New technologies and methods are urgently needed to monitor and quantify the rapid changes in climate at the regional and global scale. Lakes are closely tied to climate conditions and are characterized by many important parameters for long-term monitoring of climate change, including the coverage and duration of





lake ice. For lakes located at middle and high latitudes, the spatial and temporal coverage of lake ice and key phenological events provide important information about changes in weather and climate. Lake ice phenology describes the seasonal evolution of ice

- 40 cover, including the freeze-up and break-up dates, and ice cover duration (Duguay et al., 2015). The presence or absence of lake ice affects lake-atmosphere interactions, thus affecting hydrological and ecological processes in lakes (Duguay et al., 2006; 2015; Mishra et al., 2011; Hampton et al., 2017). The coverage and duration of lake ice also affect human activities such as transportation, fishing, and winter recreational activities (Brown and Duguay, 2010; Prowse et al., 2011; Du et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2019). Changing climate conditions in the cold season will alter the temporal and spatial characteristics of mass (such as precipitation and
- 45 suspended particles) and energy (such as solar radiation and atmospheric heat) input into the lake, thus affecting the freeze-thaw processes of lake ice (Mishra et al., 2011). On the other hand, changes in the timing of freeze-up and break-up will cause sudden changes in lake surface properties (such as albedo and roughness) and affect the exchange between lakes and the atmosphere. Lake ice (as well as river ice) phenology is one of the most detailed climate data record (CDR) with the longest historical

coverage. For example, the Global River and Lake Ice Phenology Database (GRLIPD) from the National Snow and Ice Data Center

- 50 (NSIDC) contains ice phenology records for 865 sites beginning in 1443, including 24,438 ice-on records (beginning in 1443) and 33,370 ice-off records (beginning in 1693) (Benson et al., 2000). GLRIPD provides a valuable data source for the study of historical changes in river and lake ice in the Northern Hemisphere. Based on this dataset, several investigations have reported that the ice phenology of rivers and lakes in the Northern Hemisphere has been changing with trends towards later freeze-up and earlier breakup in different periods of the past century. For example, Magnuson et al. (2000) found that the freeze-up dates of river and lake ice
- 55 had a delaying trend at a rate of 5.8 days/century, and the break-up dates had an advancing trend at a rate of 6.5 days/century from 1846 to 1995, and the change rates of freeze-up and break-up dates accelerated after 1950. Similarly, Benson et al. (2012) found that the freeze-up dates were occurring later at a rate of 0.03 0.16 day/year, and the break-up dates were occurring earlier at a rate of 0.05 0.19 day/year from 1855 to 2005, resulting in a shortening of ice cover duration at the rate of 0.07 0.43 day/year. Thus far, widespread lake ice loss has been found across the Northern Hemisphere and is expected to continue in the next decades
- 60 (Weyhenmeyer et al., 2011; Magnuson and Lathrop, 2014; Sharma et al., 2019; Woolway and Merchant, 2019). Also, some lakes at high latitudes have changed from permanent/multi-year ice cover to seasonal ice cover, and some lakes in warm regions have changed from seasonal ice cover to intermittent ice cover (Surdu et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2019). However, GLRIPD sites are mainly concentrated in North America, northern Europe, and Russia. There are large areas in the world where in-situ lake ice records are still lacking or incomplete (Weber et al., 2016). In addition, many sites (especially those in Canada and Russia) stopped
- 65 recording freeze-up and break-up dates in the late 1980s and 1990s, resulting in a rapid decrease in the lake ice records in recent decades (Murfitt and Duguay, 2021).

Satellite remote sensing has the advantage of observing the Earth's surface over large areas at a fixed time interval and has been widely used to monitor lake ice in recent decades. Most spaceborne satellites can observe the status of lake ice based on the different signals returned by ice and water. According to the wavelength used, remote sensing of lake ice can be divided into two

- 70 categories: optical remote sensing and microwave remote sensing. Optical sensors with medium resolution (ca. 250-1000 m) usually provide a short revisit period (daily) and therefore have been widely used to estimate lake ice phenology (Arp et al., 2013; Kropáček et al., 2013; Weber et al., 2016). For example, the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) reflectance data have been used to extend existing in-situ observations in Canada (Latifovic and Pouliot, 2007). The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) land surface temperature product (1 km) and snow cover product (500 m) have also been
- 75 used to determine lake ice status and extract lake ice phenology (Nonaka et al., 2007; Hall et al., 2010; Pour et al., 2012; Weber et al., 2016; Kropáček et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021). However, optical sensors are easily affected by cloud cover and illumination conditions, which limits their ability for lake ice monitoring under cloudy weather and at high latitudes (Maslanik and





Barry, 1987; Helfrich et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2012). Microwave remote sensing allows for acquisitions regardless of cloud cover and dust in the atmosphere and is not affected by illumination conditions (Engram et al., 2018; Geldsetzer et al., 2010). Active

- 80 microwave provides capabilities for the monitoring lake ice based on the difference in backscatter between lake ice and open water. For example, the European Remote Sensing Satellite (ERS)-1/2 Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) (Jeffries et al., 1994; Morris et al., 1995; Duguay and Lafleur, 2003) and Radarsat-1/2 SAR (Duguay et al., 2002; Geldsetzer et al., 2010) have been successfully used to obtain lake ice status. However, existing active microwave technology is limited by the narrow swath width, relatively low temporal resolution (especially at lower latitudes), and short historical coverage, making it difficult to monitor lake ice daily at a
- 85 large scale (Latifovic and Pouliot, 2007; Chaouch et al., 2014) as required by the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS; Belward et al., 2016). Passive microwave sensors can capture lake ice status based on the difference in microwave radiation emitted from lake ice and open water. Microwave radiometers mounted on existing polar-orbiting satellite platforms can provide daily observations across the Northern Hemisphere and therefore can be used to monitor lake ice phenology. For example, Du et al. (2017) used Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for Earth Observing System and Advanced Microwave Scanning
- 90 Radiometer 2 (AMSR-E/2) daily *T_B* data to extract lake ice phenology in the Northern Hemisphere from 2002 to 2015. The Scanning Multi-channel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR), Special Sensor Microwave Image (SSM/I) and Special Sensor Microwave Imager/Sounder (SSMIS) data have also been used to monitor ice phenology for large lakes from 1979 to present (Ke et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2017; Su et al., 2021). However, the microwave signals naturally emitted by surface features are weak, and thus passive microwave data usually have a coarse spatial resolution (several km to tens of km), but they provide great advantages in the ice observations of oceans and large lakes (Chaouch et al., 2014; Dörnhöfer and Oppelt, 2016).
- The latest Calibrated Enhanced Resolution Brightness Temperature (CETB) dataset released by NSIDC provides passive microwave T_B data at multiple grid spacings from 25 km to up to 3.125 km, including SMMR, SSM/I, SSMIS, and AMSR-E data. Due to the coarse grid spacing (25 km) of the original SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data, previous studies used these data to extract the ice phenology for only 22 lakes (Su et al., 2021). The newly released dataset with finer grid spacing provides an alternative
- 100 data source for lake ice phenology research in the Northern Hemisphere. In addition, different from the continuous data used in previous studies (which only contained T_B data from Satellite Nimbus-7, F08, F11, F13 and F17, and the overlapping time between satellites were less than one year), the CETB dataset contains data from all satellite series (Nimbus-7, F08, F10, F11, F13, F14, F15, F16, F17, F18 and F19) with greater overlap and more abundant T_B measurements.
- This study uses SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data of the new CETB dataset to generate a lake ice phenology data record of the 105 Northern Hemisphere from 1979 to 2019. Two problems need to be solved: 1) how to extract lake ice phenology events from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data; and 2) how to select lake ice phenology results from multiple satellites with overlapping years. The workflow behind the production of the dataset of annual ice dates and durations for 56 lakes is described. Then, the accuracy of the derived ice dates is against existing in-situ observations and satellite-based lake ice datasets. Finally, the spatial characteristics of the lake ice phenology in the Northern Hemisphere are analyzed.

