1 European pollen-based REVEALS land-cover reconstructions for the

2 Holocene: methodology, mapping and potentials

3

Esther Githumbi^{1,2}, Ralph Fyfe³, Marie-Jose Gaillard², Anna-Kari Trondman^{2,4}, Florence Mazier⁵, AnneBirgitte Nielsen⁶, Anneli Poska^{1,7}, Shinya Sugita⁸, Jessie Woodbridge³, Julien Azuara⁹, Angelica
Feurdean^{10,11}, Roxana Grindean^{11,12}, Vincent Lebreton⁹, Laurent Marquer¹³, Nathalie NeboutCombourieu⁹, Miglė Stančikaitė¹⁴, Ioan Tanțău¹¹, Spassimir Tonkov¹⁵, Lyudmila Shumilovskikh¹⁶, and
LandClimII data contributors¹⁷⁺.

- 9
- ¹Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, University of Lund, 22362 Lund, Sweden
- ¹¹ ²Department of Biology and Environmental Science, Linnaeus University, 39182 Kalmar, Sweden
- 12 ³School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, PL4 8AA Plymouth, United Kingdom
- ⁴Division of Education Affairs, Swedish University of Agricultural Science (SLU), 23456 Alnarp, Sweden
- ⁵Environmental Geography Laboratory, GEODE UMR 5602 CNRS, Université de Toulouse Jean Jaurès, 31058 Toulouse,
 France
- 16 ⁶Department of Geology, Lund University, 22100 Lund, Sweden
- 17 ⁷Department of Geology, Tallinn University of Technology, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia
- 18 ⁸Institute of Ecology, Tallinn University of Technology, 10120 Tallinn, Estonia
- 19 ⁹Département Homme et Environnement, UMR 7194 Histoire Naturelle de l'Homme Préhistorique, 75013 Paris, France
- 20 ¹⁰Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 21 ¹¹Department of Geology, Faculty of Biology and Geology, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- ¹² Institute of Archaeology and History of Arts, Romanian Academy, Cluj-Napoca, 400015, Romania
- 23 ¹³Department of Botany, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- 24 ¹⁴Institute of Geology and Geography, Vilnius University, Vilnius, LT-03101 Vilnius, Lithuania
- 25 ¹⁵Department of Botany, Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
- 26 ¹⁶Department of Palynology and Climate Dynamics, Georg-August-University, 37073 Göttingen, Germany
- 27 ¹⁷+Team list
- 28 +A full list of authors appears at the end of the paper.
- 29
- 30 Correspondence to: Esther Githumbi (esther.githumbi@lnu.se)

31 Abstract. Quantitative reconstructions of past land-cover are necessary to determine the processes involved in climate-human-32 land cover interactions. We present the first temporally continuous and most spatially extensive pollen-based land-cover 33 reconstruction for Europe over the Holocene (last 11,700 cal yr BP). We describe how vegetation cover has been quantified from pollen records at a 1°x1° spatial scale using the 'Regional Estimates of VEgetation Abundance from Large Sites' 34 35 (REVEALS) model. REVEALS calculates estimates of past regional vegetation cover in proportions or percentages. 36 REVEALS has been applied to 1128 pollen records across Europe and part of the Eastern Mediterranean-Black Sea-Caspian-37 Corridor (30°-75°N, 25°W-50°E) to reconstruct the percentage cover of 31 plant taxa assigned to 12 plant functional types 38 (PFTs) and 3 land-cover types (LCTs). A new synthesis of relative pollen productivities (RPPs) for European plant taxa was 39 performed for this reconstruction. It includes multiple RPP values (≥ 2 values) for 39 taxa, and single values for 15 taxa (total 40 of 54 taxa). To illustrate this, we present distribution maps for five taxa (*Calluna vulgaris*, Cerealia-t, *Picea abies*, deciduous 41 Quercus t. and evergreen Quercus t.) and three land-cover types (open land-OL, evergreen trees-ET and summer-green trees-42 ST) for eight selected time windows. The reliability of the REVEALS reconstructions and issues related to the interpretation 43 of the results in terms of landscape openness and human-induced vegetation change are discussed. This is followed by a review 44 of the current use of this reconstruction and its future potential utility and development. REVEALS data quality are primarily 45 determined by pollen count data (pollen count/sample, pollen identification and chronology) and site type/number (lake or bog, large or small, 1 site vs multiple sites) used for REVEALS analysis (for each grid cell). A large number of sites with high 46 47 quality pollen count data will produce more reliable land-cover estimates with lower standard errors compared to a low number 48 of sites with lower quality pollen count data. The REVEALS data presented here can be downloaded from 49 https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.937075 (Fyfe et al., 2022).

51 1 Introduction

52 The reconstruction of past land cover at global, continental and sub-continental scales is essential for the evaluation of climate 53 models, land-use scenarios and the study of past climate – land cover interactions. Vegetation plays a significant role within 54 the climate system through biogeochemical and biogeophysical feedbacks and forcings (Foley, 2005; Gaillard et al., 2015, 55 2010b, 2018; Strandberg et al., 2014). Land use has modified the land cover of Europe over Holocene timescales at local, 56 regional and continental scales (Roberts et al., 2018; Trondman et al., 2015; Woodbridge et al., 2018). Concerted efforts have 57 been made to model land-use and land-cover change (LULCC) over Holocene time scales (e.g. HYDE 3.2 (Klein Goldewijk 58 et al., 2017) and KK10 (Kaplan et al., 2011)). KK10 has been used to assess the impact of the scale of deforestation between 59 6000 and 200 cal yr BP in Europe on regional climate in the modelling study of Strandberg et al (2014). The KK10-inferred 60 land-cover change resulted in cooling or warming of regional climate by 1°c to 2°c depending on the season (winter or summer) 61 and/or geographical location. Major changes in the forest cover of Europe over the Holocene may therefore have had a 62 significant impact on past regional climate, particularly those driven by deforestation since the start of agriculture during the Neolithic period, the timing of which varies in different parts of Europe (Fyfe et al., 2015; Gaillard et al., 2015; Hofman-63 64 Kamińska et al., 2019; Nosova et al., 2018; Pinhasi et al., 2005; de Vareilles et al., 2021). Estimating past land-cover change 65 can enable quantification of the scale at which human impact on terrestrial ecosystems perturbed the climate system. This in 66 turn allows us to consider when environmental changes moved beyond the envelope of natural variability (Ruddiman, 2003; Ruddiman et al., 2016). We focus here on the role of LULCC in the climate system; anthropogenic land-cover change can 67 have broader consequences for other processes and lead to changes in erosion and fluvial systems (Downs and Piégay, 2019), 68 69 biodiversity (Barnosky et al., 2012), nutrient cycling (Guiry et al., 2018; McLauchlan et al., 2013), habitat exploitation by 70 megafauna (Hofman-Kamińska et al., 2019), and wider ecosystem functioning (Ellis, 2015; Stephens et al., 2019). 71 The Earth System Modelling (ESM) community use LULCC model scenarios, along with dynamic vegetation models, to

72 understand interactions between different components of the earth system in the past (Gilgen et al., 2019; He et al., 2014; 73 Hibbard et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2016). Disagreement between LULCC scenarios suggests that their evaluation is needed 74 using independent, empirical datasets (Gaillard et al., 2010a). Pollen-based reconstruction of past land cover represents 75 probably the best empirical data for this purpose, as fossil pollen is a direct proxy for past vegetation, and fossil pollen records 76 are ubiquitous across the continent of Europe (Gaillard et al., 2010a, 2018). The Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm (LRA) 77 with its two models Regional Estimates of VEgetation Abundance from Large Sites (REVEALS) (Sugita, 2007a) and LOcal 78 Vegetation Estimates (LOVE) (Sugita, 2007b) is the only current land-cover reconstruction approach based on pollen data that 79 effectively reduces the biases caused by the non-linear pollen-vegetation relationship due to differences in sedimentary 80 archives, basin size, inter-taxonomic differences in pollen productivity and dispersal characteristics, and spatial scales. 81 REVEALS and LOVE are mechanistic models that transform pollen count data to produce quantitative reconstructions of 82 regional (spatial scale $\geq 10^4$ km²) and local (spatial scale = relevant source area of pollen sensu Sugita (1993), \geq ca. 1-5 km 83 radius) vegetation cover, respectively (Sugita, 2007a; 2007b). The REVEALS model was first tested and validated in southern Sweden (Hellman et al., 2008a, 2008b) and later in other parts of Europe and the world (Mazier et al., 2012; Soepboer et al.,
2010; Sugita et al., 2010).

86 The first pollen-based REVEALS reconstruction of plant cover over the Holocene covering a large part of Europe (Trondman 87 et al., 2015) was used for the assessment of LULCC scenarios (Kaplan et al., 2017), and helped to evaluate climate model 88 simulations using LULCC scenarios (Strandberg et al., 2014). A comparison between REVEALS-based open land cover from 89 pollen records and Holocene deforestation simulated by HYDE 3.1 and KK10 showed that the REVEALS reconstructions 90 were more similar to KK10 than HYDE 3.1 scenarios (Kaplan et al., 2017). Therefore, estimates of past plant cover from fossil 91 pollen assemblages are essential to both test and constrain LULCC models, and also provide alternative inputs to Earth System 92 Models (ESMs), Regional Climate Models (RCMs) and ecosystem models (Gaillard et al., 2018; Harrison et al., 2020). This 93 allows improved assessments of biogeophysical and biogeochemical forcings on climate due to LULCC over the Holocene 94 (Gaillard et al., 2010; Harrison et al., 2020; Ruddiman et al., 2016; Strandberg et al., 2014).

95 Europe is of particular interest as one of the global regions that has experienced major human-induced land-cover 96 transformations. Europe has large N-S and W-E gradients in modern and historical climate and land use (Marguer et al., 2014, 97 2017). Early agriculture dates from the start of the Holocene in the SE Mediterranean region (Palmisano et al., 2019; Roberts 98 et al., 2019; Shennan, 2018), and human impact on vegetation across most of Europe is characterized by early land-cover 99 changes through agriculture and the use of fire (Feurdean et al., 2020; Marquer et al., 2014; Strandberg et al., 2014; Trondman 100 et al., 2015). There is therefore a clear need to extend quantitative vegetation reconstruction to the whole of Europe, including 101 for the first time the Mediterranean region and additional areas of eastern Europe. The increase in the spatial coverage of sites 102 and temporal scale to the entire Holocene to capture transient vegetation change at sub-millennial time scales is vital to capture 103 information on the transformation of the biosphere by human actions. Europe has a deep history of pollen data production 104 (Edwards et al., 2017) and an open-access repository for pollen records (the European Pollen Database (EPD)) as well as 105 regional pollen repositories (list of databases and access links in section 2.2 and the Data Availability section). These data 106 repositories result in abundant pollen records that can be used for data-driven reconstructions of past vegetation patterns at 107 continental scales. Pollen based vegetation reconstructions for Europe have used community-level approaches (Huntley, 1990), 108 biomization methods (Davis et al., 2015; Prentice et al., 1996), modern analogue techniques (MAT; Zanon et al., 2018), and 109 pseudobiomization (Fyfe et al., 2010, 2015; Woodbridge et al., 2014). These approaches capture the major trends in vegetation 110 patterns over the course of the Holocene (Roberts et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020) and biomization methods have proved useful 111 for evaluation of climate model results (Prentice and Webb III, 1998). The results of these forms of pollen data manipulation 112 either classify pollen data into discrete classes (e.g. biomization, pseudobiomization) or are semi-quantitative, capturing 113 relative change though time based on all pollen taxa within a sample. They cannot achieve reconstructions of the cover of 114 evergreen versus summer-green trees, for example, or the cover of individual tree and herb taxa. Although useful in 115 summarising palynological change over time based on entire pollen assemblages, such outputs are of limited use when 116 differentiation of plant functional types (PFTs) is necessary (Strandberg et al., 2014). Forest cover over the Holocene inferred 117 from pollen records using these approaches differs from forest cover obtained with REVEALS (Hellman et al., 2008a; Roberts

- 118 et al., 2018); these differences confirm that REVEALS corrects biases resulting from the non-linearity of the pollen-vegetation
- 119 relationship.
- 120 In this paper we present the results of the second generation of REVEALS-based reconstruction of plant cover over the
- 121 Holocene in Europe, after the first reconstruction published by Trondman et al. (2015). This second generation reconstruction
- 122 is, to date, the most spatially and temporally complete estimate of plant cover for Europe across the Holocene. As with the
- 123 Trondman et al. (2015) reconstruction, this new dataset is specifically designed to be used in climate modelling. It is performed
- 124 at a spatial scale of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ (ca. 100 km \times 100 km) across 30° -75°N, 25°W-50°E (Europe and part of the Eastern Mediterranean-
- 125 Black Sea-Caspian-Corridor) (Fig. 1). The number of pollen records used (1128), the area covered and time length (entire
- 126 Holocene) are a significant advance on the results presented in Trondman et al. (2015), which used 636 pollen records covering
- 127 NW Europe (including Poland and the Czech Republic but excluding western Russia and the Mediterranean area), and
- 128 produced estimates for five time windows (in cal yr BP, hereafter abbreviated BP): 6200-5700, 4200-3700, 700-350, 350-100
- 129 BP and 100 BP to present. Marquer et al. (2014, 2017) produced continuous REVEALS reconstructions over the entire
- 130 Holocene, however, only for transects of individual sites (19 pollen records) and groups of grid cells around them.



132 Figure 1: Study region showing site coverage, (a) Colours represent different modern biomes (purple = boreal, vellow = temperate,

133 blue = Mediterranean) while size and colour of circle represents site type and size (see caption in panel a). (b) Grid cell reliability

134 dependent on number of pollen records, black grid cells = reliable results, grev grid cells = less reliable results. Reliable = >1 large

135 lake (s), ≥ 2 small lake(s) or/and small bog(s), mix of ≥ 1 large lake (s) and ≥ 1 small lake(s) or/and small bog(s); less reliable = 1 bog

136 (large or small) or 1 small lake. See section 4.1 for details and discussion on reliability of REVEALS results.

137 2 Methods

138 2.1 REVEALS model and parameters

139 The REVEALS model (Sugita, 2007a) is a generalized version of the R-Value model of Davis (Davis, 1963). The development

140 of pollen-vegetation modelling from the R-Value model, via the ERV models of Andersen (Andersen, 1970) and Parsons and

- Prentice (Parsons and Prentice, 1981) through to the REVEALS model is described in detail in numerous earlier papers 141
- 142 (Broström et al., 2004; Bunting et al., 2013b; Sugita, 1993, 2007a).
- Using simulations, Sugita (2007a) showed that "large lakes" represent regional vegetation, i.e. between-lake differences in 143

pollen assemblages are very small, which was the case for lakes >50ha in the simulations (Sugita, 2007a). Tests using modern 144

145 pollen data from surface lake sediments have shown that pollen assemblages from lakes >50ha are appropriate to estimate

regional plant cover using the REVEALS model (e.g. tests by Hellman et al. (2008a and b) in southern Sweden and by Sugita 146

- 147 et al. (2010) in northern America).
- The REVEALS model (equation 1) calculates estimates of regional vegetation abundance in proportions or percentage cover 148
- 149 using fossil pollen counts from "large lakes" (Sugita, 2007a).

150
$$\hat{V}_{i} = \frac{\frac{n_{i,k}}{\hat{\alpha}_{i}} \int_{R}^{Z_{\max}} g_{i}(z) dz}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{n_{j,k}}{\hat{\alpha}_{j}} \int_{R}^{Z_{\max}} g_{j}(z) dz\right)} = \frac{n_{i,k} / \hat{\alpha}_{i} K_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (n_{j,k} / \hat{\alpha}_{j} K_{j})}$$
(1)

 \hat{V}_i is the estimate of the regional vegetation abundance for taxon *i* (proportion or percentage). 151

- $n_{i,k}$ is the pollen count of taxon *i* at site *k*. 152 ٠
- α_i is the estimate of pollen productivity (relative pollen productivity, RPP) for taxon *i*. 153
- 154 z is the distance between the centre of the sedimentary basin and the pollen source. •
- 155 $g_i(z)$ is the pollen dispersal/deposition function for taxon i expressed as a function of distance z. Fall speed of pollen 156 (FSP), wind speed and atmospheric conditions are parameters needed to calculate this function.
- 157 *R* is the radius of the sedimentary basin.

*Z*_{max} is the maximum distance within which most pollen originates (i.e. the maximum spatial extent of the regional vegetation).

(distance from the pollen sample corresponding to the radius R of the lake) to Z_{max} .

- 160 m is the total number of taxa included,
- 161
- $K_i = \int_{R}^{Zmax} g_i(z) dz$ is the "pollen dispersal-deposition coefficient" of taxon *i* from the border of the study site
- 162 163

164 The assumptions of the REVEALS model are listed in Sugita (2007a). Using simulations Sugita (2007a) demonstrated that, in theory, the model can also be applied to pollen records from multiple "small lakes" (< 50 ha), i.e. lakes for which between lake 165 166 differences in pollen assemblages can be large. However, the REVEALS estimates using pollen records from "small lakes" 167 generally have larger standard errors (SE) than those based on pollen data from large lakes. The latter was demonstrated for 168 empirical pollen records from large lakes versus small sites (lakes and bogs) by Trondman et al. (2016) in southern Sweden 169 and Mazier et al. (2012) in the Czech Republic. Although the application of the model to pollen data from bogs violates the model assumption that no plants grow on the basin, REVEALS can be applied using models of pollen dispersal and deposition 170 171 for lakes or bogs. The Prentice model (Prentice, 1985; 1988) describes deposition of pollen at a single point in a deposition 172 basin and is suitable for pollen records from bogs. Sugita (1993) developed the "Prentice-Sugita model" that describes pollen 173 deposition in a lake, i.e. on its entire surface with subsequent mixing in the water body before deposition at the lake bottom. The original versions of both models use the Sutton model of pollen dispersal, i.e. a Gaussian plume model from a ground-174 175 level source under neutral atmospheric conditions (Sutton, 1953). A Lagrangian stochastic model of dispersion has also been 176 introduced as an alternative for the description of pollen dispersal in models of the pollen-vegetation relationship in general, and in the REVEALS model in particular (Theuerkauf et al., 2012, 2016). It is difficult, in both theory and practice, to eliminate 177 178 the effects of pollen coming from plants growing on sedimentary basins (e.g. Poaceae and Cyperaceae in bogs) on regional 179 vegetation reconstruction. Previous studies have assessed the impacts of the violation of this assumption on REVEALS 180 outcomes (Mazier et al., 2012; Sugita et al., 2010; Trondman et al., 2016, 2015). An empirical study in southern Sweden 181 (Trondman et al., 2016) indicated that REVEALS estimates based on pollen records from multiple small sites (lakes and/or bogs) are similar to the REVEALS estimates based on pollen records from large lakes in the same region. The results also 182 183 suggested that increasing the number of pollen records significantly decreased the standard error of the REVEALS estimates, as expected based on simulations (Sugita, 2007a). It is therefore appropriate to use pollen records from small bogs to increase 184 185 the number of pollen records included in a REVEALS reconstruction, following the protocol of the first generation REVEALS 186 reconstruction for Europe (Mazier et al., 2012; Trondman et al., 2015). However, REVEALS estimates of plant cover using pollen assemblages from large bogs only should be interpreted with great caution (Mazier et al., 2012; see also section 4, 187 188 Discussion).

189 The inputs needed to run the REVEALS model are: original pollen counts; relative pollen productivity estimates (RPPs) and 190 their standard deviation; fall speed of pollen (FSP); basin type (lake or bog); size of basin (radius); maximum extent of regional vegetation; wind speed (m/s); and atmospheric conditions. FSP can be calculated using measurements of the pollen grains and Stokes' law (Gregory, 1973). RPPs of major plant taxa can be estimated using datasets of modern pollen assemblages and related vegetation, and the Extended R-Value model (e.g. Mazier et al., 2008). RPPs exist for a large number of European plant taxa, and syntheses of FSPs and RPPs were published earlier by Broström et al. (2008) and Mazier et al. (2012). The latter was used in the "first generation" REVEALS reconstruction (Trondman et al., 2015). A new synthesis of European RPPs was performed for this "second generation" reconstruction (Appendices A, B, and C). Preparation of data from individual pollen records, and the values of model parameters used, are described below (sections 2.2 and 2.3).

198 2.2 Pollen records – data compilation and preparation

199 1143 pollen records from 29 European countries and the Eastern Mediterranean-Black Sea-Caspian-Corridor were obtained 200 from databases and individual data contributors. The contributing databases include: the European Pollen Database (Fyfe et 201 al., 2009; Giesecke et al., 2014); the Alpine Palynological database (ALPADABA; Institute of Plant Sciences, University of 202 Bern; now also archived in EPD); the Czech Quaternary palynological database (PALYCZ; Kuneš et al., 2009); PALEOPYR 203 (Lerigoleur et al., 2015); and datasets compiled within synthesis projects from the Mediterranean region (Fyfe et al., 2018; 204 Roberts et al., 2019) and the Eastern Mediterranean-Black Sea-Caspian-Corridor (EMBSeCBIO project; Marinova et al., 2018) 205 (see Fig. 1 for map and Data availability section for data location and team list for individual pollen data contributors). We 206 followed the protocols and criteria published in Mazier et al. (2012) and Trondman et al. (2015) for selection of pollen records 207 and application of the REVEALS model. Available pollen records were filtered based on criteria including basin type (to 208 exclude archaeological sites and marine records) and quality of chronological control (excluding sites with poor age-depth 209 models or fewer than three radiocarbon dates). This resulted in 1128 pollen records from lakes and bogs, both small and large. 210 The rationale behind the use of pollen records from small sites is based on the knowledge that REVEALS estimates based on 211 pollen records from multiple sites provide statistically validated approximations of the regional cover of plant taxa (e.g. 212 Trondman et al., 2016; see details under section 2.1 on the REVEALS model).

