A 500-year annual runoff reconstruction for 14 selected European catchments

Sadaf Nasreen¹, Markéta Součková¹, Mijael Rodrigo Vargas Godoy¹, Ujjwal Singh¹, Yannis Markonis¹, Rohini Kumar², Oldrich Rakovec^{1,2}, and Martin Hanel¹

¹Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Praha-Suchdol 16500, Czech Republic ²UFZ-Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Leipzig 04318, Germany

Correspondence: Martin Hanel (hanel@fzp.czu.cz)

Abstract. Since the beginning of this century, Europe has been experiencing severe drought events (2003, 2007, 2010, 2018 and 2019) which have had adverse impacts on various sectors, such as agriculture, forestry, water management, health, and ecosystems. During the last few decades, projections of the impact of climate change on hydroclimatic extremes were often used for quantification of changes in the characteristics of these extremes. Recently, the research interest has been extended to include

- 5 reconstructions of hydro-climatic conditions to provide historical context for present and future extremes. While there are available reconstructions of temperature, precipitation, drought indicators, or the 20th century runoff for Europe, multi-century annual runoff reconstructions are still lacking. In this study, we have used reconstructed precipitation and temperature data, Palmer Drought Severity Index and available observed runoff across fourteen European catchments in order to develop annual runoff reconstructions for the period 1500-2000 using two data-driven and one conceptual lumped hydrological model. The
- 10 comparison to observed runoff data has shown a good match between the reconstructed and observed runoff and their characteristics, particularly deficit volumes. On the other hand, the validation of input precipitation fields revealed an underestimation of the variance across most of Europe, which is propagated into the reconstructed runoff series. The reconstructed runoff is available via figshare, an open source scientific data repository, under the DOI https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15178107, (Sadaf et al., 2021).

15

1 Introduction

Global warming has impacted numerous land surface processes (Reinecke et al., 2021) over the last few decades, resulting in more severe droughts, heat waves, floods, and other extreme events. Droughts, in particular, pose a serious threat to Europe's water resources. The flow of many rivers is greatly hampered by prolonged droughts, which restrain the availability of fresh

20 water for agriculture and domestic use. For example, the 2003 drought significantly reduced European river flows by approximately 60 to 80% relative to the average (Zappa and Kan, 2007). Likewise, the annual flow levels at several river gauges have decreased by 9 to 22% over the last decade (Middelkoop et al., 2001; Krysanova et al., 2008; Uehlinger et al., 2009; Su et al., 2020) due to a lack of rainfall and a warmer climate.

While runoff is a key element related to water security, it is challenging to interpret recent hydroclimate fluctuations (multi-25 year droughts in particular) considering observed runoff records (Markonis and Koutsoyiannis, 2016; Hanel et al., 2018), which are in general seldom available for years prior to 1900. In this way, the community does not have runoff information on various severe multi-year droughts and pluvial periods, which can be assessed only indirectly using (typically seasonal or annual) reconstructions based on various proxy data, such as past tree-rings (Nicault et al., 2008; Kress et al., 2010; Cook et al., 2015; Tejedor et al., 2016; Casas-Gómez et al., 2020), speleothem (Vansteenberge et al., 2016), ice cores, sediments

30 (Luoto and Nevalainen, 2017) and documentary and instrumental evidence (Pfister et al., 1999; Brázdil and Dobrovolný, 2009;
 Dobrovolný et al., 2010; Wetter et al., 2011).

The majority of existing reconstructions focus on temperature (Luterbacher et al., 2004; Xoplaki et al., 2005; Casty et al., 2005; Büntgen et al., 2006; Moberg et al., 2008; Dobrovolný et al., 2010; Trouet et al., 2013; Emile-Geay et al., 2017), precipitation (Wilson et al., 2005; Boch and Spötl, 2011; Wilhelm et al., 2012; Murphy et al., 2018) or droughts (Büntgen

- 35 et al., 2010; Kress et al., 2014; Cook et al., 2015; Tejedor et al., 2016; Ionita et al., 2017; Brázdil et al., 2018; Hanel et al., 2018) and floods (Wetter et al., 2011; Swierczynski et al., 2012). A few studies have been conducted for the reconstruction of runoff-drought deficit series (Hansson et al., 2011; Kress et al., 2014; Hanel et al., 2018; Moravec et al., 2019; Martínez-Sifuentes et al., 2020). However, these studies are either local or regional, or cover a relatively short period. As an example, Hansson et al. (2011) introduced a runoff series for the Baltic Sea from 1550 to 1995 years using temperature and atmospheric
- 40 circulation indices. Similarly, Sun et al. (2013) has used tree-ring proxies to reconstruct runoff in the Fenhe River Basin in China's Shanxi region over the last 211 years. As another example, Caillouet et al. (2017) provides a 140-year dataset of reconstructed streamflow over 662 natural catchments in France since 1871 using the GR6J hydrological model, highlighting several well-known extreme low flow events. A multi ensemble modeling approach using GR4J has been applied by Smith et al. (2019) to develop UK-based historical river flows and examine the potential of reconstruction for capturing peak and low
- 45 flow events from 1891 to 2015.

The available reconstructed precipitation and temperature series (or fields) can be used to reconstruct runoff with hydrological (process-based) models (Tshimanga et al., 2011; Armstrong et al., 2020) respecting general physical laws, such as preserving mass balance (e.g. MIKE SHE; Im et al., 2009 or VELMA; Laaha et al., 2017) or data-driven methods which are able to capture complex non-linear relationships (for instance support vector machines, Zuo et al., 2020; Ji et al., 2021; artificial



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of the observed GHCN precipitation and temperature stations and GRDC runoff gauges.

- 50 neural networks ANNs, Senthil Kumar et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2018; Kwak et al., 2020; random forests, Ghiggi et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021; Contreras et al., 2021). While the lack of physical constraints in the data-driven models limits their application under changing boundary conditions (in comparison with those of the model training period), their advantage is that they can often directly use biased reconstructed data as an input series.
- The objective of the present study is to provide a multi-century annual runoff reconstruction for fourteen European catch-55 ments, utilizing the available precipitation (P, Pauling et al., 2006) and temperature (T, Luterbacher et al., 2004) reconstruc-55 tions and Old World Drought Atlas self-calibrated Palmer Drought Severity Index (scPDSI) reconstruction (Cook et al., 2015). 55 Specifically, we assessed a conceptual lumped hydrological model (GR1A; Mouelhi et al., 2006) and two data-driven models: 55 Long Short Term Memory neural network (LSTM; Chen et al., 2020) and Bayesian Regularized Neural Network (BRNN; 56 Okut, 2016) for annual runoff simulation over the period 1500-2000.
- 60 Section 2 introduces P and T hydroclimatic reconstructions, the scPDSI drought indicator as well as precipitation, temperature and runoff observations. In Sect. 3, we describe the data pre-processing, models, the drought identification methodology and goodness-of-fit assessment. The accuracy of the employed P and T reconstructions, as well as the derived runoff simulations are evaluated in Sect. 4. Finally, we summarize the advantages and limitations of reconstructed datasets in the concluding Sect. 5.

65 2 Data

This section present the data used in this study. To force the models, we investigate the use of precipitation (Pauling et al., 2006) and temperature (Luterbacher et al., 2004) reconstructions for the past half-millennium and scPDSI drought indicator data from the Old World Drought Atlas (Cook et al., 2015). For validating the runoff reconstructions, we used runoff from GRDC (Fekete et al., 1999). The accuracy of atmospheric forcing reconstruction used as model input was assessed using the

Table 1. Summary of considered datasets

Reference	Domain	Temporal	Spatial resolution	Variables
		coverage		
		$^{*}(CE)$		
Pauling et al. (2006)	Europe	1500-2000	$0.5^{\circ} \ge 0.5^{\circ}$	seasonal precipitation
Luterbacher et al. (2004)	Europe	1500-2000	$0.5^{\circ} \ge 0.5^{\circ}$	seasonal temperature
Menne et al. (2018)	Global	1760-2010	26,000 point stations	monthly mean temperature
Menne et al. (2018)	Global	1760-2010	20,590 point stations	monthly mean precipitation
Cook et al. (2015)	Europe	0-2012	$0.5^{\circ} \ge 0.5^{\circ}$	summer Palmer Drought Severity Index

*Common Era

observational data records of P and T from the Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN; Menne et al., 2018). The 70 datasets are summarized in Table 1 and are described in more detail below.

2.1 Precipitation

We used reconstructed seasonal precipitation (0.5° x 0.5°) over Europe (30.25° N - 70.75° N / 29.75° W - 39.75° E) from 1500 to 2000 years. Reconstructed precipitation (P) was derived by Pauling et al. (2006) through principal component regression based on documented evidences (i.e., memoirs, annals, newspapers), speleothem proxy records (Proctor et al., 2000) and treering chronologies from the International Tree-Ring Data Bank (ITRDB; Jeong et al., 2021).

2.2 Temperature

75

80

Reconstructed temperature (T) is obtained from Luterbacher et al. (2004) which relies on historical records and seasonal natural proxies (i.e., ice cores from Greenland and tree-rings from Scandinavia and Siberia). Reconstructed temperature data is available at the same spatial and temporal resolution as precipitation (see Table 1). We refer both of these datasets as reconstructed forcings or reconstructed precipitation/temperature fields.

2.3 Self-calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index (scPDSI)

In addition, we used data from the Old World Drought Atlas (OWDA; Cook et al., 2015) which contains information regarding moisture conditions across Europe, specifically the self-calibrated Palmer Drought Severity Index (scPDSI) using summerrelated, tree-ring proxies over the period 0 to 2012 CE.

85

2.4 The Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN)

The GHCN dataset (GHCN; Peterson and Vose, 1997) is one of the largest observational databases, collated by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA; Quayle et al., 1999). The GHCN-m dataset contains observed temperature, rainfall and pressure data from 1701 to 2010. Data for the majority of stations are, however, available after 1900. GHCN-m

90 precipitation and temperature from GHCN V2, as well as from GHCN V4 version (Menne et al., 2012) were used to assess the reconstruction accuracy of the P and T fields as an input into the considered models. We selected 113 precipitation and 144 temperature stations within the European domain (see Fig. 1) with records dating back earlier than 1875. Most stations are geographically concentrated in Central Europe, and few stations are located in the eastern and northern areas of Europe (see Table 2). These data, hereafter, are referred to as the GHCN data.