110 2 Data and methods

2.1 Selected lakes

To make sure that there is at least one complete passive microwave pixel in the center of the lake, lakes with a large enough area or a nearly circular shape were selected. As a result, 56 lakes were selected as the study lakes. Lake boundaries from the European Space Agency (ESA) Lakes Climate Change Initiative (Lakes_cci) project (https://climate.esa.int/en/projects/lakes/) were also

115 obtained to determine distance of each pixel to lake shore as to avoid land contamination (see section 2.3.2 for details) (Figure 1,





Table 1). Among the 56 lakes, 19 are in North America and another 27 lakes are in Eurasia. Ten lakes located in warmer regions, forming intermittent ice cover, were also contained in the study. Since lakes freeze-up in autumn or winter and break-up in spring or summer, in order to simplify the description of the study period, the lake ice period is determined to be from September to August of the following year. For example, 2019 refers to the period from September 2018 to August 2019. However, the generated

120 dataset available to users also provides calendar dates.



Figure 1 Location of the 56 study lakes (the names of the lakes are listed in Table 1).

	Table 1 The location and physical characteristics of the 56 study lakes, as well as the number of pixels used for the lake ice phenology
125	extraction.

ID	Lake name	Country	Elevation (m)	Area (km ²)	Average depth (m)	Number of pixels	Years
1	Great Bear	Canada	145	30450.60	72.2	1779	1979 ~ 2019
2	Great Slave	Canada	148	26734.30	59.1	1275	1979 ~ 2019
3	Dubawnt	Canada	218	3583.21	25.5	42	1979 ~ 2019
4	Baker	Canada	2	1664.70	60.0	12	1979 ~ 2019
5	Kasba	Canada	324	1330.11	15.7	3	1979 ~ 2019
6	Athabasca	Canada	207	7528.73	20.6	263	1979 ~ 2019
7	Nettilling	Canada	18	4872.70	23.4	103	1979 ~ 2019
8	Amadjuak	Canada	91	2994.94	24.8	81	1979 ~ 2019
9	Winnipegosis	Canada	251	5035.51	3.2	33	1979 ~ 2019
10	Manitoba	Canada	245	4751.05	3.6	120	1979 ~ 2019
11	Winnipeg	Canada	215	23923.00	11.9	1269	1979 ~ 2019
12	Woods	Canada	320	3472.81	10.7	29	1979 ~ 2019
13	La Grande 3 Reservoir	Canada	250	2401.01	25.0	1	1988 ~ 2019
14	Saint-Jean	Canada	96	1112.79	11.0	27	1988 ~ 2019
15	Superior	Canada/USA	179	81843.90	146.7	6438	1979 ~ 2019
16	Michigan	USA	175	57726.80	84.2	4364	1979 ~ 2019
17	Huron	Canada/USA	175	59399.30	59.8	4129	1979 ~ 2019
18	Erie	Canada/USA	172	25767.80	19.4	1824	1979 ~ 2019
19	Ontario	Canada/USA	73	19347.40	84.8	1316	1988 ~ 2019
20	Vanern	Sweden	44	5486.23	27.9	165	1979 ~ 2019





21 k	Kremenchuk Reservoir	Ukraine	77	1849.09	7.3	10	1988 ~ 201
22 0	Onega	Russia	13	9961.85	26.3	394	1979 ~ 201
23 L	Ladoga	Russia	-10	17444.00	48.0	1220	1979 ~ 201
24 F	Peipsi	Russia	28	3489.00	7.2	134	1979 ~ 201
25 I	lmen	Russia	16	959.75	12.5	20	1979 ~ 201
26 F	Rybinsk Reservoir	Russia	97	4042.34	6.3	107	1979 ~ 201
27 k	Kuybyshev Reservoir	Russia	45	5060.10	11.5	30	1988 ~ 201
28 T	Isimlyanskoye Reservoir	Russia	30	2253.94	10.6	13	1988 ~ 201
29 C	Caspian Sea	Russia	-29	377002.00	200.5	34148	1979 ~ 201
30 Z	Zeyskoye Reservoir	Russia	308	2234.70	30.6	20	1979 ~ 201
31 K	Khanka	Russia	64	4118.84	4.4	246	1979 ~ 201
32 E	Bratsk Reservoir	Russia	391	4810.66	35.1	6	1988 ~ 201
33 E	Baikal	Russia	449	31967.80	738.7	1996	1979 ~ 201
34 k	Khovsgol	Mongolia	1642	2767.80	138.6	73	1979 ~ 201
35 U	Jvs	Mongolia	759	3600.81	9.9	201	1979 ~ 201
36 k	Khyargas	Mongolia	1029	1383.23	54.4	17	1988 ~ 201
37 k	Khar Us	Mongolia	1156	1120.49	2.8	8	1988 ~ 201
38 Z	Zaysan	Kazakhstan	388	4193.60	12.6	94	1988 ~ 201
39 S	Sasykkol	Kazakhstan	348	744.83	3.2	2	1988 ~ 201
40 A	Alakol	Kazakhstan	347	2919.34	22.1	98	1979 ~ 201
41 E	Balkhash	Kazakhstan	338	16717.90	6.7	653	1979 ~ 201
42 Q	Qapshaghay Bogeni Reservoir	Kazakhstan	475	1205.95	23.3	6	1988 ~ 201
43 U	Jlungur	China	478	854.89	8.0	16	1988 ~ 201
44 E	Ebi	China	194	564.86	1.4	9	1988 ~ 201
45 E	Bosten	China	1050	961.84	9.1	6	1988 ~ 201
46 A	Ayakkum	China	3876	616.34	10.0	4	1988 ~ 201
47 Q	Qinghai	China	3194	4266.55	16.8	197	1979 ~ 201
48 N	Ngoring	China	4267	617.76	17.4	2	1988 ~ 201
49 N	Ma-p'ang yung-ts'o	China	4585	413.60	44.8	5	1988 ~ 201
50 Z	Zhari Namco	China	4612	958.10	25.0	9	1988 ~ 201
51 T	Fangra	China	4535	825.06	120.0	1	1988 ~ 202
52 S	Siling	China	4539	1749.53	28.0	44	1979 ~ 201
53 N	Nam	China	4724	1963.82	44.4	53	1988 ~ 201
54 F	Hulun	China	540	2121.43	6.2	69	1979 ~ 201
55 L	Large Aral Sea	Uzbekistan	29	23865.90	1.1	1506	1979 ~ 200
56 S	Sarygamysh	Turkmenistan	2	3772.03	12.2	214	1979 ~ 201





2.2 Datasets

2.2.1 CETB dataset

- The CETB dataset consists of gridded enhanced-resolution T_B data produced from SMMR on Nimbus-7, SSM/I on Defense 130 Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) 5D-2/F8, F10, F11, F13, F14, DMSP 5D-3/F15, SSMIS on DMSP 5D-3/F16, F17, F18, F19, and AMSR-E on Aqua. For different channels, CETB provides T_B data with multiple grid spacings from 25 km to 3.125 km. The enhanced-resolution images were generated using the radiometer version of the Scatterometer image Reconstruction algorithm where each pixel T_B was derived from all overlapping measurements, weighted based on the antenna measurement response function (Brodzik et al., 2020). Compared to other existing passive microwave data products, CETB provides the most complete
- 135 passive microwave records with the best spatial resolution and other advantages, such as improvements in cross-sensor calibration and quality checks, improved projection grids, and local time-of-day processing (Brodzik et al., 2020). In this study, the 3.125 km 37 GHz H-polarized evening T_B data from all the SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS satellites (except for F19 since its temporal coverage was less than one year) were used to extract lake ice phenology in the Northern Hemisphere.

2.2.1 GRLIPD

- 140 GRLIPD contains in-situ observations of ice on and ice off dates for 865 lakes and rivers of the Northern Hemisphere. In this database, ice on is defined as the freeze-up end date, and ice off represents the date of break-up end (Benson et al., 2000). To for comparison with lake ice phenology extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data, ice on/off records were extracted for the sites that have at least ten years of overlap with study lakes (a lake may have multiple sites). Since the number of sites has decreased rapidly from the 1990s, records for only 11 sites of 7 lakes (Baikal, Baker, Great Slave, Onega, Superior, Winnipeg, and Ladoga)
- 145 were used for comparison. It is worth noting that these are shore-based observations, so some differences in ice dates are expected against satellite-based estimates that are determined from larger sections of the lakes.