213 The taxonomy and nomenclature of pollen morphological types from the 1128 pollen records were harmonised. The pollen 214 morphological types were then consistently assigned to one of 31 RPP taxa (Table 1; see section 2.3 and Appendices A-C for 215 details on the RPP dataset used in this study), following the protocol outlined in Trondman et al. (2015: SI-2 with examples of 216 harmonization between pollen-morphological types and RPP taxa). This process takes into account plant morphology, biology, 217 and ecology of the species that are included in each pollen morphological type. Consequently, RPP-harmonized pollen count 218 data were produced for each of the 1128 pollen records. It should be noted that the EMBSeCBIO data does not contain pollen 219 counts from cultivars, i.e. pollen from cereals and cultivated trees were deleted from the pollen records (Marinova et al., 2018). 220 Therefore, the cover of agricultural land (represented by cereals in this reconstruction) will always be zero in the Eastern 221 Mediterranean-Black Sea-Caspian-Corridor in grid cells with only pollen records from EMBSeCBIO, even though agriculture 222 did occur in the region from the early Neolithic.

223 For the application of REVEALS, an age-depth model (in cal yr BP) is required for each pollen record. We used the author's 224 original published model, the model available in the contributing database or, where necessary, a new age-depth model was 225 constructed following the approach in Trondman et al. (2015). The age-depth model for each pollen record is used to aggregate 226 RPP-harmonised pollen count data into 25 time windows throughout the Holocene following a standard time division used in 227 Mazier et al. (2012) and Trondman et al. (2015), which were later adopted by the Past Global Changes (PAGES) LandCover6k 228 working group (Gaillard et al., 2018). The first three time windows (present-100 BP (where present is the year of coring), 100-229 350 BP; 350-700 BP) capture the major human-induced land-cover changes since the early Middle Ages. Subsequent time 230 windows are contiguous 500-year long intervals (e.g. 700-1200 BP, 1200-1700 BP, 1700-2200 BP, etc.) with the oldest interval 231 representing the start of the Holocene (11200-11700 BP). The use of 500-year long time windows is motivated by the necessity 232 to obtain sufficiently large pollen counts for reliable REVEALS reconstructions. Since the size of the error on the REVEALS 233 estimate partly depends on the size of the pollen count (Sugita, 2007a), the length of the time window should be a reasonable 234 compromise to ensure both a useful time resolution of the reconstruction and an acceptable reliability of the REVEALS 235 estimate of plant cover (Trondman et al., 2015).

- 236 Table 1: Land-cover types (LCTs) and Plant Functional Types (PFTs) according to Wolf et al. (2008) and their corresponding pollen
- 237 morphological types. Fall speed of pollen (FSP) and the mean relative pollen productivity (RPP) estimates from the new RPP
- 238 synthesis (see section 2.3 and Appendices A-C for details) with their standard errors in brackets (see text for more explanations).
- 239 *The FSP values of evergreen Quercus t. and Mediterranean Ericaceae according to the original study (Mazier, unpublished) are
- 240 0.015 and 0.051, respectively (see Appendix B, Table B.3). The value of 0.035 (FSP of deciduous *Quercus* t.) and 0.038 (FSP of boreal-
- 241 temperate Ericaceae) were used instead (see discussion in section 4.2 for explanation). , t = type e.g. evergreen *Quercus* t. RPP used 242 in this study are relative to grass pollen productivity where Poaceae = 1 (indicated in **bold**).

Land-cover PFT PFT definition Plant taxa/Pollen-morphological FSP RPP (SD) types (LCTs) types (m/s)TBE1 Picea abies 0.056 Evergreen Shade-tolerant evergreen trees 5.437 (0.097) trees (ET) TBE2 Abies alba 0.12 6.875 (1.442) Shade-tolerant evergreen trees IBE 0.031 Shade-intolerant evergreen trees Pinus sylvestris 6.058 (0.237) MTBE Mediterranean Phillvrea 0.015 0.512 (0.076) shade-tolerant broadleaved evergreen trees Pistacia 0.03 0.755 (0.201) 0.035* Evergreen Quercus t. 11.043 (0.261) TSE Tall shrub, evergreen Juniperus communis 0.016 2.07 (0.04) MTSE 0.038* Mediterranean broadleaved Ericaceae 4.265 (0.094) tall shrubs, evergreen 0.032 1.89 (0.068) Buxus sempervirens IBS Summer-Shade-intolerant summer-green trees Alnus glutinosa 0.021 13.562 (0.293) green trees Betula 0.024 5.106 (0.303) (ST) TBS Shade-tolerant summer-green trees Carpinus betulus 0.042 4.52 (0.425) Carpinus orientalis 0.042 0.24 (0.07) Castanea sativa 0.01 3.258 (0.059) 0.025 Corylus avellana 1.71 (0.1) Fagus sylvatica 0.057 5.863 (0.176) 0.022 Fraxinus 1.044 (0.048) 0.035 4.537 (0.086) Deciduous Quercus t. Tilia 0.032 1.21 (0.116) Ulmus 0.032 1.27 (0.05) TSD Tall shrub, summer-green Salix 0.022 1.182 (0.077) LSE 0.038 Open land Calluna vulgaris 1.085 (0.029) Low shrub, evergreen (OL) GL Grassland - all herbs Artemisia 0.025 3.937 (0.146) 0.019 Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae 4.28 (0.27) 0.035 0.962 (0.05) Cyperaceae Filipendula 0.006 3 (0.285) Poaceae 0.035 1(0)Plantago lanceolata 0.029 2.33 (0.201) 0.018 3.02 (0.278) Rumex acetosa-t AL Agricultural land - cereals Cerealia-t 0.06 1.85 (0.380) 0.06 3.99 (0.320) Secale cereale

244 2.3 Model parameter setting

245 For the purpose of this study, a new synthesis of the RPP values available for European plant taxa was performed in 2018-246 2019 based on the by Mazier et al. (2012) and additional RPP studies published since then (Appendix A-C). This synthesis 247 provides new alternative RPP datasets for Europe, including or excluding plant taxa with dominant entomophily, and with the 248 important addition of plant taxa from the Mediterranean area (Appendix A, Table A1). The selection of RPP studies, RPP 249 values (shown in Appendix B, Tables B1 and B2) and calculation of mean RPP and their standard error (SD) for Europe are 250 explained in Appendix C. The location of studies included in the RPP synthesis is shown in Fig. C1 and related information is 251 provided in Table C1. The synthesis includes a total of 54 taxa for which RPP values are available (Tables B1 and B2), 39 252 taxa from studies in boreal and temperate Europe, and 15 taxa from studies in Mediterranean Europe of which seven include 253 exclusively sub-Mediterranean and Mediterranean taxa: Buxus sempervirens, Carpinus orientalis, Castanea sativa, Ericaceae 254 (Mediterranean species), Phillvrea, Pistacia and evergreen Quercus type. RPP values are available from both boreal/temperate 255 and Mediterranean Europe for seven taxa: i.e. Poaceae (reference taxon), Acer, Corylus avellana, Apiaceae, Artemisia, 256 Plantago lanceolata and Rubiaceae (Table B2). Table A1 presents the new RPP dataset for the 54 plant taxa and, for 257 comparison, the mean RPP values from Mazier et al. (2012) and from the recent synthesis by Wieczorek & Herzschuh (2020). 258 Moreover, comparison with the RPP values of three studies not used in our synthesis is shown in Table A2. For the REVEALS 259 reconstructions presented in this paper, we excluded strictly entomophilous taxa, which resulted in a total of 31 taxa (Table 1). 260 The excluded taxa are Compositae (Asteraceae) SF Cichorioideae, Leucanthemum (Anthemis)-t., Potentilla-t., Ranunculus acris-t., and Rubiaceae. We included entomophilous taxa that are known to be characterised by some anemophily, e.g. 261 262 Artemisia, Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae, Rubiaceae, and Plantago lanceolata. We excluded plant taxa with only one RPP value except Chenopodiaceae, Urtica, Juniperus, and Ulmus, and the seven exclusively sub-Mediterranean and Mediterranean 263 264 taxa mentioned above.

The FSP values (Tables 1 and A1) for boreal and temperate plant taxa were obtained from the literature (Broström et al., 2008; Mazier et al., 2012); these values were in turn extracted from Gregory (1973) for trees, and calculated based on pollen measurements and Stokes' law for herbs (Broström et al., 2004). FSPs for Mediterranean taxa (*Buxus sempervirens, Castanea sativa*, Ericaceae (Mediterranean species), *Phillyrea*, *Pistacia*, and *Quercus* evergreen type) were obtained by using pollen measurements and Stokes' law (Mazier et al., unpublished); the FSP of *Carpinus betulus* (Mazier et al., 2012) was used for *Carpinus orientalis* (Grindean et al., 2019).

The site radius was obtained from original publications where possible. Sites in the EMBSeCBIO were classified as small $(0.01-1 \text{ km}^2)$, medium $(1.1-50 \text{ km}^2)$ or large $(50.1-500 \text{ km}^2)$. These were assigned radii of 399m, 2921m and 10000 m, respectively. Where a site's radius could not be determined from publication, it was geolocated in Google Earth and the area of the site was measured. A radius value was extracted assuming that a site shape is circular (Mazier et al., 2012). A constant wind speed of 3 m/s, assumed to correspond approximatively to the modern mean annual wind speed in Europe, was used following Trondman et al. (2015). Z_{max} (maximum extent of the regional vegetation) was set to 100 km. Z_{max} and wind speed

277 influence on REVEALS estimates has been evaluated earlier in simulation and empirical studies (Gaillard et al., 2008; Mazier

et al., 2012; Sugita, 2007a), which support the values used for these parameters. Atmospheric conditions are assumed to be

279 neutral (Sugita, 2007a).

280 2.4 Implementation of REVEALS

281 REVEALS was implemented using the REVEALS function within the LRA R-package of Abraham et al. (2014) (see Code 282 availability, section 6). The function enables the use of deposition models for bogs (Prentice's model) and lakes (Sugita's 283 model), and two dispersal models (a Gaussian plume model, and a Lagrangian stochastic model taken from the DISQOVER 284 package (Theuerkauf et al., 2016)). Within this study, the Gaussian plume model was applied. The REVEALS model was run 285 on all pollen records within each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cell across Europe. The REVEALS function is applied to lake and bog sites separately within each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cell, and combines results (if there is more than one pollen record per cell) to produce a 286 287 single mean cover estimate (in proportion) and mean standard error (SE) for each taxon. The formulation of the SE is found 288 in Appendix A of Sugita (2007a). The REVEALS SE accounts for the standard deviations on the relative pollen productivities 289 for the individual pollen taxa (Table 1) and the number of pollen grains counted in the sample (Sugita, 2007a). The uncertainties 290 of the averaged REVEALS estimates of plant taxa for a grid cell are calculated using the delta method (Stuart and Ord., 1994), 291 and expressed as the SEs derived from the sum of the within- and between-site variations of the REVEALS results in the grid 292 cell. The delta method is a mathematical solution to the problem of calculating the mean of individual SEs (see Li et al., 2020, 293 Appendix C, for formula and further details). Results of the REVEALS function are extracted by time window, producing 25 294 matrices of mean REVEALS land-cover estimates and 25 matrices of corresponding mean SEs for each of the 31 RPP taxa 295 and each grid cell. The 31 RPP taxa are also assigned to 12 plant functional types (PFTs) and three land-cover types (LCTs) 296 (Table 1), and their mean REVEALS estimates calculated. These PFTs follow Trondman et al. (2015), with the addition of 297 two PFTs for Mediterranean vegetation not reconstructed in earlier studies: Mediterranean shade-tolerant broadleaved 298 evergreen trees (MTBE) and Mediterranean broadleaved tall shrubs, evergreen (MTSE). The mean SE for LCTs and PFTs 299 including more than one plant taxon are calculated using the delta method (Stuart and Ord., 1994), as described above.

300 2.5 Mapping of the REVEALS estimates

301 To illustrate the information that the new REVEALS reconstruction provides, we present and describe (section 3) maps of the 302 REVEALS estimates (% cover) and their associated SEs for the three LCTs (Fig. 2 to 4) and five taxa for eight selected time 303 windows: the five taxa are Cerealia-t and Picea abies (Fig. 5 and 6), Calluna vulgaris, deciduous Quercus type (t.), and 304 evergreen *Ouercus* t. (Fig. D1-D3). The selection of the five taxa and eight time windows is motivated essentially by notable 305 changes in the spatial distribution of these taxa through time, with higher resolution for recent times characterised by the largest 306 and most rapid human-induced changes in vegetation cover. For visualisation purposes, the estimates are mapped in nine % 307 cover classes. These fractions are the same for the three LCTs (Figures 2-4), and the mapped output can therefore be directly 308 compared. In contrast, the colour scales used for the five taxa vary between maps depending on the abundance of the PFT/taxon 309 (Fig. 5 and 6, D1-D3). Different taxa thus have different scales and maps cannot be directly compared. We visualise uncertainty 310 in our data by plotting the SE as a circle inside each grid cell; it is the coefficient of variation (CV, i.e. the standard error 311 divided by the REVEALS estimate). Circles are scaled to fill the grid cell if the SE is equal or greater than the mean REVEALS 312 estimate (i.e. $CV \ge 1$). Grid-based REVEALS results that are based on pollen records from just one large bog, or single small 313 bogs or lakes, provide lower quality results (see section 2.1 on the REVEALS model, and discussion section 4.1). The quality 314 of REVEALS land-cover estimates by grid cell and time window is provided in Table GC_quality_by_TW (see section 5, Data 315 availability). The percentage scale ranges we use here are different from those used in the maps of Trondman et al. (2015) and, 316 therefore, the data visualisation cannot be directly compared.

317 3 Results

The complete REVEALS land cover reconstruction dataset includes mean REVEALS values (in proportions) and their related mean SE for 31 individual tree and herb taxa, twelve PFTs and three LCTs for each grid cell in 25 consecutive time windows of the Holocene (11.7 k BP to present). Here, results are illustrated by maps of the three LCTs (Fig. 2-4) and five taxa (Fig. 5-6, D1-D3). The presented maps are not part of the published dataset archived in the PANGAEA online public database (see

322 Data availability, section 5), they are examples of how the data can be visually presented and what they can be used for.

323 3.1 Land-cover types

The three land-cover types are evergreen trees (ET), summer-green trees (ST) and open land (OL). ET includes six PFTs which are composed of nine pollen-morphological types (from here after referred to as taxa). ST includes three PFTs which are composed of twelve taxa while OL includes three PFTs that are in turn composed of ten taxa (Table 1).

327 **3.1.1 Open Land (OL)**

328 At the start of the Holocene, open land (OL) (Fig. 2) has higher cover in western Europe where it generally exceeds 80% 329 compared with central Europe where it is typically $\sim 60\%$. There is a general decline in OL cover through the early Holocene. 330 At 5700-6200 BP most grid cells in central Europe have the lowest OL cover values between 10-50%. In western Europe, whilst OL is generally reduced, several grid cells on the Atlantic fringe of northern Scotland persistently maintain 80-90% OL 331 332 cover. OL increases from the mid-Holocene, and by 2700-3200 BP the United Kingdom, France, Germany and the 333 Mediterranean region have grid cells recording OL values >70%. In central, northern and eastern Europe grid cells OL values 334 vary between 10 - 70% at 2700-3200 BP. Time windows from the last two millennia show a consistent increase in OL with 335 values >60% across most of central, southern and western Europe and 20-70% in northern Europe.



337 Figure 2. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of Open Land (OL) cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage cover of open

338 land in 10% intervals represented by increasingly darker shades of green from 20%. Grey cells: cells without pollen data for the

339 time window, but with pollen data in other time windows. Circles in grid cells represent the coefficient of variation (CV; the standard

340 error divided by the REVEALS estimate). When SE \geq REVEALS estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the REVEALS 241

341 estimate is not different from zero. This occurs mainly where **REVEALS** estimates are low.

342 3.1.2 Evergreen Trees (ET)

- 343 The cover of evergreen trees (ET) (Fig. 3) at 9700-10200 BP is <30% across Europe, and by 7700-8200 BP fewer than 30 grid
- 344 cells show ET >50%. ET cover slowly increases through the early Holocene and at 5700-6200 BP groups of grid cells in
- southern Europe record >80%, while in northern Europe ET cover ranges between 10% and 60%. There is a consistent increase
- 346 in ET cover over Europe during the mid- and late-Holocene with ET cover peaking at 2700-3200 BP before starting to decline.
- 347 Across western parts of Europe, including the United Kingdom, western France, Denmark, and the Netherlands ET never
- 348 exceeds 20% cover.



350 Figure 3. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of Evergreen Tress (ET) cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage cover of

351 Evergreen Trees in 10% intervals represented by increasingly darker shades of green from 20%. Grey cells: cells without pollen

352 data for the time window, but with pollen data in other time windows. Circles in grid cells represent the coefficient of variation (CV;

353 the standard error divided by the REVEALS estimate). When $SE \ge REVEALS$ estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the

354 REVEALS estimate is not different from zero. This occurs mainly where REVEALS estimates are low.

355 3.1.3 Summer-green Trees (ST)

- 356 The cover of summer-green trees (ST) (Fig. 4) in the early Holocene at 9700-10200 BP is >40% across Europe. A small
- 357 number (<10) of grid cells in northern, western, central and southern Europe have ST cover >60%. This significantly increases
- towards 5700-6200 BP, at which time ST cover is >60% in central Europe, and 40-60% in northern Europe. ST cover remains
- 359 <20% in southern Europe. From 5700-6200 BP there is a steady decline in ST cover across Europe. At 2700-3200 BP only
- 360 central Europe has ST cover >50% while values are <50% for the rest of Europe. There is a consistent decline over the last
- 361 two millennia BP. Most of Europe has ST cover <30% in the two last time windows (100-350 BP and 100 BP-present), except
- 362 for a group of grid cells in the southern Baltic states and scattered records elsewhere.



364 Figure 4. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of Summer-green Trees (ST) cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage cover

365 of ST in 10% intervals represented by increasingly darker shades of green from 20%. Grey cells: cells without pollen data for the

366 time window, but with pollen data in other time windows. Circles in grid cells represent the coefficient of variation (CV; the standard

367 error divided by the REVEALS estimate). When SE \geq REVEALS estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the REVEALS

368 estimate is not different from zero. This occurs mainly where REVEALS estimates are low.

369 3.2 Selected taxa

- 370 In terms of PFTs, Cerealia-type (t.) is assigned to agricultural land (AL), *Picea abies* to shade tolerant evergreen trees (TBE1:
- 371 Picea abies is the only taxon in this PFT), Calluna vulgaris to low evergreen shrubs (LSE: Calluna vulgaris is the only taxon
- 372 in this PFT), deciduous Quercus t. to shade tolerant summer-green trees (TBS), and evergreen Quercus t. to Mediterranean
- 373 shade-tolerant broadleaved evergreen trees (MTBE) (Table 1).

374 3.2.1 Cerealia-type

- 375 Cerealia-t. (Fig. 5) is recorded throughout the Holocene with 10-15% as the maximum cover. Cerealia-t. is present in southern
- 376 Europe at 9700-10200 BP with several grid cells recording >5 to 10%. Whilst scattered grid cells in central and western Europe
- 377 record the presence of *Cerealia-t*. at very low levels (0.5-1%), these values have high SE (greater than the REVEALS estimate)
- 378 and are therefore not different from zero; they correspond to single findings of *Cerealia-t*.. By 5700-6200 BP, grid cells in
- 379 Estonia and France record 3-5% cover, and several regions within central and western Europe record 0-5% (0.5-1%), although
- 380 with high SEs. At 2700-3200 BP, Cerealia-t. is recorded across central and western Europe in the United Kingdom, France,
- 381 Germany, and Estonia with low values. In Norway, Sweden and Finland it has 0-1% cover with high SEs. The highest cover
- 382 (>5%) is observed across Europe from 1200 BP.



384 Figure 5. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of Cerealia – t. cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage cover in 0.5% intervals

385 between 0 and 3%, 1% intervals between 3 and 5, and 5% interval between 5 and 10%. Intervals represented by increasingly darker

386 shades of green from 1-1.5%. Grey cells: cells without pollen data for the time window, but with pollen data in other time windows.

387 Circles in grid cells represent the coefficient of variation (CV; the standard error divided by the REVEALS estimate). When $SE \ge 1000$

388 **REVEALS** estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the **REVEALS** estimate is not different from zero. This occurs mainly

389 where **REVEALS** estimates are low.

390 **3.2.2** *Picea abies*

391 Picea abies cover (Fig. 6) is low (1-2%) at 9700-10200 BP, although a number of grid cells in central and eastern Europe

392 record values between 30 and 50%. By 7700-8200 BP, grid cells recording 30-50% cover are observed in more regions of

- 393 central and eastern Europe than earlier (Russia, Estonia, Romania, Slovakia and Austria). At 5700-6200 BP, almost all of
- 394 central Europe has consistent but low cover of *Picea abies*; values are higher towards northeastern Europe (Russia, Estonia,
- 395 Latvia, Belarus and Lithuania), up to 30-50%. By 2700-3200 BP the cover of *Picea abies* has increased across central (ca.
- 396 10%) and northeastern Europe (>30%). From 1200 BP, Picea abies is recorded in northern Europe, particularly in Norway
- 397 and Sweden with some grid cells recording 25-50% cover.



399Figure 6. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of *Picea* cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage cover in 1% interval between4000 and 2%, 3% interval between 2 and 5%, 5% intervals between 5 and 30%, and 20% interval between 30 and 50%. Intervals401represented by increasingly darker shades of green from 5-10%. Grey cells: cells without pollen data for the time window, but with402pollen data in other time windows. Circles in grid cells represent the coefficient of variation (CV; the standard error divided by the403REVEALS estimate). When SE \geq REVEALS estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the REVEALS estimate is not different404from zero. This occurs mainly where REVEALS estimates are low.