95 2.5 Observed runoff

100

The Global Runoff Data Center (GRDC; www.bafg.de/GRDC/EN/Home/homepage_node.html) provides data for more than 2780 gauging stations in Europe, with the oldest records starting from 1806. Only the GRDC runoff time series with at least 25 years of data prior to 1900 were selected. In total, there were 21 such stations predominantly available in Central Europe: 11 in Germany, two in France, two in Switzerland, one in the Czech Republic, one in Sweden, one in Finland, one in Lithuania and one in Romania (see Fig. 1). These stations cover 12 European river basins (Rhine, Loire, Elbe, Danube, Wesser, Main, Glama, Slazach, Nemunas, Gota Alv, Inn and Kokemaenjoke), with areas ranging from nearly 6 100 km² (Kokemaenjoki, Muroleenkoski, Finland) to 576,000 km² (Danube, Orsova, Romania). The mean annual discharge (Q_{mean}) varies from 50 m³s⁻¹ to 5 600 m³s⁻¹ and spans different time periods for each catchment.

The most extensive records were available in Sweden (Vargoens KRV) and Germany (Dresden), containing the longest discharge series of 212 and 208 years, respectively. The gauging station in Köln also provided 195 years of data for the Rhine River. Note that some of the gauging stations are located nearby and therefore have a greater degree of similarity in their runoff time-series (e.g., two stations in Basel, Rhine). Detailed information relating to all selected stations is provided in Table 2.

2.6 Study area

In the first part of the study, the grid-based reconstruction of precipitation and temperature was verified against the available 110 GHCN data across the European region bounded by (30.25° N - 70.75° N / 29.75° W - 39.75° E). The second part focuses on 21 specific Central European catchments, corresponding to the available long-term GRDC discharge records. The study area and the observational data of the hydroclimatic variables are shown in Fig. 2.

3 Methods

This section is divided into three parts. The first part describes the pre-processing of the reconstructed forcings (i.e., precipitation and temperature) for validation across Europe and the preparation of data for runoff simulation in 21 catchments (Sect. 3.1). The hydrologic and data-driven models used to generate the runoff reconstructions are presented in Sect. 3.2 and 3.3 respectively. Finally, section 3.4 describe the methods for the evaluation of simulated runoff and reconstructed forcings and section 3.5 presents the methods to identify annual runoff droughts.



Figure 2. A schematic representation of workflow carried out in the study.

3.1 Data pre-processing

120 Two databases were considered for the analysis and development of the annual runoff reconstruction. The first one was used for forcings validation and consists of observed GHCN data for all available European stations with long records (see Sect. 2.4) and values of corresponding grid cells from the reconstructed forcings dataset.

The second database was created as the basis for runoff reconstruction containing the observed runoff data for 21 selected catchments (Table 2) and the corresponding input variables of the models used to generate the multi-century runoff recon-

125 structions. Several input variables were considered for inclusion in models such as reconstructed precipitation and temperature and Old World Drought Atlas scPDSI. The catchment average precipitation, temperature and scPDSI were estimated from the corresponding (gridded) datasets by averaging the relevant grid cells over the catchments. Second database was further divided into two parts: calibration (1900-2000) and validation (<=1900) to assess the model's accuracy and to select an appropriate model. The data pre-processing, model selection, and evaluation of the models are depicted in Fig. 2.

Station	River	GRDCno	Latitude	Longitude	Drainage	Mean annual	Start	Length
			[°N]	[°E]	area	discharge	year	[year]
					[km ²]	$[m^3 s^{-1}]$		
Orsova, RO	Danube	6742200	44.7	22.42	576,232	5602	1840	151
Decin, CZ	Elbe	6140400	50.79	14.23	51,123	309	1851	150
Dresden, DE	Elbe	6340120	51.05	13.73	53,096	332	1806	208
Elverum, NO	Gloma	6731401	60.88	11.56	15,426	251	1871	44
Vargoens KRV, SW	Gota Alv	6229500	58.35	12.37	46,885.5	531	1807	212
Wasserburg, DE	Inn	6343100	48.05	12.23	11,983	354	1827	177
Muroleenkoski,FI	Kokemaenjoki	6854104	61.85	23.910	6102	53.1	1863	155
Blois, FR	Loire	6123300	47.58	-0.86	38,240	362	1863	117
Montjean, FR	Loire	6123100	47.58	1.33	110,000	911	1863	117
Schweinfurt-Neuer Hafen	Main	6335301	50.03	10.22	12,715	103	1845	156
Weurzburg, DE	Main	6335500	49.79	9.92	14,031	108	1824	177
Smalininkai, LT	Nemunas	6574150	55.07	22.57	81,200	531	1812	185
Basel Rheinhalle, CH	Rhine	6935051	47.55	7.61	35,897	1043	1869	140
Basel Schifflaende, CH	Rhine	6935052	47.55	7.58	35,905	1042	1869	127
Köln, DE	Rhine	6335060	50.93	6.96	144,232	2085	1817	195
Rees, DE	Rhine	6335020	51.75	6.39	159,300	2251	1815	183
Burgausen, DE	Salzach	6343500	48.15	12.83	6649	258	1827	174
Hann-Münden DE	Wesser	6337400	51.42	9.64	12,442	109	1831	182
Bodenwerder, DE	Wesser	6337514	51.97	9.51	15,924	145	1839	175
Vlotho DE	Wesser	6337100	52.17	8.86	17,618	170	1820	194
Intschede, DE	Wesser	6337200	52.96	9.12	37,720	320	1857	154

Table 2. Selected study catchments.

130 3.2 Hydrologic model (GR1A)

We applied the annual time-scale hydrologic model, GR1A (Mouelhi et al., 2006) to simulate annual runoff in each catchment. GR1A is a conceptual lumped hydrologic model (Manabe, 1969), considering dynamic storage and antecedent precipitation conditions. The model consists of a simple mathematical equation with a single (optimized) parameter:

$$Q_{i} = P_{i} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{0.8P_{i} + 0.2P_{i-1}}{XE_{i}} \right)^{2} \right]^{0.5}} \right\}$$
(1)

135 where Q, E and P represent annual runoff, basin average potential evapotranspiration and basin average precipitation, respectively and i denotes the year. The parameter X is optimized individually for each catchment by maximizing the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) between observed and simulated runoff. Default gradient-based optimization from the R package airGR (Coron et al., 2017) was used. The potential evapotranspiration was calculated using the temperature-based formula (Oudin et al., 2005). Compared to other conceptual models from the GR family (GR4J, GR5J), GR1A is simple to use and it allows for analyzing many variants, particularly defining best antecedent rainfall and potentially useful to predict wet and dry hydrologic

140

conditions (Mouelhi et al., 2006).

3.3 Data-driven models

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs; Senthil Kumar et al., 2005; Kwak et al., 2020) can describe nonlinear relationships and are widely used for rainfall-runoff prediction. The ANNs consist of artificial neurons organized in layers and connections that route

- 145 the signal through the network. Each connection has an associated weight that is optimized within the calibration (in the context of ANNs, known as training). There are many types of ANNs which differ in terms of structure and type of connections, as well as direction and functional forms used for neuron activation or training. In the present study, we considered two approaches: Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) neural networks and Bayesian Regularized Neural Networks (BRNN). These approaches have been commonly used in past rainfall-runoff modelling studies (Hu et al., 2018; Kratzert et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2020;
- Ye et al., 2021). We considered combinations of reconstructed forcing, OWDA-based scPDSI, and lagged forcing as an input into the network for both model types. Specifically, the network using only reconstructed precipitation and temperature fields is referred to as [P,T], the network with reconstructed forcing and OWDA scPDSI is termed as [P,T,PDSI]; and finally the network which includes 1-year lagged P and T forcing in addition to actual P and T is referred to as [P,T,Lag]. We also considered and explored lag times longer than 1 year. However the correlation between precipitation and runoff drops significantly at lag times longer than 1 year, and therefore were not included in presented analysis.
- Figure A1 shows the architecture of LSTM, which is a modified version of the recurrent neural network (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), using backpropagation in time (Werbos, 1990). LSTM is known for efficient simulation of time series with long-term memory (Van Houdt et al., 2020). LSTM generally consists of two unit states (hidden and cell states) and three distinct gates (hidden, input and output). In this process, the cell state saves the long-term memory at the previous
 unit, while hidden states act as a working memory to process information inside the gates. These gates can determine which information needs to be processed, remembered and transferred in the next state. With LSTM, different activation functions, such as hyperbolic tangent and sigmoid, can be used to update unit states. The implementation of the LSTM is carried out by means of R packages: "keras" (Arnold, 2017) and "tensorflow" (Abadi et al., 2016).
- The training process of the LSTM is time consuming due to its inherent complexity. Therefore we considered also the BRNN 165 models that provide fast learning and convergence and were already used to tackle the complex relationship between rainfall and runoff (Ye et al., 2021). BRNNs are based on the recurrent neural networks, which are often used to model time-series data (Wang et al., 2007), and extend them with Bayesian regularization (Okut, 2016) to account for uncertainty related to network parameters and input data (Zhang et al., 2011). We trained this model in R using the "brnn" function of the "caret" package (Kuhn, 2015). More details are available in Appendix A3.

170 To set the optimal hyperparameters of the models (such as the number of neurons and activation functions) and to reduce the likelihood of overfitting during the calibration/training, the model performance was cross-checked considering an independent (or so-called "testing") set. The testing set was for each learning exercise extracted from the calibration data (1900-2000) as a random fraction (25%). This process of the model development was repeated several times, minimizing the Root Mean Square Error (for BRNN) and Mean Square Error (for LSTM) for each catchment individually. The model with the best performance 175 was then chosen for further evaluation.

3.4 Goodness-of-fit assessment

We used a set of seven statistical metrics to assess the performance of simulated runoff, namely: Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE), Pearson Correlation (R), Standard Deviation Ratio (rSD), Kling-Gupta efficiency (KGE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Bias (BIAS) and Relative Bias (relBIAS). The mathematical formulations of these metrics are provided in Appendix A1.

3.5 **Runoff drought identification**

To check the utility of our reconstruction, we finally explore how well the annual runoff droughts are represented in the simulations. Our study considers annual hydrological droughts, defined as the streamflow/runoff deficit, following the threshold level approach (Yevjevich, 1967; Sung and Chung, 2014; Rivera et al., 2017). This approach is typically used for daily or monthly time scales, considering 0.1 or 0.2 quantile threshold levels. To accommodate the annual scale used here, we defined the start of the drought, when the annual runoff anomaly falls below the 0.33 quantile (regular drought) and the 0.05 quantile

(extreme drought). The drought persists until the runoff rises above the threshold again. After that, the difference between runoff and the threshold was determined for each identified drought year, called as runoff deficit. Hydrological drought series can be further assessed to understand the critical aspects of runoff (temporal) dynamics and to classify past droughts in Europe (Wetter and Pfister, 2013; Cook et al., 2015).

190

4 **Results and discussion**

In this section, we analyze the 500-year annual reconstruction over space and time across Europe. Firstly, we provide a comparison between the GHCN observed precipitation and temperature and the corresponding grid cells from Pauling et al. (2006) and Luterbacher et al. (2004) reconstructions. Next, the reconstructed annual runoff series for the selected catchments are evaluated against the corresponding observed GRDC runoff data.