2.2.2 AMSR-E/2 lake ice phenology product

The daily AMSR-E/2 lake ice phenology product (Du et al., 2017) was also used to compare with the lake ice phenology results derived from CETB dataset. The product was produced based on 36.5 GHz H-polarized T_B data, and all the data are spatially resampled to a 5-km grid. The temporal coverage of the AMSR-E ice product is from 4 June 2002 to 3 October 2011, and that for AMSR2 is from 24 July 2012 to 31 December 2015. For each lake, a buffer of one-pixel (5 km in size) was set to remove the pixels

AMSR2 is from 24 July 2012 to 31 December 2015. For each lake, a buffer of one-pixel (5 km in size) was set to remove the pixels near lake shore that may be contaminated by land. In Du et al. (2017), the lake ice phenology dates were obtained by using the thresholds of 5% and 95% of the total lake pixels (see section 2.3.2 for details).

2.2.3 GLERL ice cover

155 The Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL) from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) provides historical ice cover data for the Laurentian Great Lakes of North America from 1973 to present. The data are available from the Canadian Ice Service (from 1973 to 1988) and the United States National Ice Center (1989 to present). The annual maximum ice cover records in this database were used for comparison with those extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data from 1979 to 2019.





160 2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Determination of lake ice status for each pixel based on MTT algorithm

Moving t test (MTT) is a method for detecting abrupt changes in time series by determining whether two sub-samples are significantly different from one another (Jiang and You, 1996; Xiao and Li, 2007). To obtain the lake ice phenology from 5 km 36.5 GHz H-polarized AMSR-E/2 data, Du et al. (2017) proposed a threshold algorithm based on MTT. In this study, we used the

165 same algorithm to extract lake ice phenology from 3.125 km 37 GHz H-polarized SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data from 1979 to 2019. The algorithm consists of three steps (details on the processing chain and formulas are provided in Du et al. (2017)) and was applied to each passive microwave pixel:

Step 1: For the T_B of each pixel, MTT was used to detect all the sudden-change points in the time series.

Step 2: Based on the results of MTT, the reference T_B of lake ice and open water were determined, and the threshold was calculated by averaging the two reference T_B .

Step 3: Based on the threshold, the lake ice status of the pixel for each day was determined.

2.3.2 Extraction of lake ice phenology for each lake

For each pixel in a lake, the algorithm was applied for the T_B series from each satellite. Afterwards, we set a buffer of two-pixel size (6.25 km) from lake shore to exclude pixels that could be contaminated by a high land fraction. The pixel size of the CETB

- 175 product (3.125 km) is smaller than the footprint of the original passive microwave data (ca. 30 km), which may result in mixed water (or ice) and land in pixels near the lake shore. When the lake is water covered, the T_B for land-contaminated pixels will be higher than that of a pure pixel, while when the lake is ice covered, the T_B will be lower than that of pure pixel. As a result, for land-contaminated pixels, the T_B difference between lake ice and water will be smaller than expected, which will eventually affect the accuracy of MTT algorithm. Therefore, setting a buffer is necessary to exclude land-contaminated pixels. In addition, since
- 180 passive microwave data have periodic sampling intervals at middle and low latitudes due to the orbital spacing, linear interpolation was used to fill the gaps in the T_B series before applying the MTT algorithm, but the interpolated data will not be used in the process of lake ice phenology extraction.

For the rest pixels after filtering, the number of daily lake ice/lake water pixels were counted. Afterwards, thresholds of 5% and 95% of the total lake pixels were set to extract the lake ice phenology. In fall or winter, when the number of lake ice pixels is

- 185 larger than 5% of the total pixels, the lake is considered to start to form ice cover (freeze-up start), and if the number of lake ice pixels is larger than 95%, the lake is considered as completely frozen (freeze-up end). Similarly, in spring or summer, when the number of lake ice pixels is less than 95%, the lake ice is considered to start to break up (break-up start), and if the number of lake ice pixels is less than 5%, the lake is considered as completely ice-free (break-up end). Then, the ice duration can be calculated: the complete freezing duration represents the period from freeze-up end to break-up start; the ice cover duration represents the
- 190 period from freeze-up start to break-up end.

Some lakes in warmer regions did not form ice cover in certain years. For these lakes with intermittent ice cover, if no ice cover was detected throughout the year, the ice cover duration was recorded as 0; if lake ice formed but did not completely cover the lake surface, the freeze-up start and break-up end dates were extracted, while the complete freezing duration was recorded as 0. To avoid the impact of short-time weather events on the T_B , only lake ice cover persisting for more than 30 consecutive days

195 was recorded, otherwise, it was considered that there was no ice cover detected in the year.





2.3.3 Selection of lake ice phenology results from multiple passive microwave satellites

Since there are overlapping time periods for certain satellites, some years may have multiple lake ice results. Take Great Bear Lake as an example, 103-year lake ice phenology results can be obtained from all ten satellites. However, these data were not evenly distributed within the 41 years. The lake ice phenology can be obtained simultaneously from four satellites from 2011 to 2019, while only data from one satellite can be used from 1979 to 1992 (Figure 2).



Figure 2 Lake ice phenology for Great Bear Lake extracted from multiple satellites. (a) Freeze-up start date, (b) freeze-up end date, (c) break-up start date, (d) break-up end date, (e) complete freezing duration, and (f) ice cover duration.

Although all the satellites have a nominal daily temporal resolution, they have different frequencies of missing retrievals due 210 to the polar orbit pattern and different sensor swath width. Since there are no alternative data for Nimbus-7 and F08, the lake ice phenology for some lakes prior to 1992 (especially before 1987 due to the low temporal resolution and poor data quality of SMMR data) were not complete. After the launch of F11, there are at least two satellites that can retrieve T_B at the same time, which greatly improved the lake ice phenology results. As a result, lake ice phenology could be extracted for 34 lakes over 41 years (starting in

For each satellite, only data covering a complete year (from September to August of the following year) were retained for 205 lake ice phenology extraction, except for Nimbus-7 data (with no overlapping data from other satellites), which acquired data from 25 October 1978 until 20 August 1987. Therefore, the freeze-up dates of 1987 could not be obtained for some lakes. In addition, an abnormal T_B increase in April 1986 can be observed for some lakes, which may be due to the special operations period from 2 April to 23 June 1986. To avoid possible mistakes, the break-up dates for these lakes were not extracted.





1979, Nimbus-7 data), and for another 21 lakes for more than 30 years (starting in 1988, F08 data). In addition, Large Aral Sea experienced a remarkable decrease in its extent during the study period, the increase of land pixels seriously affected the extraction of ice dates, hence ice phenology was only extracted from 1979 to 2003.

Ideally, we can obtain four ice dates (freeze-up start, freeze-up end, break-up start, and break-up end dates) in a year. However, due to data quality limitation, we failed to obtain effective observations for some lakes in some years. Take F08 as an example, for all the 56 lakes from 1988 to 1991, we should have obtained 896 records (four ice dates for each lake in each year), but mainly due

- to the continuous missing data in the winter of 1987, we finally obtained 842 ice dates. Based on the number of observations that were actually available to those that were expected, we calculated the percentage of effective observations (which was 93.97% for F08, Table 2), as the basis for selecting annual ice phenology dates from overlapping results. With more missing data and a larger footprint, SMMR from the Nimbus satellite had the lowest percentage of effective observations among all the ten satellites. Unfortunately, there were no alternative data to improve the poor data results caused by the data quality. SSM/I data from the six
- satellites all can attain more than 90% effective observations. Unexpectedly, we did not obtain the best lake ice results from the three SSMIS satellites, their effective observation percentage were all lower than 90%.