405 3.2.3 Calluna vulgaris

406 During the Holocene, *Calluna vulgaris* cover (Fig. D1) peaks at 50%, and is largely distributed in a central European belt from 407 the United Kingdom across to the southern Baltic States. At 9700-10200 BP, it is recorded in only a few grid cells, mostly in 408 central and western Europe, and at levels <10%. Cover slowly increases and by 7700-8200 BP, there are several grid cells with cover >25% within the United Kingdom, and with 10-20% cover within Denmark. At 5700-6200 BP, grid cells in coastal 409 410 locations in northwestern Europe (particularly France, Germany and Denmark) have 50% Calluna vulgaris cover. Cover 411 steadily increases within the same grid cells and by 2700-3200 BP, cover has increased in northern and eastern Europe e.g. Norway, Estonia, with values up to 20% cover. The highest cover of *Calluna vulgaris* is recorded in the last two millennia. 412 413 Although some grid cells in southeast Europe record low cover values, these have high SE.

414 **3.2.4 Deciduous** *Quercus* type (t.)

Deciduous *Quercus t.* (Fig. D2) is recorded in central and western Europe at 9700-10200 BP at low levels (<10%), while in southern Europe (Italy) several grid cells recording >20% cover. By 7700-8200 BP, cover in central and western Europe is between 1-10% while in northern and eastern Europe grid cells it is <2% with high SEs. During the mid-Holocene (5700-6200 BP) most of Europe, with the exception of some grid cells at the northern and southeast extremes, record deciduous *Quercus t.* cover values between 2-15%. By 2700-3200 BP, % cover in the same grid cells has decreased to values between 2-10%. Thereafter, the number of grid cells recording deciduous *Quercus t.* cover remains similar; however, the percentage cover slowly decreases and at 350-100 BP, the number of grid cells with deciduous *Quercus t.* cover above 5% is very low.

422 **3.2.5 Evergreen** *Quercus* type (t.)

The spatial distribution of evergreen *Quercus t*. (Fig. D3) remains the same throughout the Holocene. Cover of >30% is restricted to only a few grid cells and time windows. At the start of the Holocene, evergreen *Quercus t*. is recorded with values <15% in southern Europe (Spain, Italy, Greece and Turkey) with high SEs. Cover of evergreen *Quercus t*. does not exceed 15% until 6700-7200 BP (not shown), in grid cells located in Turkey, Greece and Italy. From 6700-7200 BP there is an increase in the number of grid cells recording evergreen *Quercus t*. in southern Europe but most show low cover values (<15%), and have high SEs.

429 4 Discussion

430 The results presented here are the first full-Holocene grid-based REVEALS estimates of land-cover change for Europe 431 spanning the Mediterranean, temperate and boreal biomes, which highlight the spatial and temporal dynamics of 31 plant taxa, 432 12 PFTs and 3 LCTs across Europe over the last 11700 years. Previous studies have demonstrated major differences between 433 REVEALS results and pollen percentages (Marquer et al., 2014; Trondman et al., 2015), and the differences between 434 REVEALS results and other methods used to transform pollen data, including pseudobiomisation, and MAT (Roberts et al. 435 2018). It is not the scope of this paper to evaluate the results in that context. This discussion focuses on the reliability and potential of this "second generation" of REVEALS land cover reconstruction for Europe for use by the wider science 436 437 community.

438 **4.1 Data reliability**

439 The REVEALS results are reliant on the quality of the input datasets, namely pollen count data, chronological control for sequences, and the number and reliability of RPP estimates used (see discussion on RPPs under 4.2). The standard errors (SEs) 440 can be considered a measure of the precision of the REVEALS results, and of reliability/quality (Trondman et al., 2015). 441 442 Where SEs are equal or greater than the REVEALS estimates (represented in the maps of Fig. 2-6 and D1-D3 as a circle that fills the grid), caution should be applied in the use of the REVEALS estimates, as it implies that they are not different from 443 444 zero when taking the SEs into account. Whilst this is possible within an algorithmic approach that includes estimates of 445 uncertainty, it is conceptually impossible to have negative vegetation cover. If $SEs \ge mean REVEALS$ value it is therefore 446 uncertain whether the plant taxon has cover within the grid cell. Cover may either be very low or the taxon may be absent 447 within the region (grid cell in this case).

448 The size of pollen counts impacts on the size of REVEALS SEs (Sugita, 2007a); larger counts result in smaller SEs. 449 Aggregation of samples from pollen records to longer time windows results in larger count sizes and thus lower SEs (see 450 sections 2.2 above and 4.2 below). Our input dataset includes more than 59 million individual pollen identifications, organised 451 here into 16711 samples from 1128 sites, where a sample is an aggregated pollen count for RPP taxa for a time window at a 452 site. Seventy-seven percent of samples have count sizes in excess of 1000 which is deemed most appropriate for REVEALS 453 reconstructions (Sugita, 2007a). The mean count size across all samples is 3550. Samples with count sizes lower than 1000 454 are still used, but result in higher SEs. More than half of the pollen records used in the study were sourced from databases (see 455 section 2.2). Note that the EMBSeCBIO taxonomy has been pre-standardised, and the data compilers have removed Cerealia-456 type (t.). This means that for grid cells within the Eastern Mediterranean-Black Sea-Caspian-Corridor, caution is advised in 457 the interpretation of *Cerealia-type*. Nevertheless, pollen from ruderals that are often related to agriculture, for example, Artemisia, Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae, and Rumex acetosa type are included in the land-cover type open land (OL); 458 459 therefore, changes in OL cover in the Eastern Mediterranean-Black Sea-Caspian-Corridor may be related to changes in 460 agricultural land (see also discussion below, re agricultural, section 4.3).

Aggregation of pollen counts to time windows depends on age-depth models. We have used the best age-depth models available to us, based on the chronologies presented in Giesecke et al. (2014) for EPD sites, and through liaison with data contributors. Nevertheless, future REVEALS runs may draw on improvements to age-depth modelling, which may result in some original pollen count data being assigned to different time windows.

The REVEALS results presented here are provided for $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cells across Europe. The size and number of suitable pollen 465 records is an important factor in the quality of the REVEALS estimates for each grid cell. The REVEALS model was developed 466 467 for use with "large lakes" (\geq 50 ha; Sugita, 2007a) that represent regional vegetation. Grid cells with multiple large lakes will 468 thus provide results with the highest level of certainty and reflect the regional vegetation most accurately. These grid cell 469 results comprised of one or more large lakes, or several small sites (lake or bog) or a mix of large site(s) and small sites, are 470 considered "high quality" (dark grey grids in figure 1B). It has been shown both theoretically (Sugita, 2007a) and empirically 471 (Fyfe et al., 2013; Trondman et al., 2016) that pollen records from multiple smaller (<50 ha) lakes will also provide REVEALS 472 estimates that reflect regional vegetation. However, SEs may be larger if there is high variability in pollen composition between 473 records. We therefore also consider grid cells with multiple sites "high quality". Application of REVEALS to pollen records 474 from large bogs violates assumptions of the model (see section 2.1 above). Therefore, REVEALS estimates for grid cells 475 including large bogs or single small sites (lake or bog) may not be representative of regional vegetation, particularly in areas 476 characterised by heterogeneous vegetation. We consider such estimates as "lower quality" (light grey grids in figure 1B), 477 although they may still provide first-order indications of vegetation cover, and represent an improvement on pollen percentage 478 data (Marquer et al., 2014). Our results provide REVEALS estimates for a maximum of 420 grid cells per time window. The 479 number and type of pollen records in a grid cell can change between time windows: not all pollen records cover the entire 480 Holocene. To assess the reliability of individual results it is important to consider not just the number and type of pollen records in the total dataset, but how these changes between the time windows. Results for a maximum of 143 grid cells are based on 481 482 three or more sites, 65 on two sites, and a minimum of 212 grid cells on a single site. The results of a maximum of 67 grid cells are based on single small bogs (<400 m radius), 68 on single small lakes (<400 m radius), and 82 on single large bogs. 483

484 **4.2 Role of RPPs and FSP in REVEALS results**

485 A key assumption of the REVEALS model is that RPP values are constant within the region of interest, and through time 486 (Sugita, 2007a). Nevertheless, it has been suggested that RPPs may vary between regions, with the variation caused by 487 environmental variability (climate, land use), vegetation structure, or methodological design differences (Broström et al., 2008; 488 Hellman et al., 2008a; Mazier et al., 2012; Li et al., 2020; Wieczorek and Herzschuh, 2020). Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020) 489 have shown that inter-taxon variability in RPP values is generally lower than intra-taxon variability, lending support to 490 application of the approach we used in the new synthesis of RPPs for Europe (Appendix A-C), i.e. calculation of mean RPPs 491 using all available RPP values that can be considered as reliable. Nevertheless, some RPP taxa still present a challenge, for 492 example, Ericaceae, where Mediterranean tree forms have a greater number of inflorescences and hence may have a higher 493 RPP than low-growth form Ericaceae in central and northern Europe. As we have only unique RPP values for Ericaceae in 494 both boreal-temperate Europe and Mediterranean Europe, and therefore the large difference in RPP between the two biomes 495 remains to be confirmed with more RPP studies.

496 Currently there is higher confidence in the boreal and temperate RPP values that are based on a wider set of studies increasing 497 the spread of values and hence reliability of the mean RPP values used (Mazier et al., 2012; Wieczorek and Herschuh, 2020), 498 whilst RPP values for Mediterranean taxa are based on fewer empirical RPP studies. The new RPP datasets for Europe 499 produced for this study (Appendix A-C) can be used in different ways. The RPPs provided in Table A1 can be used for the entire European region, including or excluding entomophilous taxa, and including all values from the Mediterranean area or 500 501 only the values for the strictly sub-Mediterranean and/or Mediterranean taxa. If one uses all RPPs from the Mediterranean 502 area, there will be taxa for which there is both a RPP value obtained in boreal/temperate Europe and a RPP value obtained in 503 Mediterranean Europe. Application of both RPP values in a single REVEALS reconstruction is not straightforward to achieve, 504 because the border between the two regions has shifted over the Holocene. In the REVEALS reconstruction presented in this 505 paper, we chose to use the RPPs from Mediterranean Europe only for the sub-Mediterranean and/or Mediterranean taxa 506 (including Ericaceae) (Table 1 and A1), and for all other taxa we used the RPPs from boreal/temperate Europe. The major 507 issue with this choice is the RPP value of Ericaceae. Using only the large value from Mediterranean Europe may lead to an 508 under-representation of Ericaceae (*Calluna* excluded), in particular in boreal Europe, but perhaps also in temperate Europe. 509 Using only the small value from boreal/temperate Europe may lead to an over-representation of Ericaceae in Mediterranean 510 Europe.

511 Until we have more RPP values for each taxon, it is not possible to disentangle the effect of all factors influencing the 512 estimation of RPPs and to separate the effect of methodological factors from those of factors such as vegetation type, climate 513 and land use. The only way to evaluate the reliability of RPP datasets is to test them with modern or historical pollen 514 assemblages and related plant cover (Hellman et al., 2008a, 2008b). We argue that RPP values of certain taxa may not vary 515 substantially within some plant families or genera, while they might be variable within others, depending on the characteristics 516 of flowers and inflorescences that may be either very different or relatively constant within families or genera (see discussion 517 in Li et al. (2018)). Therefore, we advise to use compilations of RPPs at continental or sub-continental scales rather than 518 compilations at multi-continental scales as the northern Hemisphere dataset proposed by Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020). 519 We consider the RPP selection used within this work as the most suitable for Europe to date, but expect revised and improved 520 RPP values as more RPP empirical studies are published. Moreover, experimentation in REVEALS applications will allow 521 future studies to evaluate the effects of using different RPP datasets on land-cover reconstructions (e.g. Mazier et al., 2012). 522 The role of FSP values in the pollen dispersal and deposition function (g_i (z) in equation (1) of the REVEALS model, section 523 2.1) has been discussed by Theuerkauf et al. (2013). In this application of REVEALS we used the Gaussian Plume Model

524 (GPM) of dispersion and deposition as most existing RPP values have been estimated using this model. The GPM approximates

525 dispersal as a fast-declining curve with distance from the source plant, which implies short distances of transport for pollen

526 grain with high FSP compared to other models of dispersion and deposition (Theuerkauf et al., 2012). We have used the FSP

527 values obtained for deciduous Quercus type (t.) (0.035 m/s) and boreal-temperate Ericaceae (0.037 m/s) for evergreen Quercus

528 t. and Mediterranean Ericaceae, respectively, although the FSP values of those two taxa were estimated to 0.015 and 0.051 in

529 the Mediterranean study (Table 1 and A1). Whether using a lower FSP for evergreen Quercus t. (0.015 m/s) and a higher FSP

530 for Mediterranean Ericaceae (0.051 m/s) will have an effect on the REVEALS results is not known and requires further testing.

531 **4.3 Use of the REVEALS land cover reconstructions results**

532 This second generation dataset of pollen-based REVEALS land cover in Europe over the Holocene is currently used in two 533 major research projects: LandClim, and PAGES LandCover6k. LandClim is a Swedish Research Council project studying the 534 difference in the biogeophysical effect of land-cover change on climate at 6000, 2500 and 200 BP (Fyfe et al., 2022; Githumbi 535 et al., 2019; Strandberg et al., 2014; Trondman et al., 2015). PAGES LandCover6k focuses on providing datasets on past land-536 cover/land-use for climate modelling studies (Dawson et al., 2018; Gaillard et al., 2018; Harrison et al., 2020). The first 537 generation REVEALS land-cover reconstruction (Marquer et al., 2014, 2017; Trondman et al., 2015) were used to evaluate 538 other pollen-based reconstructions of Holocene tree-cover changes in Europe (Roberts et al., 2018) and scenarios of 539 anthropogenic land-cover changes (ALCCs) (Kaplan et al., 2017) (see also section 1). The Trondman et al. (2015) 540 reconstructions were used to create continuous spatial datasets of past land cover using spatial statistical modelling 541 (Pirzamanbein et al., 2014, 2018, 2020).

542 Spatially explicit datasets/maps based on these second generation of REVEALS reconstructions are currently being produced 543 within PAGES LandCover6k and used to evaluate and revise the HYDE (Klein Goldewijk et al., 2017) and KK10 (Kaplan et 544 al., 2009) ALCC scenarios. Moreover, LandCover6k archaeology-based reconstructions of past land-use change (Morrison et 545 al., 2021) will be integrated with the datasets of REVEALS land-cover. Besides the uses listed above, the second generation 546 of REVEALS reconstruction for Europe offers great potential for use in a large range of studies on past European regional 547 vegetation dynamics and changes in biodiversity over the Holocene (Marquer et al., 2014, 2017) and the relationship between 548 regional plant cover, land use, and climate over millennial and centennial time scales. Since the reconstructions are of regional 549 plant cover they will have value in archaeological research when impacts are expected at the regional level (e.g. the impact of 550 early mining (Schauer et al., 2019)). Archaeological questions and research programmes that require information on local 551 vegetation cover will require the full application of the LRA (REVEALS and LOVE; Sugita, 2007a, b), such as the local 552 vegetation estimates presented from Norway focussing on cultural landscape development (Mehl et al., 2015). The same 553 approach of using the REVEALS results within the LOVE model is necessary for ecological questions that require local 554 vegetation estimates (Cui et al., 2013, 2014; Sugita et al., 2010).

555 Several papers have discussed in depth the issues that need to be taken into account when interpreting REVEALS 556 reconstructions of past plant cover, in particular Trondman et al. (2015) and Marquer et al. (2017). The interpretation in terms 557 of human-induced vegetation change is one of the major challenges. The cover of open land (OL) may be used to assess 558 landscape openness, but is not a precise measure of human disturbance. OL will include plant taxa characterizing both 559 naturally-open land and agricultural land that has been created by humans through the course of the Holocene with the 560 domestication of plants and livestock. Natural openness can occur in arctic and alpine areas, in wet regions, in river deltas and 561 around large lakes, as well as in eastern steppe areas. It is a particular challenge in the Mediterranean region where natural 562 vegetation openness represents a larger fraction of the land cover than in temperate or boreal Europe (Roberts et al., 2019). 563 Agricultural Land (AL; Trondman et al., 2015 is the only PFT that includes cultivars; nevertheless, it is restricted to cereal 564 cropping, and many other cultivated crop types that can be identified through pollen analysis do not yet have RPP values (e.g. 565 Linum usitatissimum (common flax), Cannabis (hemp), Fagopyrum (buckwheat), beans, etc.). Moreover, the Cerealia-t. pollen morphological type includes pollen from wild species of Poaceae, especially when identification relies essentially on 566 567 measurements of the pollen grain and its pore and does not consider exine structure and sculpture (Beug, 2004; Dickson, 1988). 568 The maps presented and described in section 3 as an illustration of the results show similar changes in spatial distributions and quantitative cover of plant taxa and land-cover types through time, between 6000 BP and present, as the results published in 569 Trondman et al., (2015). The much greater potential of the new REVEALS reconstruction resides in its larger spatial extent, 570 571 covering not only boreal and temperate Europe but also southern and eastern Europe, and its contiguous time windows across 572 the entire Holocene, from 11700 BP to present. The quality of results is also higher in a number of grid cells in comparison to 573 Trondman et al (2015), where new pollen records have been included, which may in several cases decrease the standard error 574 on the REVEALS estimates.

575 5. Data availability

576 All data files reported in this work, which were used for calculations, and figure production are available for public download 577 at https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.937075 (Fyfe et al., 2022). The data available in Pangaea includes: 1) 578 REVEALS reconstructions and their associated SE for the 25 time windows; 2) Metadata of the 1128 pollen records used; 3) 579 LandClimII contributors listing the data contributors/collectors/databases. 4) The list of FSP and RPP values used for the 580 reconstructions and 5) Grid cell quality information (in terms of available pollen data, which influences the result quality: 581 mean REVEALS estimate of plant cover) for all grid cells. Pollen data were extracted from ALPADABA 582 (https://www.neotomadb.org/), (https://research.reading.ac.uk/palaeoclimate/embsecbio/), EMBSECBIO EPD 583 (http://www.europeanpollendatabase.net/index.php), LandClimI, PALYCZ (https://botany.natur.cuni.cz/palycz/) and 584 PALEOPYR (http://paleopyr.univ-tlse2.fr/).

585

586 **6. Code availability**

587 REVEALS was implemented using the REVEALS function within the LRA R-package (Abraham et al., 2014), available at
 588 https://github.com/petrkunes/LRA.

- 589 Example code for data preparation and implementation of REVEALS, using two grid cells from SW Britain, is available at
- 590 <u>https://github.com/rmfyfe/landclimII</u>.

591 7. Conclusions

592 The application of the REVEALS model to 1128 pollen records distributed across Europe has produced the first full-Holocene 593 estimates of vegetation cover for 31 plant taxa in $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cells. These data are made available for use by the wider science 594 community, including aggregation of results to PFTs and LCTs. The REVEALS model assumptions are clearly stated to allow 595 interpretation and assessment of our results and several of the assumptions have been tested and validated. We can therefore 596 use the land-cover reconstructions to test the role of climate and humans on Holocene plant cover at regional scales. The 597 overview of land-cover change across Europe over the Holocene can be used to track the timing and rate of vegetation shifts. 598 We can also determine the effect of human-induced changes in regional vegetation cover on climate, i.e. study land use as a 599 climate forcing (Gaillard et al., 2010a, 2018; Harrison et al., 2020; Strandberg et al., 2014). Local reconstructions (LOVE) can 600 be a complementary approach to archaeological surveys as fine-scale human use of the landscape cannot be distinguished 601 using REVEALS (regional estimates). The LOVE model requires that regional plant cover is known: the REVEALS 602 reconstructions are therefore needed for this purpose as well, and gridded reconstructions may be a way to perform LOVE reconstructions, although other strategies can be chosen (Cui et al., 2013; Mazier et al., 2015). Questions aiming to understand 603 604 the degree of vegetation openness through the Holocene in Europe, or regarding changes in the relationship between summer-605 green and evergreen tree cover through time can now and in the future be answered and validated with fossil pollen data via 606 the REVEALS approach. We expect that, in the future, improved REVEALS estimates, as more pollen records are 607 incorporated, and work on RPPs develops.

608 Appendices

609 Appendix A - New RPP dataset for Europe

610 A.1 New RPP synthesis for Europe

611 The most common method to estimate RPPs involves the application of the Extended R-Value (ERV) model on datasets of 612 modern pollen assemblages and related vegetation cover. A summary of the ERV model and its assumptions, and an extensive 613 description of standardised field methods for the purpose of RPP studies are found in Bunting et al. (2013b). Estimation of 614 RPPs in Europe started with the studies by Sugita et al. (1999) and Broström et al. (2004) in southern Sweden, and Nielsen et 615 al. (2004) in Denmark. The first tests of the RPP in pollen-based reconstructions of plant cover using the LRA's REVEALS 616 (Regional Estimates of VEgetation Abundance from Large Sites) model (Sugita, 2007a) were published by Soepboer et al. 617 (2007) in Switzerland and Hellman et al. (2008a and b) in southern Sweden. Over the last 15 years, a large number of RPP 618 studies have been undertaken in Europe North of the Alps, but it is only recently that RPP studies were initiated in the 619 Mediterranean area (Grindean et al., 2019; Mazier et al., unpublished). Two earlier syntheses of RPPs in Europe were published 620 by Broström et al. (2008) and Mazier et al. (2012). From 2012 onwards, these RPP values have been used in numerous 621 applications of the LRA's two models REVEALS and LOVE (LOcal Vegetation Estimates) (Sugita, 2007a and b) to 622 reconstruct regional and local plant cover in Europe (Cui et al., 2013; Fyfe et al., 2013; Marquer et al., 2020; Mazier et al., 623 2015; Nielsen et al., 2012; Nielsen and Odgaard, 2010; Trondman et al., 2015). Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020) published a 624 synthesis of the RPPs available for the northern Hemisphere; it includes new mean RPP values for Europe that were produced 625 independently from the synthesis we present here.