195

200

180

185

Two distinct model types were investigated, i.e., a process-based conceptual lumped hydrological model (GR1A) and two data-driven models (BRNN and LSTM). While the former takes reconstructed forcing of precipitation and temperature as an input, in the case of the latter, we also considered PDSI and lagged reconstructed precipitation and temperature fields, as shown in Table 4. Statistical metrics, such as NSE, KGE, RMSE, MAE, R, BIAS and relBIAS (Appendix A1) are used to quantify the predictive skills of the models examined.

9



Figure 3. Validation of reconstructed precipitation (Pauling et al., 2006) against GHCN observations. The left- and right- most figures represent the approximate minimum and maximum for the corresponding indicator.

4.1 Evaluation of reconstructed precipitation and temperature fields

The 500-year annual paleoclimate reconstructions of precipitation (P) and temperature (T) were validated against the GHCN observation data. The map showing the comparison is given in Figs. 3 and 4. The reconstructed data are evaluated against observational P and T across 99 and 94 European sites, respectively. Figure 3 shows that for most of the sites the correlation coefficient (R) of P reconstruction at most of the sites is above 0.5; the relative bias (relBIAS) is between -0.1 and 0.1; KGE and NSE are showing values below 0.5 and 0.6 respectively; the rSD is between 0.7 and 0.9 and RMSE varies between 0 and 150.

The performance of the temperature reconstruction was relatively better, as depicted in Fig. 4. In this case, RMSE between reconstructed and observational T, is around 0.2° C; rSD fluctuates between 0.95 and 1.05, while R is higher than 0.84 and

210 Bias is less than 0.5°C, except for stations located in the Alps. The NSE and KGE values were above 0.5 at the majority of the stations. Low skill observed at some locations can be explained by the unresolved variability of grid-cell average temperature, especially in regions with complex terrain.



Figure 4. Validation of reconstructed temperature (Luterbacher et al., 2004) against GHCN observations. The left- and right- most figures represent the approximate minimum and maximum for the corresponding indicator.

It is worth noting that the large spread of goodness of fit (GOF) statistics is mainly due to the outlying values at the grid cells located along the boundary of the domain (i.e., the interface between land and sea/ocean) and high elevations (cf. also Figs. 3 and 4). In general, reconstructed precipitation exhibits greater differences from observations than temperature. This may be 215 because the proxies considered in the reconstruction rely on different seasons and climate conditions. Additionally, the shortest available instrumental data before the 20^{th} century could encounter certain technical errors, such as problems with instrumental tools, station relocation and dating issues (Dobrovolný et al., 2010). Moreover, other studies (e.g., Ljungqvist et al., 2020) stated that the precipitation series employed for the reconstructions were relatively shorter and more erroneous than the temperature series before the 20th century (Pauling et al., 2006; Harris et al., 2014). Finally, the chosen statistical technique (principal component regression) could also possibly contribute to variance inflation with larger time-scales (Pauling et al., 2006).

220

4.2 Assessment of the reconstructed runoff simulations

The GR1A conceptual hydrological model was driven by catchment average P and T and calibrated using observed annual runoff for each catchment separately. The simulated annual runoff series were then compared to the corresponding GRDC

- 225 observations (for calibration and validation periods) and the results were summarized by means of GOF statistics. As can be seen in Table 3, the correlation and NSE statistics for calibration achieve reasonable results at most of the catchments, with a few exceptions (i.e., Kokemenjoki, Goeta, Nemunas and Inn). The catchments with relatively poor skills are located in northern Europe, which is in line with the previous findings by Seiller et al. (2012), who noted that the lumped hydrological models often exhibit larger uncertainties and fail to capture the extreme catchment values (both high and low) in those regions. The low skill
- for some of the catchments cannot be easily attributed only to bias in reconstructed precipitation and temperature (described in Sect. 4.1) but rather to low station and proxy coverage at some (especially northern) parts of Europe, leading to biased basin-average precipitation and temperature estimate. Another study of Fathi et al. (2019) suggested that the performance of the GR1A model is less efficient than the new Budyko framework based SARIMA model in simulating the annual runoff across the Blue Nile and the Danube catchment. This may be due to the simplified nature of the model that does not easily capture the complex relationship between rainfall and runoff variability.

In general, statistical values presented in heat-maps (Table 3) indicate that the neural network algorithms are more skilled for runoff prediction than the GR1A model. The NSE and R statistics for the BRNN and LSTM models indicate a significant improvement in runoff prediction, as compared to the results obtained through the GR1A model. For instance, for Basel Rheinhalle the NSE increases from 0.27 to 0.73 (BRNN) and 0.75 (LSTM) for calibration, and 0.2 to 0.54 (BRNN) and 0.52 (LSTM) for validation. Moreover, including scPDSI from OWDA with reconstructed forcing [P,T,PDSI] increases

the performance slightly more (NSE 0.76 for calibration and 0.57/0.59 for validation, for BRNN/LSTM respectively) and considering the lagged forcing results in the best performance (NSE 0.75/0.8 for calibration and 0.6/0.54 for validation, for BRNN/LSTM).

Similarly for all sites, the data-driven methods exhibited a strong correlation with the observed runoff, with the GR1A
simulations resulting most frequently in lower correlation values. Other metrics (RMSE, MAE, KGE, rSD and relBIAS) are shown in Tables S1 - S5 in Supplementary material. Across many study locations, the combination of reconstructed forcings and their 1-year lag performed the best in terms of rapid convergence (the number of iterations needed) and high accuracy from all input combinations for both data-driven models (BRNN, LSTM). For the validation period, the mean NSE (across all catchments) for the GR1A model is 0.16, for the BRNN [P, T, Lag] it is 0.68 and improves to 0.73 for the LSTM [P, T, Lag].
In the case of the mean KGE, GR1A yields 0.62, BRNN [P, T, Lag] is 0.73 and LSTM [P, T, Lag] is 0.78.