We ranked the percentage of effective observations of the different satellites in a priority list for obtaining lake ice phenology (Table 2). For the year with multiple lake ice records extracted from more than one satellite, we prioritized the results from the satellite with the highest percentage of effective observations. Therefore, if a lake had complete lake ice results from all the satellites, we would use the results from Nimbus-7 for 1979 to 1987, F08 for 1988 to 1991, F10 for 1992 to 1995, F13 for 1996 to

230 satellites, we would use the results from Nimbus-7 for 1979 to 1987, F08 for 1988 to 1991, F10 for 1992 to 1995, F13 for 1996 to 1997 and 2009, F14 for 1998 to 2008, and F15 for 2010 to 2019.

Satellite	Sensor	Percentage of effective observations	Priority	Temporal coverage	Study period
Nimbus	SMMR	47.12%	10	1978/10/25 - 1987/08/20	1979 - 1987
F08	SSM/I	93.97%	5	1987/07/09 - 1991/12/31	1988 - 1991
F10	SSM/I	95.39%	3	1990/12/08 - 1997/11/14	1992 - 1997
F11	SSM/I	91.07%	6	1991/12/03 - 2000/05/16	1993 - 1999
F13	SSM/I	95.41%	2	1995/05/03 - 2009/11/19	1996 - 2009
F14	SSM/I	96.55%	1	1997/05/07 - 2008/08/23	1998 - 2008
F15	SSM/I	94.15%	4	2000/02/23 - 2019/12/31	2001 - 2019
F16	SSMIS	84.82%	8	2005/11/01 - 2019/12/31	2007 - 2019
F17	SSMIS	87.38%	7	2008/03/01 - 2019/12/31	2009 - 2019
F18	SSMIS	83.88%	9	2010/03/08 - 2019/12/31	2011 - 2019

Table 2 Percentage of effective observations and the sequence for different satellites

Finally, a data record of annual ice phenology for the 56 lakes from 1979 to 2019 was obtained. To increase the completeness of the lake ice phenology records, break-up dates for two lakes (Nettilling and Amadjuak) in 1987 were obtained from early F08 data (the two lakes had ice cover until late August, while Nimbus-7 data ended on 20 August 1987, and F08 started from 9 July 1987). Apart from the automatically extracted lake ice dates, 376 lake ice dates (i.e., 2.08% of all the records) were manually extracted to increase the ice phenology records (by setting a looser threshold in the extraction of lake ice phenology dates). Among these manually extracted lake ice dates, 263 dates were extracted from Nimbus-7 (i.e., 24.24% of all the Nimbus-7 records).





240 3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Uncertainties of passive microwave derived lake ice phenology

There are two main error sources of lake ice phenology derived from passive microwave data: 1) the periodically missing data caused by the polar orbit operation mode of passive microwave satellites; and 2) errors associated with the extraction process of lake ice phenology. Although the nominal temporal resolution of passive microwave data was one day, there were periodical gaps

- for T_B data due to the existence of orbital spacing. For each satellite, the frequencies of missing data in different regions were different: the lower the latitude, the higher the frequency of missing data. For example, there were 2 days of missing data every 4 – 5 days for F15, but the impact of sampling intervals on lakes at low latitudes (such as Qinghai Lake, at 36.92°N) was greater than that of lakes at middle latitudes (such as Baikal Lake, at 52.23°N), while lakes at high latitudes would not be affected by the sampling intervals (such as Great Bear Lake, at 65.14°N) (Figure 3). Overall, the sampling frequency for SMMR data was the
- 250 lowest, which may cause a maximum error of 4 6 days in the process of lake ice phenology extraction for lakes at low latitudes from 1979 to 1987. The sampling frequency greatly increased with SSM/I and SSMIS, such that the potential error caused by data gaps was 1 3 days from 1988 to 2019 (Cai et al., 2017). For lakes at high latitudes, the impact of spacing intervals was negligible; but for lakes at low latitudes, the results of lake ice dates may be later than the actual situation.



255 Figure 3 Variations in the number of lake ice and open water pixels for (a) Great Bear, (b) Baikal, and (c) Qinghai in 2014. The periodical fluctuations in the curves are due to the existence of orbital spacing.





The errors in the extraction of lake ice phenology were mainly caused by mixed pixels. Although the grid spacing for the enhanced resolution T_B data was 3.125 km, the footprint of original data was approximately 30 km. Therefore, a single pixel may contain T_B information from a variety of different types of surface features (especially for pixels near lake shore) (Bellerby et al.,

- 260 1998; Bennartz, 2010). During the process of freeze-up/break-up, a pure pixel may experience a T_B variation of up to 70 140 K (Kang et al., 2012), but the influence from land composition will result in a sharp decrease in the difference of T_B between lake ice and open water. Since the MTT algorithm was mainly based on the sudden changes in the T_B series, the mixed pixels will directly affect the ice status determined by the algorithm. Before extracting the lake ice phenology dates, we set a buffer of two pixels (6.25 km) to exclude pixels near lake shore. This is a compromise between removing more mixed pixels and extracting ice phenology
- for more lakes. However, the setting of a buffer will cause the loss of T_B information near lake shore. Since the freeze-up and break-up of ice cover usually starts from lake shore (especially for the freeze-up), the beginning signals of freeze-up and break-up extracted from retained pixels may be later compared with the actual ice conditions, while the ending signals may be earlier. For lakes with a small area, the setting of the buffer may result in a non-negligible error in the lake ice phenology results.
- In addition, for each pixel, we only determined whether the pixel was covered by lake ice or not. However, the area for a single passive microwave pixel was 9.77 km², and the water (/ice) within this area may not freeze-up (/break-up) at the same time. When the lake area was large enough, the gradual freeze-up or break-up within the pixel can be ignored, but for small lakes, it may lead to certain deviations in the lake ice phenology results.

Therefore, we adopted an automatic algorithm to extract lake ice phenology dates from all the platforms for all the lakes and prioritized the lake ice phenology results extracted from the satellite with higher percentage of effective observations, which was

275 in order to ensure the comparability of lake ice phenology results among lakes and the consistency in time series. Despite the uncertainties and inevitable errors caused by the periodical data missing and mixed pixels, the lake ice phenology derived from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS can provide reliable information about the differences among lakes and the variations in time series.

3.2 Comparisons of lake ice phenology results from multiple satellites

For all the satellite pairs with overlapping years, we calculated the bias and mean absolute error (MAE) of the overlapping results.
Different satellites can obtain lake ice dates with a bias from -1 to 2 days and an MAE from 1 to 3 days. The freeze-up end and break-up start dates extracted from different satellites had relatively higher consistencies than freeze-up start and break-up end dates (Table 3). The same conclusion can be drawn from the example of Great Bear Lake (Figure 2). The differences among the satellites were the reason why we decided to use a priority list to determine the lake ice phenology from overlapping results instead of calculating their average values or choosing the earliest freeze-up dates and latest break-up dates. The lake ice phenology

285 determined by the satellite priority can be more consistent and comparable among different years and different lakes, which is more beneficial for analyzing their spatial differences and change trends.

290





Commoned cotallitor	Bias				MAE				0	
Compared satellites	Compared sensors	FUS	FUE	BUS	BUE	FUS	FUE	BUS	BUE	Overlapping years
F10 - F11	SSM/I - SSM/I	0	0	-1	-1	3	2	2	3	1993 ~ 1997
F10 - F13		0	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	1996 ~ 1997
F11 - F13		-1	0	0	1	3	2	2	2	1996 ~ 1999
F11 - F14		0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	1998 ~ 1999
F13 - F14		0	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	1998 ~ 2008
F13 - F15		0	0	0	1	2	2	1	2	2001 ~ 2009
F14 - F15		0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	2001 ~ 2008
F13 - F16	SSM/I - SSMIS	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	2	2007 ~ 2009
F13 - F17		1	0	0	1	3	1	2	2	2009
F14 - F16		-1	0	1	1	3	2	2	2	2007 ~ 2008
F15 - F16		-1	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2007 ~ 2019
F15 - F17		-1	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2009 ~ 2019
F15 - F18		-1	-1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2011 ~ 2019
F16 - F17	SSMIS - SSMIS	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2009 ~ 2019
F16 - F18		-1	-1	1	2	2	2	2	3	2011 ~ 2019
F17 - F18		0	-1	0	0	2	2	2	2	2011 ~ 2019

Table 3 Comparisons of lake ice phenology results from different satellites. FUS, FUE, BUS, and BUE represent freeze-up start, freeze-up end, break-up start, and break-up end date, respectively.

