2000 years of annual ice core data from Law Dome, East Antarctica
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Abstract. Ice core records from Law Dome in East Antarctica collected over the last three\textsuperset{last few} four decades, provide high resolution data for studies of the climate of Antarctica, Australia and the Southern and Indo-Pacific Oceans. Here we present a set of annually dated records of trace chemistry, stable water isotopes and snow accumulation from Law Dome covering over the period from -11 to 2017 CE (1961 to -66 BP 1950), as well as the level 1 chemistry data from which the annual chemistry records are derived. Law Dome ice core records have been used extensively in studies of the past climate of the Southern Hemisphere and in large scale data syntheses and reconstructions in a region where few records exist, especially at high temporal resolution. This dataset provides an update and extensions both forward and back in time of previously published subsets of the data, bringing them together into a coherent set with improved dating to enable continued use of this record. The data are available for download from the Australian Antarctic Data Centre at https://doi.org/10.26179/5zm0-v192.

1 Introduction

Ice core records from Law Dome in East Antarctica collected over the past four decades have provided high resolution proxy data for studies of Southern Hemisphere climate over the past 2000 years (e.g. (Stenni et al., 2017)).

Law Dome is a small ice cap on the coast of East Antarctica (Fig. 1), uniquely positioned to provide exceptionally high temporal resolution ice core records which preserve climate signals from a large sector of the Southern Hemisphere where there are limited historical and instrumental records available. It is situated on a promontory along the Budd Coast of East Antarctica which is bounded by the Totten and Vanderford glaciers, separating it from the main ice flow from in the interior of the East Antarctic ice sheet. It has a maritime climate influenced by large\textsuperscript{Its climate is driven largely by its position in the path of the large cyclonic weather systems originating from the Southern Ocean (Bromwich, 1988; Udy et al., 2021), with orographic influence which in turn provide a link to lower latitude climate. These cyclonic weather systems result in relatively high annual snowfall with orographic influences resulting in a snowfall gradient across the dome from east to west with higher annual snowfall to the eastern side (Pfitzner, 1980). The high snowfall accumulation rate at the summit is predominantly uniform throughout the year (van Ommen and Morgan, 1997) with well preserved annual layers, allowing for ice core records of annual to seasonal resolution which make suitable proxy records and clear dating which have provided high resolution proxy
data of Southern Hemisphere climate over the past 2000 years. In particular, it provides high resolution records of the Pacific and Indian sectors of the Southern Ocean, where there are limited historical or instrumental observations.

Law Dome’s close proximity to Casey Station has made it a relatively easy site to access for ice coring activities and repeat site visits to update records with shallow cores. Ice cores have been collected from various sites on Law Dome and have been shown to record regional, hemispheric and global records of past climate over the common era. Studies from Law Dome ice cores have included measurements of The ice core from the DSS site provides a long record extending back to the last glacial maximum, but with very high temporal resolution over the past 2000 years. Measurements in cores from elsewhere on Law Dome have included gases trapped within ice to investigate past atmospheric composition (Etheridge et al., 1998; Rubino et al., 2019), and stable. Stable isotopes of water have been used to assess from the DSS site show a regular seasonal cycle helping to identify annual layers and enabling the estimation of the annual snow accumulation (Roberts et al., 2015), and have wider uses in southern Indian Ocean variability (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2003) and in large compilations of past global temperature variability reconstruction (Emile-Geay et al., 2017) (eg Ahmed et al. (2013); Emile-Geay et al. (2017)). Proxies derived from annually dated ice core records from Law Dome have been used to reconstruct climate modes such as El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO) (Vance et al., 2015) and have been used as proxy records of Australian rainfall (van Ommen and Morgan, 2010; Vance et al., 2013) and streamflow (Tozer et al., 2018), for evaluation of solar and meteorological influences on the $^{10}$Be solar activity proxy (Pedro et al., 2011a, b, 2012), volcanic activity (Plummer et al., 2012) and sea ice extent (Curran et al., 2003).

Antarctica is still poorly represented in models which assess recent trends in global climate variability (Jones et al., 2016) as the instrumental record is short and sparse. Palaeoclimate records from East Antarctica, in particular, provide important longer term context of trends and variability for the shorter instrumental records that do exist. As one of the few sources of palaeoclimate data in this region, the records from Law Dome provide important input into large community climate compilation and synthesis efforts to understand past, present and future variability, such as the AntClim21 and AntClimNow SCAR initiatives and projects under the Past Global Changes (PAGES) 2k network. Examples of these with particular reliance on ice core records include 2000 years of climate reconstructions of variability by Stenni et al. (2017), synthesis and reconstruction of snow accumulation patterns across Antarctica (Thomas et al., 2017) and global temperature trends (Emile-Geay et al., 2017). The Law Dome record’s significance as one of the few continually updated sources of data in this region means that publishing the latest, version controlled record to ensure the most accurate data are available and citable is important for these and future efforts.

