EcoDes-DK15: High-resolution ecological descriptors of vegetation and terrain derived from Denmark’s national airborne laser scanning data set
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Abstract

Biodiversity studies could strongly benefit from three-dimensional data on ecosystem structure derived from contemporary remote sensing technologies, such as Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR). Despite the increasing availability of such data at regional and national scales, the average ecologist has been limited in accessing them due to high requirements on computing power and remote-sensing knowledge. We processed Denmark’s publicly available national Airborne Laser Scanning (ALS) data set acquired in 2014/15 together with the accompanying elevation model to compute 70 rasterized descriptors of interest for ecological studies. With a grain size of 10 m, these data products provide a snapshot of high-resolution measures including vegetation height, structure and density, as well as topographic descriptors including elevation, aspect, slope and wetness across more than forty thousand square kilometres covering almost all of Denmark’s terrestrial surface. The resulting data set is comparatively small (~87 GB, compressed 16.4 GB) and the raster data can be readily integrated into analytical workflows in software familiar to many ecologists (GIS software, R, Python). Source code and documentation for the processing workflow are openly available via a code repository, allowing for transfer to other ALS data sets, as well as modification or re-calculation of future instances of Denmark’s national ALS data set. We hope that our high-resolution ecological vegetation and terrain descriptors (EcoDes-DK15) will serve as an inspiration for the publication of further such data sets covering other countries and regions and that our rasterized data set will provide a baseline of the ecosystem structure for current and future studies of biodiversity, within Denmark and beyond.
1 Introduction

Over the last decades, airborne laser scanning (ALS) has become an established data source for providing fine-resolution measures of terrain and vegetation structure in ecological research (Moeslund et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2017; Zellweger et al., 2016). Despite its informative potential and the increasing number of openly available ALS data sets with regional and national extents (Vo et al., 2016), the uptake of these data sets for large-scale ecological research and applications (such as monitoring and conservation) has remained comparatively low (Bakx et al., 2019). The low uptake is likely a consequence of the considerable challenges that remain in handling these very large data sets, which require specialist expertise and software, as well as substantial amounts of data storage and processing power (Meijer et al., 2020; Vo et al., 2016; Pfeifer et al., 2014). Here, we address this issue for Denmark by providing a compact set of ecologically relevant measures of terrain characteristics and vegetation structure derived as raster outputs from the country's national ALS data set with a grain size of 10 m x 10 m.

The typical output from an ALS survey is a so-called point cloud that describes the physical structure of the surveyed area in three-dimensional space (Bakx et al., 2019; Vierling et al., 2008). In brief, short laser pulses are sent out from a Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) sensor mounted on an airplane (or drone) and reflected by surfaces such as bare ground, plants or buildings. The return timing of the reflected signal is measured and - with the help of information on the sensor’s orientation and position - the precise location of the reflecting surface is determined in geographic space (Vierling et al., 2008). Depending on the properties of the LiDAR sensor, different surfaces will reflect the light with different strengths, allowing, for example, to separate returns from vegetation from those of bare ground (Vo et al., 2016; Wagner et al., 2006). Some surfaces may scatter large parts of the light which may result in multiple returns of different strengths, vegetation is amongst those (Wagner et al., 2006). Often, the raw signal is processed by the survey provider and the resulting data is delivered to the end user in the form of a point cloud of discrete returns, where each point is associated with information on geographic location, return strength (amplitude), acquisition timing etc. (Vo et al., 2016). For ALS data sets with large extents - such as Denmark’s nationwide data set “DHM/Punktsky” - outputs from many survey flights are co-registered and merged, resulting in very large point clouds with hundreds of billions of points and data volumes of multiple Terabytes (Geodatastyrelsen, 2015). For further information on ALS methodology, we recommend Vo et al. (2016), Vierling et al. (2008) and Wagner et al. (2006).

Due to the ability to separate between ground and vegetation points, descriptors of terrain and vegetation structure can be derived from ALS point clouds. While early applications for ALS were focussed on generating simple digital elevation models (DEMs) for city and landscape planning, as well as canopy height estimates for commercial forestry (Bakx et al., 2019; Vo et al., 2016), recent advances in technology have allowed the calculation of more complex measures with wider applications. Terrain derived measures of ecological interests include topographic slope, aspect (i.e., slope direction), solar irradiation, wetness etc. (e.g., Moeslund et al., 2019; Zellweger et al., 2016; Ceballos et al., 2015), and vegetation structural descriptors include vegetation density, canopy height diversity, canopy roughness and many more (e.g., Bakx et al., 2019; Moeslund et al., 2019; Coops et al., 2016). It is important to note that sensor and point cloud characteristics may limit the type of measures
that can be meaningfully derived from ALS data (Bakx et al., 2019). This applies especially to the point cloud density, which needs to be high enough to meaningfully resolve the structure of understory layers in forest systems (Bakx et al., 2019) or ecosystems with vegetation of low stature such as grasslands or tundra (Boelman et al., 2016). Nonetheless, even simpler ALS derived descriptors of terrain and vegetation structure can be of high value for ecological applications, as fieldwork-derived alternatives are often too costly and difficult to collect over large extents (Vierling et al., 2008).

ALS data has provided critical information for research on biodiversity and habitat characteristics over the recent years, and its importance in ecological research is likely to increase in the future. Numerous biodiversity studies have successfully deployed ALS to study organisms like plants (Mao et al., 2018; Lopatin et al., 2016; Zellweger et al., 2016; Ceballos et al., 2015; Moeslund et al., 2013; Leutner et al., 2012), fungi (Peura et al., 2016; Thers et al., 2017), bryophytes, lichens (Moeslund et al., 2019), mammals (Tweedy et al., 2019; Froidevaux et al., 2016) and birds (see Bakx et al. (2019) for a comprehensive review) both in open landscapes and in forests. These studies have all emphasized the value of ALS for representing fine-scale (~ 10 m resolution) terrain or vegetation structural variation of importance to local biodiversity patterns. Furthermore, Valbuena et al. (2020) recently considered ALS data to be one of the key resources for deriving ecosystem morphological traits in the global assessment of Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs). Finding ways of making regional and nationwide ALS data more accessible to the average ecologist is therefore not only a critical priority for accelerating research on regional biodiversity patterns and species - habitat relationships, but also for the facilitation of global assessments such as those carried out by IPBES (2019) and alike.

To open up opportunities for researchers and practitioners not familiar with ALS processing or without access to the required facilities, we present a new national ALS based data set for Denmark primarily aimed at ecological research with possible uses in other disciplines. With a grain size of 10 m, these ecological descriptor (EcoDes) rasters provide a snapshot of high-resolution measures of vegetation height, structure and density, as well as topographic descriptors including elevation, aspect, slope and wetness for almost all of Denmark’s terrestrial surface between spring 2014 and summer 2015 (DK15). In this publication, we a) describe the source data and outline the processing workflow (Sect. 2.1-2.3), b) summarise the data set’s main characteristics (Sect. 3.1-3.2), c) describe each variable in detail and highlight its use and limitations (Sect. 3.3-3.4), d) provide guidance on data access and illustrate how the data could be used in an example of ecological landscape classification (Sect. 4). We finish by e) briefly discussing the general limitations of the data set and processing workflow, as well as providing perspectives on how the presented data can be complemented with other data sources (Sect. 5). We hope that ease of access and thorough documentation of the EcoDes-DK15 data set will encourage uptake and facilitate the development of future versions of similar data sets in Denmark and beyond.
2 Source data and processing workflow overview

2.1 Denmark - geography and ecology

Located in Northern Europe, Denmark (without Greenland and the Faroe Islands) has an approximate land area of 43 thousand square kilometres, comprising the large peninsula of Jutland and 443 named islands. The relatively flat (highest point is 171 m above sea level) landscape predominantly consists of arable land and production forest with relatively small patches of natural or semi-natural areas such as heathlands, grasslands, fresh and salt meadows, bogs, dunes, lakes, streams and deciduous forests.