C	orrelat	ion ca	libratio	on
4	0.86	0.87	0.89	(

0.82

0.77

0.84

0.86

0.74

0.71

0.87

0.88

0.87

0.87

0.81

0.77

0.76

0.9

0.9

0.76

0.77

0.87

0.89

0.89

0.89

0.82

0.89

0.84

0.78

0.88

0.91

0.78

0.75

0.86

0.88

0.9

0.9

0.86

0.93

0.85

0.88

0.9

0.91

0.79

0.84

0.91

0.92

0.94

0.92

0.9

0.64

0.5

0.69

0.74

0.74

0.62

0.7

0.83

0.83

0.81

0.78

0.62

0.74

0.66

0.73

0.82

0.73

0.77

0.75

0.83

0.83

0.86

0.83

0.78

0.65

0.71

0.81

0.68

0.72

0.6

0.78

0.78

0.85

0.81

0.74

Orsova-Danube

Wasserburg-Inn

Montjean-Loire

NeuerHafen-Main

BaselRheinhalle-Rhine

Hann-Munden-Wesser

Baselschifflaende-Rhine

Wuerzburg-Main

Koeln-Rhine

Rees-Rhine

Dresden-Elbe

Blois-Loire

0.74

0.65

0.65

0.82

0.81

0.7

0.66

0.71

0.72

0.86

0.82

0.81

0.84

0.8

0.77

0.84

0.86

0.71

0.67

0.86

0.87

0.86

0.86

0.8

0.81

0.79

0.87

0.89

0.74

0.73

0.87

0.9

0.87

0.89

0.82

С	orrela	tion va	alidatic	n
	0.73	0.76	0.76	0

0.67

0.72

0.82

0.75

0.72

0.77

0.84

0.84

0.86

0.8

0.77

0.68

0.69

0.8

0.73

0.64

0.66

0.83

0.84

0.84

0.79

0.69

0.75

0.71

0.72

0.8

0.79

0.79

0.77

0.85

0.84

0.88

0.82

0.82

0.73

0.7

0.71

0.79

0.74

0.79

0.73

0.8

0.82

0.86

0.8

0.77

Bodenwerder-Wesser	0.81	0.81	0.83	0.81	0.83	0.86	0.93		0.65	0.8	0.77	0.8	0.75	0.85	0.8
Intschede-Wesser	0.75	0.78	0.82	0.78	0.8	0.85	0.83		0.63	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.82	0.82
Decin-Elbe	0.62	0.8	0.82	0.83	0.85	0.86	0.87		0.62	0.65	0.61	0.65	0.67	0.7	0.71
Elverum-Glama	0.63	0.72	0.79	0.75	0.78	0.74	0.81		0.32	0.63	0.66	0.51	0.57	0.62	0.49
Vargoens KRV- Goeta	0.36	0.45	0.59	0.49	0.55	0.76	0.78		0.32	0.32	0.4	0.43	0.33	0.47	0.49
Muroleekoski-Kokemenjoki	0.47	0.79	0.53	0.82	0.81	0.86	0.84		0.4	0.53	0.4	0.54	0.53	0.62	0.62
Smalininkai-Nemunas	0.29	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.54	0.6	0.65		0.37	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.38	0.44	0.44
Burghausen-Salzach	0.63	0.64	0.69	0.74	0.83	0.64	0.76		0.37	0.67	0.64	0.49	0.37	0.68	0.67
Vlotho-Wesser	0.75	0.81	0.83	0.81	0.84	0.87	0.85		0.4	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.78	0.76
AP. NP. NP. POST POST 1.281 AP. NP. NP. NP. NP. NP. NP. 1281 1.281 1.281															
GF.Y.	BRA	SI	"BE	WE.	MAIP.	TMIP		GRI	BRM	SI	"HB'	WB.	MAIP	IN P.	
		BR	Nº 5	. 4	τ, ^λ	2				BR	n S	· 8		o `	
			NSE	calibr	ation						NSE	valida	ation		
Orsova-Danube	0.25	0.71	0.74	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.86		-2.37	0.51	0.5	0.57	0.58	0.48	0.39
Dresden-Elbe	0.3	0.65	0.65	0.67	0.6	0.7	0.71		-0.4	0.42	0.4	0.4	0.41	0.51	0.48
Wasserburg-Inn	-0.31	0.59	0.62	0.6	0.58	0.61	0.76		-0.96	0.52	0.49	0.51	0.47	0.52	0.45
Blois-Loire	0.66	0.71	0.75	0.71	0.8	0.77	0.79		0.48	0.67	0.65	0.67	0.64	0.62	0.58
Montjean-Loire	0.65	0.74	0.79	0.73	0.81	0.82	0.82		0.28	0.46	0.38	0.48	0.42	0.55	0.48
NeuerHafen-Main	0.44	0.51	0.54	0.55	0.57	0.6	0.62		0.01	0.48	0.45	0.46	0.39	0.56	0.61
Wuerzburg-Main	0.35	0.45	0.52	0.5	0.58	0.56	0.71		-0.58	0.46	0.25	0.57	0.38	0.51	0.37
BaselRheinhalle-Rhine	0.27	0.73	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.75	0.82		0.2	0.54	0.52	0.57	0.59	0.6	0.54
Baselschifflaende-Rhine	0.27	0.76	0.79	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.84		0.23	0.53	0.52	0.56	0.62	0.59	0.57
Koeln-Rhine	0.69	0.74	0.75	0.75	0.78	0.82	0.87		0.39	0.7	0.69	0.65	0.66	0.71	0.67
Rees-Rhine	0.59	0.74	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.86		0.5	0.65	0.64	0.61	0.58	0.64	0.62
Hann-Munden-Wesser	0.62	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.67	0.74	0.8		-0.13	0.55	0.54	0.53	0.46	0.64	0.52
Bodenwerder-Wesser	0.63	0.65	0.69	0.65	0.67	0.75	0.86		0.23	0.51	0.55	0.51	0.5	0.58	0.35
Intschede-Wesser	0.52	0.6	0.65	0.6	0.6	0.73	0.69		0.34	0.39	0.48	0.4	0.51	0.48	0.55
Decin-Elbe	0.19	0.64	0.68	0.69	0.72	0.74	0.76		-1.42	0.4	0.36	0.4	0.44	0.43	0.47
Elverum-Glama	0.28	0.51	0.62	0.56	0.57	0.54	0.66		0.04	0.11	0.14	0.01	0.19	0.1	-0.28
Vargoens KRV- Goeta	-1.16	0.2	0.34	0.24	0.29	0.58	0.58		-0.77	-0.17	-0.9	-0.19	-0.28	-0.13	0.06
Muroleekoski-Kokemenjoki	-0.29	0.63	0.27	0.68	0.65	0.73	0.7		-0.89	0.26	0.01	0.29	0.27	0.37	0.36
Smalininkai-Nemunas	-2.35	0.26	0.26	0.3	0.29	0.36	0.41		-1.28	0.1	0.1	0.16	0.08	0.02	0.09
Burghausen-Salzach	0.33	0.41	0.48	0.55	0.68	0.41	0.57		-1.28	0.08	0.07	-0.14	-0.21	0.11	-0.07
Vlotho-Wesser	0.51	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.68	0.77	0.72		-0.21	0.25	0.36	0.21	0.35	0.32	0.36
	\wedge	\wedge	\wedge	1		2	à			\wedge	\wedge	1	<i>.</i>	â	â
	8.	R.	રો	, ⁽¹)	251	29°	2 ³ 9		8.	રો	ર્ેે ટ	10 ⁵¹¹ /	JS''	~2 ⁹)	12 ³ 3
-NP	i al	" " "	· .	 <td>X A</td><td>· .</td><td>. h</td><td>AN</td><td>i al</td><td>· × KW</td><td>· .</td><td> <td>· .</td><td>1</td><td>ň</td></td>	X A	· .	. h	AN	i al	· × KW	· .	 <td>· .</td><td>1</td><td>ň</td>	· .	1	ň
GR	BRI	s`	. 81	~?`	11/4	MIL.		GE	BR	s`	. 3	~~~`	""/L	W/LY	
	÷	2	11	(m)	21 9	5			Ŧ	2	14	in P	L' q	5	
		- Pr	ି ଏ	v	×					- Sr	ଁ ୍ଚ୍ ^ଚ	V	\sim		

Table 3. The correlation coefficient (top) and NSE (bottom) for calibration (left) and validation (right) of the considered models for 21 study catchments. The vertical axis represents the catchments (station name and river) and the horizontal axis the considered models. The rectangular black frames represent the catchments with NSE > 0.5 over the validation period.



Figure 5. Comparison between the models for the station with the best (Bloise-Loire River, top) and the worst (Smalininkai-Nemaunas River, bottom) model fit.

To further demonstrate the differences between the individual models, we show the simulated runoff series for all models for those catchments with the highest (Blois-Loire) and lowest (Smalininkai-Nemunas) performance in Fig. 5. The performance of the models is comparable during the calibration period for the Loire River. Clearly, all data-driven models are capable of mimicking the observed runoff, while the GR1A model exhibited certain minor deviations, primarily until 1930. In the validation period, the differences between the models are more visible, in particular, for above-average flows. This can be attributed to different generalization skill of individual models. At the beginning of the validation period (1870-1880) all models failed to simulate the high annual flows.

In the case of Nemaunas catchment, the GR1A simulation deviates extremely from the observed data and cannot capture the mean flow level. However, the calibration is poor even for the data-driven models and, does not simulate the year-to-year

260

255

variability appropriately. Interestingly, for the validation period the error in the GR1A model decreases. The performance of the data-driven models is similar in validation and calibration periods. Looking at the GOF statistics, the models considering OWDA-based scPDSI or lagged forcings (e.g., P_{t-1}) perform slightly better in terms of KGE than the other model configurations.

14

4.3 The annual runoff reconstruction datasets

- As a first step, we excluded the catchments that exhibited poor performance in validation (see Table 3). As a threshold, we considered validation NSE greater than 0.5 for at least one model, following the approach used by Ayzel et al. (2020). In this step, we excluded seven catchments (Vlotho-Wesser, Decin-Elbe, Burghausen-Salzach, Smalininkai-Nemaunas, Vargoens KRV-Goeta, Elverum-Glama, Muroleekoski-Kokemenjoki) out of 21, ending up with a set of simulations for 14 catchments (highlighted by the rectangular box in Table 3).
- 270 Secondly, we identified the candidate best models for each of the 14 selected catchments, considering the GOFs based on the validation NSE and R greater than 0.5 and 0.7, respectively. The best model for each catchment was finally selected from those models considering the remaining validation measures (relBIAS, rSD, KGE, RMSE and MAE) as well. Specifically, we picked the models with consistent good validation measures. This choice is partly subjective and more formal selection should be explored further. On the other hand, the candidate models were all performing comparably in most cases.
- 275 The resulting selected models are shown in Table 4. The combination of reconstructed forcing with 1-year time lags results in the best performance over nine catchments, of which seven are driving the BRNN and the remainder the LSTM. The LSTM with reconstructed forcing and OWDA-scPDSI was best in one case, and the remaining four were most appropriately simulated with the BRNN [P, T] and BRNN [P, T, PDSI]. It should be noted that the differences between the models performing well are small, as noted in Fig. 5 and further demonstrated in Fig. 6. The latter figure compares the cumulative distribution functions of annual runoff for the periods 1500-1800, 1800-1900 and 1900-2000, as simulated by the BRNN [P, T, Lag] and LSTM
- [P, T, PDSI] the two best performing models and the GR1A (the most deviating simulation from the best model) with the distribution of the observed annual runoff for the Basel-Rheinhalle Rhine catchment. For the calibration period (1900-2000) in Fig. 6, the models perform well except the GR1A, which generally overestimated the observed maxima. The cumulative distribution of BRNN and LSTM simulated runoff values are very similar for the validation period (1800-1900) except for
- the top and bottom 5% in 1500-1800. The GR1A simulation showed significant differences for the entire distribution, thus overestimating/underestimating the maxima/minima. Our finding shows that GR1A simulates a Rhine minima of 279 mm/year in Basel, whereas the observed minima in the past century is greater than 532.6 mm/year, inferring that CDF has significantly lower/higher runoff values between 1500 and 1800 for BRNN and GR1A, whereas LSTM appears to extrapolate less. The difference from the best model can be expressed in terms of KGE even here, it was evident that the GR1A model deviated
- 290 considerably (KGE 0.6-0.7) while the LSTM is very similar to the BRNN (KGE 0.92-0.96). The most severe drought year identified by the models in the period 1500-1800 appears to be 1669 while in the past century (1900-2000) to be 1921 (Fig. 6 left and right panels), while for 1800-1900 the models identified either 1865 (GR1A, LSTM) or 1858 (BRNN, 2nd worse for LSTM). Please note that the 1858 low water mark is available at Laufenburg Pfister et al. (2006) near Basel and was regarded as one of the worst winter droughts in the last 200 years.
- The resulting 14 annual runoff reconstructions are available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15178107 and are shown in supplementary material (Figs. S1, S2, and S3). As an example, we present only two runoff reconstructions here (Fig. 7). As an additional validation for the reconstructed series, we inspected the scatter plots of the observed and reconstructed runoff



Figure 6. Distribution functions for BRNN [P, T, Lag], LSTM [P, T, PDSI], i.e. the best two models, GR1A [P, T] and GRDC observed data for the periods 1500-1800, 1800-1900 and 1900-2000 over Basel Rheinhalle-Rhine catchment. The values on the horizontal axis are transformed using the "probit" function. The colored labels indicate the most extreme drought years according to each model.

(Fig. 8). The simulated series are generally consistent with the observed runoff, especially for the Montjean-Loire, Köln-Rhine, and Basel Schifflaende-Rhine catchments, which exhibit the best relationship between the observed and the simulated
runoff. Finally, to check the consistency of our reconstructed dataset, we compared the skill of our simulation with respect to the GRDC runoff observation and the GSWP3-forced GRUN monthly runoff (Ghiggi et al., 2019) datasets. The gridded GRUN datasets were averaged over the respective catchments to enable comparison (Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5). Our reconstruction outperforms GRUN data in terms of RMSE, MAE, relBIAS and NSE across the majority of the catchments, while the correlation (reproduction of interannual dynamics) to GRDC runoff is slightly higher for GRUN compared to our 305 reconstruction. The variability, which our data-driven models underestimate (on average by 16.5%), is overestimated by GRUN (on average by 17.2%). Since the correlation compensates for the relBIAS, the KGE for our reconstruction and GRUN is

comparable. This suggests that GRUN could be used for data-driven model training, provided at least some information on flow characteristics is available in the catchment.

4.4 Identification of low flows, significant hydrological drought events and trends

310 In the final step of the analysis, we compared the droughts identified in the reconstructions with the GRDC observed series (Fig. 9). The agreement between the simulated and observed runoff deficit is lower compared to the annual runoff time series.



Figure 7. Reconstruction of runoff series for Köln- Main and Hann-Muenden Wesser Rivers. Blue line corresponds to the reconstructed series, the black and red lines represent the observed runoff for the calibration and validation period, respectively.

