Dear Editor,

we took into account the final comments by RC3 by adding in the revised manuscript the following sentences (pg 6 lines 170-175 in the revised manuscript):

“The completeness analysis is only meant to highlight general features of the dataset (a more detailed study in this respect, as the one by Michael, 2014, is not the aim of this work). As any other earthquake catalogue, we cannot include all shallow earthquakes since some of them may be poorly recorded or not matching our selection criteria. Hence, we perform annual Mc estimations knowing that the dataset may have missing records. This is more likely to be the case in the first part of the last century. As a result, annual Mc uncertainties (error bars in the top panel of Fig. 10) are generally larger for the early decades of the last century compared to recent times.”

We believe we have addressed to final remarks, we hope this is satisfactory and the paper can be accepter for publication in ESSD

With Kind Regards

Domenico Di Giacomo and Dmitry A. Storchak