2.2 ALS and elevation source data

The Danish elevation model (DHM) is an openly available nationwide data set providing various products based on ALS data. Here, we used the DHM/Point-cloud (DHM/Punktsky), the classified georeferenced ALS point cloud product, and the DHM/Terrain (DHM/Terræn), the digital elevation model product, both based on data collected in 2014 and 2015 (Table 1, see Nord-Larsen et al., 2017 for details on acquisition time). The DHM data set is currently hosted and maintained by the Agency for Data Supply and Efficiency, Denmark (https://sdfe.dk/) and can be downloaded from https://kortforsyningen.dk/ (see guidance on GitHub code repository). The DHM/Point-cloud product is a collection of 1 x 1 km tiles of three-dimensional point clouds with attributes such as position, intensity, point source ID, or classification. Point classification includes for example ground, vegetation, and buildings. The point density is on average 4-5 points per square meter with a horizontal and vertical accuracy of 0.15 and 0.05 metres, respectively. Additional information on the data set can be found in Geodatastyrelsen (Geodatastyrelsen, 2015 - in Danish), Thers et al. (2017) and Nord-Larsen et al (2017). The DHM/Point-cloud product is provided in a LAZ-format and in the ETR89 UTM 32N horizontal (EPSG: 25832) and DVR90 vertical reference system. The DHM/Terrain product is a rasterized digital model of the terrain height above sea level in 0.4 m resolution. This product is provided in a 32-bit GeoTiff format, using the same 1 km x 1 km titling convention and spatial reference system as the DHM/Point-cloud.

Table 1: Overview of the key data sources used for generating the EcoDes-DK15 data set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Used for</th>
<th>Data provider</th>
<th>Available from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHM/Pointcloud (DHM/Punktsky)</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>Vegetation Descriptors</td>
<td>Danish Agency for Data Supply and Efficiency</td>
<td><a href="https://download.kortforsyningen.dk">https://download.kortforsyningen.dk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHM/Terrain (DHM/Terræn)</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>Terrain Descriptors</td>
<td>Danish Agency for Data Supply and Efficiency</td>
<td><a href="https://download.kortforsyningen.dk">https://download.kortforsyningen.dk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The DHM/Terrain 2014/2015 and DHM/Point-cloud data sets 2014/2015 do not fully overlap in the 1 km x 1 km tiles of Denmark covered. While both data sets contain matching tile pairs for 49835 tiles, 291 tiles do not have a matching partner.
tile in one of the data set (209 DHM/Point-cloud tiles have no corresponding DHM/Terrain tile and 82 DHM/Terrain tiles have no corresponding DHM/Point-cloud tile). We removed these incomplete tile pairs from the data generation prior processing.

2.3 Processing

We processed the source data using OPALS 2.3.2.0 (Pfeifer et al., 2014), Python 2.7 (Van Rossum and Drake Jr, 1995), pandas 0.24.2 (Reback et al., 2019), SAGA GIS 2.3.2 (Conrad et al., 2015) from OSGeo4W64 and GDAL 2.2.4 (GDAL/OGR contributors, 2018) also from OSGeo4W64. The large number of tiles and variables to be calculated, required us to develop a robust processing pipeline, which we realised as a set of Python modules. The source code is openly available via a GitHub code repository (see Sect. 6). Processing was carried out on a Dell PowerEdge R740xd computational server (Windows 2012 R2 64-bit Operating System, 2x Intel Xeon Platinum 8180 Processors and 1.536TB RAM). The processing of the whole data set took approximately 45 days to complete.

2.3.1 Processing workflow

To facilitate the processing of the large data set, we first generated a set of compact Python modules providing a programming interface that allows for the calculation of the individual variables outlined in Sect. 3. The individual routines were then integrated into a Python script mediating the processing workflow in parallel, while carrying out error handling, logging and progress tracking. The schematic of the processing workflow and the Python modules is outlined in Fig. 1. Detailed information is available on the GitHub repository, including instructions on how to set up the processing, documentation on the functions provided by the Python modules, as well as detailed in-text commentary of the code.
Figure 1: Diagram of the processing workflow, the *dk_lidar* Python module and helper scripts. The workflow requires two inputs: a pre-classified set of point cloud tiles and a paired set of digital terrain model (dtm) tiles. The process management is handled by the *process_tiles.py* script which facilitates processing of each tile pair (dtm and point cloud) in parallel and logs the progress. For each tile, *process_tiles.py* calls a specified set of extraction and processing functions from the *dk_lidar* modules. Point cloud extraction functions are specified in *points.py* and terrain model extraction functions are specified in *dtm.py*. The *dk_lidar* modules also contain two further files, *common.py* a script containing specifications of common functions used by the *points.py* and *dtm.py*, as well as *settings.py* which is used to set global processing options, specify file paths etc. Finally, two helper scripts are provided *progress_monitor.py* which facilitates progress monitoring and estimates the time remaining and *debug.py* a script for testing the workflow for a single tile. Together the Python scripts and modules allow to generate the ecological descriptor outputs from the two input variables. Further documentation of the *dk_lidar* modules and workflow scripts can be found on the GitHub repository associated with this publication: https://github.com/jakobjassmann/ecodes-dk-lidar.
3 Data set description and known limitations

3.1 Extent, projection, resolution and data format

EcoDes-DK15 covers the majority of Denmark’s land area, including the island of Bornholm (approximate extent: 54.56 °N to 57.75 °N, 8.07 °E to 15.20 °E). The data is projected in ETR89 UTM 32 N based on the GRS80 spheroid (EPSG: 25832). The data set is available as GeoTIFFs with 10 m grain size via a data repository on Zenodo (see Sect. 6). For each variable the nation-wide data are split into 49835 raster tiles of 1 km x 1 km with a 10 m grain size based on 25-fold aggregations of the 0.4 m national grid of Denmark. A virtual raster mosaic (VRT) file is provided for each variable, and a file containing the tile footprint geometries can be used for geographical sub-setting of the data. We also provide masks for inland water and the sea.

The final data set consists of just under 87 GB of data (compressed for download 16.4 GB). To reduce the size of the data set we converted numerical values from floating point precision to 16-bit integers where possible. In some cases, this required us to stretch the values by a set factor to maintain information content beyond the decimal point. The variable conversion factors are available as a csv file provided with the data set and in Table 2. Missing data is denoted by a value of -9999 throughout the data set (NODATA-value).

3.2 Overview and file naming convention

An overview of the eighteen terrain and vegetation structure variables as well as the auxiliary data provided can be found in Table 2. Generally, the variable names in Table 2 reflect the prefix of the file name of a GeoTIff file within the data set. This prefix is followed by a suffix representing the unique identifier for each tile based on the UTM coordinates of the tile (see Sect. 3.4.3 for more detail). When working with the complete data set, tiles from the same variable are grouped within a folder using the same variable name as used for the file name prefix. For example, for the tile with the unique id “6239_446” the GeoTIff for the “dtm_10m” variable can be found in “dtm_10m/dtm_10m_6239_446.tif”. The exceptions are the point counts, vegetation proportions and point source information, please see the relevant sections below for more detail.