Models	Catchments
BRNN [P,T]	Blois-Loire, Rees-Rhine
BRNN $[P, T, PDSI]$	Wuerzburg-Main and Orsova-Danube
$BRNN \left[\mathrm{P,T,Lag} \right]$	Montjean-Loire, Köln-Rhine, Hann-Munden-Wesser, Dresden-Elbe,
	BaselRheinhalle-Rhine, Bodenwerder-Wesser, Wasserburg-Inn
$LSTM \left[\mathrm{P,T,Lag} \right]$	NeuerHafen-Main, Intschede-Wesser
$LSTM \left[\mathrm{P,T,PDSI} \right]$	Baselschifflaende-Rhine

For most of the stations, the simulated deficit is lower than the corresponding observed estimates. This suggests that the reconstructed precipitation and temperature fields do not represent the inter-annual variability correctly. Despite a widespread



Figure 8. Observed and simulated runoff for 14 selected catchments in the calibration and validation periods. The solid line represents the 1:1 relation, the dashed line corresponds to fitted regression between observed and simulated runoff.

issue with the representation of inter-annual persistence, Fig. 9 shows that the runoff deficits are simulated reasonably well for the Rees-Rhine and Köln-Rhine catchments.



Figure 9. The observed and simulated runoff deficit based on the 33rd percentile threshold for 14 selected catchments during the calibration and validation period. The solid line represents the 1:1 relation, the dashed line corresponds to fitted regression between observed and simulated runoff.

Furthermore, we contrasted reconstructed drought patterns over the last 500 years with data available from documentary evidence and other sources. In the case of extreme droughts, we considered the $q_{0.05}$ threshold before 2000 CE. Low flow analysis since 1500 and the large deficit values for catchments (below 5th percentile) are shown in Table 5. In the 16th century, the years 1536, 1540 and 1590 are associated with significant runoff deficits. The event of 1540, had already been reported

- 320 (Brázdil et al., 2013; Cook et al., 2015; Brázdil et al., 2019) as the worst event of the 16th century and more severe in terms of changing hydrologic conditions. In 1540, almost 90% of the Rhine and Elbe River catchments (Basel and Cologne) experienced low yearly discharge, which ranked as the greatest low flows in the last five centuries (Leggewie and Mauelshagen, 2018). The seasonal precipitation was also deficient and was evident primarily in Central Europe and England (Dobrovolný et al., 2010). Wetter and Pfister, 2013 stated that the spring and summer of 1540 was likely to have been warmer than the comparable period
- during the 2003 drought. The simulation shows that the drought during 1540 was evident in most study catchments, such as

the Rhine, Main, Wesser, Loire and Danube, except Wasserburg-Inn.

In the 17th century, the years 1603, 1616, 1631, 1666, 1669, 1676, 1681, 1684 and 1686 were simulated as exceptionally low-flow years. Furthermore, two events (1669 and 1686) were associated with the largest water deficit across several study catchments. Baselschifflaende-Rhine catchment is a good example of this, which appear to have experienced an extreme runoff

- deficit during 1669. In the Köln-Rhine catchment, 26 remarkable droughts have been captured over the past 500 years, and the year 1686 reached the largest runoff deficit (156 mm/year). The 1616 is considered the driest year of the 17th century, the so-called "drought of the century" (Brázdil et al., 2013), which significantly impacted the major rivers in Europe (e.g., Rhine, Main and Wesser). Brázdil et al. (2018) identified three unusual drought periods (1540, 1616 and 1718-19) over the Czech lands, highlighting the 1616 drought, which caused widespread famine, dried up the Elbe river watershed and altered the 335 climate of neighboring nations (Switzerland and Germany). The hunger stone of the Elbe River also revealed the exceptionally
- dry year of 1616 (Brázdil et al., 2013). During the 18^{th} century, a similar level of runoff deficit was simulated in the years 1706 and 1719.

During the 19th century, the years 1863, 1864, 1874, 1893 and 1899, were recognized as drought years in all catchments, while in the 20th century, the driest periods occurred in 1921, 1934, 1949 and 1976. The 1921 drought in the Blois-Loire, Rees-340 Rhine, Köln-Rhine, Orsova-Danube, BaselRheinhalle-Rhine and Baselschifflanede-Rhine catchments was ranked as the most exceptional drought in the 20th century. Three catchments (BaselRheinhalle-Rhine, Baselschifflanede-Rhine and Blois-Loire) exhibited a large runoff deficit during the year 1921. A noticeable increase in temperature was experienced across Europe, and certain areas were notably affected by a heat wave in July of that year. The majority of Central Europe, southern England and Italy were affected by this drought, where the rainfall was found to have decreased around 50 to 60% relative to the average

345 (Bonacina, 1923; Cook et al., 2015). The precipitation totals were recorded as the lowest since 1774, and the year was also ranked top (in terms of deficit rainfall) in the Great Alpine region (Haslinger and Blöschl, 2017), where the rainfall deficit began in winter 1920/21 and lasted until autumn 1921. Also reported in newspapers, The Rhine River (Switzerland), Molesey Weir, on the Thames River (United Kingdom), and Loire River (France) all have low river flows in 1921 (van der Schrier et al., 2021). Monthly runoff anomalies analyzed from the GRUN dataset (Ghiggi et al., 2019) show that August 1976 was the fifth

driest month between 1900 and 2014 in agreement with some of our catchment reconstructions signaling the 1976 as a yearly drought in the Köln-Rhine, Hann-Munden-Wesser and Bodenwerder-Wesser.

In summary, the reconstructed annual runoff corresponded well to the majority of extreme drought years (e.g., 1540, 1616, 1669, 1710, 1724, 1921, as highlighted in Table 5) and previously demonstrated in the OWDA-based PDSI tree-ring reconstructions and previous works (Dobrovolný et al., 2010; Brázdil et al., 2013; Wetter and Pfister, 2013; Cook et al., 2015; Markonis

- et al., 2018). Because the tree-ring proxies involved in the developed reconstruction were the same, which could reveal the true nature of hydroclimatic shifts. It is important to note that the presented runoff reconstructions might have missed notably documented dry events, e.g., 1894 (Brodie, 1894) which was associated with unprecedented low levels of rainfall and excessive temperature rises in the south of England, the British Isles, and other European regions (Brodie, 1894; Cook et al., 2015; Hanel et al., 2018).
- 360 Finally, we analysed the trends in the decadal runoff anomalies calculated from the reconstruction over several time periods. The reconstructed annual runoff for 1500-2000 for each catchment was first aggregated to 10-year time scale and divided by mean annual runoff. The resulting series are shown in Appendix Figure A2. It is clear that there is no systematic trend throughout the whole 1500-2000 period. For a number of catchments there is a clear period of sustained above (Orsova-Danube and Dresden-Elbe) or below (Blois and Montjean Loire) average runoff during ca 1600-1800, while for the rest the persistence is clearly weaker although the low runoff signal is still visible (BaselRheinhalle, Baselschifflaende and köln Rhine).
- The linear trends calculated over 1500-2000 period (Table A2) are significant negative for 13 (out of 14) catchments but there are also periods when most of the catchments show increasing significant trend, for instance the trend is significant positive for 12 catchments for the 1800-2000 period. Looking at the most recent 1950-2000 period, the trend is negative for seven catchments. Please note, that since the most recent period is not included in the reconstruction any possible climate change
 impacts are difficult to detect.

5 Conclusions

In this study, hydrological (GR1A) and two data-driven (BRNN, LSTM) models were used to reconstruct the annual runoff during the period 1500-2000, considering various input fields. After comprehensive validation of the simulated series, this work provides annual runoff time-series for 14 catchments across Europe. The presented dataset can be used to investigate annual drought duration and severity. The main findings can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Data-driven methods have proven to be helpful for annual runoff simulations even when there are deficiencies in the driving input fields. This contrasts with a conceptual lumped hydrological model, which would require bias correction before the simulation.
- 2. There is no significant difference between the BRNN and LSTM simulated annual runoff neither in terms of the individual values nor in relation to the validation metrics.

380

Station name	No of events	Simulated low flow years	Largest deficit(year)
Orsova-Danube	12	1536, 1540 , 1669 , 1686 , 1704, 1706, 1710 , 1746, 1834, 1943,	30.33 (1686)
		1947, 1990	
Dresden-Elbe	1	1669	2.76 (1669)
Wasserburg-Inn	3	1669 , 1686 , 1754	27.8 (1669)
Blois-Loire	17	1540 , 1603, 1631, 1634, 1669 , 1676, 1686 , 1706, 1710 ,	85.7 (1669)
		1724,1736, 1754, 1766, 1884, 1921, 1945, 1949	
Montjean-Loire	48	1540 , 1603, 1607, 1616 , 1630, 1631, 1632, 1633, 1634, 1635,	105.2 (1686)
		1661, 1669 , 1670, 1676, 1680, 1681, 1684, 1685, 1686 , 1702,	
		1704, 1705, 1706, 1710 , 1715, 1717, 1718, 1723, 1724 , 1731,	
		1736, 1742, 1743, 1744, 1745, 1746, 1753, 1754, 1757, 1785,	
		1815, 1826, 1834, 1874, 1884, 1921 , 1945, 1949	
NeurHafen-Main	18	1590, 1616 , 1669 , 1681, 1682, 1686 , 1704, 1706, 1710 , 1724 ,	100.89 (1669)
		1746, 1754, 1755, 1814, 1865, 1934, 1943, 1964	
Wuerzburg-Main	2	1540, 1669	17.0 (1669)
BaselRheinhalle-Rhine	21	1536, 1540 , 1590, 1603, 1616 , 1631, 1666, 1669 , 1676, 1681,	133.9 (1669)
		1686, 1704, 1706, 1710, 1724, 1736, 1746, 1753, 1754, 1921,	
		1949	
Baselschifflaende-	19	1536, 1540 , 1590, 1603, 1616 , 1666, 1669 , 1676, 1681, 1684,	563 (1669)
Rhine		1686, 1706, 1710, 1724, 1736, 1746, 1754, 1921, 1949	
Köln-Rhine	28	1536, 1540 , 1590, 1603, 1616 , 1631, 1634, 1669 , 1676, 1681,	157.6 (1686)
		1684, 1686 , 1704, 1706, 1710 , 1724 , 1736, 1744, 1745, 1746,	
		1753, 1754, 1858, 1865, 1874, 1921 , 1949, 1976	
Rees-Rhine	18	1536, 1540 , 1603, 1631, 1666, 1669 , 1676, 1681, 1686 , 1704,	96.0 (1669)
		1706, 1710 , 1724 , 1736, 1746, 1754, 1921 , 1949	
Hann-Munden-Wesser	11	1540, 1669, 1681, 1686, 1706, 1710, 1724, 1911, 1934, 1976,	46.6 (1669)
		1991	
Bodenwerder-Wesser	15	1540, 1616, 1631, 1669, 1681, 1686, 1706, 1710, 1724, 1754,	56.3 (1669)
		1858, 1874, 1911, 1934, 1976	
Instchede- Wesser	18	1540 , 1616 , 1631, 1669 , 1670, 1676, 1681, 1685, 1686 , 1706,	134.4 (1669)
		1710 , 1754, 1814, 1857, 1858, 1865, 1934, 1959	

Table 5. Simulated runoff droughts since 1500. Years in bold indicate extreme droughts below quantile 5%.