This collection of records supersedes all previously released versions of data from the Dome Summit South (DSS) site on Law Dome, with the composite record described here forming the best continuous record available, with improved subannual dating. Repeat visits have been undertaken to the DSS site to collect shallow ice cores to continually keep the ice core record updated, allowing for a longer overlap with the instrumental data period. Further analysis of previously collected ice core material at finer resolution than previously performed has enabled improvements to the subannual dating.

We provide trace chemistry, stable water isotopes and snow accumulation, with two levels available for some datasets. Level 1 data consists of quality controlled trace chemistry data for chloride ($Cl^{-}$), sodium ($Na^{+}$), magnesium ($Mg^{2+}$), calcium
(Ca$^{2+}$), potassium (K$^+$), sulphate (SO$_4^{2-}$), nitrate (NO$_3^-$) ions, at this time level 1 stable water isotope data is not included. Level 2 datasets are derived from the level 1 products, with annual averages provided for each chemical species, $\delta^{18}$O and snow accumulation as well as seasonal sea salts as examples of what we believe to be the most suitable method for each data stream as well as details of any limitations which should be considered in their application of further research.

Further visits to this site for collecting ice cores is expected as part of an ongoing monitoring project, with subsequent updates to this record when ice cores become available for analysis. These regular visits to update the record by collecting shallow cores extends the period which overlaps with modern satellite observations, ensuring the record stays up to date and more data is available for calibration of palaeoclimate reconstructions. This publication provides a reference point for the full suite of data, with links to versioned and updated data publicly available for download from the Australian Antarctic Data Centre at https://doi.org/10.26179/5zm0-v192. Note that in the text of this manuscript we use years CE for dates as the more natural dating scale, and to be consistent with the naming of some cores using the year or season in which they were drilled. The datasets available for download provide years in both CE and BP 1950 scales and we use BP 1950 (i.e. years before 1950 CE) for plotting of data in figures here.

2 Methods

Drilling campaigns

The DSS site is located at $66^\circ 46'11"S 112^\circ 48'25"E$, approximately 4.7 km SSW from the dome summit (Morgan et al., 1997). The drill sites of the four cores included in this composite record are all located within approximately 1 km of each other, with their relative locations shown in Figure 1(b). Future visits to the site are planned to ensure the record continues to be updated. A summary of the details of the drilling campaigns is found in Table 1, with more detailed description of the original drilling site by Morgan et al. (1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Drilling period (CE)</th>
<th>Drill type</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Co-ordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSS1617</td>
<td>10/02/2017</td>
<td>Electromechanical (Eclipse)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$66^\circ 46'26&quot;S 112^\circ 48'26&quot;E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS99</td>
<td>20/02/00–06/3/00</td>
<td>Thermal (Horace)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>125.26</td>
<td>$66^\circ 46'14&quot;S 112^\circ 48'25&quot;E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS97</td>
<td>28/10/97–26/11/97</td>
<td>Electromechanical (Eclipse)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>$66^\circ 46'38&quot;S 112^\circ 48'41&quot;E$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Details of the drilled cores used in this composite record. Locations of each of the individual cores are also shown in Figure 1(b).

Dating and age horizon uncertainties

The DSS record has been dated using seasonal species variations in the deposition of species to define calendar year boundaries, commonly known as annual layer counting. The stable water isotope record is the primary seasonal indicator, with a well-defined maximum of January $10\pm 2.2$ days (van Ommen and Morgan, 1997). Confirmation of dating is provided by
summer peaking hydrogen peroxide where available, and other chemical markers, such as summer peaking methanesulfonic acid (MSA), non-sea salt sulphate (nssSO$_4^{2-}$) and sulphate/chloride (SO$_4^{2-}$/Cl$^-$) ratio, and the winter peaking sea salt species (chloride (Cl$^-$), sodium (Na$^+$), magnesium (Mg$^2+$)). Layer counting of the DSS record between 1300 to 1995 CE was previously completed by Palmer et al. (2001b) and verified and extended to cover over 2000 years by Plummer et al. (2012). The DSS record currently spans -11 to 2017 CE with the addition of the DSS1617 core which is dated unambiguously from 1989 to 2017 CE. The timescale from Plummer et al. (2012) has been updated by refining the annual layer placement, assisted by new water isotope analysis, and by applying a stricter error counting protocol. The number of years counted has not changed, however the error estimate has increased in the oldest part of the record to +20/-7 at -11 CE, where this date may be up to 20 years older or 7 years younger (-31 to -4 CE) as shown in Fig. 2. Where evidence for a year horizon was not clearly identifiable in the primary seasonal indicators or only weakly supported by confirmatory species, it was not counted. When the majority of (but not all) seasonal indicators show evidence of a year these were counted. Both cases contribute to the total uncertainty estimate. The asymmetrical uncertainty reflects the greater likelihood of just one or two seasonal species indicating a year. We consider this to be a conservative estimate that represents the extreme error, and is biased toward undercounting is biased toward under-counting, reflecting increasing likelihood of encountering years with unclear signals with the decreasing layer thickness at depth. DSS is a long record from a high accumulation site (0.69 my$^{-1}$ ice equivalent using an ice density of 917 kgm$^{-3}$) and maintains 6-8 chemistry samples per year at -11 CE; enabling development of a long, accurate annual
layer-counted chronology. Intra-annual dating of individual sample depths is determined by interpolation between the layer counted annual horizon depths.