3.3 Comparisons with existing lake ice datasets

300 3.3.1 Comparisons with in-situ observations

The lake ice dates recorded in the GLRIPD were used to compare with the results extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data. Since the ice on and ice off dates in the GLRIPD represent the first date of completely ice covered and the last date of break-up process, respectively, we used the freeze-up end and break-up end results to make the comparison. For Lake Superior and Ladoga with incomplete freeze-up end records, freeze-up start dates were used instead. Only the lake ice records with an overlapping time

- 305 of more than 10 years were selected for the comparison. As a result, ice on dates for 5 lakes (8 sites) and ice off dates for 7 lakes (10 sites) were compared, and the correlation coefficient (r), mean difference (bias), and mean absolute error (MAE) were calculated (Table 4). It can be seen that the ice-on dates for 4 out of 8 sites, and the ice off dates for 8 out of 10 sites from GLRIPD are significantly consistent with the lake ice dates from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data (Table 4). The main reason for the difference between lake ice dates from in-situ observations and passive microwave is their different observation ranges. In-situ records rely
- 310 on observations of lake ice status visible from lake shores by human observers, while passive microwave satellites record T_B from the entire lake surface (here within the pre-defined buffer). Since break-up usually occurs more rapidly than freeze-up (Livingstone, 1997), the ice off dates from the two datasets were more consistent than the ice on dates (Table 4). Overall, if the overlapping time between the two dataset was longer, the lake ice dates could show a higher consistency. The comparisons with GLRIPD records indicate that in the era when the in-situ observations of lake ice dates are gradually decreasing, satellite observations can
- 315 complement if not supersede in-situ observations to investigate inter-annual variations and trends in lake ice phenology in recent decades.



ess	Earth System	Dis
Acce	Science	SCUS
Den	Data	Sio
ð	Data	su

Lake name	Site name	r		Bias		MAE		Overlapping years	
Lake hame	Site name	ice on	ice off	ice on	ice off	ice on	ice off	ice on	ice off
Baikal	Lake Baikal	0.68	0.65	-4	31	6	31	1979 ~ 2006	1979 ~ 2006
Baker	Baker Lake		-0.05		10		10		1979 ~ 1990
Great Slave	Back Bay	0.29	0.90	35	15	35	15	1979 ~ 1995	1979 ~ 1994
	Great Slave Lake - Mcleod Bay	0.31		13		13		1979 ~ 1991	
	Great Slave Lake - Charlton Bay	0.33	0.89	16	-7	16	7	1979 ~ 1991	1979 ~ 1990
Onega	Lake Onega (Petrozavodsk)		0.55		18		18		1979 ~ 1988
	Lake Onega (Longasy)		0.79		16		16		1979 ~ 1988
Superior	Lake Superior at Bayfield	0.53	0.65	24	6	24	12	1979 ~ 2009	1979 ~ 2009
	Lake Superior at Madeline Island	0.49	0.65	23	6	23	12	1979 ~ 2004	1979 ~ 2004
Winnipeg	Lake Winnipeg	0.75	0.85	3	14	5	14	1979 ~ 1991	1979 ~ 1990
Ladoga	Lake Ladoga	0.44	0.83	-35	21	35	21	1979 ~ 1988	1979 ~ 1988

Table 4 Comparison of ice on/off dates from GLRIPD records and SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data.	Bold number corresponds to $p < 0.05$.
Tuble T comparison of reconstruction of from official birthing bonning control and	

320 3.3.2 Comparisons with AMSR-E/2 lake ice phenology product

The AMSR-E/2 lake ice phenology product (Du et al., 2017) was also used to extract the ice dates for the study lakes from 2003 to 2015 (except for 2012 due to the data gap between AMSR-E and AMSR2 from 4 October 2011 to 23 July 2012), and the r, bias, and MAE values compared with the ice dates from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data were calculated for each lake (Figure 4). The freeze-up start and break-up end dates for 55 lakes (except for Large Aral Sea with no records after 2003) and freeze-up end and

- 325 break-up start dates from 49 lakes (except for Large Aral Sea and some lakes without complete ice cover in winter) were compared. The break-up dates had an overall higher consistency between the results from the two datasets than the freeze-up dates (Figure 4a). Among them, the freeze-up start dates for 49 lakes, the freeze-up end dates for 45 lakes, the break-up start dates for 46 lakes, and the break-up end dates for 53 lakes were significantly consistent. The biases for all pairs of freeze-up start, freeze-up end, break-up start, and break-up end date were 2, -2, 3, and 0 days, respectively (Figure 4b). The freeze-up start and break-up start
- 330 dates obtained from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data were approximately 2 ~ 3 days later than the results from AMSR-E/2 data, while the freeze-up end and break-up end dates were slightly earlier than those from AMSR-E/2 data. Although SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS from CETB dataset have a grid spacing of 3.125 km, their original resolution was ca. 30 km, while AMSR-E/2 data (5-km grid spacing) have a finer original spatial resolution of about 10 km (14 × 8 km for AMSR-E and 12 × 7 km for AMSR-2). The buffers used to extract lake ice phenology dates were also different: the buffer set for SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data was 6.25 km
- 335 and that for AMSR-E/2 data was 5 km. Therefore, AMSR-E/2 data can capture more information near the lake shore than SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data, which led to the directional differences between the lake ice phenology dates extracted from the two datasets. The MAEs for all the pairs of freeze-up start, freeze-up end, break-up start, and break-up end date were 4, 3, 3, and 2 days, respectively (Figure 4c). Among the four lake ice dates, the MAE of the freeze-up start dates was the largest, while that of the

passive microwave data are highly consistent.





break-up end dates was the smallest. This is also due to the fact that the lake can take a longer time to freeze-up in early winter, but after the lake starts to break-up in spring, the lake surface will be completely ice-free in a short time (Livingstone, 1997). Overall, despite the different spatial resolution and sensor characteristics, the lake ice phenology results obtained from the two

(a) r (b) Bias (c) MAE 1.0 17.5 15 15.0 0.8 12.5 10 0.6 ŧ ŧ 10.0 ÷ 0.4 7.5 0.2 0 5.0 2.5 0.0 -5 0.0 -0.2 FUS FUE BUS BUE FUS FUE BUS BUE FUS FUE BUS BUE

Figure 4 Box plot of the (a) r, (b) bias, (c) mean absolute error (MAE) results of the comparison between the lake ice phenology dates extracted from AMSR-E/2 product and SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data.

3.3.3 Comparisons with GLERL ice cover records

Apart from lake ice phenology dates, the annual maximum ice cover was extracted for seven intermittently ice-covered lakes with more than 1000 pixels (Superior, Huron, Michigan, Erie, Ontario, Caspian Sea, and Ladoga) from SMMR, SMM/I & SMMIS data and compared to that from the five Great Lakes contained in GLERL historical ice cover records. Ice cover maximums from

- 350 SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data and GLERL were significantly consistent for these lakes (Table 5). Among them, the ice cover extracted for Erie showed the lowest bias and MAE, due to the fact that this lake experiences an extensive ice coverage in winter (Figure 5d). The remaining four lakes all showed a negative bias, indicating that the ice cover extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data were usually smaller than the actual situation. This is because a buffer of 6.25 km was used to exclude pixels near the lake shore, which happens to be the place where lake ice forms first. As shown in Figure 5, the larger the maximum ice cover, the
- 355 smaller the difference between the ice cover from the two datasets. The correlations between the ice cover for Huron and Ontario were lower than that of the other three lakes, which is also because the ice cover of these two lakes were usually small, and the ice first forms near shore which may not be covered in the set buffer (Figure 5). Despite the differences, SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data reveal the same variations in lake ice cover as the GLERL historical records (high temporal coherence between the datasets indicated by high correlations).