Table 2: Brief overview of the eighteen main EcoDes-DK15 variables and variable groups, their ecological meaning, unit, format and conversion factor. In addition to the 70 raster layers for the main variables, the data set contains six layers of auxiliary information (see Sect. 3.7). Note: to obtain the correct unit, the variable value needs to be divided by the conversion factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable(s)</th>
<th>Ecological meaning</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Conversion factor</th>
<th>Number of layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dtm_10m</td>
<td>elevation</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aspect</td>
<td>topographic aspect</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Length (bits)</td>
<td>Value (default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slope</td>
<td>topographic slope</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heat_load_index</td>
<td>proxy of radiation and wetness</td>
<td>unitless</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solar_radiation</td>
<td>solar radiation</td>
<td>ln(MJ x cm² x yr⁻¹)</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>openness_mean</td>
<td>topographic position</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>openness_difference</td>
<td>presence of linear landscape features</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twi</td>
<td>topographic wetness</td>
<td>unitless</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amplitude_mean</td>
<td>complex**</td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>32-bit float</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amplitude_sd</td>
<td>complex**</td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>32-bit float</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>canopy_height</td>
<td>vegetation height</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normalized_z_mean</td>
<td>average structural height (incl. vegetation and buildings)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normalized_z_sd</td>
<td>variation in structural height (incl. vegetation and buildings)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point_counts*</td>
<td>number of returns in ground, water, building and vegetation point classes; total return count and vegetation return counts in height bins</td>
<td>count</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_proportion*</td>
<td>proportion of vegetation returns in height bins</td>
<td>proportion</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_density</td>
<td>ratio of vegetation returns to total returns</td>
<td>proportion</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>canopy_openness</td>
<td>ratio of ground and water returns to total returns</td>
<td>proportion</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>building_proportion</td>
<td>ratio of building returns to total returns</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point_source_info*</td>
<td>point source / flight strip information</td>
<td>varied, see description</td>
<td>varied, see description</td>
<td>varied, see description</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>masks</td>
<td>inland water and sea mask</td>
<td>binary</td>
<td>16-bit integer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Variable group containing multiple individual variables, see intext description for detail.

** The amplitude variables are difficult to interpret, but can serve as useful indicators for vegetation classification and biodiversity studies. Please see intext description for more detail.
3.3 Completeness of the data set

The processing of the data set was almost completely successful. Processing failed on average for only 41 out of the 49835 tiles per variable with a maximum of 83 tiles failing for the *canopy_height*, *normalized_z_mean* and *normalized_z_sd* variables.

The majority of these tiles were located on the fringes of the data set, including sand spits, sandbanks etc, we therefore did not attempt re-processing of those tiles. Instead, we generated nodata-value rasters for all missing variable - tile combinations (i.e. we assigned -9999 to all cells in those tiles). We provide a text file listing the affected “nodata” tiles in the folder of each variable (the file is named empty_tiles_XXX.txt, where XXX is the variable name).

3.4 Elevation-model derived variables

The following variables were solely derived from the 0.4 m digital elevation model (DHM/Terrain). Visualisations of these variables for an example tile in the Mols Bjerge area are shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 2: Illustration of the terrain model derived variables for a 1 km x 1 km tile in the Mols Bjerge area (tile id: 6230_595). An orthophoto and the tile location relative to Denmark are shown in (a). The terrain model (dtm_10m) is illustrated in (b). The terrain derived variables comprise of: c) the topographic aspect, d) the topographic slope, e) the heat load index following Kuehne et al. f) the estimated incident solar radiation, g) the landscape openness mean, h) the landscape openness difference in the eight cardinal directions and i) the topographic wetness index (TWI) based on Kopecký et al (2020). For visualisation purposes, we amplified the altitude above sea-level by a factor of two in the 3D visualisations. The 3D raster visualisations
were generated using the rayshader v0.19.2 package in R (Morgan-Wall, 2020). Orthophoto provided by the Danish Agency for Data Supply and Efficiency (https://sdfe.dk/hent-data/fotos-og-geodanmark-data/).

### 3.4.1 Elevation (dtm\_10m)

We aggregated the 0.4 m DEM by mean to match the 10 m x 10 m national grid of the remainder of the data set. We used `gdalwarp` to carry out the aggregations. Values represent the elevation above sea level in metres multiplied by a factor of 100, rounded to the nearest integer and converted to 16-bit integer.

### 3.4.2 Aspect (aspect)

The topographic aspect describes the orientation of a slope in the terrain and may, amongst other things, be related to plant growth through light and moisture availability. We calculated the aspect in degrees, with 0° indicating North, 90° East, 180° South and 270° West. Values represent the aspect derived from a 10 m aggregate of the elevation model (aggregated by mean with 32bit floating point precision). Calculations were carried out using `gdaldem` binaries and the “aspect” option, which by default uses Horn’s method to calculate the aspect (Horn, 1981). To avoid edge effects, all calculations were done on a mosaic that included the focal tile and all available directly neighbouring tiles (maximum eight). The mosaic was cropped back to the extent of the focal tile upon completion of the calculations. We then converted the value for each cell from radian to degrees, multiplied it by a factor of 10, rounded to the nearest integer and stored the results as a 16-bit integer. Limitations in the aspect arise in relation to edge effects that occur where a neighbourhood mosaic is incomplete for a focal tile (i.e., less than eight neighbouring tiles), such as for tiles along the coastline or at the edge of the covered extent. For those tiles, no aspect can be derived for the rows or columns at the edge of the mosaic. The cells in those rows and columns have no neighbouring cells and were assigned the no data value (-9999).

### 3.4.3 Slope (slope)

The topographic slope describes the steepness of the terrain and amongst other things may be related to moisture availability, exposure and erosion. We derived the topographic slope in degrees with a 10 m grain size from a mean aggregate of the elevation model (32bit floating point precision) using the `gdaldem` binaries with the “slope” option, which by default use Horn’s method to calculate the slope (Horn, 1981). To avoid edge effects, we carried out the calculations on a mosaic including the focal tile and all available directly neighbouring tiles (maximum eight). The mosaic was cropped back to the extent of the focal tile upon completion of the calculations. The value for each cell was converted from radian to degrees, multiplied by a factor of 10, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as a 16-bit integer. Limitations in the slope arise in relation to edge effects that occur where a neighbourhood mosaic is incomplete for a focal tile (i.e., less than eight neighbouring tiles), such as for tiles along the coastline or at the edge of the covered extent. For those tiles, no slope can be derived for the rows or columns at the edge of the mosaic. These cells in those rows and columns have no neighbouring cells and `gdaldem` assigns the no data value (-9999) to these cells.
3.4.4 Landscape openness mean (openness_mean)

Landscape openness is a landform descriptor that indicates whether a cell is located in a depression or elevation of the landscape. We calculate the landscape openness following Yokoyama et al. (2002) using the OPALS implemented algorithms. We used a mean aggregate of the elevation model with 10 m grain size and 32bit floating point precision, and derived the mean landscape openness for a cell as the mean of the landscape openness in all eight cardinal directions with a search radius of 150 m. We chose to base this variable on the aggregated 10 m elevation model and a 150 m search radius, as we think that these are best suited to describe the landscape scale variation in the landforms of Denmark. The Danish landscapes are characterised by gently undulating terrain, valleys forged by small to medium sized rivers and dune systems along the coastlines. First, we generated a mosaic including the focal tile and all available tiles in the direct neighbourhood (max. eight neighbouring tiles) to reduce edge effects in subsequent calculations. The mean of the positive openness for all eight cardinal directions with search radius of 150 m was then derived for all cells in the mosaic using the OPALS Openness module (options: feature = ‘positive’, kernelSize = 15 and selMode = 0). Next, the mean openness per cell was converted from radians to degrees, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as a 16-bit integer. For incomplete neighbourhood mosaics (i.e. containing less than eight neighbouring tiles) we then masked out cells within the first 150 m of all edges where a neighbourhood tile was missing. Finally, the output was cropped back to the extent of the focal tile. As a consequence of the edge effect related masking, the focal tiles on the fringes of the data set, such as those on coastlines or at the edge of the coverage area, have no data available for the first 150 m. The corresponding cells for the affected areas are set to the NODATA value -9999.