We take a conservative approach to calculating the age horizons and uncertainties. The depth of the top of each 1 m length of drilled core is locked to the top of the bag as recorded in the drilling logs, so uncertainty from the sample resolution is limited only within each 1 m length and errors in depth that may arise from missing or badly fragmented core segments are not cumulative. The sample resolution of chemical measurements is generally much greater than the water isotope sample resolution, hence the larger value is used.

The DSS chronology presented here has been dated using annual layer counting only; without reference to externally dated reference events (e.g. volcanic events) and is independent of other ice core age scales. There are differences when compared to other ice core chronologies, however those differences are within our error bounds. To assist users to include DSS data in large-scale reconstructions or regional synthesis products, we have provided a translation of the age of the volcanic events used in the PAGES Ant2k compilation (Ahmed et al., 2013) to the WD2014 (Sigl et al., 2016) chronology and our DSS age scale in Appendix B in supplementary information.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** (a) Age at depth for the composite record. Steps in the curve correspond to boundaries between cores, as they were drilled years apart. (b) Accumulated age uncertainties over the 2000 years of data.

The depths of the year boundaries for the 2000 years of data, produced using annual layer counting methods as described above, are contained in the file titled "DSS_2k_age_horizons.csv". The column headings for this file are described in Table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Date (CE)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Core name</th>
<th>min error (years)</th>
<th>max error (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-66</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>DSS1617</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>793.887</td>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Column headings for the file "DSS_2k_age_horizons.csv" which contains the depths of year boundaries produced by annual layer counting methods. Year horizons by depth are provided along with the specific core used for that year in the compilation. Accumulated minimum and maximum errors in age are provided, calculated using the method described in Section 2.

3 Level 1 Datasets

The level 1 datasets have undergone calibration, quality control and post-processing of the raw instrument measurements. The datasets here are obtained from four cores (see Table 1), drilled at the Dome Summit South (DSS 66°46’ S 112°48’ E) at different times. The main 1196 m (DSSmain:DSSMain) core was drilled between 1987 and 1993. The uppermost 117 m of DSSmain:DSSMain was thermally drilled, and the presence of micro-fractures made it unsuitable for trace ion analysis. Two further shallow cores - DSS97 and DSS99 - were drilled to cover this period for improved data quality. The water stable isotope records from all three cores were used to establish and lock unambiguously the dating and overlap between these cores (Palmer et al., 2001b). The DSS site has been revisited subsequently, with new short cores retrieved to update the DSS record. Previous work from DSS has used other composite records from short cores overlapping with DSS97 (Plummer et al., 2012; Vance et al., 2013; Roberts et al., 2015). The most recent update to the DSS record was DSS1617, a 30 metre core drilled during the 2016/17 CE austral summer season, covering 1989–2017 CE, providing 7 years of overlap with DSS97. Previous composite records for the DSS site have included other short cores which are now no longer used as the periods they covered are now superseded by the longer DSS1617. The periods covered by each of these cores is illustrated in Fig. 3. Where we have a choice of cores, we have typically selected records to avoid the upper most annual cycle of any core (where the friability of surface snow and firn can result in core sections that are more easily compromised during core processing) and to use long, continuous sections from single cores where possible.

The stable water isotope ($\delta^{18}$O) record is sampled at 10–50 mm resolution, with finer physical sampling as annual layers thin with depth. Level 2 annual mean data is provided, however the level 1 datasets of stable water isotopes are not provided at this time but will be published in the future with a manuscript currently in preparation. To assist with dating, sections of cores were measured for hydrogen peroxide at an average resolution of 50 mm as described by van Ommen and Morgan (1996). Discrete chemistry samples were prepared using the clean techniques described by Curran and Palmer (2001). Cores DSS1617, DSS97, DSS99 and DSSmain:DSSMain to 402 m (1300 CE) were sampled at an average resolution of 50 mm, providing up to 60 samples per year in the near-surface firn. Due to a sampling error, a section of DSSmain:DSSMain from 251–273 m (1611–1568 CE) was sampled for chemistry at 100 mm resolution, however isotope and peroxide measurements are available at 50 mm resolution to maintain dating integrity. Beyond 402 m, sample resolution changed to 30 mm and beyond 578 m (979...
Figure 3. Periods of time covered by the individual cores making up this composite record, drilled at DSS at different points from the drilling campaigns, as described in time. Solid colours indicate for each individual core, the periods solid colour indicates the years where data from the core is included in this composite record. Transparent. Transparent colours indicate where other data for overlapping the core other cores exists, but is not included in the compilation where due to better quality core or data being available.