3. Validation skill metrics suggest that for annual runoff prediction, it is beneficial to consider data-driven models that explicitly account for serial dependence either through input data (e.g., time-lagged input fields) or directly in the model structure (e.g., LSTM - networks).

The reconstructed runoff relies heavily on the consistency of underlying reconstructed precipitation (Pauling et al., 2006) and temperature (Luterbacher et al., 2004) forcing fields. Unfortunately, those cannot be fully verified directly, due to the lack of sufficient long-term observational datasets. With the limited information (GHCN), we identified several notable deficiencies in the reconstructed forcings, in particular, underestimated variance in precipitation reconstruction, leading to inconsistencies in observed runoff (e.g., demonstrated by the poor results of GR1A for some catchments). Moreover, proxy records that were used for the derivation of precipitation and temperature input fields are spatially heterogeneous with some regions being better represented than others. This inevitably leads to poor performance over the latter. Finally, since the runoff reconstruction is annual, the dry summers can be compensated by wet winters masking the sub-annual dry periods. However, this should be regarded as a resolution not methodology related problem.

- 395 The skill of precipitation and temperature reconstructions across the selected catchments to derive annual runoff is still fairly good. In addition, the data-driven methods that were used in the paper were capable of removing systematic bias. We cannot be sure, though, that the link between reconstructed forcing and annual runoff is stationary when going back in time. Moreover, when the number of natural proxies included in the derivation of the forcing dataset decreases, the uncertainty increases. The reconstructed data should, therefore, always be considered with caution. Future research could consider further improvements
- 400 of the simulations, e.g., by training a meta-model combining the runoff simulations from several fitted models. In addition, since interest is not often focused on the runoff series, but on some other indicator (such as PDSI or deficit volume in the case of drought), it is also possible to simulate the drought indices directly, considering either the precipitation and temperature input fields or the simulated runoff. Finally, discrete classifiers (Kolachian and Saghafian, 2021) could also be used to simulate the drought (or water level) classes directly.

405 6 Data Availability

390

The annual runoff reconstruction were prepared using the defined dataset and can be accessed at free, public repository Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15178107, Sadaf et al. 2021). The reconstructed data of precipitation and temperature can be downloaded at website via link https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data. The monthly global historical climatological network (GHCN) provides revision and updated version (V4) for temperature and (V2) precipitation

410 which can be accessed via the link https://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/ghcn/. The data repositories of GRDC runoff is accessible for public at https://www.bafg.de/GRDC/EN/Home/homepage_node.html.

^{4.} The droughts identified in the reconstructed series correlates well with significant documented events (such as 1540, 1616, 1669, 1710, 1724 and 1921).

Appendix A

A1 Goodness-of-fit assessment

We used several statistical indicators to assess the skill of annual runoff reconstruction. In following definitions, p and o refer 415 to the predicted and observed series, respectively and i to year.

The Standard Deviation (SD) ratio (rSD; Ghiggi et al., 2021) is defined as

$$rSD = \frac{SD_p}{SD_o} \tag{A1}$$

The variability is underestimated when the value is less than one, and overestimated when the value is greater than one. The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE; see e.g. Legates and McCabe Jr, 1999)

420
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(p_i - o_i)^2}{n}}$$
 (A2)

and Mean Absolute Error (MAE; see e.g. Legates and McCabe Jr, 1999)

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(p_i - o_i)|$$
(A3)

measure how well predictions fit the observations. MAE and RMSE values can range from zero to infinity, with the former value indicating a perfect fit.

425 The Pearson's correlation coefficient (R) is defined as

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - \overline{p})(o_i - \overline{o})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - \overline{p})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (o_i - \overline{o})^2}}$$
(A4)

The Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970),

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - o_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (o_i - \overline{o})^2}$$
(A5)

is alternatively referred to as model efficiency. NSE = 1 corresponds to a perfect match between predicted and observed data, 430 while a value less than 0 indicates that model predictions are on average less accurate than using the long-term mean of the observed time series \overline{o} .

Systematic errors can be detected by using the absolute bias (BIAS)

$$BIAS = \overline{p} - \overline{o} \tag{A6}$$

$$435 \quad relBIAS = \frac{\overline{p} - \overline{o}}{\overline{o}} \tag{A7}$$

which has an ideal value of 0. Positive bias values indicate that the model prediction overestimates observations, whereas negative values indicate underestimated model prediction.

The Kling-Gupta efficiency index (KGE; Gupta et al., 2009)

$$KGE = 1 - \sqrt{(R-1)^2 + (rSD-1)^2 + (relBIAS)^2}$$
(A8)

440

is calculated using three primary components: R, rSD, and relBIAS, as defined above. relBIAS has a zero ideal value while rSD and R have an ideal value of one.

A2 Long short term memory (LSTM)

To build the LSTM model, we use the Keras environment (Arnold, 2017) with its high-level application programming interface (API) for neural networks and Tensor flow (Abadi et al., 2016). Figure A1 represents the structure of the LSTM neural model

- 445 for the rainfall runoff relationship in several catchments. We design our network by stacking one LSTM and two dense layers on top of one other. As shown in Fig. A1, the model configured four distinct input combinations, each of which was normalized to [0, 1] in the training and testing phases. The model parameters choose different batch shapes, units (similar as neurons) and epochs as described in Table A1. The model considers the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU), using component wise multiplication and defining the dropout parameter as 0.1. According to Kingma and Ba (2014), the optimization algorithm plays a significant
- 450 role in the algorithm's convergence and optimization. For this reason, Adam's optimizer is considered, as it performs stochastic gradient descent (SGD) more efficiently using the backpropagation algorithm. During compilation, the learning rate is set to 0.001 or 0.002 and the mean square error (MSE) is used to measure model accuracy. In addition, the mean absolute error (MAE) is a function used as an objective to minimize residues and achieve optimum value. Model checkpoints is used to save the model having minimum loss during the training with minimum loss and better accuracy.

455 A3 Bayesian Regularized neural network (BRNN)

BRNN is a probabilistic technique for handling nonlinear problems. By using the caret package, the model 'brnn' was designed to work with a two-layer network as described by (MacKay, 1992; Foresee and Hagan, 1997). BRNN uses the Nguyen and Widrow algorithm to assign initial weights and the Gauss-Newton algorithm to optimise. Model is first trained on the training dataset, and its performance is checked by making a prediction on the testing dataset.

460

While selecting a model for train control, a simple boot resampling strategy was applied to evaluate performance. We tested the proposed model's predictive ability using a random bootstrap generator, with 75% of the observations in the training set and 25% in the testing set. RMSE was utilized as a loss function to compile and verify the model's accuracy, The model was fitted with 20 neurons, one hidden layer and implemented activation function $g_k(x) = \frac{exp(2x)-1}{exp(2x)+1}$. After compilation, the train function automatically selected the best model with the smallest RMSE as the final model.



Figure A1. Structure of LSTM neural network model in KERAS environment for runoff predictions

Table A1. Structure and hyperparameters of two data driven models (BRNN and LSTM) for runoff predictions

Training algorithms	Layer types	Activation functions	Hyperparameters
BRNN	input, hidden, output	$g_{k(x)} = \frac{exp(2x) - 1}{exp(2x) + 1}$	Tunelength 20, neurons (1-20)
LSTM	input, hidden, output	Rectified Linear Activation (ReLU)	Learning rate: 0.0001, epochs (30-
		0 when x < 0	200), units (5-150), batch input
		$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{when } x > 0 \end{cases}$	shapes: (1,1,2) for LSTM, (1,1,3)
		(–	for LSTM [P,T, PDSI], (1,2,2) for
			LSTM [P,T, Lag].



Figure A2. Decadal fluctuation of runoff anomalies in selected catchments over the past 500 years.

Time period	Number of catchments with (+/-) trends	Sign of trend	Average (min, max) slope
1950-2000	7	-	-2.573 (-4.374, -0.912)
1950-2000	2	+	2.031 (1.447, 2.615)
1900-2000	4	-	-0.875 (-1.711, -0.480)
1900-2000	4	+	0.664 (0.443, 0.852)
1800-2000	2	-	-0.419 (-0.540, -0.300)
1800-2000	12	+	0.450 (0.066, 1.307)
1700-2000	3	-	-0.425 (-0.813, -0.045)
1700-2000	10	+	0.388 (0.152, 1.288)
1600-2000	6	-	-0.317 (-0.859, -0.096)
1600-2000	5	+	0.305 (0.045, 0.821)
1500-2000	13	-	-0.136 (-0.347, -0.046)
1500-2000	0	+	

Table A2. The average, minimum and maximum slope of the runoff anomalies over different periods.

465 Author contributions. The study was initially designed by RK, MH and YM. Algorithms are coded with the assistance of YM, US and MH. Datasets were collected by VG and SN. The research was carried out by SN, MS, and MH, who also wrote the paper. OR and RK both helped to revise the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This work was carried out within the bilateral project XEROS (eXtreme EuRopean drOughtS: multimodel synthesis of

470 past, present and future events), funded by Czech Science Foundation (Grant No. 1924089J) together with the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. RA 3235/11) and internal grant of the Czech University of Life Sciences (Project No.2020B0018). We thank the Global Runoff Data Centre (GRDC) for providing the observed runoff data. All analyses and visualisations were done using R.

References

Abadi, M., Barham, P., Chen, J., Chen, Z., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., Ghemawat, S., Irving, G., Isard, M., et al.: Tensorflow: A system

- for large-scale machine learning, in: 12th {USENIX} symposium on operating systems design and implementation ({OSDI} 16), pp. 265–283, 2016.
 - Armstrong, M. S., Kiem, A. S., and Vance, T. R.: Comparing instrumental, palaeoclimate, and projected rainfall data: Implications for water resources management and hydrological modelling, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 31, 100728, 2020.

Arnold, T. B.: kerasR: R interface to the keras deep learning library, Journal of Open Source Software, 2, 296, 2017.

480 Ayzel, G., Kurochkina, L., and Zhuravlev, S.: The influence of regional hydrometric data incorporation on the accuracy of gridded reconstruction of monthly runoff, Hydrological Sciences Journal, pp. 1–12, 2020.

Boch, R. and Spötl, C.: Reconstructing palaeoprecipitation from an active cave flowstone, Journal of Quaternary Science, 26, 675–687, 2011. Bonacina, L.: The European drought of 1921, Nature, 112, 488–489, 1923.