3.4.5 Landscape openness difference (openness_difference)

In addition to the mean of the landscape openness, we also derived a landscape openness difference measure. This difference measure is an indicator of whether a cell is part of a linear feature in the landscape that runs in one cardinal direction, such as a ridge or valley, therefore providing additional information to the landscape openness_mean variable. We calculated the landscape openness difference based on the 10 m mean aggregate of the elevation model (32bit floating point precision) and with a search radius of 50 m. We chose these parameters as we consider them best suited to capture the relatively narrow valleys and ridgetops common in the Danish landscape. First, we generated a mosaic including the focal tile and all available tiles in the direct neighbourhood (max. eight neighbouring tiles) to reduce edge effects in subsequent calculations. We then calculated the minimum and maximum of the positive landscape openness from all eight cardinal directions for all cells in the mosaic using the OPALS Openness module with a search radius of 50 m (feature = ‘positive’, kernelSize = 5 , selMode = 1 for minimum and selMode = 2 for maximum). Next, we converted the minimum and maximum values from radian to degrees and calculated the difference between the maximum and minimum value. We rounded the result to the nearest full degree. For the cases where the neighbourhood mosaic was incomplete, i.e., containing less than eight neighbouring tiles, we masked out all cells within the first 50 m of all edges with a missing neighbourhood tile. The final output mosaic was then cropped to the extent of the focal tile and stored as a 16-bit integer GeoTIFF. As a consequence of the edge effect related masking, focal tiles
on the edges of the data set, such as those on coastlines or at the edge of the coverage area, have no data available for the first 50 m.

### 3.4.6 Solar Radiation (solar_radiation)

Incident solar radiation is a key parameter for plant growth and indicator for local microclimate. We estimated the amount of incident solar radiation received per square centimetre per year from the slope and aspect computed as described above. Calculations were implemented using `gdal_calc`, following equation 3 specified in McCune and Keon (2002):

\[
solar\_radiation = 0.339 + 0.808 \times \cos(L) \times \cos(S) - 0.196 \times \sin(L) \times \sin(S) - 0.482 \times \cos(180 - |(180 - A)|) \times \sin(S)
\]  

where \( L \) is the centre latitude of the cell in degrees, \( S \) is the slope of the cell in degrees and \( A \) is the aspect of the cell in degrees. The resulting estimate is given in: \( \ln(\text{MJ} \times \text{cm}^{-2} \times \text{yr}^{-1}) \) (McCune and Keon, 2002). Slope and aspect for each 10 m x 10 m grid cell were sourced from the slope and aspect rasters. We stretched the results by a factor of 1000, rounded to the nearest integer and stored them as 16-bit integers. Due to propagation from the calculation of slope variable, no solar radiation values can be calculated for cells found right on the edge of the data set, for example in tiles situated along the coastline or at the edge of the sampling extent.

### 3.4.7 Heat Load Index (heat_load_index)

The heat load index (McCune and Keon, 2002) was originally developed as an indicator for temperature based solely on aspect, but this characteristic is probably better captured in our solar radiation variable (see above) that was developed to improve shortcomings in the heat load index (McCune and Keon, 2002). However, in a previous study (Moeslund et al., 2019) we show that in Denmark - the index was moderately correlated with soil moisture, and can therefore serve as an useful indicator of the amount of moisture available to plants. We calculated the heat load index based on the aspect rasters (described above) following the equation specified in McCune and Keon (2002) using `gdal_calc`:

\[
heat\_load\_index = \frac{1 - \cos(A - 45)}{2}
\]  

where \( A \) is the aspect in degrees. We stretched the result by a factor of 10000, rounded to the nearest integer and stored it as a 16-bit integer. As the heat_load_index is not meaningfully defined for flat cells (slope = 0° / aspect = -1°), we set the value of
those cells to no data (-9999). Finally, for cells that are located on the outermost edges of the data set the heat_load_index is not defined due to propagation of the nodata value assigned to the aspect in those cells.

### 3.4.8 Topographic wetness index (twi)

The Topographic wetness index (TWI) provides a proxy measure of soil moisture or wetness based on the hydrological flow modelled through a digital terrain model. Here, we derived the TWI following the method recommended by Kopecký et al. (2020). We based our calculations on the aggregated 10 m elevation model (dtm_10m, 16bit integer) and used a neighbourhood mosaic (max. 8 neighbours) for each focal tile to derive the TWI. The exact procedure is detailed in the next paragraph. As such the index values calculated by us only consider a catchment the size of one tile and all its neighbours (for non-edge tiles this is a 3 km x 3 km catchment, for edge tiles it is smaller depending on the completeness of the neighbourhood mosaic). We then cropped the resulting output back to the extent of the focal tile, stretched the TWI values by a factor of 1000, rounded to the next full integer and stored the results as a 16-bit integer.

We calculated the TWI using SAGA GIS v. 7.8.2 binaries. First, we sink-filled the neighbourhood mosaic of the terrain model using the *ta_preprocessor 5* module and the option “MINSLOPE 0.01” (Wang and Liu, 2006). Second, we calculated the flow accumulation based on the sink-filled neighbourhood mosaic of the terrain model (from step one) using the *ta_hydrology 0* module with options “METHOD 4” and “CONVERGENCE 1.0” (Freeman, 1991; Quinn et al., 1991). Third, we derived the flow width and specific catchment area based on the sink-filled neighbourhood mosaic of the terrain model (from step one) and the flow accumulation (from step two) using the module *ta_hydrology 19* (Gruber and Peckahm, 2008; Quinn et al., 1991). Fourth, we calculated the slope based on the sink-filled neighbourhood mosaic of the terrain model (from step one) using the *ta_morphometry 0* module with option “METHOD 7” (Haralick, 1983). Finally, we derived the TWI based on the specific catchment area (from step three) and slope (from step four) using the module *ta_hydrology 20* (Beven and Kirkby, 1979; Böhner and Selige, 2006; Moore et al., 1991). For detailed descriptions of the modules used, please refer to the SAGA GIS documentation (SAGA-GIS Tool Library Documentation v7.8.2, 2021).

The TWI variable calculated for EcoDes-DK15 is subject to two main limitations: edge effects and small catchment size. Tiles with incomplete neighbourhoods (i.e., less than 8 direct neighbours are available) will suffer from edge effects in the direct vicinity of the relevant border and overall due to a reduced catchment size. Furthermore, even in the ideal case of the neighbourhood being complete, for most cells flow accumulation is therefore only calculated for the direct neighbourhood of a focal tile, comprising a 3 km x 3 km catchment area. While we hypothesize that, due to the relatively low variation in topography in Denmark, the TWI based on this comparably small catchment area will serve as a reasonable proxy for terrain-based wetness in most cases, it may be less reliable in areas with exceptionally high variation in topography or for lakes and rivers with large catchments. In addition, we would like to point the reader towards the general limitations of the TWI as a
proxy for soil moisture or terrain wetness as for example discussed by Kopecký et al. (2020). These general limitations should be taken into account when interpreting the TWI values provided in EcoDes-DK15.