CE, resolution changed to 25 mm to offset the effects of layer thinning on seasonality. Column headings for the age horizons data file are shown in Appendix ??.

3.1 Trace Ion Chemistry

Ice core samples have been analysed using ion chromatography for chloride (Cl−), sodium (Na+), magnesium (Mg2+), calcium (Ca2+), potassium (K+), sulphate (SO42−), nitrate (NO3−) and methanesulfonic acid (MSA−). Analytical methods have been modified and updated over the course of the analysis, and are summarised as follows. DSSMain from 117–402 m was analysed using the methods of Buck et al. (1992) at the University of New Hampshire. DSS99, DSS97, and DSSmain from 402–819 m were analysed according to the methods of Curran and Palmer (2001). The DSSMain section 819–829 m was analysed with the methods described by with a deeper section from 748-794 m using the methods of Plummer et al. (2012). The most recent analysis, DSS1617, was analysed according to Plummer et al. (2012) with the exception of the cation analytical column being changed to an Ionpac CS19 for improved detection and peak resolution of magnesium and calcium. Comparison of the analysis methods of Curran and Palmer (2001) and Buck et al. (1992) were discussed by Palmer et al. (2001b), and showed no significant difference. A comparison of the technique used by Plummer et al. (2012) and Curran and Palmer (2001) similarly found no significant differences.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Depth range</th>
<th>Average sample resolution</th>
<th>Reference for method used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSS1617</td>
<td>whole core</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Plummer et al. (2012) + Ionpac CS19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS97</td>
<td>whole core</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Curran and Palmer (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS99</td>
<td>whole core</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Curran and Palmer (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSMain</td>
<td>117–402</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>Buck et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>251–273 m</td>
<td>100 mm</td>
<td>Buck et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>402–527 m</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
<td>Curran and Palmer (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>527–748 m</td>
<td>25 mm</td>
<td>Curran and Palmer (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>748–794 m</td>
<td>25 mm</td>
<td>Plummer et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Resolution and methods used for trace chemistry analysis on the 4 different cores used in the compilation. †A sampling error resulted in the larger sample size in this section.

A number of species that were measured on parts of the core are not included in this dataset. MSA was not measured on a significant portion of the record. Additionally, deeper sections of the MSA record suffer from unquantified losses from storage prior to analysis (Roberts et al., 2009). The potassium and calcium records are incomplete and suffer issues with poor detection in some older analyses. Additionally, some sections of the DSSmain-DSSMain calcium record appear to have been affected by dust from storage in a concrete floored freezer. Due to these quality concerns, the potassium and calcium records are not discussed further.

For the remaining datasets we provide, for each chemical species, the concentration for each sample used in the composite record, an ID corresponding to the drilled core it was obtained from, the top and bottom depths of each sample and the corresponding age. Summary statistics for the level 1 trace chemistry species are included in Table 4, with the corresponding histograms shown for each species shown in Fig. 4. These show that the the distributions of these species are generally non-normal. In further analysis (such as shown later in this paper in 4.3) the concentrations are log-transformed to partially compensate for the long-tail of the distributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mean (µEqL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Variance ((µEqL(^{-1}))^2)</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chloride</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrate</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>145.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulphate</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>25.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sodium</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>35.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnesium</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-sea-salt sulphate</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>29.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Summary statistics for the level 1 trace ion chemistry data for each analysed species, calculated over the for the full 2000 years of data included in this compilation.
Figure 4. Histogram of the distributions of the level 1 trace chemistry data. Histogram of the concentration of each chemical species, with Normal probability distribution function overlaid, illustrating the non-Gaussian distribution of several of the analytes.

