

Report

The authors have adequately answered most of my questions / comments in the revised version of the manuscript.

I still have reservations and objections about whether the Mc estimate is realistic when earthquakes are missing from the data set (lines 168-182 and 179-183 in the revised manuscript). In my view, Mc's estimate of a catalog, which contains all available data, is clearly more reliable than Mc's estimate of the same catalog, when some data, though existing, was omitted simply because does not meet certain criteria.

In closing, I think the manuscript can now be accepted for publication.