360





Table 5 Comparison of annual maximum ice cover of the Great Lakes from GLERL records and SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data. Bold number corresponds to p < 0.05.

Lake	r	bias	MAE
Superior	0.93	-13.94	15.96
Huron	0.94	-16.07	16.89
Michigan	0.79	-23.77	23.77
Erie	0.91	0.65	7.32
Ontario	0.70	-19.61	19.61



370 Figure 5 Comparisons of the annual maximum ice cover (% of lake area) of the Great Lakes from GLERL records and SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data. (a) Superior, (b) Huron, (c) Michigan, (d) Erie, and (e) Ontario.

In addition, taking 2014 as an example, we recalculated the daily ice cover from GLERL ice charts over the same areas as the SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data to make the comparison of daily ice cover changes from the two datasets (Figure 6). High consistency of daily ice cover percentage can be seen for Erie, Huron, and Superior. However, for Michigan and Ontario, a similar





375 change pattern but lower ice cover was obtained from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data compared to GLERL records. The possible explanation for the differences was that during application of the MTT algorithm, multiple smoothing approaches were applied to the original T_B series to exclude potentially dynamic T_B changes caused by short-term weather events. For example, when using MTT to judge whether there was a sudden T_B change on a certain day, the T_B of 20 days before and after that day were averaged to make the comparison (Du et al., 2017). As a result, the MTT algorithm may be insensitive to short-term ice cover or frequent 380 melt-refreeze event, which is common in large, deep lakes such as Michigan and Ontario (Figure 6). In addition, we only kept the lake ice phenology results with ice cover persisting for more than 30 consecutive days, which may also lead to some lakes with



385 Figure 6 Comparisons of the daily ice cover (% of lake area) of the Great Lakes from GLERL records and SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data in 2014. (a) Superior, (b) Huron, (c) Michigan, (d) Erie, and (e) Ontario.





3.4 Lake ice phenology in the Northern Hemisphere

- Among the 56 study lakes, 45 lakes experienced annual ice cover during their entire lake ice phenology records, and the remaining 390 11 lakes had no ice detected for one year or more. Note that since we only selected the pixels 6.25 km away from the lake shore to extract the lake ice phenology, it is possible that some lakes had ice cover near lake shore, but we did not obtain the information. The average date/days of ice dates and durations for each lake during their entire lake ice phenology records were calculated (relative to 1 September) and are shown in Figure 7. In order to avoid bias in the statistics for the lakes with no ice detected in some years, only the 45 lakes with annual ice cover were included. Two of the 45 lakes (Caspian Sea and Ladoga) did not have
- 395 annual complete ice cover in winter, hence only their freeze-up start dates, break-up end dates, and ice cover durations were calculated (Figure 7). In addition, the statistical results of ice phenology for the 45 lakes are shown in Table 6, including their average, medium, minimum (earliest/shortest), and maximum (latest/longest) date/days, as well as the extreme difference (maximum minimum) and standard deviation. Here we can see that the period of ice formation is from the end of October to January of the next year, and from mid-March to late July for break-up. Overall, the differences among freeze-up dates are smaller
- 400 than those of break-up dates (with smaller extreme differences and standard deviations, Table 6), but the spatial characteristics of break-up dates are more consistent with latitude (Figure 7). Different lakes experienced a complete freezing duration ranging from 58 to 268 days (average 153 days) and an ice cover duration ranging from 62 to 275 days (average 161 days). Lakes in low-latitude Eurasia had the shortest ice cover durations, while lakes in northern Canada had the longest ice cover durations (Figure 7).







- 405 Figure 7 Average date/days of ice phenology for the study lakes for their entire lake ice phenology records extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data. (a) Freeze-up start date, (b) freeze-up end date, (c) break-up start date, (d) break-up end dates, (e) complete freezing duration, and (f) ice cover duration.
- Table 6 Average, median, minimum, maximum, extreme difference (Max Min), and standard deviation (Std.) values of lake ice4109410benology in the Northern Hemisphere. FUS, FUE, BUS, BUE, CFD, and ICD represent freeze-up start, freeze-up end, break-up start,
break-up end date, complete freezing duration, and ice cover duration, respectively.

	Average	Median	Minimum	Maximum	Max-Min	Std.
FUS	1-Dec	28-Nov	29-Oct (Amadjuak & Netilling)	19-Jan (Nam)	83	22
FUE	6-Dec	4-Dec	31-Oct (Dubawnt)	27-Jan (Nam)	88	23
BUS	8-May	30-Apr	13-Mar (Qapshaghay Bogeni Reservoir)	29-Jul (Netilling)	137	35
BUE	11-May	2-May	16-Mar (Qapshaghay Bogeni Reservoir)	31-Jul (Netilling)	138	35
CFD	153	152	58 (Qapshaghay Bogeni Reservoir)	268 (Netilling)	209	51
ICD	161	156	62 (Qapshaghay Bogeni Reservoir)	275 (Netilling)	213	51



4 Data availability

The annual lake ice phenology records for the 56 study lakes available at are https://www.pangaea.de/tok/c8fc0eab3d30777fc38979ad514217b6b7e86a65 (Cai et al., 2021). Calendar dates of freeze-up start, 415 freeze-up end, break-up start, and break-up end, as well as the days of complete freezing duration and ice cover duration are provided in the dataset. Additional data of annual maximum ice cover for the Great Lakes, Caspian Sea, and Ladoga are also provided. Since the original data (CETB dataset) was updated to the end of 2019, we currently provide the lake ice phenology from 1979 to 2019.

5 Conclusions

- 420 This study used passive microwave SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data available on a 3.125 km grid from the CETB dataset to extract the ice phenology for 56 lakes in the Northern Hemisphere from 1979 to 2019. An automatic threshold algorithm based on the MTT method was applied to determine the lake ice status for each pixel, and a buffer of 6.25 km was set to exclude pixels with high land contamination. Then, ice phenology dates were extracted for each lake by the thresholds of 5% and 95% of the total pixels. To keep the lake ice phenology consistent and comparable among different years and different lakes, for the overlapping
- 425 lake ice phenology results extracted from multiple satellites, we prioritized the results from the satellite with the highest percentage of effective observations.

The main error sources of the lake ice phenology extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SMMIS data were attributed to the periodically missing data at middle and low latitudes caused by the polar orbit operation mode and the mixed pixels caused by the original coarse spatial resolution of the satellite acquisitions. Ice phenology results for the lakes at low latitudes and/or with small

430 areas tend to be characterized by a larger uncertainty. The switch between sensors and satellites over the time series also resulted in certain differences in the lake ice phenology results, with a bias ranging from -1.30 to 2.00 days and an MAE from 1.20 to 3.13 days.

The lake ice phenology results extracted from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data were compared to the ice dates from in-situ observations and an AMSR-E/2-derived lake ice phenology product. Compared to in-situ observations, the ice-on dates for 4 out

435 of 8 sites, and the ice off dates for 8 out of 10 sites were significantly consistent. The differences between the in-situ observations and the lake ice phenology extracted in this study were mainly due to the different fields of view of human observers versus satellite instruments. As for AMSR-E/2 product, the ice dates showed strong agreement with biases ranging from -1.98 to 2.98 days and MAEs ranging from 2.21 to 3.60 days. The different spatial resolution and sensor characteristics of AMSR-E/2 and SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data can explain the biases between the ice dates. Annual maximum ice cover determined for the Great Lakes also showed significant consistencies compared to GLERL historical ice cover records.

From 1979 to 2019, the average complete freezing duration and ice cover duration for all lakes forming an annual ice cover were 153 days (58 - 268 days for individual lake) and 161 days (62 - 275 days). Lakes in low latitudes of Central Asia had the shortest ice durations, while lakes in northern Canada had the longest ice durations.