3.5 Point-cloud derived variables

The DHM/Point-cloud point cloud was pre-classified into eleven point categories (Geodatastyrelsen, 2015). For the EcoDes-DK15 data set, we restricted the analysis to four of these classes, including ground points (“Teræn”) - class 2, water points (“Vand”) - class 9, as well as low (“lav”), medium (“mellemhøj”) and high vegetation (“høj vegetation”) - classes 3, 4 and 5, respectively. We grouped the three vegetation classes into one single vegetation class and, instead of the pre-assigned height categories, considered a more detailed set of height bins (see point count and proportion descriptions below). We included all returns, i.e., first returns and echoes, in our analysis. All point cloud processing was carried out using OPALS and the OPALS Python bindings. As none of the point cloud derived variables required mosaicking to prevent edge-effects, we processed all point cloud variables on the focal tile only. After the initial ingestion of the LAZ file for a tile into the OPALS data manager format (odm), we used the OpalsAddInfo module to add a normalised height (z) attribute to the points. For this attribute we subtracted the height of the ground derived from the corresponding DHM/Terrain raster (0.4 m grid size) from the height above sea level of each point. Figure 3 illustrates how the point cloud data translates to some of the variable outputs for four exemplary 10 m x 10 m cells from the data set, and an overview of the point cloud derived variables for a 1 km x 1 km tile in Vejle Fjord in central Jutland is provided in Fig. 4.
Figure 3: Point cloud examples for four 10 m x 10 m pixels and a selection of the associated EcoDes-DK15 variables derived from the point clouds, illustrating the ecological meaning and some of the limitations of the EcoDes-DK15 data set. The 10 m x 10 m pixels represent the following environments: a) an agricultural field, b) the edge of a forest / parkland pond with low vegetation, c) a young plantation of dense coniferous trees, and d) old growth mixed-woodland. The EcoDes-DK15 variables shown include (from the top) the total point counts for each pixel in the three main EcoDes-DK15 categories: 1) the number of returns classified as ground, 2) the number of returns classified as water and 3) the number of returns classified as vegetation.
In addition, the relative proportion of vegetation points per predefined height bin is illustrated below the total vegetation point count. Finally, the bottom three panels show the estimated canopy height (altitude above ground for the 95%-percentile of all vegetation returns), the normalized z standard deviation (variation in height above ground for all return classes), and the mean return amplitude for each cell.

**Figure 4:** Illustration of the point cloud derived variables for a 1 km x 1 km tile along Vejle Fjord (tile id: 6171_541). An orthophoto and the tile location relative to Denmark are shown in (a). The point cloud derived variables comprise of: c) the mean return amplitude, d) the standard deviation in the return amplitude, e) the canopy height (vegetation returns only), f) the mean of the normalized height above ground (all returns), g) the mean of the normalized height (all returns), h) the ground point count, i) the vegetation point count, j) the building point count, k) the total point count, l) the point source IDs count, m) canopy openness, n) vegetation density, and o) building proportion.
point count, i) the water point count, j) the building point count, k) the total point count, l) the number of point sources (flight strips), m) the canopy openness, n) the vegetation density and o) the building proportion. For visualisation purposes, we amplified the altitude above sea-level by a factor of two in the 3D visualisations and divided the point counts by 1000. The 3D raster visualisations were generated using the rayshader v0.19.2 package in R (Morgan-Wall, 2020). Orthophotograph provided by the Danish Agency for Data Supply and Efficiency (https://sdfe.dk/hent-data/fotos-og-geodanmark-data/).

3.5.1 Amplitude – mean and standard deviation (amplitude_mean and amplitude_sd)

The amplitude attribute of a point in the DHM/Point-cloud is the actual amplitude of the return echoes, i.e., it describes the strength of the LiDAR return signals detected by the sensor. The variable is difficult to interpret in terms of its ecological meaning. Nonetheless, we believe that it is still useful for vegetation classifications, biodiversity analysis and other applications that perform well with proxy data. We calculate the arithmetic mean and standard deviation of the amplitude for all points within a 10 m x 10 m cell. Here, ‘all points’ refers to all points classified as ground, water, building, and vegetation points. Calculations were carried using the OPALS Cell module and results were stored as 32-bit floats. The amplitude attributes in the DHM/Point-cloud point clouds are not directly comparable when points originate from different point sources (e.g., flight strips), as the amplitude has not been calibrated and hence is sensitive to differences in sensor, sensor configuration and signal processing. Calculating summary metrics such as mean and standard deviation for a 10 m x 10 m cell where points from different point sources are present introduces additional complexities. In some cases, a 10 m cell might contain points from up to four different sources. We therefore recommend using the two amplitude variables with care, and - if possible - in conjunction with information on the point source ids contained in the point_source_info variables described below.

3.5.3 Canopy height (canopy_height)

Canopy height is a key parameter of vegetation structure related to biomass and ecosystem functioning. We derived the canopy height in metres as the 95th-percentile of the normalised height above ground of all vegetation points within each 10 m x 10 m cell using the OPALS Cell module. The resulting canopy heights were multiplied by a factor of 100, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as 16-bit integers. In cases where there were no vegetation points in any given cell, we set the canopy height value of the cell to zero metres. Please note that the canopy height is therefore also set as zero metres even if there are no points present in the cell at all (such as ground or water points). Furthermore, our algorithm calculates the canopy height even if there is only a small amount of vegetation points in a cell. In rare cases, this might lead to erroneous canopy-height readings if vegetation is found on artificial structures or points have been mis-classified. For example: A tall communications tower can be found just south of Aarhus and on top of this tower small patches of vegetation resulted in point returns being pre-classified as vegetation. The resulting canopy height for this cell is calculated as > 100 m above ground, which would not make sense if interpreted as a height of the vegetation above ground. For such cases, the building proportion variable may be used to separate cells with artificial structure from those with vegetation only. See also the “normalized_z” variable below for a closely related measure.
3.6.4 Normalised height - mean and standard deviation (normalized_z_mean and normalized_z_sd)

Similar to the canopy height variable, the normalised height describes the structure properties of the point cloud above ground. The key difference between the two variables is that for the normalised height we also included non-vegetation points (buildings & ground) and derived the summary statistic as the mean rather than the 95%-quantile. For the normalised height variable, we also provide a measure of variation in form of the standard deviation. Specifically, we calculated the normalised mean and the standard deviation of the mean height above ground (normalised z attribute) for all points in each 10 m x 10 m grid cell using the OPALS Cell module. The results were multiplied by 100, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as 16-bit integers. We used the normalised z attribute generated during the ingestion of the point cloud reflecting the height of a point relative to the ground level determined by the DHM/Terrain raster. Here, all points refer to all points belonging either to the ground, water, building or vegetation class. By definition the normalised height mean will be highly correlated with the “canopy_height” variable for cells where mainly vegetation points are present. We kept the American spelling of the variable name for legacy reasons with previous versions of the data set.

3.6.5 Point counts (xxx_point_count_xxx)

The point count variables are intermediate variables used to generate the proportion variables described below. However, they can also be used to calculate tailored proportion variables relevant to addressing a specific ecological objective (see use-case example in Sect. 4.2). For EcoDes-DK15 we derived thirty point count variables for each 10 m x 10 m cells based on filtering of the pre-defined point classifications and separation by height above ground (normalised z) using the OPALS Cell module. All point counts were stored as 16-bit integers. These thirty variables contain six general point counts, including ground, water, vegetation, building and total point counts (Table 3), as well as twenty-four vegetation point counts separated in height bins (Table 4). Note that the number of returns within a 10 m cell is influenced by a) the number of point sources present in the cell, b) the relative position and distance of a cell to the point source when the data was collected (i.e., to the flight path), and c) by the point source themselves (i.e., differences between the LiDAR sensors deployed). The absolute counts are therefore not directly comparable between cells and need to be standardised first, for example by division of the total number of point counts as done for the point proportion variables derived by us.