626 Table A1 is the result of the new synthesis of RPPs available in Europe that we have performed for the REVEALS 627 reconstruction presented in the paper. It includes RPPs for 39 plant taxa from studies in boreal and temperate Europe of which 628 22 (Poaceae included) are herbs or low shrubs, and for 22 plant taxa from studies in the Mediterranean area. The two regions 629 have RPP values for 7 plant taxa in common. These RPPs are compared to those from two syntheses published earlier, Mazier 630 et al. (2012) and Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020). The number of selected RPP values (n) for Poaceae is larger than the total 631 number of RPP (tn), i.e. n = tn + 1. This is due to the fact that the study of Bunting et al. 2005 does not include a value for 632 Poaceae and the RPP values are related to *Quercus* (Bunting et al., 2005); therefore, RPPs related to Poaceae were calculated 633 by assuming the RPP value for *Quercus* (related to Poaceae; *Quercus*_(Poaceae)) was the same in this study region than the mean

- 634 of *Quercus*(Poaceae) RPPs from all other available studies.
- The ranking of RPPs (relative to Poaceae, RPP=1) for 23 tree taxa (M: Mediterranean taxa), from the largest (13.56) to the
- 636 smallest (0.240), is as follows (Poaceae included for comparison): Alnus> evergreen Quercus t.(M)> Abies alba> Pinus>
- 637 Fagus sylvatica> Picea abies> Ericaceae (M)> Betula> deciduous Quercus t.> Carpinus betulus> Populus> Juniperus>
- 638 Corylus avellana> Castanea sativa> Sambucus nigra-t.> Ulmus> Tilia> Salix> Fraxinus> Poaceae (=1)> Acer> Pistacia (M)>
- 639 Phillyrea (M)> Carpinus orientalis (M). All tree taxa have mean RPPs larger than 1 except Acer (0.8), Pistacia (0.755),
- 640 *Phillyrea* (0.512) and *Carpinus orientalis* (0.240). The ranking of RPPs for 24 herb and low shrub taxa, from the largest (10.52)

641 to the smallest (0.10), is as follows: Urtica> Chenopodiaceae> Secale> Artemisia> Rubiaceae> Rumex acetosa-t.>

642 Filipendula> Plantago lanceolata> Trollius> Ranunculaceae (M)> Ranunculus acris-t.> Cerealia-t.> Potentilla-t.> Plantago

643 media> Calluna vulgaris> Poaceae (=1)> Cyperaceae> Plantago montana> Fabaceae (M)> Rosaceae (M)> Apiaceae>

- 644 Compositae SF. Cichorioideae> Empetrum> Leucanthemum (Anthemis)-t.. Of the taxa with RPPs larger than 3, only six taxa
- 645 are herbs while twelve are trees.

The two studies in the Mediterranean area provide single RPP values for 16 taxa, five herb taxa (Poaceae included) and 11 tree taxa of which six are sub-Mediterranean and/or Mediterranean, and three include both temperate and Mediterranean taxa (Cupressaceae, Ericaceae, *Fraxinus*) (Table B2). The RPP of herb taxa are significantly different between the study of Grindean et al. (2019) from the forest-steppe zone and our synthesis, except for *Artemisia* (5.89 and 3, 94, respectively). The RPP of *Corylus avellana* from the study of Mazier et al. (unpublished) (3.44) is double the mean RPP in our synthesis (1.71), and the mean RPP of deciduous *Quercus t*. in our synthesis (4.54) is four times larger than the RPP from the study of Grindean

652 et al. (2019) (1.10).

653 Table A1: New synthesis of European RPPs: mean RPPs with their SDs in brackets, and mean RPPs from the syntheses by Mazier 654 et al. (2012) (St2 values) and Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020), for comparison. This synthesis: values in bold are new mean RPPs 655 compared to Mazier et al. (2012). The RPP values from studies in the Mediterranean area are indicated with "M" in the second 656 column. The values in cells emphasized by a thick rectangle are the mean RPPs used in the new REVEALS reconstruction for 657 Europe (this paper), values in **bold** are new values, values not in **bold** are the same values as in Mazier et al. (2012). The values of 658 fall speed of pollen (FSP) are from Mazier et al. (2012) except those in italic, i.e. FSPs for Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae, Urtica 659 and Sambucus nigra-t, (Abraham and Kozáková, 2012), and Populus (Wieczorek and Herzschuh, 2020) and the new FSPs for 660 Mediterranean taxa. For the three syntheses, the number of selected RPP values (n) included in the calculation of the mean RPP 661 estimate is indicated with the total number of available RPP values (tn) in brackets. The reason why the number of selected RPP 662 values (n) for Poaceae is larger than the total number of RPP (tn) is provided in section A.1. Abbreviations: Comp. Compositae 663 (=Asteraceae), Dec. deciduous, Filipendula, Pot Potentilla, SF. Subfamily, t. type, Symbols: * Separate mean RPP values for 664 Calluna vulgaris, Empetrum, and Ericaceae (Calluna and Empetrum excluded) in this synthesis, a single mean RPP values for all Ericales in Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020), ** Separate mean RPP values for Cerealia type (Secale excluded) and Secale in this 665 synthesis, a single mean RPP for all cereals in Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020), *** Separate mean RPP values for Compositae SF 666 667 Cichorioideae and Leucanthemum (Anthemis) type in this synthesis, a single mean RPP for all Asteraceae in Wieczorek and 668 Herzschuh (2020). Note that there are no RPP for Asteraceae (Compositae SF Cichorioideae and Leucanthemum (Anthemis) type 669 excluded) in our synthesis, ^ Separate mean RPP values for Filipendula and Potentilla type in this synthesis, a single mean RPP for 670 all Rosaceae in Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020); note that there are no RPP for Rosaceae (Filipendula and Potentilla-t. excluded) 671 in our synthesis; moreover Filipendula and Potentilla-t. are classified as herbs, while Rosaceae is classified as tree in Wieczorek and 672 Herzschuh (2020), ^^ Separate mean RPP values for Plantago lanceolata, P. media and P. montana in this synthesis, a single mean 673 RPP for all Plantaginaceae in Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020): note that there are no RPP for Plantaginaceae (Plantago lanceolata, P. media and P. montana excluded) in our synthesis, ^^^ Separate mean RPP values for Ranunculus acris type and Trollius in this 674 675 synthesis, a single mean RPP for all Ranunculaceae in Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020); note that there are no RPP for 676 Ranunculaceae (Ranunculus acris-t and Trollius excluded) in our synthesis.

				Mazier et al. 2012 St		Wieczorek & Herzschuh 2020 Europe			
Study		Т	his paper, s	synthesis		3		versi	on 2
n (tn), FSP, RPP		n (tn)	FSP	RPP (SE)	n (tn)	RPP (SE)	n(tn)	RPP (SE)	Notes
HERB TAXA				_					
Poaceae (Reference taxon)		16(15)	0.035	1.00 (0.00)	9(8)	1.00 (0.00)	14(12)	1.00 (0.00)	
Herb taxa									
Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae		1(1)	0.019	4.280 (0.270)	none	none	1(1)	4.28 (0.27)	Same value as in this synthesis
Apiaceae		1(1)	0.042	0.260 (0.010)	1(1)	(0.01)	3(3)	2.13 (0.41)	
Apiaceae	М	1(1)	0.042	5.910 (1.230)					
Artemisia		3(3)	0.025	3.937 (0.146)	1(1)	3.48 (0.20)	2(2)	4.33 (1.59)	
Artemisia	М	1(1)	0.014	5.890 (3.160)					
Comp. Leucanth. (Anthemis)t.***		1(1)	0.029	0.100 (0.010)	1(1)	0.10 (0.01)			see Asteraceae all***
Comp. SF. Cichorioideae***		3(3)	0.051	0.160 (0.020)	3(3)	(0.02)	8(10)	0.22 (0.02)	Asteraceae all***
Comp. SF. Cichorioideae	М	1(1)	0.061	1.162 (0.075)				. ,	
Comp. (Asteroideae + Cichorioideae)	М	1(1)	0.029	0.160 (0.100)					
Calluna vulgaris*		2(4)	0.038	1.085 (0.029)	2(4)	1.09 (0.03)			see Ericales all*
Cerealia t.**		3(7)	0.060	1.850 (0.380)	2(4)	(0.04)	4(6)	2.36 (0.42)	Cereals all**
Cerealia t. (<i>Triticum</i> t., <i>Secale</i> , <i>Zea</i>)	м	1(1)	0.060	0.220 (0.120)					
Cyperaceae		4(6)	0.035	0.962 (0.050)	4(6)	0.83 (0.04)	6(8)	0.56 (0.02)	
Empetrum*		1(2)	0.038	0.110 (0.030)	1(2)	0.11 (0.03) 0.07			see Ericales all*
Ericaceae*		1(1)	0.038	0.070 (0.040)	1(1)	(0.04)	7(9)	0.44 (0.02)	Ericales all*
Fabaceae	М	1(1)	0.021	0.400 (0.070)					
Filipendula [^]		3(3)	0.006	3.000 (0.285)	2(3)	2.81 (0.43)	4(6)	0.97 (0.11)	Rosaceae all ^
Plantago lanceolata^^		4(6)	0.029	2.330 (0.201)	3(4)	(0.09)	8(10)	2.49 (0.11)	all [^]
Plantago lanceolata	М	1(1)	0.029	0.580 (0.320)					
Plantago media^^		1(1)	0.024	1.270 (0.180)	1(1)	1.27 (0.18)			see Plantaginaceae all^^
Plantago montana^^		1(1)	0.030	0.740 (0.130)	1(1)	(0.13) 1.72			all^^
Potentillat.^		2(3)	0.018	1.720 (0.200)	2(3)	(0.20)			see Rosaceae all^
Ranunculaceae	М	1(1)	0.020	2.038 (0.335)					_
Ranunculus acrist.^^^		2(2)	0.014	1.960 (0.360)	2(2)	1.96 (0.36)	3(5)	0.99 (0.12)	Ranunculaceae all^^^

Rosaceae (Filipend., Pot. t., Sanguisorba)	М	1(1)	0.018	0.290 (0.120)		3 71			
Rubiaceae		2(3)	0.019	3.710 (0.340)	2(3)	(0.34)	3(5)	1.56 (012)	
Rubiaceae	М	1(1)	0.019	0.400 (0.070)					
Rumex acetosat.		3(4)	0.018	3.020 (0.278)	3(3)	0.85 (0.05) 3.02	3(4)	0.58 (0.03)	
Secale**		3(3)	0.060	3.990 (0.320)	1(1)	(0.05) 2.29			see Cereals all** see Ranunculaceae
Trollius^^^		1(1)	0.013	2.290 (0.360) 10 520	1(1)	(0.36)			all^^^ Same value as in
Urtica		1(1)	0.007	(0.310)	none	none	1(1)	<u>10.52 (0.31)</u>	this synthesis
TREE TAXA									
Abies alba		2(2)	0.120	6.875 (1.442)	2(2)	6.88 (1.44) 0.80	2(2)	<u>6.88 (1.44)</u>	Same value as in this synthesis
Acer		2(2)	0.056	0.800 (0.230)	2(2)	(0.23)	3(3)	0.23 (0.04)	
Acer	М	1(1)	0.056	0.300 (0.090)					
Alnus		5(7)	0.021	13.562 (0.293)	3(3)	9.07 (0.10)	4(6)	8.49 (0.22)	
pendula)		7(9)	0.024	5.106 (0.303)	6(6)	3.99 (0.17)	6(8)	4.94 (0.44)	
Buxus sempervirens	М	1(1)	0.032	1.890 (0.068)					
Carpinus betulus		2(4)	0.042	4.520 (0.425)	2(2)	3.55 (0.43)	3(5)	3.09 (0.28)	
Carpinus orientalis	М	1(1)	0.042	0.240 (0.070)					
Castanea sativa	М	1(1)	0.010	3.258 (0.059		1.00			
Corylus avellana		4(4)	0.025	1.710 (0.100)	3(3)	(0.20)	3(4)	1.05 (0.33)	
Corylus avellana Cupressaceae (Juniperus 3	М	1(1)	0.025	3.440 (0.890)					
species)	М	1(1)	0.020	1.618 (0.161)					See Juniperus
Ericaceae (<i>Arbutus unedo</i> , <i>Erica</i> 3 species)	М	1(1)	0.051	4.265 (0.094)		2.42			
Fagus sylvatica		3(6)	0.057	5.863 (0.176)	4(4)	5.45 (0.09) 1.03	3(3)	2.35 (0.11)	
Fraxinus excelsior		5(6)	0.022	1.044 (0.048)	3(3)	(0.11)	5(5)	2.97 (0.25)	
Fraxinus (F. excelsior, F. ornus)	М	1(1)	0.022	2.990 (0.880)		2.07			
Juniperus communis	ļ	1(2)	0.016	2.070 (0.040)	1(2)	(0.04)	1(1)	7.94 (1.28)	
Phillyrea	М	1(1)	0.015	0.512 (0.076)					
Pistacia	М	1(1)	0.030	0.755 (0.201)		2.62			
Picea abies		4(8)	0.056	5.437 (0.097)	4(6)	2.62 (0.12) 6.38	4(6)	1.65 (0.15)	
Pinus (mainly P. sylvestris)	ļ	6(9)	0.031	6.058 (0.237)	3(5)	(0.45)	4(6)	10.86 (0.80)	
Populus		1(1)	0.025	2.660 (1.250)	none	none	1(1)	3.42 (1.60)	

Dec. <i>Quercust</i> . (mainly <i>Q. robur</i> , <i>Q. petraea</i>) Dec. <i>Quercust</i> . (mainly <i>Q.</i>		6(8)	0.035	4.537 (0.086)	4(4)	5.83 (0.15)	5(7)	2.42 (0.10)	
peduncularis)	Μ	1(1)	0.035	1.100 (0.350)					
Evegreen Quercust. (Q. ilex, Q				11.043					
coccifera)	Μ	1(1)	0.015	(0.261)					
						1.79			
Salix		5(5)	0.022	1.182 (0.077)	3(4)	(0.16)	3(4)	0.39 (0.06)	
		1 (1)					1 (1)	1 22 (2 12)	Same value as in
Sambucus nigrat.		1(1)	0.013	1.300 (0.120)	none	none	1(1)	1.30 (0.12)	this synthesis
						0.80			
Tilia		4(5)	0.032	1.210 (0.116)	1(1)	(0.03)	3(4)	0.93 (0.09)	
						1.27			
Ulmus		1(2)	0.032	1.270 (0.050)	1(1)	(0.05)	none		

679 A.2 Comparison of the current synthesis with two previous syntheses (Table A1)

680 Of the 39 plant taxa for which we have a mean RPP in our new synthesis (New), 21 have a new mean RPP value compared to 681 the earlier synthesis of Mazier et al. (2012) (Maz), 18 taxa have the same mean RPPs in both syntheses. There are three new 682 taxa for which there were no RPP in Maz, i.e. Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae, Sambucus nigra-t. and Urtica. The mean RPPs 683 are comparable between the two syntheses New and Maz, except for Plantago lanceolata (2.33 in New/1.04 in Maz), Alnus 684 (13.56/9.07), Betula (5.11/3.09), Carpinus betulus (4.52/3.55), Fagus (5.86/3.43), Picea (5.44/2.62) and Quercus (4.54/5.83). 685 Abies alba has the same RPP in all three syntheses. Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae, Sambucus nigra-t. and Urtica have the 686 same single RPP values in the synthesis of Wieczorek and Herzschuh (2020) (W&H) and New. New and W&H also have 687 comparable mean RPP values for Artemisia, Cereals (Cereals, Secale excluded in New, all Cereals in W&H), Compositae (SF 688 Cichorioideae in N, all Compositae (=Asteraceae) in W&H), Cyperaceae, Plantago (P. lanceolata in New, all Plantaginaceae 689 in W&H), Betula, Corvlus, Populus and Tilia. There are relatively large differences in mean RPPs in W&H and New for 16 690 plant taxa, although the ranking of the plant taxa in terms of their mean RPPs is almost the same. Mean RPP is larger in W&H 691 than in New for Apiaceae (2.13/0.26), Ericales (0.44 in W&H) – Empetrum (0.11) and Ericaceae (0.07) in New, Fraxinus 692 (2.97/1.04), Juniperus (7.94/2.07), Pinus (10.86/6.06). Mean RPP is smaller in W&H than in New for Filipendula (0.97/3.00), 693 Rubiaceae (1.56/3.71), Rumex acetosa (0.58/2.02), Acer (0.23/0.80), Alnus (8.49/13.56), Carpinus (3.09/4.52), Fagus 694 (2.35/5.86)), Picea (1.65/5.44), Quercus (2.42/4.54) and Salix (0.39/1.18).

The larger differences between the mean RPPs in New and W&H than between New and Maz have not been examined in detail. It is due to a slightly different selection of studies, i.e. the study of Theuerkauf et al. (2013) is not included in W &H and we did not include in New (boreal and temperate Europe, Mediterranean area excluded) the studies of Bunting et al. (2013a), Kuneš et al. (2019) and Grindean et al. (2019). Another important influencing factor is the selection of RPP values for calculation of the mean RPP. Although the rules used to select RPP values are very similar between the syntheses, there are obvious differences between New and W&H that are sometimes very significant (e.g. *Juniperus*).

701 A.3 Comparison of the new synthesis with three additional individual studies (Table A2)

The RPPs from Twiddle et al. (2012) (Twi) for *Pinus*, *Betula* and *Calluna* are considerably larger than the mean RPPs in our synthesis (New). This is probably due to the assumption made on the RPP of *Picea* related to Poaceae. The RPP of *Picea* varies greatly between the selected studies in New, from 0.57 to 8.43 (eight values available). If we assumed that the RPP of *Picea* related to Poaceae in the study region of Twi was the mean RPP of the five smallest RPPs, i.e. 1.57, the RPP of the three

taxa would be 4.8 for *Pinus*, 3.4 for *Betula*, and 3.3 for *Calluna*, which is more comparable to the mean RPPs in New.

Three taxa in Bunting et al. (2013a) (Bun) have a RPP comparable to the mean RPP in New, i.e. for Cyperaceae, *Ranunculus acris*-t., and *Rumex acetosa*-t. (*R. acetosa* in Bun). The other taxa have a RPP in Bun smaller than the mean RPP in New, except *Plantago maritima* that has a larger RPP (5.8) in Bun than the mean RPP for *P. lanceolata* in New.

710 Of nine taxa, three have a RPP in Kuneš et al. (2019) (Kun) that is comparable to the mean RPP in New, i.e. for Plantago

711 lanceolata, Ranunculus acris-t. and Rumex acetosa-t.. The other six taxa have a RPP larger than the mean RPP in New

712 (Compositae SF Cichorioideae, Cyperaceae and Leucanthemum (Anthemis)-t., or smaller (Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae,

713 Rubiaceae) to considerably smaller (Urtica). Of the 14 tree taxa, only four have a RPP in Kun comparable to the mean RPP in

714 New, i.e. for Corylus, Fraxinus, Salix, and Ulmus. For the other 10 tree taxa, the RPP in K is much smaller than the mean RPP

715 in N for Abies alba, Alnus, Carpinus, Fagus, Picea, Pinus, smaller for Quercus, and larger for Acer and Tilia.

716 Most of the RPP values of the three studies Twi, Bun and Kun are in the range of the values selected from the studies included

717 in our synthesis (New) except for Urtica, Abies alba, Carpinus, and Pinus in Kun. The Lagrangian Stochastic Model is used

718 in Kun instead of the Gaussian Plume Model in New, which may be one of the factors behind the lower RPPs in Kun, in

719 particular (but not only) for taxa with heavy pollen grains.

- 721 Table A2: Comparison of the mean RPPs in this synthesis with the RPP estimates from Britain (Twiddle et al., 2012), Greenland 722 (Bunting et al., 2013a) and Czech Republic (Kuneš et al., 2019). Explanations for symbols in the taxa list, see caption Table A1. The 723 values in cells emphasized by a thick rectangle are the mean RPPs used in the new REVEALS reconstruction for Europe (this paper), 724 values in cells emphasized by a thick rectangle are the mean RPPs used in the new REVEALS reconstruction for Europe (this paper),
- values in bold are new values, values not in bold are the same values as in Mazier et al. (2012). Underlined values are values from
- the three published studies that are close to the values of the synthesis in this paper. Other symbols: + The original paper does not provide a RPP for Poaceae and values of standard deviations (SDs) for the RPPs. We extracted the RPP values related to *Picea* from
- Table 5 in Twiddle et al. (2012). RPPs related to Poaceae (1.00+) were then calculated by assuming that the RPP of *Picea* was equal
- 728 to the mean RPP of *Picea* in Europe (this synthesis) (in **bold**). ++ The RPPs and their SDs are not listed in the original paper, we
- 729 therefore extracted the values from Figure 4 in Bunting et al. (2013a) and the decimals are approximate. +++ Kuneš et al. (2019):
- 730 we chose the RPP values that were considered best by the authors, i.e. using the lake dataset (pollen from lake sediment), ERV sub-
- 731 model 1 and the Lagrangian Stochastic Model (for details, see Discussion section, this paper). # value for *Plantago maritima* and ##
- 732 two values for *Rumex acetosa* and *Rumex acetosella*, respectively (Bunting et al., 2013a), for comparison with *Plantago* spp. and
- 733 Rumex acetosa-t. (this paper). Underlined RPPs are close to mean RPPs (this synthesis).