Statistical. The level 1 trace chemistry dataset provides a depth and age for the top and bottom of each sample as well as concentrations of the measured ions. These are contained in the file titled "DSS_2k_chemistry_level1.csv", with column headings provided for reference here in Table 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Top depth (m)</th>
<th>Bottom depth (m)</th>
<th>Top Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Bottom Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Mid Depth Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Cl⁻ (µEqL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSSp1617A-1_9</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>-66.981</td>
<td>-66.953</td>
<td>-66.967</td>
<td>3.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS 832-6</td>
<td>793.863</td>
<td>793.888</td>
<td>1960.867</td>
<td>1961.005</td>
<td>1960.936</td>
<td>1.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>NO₃⁻ (µEqL⁻¹)</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻ (µEqL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Na⁺ (µEqL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mg²⁺ (µEqL⁻¹)</th>
<th>nssSO₄²⁻ (µEqL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSSp1617A-1_9</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>1.572</td>
<td>3.332</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>1.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS 832-6</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Column headings Level 1 trace ion chemistry data file.
Further statistical analysis was performed to examine the consistency in the chemistry data obtained from the different cores within the composite, even where there is little or no overlapping data. As the concentrations of trace ions in the ice may depend on the accumulation rate, we have analysed the cores for epochs of approximately constant accumulation rate, and only compared sections which have similar (but not identical) accumulation rates. The detailed results of this analysis show that the distributions of the chemical species concentrations in the different cores are similar in epochs of comparable snow accumulation rate. The results and details of the analysis performed is found in Appendix A.

3.2 Density

The firn densification in this region of Law Dome was established from density measurements taken from several cores in the vicinity of DSS. Samples were prepared from dry drilled cores or from melt free interior of thermally drilled cores and were either machined to cylinders on a lathe or pressed (cookie cutter) from softer firn to a precise volume.

An empirical fit to the firn density profile with depth was presented in van Ommen et al. (1999). Specifically the density ($\rho$, in kg m$^{-3}$) is fitted as a compound function of depth ($z$, in m) as

$$
\rho(z) = 917 - 147.55 \exp \left( - \frac{z}{7.1504} \right) + \begin{cases} 
4.2826 z - 371.56 & \text{if } z < 56.972 \\
-648.65 \exp \left( - \frac{z}{35.934} \right) & \text{if } z \geq 56.972
\end{cases}
$$

The density observations and empirical fit are shown in Figure 5 as a function of depth (see Eqn. 1) are plotted in Fig. 5, showing good agreement between the two.
Ice Equivalent Depths

For some derived data products (e.g. snow accumulation history) it is useful to be able to remove the effects of firn densification. One common method is to utilise “ice equivalent depths” that represent the depth of a column numerically compressed to the same density as glacial ice (density $\rho_{\text{ice}} = 917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$) and same cross-sectional area, with the same mass as the firn column. Algebraically, the ice equivalent depth ($Z$) is given by

$$Z(z) = \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\rho(\eta)}{\rho_{\text{ice}}} d\eta = \begin{cases} 0.5948z + 0.002335z^2 + 1.1505 \exp\left(-z \ast 0.1399\right) - 1.1505 & \text{if } z < 56.972 \\ z + 1.1505 \exp\left(-0.1399z\right) + 24.7817 \exp\left(-0.02854z\right) - 21.5316 & \text{if } z \geq 56.972 \end{cases}$$

(2)

4 Level 2 datasets

The Level 2 datasets are derived from the Level 1 datasets above. Those presented here have largely been previously published or included in large data compilations but are now updated, with improved dating of the core. Where there are differences between the versions, these are remarked upon here. These Level 2 derived datasets can be considered as examples of best practise for utilising the Level 1 data. A plot of the timeseries for all level 2 datasets is shown in Figure 6.

Two CSV format text files are provided for the Level 2 data sets, "DSS_2k_winter_centred.csv" and "DSS_2k_summer_centred.csv" which contain the annually averaged datasets as described here in Section 4. Column headings are provided for reference here in Tables 6 and 7. These datasets are provided together to ensure that a consistent age scale across all the derived datasets.

4.1 Annual stable water isotopes

The DSS annual stable water isotope presented here updates and extends the stable isotope record included in Emile-Geay et al. (2017) and Stenni et al. (2017) using the DSS1617, DSS99, DSS97 and DSSmain-DSSMain cores (see Figure 3), and currently spans the period -11 to 2016 CE. The previously published annual composite record for DSS, used in Antarctic temperature reconstructions (Ahmed et al., 2013; Emile-Geay et al., 2017; Stenni et al., 2017), included other short cores which are now no longer used as the time periods they covered are now superseded by the longer DSS1617. Also, further water isotope analysis has extended the annual stable water isotope for the DSSmain record from DSSMain record to cover -11 to 174 CE. The record presented here includes some changes from the previous records due to the new cores, minor changes in the year boundaries and the new analysis data. Annual averages are calculated using the year boundaries defined by the summer peak in $\delta^{18}O$. Changes from previously released records have occurred due to new data being obtained from the newer DSS1617 core as well as new analysis at depth from the original DSSmain-DSSMain core. Minor adjustments have been made to the year/depth horizons and corrections to core flips, sample mishandling and depth errors which have been identified since the last release and have been verified by the chemistry records. The time series for the annual averaged $\delta^{18}O$ data is shown in Fig. 7.
Figure 6. Time series for all annual records, include trace chemistry analytes, stable water isotopes, accumulation and derived seasonal sea salts.
### Table 6. Winter-centred annual data columns included in "DSS_2k_winter_centred.csv" file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Year (CE)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O (‰)</th>
<th>Layer Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Accumulation rate (IE m y(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-66</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>-22.5116322040234</td>
<td>0.733355</td>
<td>0.734644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-22.1038028768394</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.662081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Summer-centred annual data columns included in "DSS_2k_summer_centred.csv" file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Year (CE)</th>
<th>DJFMAM (log (µEqL(^{-1})))</th>
<th>JJASON (log (µEqL(^{-1})))</th>
<th>DJFM (log (µEqL(^{-1})))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-66</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.338652134246341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>NaN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>NaN</td>
<td>NaN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2 Annual trace ions

