

Dear Dr. Deirdre Ryan,

Thank you for your insightful comments on our revised manuscript. Enclosed you will find a revised manuscript text and figures that incorporate your requested corrections.

- (1) For those sites with chronologies based solely on amino acid racemization, we updated to quality ratings to better reflect the precision of the age assignments. This upwardly revised the MIS 5a and 5c Gaviota terraces and MIS 5a Newport, Santa Rosa Island, Oceanside, and Eleuthera Island indicators age quality ratings from 2 to 4 and raised the MIS 5a Bruhel Point and Robe Range indicators age quality rating from 2 to 3.

The corresponding summary sections (5.1.19, 5.2.10, 5.3.13) figures (6 and 7) and tables (1 and 2) now reflect these updated quality ratings.

- (2) We also revised the terminology of “wave-cut platform” to “shore platform” in sections 1, 2, 5.1.2, 5.1.4, 5.1.8, 5.1.12, and 5.3.3.
- (3) We have made strides in converting Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7 into a more color-blind friendly color palette, and we attach those to this revision should it be possible to include these within the revised manuscript.

We are also going to upload a version 2 of our WALIS data into the Zenodo data repository to incorporate changes made to the database during the revision process. At what stage will we be able to update the link in the manuscript?

We will endeavor to take into account your suggestions for future manuscripts.

Sincerely,

-Schmitt Thompson and Jessica Creveling