Study	This paper synthesis RPP	Twiddle et al. (2012)+ RPP - ERV3	Bunting et al. (2013)++ RPP (SE) - ERV1	<i>Kunes et al</i> (2019)+++ RPP (SE) - <i>R</i>
Information on analysis	(SE)	random GPM	GPM	ERVI LSM
HERB TAXA				
Poaceae (Reference taxon)	1.000 (0.000)	1.00+	1.00 (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)
Herb taxa				
Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae	4.280 (0.270)			1.58 (0.74)
Calluna vulgaris*	1.085 (0.029)	11.42		
Comp. Leucanthemum	0.10(0.01)			0.04(0.42)
(Annemis)t.	0.10(0.01)			1.04(0.43)
Curpersona	0.100 (0.020)		0.05 (0.05)	1.04(0.04)
	0.902(0.030)		<u>0.95 (0.05)</u> 5 8 (0.2)#	2.10(0.00)
Plantago lanceolala ²¹	2.330 (0.201)		3.8(0.3)#	2.24 (0.71)
Ranunculus acrist ^^^	1.720 (0.200)		2.0(0.1)	1.38(1.13)
Ruhiaceae	3 710 (0 340)		2.0 (0.1)	$\frac{1.38(1.13)}{1.03(0.74)}$
Rublaceae	5.710 (0.540)		3.5 (0.3)/ 2.0	1.05 (0.74)
Rumex acetosat.	3.020 (0.278)		<u>(0.1)##</u>	<u>1.94 (1.35)</u>
Urtica	10.520 (0.310)			1.16 (0.52)
TREE TAXA				
Abies alba	6.875 (1.442)			1.08 (0.99)
Acer	0.800 (0.230)			<u>1.25 (0.75)</u>
Alnus	13.562 (0.293)			2.44 (0.73)
Betula (mainly B. pubescens, B. pendula)	5.106 (0.303)	13.16	3.75 (0.4)	2.53 (0.91)
Carpinus betulus	4.520 (0.425)			1.36 (0.36)
Corylus avellana	1.710 (0.100)			<u>2.31 (1.13)</u>
Fagus sylvatica	5.863 (0.176)]		0.88 (0.25)
Fraxinus excelsior	1.044 (0.048)			<u>0.79 (0.37)</u>
Picea abies	5.437 (0.097)	<u>5.44</u>		2.39 (0.93)
Pinus (mainly P. sylvestris)	6.058 (0.237)	16.32		1.55 (0.44)
Dec. Quercust. (mainly Q. robur,				
Q. petraea)	4.537 (0.086)			2.08 (0.46)
Salix	1.182 (0.077)		0.7 (0.03)	<u>1.43 (0.62)</u>
Tilia	1.210 (0.116)			2.30 (1.24)
Ulmus	1.270 (0.050)			<u>0.96 (0.77)</u>

737 Appendix B - Selection of RPP values and calculation of the mean RPPs and their SDs

738 B.1 Methods

739 Tables B1 (Boreal and Temperate Europe) and B2 (Mediterranean Europe) list the RPP values from the 16 selected studies 740 according to the information on models used provided in Appendix C (Table C1) with further explanations on selection of 741 RPP studies. We followed similar procedures and rules as Mazier et al. (2012) and Li et al. (2018) to produce a new standard 742 RPP dataset for Europe. We consider that there are still too few RPP values per taxon to disentangle variability in the RPP 743 values for a particular taxon due to methodological issues, landscape characteristics, land use, or climate. We therefore use the 744 mean of selected RPP values for each taxon in the new standard RPP dataset, following Broström et al. (2008) and Mazier et 745 al. (2012). In boreal and temperate Europe, the number of RPP values per taxon varies between one and nine (Betula) (Table 746 B1), and in Mediterranean Europe, there is only one value per taxon (Table B2). In general, all three sub-models of the ERV 747 model were used in the RPP studies. We selected the RPP values obtained with the ERV sub-model considered by the authors 748 to have provided the best results (following the approach of Li et al., 2018). This is usually evaluated from the shape of the 749 curve of likelihood function scores (LFS), or log likelihood (LL) (Twiddle et al., 2012) and the LFS and LL values themselves. 750 All RPPs selected for this synthesis are expressed relative to Poaceae (RPP=1). In studies that used another reference taxon 751 and calculated a RPP for Poaceae, the RPPs were recalculated relative to Poaceae. In studies that did not include a RPP value 752 for Poaceae, it was assumed that the reference taxon had a RPP related to Poaceae equal to the mean of the RPP values for that 753 taxon in the other studies (Mazier et al., 2012). For simplicity, we used the value of *Quercus* (5.83) calculated by Mazier et al. 754 (2012) for the study by Bunting et al. (2005) (*Quercus* as reference taxon, no RPP value for Poaceae). We could also have 755 used the new mean RPP for *Quercus* (4.54) using our selected RPPs (five values, instead of three in Mazier et al. (2012)). The 756 latter would not have changed our results significantly; the mean RPP for *Quercus* would have been 4.28 instead of 4.54 (Table 757 A4). For the study by Baker et al. (2016), we used the RPP values obtained with Poaceae as the reference taxon, given that the 758 RPPs relative to *Quercus* or *Pinus* were almost identical when ERV submodel 3 was used. The selection of RPP values in 759 boreal and temperate Europe for the calculation of the mean RPP values of each taxon (values in bold and emphasized by a 760 thick rectangle in Table B1, (A) and (B)) is based on the following rules:

761 1. We excluded the RPP values that were not significantly different from zero considering the lower bound of its SE, 762 and values that were considered as uncertain by the authors of the original publications (e.g., Vaccinium for Finland 763 (Räsänen et al., 2007), Pinus for Central Sweden (von Stedingk et al., 2008)). Moreover, some RPP values were 764 excluded as they were assumed to be outliers or unreliable based on experts' knowledge on the plants involved, the 765 pollen-vegetation dataset, and the field characteristics of the related studies. For example, the RPPs for Cyperaceae, 766 Potentilla-t and Rubiaceae obtained in SW Norway (Hjelle, 1998) and those for Salix and Calluna vulgaris from 767 Central Sweden (von Stedingk et al., 2008) were assumed to be too low compared to the values obtained in other 768 study areas (Mazier et al., 2012).

- 769 (i) when five or more RPP estimates of pollen productivity ($N \ge 5$) were available for a pollen type, the largest and the 2. 770 smallest RPP values (generally outlier values) were excluded, and the mean was calculated using the remaining three 771 or more RPP estimates; (ii) when N=4, the most deviating value was excluded, and the mean calculated using the 772 other three RPP values; (iii) when N=3, the mean was based on all values available except if one value was strongly 773 deviating from the other two; and (iv) when N=2, the mean was based on the two values available; an exception is 774 Ulmus for which we excluded the value from Germany (Theuerkauf et al. 2013) given that several of the RPPs in this 775 study are considerably higher than most values in the other available studies, i.e. for Betula (18.7), Ouercus (17.85) 776 and *Tilia* (12.38). The latter values were also excluded from the mean RPP, as well as the unusually high values found 777 by Baker et al. (2016) for Betula (13.94), Pinus (23.12) and Quercus (18.47). Baker et al. (2016) argue that the high 778 RPP values might be characteristic of temperate deciduous forests that were little impacted by human activities. More 779 studies in this type of wooded environments would be needed to confirm this assumption. In the absence of such 780 studies we consider these values as outliers.
- The SDs for the mean RPP values were calculated using the delta method (Stuart. and Ord., 1994), a mathematical solution to the problem of calculating the mean of individual SDs (see Li et al. 2020 for more details).

783 Table B1: Europe (Mediterranean area excluded): RPP estimates and their SDs (in brackets) with the total number of taxa per study 784 indicated and in brackets the number of taxa with selected RPP estimates. (A) Studies using moss pollsters as pollen samples. (B) 785 Studies using surface lake sediments as pollen samples. Values in bold emphasized by thick rectangle: selected RPP estimates to be 786 included in the mean RPP values. Values in **bold emphasized** by thin rectangle: RPP estimates excluded because of a too large 787 difference with the other available estimates and their mean (less than half or more than double the mean RPP). Values not 788 emphasized by a rectangle: RPP estimates excluded due to its extreme high value compared to the other available estimates (much 789 over double the mean of the other RPPs), i.e. from the study at Bialowice forest (Poland, Baker et al., 2016) for Betula, Pinus and 790 Ouercus, Central Sweden (von Stedingk et al., 2008) for Pinus, and Germany**** (Theuerkauf et al., 2013) for Betula, Ouercus, 791 *Tilia*, and *Ulmus*. Values in italic: RPP estimates excluded because $SE \ge RPP$. Abbreviations: t. type, C central, Comp. Compositae 792 (= Asteraceae), ERV Extended R-Value model, Medit Mediterranean region, Rep Republic, S southern, SF. Subfamily. Symbols: # 793 RPPs for herbs from Broström et al. (2004); RPPs for trees from Sugita et al. (1999) (reference taxon Juniperus), converted to 794 Poaceae as reference taxon by Broström et al. (2004). ## Bunting et al. (2005), reference taxon Ouercus and no RPP for Poaceae; 795 RPPs relative to Poaceae calculated by Mazier et al. (2012) assuming that the RPP of *Quercus* relative to Poaceae is the same as the 796 mean RPP of *Quercus* from three other studies in NW Europe. * New RPPs from the Czech Republic (Abraham and Kozáková, 797 2012). ** New RPPs from Poland. Poaceae as reference taxa (see text for more details). *** New RPPs from Germany (Matthias et 798 al., 2012), reference taxon Pinus. RPPs converted to Poaceae as reference taxon. We selected the RPP estimates obtained with the 799 dataset of vegetation cover including only the trees that had reached their flowering age (allFIDage) (for more information, see 800 Matthias et al., 2012). **** New RPPs from Germany (Theuerkauf et al., 2013); in the original publication, the ERV analysis was 801 performed with the Lagrangian Stochastic Model (LSM) for dispersal of pollen and with *Pinus* as reference taxon. For this synthesis, 802 Martin Theuerkauf redid the analysis with the Gaussian Plume Model for dispersal of pollen (Parsons and Prentice, 1981; Prentice

803 and Parsons, 1983) and with Poaceae as reference taxon.

805	(A)
000	()

Type of pollen sample				Moss	polsters			
Type of ponen sample		С	S		England	Swiss		Poland*
Region	Finland	Sweden	Sweden#	Norway	##	Jura	Czech Rep*	*
ERV submodel	ERV 3	ERV 3	ERV 3	ERV 1	ERV 1	ERV 1	ERV 1	ERV 3
HERB TAXA								
		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00
Poaceae (Reference taxon)	1.00 (0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	1.00 (0.00)	(0.00)
Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiace								
ae				0.04	-		4.28 (0.27)	
Apiacana				0.26				
Aplaceae				(0.009)	J			1
Artemisia			. = .		7		2.77 (0.39)	
Calluna and caria		0.30	4.70	1.07				
Calluna vulgaris		(0.03)	(0.09)	(0.03)	J		0.0462	1
Cerealia t.			(1.14)				(0.0018)	
Comp.			(1111)	0.10	1		(010010)	J
Leucanthemum(Anthemis) t.				(0.008)				
			0.24	0.06	1			
Comp. SF. Cichorioideae			(0.06)	(0.004)			_	
	0.002	0.89	1.00	0.29		0.73		
Cyperaceae	(0.0022)	(0.03)	(0.16)	(0.01)		(0.08)	J	
Empotrum	0.07 (0.06)	0.11						
Emperrum	0.07 (0.00)	0.07	4					
Ericaceae		(0.04)						
		(000 1)	2.48	3.39	1			
Filipendula			(0.82)	(0.00)				_
			12.76	1.99				
Plantago lanceolata			(1.83)	(0.04)	J		3.70 (0.77)	
						1.27		
Plantago meata						(0.18)	4	
Plantago montana						(0.13)		
i lanago nomana			2.47	0.14]	0.96	1	
Potentillat.			(0.38)	(0.005)		(0.13)		
			3.85	0.07				
Ranunculus acrist.			(0.72)	(0.004)		-	-	
D 11			3.95	0.42		3.47		
Rubiaceae			(0.59)	(0.01)		(0.35)	J	
Pumar acatosat			4.74	(0.13)				
Kumex ucelosut.			(0.85)	(0.004)]			
Secale			(0.05)					
			(****)	<u>.</u>		2.29	ר	
Trollius						(0.36)		_
Urtica							10.52 (0.31)	
17 • •	0.01 (0.01)						10.2 (0.01)	1
Vaccinium 904	0.01 (0.01)							
806								

TREE TAXA							_	
Abies						3.83 (0.37)		
10105			1 27	1		0.32	1	
Acer			(0.45)			(0.10)		
			4.20	1			2.56	15.95
Alnus			(0.14)		8.74 (0.35)		(0.32)	(0.6622)
			8.87					13.94
Betula	4.6 (0.70)	2.24 (0.20)	(0.13)		6.18 (0.35)	J		(0.2293)
			2.53					
Carpinus			(0.07)		r	٦		4.48 (0.0301)
Comilus			1.40		1 51 (0.00)			1 25 (0.0512)
Corylus			(0.04)	-	1.51 (0.06)	1.20	1	1.35 (0.0512)
Fagus			0.07			(0.16)		
Fugus			0.67	•		(0.10)	1 11	1
Fraxinus			(0.03)		0.70 (0.06)		(0.09)	
1 / 40/11/2015			2.07	1	0.70 (0.00)	1	(0.0))	1
Juniperus		0.11 (0.45)	(0.04)					
1			1.76	1		8.43]	
Picea		2.78 (0.21)	(0.00)			(0.30)		
	8.40	21.58	5.66	1			6.17	23.12
Pinus	(1.34)	(2.87)	(0.00)			_	(0.41)	(0.2388)
			7.53		5.83		1.76	18.47
Deciduous Quercust.		-	(0.08)		(0.00)##		(0.20)	(0.1032)
			1.27				1.19	
Salix		0.09 (0.03)	(0.31)	J	1.05 (0.17)		(0.12)	
							1.30	
Sambucus nigrat.			0.00	1			(0.12)	
Tilia			(0.03)				1.30	0.08 (0.0263)
1 1111			1 27	ł			(0.20)	0.90 (0.0203)
Ulmus			(0.05)					
Total number of taxa 39			(12			·	
(38)	6 (4)	10 (7)	26 (25)	(8)	7 (7)	11(10)	13(12)	8 (5)

Type of pollen sample			lake surface sed	iment	
Decise	Tatan'a	Denmonle		Germany** *	Germany
Region FDV submodol	Estonia FDV 3	Denmark FDV 1	Swiss Plateau	* FDV 3	-111-
HER TAYA					
	I	1.00			
Poaceae (Reference taxon)	1.00 (0.00)	(0.00)	1.00 (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)	1.00 (0.00)
Artemisia	3.48 (0.20)		_		5.56 (0.020)
		1.10	0.00076		
Calluna vulgaris		(0.05)	(0.0019)		
Cerealia t.	1.60 (0.07)	(0.04)	0.17 (0.03)	9.00 (1.92)	0.08 (0.001)
Compositae Leucanthemum(Anthemis) t.			0.24 (0.15)		-
Cyperaceae	1.23 (0.09)		-	_	
Filipendula	3.13 (0.24)				
		0.90]		
Plantago lanceolata		(0.23)	4		2.73 (0.043)
Rumex acetosa t.		1.50 (0.09)			2.76 (0.022)
Secale			3	4.08 (0.96)	4.87 (0.006)
TREE TAXA			9.92 (2.86)	, í	
	13.93]			
Alnus	(0.15)	ł	2.42 (0.39)	15.51 (1.25)	13.68 (0.049)
Betula	1.81 (0.02)	J	4.56 (0.85)	9.62 (1.92)	19.70 (0.117)
Carpinus			2.58 (0.39)	9.45 (0.51)	
Corylus			0.76 (0.17)		
E		5.09	1.39 (0.21)	5.83 (0.45)	9.63 (0.008)
		(0.22)		6.74 (0.68)	1.35 (0.012)
Fraxinus			0.57 (0.16)		
Juniperus		1 19	1.35(0.45)	1 58 (0 28)	5 81 (0 007)
Picea	4.73 (0.13)	(0.42)	1.55 (0.+5)	1.50 (0.20)	5.01 (0.007)
Pinus	5.07 (0.06)		_	5.66 (0.00)	5.39 (0.222)
Populus		-	2.56 (0.39)	2.66 (1.25)	
Deciduous Quercust.	7.39 (0.20)			2.15 (0.17)	17.85 (0.049)
Salix	2.31 (0.08)				
Tilia				1.47 (0.23)	12.38 (0.101)
Ulmus					11.51 (0.101)
Total number of taxa (selected values) 23					
(22)	11 (11)	7 (7)	13 (9)	13 (10)	15 (11)

- 810 Table B2: Mediterranean area: RPP estimates and their SDs from two available studies, and mean RPPs for northern and temperate
- 811 Europe (Table A1, Appendix A), for comparison. RPPs and FSPs emphasized in bold are those used in the REVEALS reconstruction
- 812 for Europe (this paper), single RPP values from the Mediterranean region within thick rectangles, and mean RPPs from Europe
- 813 (Mediterranean region excluded) within thin rectangles. The plant taxa emphasized in bold are sub-Mediterranean and/or
- 814 Mediterranean plant species and genera. FSP values: from Mazier et al. (2012) except (') new values from Mazier et al. (unpubl.),
- 815 (') value from Abraham and Kózaková (2012), ('') value from (Commerford et al., 2013). *, **FSP from Mazier et al. (2012) used
- 816 in the REVEALS reconstruction (this study) for Ericaceae (Medit)* and evergreen *Quercus* t. ** instead of the new FSP values from 817 Mazier et al. (unpubl.); for more explanations, see Discussion section, this paper. Abbreviations: Comp. Compositae (= Asteraceae),
- 818 ERV Extended R-Value model, Medit Mediterranean region, SF. Subfamily.

Region	France Medit. (ERV3)		(ERV3)	Ro	omania (E	RV3)	Europe, Medit. excluded		
Study reference	Mazie	r et al. (unpubl.)	Grin	dean et al	. (2019)	This	paper (]	Tables A1)
	RPP	SD	FSP	RPP	SD	FSP	RPP	SD	FSP
HERB TAXA									
Poaceae (reference taxon)	1.000	0.000	0.035	1.00	0.00	0.035	1.00	0.00	0.035
Apiaceae				5.91	1.23	0.042	0.26	0.01	0.042
Artemisia				<u>5.89</u>	3.16	0.014"	<u>3.937</u>	0.146	0.014''
Compositae (Asteroideae + Cichorioideae) Comp. SF. Asteroideae				<u>0.16</u>	0.10	0.029			
(Anthemis t., Leucanthemum)							<u>0.10</u>	0.01	0.029
Comp. SF. Cichorioideae	1.162	0.675	0.061'				<u>0.16</u>	0.02	0.05
t. + Secale + Zea)				0.22	0.12	0.060			
excluded)							1.85	0.38	0.060
Cerealia - Secale cereale							3.99	0.33	0.060
Fabaceae				0.40	0.07	0.021""			
Plantago lanceolata				0.58	0.32	0.029	2.33	0.20	0.029
Ranunculaceae Ranunculaceae - <i>Ranunculus</i>	<u>2.038</u>	0.335	0.020'						
<i>acris</i> t.							<u>1.96</u>	0.36	0.014
Ranunculaceae - Trollius							<u>2.29</u>	0.36	0.013
Rosaceae (Filipendula, Potentilla t., Sanguisorba)				0.29	0.12	0.018			
Rosaceae - Filipendula							3.00	0.28	0.006
Rosaceae - Potentilla t.							1.72	0.20	0.018
Rubiaceae				0.40	0.07	0.019	3.71	0.34	0.019
TREE/SHRUB TAXA									
Acer				<u>0.30</u>	0.09	0.056	<u>0.80</u>	0.23	0.056
Buxus sempervirens	1.890	0.068	0.032'			-		•	
Carpinus betulus							4.52	0.43	0.042
Carpinus orientalis				0.24	0.07	0.042			
Castanea sativa	3.258	0.059	0.010'					-	
Corylus avellana	3.440	0.890	0.025				1.71	0.10	0.025
Cupressaceae (Juniperus communis, J. phoenica , J. oxycedrus) Cupressaceae - Juniperus	<u>1.618</u>	0.161	0.020'						
communis							<u>2.07</u>	0.04	0.016
Ericaceae (Arbutus unedo, Erica arborea, E. cinerea, E. multiflora)	4,265	0.094	0.051'						
manywra)	4.205	0.094	0.031	J			I		

Ericaceae (<i>Vaccinium</i> dominant, <i>Calluna</i> excluded)							0.07	0.04	0.038*
Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus (F. excelsior, F.							1.04	0.02	0.022
ornus)				2.99	0.88	0.022			·
Phillyrea	0.512	0.076	0.015'						
Pistacia	0.755	0.201	0.030'						
Evergreen Quercus t. (Q. ilex,	11.04								
Q. coccifera)	3	0.261	0.015'						
Deciduous Quercus t. (Q. spp,			-						
Q. peduncularis dominant)				1.10	0.35	0.035			
Deciduous Quercus t. (Q.									
petraea + Q. rubra)							4.54	0.09	0.035**
Total number of taxa	11			13					

821 Appendix C - Selection of RPP studies

822 The synthesis of mean RPPs presented here was produced in 2018 and applied in REVEALS reconstructions 2018-2020. Of 823 nineteen RPP studies available (in July 2021), we selected fifteen published between 1998 and 2018 and one unpublished 824 study in 2018 (Grindean et al., 2019). The sixteen study regions are distributed in twelve European countries (Figure C1) and 825 detailed in Table C1. Three studies are not included in our synthesis: Britain (Twiddle et al., 2012) because of the absence of 826 Poaceae in the calculated RPPs, curves of likelihood function scores exhibiting departures from theoretically correct curves, 827 and doubts expressed by the authors on the reliability of the values; Greenland (Bunting et al., 2013a) because this land area 828 was not included in the REVEALS reconstruction of Holocene plant cover in Europe presented in this paper; and Czech 829 Republic (Kuneš et al., 2019) because the study was not ready when we finalized our synthesis. However, we compare the 830 RPP values from these three studies with the mean RPP values in this synthesis (Appendix A, Table A2).

All studies used the ERV model to calculate RPPs, and all but one study used modern pollen assemblages and vegetation; only Nielsen et al. (2004; Denmark) used historical pollen and vegetation data. Eleven studies used pollen assemblages from moss

833 pollsters, five studies from lake sediments. Grindean et al. (2019; Romania) also used some pollen assemblages from surface



834

Figure C1: Location of the selected studies of relative pollen productivities (RPP) in Europe. 1. Britain, (Bunting et al., 2005); 2.
Czech Republic, (Abraham and Kozáková, 2012); 3. Denmark, (Nielsen, 2004); 4. Estonia, (Poska et al., 2011); 5. Finland, (Räsänen

837 et al., 2007); 6. France, Mazier et al. unpublished; 7. Germany, (Matthias et al., 2012); 8. Germany, (Theuerkauf et al., 2013); 9.