Annual average values are presented here, and the calculation method is species dependent. A winter-centred average is used for winter-peaking species (e.g. sea salts) with the boundaries set at the beginning and end of each calendar year. The summer-centred value is calculated from mid-year to mid-year, and used for the nominally summer-peaking species nitrate and non-sea-salt sulphate. This was done to reduce edge effects where small differences in year boundary placement could have strong effects on summer-peaking species. The non-sea-salt sulphate concentration is calculated according to the method of Palmer et al. (2001a) and has a fractionation correction applied to minimize non-physical negative values. The distributions of the annually averaged records is shown in Figure 8.
Figure 7. Time series for annually averaged $\delta^{18}$O. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black lines indicates $\pm 2\sigma$ values.

Figure 8. Violin plots showing the median, interquartile range and distribution of the annually averaged trace ions. The chloride and sodium records are separated only for illustrative purposes so that their higher concentrations do not dominate the plots of the other ions.

Appendix ?? contains plots of the Plots of the annual average time series for each of the measured chemistry species are given below, along with the median value, $2\sigma$ threshold and the number of samples used for each annual value, the median value and $2\sigma$ threshold (see Figures average shown in the lower panel (see Figs. 9 – 14).
Characteristics of seasonal cycles of the different species of ions from the Law Dome ice core has been studied over short time periods (Curran et al., 1998), while annual sea salt data has been used previously for high-precision dating of volcanic events (Palmer et al., 2001b), and used for palaeoclimate studies of atmospheric circulation (Souney et al., 2002).

4.3 Seasonal sea salts

Characteristics of seasonal cycles of the different species of ions from the Law Dome ice core has been studied over short time periods (Curran et al., 1998), while annual sea salt data has been used previously for high-precision dating of volcanic events (Palmer et al., 2001b), and used for palaeoclimate studies of atmospheric circulation (Souney et al., 2002).
Figure 11. Time series for annually averaged magnesium. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates $2\sigma$ value. The lower panel indicates the number of individual samples in the year used for the average value.

Figure 12. Time series for annually averaged sulphate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates $2\sigma$ value. The lower panel indicates the number of individual samples in the year used for the average value.

Seasonal Three seasonal sea salt records were originally developed from aggregating the level 1 sodium record for climate proxy and reconstruction purposes in Vance et al. (2013, 2015) and further used in Crockart et al. (2021); Vance et al. (2021) as proxies for regional climate Crockart et al. (2021); Vance et al. (2021). Specifically these records are the summer, and warm and cool season sea salt average concentrations. These records include a prescribed summer season of December to March inclusive mean chloride concentrations, hereafter the ‘summer sea salt record’, as well as a semi-annual aggregation into a defined warm (December to June inclusive) and cool (July to November inclusive) mean salt concentration. The summer sea
Figure 13. Time series for annually averaged nitrate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates $2\sigma$ value. The lower panel indicates the number of individual samples in the year used for the average value.

Figure 14. Time series for annually averaged non-sea salt sulphate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates $2\sigma$ value.

Salt record was developed as a proxy for rainfall variability and in eastern Australia as well as a Western Tropical Pacific ENSO proxy in eastern Australia over the Common Era. This record is the seasonal average of sea salt concentrations between December and March, and is provided as log-transformed mean concentrations. Variability in summer sea salt concentrations is attributed to synoptic scale changes in the southern Indian Ocean (Udy et al., 2021). The warm (December to May) and cool (June to November) season sea salt concentration averages, which were and cool season mean sea salt concentrations were
Similarly log-transformed and provided input timeseries for reconstructing Pacific Ocean decadal variability, specifically the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation index (Parker et al., 2007; Vance et al., 2015, 2016). These datasets have been extended and undergone improved quality control procedures, as detailed in Vance et al. (2021) and adopted here, which extended the IPO reconstruction to span the Common Era.