- Brázdil, R. and Dobrovolný, P.: Historical climate in Central Europe during the last 500 years, The Polish Climate in the European Context:
 An Historical Overview, p. 41, 2009.
 - Brázdil, R., Dobrovolný, P., Trnka, M., Kotyza, O., Řezníčková, L., Valášek, H., Zahradníček, P., and Štěpánek, P.: Droughts in the Czech Lands, 1090-2012AD., Climate of the Past, 9, 2013.
 - Brázdil, R., Kiss, A., Luterbacher, J., Nash, D. J., and Řezníčková, L.: Documentary data and the study of past droughts: a global state of the art, Climate of the Past, 14, 1915–1960, 2018.
- 490 Brázdil, R., Demarée, G. R., Kiss, A., Dobrovolný, P., Chromá, K., Trnka, M., Dolák, L., Řezníčková, L., Zahradníček, P., Limanowka, D., et al.: The extreme drought of 1842 in Europe as described by both documentary data and instrumental measurements., Climate of the Past, 15, 2019.
 - Brodie, F. J.: The great drought of 1893, and its attendant meteorological phenomena, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 20, 1–30, 1894.
- 495 Büntgen, U., Frank, D. C., Nievergelt, D., and Esper, J.: Summer temperature variations in the European Alps, AD 755–2004, Journal of Climate, 19, 5606–5623, 2006.
 - Büntgen, U., Franke, J., Frank, D., Wilson, R., González-Rouco, F., and Esper, J.: Assessing the spatial signature of European climate reconstructions, Climate Research, 41, 125–130, 2010.
 - Caillouet, L., Vidal, J.-P., Sauquet, E., Devers, A., and Graff, B.: Ensemble reconstruction of spatio-temporal extreme low-flow events in

500 France since 1871, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 2923–2951, 2017.

- Casas-Gómez, P., Sánchez-Salguero, R., Ribera, P., and Linares, J. C.: Contrasting Signals of the Westerly Index and North Atlantic Oscillation over the Drought Sensitivity of Tree-Ring Chronologies from the Mediterranean Basin, Atmosphere, 11, 644, 2020.
- Casty, C., Wanner, H., Luterbacher, J., Esper, J., and Böhm, R.: Temperature and precipitation variability in the European Alps since 1500, International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 25, 1855–1880, 2005.
- 505 Chen, X., Huang, J., Han, Z., Gao, H., Liu, M., Li, Z., Liu, X., Li, Q., Qi, H., and Huang, Y.: The importance of short lag-time in the runoff forecasting model based on long short-term memory, Journal of Hydrology, 589, 125 359, 2020.
 - Contreras, P., Orellana-Alvear, J., Muñoz, P., Bendix, J., and Célleri, R.: Influence of Random Forest Hyperparameterization on Short-Term Runoff Forecasting in an Andean Mountain Catchment, Atmosphere, 12, 238, 2021.

Cook, E. R., Seager, R., Kushnir, Y., Briffa, K. R., Büntgen, U., Frank, D., Krusic, P. J., Tegel, W., van der Schrier, G., Andreu-Hayles, L.,

- et al.: Old World megadroughts and pluvials during the Common Era, Science advances, 1, e1500561, 2015.
 - Coron, L., Thirel, G., Delaigue, O., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: The suite of lumped GR hydrological models in an R package, Environmental modelling & software, 94, 166–171, 2017.
- Dobrovolný, P., Moberg, A., Brázdil, R., Pfister, C., Glaser, R., Wilson, R., van Engelen, A., Limanówka, D., Kiss, A., Halíčková, M., et al.: Monthly, seasonal and annual temperature reconstructions for Central Europe derived from documentary evidence and instrumental
 records since AD 1500, Climatic change, 101, 69–107, 2010.
- Emile-Geay, J., McKay, N. P., Kaufman, D. S., Von Gunten, L., Wang, J., Anchukaitis, K. J., Abram, N. J., Addison, J. A., Curran, M. A., Evans, M. N., et al.: A global multiproxy database for temperature reconstructions of the Common Era, Scientific data, 4, 170088, 2017.
 - Fathi, M. M., Awadallah, A. G., Abdelbaki, A. M., and Haggag, M.: A new Budyko framework extension using time series SARIMAX model, Journal of Hydrology, 570, 827–838, 2019.
- 520 Fekete, B. M., Vörösmarty, C. J., and Grabs, W.: Global, composite runoff fields based on observed river discharge and simulated water balances, 1999.
 - Foresee, F. D. and Hagan, M. T.: Gauss-Newton approximation to Bayesian learning, in: Proceedings of international conference on neural networks (ICNN'97), vol. 3, pp. 1930–1935, IEEE, 1997.

Ghiggi, G., Humphrey, V., Seneviratne, S. I., and Gudmundsson, L.: GRUN: an observation-based global gridded runoff dataset from 1902

- 525 to 2014, Earth System Science Data, 11, 1655–1674, 2019.
 - Ghiggi, G., Humphrey, V., Seneviratne, S., and Gudmundsson, L.: G-RUN ENSEMBLE: A Multi-Forcing Observation-Based Global Runoff Reanalysis, Water Resources Research, 57, e2020WR028 787, 2021.
 - Gupta, H. V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K. K., and Martinez, G. F.: Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling, J. Hydrol., 377, 80–91, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.003, 2009.
- 530 Hanel, M., Rakovec, O., Markonis, Y., Máca, P., Samaniego, L., Kyselý, J., and Kumar, R.: Revisiting the recent European droughts from a long-term perspective, Scientific reports, 8, 1–11, 2018.
 - Hansson, D., Eriksson, C., Omstedt, A., and Chen, D.: Reconstruction of river runoff to the Baltic Sea, AD 1500–1995, International Journal of Climatology, 31, 696–703, 2011.
 - Harris, I., Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J., and Lister, D. H.: Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations-the CRU TS3. 10
- 535 Dataset, International journal of climatology, 34, 623–642, 2014.

540

Haslinger, K. and Blöschl, G.: Space-time patterns of meteorological drought events in the European Greater Alpine Region over the past 210 years, Water Resources Research, 53, 9807–9823, 2017.

Hochreiter, S. and Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory, Neural computation, 9, 1735–1780, 1997.

Hu, C., Wu, Q., Li, H., Jian, S., Li, N., and Lou, Z.: Deep learning with a long short-term memory networks approach for rainfall-runoff simulation, Water, 10, 1543, 2018.

- Im, S., Kim, H., Kim, C., and Jang, C.: Assessing the impacts of land use changes on watershed hydrology using MIKE SHE, Environmental geology, 57, 231, 2009.
 - Ionita, M., Tallaksen, L., Kingston, D., Stagge, J., Laaha, G., Van Lanen, H., Scholz, P., Chelcea, S., and Haslinger, K.: The European 2015 drought from a climatological perspective, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 1397–1419, 2017.

- 545 Jeong, J., Barichivich, J., Peylin, P., Haverd, V., McGrath, M. J., Vuichard, N., Evans, M. N., Babst, F., and Luyssaert, S.: Using the International Tree-Ring Data Bank (ITRDB) records as century-long benchmarks for global land-surface models, Geoscientific Model Development, 14, 5891–5913, 2021.
 - Ji, Y., Dong, H.-T., Xing, Z.-X., Sun, M.-X., Fu, Q., and Liu, D.: Application of the decomposition-prediction-reconstruction framework to medium-and long-term runoff forecasting, Water Supply, 21, 696–709, 2021.
- 550 Kingma, D. P. and Ba, J.: Adam: A method for stochastic optimization, arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980, 2014.
 - Kolachian, R. and Saghafian, B.: Hydrological drought class early warning using support vector machines and rough sets, Environmental Earth Sciences, 80, 1–15, 2021.
 - Kratzert, F., Klotz, D., Brenner, C., Schulz, K., and Herrnegger, M.: Rainfall–runoff modelling using long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 22, 6005–6022, 2018.
- 555 Kress, A., Saurer, M., Siegwolf, R. T., Frank, D. C., Esper, J., and Bugmann, H.: A 350 year drought reconstruction from Alpine tree ring stable isotopes, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 24, 2010.
 - Kress, A., Hangartner, S., Bugmann, H., Büntgen, U., Frank, D. C., Leuenberger, M., Siegwolf, R. T., and Saurer, M.: Swiss tree rings reveal warm and wet summers during medieval times, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 1732–1737, 2014.

Krysanova, V., Vetter, T., and Hattermann, F.: Detection of change in drought frequency in the Elbe basin: comparison of three methods, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 53, 519–537, 2008.

Kuhn, M.: Caret: classification and regression training, Astrophysics Source Code Library, pp. ascl-1505, 2015.

- Kwak, J., Lee, J., Jung, J., and Kim, H. S.: Case Study: Reconstruction of Runoff Series of Hydrological Stations in the Nakdong River, Korea, Water, 12, 3461, 2020.
- Laaha, G., Gauster, T., Tallaksen, L. M., Vidal, J.-P., Stahl, K., Prudhomme, C., Heudorfer, B., Vlnas, R., Ionita, M., Van Lanen, H. A., et al.:
 The European 2015 drought from a hydrological perspective, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 3001, 2017.
- Legates, D. R. and McCabe Jr, G. J.: Evaluating the use of "goodness-of-fit" measures in hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation, Water resources research, 35, 233–241, 1999.

Leggewie, C. and Mauelshagen, F.: Climate change and cultural transition in Europe, Brill, 2018.

560

570

580 Markonis, Y., Hanel, M., Máca, P., Kyselý, J., and Cook, E.: Persistent multi-scale fluctuations shift European hydroclimate to its millennial boundaries, Nature communications, 9, 1–12, 2018.

Li, Y., Wei, J., Wang, D., Li, B., Huang, H., Xu, B., and Xu, Y.: A Medium and Long-Term Runoff Forecast Method Based on Massive Meteorological Data and Machine Learning Algorithms, Water, 13, 1308, 2021.

<sup>Ljungqvist, F. C., Piermattei, A., Seim, A., Krusic, P. J., Büntgen, U., He, M., Kirdyanov, A. V., Luterbacher, J., Schneider, L., Seftigen, K., et al.: Ranking of tree-ring based hydroclimate reconstructions of the past millennium, Quaternary Science Reviews, 230, 106074, 2020.
Luoto, T. P. and Nevalainen, L.: Quantifying climate changes of the Common Era for Finland, Climate Dynamics, 49, 2557–2567, 2017.</sup>

Luterbacher, J., Dietrich, D., Xoplaki, E., Grosjean, M., and Wanner, H.: European seasonal and annual temperature variability, trends, and extremes since 1500, Science, 303, 1499–1503, 2004.

MacKay, D. J.: A practical Bayesian framework for backpropagation networks, Neural computation, 4, 448–472, 1992.

Manabe, S.: Climate and the ocean circulation: I. The atmospheric circulation and the hydrology of the earth's surface, Monthly Weather Review, 97, 739–774, 1969.

Markonis, Y. and Koutsoyiannis, D.: Scale-dependence of persistence in precipitation records, Nature Climate Change, 6, 399-401, 2016.