Norway, (Hjelle, 1998); 10. Poland, (Baker et al., 2016); 11. Romania, (Grindean et al., 2019); 12. Sweden, (von Stedingk et al., 2008);
13. Sweden, (Sugita et al., 1999); 14. Sweden, (Broström et al., 2004); 15. Switzerland, (Soepboer et al., 2007); 16. Switzerland,

840 (Mazier et al., 2008).

841 soil samples. All studies used distance-weighted vegetation except two, Hjelle et al. (1998; SW Norway) and Sugita et al. 842 (1999; S Sweden). The Gaussian Plume Model (GPM) was used for pollen dispersal and deposition to distance-weight 843 vegetation, i.e. the Prentice bog model (Parsons and Prentice, 1981; Prentice and Parsons, 1983) in studies using pollen from 844 moss pollsters, and the Sugita's lake model (Sugita, 1993) in studies using pollen from lake sediments (see also caption of 845 Table C1). In the case of the study by Theuerkauf et al. (2013), the published RPP values were calculated using the Lagrangian 846 Stochastic Model. For the purpose of this synthesis, Theuerkauf recalculated the RPPs using the GPM bog model in the 847 application of the ERV model. The distribution of sites for collection of pollen samples and vegetation data within the study 848 regions is random or random stratified in seven of the eleven studies using moss pollsters; the five remaining studies used 849 selected sites (or systematic distribution). Studies using lake sediments normally result in a systematic site distribution. Earlier 850 studies (Broström et al., 2005; Twiddle et al., 2012) showed that random distribution of sites provided better estimates of 851 "relevant source area of pollen" (RSAP; sensu Sugita, 1994) and thus of RPPs, given that the reliable RPPs are those obtained 852 at the RSAP distance and beyond. Both studies indicated that systematic distribution of sites have the tendency to result in 853 curves of likelihood function scores that do not follow the theoretical behaviour, i.e. an increase of the scores with distance 854 until the values reach an asymptote. However, the difference in RPPs between systematic and random sampling is generally 855 not very large. Nonetheless, systematic sampling may lead to uncertainty in terms of reliability of RPPs and random distribution of sites is recommended and has generally been used in studies using moss pollsters or soil samples published 856 857 from 2008 and onwards.

858 Table C1: Selection of studies for the synthesis of relative pollen productivity (RPP) estimates. Emphasized in bold: additional, new 859 studies compared to the studies included in the synthesis of Mazier et al. (2012). Symbols: ¹L=lakes; M=moss pollsters; S=surface 860 soil; ²Other distance-weighting models were used in most studies, including the Gaussian Plume Model (GPM), 1/d, 1/d² (d=distance) 861 and the Lagrangian Stochastic Model (LSM). The GPM is used in both the model developed for bogs (Parsons and Prentice, 1981; 862 Prentice and Parsons, 1983) and lakes (Sugita, 1993). For this RPP synthesis, we chose the results from the analyses using GPM 863 rather than 1/d or 1/d². Note: In the study of Theuerkauf et al. (2013) the LSM was used. For this synthesis, Theuerkauf recalculated 864 his RPPs using the lake model developed by Sugita (1993); ³Number of plant taxa for which RPP was estimated, including the 865 reference taxon. Note: In the study by Theuerkauf et al. (2013) RPPs were estimated for 17 taxa using LSM. The RPPs were 866 recalculated using the lake model (Sugita, 1993) for 15 taxa (see note under ² above) for this synthesis. In the study of Sugita et al. 867 (1999) RPPs were calculated for 14 trees and 3 herbs. We used only the values for the 14 trees in this synthesis, following the syntheses 868 by Broström et al. (2008) and Mazier et al. (2012); ^ Britain: the study includes two areas (a and b) in which RPP estimates were 869 calculated for different sets of taxa and the two areas have different numbers of sites: a. Calthorpe (34), 5 taxa; b. Wheatfen (17), 870 same 5 taxa and Corvlus (6 taxa in total); ^^ random distribution restricted to areas of the study region with existing vegetation 871 maps (therefore no sites outside these areas); i.e. study region including separate areas (Mazier et al., 2008). + Vegetation data from 872 historical maps around 1800 CE; ++ lake sediments dated to ca. 1800; * The reference taxon used in the original study is different 873 from Poaceae. For this synthesis the RPPs were converted to values relative to Poaceae; ** The study of Bunting et al. (2005) does 874 not include a RPP for Poaceae. In order to calculate the RPPs relative to Poaceae, it was assumed that the RPP of Ouercus was equal 875 to the mean of RPPs from three other studies in Europe (see Mazier et al., 2012 for details). Although we have included new RPP 876 values for *Quercus* in this synthesis, we did not recalculate the RPPs from Bunting et al. (2005) with a new mean value for *Quercus*, 877 but used the same values as in Mazier et al. (2012). For comparison, the mean value for *Ouercus* using the RPPs of the additional 878 studies included in this synthesis is 4.28 (instead of 5.83 in Mazier et al., 2012). This would imply slightly lower RPPs in Britain also 879 for Alnus, Betula, Corvlus, Fraxinus and Salix. # no distance weighting used for vegetation data because there was no information 880 about vegetation with increasing distance from the pollen sample (Hjelle et al., 1998; Sugita et al., 1999). In the Swedish study, 881 vegetation data within a 10² m² (herb taxa) and 10³ m² quadrat (tree taxa) centred on the pollen sample was used (Sugita et al., 1999).

882

Country	Region	No sites	Site distrib.	Pollen sample ¹	ERV sub- model	Distance weighting model ²	Reference taxon	No taxa ³	Reference
Britain	East Anglian: Norfolk woodlands	(34 + 19)^	selected	М	1	GPM Prentice's bog	<i>Quercus</i> Poaceae**	6	Bunting et al. 2005
Czech Republic	Central Bohemia: agricultural landscape	54	stratified random	М	1	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	13	Abraham & Kózaková 2012
Denmark	Ancient agricultural landscape ⁺	30	selected	L++	1	GPM Sugita's lake	Poaceae	7	Nielsen et al. 2004
Estonia	Hemiboreal forest zone: mixed woodland - agricultural landscape	40	selected	L	3	GPM Sugita's lake	Poaceae	10	Poska et al. 2011
Finland	N Finland	24	stratified random	М	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	6	Räsänen et al. 2007
France	Mediterranean region	23	random	М	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	11	Mazier et al. unpubl.
Germany	Eastern Germany: Brandenburg, agricultural landscape	49	selected	L	3	GPM Sugita's lake	Pinus Poaceae*	16	Matthias et al. 2012
	NE Germany: agricultural landscape	27	selected	L	3	LSM GPM Sugita's Lake ²	Pinus Poaceae*	$(11)^{(15)^3}$	Theuerkauf et al. 2013
Norway	SW Norway: Hordaland and Sogn og Fjordane, mown or grazed grass-land and heath	39	selected	М	1	None#	Poaceae	17	Hjelle 1998
Poland	NE Poland: Bialowieza Forest	18	stratified random	М	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	8	Baker et al. 2016
Romania	SE Romania: Forest-steppe region	26	random	M & S	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	13	Grindean et al. 2019
Sweden	West- Central Sweden: Forest-tundra ecotone	30	random	М	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	10	von Stedingk et al. 2008
	S Sweden: ancient cultural landscapes	114	selected	М	3	None [#]	Juniperus Poaceae*	$ \begin{array}{c} 14 \\ (17)^3 \end{array} $	Sugita et al. 1999
	S Sweden: unfertilized mown or grazed grasslands	42	selected	M	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	11	Broström et al. 2004

Switzerland	Lowland: agricultural landscape	20	selected	L	3	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	13	Soepboer et al. 2007
	Jura Mountain: pasture woodlands	20	(stratified) random^^	М	1	GPM Prentice's bog	Poaceae	11	Mazier et al. 2008

885 Appendix D Maps of REVEALS cover for three plant taxa (*Calluna vulgaris*, deciduous *Quercus type (t.)* and evergreen

886 Quercus t.)





Figure D1. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of *Calluna vulgaris* cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage cover in 2% interval between 0 and 2%, 3% interval between 2 and 5%, 5% intervals between 5 – 35% and 15% interval between 35 and 50%.

890 Intervals represented by increasingly darker shades of green from 5-10%. Grey grid cells have no data (pollen) for *Calluna vulgaris*

in the mapped time window. The circles represent the coefficient of variation (CV; the standard error divided by the REVEALS estimate). When $SE \ge REVEALS$ estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the REVEALS estimate is not different from zero.

893 This occurs mainly where **REVEALS** estimates are low.



897

Figure D2. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of deciduous Quercus cover in eight Holocene time windows. Percentage 898 cover in 1% interval between 0 and 2%, 3% interval between 2 and 5%, 5% intervals between 5 and 30% and 20% 899 900 interval between 30 and 50%. Intervals represented by increasingly darker shades of green from 2-5%. Grey grid 901 cells have no data (pollen) for Calluna vulgaris in the mapped time window. The circles represent the coefficient of 902 variation (CV; the standard error divided by the REVEALS estimate). When $SE \ge REVEALS$ estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the REVEALS estimate is not different from zero. This occurs mainly where REVEALS 903 904 estimates are low.





Figure D3. Grid-based REVEALS estimates of evergreen *Quercus* cover for eight Holocene time windows. Percentage
 cover in 0.5% intervals between 0 and 1%, 1% intervals between 1 and 5%, 5% intervals between 5 and 15 and 15%
 interval between 15 and 30%. See caption of Figure A1 for more explanations. Intervals represented by increasingly

- 910 darker shades of green from 1-2%. Grey grid cells have no data (pollen) for Calluna vulgaris in the mapped time
- 911 window. The circles represent the coefficient of variation (CV; the standard error divided by the REVEALS
- 912 estimate). When $SE \ge REVEALS$ estimate, the circle fills the entire grid cell and the REVEALS estimate is not
- 913 different from zero. This occurs mainly where REVEALS estimates are low.

914 +Team list

915 Åkesson Christine (School of Geography & Sustainable Development, University of St. Andrews, UK), Balakauskas Lauras (Department of Geology and Mineralogy, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania), Batalova Vlada (Lomonosov Moscow State 916 917 University, Department of Physical geography and Landscape science, Moscow, Russia), Birks H.J.B. (Department of 918 Biological Sciences and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, University of Bergen, Norway), Bjune Anne. E. (Department 919 of Biological Sciences and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, University of Bergen, Norway), Borisova Olga (Insitute of 920 Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia), Bozilova Elissaveta (Department of Botany, Sofia University 921 St. Kliment Ohridski, Sofia, Bulgaria), Burjachs Francesc (ICREA Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain; Rovira i Virgili University 922 (URV), Tarragona, Catalonia, Spain: Institut Català de Paleoecologia Humana i Evolució Social (IPHES), Campus Sescelades 923 URV, W3, 43007 Tarragona, Spain), Cheddadi Rachid (Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier, Université de 924 Montpellier, CNRS-UM-IRD, Montpellier, France), Christiansen Jörg (Department of Palynology and Climate Dynamics, 925 Georg-August University, Göttingen, Germany), David Remi (Archeosciences Laboratory, UMR 6566 CReAAH, CNRS, 926 Rennes1 University, Rennes, France), de Klerk Pim (State Museum of Natural History, Karlsruhe, Germany), Di Rita Federico 927 (Dipartimento di Biologia Ambientale, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Piazzale Aldo Moro, 5, 00185, Roma, Italia), 928 Dörfler Walter (Institute fur Ur- und Fruhgeschichte, Christian-Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany), Doyen Elise 929 (Paleobotalab, Bureau d'étude spécialisé en reconstitution des paléoenvironnements à partir de vestiges botaniques, 01300 930 Nattages), Eastwood Warren (School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham B15 2TT, 931 UK), Etienne David (Savoie Mont Blanc University, Chambéry, France), Feeser Ingo (Institut für Ur- und Frühgeschichte, Christian-Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany), Filipova-Marinova Mariana (Museum of Natural History, Varna, Bulgaria), 932 933 Fischer E. (Institute fur Ur- und Fruhgeschichte, Christian-Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany), Galop Didier (GEODE UMR 934 5602, Toulouse University, Toulouse, France), Garcia Jose Sebastian Carrion (Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Facultad 935 de Biología, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain), Gauthier Emilie (Laboratoire Chrono-Environnement, UMR 6249 936 CNRS-Franche-Comté University, Besancon, France), Giesecke Thomas (Department of Physical Geography, Utrecht 937 University, Utrecht, The Netherlands), Herking Christa (Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, EMAU, Greifswald, 938 Germany), Herzschuh Ulrike (Alfred-Wegener-Institut Potsdam, Germany), Jouffroy-Bapicot Isabelle (Laboratoire Chrono-939 Environnement, UMR 6249 CNRS, Franche-Comté University, Besancon, France), Kasianova Alisa (Department of 940 Palynology and Climate Dynamics, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany), Kouli Katerina (Department of Geology 941 and Geoenvironment, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis, 15784 Ilissia, Greece), Kuneš Petr 942 (Department of Botany, Charles University, Prague, Czech RepublicCzech), Lagerås Per (The Archaeologists, National 943 Historical Museums, Lund, Sweden), Latałowa Małgoržata (Department of Plant Ecology, University of Gdansk, Poland), Lechterbeck Jutta (State Office for Cultural Heritage Baden-Wuerttemberg, Germany), Leroyer Chantal (Archeosciences 944 945 Laboratory, UMR 6566 CReAAH, CNRS, Rennes1 University, Rennes, France), Leydet Michelle (European Pollen Database, 946 IMBE, Aix-Marseille Université, Avignon Université, IRD, Aix-en-Provence, France), Lisytstina Olga (Department of 947 Geology, Tallinn University of Technology, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia), Lukanina Ekaterina (Department of Palynology and 948 Climate Dynamics, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany), Magyari Enikő (Department of Environmental and 949 Landscape Geography, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary), Marguerie Dominique (UMR 6553 ECOBIO / Thème PaysaBio, Université de Rennes 1, 35042 RENNES Cedex France), Mariotti Lippi Marta (Dipartimento di Biologia, 950 951 Università di Firenze, Via G. La Pira, 4, 50121 Firenze, Italy), Mensing Scott (Department of Geography, University of 952 Nevada, Reno, NV 89557, USA), Mercuri Anna Maria (Laboratorio di Palinologia e Paleobotanica, Dipartimento di Scienze 953 della Vita, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy), Miebach Andrea (Steinmann Institute for Geology, Mineralogy, and 954 Paleontology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany), Milburn Paula (College of Science and Engineering, University of 955 Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland), Miras Yannick (CNRS HNHP UMR 7194, Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, 956 France), Morales del Molino César (Alpine Pollen Database, Institute of Plant Sciences, Bern University, Switzerland), 957 Mrotzek Almut (Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, EMAU, Greifswald, Germany), Nosova Maria (Main Botanical 958 Garden, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia), Odgaard Bent Vad (Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, 959 Denmark). Overballe-Petersen Mette (Forest & Landscape, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 960 Frederiksberg, Denmark), Panajiotidis Sampson (Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Department of Forestry and Natural Environment, PO Box: 270, GR54124 Thessaloniki, Greece), Pavlov Danail (Society of Innovative Ecologists of Bulgaria, 961 962 Varna, Bulgaria), Persson Thomas[†] (Department of Geology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden), Pinke Zsolt (Department of 963 Physical Geography, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary), Ruffaldi Pascale (Laboratoire Chrono-Environnement, UMR 6249 CNRS, Franche-Comté University, Besançon, France), Sapelko Tatyana (Institute of Limnology, Russian 964 965 Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia), Schmidt Monika (Department of Palynology and Climate Dynamics, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany), Schult Manuela (Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, EMAU, Greifswald, 966 967 Germany),), Stivrins Normunds (Department of Geography, Faculty of Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Latvia, 968 Jelgavas iela 1, Riga, 1004, Latvia), Tarasov Pavel E. (Institute of Geological Sciences, Free University of Berlin, Germany), 969 Theuerkauf Martin (Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, EMAU Greifswald, 1748 Greiswald, Germany), Veski Siim 970 (Department of Geology, Tallinn University of Technology, Tallinn, Estonia), Wick Lucia (IPNA, University of Basel, Basel, 971 Switzerland), Wiethold Julian (INRAP, Direction interrégionale Grand-Est Nord, Laboratoire archéobotanique, Metz, France), 972 Woldring Henk (Groningen Institute of Archaeology, University of Groningen, The Netherlands), Zernitskava Valentina 973 (Institute for Nature Management, National Academy of Sciences of Belarusk, Minsk, Republic of Belarus).

974 Author Contribution

MJG coordinated the study as part of LandClim II and PAGES LandCover6k, two research projects for which she is the overall
 coordinator and administrator. MJG, AKT, EG, FM, RF, ABN, AP and SS conceptualised the study and methodology. SS

977 developed the REVEALS model and helped with all issues related to the application of the model and interpretation of results.

978 EG, AKT, RF, FM, ABN, and AP collected new pollen records from individual authors. JW provided part of the pollen records 979 from the Mediterranean area (collected earlier for a separate project). LS, MS and ST provided unpublished pollen records. 980 EG and AKT had the major responsibility of handling the pollen data files and collecting all related metadata. AKT collected 981 new values of relative pollen productivity estimates (RPPs) in Europe. FM provided unpublished RPP values for the 982 Mediterranean area. FM, JA, VL, LM, and NNC were all involved in the unpublished RPP study in southern France, and AF, 983 RG, ABN and IT performed the RPP study in Romania. MJG performed the selection of RPP values for the new RPP synthesis 984 used in this paper, EG made the calculations of mean RPPs, and MJG wrote Appendices A, B, and C, and prepared the Figures 985 and Tables therein. RF performed the REVEALS model runs and created Figure 1 and the maps of REVEALS-based plant 986 cover (Figures 2-6 and D1-D3). EG, RF and MJG designed the manuscript, EG prepared the first draft of the manuscript and 987 all Tables, and the final manuscript for submission, RF and MJG wrote parts of the text and edited the full manuscript. All the 988 co-authors, including the data contributors in the Team List (LandClim II data contributors), were involved in commenting 989 and revising the manuscript.

990 Competing interests

991 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

992 Figures entirely compiled by the manuscript authors: Since such figures are part of the manuscript, they will receive the

993 same distribution licence as the entire manuscript, namely a CC BY License. No citation is needed and no reproduction rights

must be obtained.

995 Acknowledgements

996 This study was funded by a research project financed by the Swedish Research Council VR (Vetenskapsrådet) on 997 "Quantification of the bio-geophysical and biogeochemical forcings from anthropogenic de-forestation on regional Holocene 998 climate in Europe, LandClim II". Financial support from the Linnaeus University's Faculty of Health and Life Science is 999 acknowledged for Marie-José Gaillard, Anna-Kari Trondman, and Esther Githumbi. This is a contribution to the Swedish 1000 Strategic Research Area (SRA) MERGE (ModElling the Regional and Global Earth system; www.merge.lu.se) and to the Past 1001 Global Change (PAGES) project and its working group LandCover6k (http://pastglobalchanges.org/landcover6k) that in turn 1002 received support from the Swiss National Science Foundation, the Swiss Academy of Sciences, the US National Science 1003 Foundation, and the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Anneli Poska was supported by the ESF project number PRG323. We 1004 thank Sandy Harrison (University of Reading, UK) for providing the pollen records from the EMBSeCBIO project. The work 1005 of the data contributors to - and the database managers of ALPADABA (https://www.neotomadb.org/), EMBSECBIO 1006 (https://research.reading.ac.uk/palaeoclimate/embsecbio/), EPD (http://www.europeanpollendatabase.net/index.php), 1007 LandClimI (Trondman et al., 2015), PALYCZ (https://botany.natur.cuni.cz/palycz/), and PALEOPYR (http://paleopyr.uniy-

1008 <u>tlse2.fr/</u>) is gratefully acknowledged.

1009 References

- 1010 Abraham, V. and Kozáková, R.: Relative pollen productivity estimates in the modern agricultural landscape of Central
- 1011 Bohemia (Czech Republic), Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 179, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.04.004, 2012.
- 1012 Abraham, V., Oušková, V. and Kuneš, P.: Present-Day Vegetation Helps Quantifying Past Land Cover in Selected Regions of
- 1013 the Czech Republic, edited by B. Bond-Lamberty, PLoS One, 9(6), e100117, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100117, 2014.
- 1014 Andersen, S. T.: The relative pollen productivity and pollen representation of north European trees, and correction factors for
- 1015 tree pollen spectra, Danmarks Geol. Undersogelse II, 96, 99, 1970.
- 1016 Baker, A. G., Zimny, M., Keczyński, A., Bhagwat, S. A., Willis, K. J. and Latałowa, M.: Pollen productivity estimates from
- 1017 old-growth forest strongly differ from those obtained in cultural landscapes: Evidence from the Białowieża National Park,
- 1018 Poland, The Holocene, 26(1), 80–92, doi:10.1177/0959683615596822, 2016.
- 1019 Barnosky, A. D., Hadly, E. A., Bascompte, J., Berlow, E. L., Brown, J. H., Fortelius, M., Getz, W. M., Harte, J., Hastings, A.,
- 1020 Marquet, P. A., Martinez, N. D., Mooers, A., Roopnarine, P., Vermeij, G., Williams, J. W., Gillespie, R., Kitzes, J., Marshall,
- 1021 C., Matzke, N., Mindell, D. P., Revilla, E. and Smith, A. B.: Approaching a state shift in Earth's biosphere, Nature, 486(7401),
- 1022 52–58, doi:10.1038/nature11018, 2012.
- 1023 Beug, H. J.: Leitfaden der Pollenbestimmung für Mitteleuropa und angrenzende Gebiete (Guide to pollen determination for
- 1024 Central Europe and neighboring areas)., Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil., 2004.
- 1025 Broström, A., Sugita, S. and Gaillard, M.-J.: Pollen productivity estimates for the reconstruction of past vegetation cover in
- 1026 the cultural landscape of southern Sweden, The Holocene, 14(3), 368–381, doi:10.1191/0959683604hl713rp, 2004.