These seasonal records were derived by binning the quality controlled, dated sea salt data from the level 1 datasets into 12 months per year. The salt concentrations were log-transformed to normalize (as sea salt data from Law Dome displays long tails due to high frequency aerosol generation events from synoptic activity in the southern Indian Ocean). After binning, timeseries of seasonal averages (December–March, December–May and June–November) were produced with the following caveats. The level 1 sea salt records were examined in conjunction with the updated isotope records (due to having less stringent ice sample requirements) stable water isotope records and field and laboratory logbooks detailing core morphology and ice sample cutting. In some instances, the binned sea salt data was compromised due to either missing ice core material from core breaks or shattered sections, or analytical problems resulting in no data or suspected contamination. If this had occurred, the data in question was removed from the analysis. Where more than one month of data was compromised for the summer sea salt record, or two months for the warm and cool season records, we elected to not include that season in our published timeseries. This results in missing values in the seasonal sea salt timeseries of summer, warm and cool season salt concentrations over the last 2000 years and are recorded as NaN in the dataset files. Timeseries for the summer, warm and cool season log-transformed sea salts are shown in Figures 15, 16 and 17.

![Graph](image-url)

**Figure 15.** Time series for DJFM (Summer) annual sea salts concentration. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates ±2σ value.
4.4 Annual snow accumulation

Snow accumulation is derived using the year horizons obtained through the layer-counted dating. This record has been previously published in Roberts et al. (2015) and updated here to include the newer DSS1617 core data and the improved dating. We assume steady state
for depth profiles of both density (Sorge’s law) and the vertical strain rate. To compensate for firn densification effects, the depths for year boundaries (see Appendix ?) have been converted to ice equivalent depths using Equation 2. Annual snow accumulation rates were then estimated using the power-law vertical strain rate method of Roberts et al. (2015), based on the assumption of no long-term trend in snow accumulation rate. The resulting snow accumulation rate is shown in Figure 18, with the long term mean snow accumulation rate of 0.691±0.004 IE m y⁻¹.

![Figure 18](image)

**Figure 18.** Time series for the annual snow accumulation rate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates ±2σ value.

5 Summary

In this paper we have presented the suite of data covering the last 2000 years from the Law Dome ice cores. This set has been updated from previously published results with improved and extended annual dating. We provide quality controlled trace ion chemistry datasets as well as derived, annualised products.

This dataset can be used for high resolution studies of southern hemisphere climate drivers at annual to seasonal scales.

Our aim for this paper has been to provide the community with the most complete and consistent suite of Law Dome for the past 2000 years, and examples of best-practise methods for generating derived datasets such as the annual means. The data sets here supersede all previous releases.

6 Trace Chemistry statistical analysis
Due to only very short overlaps between the various ice cores, there is insufficient data for a reliable direct assessment of the consistency of chemical species between the cores. Therefore, as an alternative, we identify different epochs when we might expect similar distributions, specifically periods of time in ice core A where the average accumulation rate approximately the same as ice core B during a different period of time. Changepoint analysis was performed on the cumulative summation (CUSUM) of the snow accumulation record to determine different epochs of approximately constant accumulation, a technique that has been used to detect changes in the Law Dome snowfall data by Zheng et al. (2021). The CUSUM simply sums the data anomalies and identifies a step change in the underlying data through changes in the gradient of the CUSUM. The changepoints in the CUSUM gradient were detected using the Ruptures Python package (Truong et al., 2020). We select epochs of the closest mean accumulation value and then compare between the different cores using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) and a Welch’s t-test (W-T) on the log-transformed data for each of chemical species. These two tests provide two different ways to test the populations, with the K-S test not assuming a normally distributed population, while log transforming the data for the W-T test mitigates some of the long-tail of the distribution. The epochs that were compared are shown in Figure ??, with a summary of the statistical results in Table ???. Visual inspection was also performed using the empirical cumulative probability distributions of the log-transformed concentration data, in Figure ???. Overall, the results shown in Table A1 show the results are broadly consistent across the various ice cores. We would not expect all of the tests to show statistically significance for several reasons: a) the accumulation rates used for the comparisons are as similar as possible, but not identical, b) even if the accumulation rates where identical we would expect variability in the species concentrations (i.e., the correlation with accumulation is not perfect) and c) the presence of surface features such as sastrugi will result in different (but highly correlated) ice core records from ice cores drilled at different locations, even only a few metres apart.

(a) Cumulative sum of the annual snow accumulation rate with detected change points indicated by vertical dashed lines. (b) Average snow accumulation epochs used for chemistry data statistical analysis.