Table 3: General point count variables, as well as the height ranges and point classes included in each variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Height range</th>
<th>Point classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ground_point_count_-01m-01m</td>
<td>-1 m to 1 m</td>
<td>ground points (class 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water_point_count_-01m-01m</td>
<td>-1 m to 1 m</td>
<td>water points (class 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ground_and_water_point_count_-01m-01m</td>
<td>-1 m to 1 m</td>
<td>ground and water points (classes 2,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_00m-50m</td>
<td>0 m to 50 m</td>
<td>vegetation points (classes 3,4,5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Vegetation point count variables divided into twenty-four height bins. All vegetation point counts include the point classes 3, 4 and 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Height range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_00.0m-00.5m</td>
<td>0.0 m to 0.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_00.5m-01.0m</td>
<td>0.5 m to 1.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_01.0m-01.5m</td>
<td>1.0 m to 1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_01.5m-02.0m</td>
<td>1.5 m to 2.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_02m-03m</td>
<td>2 m to 3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_03m-04m</td>
<td>3 m to 4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_04m-05m</td>
<td>4 m to 5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_05m-06m</td>
<td>5 m to 6 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_06m-07m</td>
<td>6 m to 7 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_07m-08m</td>
<td>7 m to 8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_08m-09m</td>
<td>8 m to 9 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_09m-10m</td>
<td>9 m to 10 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_10m-11m</td>
<td>10 m to 11 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_11m-12m</td>
<td>11 m to 12 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_12m-13m</td>
<td>12 m to 13 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_13m-14m</td>
<td>13 m to 14 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_14m-15m</td>
<td>14 m to 15 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_15m-16m</td>
<td>15 m to 16 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_16m-17m</td>
<td>16 m to 17 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_17m-18m</td>
<td>17 m to 18 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_18m-19m</td>
<td>18 m to 19 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_19m-20m</td>
<td>19 m to 20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetation_point_count_20m-25m</td>
<td>20 m to 25 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.6.6 Vegetation proportions by height bin (vegetation_proportion_xxx)

The vegetation proportions by height bin are amongst the key parameters in the EcoDes-DK15 data set describing vegetation structure as they provide an indication of how the vegetation is distributed vertically within each cell of the raster. We calculated the proportions by dividing the vegetation count for each height bin (Table 4) by the total point count (total_point_count_01m-50m) within a given 10 m x 10 m cell. Resulting proportions were multiplied by a factor of 10000, rounded to the nearest integer and converted to 16-bit integers. All calculations were done using gdal_calc based on the respective point count rasters (Sect. 3.3.5). The naming convention of the vegetation proportion variables “vegetation_proportion_xxx” follows the same convention as the vegetation point count variables (Table 4), whereby the suffix “xxx” is replaced with the respective height bin. Please note that height bins are spaced at 0.5 m intervals below 2 m and at 1 m intervals between 2 m and 20 m. Furthermore, the range above 20 m is split into only 2 bins: 20 m to 25 m and 25 m to 50 m.

Given the properties of the DHM/Point-cloud we recommend being cautious when interpreting differences in the lower height bins. It is likely that the inaccuracies in the point cloud complicate clear separation between points less than half a metre apart. Furthermore, note that the proportions in the 0 m - 0.5 m bin are likely biased towards an underrepresentation of the vegetation proportion in this height bin, due to challenges in separating vegetation from ground points during the pre-classification. Lastly, keep in mind that dense canopy layers in the upper story of the canopy will reduce penetration of the light beam to the lower canopy layers. This may result in few returns in the lower layers (for example Fig 3 d) even though perhaps vegetation is present in those layers.

3.6.7 Vegetation density or total vegetation proportion (vegetation_density)

Vegetation density is an important component of ecosystem structure. Here, we calculated the vegetation density as the ratio between the vegetation returns across all vertical height bins (vegetation_point_count_00m-50m) and the total point count (total_point_count_01m-50m). Calculations were done using gdal_calc based on the two point count rasters (Sect. 3.3.5). Results were multiplied by 10000, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as 16-bit integers. In addition to actual difference between vegetation density in a cell, the vegetation_density variable is also influenced by the canopy properties such as the structure (dense upper layers will prevent penetration of the light beam to lower layers or even the ground) and texture (different canopies scatter light differently, causing lower or higher number of returns) and the points sources within a cell (e.g. multiple sources from different viewing angles provide a more complete estimate of the vegetation density). These additional influences are important to keep in mind when interpreting the vegetation_density variable.
3.6.7 Canopy openness, or ground and water proportion (canopy_openness)

Canopy openness is an important ecological descriptor particularly of forest canopies, as it describes the amount of light penetrating through to the levels of the canopy. To some degree the canopy openness serves as the inverse for the vegetation density. For EcoDes-DK15, we calculated the canopy openness of a 10 m x 10 m cell as the proportion of the ground and water points (ground_and_water_point_count_-01m-01m) to the total point count (total_point_count_-01m-50m) within the cell. The raster calculations were done using gdal_calc. Results were multiplied by 10000, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as 16-bit integers. Please note that the same considerations as for the vegetation_density variable (Sect. 3.3.7) regarding canopy properties and differences in point sources between the cells apply when interpreting the canopy_openness variable. In addition, it is important to note that building points will reduce the canopy openness the same way that vegetation points would.

3.6.8 Building proportion (building_proportion)

In a densely populated country such as Denmark, buildings form an important part of the landscape. For ecological studies the distance to the nearest buildings, their presence, absence or density may be of relevance. The building_proportion variable of EcoDes-DK15 provides a proxy for how much building infrastructure can be found within a 10 m cell. We calculated the variable as the number of building points (building_point_count_-01m-50m) divided by the total number of points (total_point_count_-01m-50m) within each cell using gdal_calc. Results were multiplied by 10000, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as 16-bit integers. While most returns from three dimensional infrastructure are classified as buildings in the DHM/Point-cloud, we would like to highlight that many roads are classified as ground (class 2) and some structures such as pylons and power lines were assigned a separate class (not described in (Geodatastyrelsen, 2015). These structures are therefore not included in the building_proportion variable. Finally, we would like to point the reader to the “DCE Basemap” (Levin, 2019) which may assist in the identification of basic land cover types that include buildings and other manmade structures.

3.7 Auxiliary data

In addition to the terrain and point cloud derived variables we provide three sets of auxiliary data with EcoDes-DK15. These are four layers of ALS point source information, a mask for inland water and a sea mask, as well as a shapefile of the footprints of the 1 km x 1 km tiles in the data set and their unique identifier.

3.7.1 Point source information

The point source attribute of the DHM/Point-cloud represents differences between sensor units or aircrafts that may have been used during the nationwide LiDAR campaign, differences in the acquisition time and date and differences in the viewpoint or acquisition angle of the cells. To aid in interpretation of variables that may be particularly influenced by point source, like the
amplitude variables or the vegetation proportions, we provide summary information about the point sources within each 10 m x 10 m cell. We summarised this information in four descriptor variables, the “point_source_counts”, “point_source_ids”, “point_source_nids” and “point_source_proportions”. For each tile (file name suffix = tile id), these variables are found in four subfolders bundled up in the parent “point_source_info” folder.