The new dataset consists of lake ice phenology records derived from SMMR, SSM/I & SSMIS data from 1979 to 2019. Lake ice phenology (freeze-up, break-up and ice cover duration) is a robust indicator of climate change. The analysis-ready dataset is available to the science/user community to investigate, among several possible topics: 1) trends and inter-annual variability in lake ice phenology across the Northern Hemisphere in response to climate change and atmospheric teleconnections patterns; and 2) the impact of changing ice phenology on local/regional weather, climate and hydrology, aquatic ecosystems, cultural and socioeconomic activities.





450 Finally, regular updates of the lake ice phenology data record are planned with future releases of the CETB dataset. Work is also underway on further improving the lake ice phenology retrieval algorithm and product, and the possibly of adding more lakes in a future release.

Author contributions

YC and CD conceived the idea. YC designed the code and carried out the data processing. YC prepared the manuscript with 455 contributions from all co-authors. C-QK supervised the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41830105 and No. 42011530120)
 to Chang-Qing Ke, the program of China Scholarship Council (CSC, No. 201906190109) to Yu Cai and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC, RGPIN-2017-05049) to Claude Duguay. The CETB dataset, GRLIPD data, and AMSR-E/2 lake ice phenology product were obtained from the U.S. National Snow and Ice Data Center (<u>http://nsidc.org/</u>). The GLERL ice cover data were obtained from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (<u>https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/data/ice/#historical</u>). The lake boundaries were obtained from the European Space Agency (ESA)

465 Climate Change Initiative Lakes project (https://climate.esa.int/en/projects/lakes/). The physical characteristics of the study lakes were obtained from the HydroLAKES dataset (https://www.hydrosheds.org/page/hydrolakes)

References

Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M. and Grosse, G.: Recent lake ice-out phenology within and among lake districts of Alaska, U.S.A., Limnol. Oceanogr., 58(6), 2013–2028, doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.6.2013, 2013.

470 Bellerby, T., Taberner, M., Wilmshurst, A., Beaumont, M., Barrett, E., Scott, J. and Durbin, C.: Retrieval of land and sea brightness temperatures from mixed coastal pixels in passive microwave data, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 36(6), 1844–1851, doi:10.1109/36.729355, 1998.

Belward, A., Bourassa, M., Dowell, M., Briggs, S., Dolman, H., Holmlund, K. and Verstraete, M.: The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs, Ref. Number GCOS-200 315, 2016.

- Bennartz, R.: On the use of SSM/I measurements in coastal regions, J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 16(4), 417–431, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(1999)016<0417:OTUOSI>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
 Benson, B. J., Magnuson, J. J., Jensen, O. P., Card, V. M., Hodgkins, G., Korhonen, J., Livingstone, D. M., Stewart, K. M., Weyhenmeyer, G. A. and Granin, N. G.: Extreme events, trends, and variability in Northern Hemisphere lake-ice phenology (1855-2005), Clim. Change, 112(2), 299–323, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0212-8, 2012.
- 480 Benson, B., Magnuson, J. and Sharma, S.: Global Lake and River Ice Phenology Database, Version 1, NSIDC Natl. Snow Ice Data Center, Boulder, 2000, updated 2020.



Brodzik, M. J., Long, D. G., Hardman, M. A., Paget, A. and Armstrong, R.: MEaSUREs Calibrated Enhanced-Resolution Passive Microwave Daily EASE-Grid 2.0 Brightness Temperature ESDR, Version 1 NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center, 0–24, 2020.

485 Brown, L. C. and Duguay, C. R.: The response and role of ice cover in lake-climate interactions, Prog. Phys. Geogr., 34(5), 671– 704, doi:10.1177/0309133310375653, 2010.

Cai, Y., Duguay, C. R. and Ke, C.-Q.: Lake ice phenology in the Northern Hemisphere extracted from SMMR, SSM/I and SSMIS data from 1979 to 2020, PANGAEA, https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.937904, 2021

Cai, Y., Ke, C.-Q. and Duan, Z.: Monitoring ice variations in Qinghai Lake from 1979 to 2016 using passive microwave remote sensing data, Sci. Total Environ., 607–608, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.027, 2017.

Cai, Y., Ke, C.-Q., Li, X., Zhang, G., Duan, Z. and Lee, H.: Variations of Lake Ice Phenology on the Tibetan Plateau From 2001 to 2017 Based on MODIS Data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124(2), doi:10.1029/2018JD028993, 2019.

Chaouch, N., Temimi, M., Romanov, P., Cabrera, R., Mckillop, G. and Khanbilvardi, R.: An automated algorithm for river ice monitoring over the Susquehanna River using the MODIS data, Hydrol. Process., 28(1), 62–73, doi:10.1002/hyp.9548, 2014.

Dörnhöfer, K. and Oppelt, N.: Remote sensing for lake research and monitoring - Recent advances, Ecol. Indic., 64, 105–122, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.12.009, 2016.
 Du, J., Kimball, J. S., Duguay, C., Kim, Y. and Watts, J. D.: Satellite microwave assessment of Northern Hemisphere lake ice

phenology from 2002 to 2015, Cryosphere, 11(1), 47–63, doi:10.5194/tc-11-47-2017, 2017.

Duguay, C. R., Bernier, M., Gauthier, Y. and Kouraev, A.: Remote sensing of lake and river ice, in: Remote Sensing of the 500 Cryosphere, edited by: Tedesco M., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 273–306, https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118368909.ch12, 2015.

Duguay, C. R. and Lafleur, P. M.: Determining depth and ice thickness of shallow sub-Arctic lakes using space-borne optical and SAR data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 24(3), 475–489, doi:10.1080/01431160304992, 2003.

Duguay, C. R., Prowse, T. D., Bonsal, B. R., Brown, R. D., Lacroix, M. P. and Ménard, P.: Recent trends in Canadian lake ice cover, Hydrol. Process., 20(4), 781–801, doi:10.1002/hyp.6131, 2006.

- 505 Duguay, C. R., Pultz, T. J., Lafleur, P. M. and Drai, D.: RADARSAT backscatter characteristics of ice growing on shallow sub-Arctic lakes, Churchill, Manitoba, Canada, Hydrol. Process., 16(8), 1631–1644, doi:10.1002/hyp.1026, 2002. Engram, M., Arp, C. D., Jones, B. M., Ajadi, O. A. and Meyer, F. J.: Analyzing floating and bedfast lake ice regimes across Arctic Alaska using 25 years of space-borne SAR imagery, Remote Sens. Environ., 209(February), 660–676, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2018.02.022, 2018.
- Geldsetzer, T., Van Der Sanden, J. and Brisco, B.: Monitoring lake ice during spring melt using RADARSAT-2 SAR, Can. J. Remote Sens., 36, S391–S400, doi:10.5589/m11-001, 2010.
 Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., Foster, J. L. and Kumar, S. V.: Development and evaluation of a cloud-gap-filled MODIS daily snow-cover product, Remote Sens. Environ., 114(3), 496–503, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.10.007, 2010.
 Hamed, K. H. and Ramachandra Rao, A.: A modified Mann-Kendall trend test for autocorrelated data, J. Hydrol., 204(1–4), 182–
- 515 196, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(97)00125-X, 1998.
 Hampton, S. E., Galloway, A. W. E., Powers, S. M., Ozersky, T., Woo, K. H., Batt, R. D., Labou, S. G., O'Reilly, C. M., Sharma,
 S., Lottig, N. R., Stanley, E. H., North, R. L., Stockwell, J. D., Adrian, R., Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Arvola, L., Baulch, H. M., Bertani,
 I., Bowman, L. L., Carey, C. C., Catalan, J., Colom-Montero, W., Domine, L. M., Felip, M., Granados, I., Gries, C., Grossart, H.
 P., Haberman, J., Haldna, M., Hayden, B., Higgins, S. N., Jolley, J. C., Kahilainen, K. K., Kaup, E., Kehoe, M. J., MacIntyre, S.,
- Mackay, A. W., Mariash, H. L., McKay, R. M., Nixdorf, B., Nõges, P., Nõges, T., Palmer, M., Pierson, D. C., Post, D. M., Pruett,
 M. J., Rautio, M., Read, J. S., Roberts, S. L., Rücker, J., Sadro, S., Silow, E. A., Smith, D. E., Sterner, R. W., Swann, G. E. A.,



2007.