- Martínez-Sifuentes, A. R., Villanueva-Díaz, J., and Estrada-Ávalos, J.: Runoff reconstruction and climatic influence with tree rings, in the Mayo river basin, Sonora, Mexico, iForest-Biogeosciences and Forestry, 13, 98, 2020.
- Menne, M. J., Durre, I., Vose, R. S., Gleason, B. E., and Houston, T. G.: An overview of the global historical climatology network-daily database, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 29, 897-910, 2012.
- Menne, M. J., Williams, C. N., Gleason, B. E., Rennie, J. J., and Lawrimore, J. H.: The global historical climatology network monthly temperature dataset, version 4, Journal of Climate, 31, 9835–9854, 2018.
- Middelkoop, H., Daamen, K., Gellens, D., Grabs, W., Kwadijk, J. C., Lang, H., Parmet, B. W., Schädler, B., Schulla, J., and Wilke, K.: Impact of climate change on hydrological regimes and water resources management in the Rhine basin. Climatic change, 49, 105–128, 2001.
- 590

585

- Moberg, A., Mohammad, R., and Mauritsen, T.: Analysis of the Moberg et al.(2005) hemispheric temperature reconstruction, Climate dynamics, 31, 957-971, 2008.
- Moravec, V., Markonis, Y., Rakovec, O., Kumar, R., and Hanel, M.: A 250-year European drought inventory derived from ensemble hydrologic modeling, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 5909–5917, 2019.
- Mouelhi, S., Michel, C., Perrin, C., and Andréassian, V.: Linking stream flow to rainfall at the annual time step: the Manabe bucket model 595 revisited, Journal of hydrology, 328, 283-296, 2006.
 - Murphy, C., Broderick, C., Burt, T. P., Curley, M., Duffy, C., Hall, J., Harrigan, S., Matthews, T. K., Macdonald, N., McCarthy, G., et al.: A 305-year continuous monthly rainfall series for the island of Ireland (1711–2016)., Climate of the past., 14, 413–440, 2018.
 - Nash, J. E. and Sutcliffe, J. V.: River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I-A discussion of principles, Journal of hydrology, 10, 282-290, 1970.
- 600
 - Nicault, A., Alleaume, S., Brewer, S., Carrer, M., Nola, P., and Guiot, J.: Mediterranean drought fluctuation during the last 500 years based on tree-ring data, Climate dynamics, 31, 227-245, 2008.
 - Okut, H.: Bayesian regularized neural networks for small n big p data, Artificial neural networks-models and applications, pp. 21–23, 2016. Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., and Loumagne, C.: Which potential evapotranspiration input for a
- 605 lumped rainfall-runoff model?: Part 2—Towards a simple and efficient potential evapotranspiration model for rainfall-runoff modelling, Journal of hydrology, 303, 290-306, 2005.
 - Pauling, A., Luterbacher, J., Casty, C., and Wanner, H.: Five hundred years of gridded high-resolution precipitation reconstructions over Europe and the connection to large-scale circulation, Climate dynamics, 26, 387–405, 2006.

Peterson, T. C. and Vose, R. S.: An overview of the Global Historical Climatology Network temperature database, Bulletin of the American

- 610 Meteorological Society, 78, 2837-2850, 1997.
 - Pfister, C., Brázdil, R., Glaser, R., Barriendos, M., Camuffo, D., Deutsch, M., Dobrovolný, P., Enzi, S., Guidoboni, E., Kotyza, O., et al.: Documentary evidence on climate in sixteenth-century Europe, Climatic change, 43, 55–110, 1999.

Pfister, C., Weingartner, R., and Luterbacher, J.: Hydrological winter droughts over the last 450 years in the Upper Rhine basin: a methodological approach, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 51, 966-985, 2006.

- 615 Proctor, C., Baker, A., Barnes, W., and Gilmour, M.: A thousand year speleothem proxy record of North Atlantic climate from Scotland, Climate Dynamics, 16, 815–820, 2000.
 - Quayle, R. G., Peterson, T. C., Basist, A. N., and Godfrey, C. S.: An operational near-real-time global temperature index, Geophysical research letters, 26, 333-335, 1999.

Reinecke, R., Müller Schmied, H., Trautmann, T., Andersen, L. S., Burek, P., Flörke, M., Gosling, S. N., Grillakis, M., Hanasaki, N.,

- 620 Koutroulis, A., et al.: Uncertainty of simulated groundwater recharge at different global warming levels: a global-scale multi-model ensemble study, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 787–810, 2021.
 - Rivera, J. A., Araneo, D. C., and Penalba, O. C.: Threshold level approach for streamflow drought analysis in the Central Andes of Argentina: a climatological assessment, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 62, 1949–1964, 2017.
- Sadaf, N., Součková, M., Godoy, M. R. V., Singh, U., Markonis, Y., Kumar, R., Rakovec, O., and Hanel, M.: Supporting data for A 500-year
 runoff reconstruction for European catchments, figshare[data set], https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.15178107, 2021.
 - Seiller, G., Anctil, F., and Perrin, C.: Multimodel evaluation of twenty lumped hydrological models under contrasted climate conditions, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16, 1171–1189, 2012.
 - Senthil Kumar, A., Sudheer, K., Jain, S., and Agarwal, P.: Rainfall-runoff modelling using artificial neural networks: comparison of network types, Hydrological Processes: An International Journal, 19, 1277–1291, 2005.
- 630 Smith, K. A., Barker, L. J., Tanguy, M., Parry, S., Harrigan, S., Legg, T. P., Prudhomme, C., and Hannaford, J.: A multi-objective ensemble approach to hydrological modelling in the UK: an application to historic drought reconstruction, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 3247–3268, 2019.
 - Su, W., Tao, J., Wang, J., and Ding, C.: Current research status of large river systems: a cross-continental comparison, Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27, 39 413–39 426, 2020.
- 635 Sun, J., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Bao, G., and Sun, B.: Tree-ring based runoff reconstruction of the upper Fenhe River basin, North China, since 1799 AD, Quaternary International, 283, 117–124, 2013.
 - Sung, J. H. and Chung, E.-S.: Development of streamflow drought severity-duration-frequency curves using the threshold level method, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 3341–3351, 2014.
 - Swierczynski, T., Brauer, A., Lauterbach, S., Martín-Puertas, C., Dulski, P., von Grafenstein, U., and Rohr, C.: A 1600 yr seasonally resolved
- record of decadal-scale flood variability from the Austrian Pre-Alps, Geology, 40, 1047–1050, 2012.
 - Tejedor, E., de Luis, M., Cuadrat, J. M., Esper, J., and Saz, M. Á.: Tree-ring-based drought reconstruction in the Iberian Range (east of Spain) since 1694, International journal of biometeorology, 60, 361–372, 2016.
 - Trouet, V., Diaz, H., Wahl, E., Viau, A., Graham, R., Graham, N., and Cook, E.: A 1500-year reconstruction of annual mean temperature for temperate North America on decadal-to-multidecadal time scales, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 024 008, 2013.
- 645 Tshimanga, R., Hughes, D., and Kapangaziwiri, E.: Initial calibration of a semi-distributed rainfall runoff model for the Congo River basin, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 36, 761–774, 2011.

Uehlinger, U. F., Wantzen, K. M., Leuven, R. S., and Arndt, H.: The Rhine river basin, Acad. Pr., 2009.

van der Schrier, G., Allan, R. P., Ossó, A., Sousa, P. M., Van de Vyver, H., Van Schaeybroeck, B., Coscarelli, R., Pasqua, A. A., Petrucci, O., Curley, M., et al.: The 1921 European drought: Impacts, reconstruction and drivers, Climate of the Past Discussions, pp. 1–33, 2021.

- 650 Van Houdt, G., Mosquera, C., and Nápoles, G.: A review on the long short-term memory model, Artificial Intelligence Review, 53, 5929– 5955, 2020.
 - Vansteenberge, S., Verheyden, S., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Keppens, E., and Claeys, P.: Paleoclimate in continental northwestern Europe during the Eemian and early Weichselian (125-97 ka): insights from a Belgian speleothem., Climate of the Past, 12, 2016.
- Wang, W., Gelder, P. H. V., and Vrijling, J.: Comparing Bayesian regularization and cross-validated early-stopping for streamflow forecasting
 with ANN models, IAHS Publications-Series of Proceedings and Reports, 311, 216–221, 2007.
 - Werbos, P. J.: Backpropagation through time: what it does and how to do it, Proceedings of the IEEE, 78, 1550–1560, 1990.

Wetter, O. and Pfister, C.: An underestimated record breaking event–why summer 1540 was likely warmer than 2003, Climate of the Past, 9, 41–56, 2013.

Wetter, O., Pfister, C., Weingartner, R., Luterbacher, J., Reist, T., and Trösch, J.: The largest floods in the High Rhine basin since 1268
assessed from documentary and instrumental evidence, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 56, 733–758, 2011.

- Wilhelm, B., Arnaud, F., Sabatier, P., Crouzet, C., Brisset, E., Chaumillon, E., Disnar, J.-R., Guiter, F., Malet, E., Reyss, J.-L., et al.: 1400 years of extreme precipitation patterns over the Mediterranean French Alps and possible forcing mechanisms, Quaternary Research, 78, 1–12, 2012.
 - Wilson, R. J., Luckman, B. H., and Esper, J.: A 500 year dendroclimatic reconstruction of spring-summer precipitation from the lower
- Bavarian Forest region, Germany, International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 25, 611–630, 2005.
 - Xiang, Z., Yan, J., and Demir, I.: A rainfall-runoff model with LSTM-based sequence-to-sequence learning, Water resources research, 56, e2019WR025 326, 2020.

Xoplaki, E., Luterbacher, J., Paeth, H., Dietrich, D., Steiner, N., Grosjean, M., and Wanner, H.: European spring and autumn temperature

variability and change of extremes over the last half millennium, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, 2005.

Ye, L., Jabbar, S. F., Abdul Zahra, M. M., and Tan, M. L.: Bayesian Regularized Neural Network Model Development for Predicting Daily Rainfall from Sea Level Pressure Data: Investigation on Solving Complex Hydrology Problem, Complexity, 2021, 2021.

Yevjevich, V. M.: Objective approach to definitions and investigations of continental hydrologic droughts, An, Hydrology papers (Colorado State University); no. 23, 1967.

- Zappa, M. and Kan, C.: Extreme heat and runoff extremes in the Swiss Alps, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 7, 375–389, 2007.
 Zhang, X., Liang, F., Yu, B., and Zong, Z.: Explicitly integrating parameter, input, and structure uncertainties into Bayesian Neural Networks for probabilistic hydrologic forecasting, Journal of Hydrology, 409, 696–709, 2011.
 - Zuo, G., Luo, J., Wang, N., Lian, Y., and He, X.: Two-stage variational mode decomposition and support vector regression for streamflow forecasting, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 5491–5518, 2020.