**point_source_ids** - Multi-layer raster containing one 16-bit integer layer for each point source id found in a tile. If a point with a given point source id is present the value of the cell is set to the point source id (an integer number) in the respective layer for the point source id, otherwise the value of a cell is set to 0. This multilayer raster can be used to match the file names of the point_source_counts and point_source_proportions rasters to a given point source id. Point source ids were extracted using Opals Cell.

**point_source_nids** - Single layer GeoTiff files containing the number of different point source ids in each cell stored as 16-bit integers. We calculated the number of point source ids based on the point_source_ids variable using gdal_calc.

**point_source_counts** - For each tile there are multiple rasters (up to four), one raster for each point source id found in the point cloud of the tile (see the point_source_ids variable). These rasters are named with an additional suffix, which matches the integer point source id for which the point counts are given in the raster (e.g. point_source_counts_xxxx_xxx_y*, where xxx_xxx is the tile id and y* the integer point source id). The rasters contain the number of points per 10 m x 10 m cell for the respective point source id in the tile. Counts were extracted using the OPALS Cell module and stored as 16-bit integers.

**point_source_proportions** - For each tile there are multiple rasters (up to four), one raster for each point source id found in the point cloud of the tile (see the point_source_ids variable). These rasters are named with an additional suffix, which matches the integer point source id for which the point proportions are given in the raster (e.g. point_source_proportions_xxxx_xxx_y*, where xxx_xxx is the tile id and y* the integer point source id).. Each raster contains the proportion of the point counts for a given point source id in relation to the total point count per 10 m x 10 m cell. Calculations were carried out using gdal_calc. The final proportions were multiplied by a factor of 10000, rounded to the nearest integer and stored as 16-bit integers.

### 3.7.2 Water masks (inland_water_mask and sea_mask)

We also provide rasterized water masks for use cases in which require masking inland water bodies or the sea. To represent all permanent lakes in Denmark, we merged three shapefiles containing (1) lakes protected by the Danish nature protection legislation (§3, available at [https://arealinformation.miljoeportal.dk](https://arealinformation.miljoeportal.dk)), (2) other valuable lakes (available on request at the Danish Farming Agency in the “good farming and environmental condition” data set) and (3) a layer containing the remaining rather small lakes and ponds (GeoDanmark, [https://kortforsyningen.dk/](https://kortforsyningen.dk/)). The combined shapefile is provided on the GitHub code repository (see below). We then burned the geometries within the shapefile into the 10 m x 10 m grid using gdal_rasterize. The masks are binary, a cell value of 1 indicates land and a value of -9999 (no data) indicates sea or inland water, respectively.
When using the masks please consider that the shape, presence and absence of water bodies and coastlines may fluctuate over time. We created the masks to present a snapshot of the water bodies as close as possible to the time point of the DHM/Point-cloud acquisition (spring 2014 - summer 2015), but inaccuracies may still arise. When combining the data with more recent observations, keep in mind that inland water bodies and coastlines may have changed since then. Finally, while we aimed to produce the inland water mask to be as comprehensive as possible, some small ponds and water bodies may have been missed. Note also that while some rivers are included in the sea mask, the inland water mask does not include rivers or streams. The masks can be found in the “masks” subfolder of the complete data set.

3.7.3 Footprint file (tile_footprints.shp)

To assist data access and creation of data subsets, we have produced an ESRI shapefile containing the footprints of all 1 km x 1 km tiles in the EcoDes-DK15 data set. The shapefile was generated based on the “dtm_10m” rasters and the tile identifier of each footprint geometry is specified in the “tile_id” attribute column.

4. Data access and ecological use case example

4.1 Data access and handling

Depending on the extent of the study, it may be preferable to work with a subset of the data set rather than the nationwide VRT files (Fig. 5). We suggest starting by identifying the relevant EcoDes-DK15 variables of interest, then retrieving the relevant data from the repository and decompressing the archives (instructions provided on data repository). If the study area of interest covers a large fraction of Denmark's extent and sufficient processing power is available, the nationwide VRT data should provide the most convenient access to the selected variables. However, if the study area does not cover a large proportion of Denmark, then we suggest sub setting the data using the tile footprints to decrease demands on computational resources. After sub setting, local / regional VRT files or mosaics can be generated if needed. We provide an example R script illustrating how this sub setting could be done for the use case example shown in the next section on the code repository (manuscript/figure_6/subset_data set.R). We have also made the resulting subset available as a “teaser” (5 MB) to help the reader assess the value of EcoDes-DK15 without having to commit to the multi-gigabyte download of the complete data set (see Sect. 6).
The first step is to identify which variables are of interest, these variables can then be downloaded from the Zenodo repository and decompressed. Next a decision needs to be made whether the whole data set (nationwide) or only a subset of the tiles is required (e.g., a regional study). As the whole data set is relatively large (~ 87 GB), storage and processing limitations need to be taken into account when planning data processing and handling. If a subset of tiles is sufficient for a study, the provided tile footprints can be used to identify which tiles are required based on a geometry (e.g., a shapefile) of the study region(s). Finally, for easy data handling in subsequent analysis, a mosaic of the selected tiles can be created. For nationwide use we provided virtual mosaics (VRT files) containing all tiles for the variables. An R script illustrating how the sub setting can be done for a regional study can be found on the GitHub repository: https://github.com/jakobjassmann/ecodes-dk-lidar/blob/master/manuscript/figure_6/subset_dataset.R.
4.2 Use case example - ecological landscape stratification of Husby Klit nature protected area

Figure 6 illustrates a use case for the EcoDes-DK15 data set with an example of an ecologically motivated landscape stratification of the “Husby Klit” old-dune protected area in western Denmark. We developed this stratification for a group of Master’s projects carrying out vegetation monitoring in the area. Our aim was to capture the variation in the dominant vegetation based on vegetation structure as well as the variation in fine-scale topography created by the dune systems across the landscape. In addition to using the variables already provided, the stratification required us to derive a topographic position index as well as grouping the point densities in height bins relevant to the characteristics of the three most common dominant vegetation types (grass and heath, *Pinus mugo* Turra, *Pinus sylvestris* L.) in the area. The source code for this figure contained in the code repository provides an example of how this can be achieved (manuscript/figure_6/figure_6.R).

**Figure 6:** Use-case example: Landscape stratification of the Husby Klit protected area based on EcoDes-DK15 derived terrain and vegetation structure descriptors. The target was to stratify the landscape of the Husby Klit “dune plantation” area in the west of Denmark (56.2837 - 56.3024 °N, 8.1239 - 8.1600 °E) to facilitate stratified random sampling for vegetation monitoring.
We identified the four tiles overlapping with the boundaries of the protected area and derived a stratification based on two components: topographic position (a) and vegetation structure (b). We hypothesized that both components would influence the vegetation communities present. For the topographic position (a), we first derived and standardised the topographic position index (TPI) (Weiss, 2001) from the terrain model (dtm_10m). Following (Weiss, 2001) we then classified each cell based on the scaled TPI into three categories. A scaled TPI below a value of -0.5 was classified as a “trough or lower-slope”, a scaled TPI between -0.5 and 0.5 as “mid-slope or flat”, and a scaled TPI above 0.5 as a “ridge or top”. For the vegetation structure component (b), we calculated the proportion of returns in three simplified height bins: 1) 0 m to 1.5 m, 2) 1.5 m to 3.0 m and 3) 3.0 m - 50 m. Here we included both ground and vegetation returns as the divisor for the standardisation, but not the returns from buildings or water. Based on a priori knowledge we deduced that there are three dominant vegetation communities within the protected area: communities dominated by grass and heath with vegetation growth generally below 1.5 m, communities dominated by shrubs and small trees (including the invasive Pinus mugo) with vegetation growth predominantly below 3.0 m, and communities dominated by trees (including the native Pinus sylvestris), generally with growth above 3.0 m. We used this knowledge to assign the three vegetation classes based on the proportion of point returns in the simplified height bins. For the “grass and heath” class we used a strict cut off with no points present above 1.5 m. For the “shrubs and small trees” class we used a fuzzy cut off allowing the proportion of points in the 3.0 m and above bin to reach up to 10% of the maximum proportion found in this heigh bin. All remaining cells were then assigned to the “trees” class. Finally, we combined the two classifications into one as illustrated in c). Panel d) shows the location of the protected area within Denmark. The 3D raster visualisations were generated using the rayshader v0.19.2 package in R (Morgan-Wall, 2020).