Empirical cumulative distribution functions of the log-transformed concentration data for each trace chemistry species. Epochs from DSS1617, DSS99 and DSS97 are each compared against epochs from the DSSmain core with similar, but not identical, snow accumulation rates. Epochs are determined by the changepoint analysis on the CUSUM snow accumulation.

chloride 0.147 -5.032 0.041 0.553 0.081 -3.737 nitrate 0.094 -2.207 0.089 -3.086 0.382 -22.40 sulphate 0.080 2.031 0.143 -4.827 0.097 -5.240 sodium 0.126 -4.428 0.034 0.273 0.098 -4.718 magnesium 0.156 -2.967 0.088 4.161 0.063 -1.722 Statistical comparison between DSSmain and the shorter DSS97, DSS99, DSS1617 cores that make up the composite. Tests are performed between segments from cores with the closest average value of snow accumulation, as calculated using the changepoint analysis. D-statistics from the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test and Welch’s t-test (W-T) in bold indicate species where the p-value is greater than 0.05, indicating that the null hypothesis that both samples are from the same distribution cannot be rejected and hence have a comparable distribution.

6 Data file headers
The files included in this dataset are held at the Australian Antarctic Data Centre are listed here, with column headings provided for each file.

### 5.1 Age Horizons

The file titled "DSS_2k_age_horizons.csv" contains the depths of the year boundaries for the 2000 years of This dataset is useful for future high resolution studies of palaeoclimate proxies as has been useful in the past. Future users of the data produced using annual layer counting methods as described above.

| Date (BP 1950) | Date (CE) | Depth (m) | Core name | min error (years) | max error (years) | 66 2016 1.82 | DSS1617 0.0 | 1961 11.793 887 DSS 20 7 Year horizons by depth, with accumulated minimum and maximum errors in age.
|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|

### 5.1 Level 1 Chemistry

The level 1 datasets provide a depth and age for the top and bottom of each sample as well as concentrations of the measured ions. These are contained in the file titled "DSS_2k_chemistry_level1.csv", with column headings provided for reference here in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Top depth (m)</th>
<th>Bottom depth (m)</th>
<th>Top Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Bottom Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>Mid Date (BP 1950)</th>
<th>()</th>
<th>DSSp1617A 1 9 0.255 0.300 66.981 66.953 66.967 3.967</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 5.1 Level 2 Data

Two CSV format text files are provided for the Level 2 datasets, "DSS_2k_winter_centred.csv" and "DSS_2k_summer_centred.csv" which contain the annually averaged datasets as described above in Section 4. Column headings are provided for reference here in Tables 6 and 7 should take the following summary of the points into consideration.

1. The dating presented has uncertainties due to occasionally unclear seasonal markers. The dating is biased towards undercounting of years with unclear boundaries.

2. As this dataset is dated through annual layer counting, independent of reference to volcanic or solar activity ties, there is an offset in the chronology compared to other ice core chronologies. A translation in ages of volcanic ties is provided to facilitate the inclusion of this data where a common age scale is required.

3. While we provide all the level 1 chemistry data, it is more useful to consider annualised values for the data, either summer or winter centered as we have described depending on the particular analyte. Future users of the data remain free to define seasonal boundaries as best suits their particular needs.
4. Seasonal averages are possible, as provided here in the recently published Vance et al. (2021) as an example, it should be noted that this data has undergone further quality controls resulting in no average value being recorded where there are an insufficient number of clear records within the seasonal bounds to calculate an average with confidence.

We encourage all potential users of the data to contact us if they have any further queries about how best to use the data.

Year (BP 1950) Year (CE) (Layer Thickness (µm)) Accumulation rate (µm) Year δ¹⁸O (‰) Accumulation rate (µm) Year

-22.1038 2000–1959.5 0.363 0.33 1960.5–10.5 NaN NaN

Winter centred annual data columns included in "DSS_2k_winter_centred.csv" file.

Time series for annually-averaged sodium. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates 2σ value.

Time series for annually-averaged chloride. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates 2σ value.

Time series for annually-averaged magnesium. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates 2σ value.

Time series for annually-averaged sulphate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates 2σ value.

Year (BP 1950) Year (CE) (µm) 1961–11 2.925 3.687

Summer centred annual data columns included in "DSS_2k_summer_centred.csv" file.

Time series for annually-averaged nitrate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates 2σ value.

Time series for annually-averaged non-sea salt sulphate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates 2σ value.

Time series for the annual snow accumulation rate. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates ±2σ value.

Time series for annually-averaged δ¹⁸O. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates ±2σ value.

Time series for DJFM (Summer) annual sea salts concentration. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates ±2σ value.

Time series for DJFMAM (warm season) annual sea salts concentration. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black line indicates ±2σ value.

Time series for JASON (cool season) annual sea salts concentration. Solid black line indicates the 2000 year mean value. Dashed black lines indicate ±2σ value.
6 Data availability

The dataset described in this manuscript can be accessed at the Australian Antarctic Data Centre under https://doi.org/10.26179/5zm0-v192 (Curran et al., 2021)
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