Timofeyev, M. A., Toro, M., Twiss, M. R., Vogt, R. J., Watson, S. B., Whiteford, E. J. and Xenopoulos, M. A.: Ecology under lake ice, Ecol. Lett., 20(1), 98–111, doi:10.1111/ele.12699, 2017.

Helfrich, S. R., McNamara, D., Ramsay, B. H., Baldwin, T. and Kasheta, T.: Enhancements to, and forthcoming developments in 525 the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System (IMS), Hydrol. Process., 21(12), 1576–1586, doi:10.1002/hyp.6720,

Jeffries, M. O., Morris, K., Weeks, W. F. and Wakabayashi, H.: Structural and stratigraphic features and ERS 1 synthetic aperture radar backscatter characteristics of ice growing on shallow lakes in NW Alaska, winter 1991-1992, J. Geophys. Res., 99(Cll), 22459–22471, 1994.

530 Jiang, J. M. and You, X. T.: Where and when did an abrupt climatic change occur in China during the last 43 years?, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 55(1–4), 33–39, doi:10.1007/BF00864701, 1996.

Kang, K. K., Duguay, C. R. and Howell, S. E. L.: Estimating ice phenology on large northern lakes from AMSR-E: Algorithm development and application to Great Bear Lake and Great Slave Lake, Canada, Cryosphere, 6(2), 235–254, doi:10.5194/tc-6-235-2012, 2012.

535 Ke, C.-Q., Tao, A.-Q. and Jin, X.: Variability in the ice phenology of Nam Co Lake in central Tibet from scanning multichannel microwave radiometer and special sensor microwave/imager: 1978 to 2013, J. Appl. Remote Sens., 7(1), 073477, doi:10.1117/1.jrs.7.073477, 2013.

Kendall, M.G., Rank Correlation Methods. Griffin, London, UK, 1955

Kropáček, J., Maussion, F., Chen, F., Hoerz, S. and Hochschild, V.: Analysis of ice phenology of lakes on the Tibetan Plateau from MODIS data, Cryosph., 7(1), 287–301, doi:10.5194/tc-7-287-2013, 2013.

Latifovic, R. and Pouliot, D.: Analysis of climate change impacts on lake ice phenology in Canada using the historical satellite data record, Remote Sens. Environ., 106(4), 492–507, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2006.09.015, 2007.
Livingstone, D. M.: Break-up dates of Alpine lakes as proxy data for local and regional mean surface air temperatures, Clim. Change, 37(2), 407–439, doi:10.1023/A:1005371925924, 1997.

Magnuson, J. J. and Lathrop, R. C.: Lake ice: winter beauty, value, changes and a threatened future, LakeLine, 43, 18–27 [online] Available from: https://lter.limnology.wisc.edu, 2014.
Magnuson, J. J., Robertson, D. M., Benson, B. J., Wynne, R. H., Livingstone, D. M., Arai, T., Assel, R. A., Barry, R. G., Card, V., Kuusisto, E., Granin, N. G., Prowse, T. D., Stewart, K. M. and Vuglinski, V. S.: Historical trends in lake and river ice cover in the Northern Hemisphere, Science, 289(5485), 1743–1746, doi:10.1126/science.289.5485.1743, 2000.

Mann, B. H.: Nonparametric tests against trend, Econometrica, 13(3), 245–259, 1945.
Maslanik, J. A. and Barry, R. G.: Lake ice formation and breakup as an indicator of climate change: potential for monitoring using remote sensing techniques, IAHS Proc. Vancouver Symp., (168), 10, 1987.
Mishra, V., Cherkauer, K. A., Bowling, L. C. and Huber, M.: Lake Ice phenology of small lakes: Impacts of climate variability in the Great Lakes region, Glob. Planet. Change, 76(3–4), 166–185, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.01.004, 2011.

Morris, K., Jeffries, M. O. and Weeks, W. F.: Ice processes and growth history on Arctic and sub-Arctic lakes using ERS-1 SAR data, Polar Rec. (Gr. Brit)., 31(177), 115–128, doi:10.1017/S0032247400013619, 1995.
Murfitt, J. and Duguay, C.R.: 50 years of lake ice research from active microwave remote sensing: Progress and prospects, Remote Sens. Environ., 264, 112616, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2021.112616, 2021.
Nonaka, T., Matsunaga, T. and Hoyano, A.: Estimating ice breakup dates on Eurasian lakes using water temperature trends and

560 threshold surface temperatures derived from MODIS data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 28(10), 2163–2179, doi:10.1080/01431160500391957, 2007.





Pour, H. K., Duguay, C. R., Martynov, A. and Brown, L. C.: Simulation of surface temperature and ice cover of large northern lakes with 1-D models: A comparison with MODIS satellite data and in situ measurements, Tellus, Ser. A Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr., 64(1), doi:10.3402/tellusa.v64i0.17614, 2012.

Prowse, T., Alfredsen, K., Beltaos, S., Bonsal, B. R., Bowden, W. B., Duguay, C. R., Korhola, A., McNamara, J., Vincent, W. F., Vuglinsky, V., Walter Anthony, K. M. and Weyhenmeyer, G. A.: Effects of changes in arctic lake and river ice, Ambio, 40(SUPPL. 1), 63–74, doi:10.1007/s13280-011-0217-6, 2011.

Sharma, S., Blagrave, K., Magnuson, J. J., O'Reilly, C. M., Oliver, S., Batt, R. D., Magee, M. R., Straile, D., Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Winslow, L. and Woolway, R. I.: Widespread loss of lake ice around the Northern Hemisphere in a warming world, Nat. Clim.

Chang., 9(3), 227–231, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0393-5, 2019.
Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 63(324), 1379–1389, doi:10.1080/01621459.1968.10480934, 1968.
Su, L., Che, T. and Dai, L.: Variation in ice phenology of large lakes over the northern hemisphere based on passive microwave

remote sensing data, Remote Sens., 13(7), doi:10.3390/rs13071389, 2021.

Surdu, C. M., Duguay, C. R. and Prieto, D. F.: Evidence of recent changes in the ice regime of lakes in the Canadian High Arctic from spaceborne satellite observations, Cryosphere, 10(3), 941–960, doi:10.5194/tc-10-941-2016, 2016.
National Ice Center: Updated daily. IMS daily Northern Hemisphere snow and ice analysis at 1 km, 4 km, and 24 km resolutions, Boulder, CO Natl. Snow Ice Data Center. Digit. media, Provid. netCDF format by ICDC, 2008.
Weber, H., Riffler, M., Nõges, T. and Wunderle, S.: Lake ice phenology from AVHRR data for European lakes: An automated

two-step extraction method, Remote Sens. Environ., 174, 329–340, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.12.014, 2016.
Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Livingstone, D. M., Meili, M., Jensen, O., Benson, B. and Magnuson, J. J.: Large geographical differences in the sensitivity of ice-covered lakes and rivers in the Northern Hemisphere to temperature changes, Glob. Chang. Biol., 17(1), 268–275, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02249.x, 2011.

Woolway, R. I. and Merchant, C. J.: Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes in response to climate change, Nat. Geosci., 12(4), 271–276, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0322-x, 2019.

Wu, Y., Duguay, C.R. and Xu, L.: Assessment of machine learning classifiers for global lake ice cover mapping from MODIS TOA reflectance data, Remote Sens. Environ., 253, 112206, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2020.112206, 2021.

Xiao, D. and Li, J.: Spatial and temporal characteristics of the decadal abrupt changes of global atmosphere-ocean system in the 1970s, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112(24), 1–18, doi:10.1029/2007JD008956, 2007.

590 Yue, S., Pilon, P., Phinney, B. and Cavadias, G.: The influence of autocorrelation on the ability to detect trend in hydrological series, Hydrol. Process., 16(9), 1807–1829, doi:10.1002/hyp.1095, 2002.