5. Discussion - limitations and future perspectives

Our data set demonstrates how the complex information in ALS point cloud data sets spanning more than 40.000 km², can be condensed into a compact data set of rasterized variables of interest for ecological studies. For the whole of Denmark, we provide 70 raster layers representing eighteen measures that describe a snapshot of vegetation height, structure and density, as well as topography and topography-derived habitat characteristics, including slope, aspect, solar radiation and wetness for the time period 2014-2015. These measures are of direct relevance for ecological research on species’ habitat characteristics, distribution modelling, biodiversity and conservation applications. Condensing the ALS derived information into a compact set of raster variables makes it more accessible to the community of ecological researchers and practitioners, allowing them to access information on the vertical structure of vegetation and terrain otherwise difficult to obtain for large extents such as those of a whole country.

We would like to highlight some key ecological and physical limitations that should be kept in mind when using the data or derivatives. The EcoDes data set is a snapshot in time representing the collection period of approximately one and a half years between spring 2014 and summer 2015. Like anywhere on Earth, the landscapes of Denmark may change over time and by
the time point of publication of this data set over 5 years may have passed since the collection of the source data. External data sources containing information about on-going or past changes (such as satellite imagery - see below) might help overcome this bias. Additionally, the geographical differences in the timing of the point cloud collection across the country (Nord-Larsen et al., 2017), may introduce noise and could affect cross-comparability of the data between regions in some cases. Furthermore, there are implicit limitations in spatial scale due to the set grain size of the data set. We chose a 10 m x 10 m grid for efficiency in computation and data handling, as well as to overcome limitations in the density of the source point cloud (four to five points per m²). Our data set might therefore not serve well for capturing some ecological relevant variation in terrain and vegetation structures at scales below the 10 m x 10 m grain size. We believe that our data set is nonetheless valuable in providing ecologically relevant information at the geographical extent of Denmark.

While some of the variables in the presented data set such as elevation, slope and vegetation height are quite straightforward to interpret, the ecological meaning of other variables – for example those related to vegetation structure – may not be as obvious as they are influenced by multiple ecological and sensing methodology related factors. The amplitude, point count and point proportion variables are amongst those measures. For example, while the (non-calibrated) amplitude in the DHM/Point-cloud source data may generally relate to the reflectance properties of the surface that generated the return, the incident light angle, scattering and subsequent generation of echoes may result in several different surfaces generating similar amplitude signatures. Furthermore, the point counts may be influenced by a whole suite of factors, including incident light angle, scattering, density of flight strips covering a given cell, as well as canopy properties - most importantly the penetration ability. While standardising the point counts as proportions to the total counts may help to account for some of these factors, it is likely that notable uncertainties will remain even in the proportions especially for lower layers of the canopy. Nonetheless, we believe that these measures can be informative if appropriate care is taken in their interpretation.

Two code developments could enhance the EcoDes-DK15 processing workflow in efficiency and transferability: using gdal Python bindings and switching to an open-source point cloud handler. First, for practical reasons we reverted to using gdal binaries rather than the Python bindings as we encountered issues with the gdal bindings provided by the OPALS shell on our computational server. Solving this issue and using the bindings instead of the binaries could reduce hard drive access time and overheads from launching subprocesses and therefore potentially speed up the raster manipulations in the workflow. However, as the point cloud processing takes the majority of time (we estimate 75-80%) we did not invest further resources to do so in the first development round. Secondly, while our Python source code is open source and freely available, OPALS itself requires the purchase of a software license, limiting the transferability of our code to projects which can afford the license. We did not explore alternatives to OPALS, but a redeveloped processing pipeline could make use of purely open source software benefiting from ongoing developments in the field, see for example the “Laserchicken” Python module (Meijer et al., 2020) and “lidR” R package (Roussel et al., 2020).
We believe that to realise the full potential of ALS derived data such as EcoDes-DK15 these data sets are ideally combined with other data sources including climate, field data and remote sensing observations. Climate data is especially relevant for addressing research on species-habitat relationships, distribution models and biodiversity studies and many studies have demonstrated the power of ALS observations in complementing climate data for such exercises (Coops et al., 2016; Zellweger et al., 2016). Like for other remote sensing products, field data is essential for validating inferences and putting biological meaning into ALS data (Coops et al., 2021) - this applies especially to the more complex structural vegetation measures in EcoDes-DK15. This could be achieved through field surveys combined with terrestrial and drone based ALS data, where the point density is much higher (e.g., Madsen et al., 2020). The potential benefits from fusing ALS data with other remote sensing products have been realised early on (Hyde et al., 2005) and demonstrated again since then (e.g., Coops et al., 2021; Montgomery et al., 2019; Manzanera et al., 2016). However, note that data fusion does not provide additional value in every use case (Xu et al., 2018; Ceballos et al., 2015; Boelman et al., 2016). We still believe that there is tremendous potential in combining EcoDes-DK15 with other types of remote sensing data. Fine-grain optical imagery could provide proxies for horizontal vegetation structure in grasslands where the vegetation is too small to be captured by the DHM/Point-cloud density (e.g., Malmstrom et al., 2017; Pázmár et al., 2021) and satellite derived time-series can provide unique temporal perspectives that describe parameters of seasonality (e.g., Boelman et al., 2016) and the historical context on disturbances and landcover change not captured in the single time-point ALS data (e.g., Senf et al., 2017; Pekel et al., 2016).

6. Data availability

The data is openly available under a Creative Commons by Attribution 4.0 license on Zenodo:

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4756556 (Assmann et al., 2021)

A small example subset “teaser” (5 MB) covering the 9 km x 9 km of the Husby Klit area (Fig. 6) is available on the GitHub code repository:


7. Code availability

The source code for the processing pipeline is openly available under a simplified BSD license via GitHub:

https://github.com/jakobjassmann/ecodes-dk-lidar
8. Conclusions

Open data sets like EcoDes-DK15 will allow ecologists with limited computational resources and little expertise in handling LiDAR point clouds to use large-scale ALS data for their research. We see our efforts not only as a first step for providing ready-to-use descriptors of local vegetation and terrain features, but also for providing an example workflow and tools that allow for the replication of the processing. We have described and documented the measures of terrain and vegetation structure contained in the data set and pointed out possible applications and limitations. We are confident that EcoDes-DK15 provides a meaningful collection of ecological descriptors at a 10 x 10 m resolution for the extent of a whole country and we encourage the community to use our workflow and collection of codes as inspirations to process other large-scale ALS data sets in a similar manner. Ultimately, we hope the publication of this data set will help facilitate the uptake of ALS-derived information by ecological researchers and practitioners in Denmark and beyond.
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