- 1027 Broström, A., Sugita, S., Gaillard, M.-J. and Pilesjö, P.: Estimating the spatial scale of pollen dispersal in the cultural landscape 1028 of southern Sweden, The Holocene, 15(2), 252–262, doi:10.1191/0959683605hl790rp, 2005.
- 1029 Broström, A., Nielsen, A. B., Gaillard, M.-J., Hjelle, K., Mazier, F., Binney, H., Bunting, J., Fyfe, R., Meltsov, V., Poska, A.,
- 1030 Räsänen, S., Soepboer, W., von Stedingk, H., Suutari, H. and Sugita, S.: Pollen productivity estimates of key European plant
- taxa for quantitative reconstruction of past vegetation: a review, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 17(5), 461–478, doi:10.1007/s00334008-0148-8, 2008.
- Bunting, M. J., Armitage, R., Binney, H. A. and Waller, M.: Estimates of relative pollen productivity' and relevant source area of pollen' for major tree taxa in two Norfolk (UK) woodlands, The Holocene, 15(3), 459–465, doi:10.1191/0959683605hl821rr, 2005.
- Bunting, M. J., Schofield, J. E. and Edwards, K. J.: Estimates of relative pollen productivity (RPP) for selected taxa from southern Greenland: A pragmatic solution, Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 190, 66–74, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.11.003, 2013a.
- 1038 Bunting, M. J., Farrell, M., Broström, A., Hjelle, K. L., Mazier, F., Middleton, R., Nielsen, A. B., Rushton, E., Shaw, H. and
- 1039 Twiddle, C. L.: Palynological perspectives on vegetation survey: a critical step for model-based reconstruction of Ouaternary
- 1040 land cover, Quat. Sci. Rev., 82, 41–55, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.10.006, 2013b.
- Commerford, J. L., McLauchlan, K. K. and Sugita, S.: Calibrating Vegetation Cover and Grassland Pollen Assemblages in the
 Flint Hills of Kansas, USA, Am. J. Plant Sci., 04(07), 1–10, doi:10.4236/ajps.2013.47A1001, 2013.
- 1043 Cui, Q.-Y., Gaillard, M.-J., Lemdahl, G., Sugita, S., Greisman, A., Jacobson, G. L. and Olsson, F.: The role of tree composition
- 1044 in Holocene fire history of the hemiboreal and southern boreal zones of southern Sweden, as revealed by the application of the
- 1045 Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm: Implications for biodiversity and climate-change issues, The Holocene, 23(12), 1747–
- 1046 1763, doi:10.1177/0959683613505339, 2013.
- 1047 Cui, Q., Gaillard, M., Lemdahl, G., Stenberg, L., Sugita, S. and Zernova, G.: Historical land-use and landscape change in
- southern Sweden and implications for present and future biodiversity, Ecol. Evol., 4(18), 3555–3570, doi:10.1002/ece3.1198,
 2014.
- 1050 Davis, B. A. S., Collins, P. M. and Kaplan, J. O.: The age and post-glacial development of the modern European vegetation: a
- plant functional approach based on pollen data, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 24(2), 303–317, doi:10.1007/s00334-014-0476-9,
 2015.
- 1053 Davis, M. B.: On the theory of pollen analysis, Am. J. Sci., 261(10), 897–912, doi:10.2475/ajs.261.10.897, 1963.
- 1054 Dawson, A., Cao, X., Chaput, M., Hopla, E., Li, F., Edwards, M., Fyfe, R., Gajewski, K., Goring, S. J., Herzschuh, U., Mazier,
- 1055 F., Sugita, S., Williams, J. W., Xu, Q. and Gaillard, M.-J.: Finding the magnitude of human-induced Northern Hemisphere
- 1056 land-cover transformation between 6 and 0.2 ka BP, Past Glob. Chang. Mag., 26(1), 34–35, doi:10.22498/pages.26.1.34, 2018.
- 1057 Dickson, C.: Distinguishing cereal from wild grass pollen: some limitations, Circaea, 5, 67–71, 1988.
- 1058 Downs, P. W. and Piégay, H.: Catchment-scale cumulative impact of human activities on river channels in the late
- 1059 Anthropocene: implications, limitations, prospect, Geomorphology, 338, 88–104, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.03.021, 2019.
- 1060 Edwards, K. J., Fyfe, R. and Jackson, S. T.: The first 100 years of pollen analysis, Nat. Plants, 3(2), 17001,

- 1061 doi:10.1038/nplants.2017.1, 2017.
- 1062 Ellis, E. C.: Ecology in an anthropogenic biosphere, Ecol. Monogr., 85(3), 287–331, doi:10.1890/14-2274.1, 2015.
- 1063 Feurdean, A., Vannière, B., Finsinger, W., Warren, D., Connor, S. C., Forrest, M., Liakka, J., Panait, A., Werner, C., Andrič,
- 1064 M., Bobek, P., Carter, V. A., Davis, B., Diaconu, A.-C., Dietze, E., Feeser, I., Florescu, G., Gałka, M., Giesecke, T., Jahns, S.,
- 1065 Jamrichová, E., Kajukało, K., Kaplan, J., Karpińska-Kołaczek, M., Kołaczek, P., Kuneš, P., Kupriyanov, D., Lamentowicz,
- 1066 M., Lemmen, C., Magyari, E. K., Marcisz, K., Marinova, E., Niamir, A., Novenko, E., Obremska, M., Pedziszewska, A.,
- 1067 Pfeiffer, M., Poska, A., Rösch, M., Słowiński, M., Stančikaitė, M., Szal, M., Świeta-Musznicka, J., Tantău, I., Theuerkauf, M.,
- 1068 Tonkov, S., Valkó, O., Vassiljev, J., Veski, S., Vincze, I., Wacnik, A., Wiethold, J. and Hickler, T.: Fire hazard modulation by
- 1069 long-term dynamics in land cover and dominant forest type in eastern and central Europe, Biogeosciences, 17(5), 1213–1230,
- 1070 doi:10.5194/bg-17-1213-2020, 2020.
- 1071 Foley, J. A.: Global Consequences of Land Use, Science (80-.)., 309(5734), 570–574, doi:10.1126/science.1111772, 2005.
- 1072 Fyfe, R., de Beaulieu, J.-L., Binney, H., Bradshaw, R. H. W., Brewer, S., Le Flao, A., Finsinger, W., Gaillard, M.-J., Giesecke,
- 1073 T., Gil-Romera, G., Grimm, E. C., Huntley, B., Kunes, P., Kühl, N., Leydet, M., Lotter, A. F., Tarasov, P. E. and Tonkov, S.:
- 1074 The European Pollen Database: past efforts and current activities, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 18(5), 417-424,
- 1075 doi:10.1007/s00334-009-0215-9, 2009.
- Fyfe, R., Roberts, N. and Woodbridge, J.: A pollen-based pseudobiomisation approach to anthropogenic land-cover change,
 The Holocene, 20(7), 1165–1171, doi:10.1177/0959683610369509, 2010.
- 1078 Fyfe, R., Twiddle, C., Sugita, S., Gaillard, M. J., Barratt, P., Caseldine, C. J., Dodson, J., Edwards, K. J., Farrell, M., Froyd,
- 1079 C., Grant, M. J., Huckerby, E., Innes, J. B., Shaw, H. and Waller, M.: The Holocene vegetation cover of Britain and Ireland:
- 1080 Overcoming problems of scale and discerning patterns of openness, Ouat. Sci. Rev., 73, 132-148,
- 1081 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.05.014, 2013.
- Fyfe, R. M., Woodbridge, J. and Roberts, N.: From forest to farmland: pollen-inferred land cover change across Europe using
 the pseudobiomization approach, Glob. Chang. Biol., 21(3), 1197–1212, doi:10.1111/gcb.12776, 2015.
- Fyfe, R. M., Woodbridge, J. and Roberts, C. N.: Trajectories of change in Mediterranean Holocene vegetation through classification of pollen data, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 27(2), 351–364, doi:10.1007/s00334-017-0657-4, 2018.
- 1086 Fyfe, R. M., Githumbi, E., Trondmann, A.-K., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Poska, A., Sugita, S., Woodbridge, J., Contributors,
- 1087 L. and Gaillard, M.-J.: A full Holocene record of transient gridded vegetation cover in Europe, Pangaea, 1088 doi:https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.937075, 2022.
- 1089 Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Bunting, M. J., Middleton, R., Broström, A., Caseldine, C., Giesecke, T., Hellman, S. E. V., Hicks,
- 1090 S., Hjelle, K., Langdon, C., Nielsen, A.-B., Poska, A., von Stedingk, H. and Veski, S.: The use of modelling and simulation
- 1091 approach in reconstructing past landscapes from fossil pollen data: a review and results from the POLLANDCAL network,
- 1092 Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 17(5), 419–443, doi:10.1007/s00334-008-0169-3, 2008.
- 1093 Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Mazier, F., Trondman, A.-K., Broström, A., Hickler, T., Kaplan, J. O., Kjellström, E., Kokfelt, U.,
- 1094 Kuneš, P., Lemmen, C., Miller, P., Olofsson, J., Poska, A., Rundgren, M., Smith, B., Strandberg, G., Fyfe, R., Nielsen, A. B.,

1095 Alenius, T., Balakauskas, L., Barnekow, L., Birks, H. J. B. B., Bjune, A., Björkman, L., Giesecke, T., Hjelle, K., Kalnina, L.,

1096 Kangur, M., van der Knaap, W. O., Koff, T., Lagerås, P., Latałowa, M., Leydet, M., Lechterbeck, J., Lindbladh, M., Odgaard,

- B., Peglar, S., Segerström, U., von Stedingk, H. and Seppä, H.: Holocene land-cover reconstructions for studies on land coverclimate feedbacks, Clim. Past, 6(4), 483–499, doi:10.5194/cp-6-483-2010, 2010a.
- 1099 Gaillard, M.-J., Kleinen, T., Samuelsson, P., Nielsen, A. B., Bergh, J., Kaplan, J. O., Poska, A., Sandström, C., Strandberg,
- 1100 G., Trondman, A.-K. and Wramneby, A.: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin, edited by The
- 1101 BACC II Author Team, Springer International Publishing, Cham., 2015.
- 1102 Gaillard, M. J., Sugita, S., Rundgren, M., Smith, B., Mazier, F., Trondman, A.-K., Fyfe, R., Kokfelt, U., Nielsen, A.-B.,
- 1103 Strandberg, G. and Team, L. members: Pollen-inferred quantitative reconstructions of Holocene land-cover in NW Europe for
- 1104 the evaluation of past climate-vegetation feedbacks The Swedish LANDCLIM project and the NordForsk LANDCLIM
- 1105 network, Geophys. Res. Abstr., 12(April 2010), 3–4, 2010b.
- 1106 Gaillard, M. J., Morrison, K. D., Madella, M. and Whitehouse, N.: Editorial: Past land-use and land-cover change: the
- 1107 challenge of quantification at the subcontinental to global scales, Past Glob. Chang. Mag., 26(1), 3–3, 1108 doi:10.22498/pages.26.1.3, 2018.
- 1109 Giesecke, T., Davis, B., Brewer, S., Finsinger, W., Wolters, S., Blaauw, M., de Beaulieu, J.-L., Binney, H., Fyfe, R. M.,
- 1110 Gaillard, M.-J., Gil-Romera, G., van der Knaap, W. O., Kuneš, P., Kühl, N., van Leeuwen, J. F. N. N., Leydet, M., Lotter, A.
- F., Ortu, E., Semmler, M. and Bradshaw, R. H. W. W.: Towards mapping the late Quaternary vegetation change of Europe,
 Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 23(1), 75–86, doi:10.1007/s00334-012-0390-y, 2014.
- Gilgen, A., Wilkenskjeld, S., Kaplan, J. O., Kühn, T. and Lohmann, U.: Effects of land use and anthropogenic aerosol
 emissions in the Roman Empire, Clim. Past, 15(5), 1885–1911, doi:10.5194/cp-15-1885-2019, 2019.
- 1115 Githumbi, E., Fyfe, R., Kjellström, E., Lindström, J., Lu, Z., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Poska, A., Smith, B., Strandberg, G.,
- 1116 Sugita, S., Zhang, Q. and Gaillard, M.-J.: Holocene quantitative pollen-based vegetation reconstructions in Europe for climate
- 1117 modelling: LandClim II, in INOUA 2019: Life on the Edge, Dublin. [online] Available from:
- 1118 https://portal.research.lu.se/portal/en/publications/holocene-quantitative-pollenbased-vegetation-reconstructions-in-europe-
- 1119 for-climate-modelling-landclim-ii(46cc8471-f51c-4117-a7c6-ccff00638e82)/export.html (Accessed 9 August 2021), 2019.
- 1120 Gregory, P.: Spores: their properties and sedimentation in still air. Microbiology of the atmosphere. A plant science 1121 monograph, 1973.
- 1122 Grindean, R., Nielsen, A. B., Tanțău, I. and Feurdean, A.: Relative pollen productivity estimates in the forest steppe landscape
- 1123 of southeastern Romania, Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 264, 54–63, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2019.02.007, 2019.
- Guiry, E., Beglane, F., Szpak, P., Schulting, R., McCormick, F. and Richards, M. P.: Anthropogenic changes to the Holocene
 nitrogen cycle in Ireland, Sci. Adv., 4(6), eaas9383, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aas9383, 2018.
- 1126 Harrison, S. P., Gaillard, M. J., Stocker, B. D., Vander Linden, M., Klein Goldewijk, K., Boles, O., Braconnot, P., Dawson,
- 1127 A., Fluet-Chouinard, E., Kaplan, J. O., Kastner, T., Pausata, F. S. R., Robinson, E., Whitehouse, N. J., Madella, M. and
- 1128 Morrison, K. D.: Development and testing scenarios for implementing land use and land cover changes during the Holocene

- in Earth system model experiments, Geosci. Model Dev., 13(2), 805–824, doi:10.5194/gmd-13-805-2020, 2020.
- 1130 He, F., Vavrus, S. J., Kutzbach, J. E., Ruddiman, W. F., Kaplan, J. O. and Krumhardt, K. M.: Simulating global and local
- surface temperature changes due to Holocene anthropogenic land cover change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(2), 623–631,
 doi:10.1002/2013GL058085, 2014.
- 1133 Hellman, S., Gaillard, M.-J., Broström, A. and Sugita, S.: The REVEALS model, a new tool to estimate past regional plant
- abundance from pollen data in large lakes: validation in southern Sweden, J. Quat. Sci., 23(1), 21–42, doi:10.1002/jqs.1126,
 2008a.
- 1136 Hellman, S. E. V., Gaillard, M., Broström, A. and Sugita, S.: Effects of the sampling design and selection of parameter values
- 1137 on pollen-based quantitative reconstructions of regional vegetation: a case study in southern Sweden using the REVEALS
- 1138 model, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 17(5), 445–459, doi:10.1007/s00334-008-0149-7, 2008b.
- 1139 Hibbard, K., Janetos, A., van Vuuren, D. P., Pongratz, J., Rose, S. K., Betts, R., Herold, M. and Feddema, J. J.: Research
- 1140 priorities in land use and land-cover change for the Earth system and integrated assessment modelling, Int. J. Climatol., 30(13),
- 1141 2118–2128, doi:10.1002/joc.2150, 2010.
- 1142 Hjelle, K. L.: Herb pollen representation in surface moss samples from mown meadows and pastures in western Norway, Veg.
- 1143 Hist. Archaeobot., 7(2), 79–96, doi:10.1007/BF01373926, 1998.
- 1144 Hofman-Kamińska, E., Bocherens, H., Drucker, D. G., Fyfe, R. M., Gumiński, W., Makowiecki, D., Pacher, M., Piličiauskienė,
- 1145 G., Samojlik, T., Woodbridge, J. and Kowalczyk, R.: Adapt or die-Response of large herbivores to environmental changes
- 1146 in Europe during the Holocene, Glob. Chang. Biol., 25(9), 2915–2930, doi:10.1111/gcb.14733, 2019.
- 1147 Huntley, B.: European vegetation history: Palaeovegetation maps from pollen data 13 000 yr BP to present, J. Quat. Sci.,
- 1148 5(2), 103–122, doi:10.1002/jqs.3390050203, 1990.
- 1149 Kaplan, J., Krumhardt, K., Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Trondman, A.-K., Fyfe, R., Marquer, L., Mazier, F. and Nielsen, A.:
- 1150 Constraining the Deforestation History of Europe: Evaluation of Historical Land Use Scenarios with Pollen-Based Land Cover
- 1151 Reconstructions, Land, 6(4), 91, doi:10.3390/land6040091, 2017.
- 1152 Kaplan, J. O., Krumhardt, K. M. and Zimmermann, N.: The prehistoric and preindustrial deforestation of Europe, Quat. Sci.
- 1153 Rev., 28(27–28), 3016–3034, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.09.028, 2009.
- 1154 Kaplan, J. O., Krumhardt, K. M., Ellis, E. C., Ruddiman, W. F., Lemmen, C. and Goldewijk, K. K.: Holocene carbon emissions
- 1155 as a result of anthropogenic land cover change, The Holocene, 21(5), 775–791, doi:10.1177/0959683610386983, 2011.
- 1156 Klein Goldewijk, K., Beusen, A., Doelman, J. and Stehfest, E.: Anthropogenic land use estimates for the Holocene HYDE
- 1157 3.2, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 9(2), 927–953, doi:10.5194/essd-9-927-2017, 2017.
- 1158 Kuneš, P., Abraham, V., Kovářík, O., Kopecký, M., Břízová, E., Dudová, L., Jankovská, V., Knipping, M., Kozšková, R.,
- 1159 Nováková, K., Petr, L., Pokorný, P., Roszková, A., Rybníčková, E., Svobodová-Svitavská, H. and Wacnik, A.: Czech
- 1160 quaternary palynological Database Palycz: review and basic statistics of the data, Preslia, 81(3), 209–238, 2009.
- 1161 Kuneš, P., Abraham, V., Werchan, B., Plesková, Z., Fajmon, K., Jamrichová, E. and Roleček, J.: Relative pollen productivity
- estimates for vegetation reconstruction in central-eastern Europe inferred at local and regional scales, The Holocene, 29(11),

- 1163 1708–1719, doi:10.1177/0959683619862026, 2019.
- 1164 Lerigoleur, E., Mazier, F., Jégou, L., Perret, M. and Galop, D.: PALEOPYR: un système d'information pour la gestion et
- 1165 l'exploitation de données palaeoenvironnementales sur le massif nord-pyrénéen. Ingénieurie des Systèmes d'Information 3.
- 1166 [online] Available from: http://paleopyr.univ-tlse2.fr/%0A, 2015.
- 1167 Li, F., Gaillard, M.-J., Xu, Q., Bunting, M. J., Li, Y., Li, J., Mu, H., Lu, J., Zhang, P., Zhang, S., Cui, Q., Zhang, Y. and Shen,
- 1168 W.: A Review of Relative Pollen Productivity Estimates From Temperate China for Pollen-Based Quantitative Reconstruction
- 1169 of Past Plant Cover, Front. Plant Sci., 9(September), doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01214, 2018.
- 1170 Li, F., Gaillard, M.-J., Cao, X., Herzschuh, U., Sugita, S., Tarasov, P. E., Wagner, M., Xu, Q., Ni, J., Wang, W., Zhao, Y., An,
- 1171 C., Beusen, A. H. W., Chen, F., Feng, Z., Goldewijk, C. G. M. K., Huang, X., Li, Y., Li, Y., Liu, H., Sun, A., Yao, Y., Zheng,
- 1172 Z. and Jia, X.: Towards quantification of Holocene anthropogenic land-cover change in temperate China: A review in the light
- 1173 of pollen-based REVEALS reconstructions of regional plant cover, Earth-Science Rev., 203(February), 103119,
- 1174 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103119, 2020.
- 1175 Marinova, E., Harrison, S. P., Bragg, F., Connor, S., Laet, V., Leroy, S. A. G., Mudie, P., Atanassova, J., Bozilova, E., Caner,
- 1176 H., Cordova, C., Djamali, M., Filipova-Marinova, M., Gerasimenko, N., Jahns, S., Kouli, K., Kotthoff, U., Kvavadze, E.,
- 1177 Lazarova, M., Novenko, E., Ramezani, E., Röpke, A., Shumilovskikh, L., Tanțău, I. and Tonkov, S.: Pollen-derived biomes
- 1178 in the Eastern Mediterranean–Black Sea–Caspian-Corridor, J. Biogeogr., 45(2), 484–499, doi:10.1111/jbi.13128, 2018.
- 1179 Marquer, L., Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Trondman, A.-K., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Fyfe, R., Odgaard, B. V., Alenius, T.,
- 1180 Birks, H. J. B., Bjune, A. E., Christiansen, J., Dodson, J., Edwards, K. J., Giesecke, T., Herzschuh, U., Kangur, M., Lorenz,
- 1181 S., Poska, A., Schult, M. and Seppä, H.: Holocene changes in vegetation composition in northern Europe: why quantitative
- pollen-based vegetation reconstructions matter, Quat. Sci. Rev., 90, 199–216, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.02.013, 2014.
- 1183 Marquer, L., Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Poska, A., Trondman, A.-K., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Fyfe, R., Jönsson, A. M.,
- 1184 Smith, B., Kaplan, J. O., Alenius, T., Birks, H. J. B. J. B., Bjune, A. E., Christiansen, J., Dodson, J., Edwards, K. J., Giesecke,
- T., Herzschuh, U., Kangur, M., Koff, T., Latałowa, M., Lechterbeck, J., Olofsson, J. and Seppä, H.: Quantifying the effects of
 land use and climate on Holocene vegetation in Europe, Quat. Sci. Rev., 171, 20–37, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.07.001,
 2017.
- Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Sugita, S., Galop, D., Houet, T., Faure, E., Gaillard, M.-J., Haunold, S., de Munnik, N., Simonneau,
 A., De Vleeschouwer, F. and Le Roux, G.: Pollen-based reconstruction of Holocene land-cover in mountain regions:
 Evaluation of the Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm in the Vicdessos valley, northern Pyrenees, France, Quat. Sci. Rev.,
- 1191 228, 106049, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.106049, 2020.
- 1192 Matthias, I., Nielsen, A. B. and Giesecke, T.: Evaluating the effect of flowering age and forest structure on pollen productivity
- 1193 estimates, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 21(6), 471–484, doi:10.1007/s00334-012-0373-z, 2012.
- 1194 Mazier, F., Broström, A., Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Vittoz, P. and Buttler, A.: Pollen productivity estimates and relevant
- 1195 source area of pollen for selected plant taxa in a pasture woodland landscape of the Jura Mountains (Switzerland), Veg. Hist.
- 1196 Archaeobot., 17(5), 479–495, doi:10.1007/s00334-008-0143-0, 2008.

- 1197 Mazier, F., Gaillard, M. J., Kunes, P., Sugita, S., Trondman, A.-K. and Brostrom, A.: Testing the effect of site selection and
- 1198 parameter setting on REVEALS-model estimates of plant abundance using th Czech Quaternary Palynological database
- 1199 Testing the effect of site selection and parameter setting on REVEALS-model estimates of plant abunda, Rev. Palaeobot.
- 1200 Palynol., 187, 38–49 [online] Available from: https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00959845, 2012.
- Mazier, F., Broström, A., Bragée, P., Fredh, D., Stenberg, L., Thiere, G., Sugita, S. and Hammarlund, D.: Two hundred years
 of land-use change in the South Swedish Uplands: comparison of historical map-based estimates with a pollen-based
 reconstruction using the landscape reconstruction algorithm, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 24(5), 555–570, doi:10.1007/s00334015-0516-0, 2015.
- McLauchlan, K. K., Williams, J. J., Craine, J. M. and Jeffers, E. S.: Changes in global nitrogen cycling during the Holocene
 epoch, Nature, 495(7441), 352–355, doi:10.1038/nature11916, 2013.
- 1207 Mehl, I. K., Overland, A., Berge, J. and Hjelle, K. L.: Cultural landscape development on a west–east gradient in western 1208 Norway – potential of the Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm (LRA), J. Archaeol. Sci., 61, 1–16, 1209 doi:10.1016/j.jas.2015.04.015, 2015.
- 1210 Morrison, K. D., Hammer, E., Boles, O., Madella, M., Whitehouse, N., Gaillard, M.-J., Bates, J., Vander Linden, M., Merlo,
- 1211 S., Yao, A., Popova, L., Hill, A. C., Antolin, F., Bauer, A., Biagetti, S., Bishop, R. R., Buckland, P., Cruz, P., Dreslerová, D.,
- 1212 Dusseldorp, G., Ellis, E., Filipovic, D., Foster, T., Hannaford, M. J., Harrison, S. P., Hazarika, M., Herold, H., Hilpert, J.,
- 1213 Kaplan, J. O., Kay, A., Klein Goldewijk, K., Kolář, J., Kyazike, E., Laabs, J., Lancelotti, C., Lane, P., Lawrence, D., Lewis,
- 1214 K., Lombardo, U., Lucarini, G., Arroyo-Kalin, M., Marchant, R., Mayle, F., McClatchie, M., McLeester, M., Mooney, S.,
- 1215 Moskal-del Hoyo, M., Navarrete, V., Ndiema, E., Góes Neves, E., Nowak, M., Out, W. A., Petrie, C., Phelps, L. N., Pinke, Z.,
- 1216 Rostain, S., Russell, T., Sluvter, A., Styring, A. K., Tamanaha, E., Thomas, E., Veerasamy, S., Welton, L. and Zanon, M.:
- 1217 Mapping past human land use using archaeological data: A new classification for global land use synthesis and data
- 1218 harmonization, edited by J. Freeman, PLoS One, 16(4), e0246662, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0246662, 2021.
- 1219 Nielsen, A. B.: Modelling pollen sedimentation in Danish lakes at c.ad 1800: an attempt to validate the POLLSCAPE model,
- 1220 J. Biogeogr., 31(10), 1693–1709, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2004.01080.x, 2004.
- Nielsen, A. B. and Odgaard, B. V.: Quantitative landscape dynamics in Denmark through the last three millennia based on the
 Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm approach, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 19(4), 375–387, doi:10.1007/s00334-010-0249-z,
 2010.
- 1224 Nielsen, A. B., Giesecke, T., Theuerkauf, M., Feeser, I., Behre, K.-E., Beug, H.-J., Chen, S.-H., Christiansen, J., Dörfler, W.,
- 1225 Endtmann, E., Jahns, S., de Klerk, P., Kühl, N., Latałowa, M., Odgaard, B. V., Rasmussen, P., Stockholm, J. R., Voigt, R.,
- 1226 Wiethold, J. and Wolters, S.: Quantitative reconstructions of changes in regional openness in north-central Europe reveal new
- 1227 insights into old questions, Quat. Sci. Rev., 47, 131–149, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.05.011, 2012.
- 1228 Nosova, M. B., Novenko, E. Y., Severova, E. E. and Volkova, O. A.: Vegetation and climate changes within and around the
- 1229 Polistovo-Lovatskaya mire system (Pskov Oblast, north-western Russia) during the past 10,500 years, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot.,
- 1230 28(2), 123–140, doi:10.1007/s00334-018-0693-8, 2018.

- 1231 Palmisano, A., Woodbridge, J., Roberts, C. N., Bevan, A., Fyfe, R., Shennan, S., Cheddadi, R., Greenberg, R., Kaniewski, D.,
- 1232 Langgut, D., Leroy, S. A. G., Litt, T. and Miebach, A.: Holocene landscape dynamics and long-term population trends in the
- 1233 Levant, The Holocene, 29(5), 708–727, doi:10.1177/0959683619826642, 2019.
- Parsons, R. W. and Prentice, I. C.: Statistical approaches to R-values and the pollen—vegetation relationship, Rev. Palaeobot.
 Palvnol., 32(2–3), 127–152, doi:10.1016/0034-6667(81)90001-4, 1981.
- 1236 Pinhasi, R., Fort, J. and Ammerman, A. J.: Tracing the origin and spread of agriculture in Europe, PLoS Biol., 3(12), 1–9,
- 1237 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030410, 2005.
- 1238 Pirzamanbein, B., Lindström, J., Poska, A., Sugita, S., Trondman, A.-K., Fyfe, R., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Kaplan, J. O.,
- 1239 Bjune, A. E., Birks, H. J. B., Giesecke, T., Kangur, M., Latałowa, M., Marquer, L., Smith, B. and Gaillard, M.-J.: Creating
- 1240 spatially continuous maps of past land cover from point estimates: A new statistical approach applied to pollen data, Ecol.
- 1241 Complex., 20, 127–141, doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2014.09.005, 2014.
- 1242 Pirzamanbein, B., Lindström, J., Poska, A. and Gaillard, M. J.: Modelling Spatial Compositional Data: Reconstructions of past
- 1243 land cover and uncertainties, Spat. Stat., 24, 14–31, doi:10.1016/j.spasta.2018.03.005, 2018.
- Pirzamanbein, B., Poska, A. and Lindström, J.: Bayesian Reconstruction of Past Land Cover From Pollen Data: Model
 Robustness and Sensitivity to Auxiliary Variables, Earth Sp. Sci., 7(1), doi:10.1029/2018EA000547, 2020.
- 1246 Poska, A., Meltsov, V., Sugita, S. and Vassiljev, J.: Relative pollen productivity estimates of major anemophilous taxa and
- 1247 relevant source area of pollen in a cultural landscape of the hemi-boreal forest zone (Estonia), Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 167(1–
- 1248 2), 30–39, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2011.07.001, 2011.
- 1249 Prentice, C.: Records of vegetation in time and space: the principles of pollen analysis, in Vegetation history, pp. 17-42,
- 1250 Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht., 1988.
- 1251 Prentice, C., Guiot, J., Huntley, B., Jolly, D. and Cheddadi, R.: Reconstructing biomes from palaeoecological data: a general
- method and its application to European pollen data at 0 and 6 ka, Clim. Dyn., 12(3), 185–194, doi:10.1007/BF00211617, 1996.
- 1253 Prentice, I. C.: Pollen Representation, Source Area, and Basin Size: Toward a Unified Theory of Pollen Analysis, Quat. Res.,
- 1254 23(1), 76–86, doi:10.1016/0033-5894(85)90073-0, 1985.
- 1255 Prentice, I. C. and Parsons, R. W. A.: Maximum Likelihood Linear Calibration of Pollen Spectra in Terms of Forest
- 1256 Composition, Biometrics, 39(4), 1051–1057, doi:10.2307/2531338, 1983.
- Prentice, I. C. and Webb III, T.: BIOME 6000: reconstructing global mid-Holocene vegetation patterns from palaeoecological
 records, J. Biogeogr., 25(6), 997–1005, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.00235.x, 1998.
- 1259 Räsänen, S., Suutari, H. and Nielsen, A. B.: A step further towards quantitative reconstruction of past vegetation in
- 1260 Fennoscandian boreal forests: Pollen productivity estimates for six dominant taxa, Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 146(1-4), 208-
- 1261 220, doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2007.04.004, 2007.
- 1262 Roberts, C. N., Woodbridge, J., Palmisano, A., Bevan, A., Fyfe, R. and Shennan, S.: Mediterranean landscape change during
- 1263 the Holocene: Synthesis, comparison and regional trends in population, land cover and climate, The Holocene, 29(5), 923–
- 1264 937, doi:10.1177/0959683619826697, 2019.

- 1265 Roberts, N., Fyfe, R. M., Woodbridge, J., Gaillard, M.-J., Davis, B. A. S. S., Kaplan, J. O., Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Nielsen,
- 1266 A. B., Sugita, S., Trondman, A.-K. and Leydet, M.: Europe's lost forests: a pollen-based synthesis for the last 11,000 years,
- 1267 Sci. Rep., 8(1), 716, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18646-7, 2018.
- Ruddiman, W. F.: The Anthropogenic Greenhouse Era Began Thousands of Years Ago, Clim. Change, 61(3), 261–293,
 doi:10.1023/B:CLIM.0000004577.17928.fa, 2003.
- 1270 Ruddiman, W. F., Fuller, D. Q., Kutzbach, J. E., Tzedakis, P. C., Kaplan, J. O., Ellis, E. C., Vavrus, S. J., Roberts, C. N., Fyfe,
- 1271 R., He, F., Lemmen, C. and Woodbridge, J.: Late Holocene climate: Natural or anthropogenic?, Rev. Geophys., 54(1), 93-
- 1272 118, doi:10.1002/2015RG000503, 2016.
- Schauer, P., Shennan, S., Bevan, A., Cook, G., Edinborough, K., Fyfe, R., Kerig, T. and Parker Pearson, M.: Supply and
 demand in prehistory? Economics of Neolithic mining in northwest Europe, J. Anthropol. Archaeol., 54, 149–160,
 doi:10.1016/j.jaa.2019.03.001, 2019.
- 1276 Shennan, S.: The First Farmers of Europe An Evolutionary Perspective, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. [online]
- 1277 Available from: https://www-cambridge-org.proxy.lnu.se/se/academic/subjects/archaeology/archaeology-europe-and-near-
- 1278 and-middle-east/first-farmers-europe-evolutionary-perspective?format=HB&isbn=9781108422925, 2018.
- 1279 Smith, P., Davis, S. J., Creutzig, F., Fuss, S., Minx, J., Gabrielle, B., Kato, E., Jackson, R. B., Cowie, A., Kriegler, E., van
- 1280 Vuuren, D. P., Rogelj, J., Ciais, P., Milne, J., Canadell, J. G., McCollum, D., Peters, G., Andrew, R., Krey, V., Shrestha, G.,
- 1281 Friedlingstein, P., Gasser, T., Grübler, A., Heidug, W. K., Jonas, M., Jones, C. D., Kraxner, F., Littleton, E., Lowe, J., Moreira,
- 1282 J. R., Nakicenovic, N., Obersteiner, M., Patwardhan, A., Rogner, M., Rubin, E., Sharifi, A., Torvanger, A., Yamagata, Y.,
- Edmonds, J. and Yongsung, C.: Biophysical and economic limits to negative CO2 emissions, Nat. Clim. Chang., 6(1), 42–50,
 doi:10.1038/nclimate2870, 2016.
- Soepboer, W., Sugita, S., Lotter, A. F., van Leeuwen, J. F. N. and van der Knaap, W. O.: Pollen productivity estimates for quantitative reconstruction of vegetation cover on the Swiss Plateau, The Holocene, 17(1), 65–77, doi:10.1177/0959683607073279, 2007.
- Soepboer, W., Sugita, S. and Lotter, A. F.: Regional vegetation-cover changes on the Swiss Plateau during the past two millennia: A pollen-based reconstruction using the REVEALS model, Quat. Sci. Rev., 29(3–4), 472–483,
- 1290 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.09.027, 2010.
- von Stedingk, H., Fyfe, R. M. and Allard, A.: Pollen productivity estimates from the forest—tundra ecotone in west-central
 Sweden: implications for vegetation reconstruction at the limits of the boreal forest, The Holocene, 18(2), 323–332,
 doi:10.1177/0959683607086769, 2008.
- 1294 Stephens, L., Fuller, D., Boivin, N., Rick, T., Gauthier, N., Kay, A., Marwick, B., Armstrong, C. G., Barton, C. M., Denham,
- 1295 T., Douglass, K., Driver, J., Janz, L., Roberts, P., Rogers, J. D., Thakar, H., Altaweel, M., Johnson, A. L., Sampietro Vattuone,
- 1296 M. M., Aldenderfer, M., Archila, S., Artioli, G., Bale, M. T., Beach, T., Borrell, F., Braje, T., Buckland, P. I., Jiménez Cano,
- 1297 N. G., Capriles, J. M., Diez Castillo, A., Çilingiroğlu, Ç., Negus Cleary, M., Conolly, J., Coutros, P. R., Covey, R. A.,
- 1298 Cremaschi, M., Crowther, A., Der, L., di Lernia, S., Doershuk, J. F., Doolittle, W. E., Edwards, K. J., Erlandson, J. M., Evans,

- 1299 D., Fairbairn, A., Faulkner, P., Feinman, G., Fernandes, R., Fitzpatrick, S. M., Fyfe, R., Garcea, E., Goldstein, S., Goodman,
- 1300 R. C., Dalpoim Guedes, J., Herrmann, J., Hiscock, P., Hommel, P., Horsburgh, K. A., Hritz, C., Ives, J. W., Junno, A., Kahn,
- 1301 J. G., Kaufman, B., Kearns, C., Kidder, T. R., Lanoë, F., Lawrence, D., Lee, G.-A., Levin, M. J., Lindskoug, H. B., López-
- 1302 Sáez, J. A., Macrae, S., Marchant, R., Marston, J. M., McClure, S., McCoy, M. D., Miller, A. V., Morrison, M., Motuzaite
- 1303 Matuzeviciute, G., Müller, J., Nayak, A., Noerwidi, S., Peres, T. M., Peterson, C. E., Proctor, L., Randall, A. R., Renette, S.,
- 1304 Robbins Schug, G., Ryzewski, K., Saini, R., Scheinsohn, V., Schmidt, P., Sebillaud, P., Seitsonen, O., Simpson, I. A.,
- 1305 Soltysiak, A., Speakman, R. J., Spengler, R. N., Steffen, M. L., et al.: Archaeological assessment reveals Earth's early
- 1306 transformation through land use, Science (80-.)., 365(6456), 897–902, doi:10.1126/science.aax1192, 2019.
- 1307 Strandberg, G., Kjellström, E., Poska, A., Wagner, S., Gaillard, M.-J., Trondman, A.-K., Mauri, A., Davis, B. A. S. S., Kaplan,
- 1308 J. O., Birks, H. J. B. B., Bjune, A. E., Fyfe, R., Giesecke, T., Kalnina, L., Kangur, M., van der Knaap, W. O., Kokfelt, U.,
- 1309 Kuneš, P., Lata\\l owa, M., Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Smith, B., Seppä, H. and Sugita, S.: Regional climate
- 1310 model simulations for Europe at 6 and 0.2 k BP: sensitivity to changes in anthropogenic deforestation, Clim. Past, 10(2), 661–
- 1311 680, doi:10.5194/cp-10-661-2014, 2014.
- 1312 Stuart., A. and Ord., J. K.: Kendall's advanced theory of statistics, Distrib. theory, 1 [online] Available from: 1313 https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10004597057 (Accessed 2 July 2021), 1994.
- 1314 Sugita, S.: A Model of Pollen Source Area for an Entire Lake Surface, Quat. Res., 39(2), 239–244, 1315 doi:10.1006/qres.1993.1027, 1993.
- 1316 Sugita, S.: Theory of quantitative reconstruction of vegetation I: pollen from large sites REVEALS regional vegetation
- 1317 composition, The Holocene, 17(2), 229–241, doi:10.1177/0959683607075837, 2007a.
- 1318 Sugita, S.: Theory of quantitative reconstruction of vegetation II: all you need is LOVE, The Holocene, 17(2), 243-257,
- 1319 doi:10.1177/0959683607075838, 2007b.
- Sugita, S., Gaillard, M.-J. and Broström, A.: Landscape openness and pollen records: a simulation approach, The Holocene,
 9(4), 409–421, doi:10.1191/095968399666429937, 1999.
- 1322 Sugita, S., Parshall, T., Calcote, R. and Walker, K.: Testing the Landscape Reconstruction Algorithm for spatially explicit
- 1323 reconstruction of vegetation in northern Michigan and Wisconsin, Quat. Res., 74(2), 289-300,
- 1324 doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2010.07.008, 2010.
- 1325 Sun, A., Luo, Y., Wu, H., Chen, X., Li, Q., Yu, Y., Sun, X. and Guo, Z.: An updated biomization scheme and vegetation
- 1326 reconstruction based on a synthesis of modern and mid-Holocene pollen data in China, Glob. Planet. Change, 192(May 2019),
- 1327 103178, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2020.103178, 2020.
- 1328 Sutton, O. .: Micrometeorology., Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 79(341), 457–457, doi:10.1002/qj.49707934125, 1953.
- 1329 Theuerkauf, M., Kuparinen, A. and Joosten, H.: Pollen productivity estimates strongly depend on assumed pollen dispersal,
- 1330 The Holocene, 23(1), 14–24, doi:10.1177/0959683612450194, 2012.
- 1331 Theuerkauf, M., Couwenberg, J., Kuparinen, A. and Liebscher, V.: A matter of dispersal: REVEALSinR introduces state-of-
- 1332 the-art dispersal models to quantitative vegetation reconstruction, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 25(6), doi:10.1007/s00334-016-

- 1333 0572-0, 2016.
- 1334 Trondman, A.-K., Gaillard, M.-J., Sugita, S., Björkman, L., Greisman, A., Hultberg, T., Lagerås, P., Lindbladh, M. and Mazier,
- 1335 F.: Are pollen records from small sites appropriate for REVEALS model-based quantitative reconstructions of past regional
- vegetation? An empirical test in southern Sweden, Veg. Hist. Archaeobot., 25(2), 131–151, doi:10.1007/s00334-015-0536-9,
 2016.
- 1338 Trondman, A. K., Gaillard, M. J., Mazier, F., Sugita, S., Fyfe, R., Nielsen, A. B., Twiddle, C., Barratt, P., Birks, H. J. B.,
- 1339 Bjune, A. E., Björkman, L., Broström, A., Caseldine, C., David, R., Dodson, J., Dörfler, W., Fischer, E., van Geel, B., Giesecke,
- 1340 T., Hultberg, T., Kalnina, L., Kangur, M., van der Knaap, P., Koff, T., Kuneš, P., Lagerås, P., Latalowa, M., Lechterbeck, J.,
- 1341 Leroyer, C., Leydet, M., Lindbladh, M., Marquer, L., Mitchell, F. J. G., Odgaard, B. V., Peglar, S. M., Persson, T., Poska, A.,
- 1342 Rösch, M., Seppä, H., Veski, S. and Wick, L.: Pollen-based quantitative reconstructions of Holocene regional vegetation cover
- 1343 (plant-functional types and land-cover types) in Europe suitable for climate modelling, Glob. Chang. Biol., 21(2), 676–697,
- 1344 doi:10.1111/gcb.12737, 2015.
- 1345 Twiddle, C. L., Jones, R. T., Caseldine, C. J. and Sugita, S.: Pollen productivity estimates for a pine woodland in eastern
- 1346 Scotland: The influence of sampling design and vegetation patterning, Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 174, 67-78,
- 1347 doi:10.1016/j.revpalbo.2011.12.006, 2012.
- de Vareilles, A., Pelling, R., Woodbridge, J. and Fyfe, R.: Archaeology and agriculture: plants, people, and past land-use,
 Trends Ecol. Evol., 36(10), 943–954, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2021.06.003, 2021.
- 1350 Wieczorek, M. and Herzschuh, U.: Compilation of relative pollen productivity (RPP) estimates and taxonomically harmonised
- 1351 RPP datasets for single continents and Northern Hemisphere extratropics, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12(4), 3515–3528,
- 1352 doi:10.5194/essd-12-3515-2020, 2020.
- Wolf, A., Callaghan, T. V. and Larson, K.: Future changes in vegetation and ecosystem function of the Barents Region, Clim.
 Change, 87(1–2), 51–73, doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9342-4, 2008.
- Woodbridge, J., Fyfe, R. M. and Roberts, N.: A comparison of remotely sensed and pollen-based approaches to mapping
 Europe's land cover, edited by M. Bush, J. Biogeogr., 41(11), 2080–2092, doi:10.1111/jbi.12353, 2014.
- 1357 Woodbridge, J., Fyfe, R., Roberts, C., Trondman, A., Mazier, F. and Davis, B.: European forest cover since the start of
- Neolithic agriculture: a critical comparison of pollen-based reconstructions, Past Glob. Chang. Mag., 26(1), 10–11,
 doi:10.22498/pages.26.1.10, 2018.
- 1360 Zanon, M., Davis, B. A. S. S., Marquer, L., Brewer, S. and Kaplan, J. O.: European Forest Cover During the Past 12,000
- 1361 Years: A Palynological Reconstruction Based on Modern Analogs and Remote Sensing, Front. Plant Sci., 9(March), 1–25,
- 1362 doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00253, 2018.
- 1363