

Interactive comment on "University of Kentucky measurements of wind, temperature, pressure and humidity in support of LAPSE-RATE using multi-site fixed-wing and rotorcraft UAS" by Sean C. C. Bailey et al.

Sean C. C. Bailey et al.

sean.bailey@uky.edu Received and published: 1 July 2020

Response to Referee Report #1 for University of Kentucky measurements of wind, temperature, pressure and humidity in support of LAPSE-RATE using multi-site fixed-wing and rotorcraft UAS submitted to Earth System Science Data

Thank you for taking the time to review and report on our manuscript. We have made

C1

appropriate revisions to our original manuscript submission (which are indicated in the manuscript using blue text) and provide point-by-point responses to individual comments below. For convenience the original Referee comments are provided in italics with our responses added below:

The manuscript by Bailey et al. introduces the data set available from UAS deployed during the LAPSE-RATE experiment by the University of Kentucky. Four different platforms, either fixed-wing or rotorcraft measured boundary layer parameters as temperature, relative humidity, pressure, and wind. The manuscript provides access to the data set and clearly defines the accuracy of each sensor, the different flights, and the associated technical and regulatory limitations. Quality control and bias correction are also implemented. Description of the UAS are well-documented, yet this reviewer recommends a table to improve the access to the information. However, a comprehensive overview of the dataset is missing. A section should be added to provide figures showing an overview of the variables. In addition, the time series of the meteorological measurements would allow an assessment of the weather status during which the UAS measurements were taken. Statistic figures (profiles, histograms, etc.) of each variable would help to identify interesting meteorological periods for further scientific analysis. The figures also need to be improved before final publication (for example, maps and flight plan displays).

Figure 1, in concert with Tables 1 and 2 were intended to provide the information required to access specific information, providing Day/time/location and aircraft information. Please keep in mind that the dataset being described in this paper comprises 178 individual files, each providing measurements of 3 or more thermodynamic/kinematic variables. This means providing information about the contents of each individual file in a tabulated format is not efficient and therefore providing a comprehensive overview of the dataset is a challenging enterprise. In addition, this manuscript is submitted as part of a special edition detailing the LAPSE-RATE campaign, and an overview of the meteorological conditions and measurement objectives will be provided by de Boer et al., with the intent of helping to identify interesting meteorological periods for further scientific analysis.

That said, we have added an additional table providing additional information about the resolution and uncertainty of the variables measured by all systems. We have also added an additional section presenting time series of the variables measured by the flux tower, as well as additional figures presenting all measured profiles of temperature and wind magnitude. We feel that this information should provide a sufficient overview of the measurement results, and are currently conducting more focused statistical analysis of the data as part of more detailed investigation of the results. Note that the figures in the compiled .pdf did not reflect the original quality of the .png images. We have replaced these images with .eps versions which we hope will compile better in the final production.

Specific comments:

1. Page 2 Section 2: It would be complementary to the text to add pictures of each platform with their sensors to visualize the placement of instruments on the UAS.

We have added photographs of each of the aircraft and the flux tower as Figures 1 and 2 respectively.

2. A table including the UAS and the sensor description, accuracy and resolution should be added. The flux tower instrumentation should also be included in the table.

This table has been added as Table 3.

3. Page 6 Figure 1: In the legend, add the meaning of the colored dots. It is not clear where each UAS flew on each day when just looking at Figure 1. Incorporating information from table 2 would improve the figure.

We apologize for not including this information in the legend and originally intended this figure to be used in conjunction with Table 2. Please note that the C^2

C3

colored dots are the actual flight trajectories for each day overlaid on the topographical map. We have updated the legend and tried to improve the overall clarity of the figure.

4. Page 7 Table 2: This table should also describe the flight pattern associated to each UAS mission for each day.

We have added this information to the table.

5. Page 8 line 177: For the fixed-wing, what is the diameter of the spirals? For fixed-wing and rotorcraft, what are the ascent/descent rates?

This information has been added to the text.

6. Page 9 Figure 2: Add the legend for blue and red profiles in the figure. Does Zulu represent the 'Zulu time'? Is it a location? Would is rather be location Kilo? A map should be incorporated in Figure 2(a) to show the terrain associated with the location even if the profiles are also similar for other locations on July 16 and 18. Add also the transects from BCT5B in a similar figure as Figure 2(a) with a map. The transects are not easily identified in Figure 1(b).

You are correct, this should have said Kilo not Zulu. Also, we have changed Figure 2 to follow a recommendation of Referee 2 and it now presents the cadence for all days and all flights, providing a more comprehensive summary of the entire dataset. For conciseness, the three-dimensional flight profiles are no longer shown. Note that the terrain is flat for all locations except Poison Gulch and Saguache Airfield (see Figure 1) and therefore providing a map to correspond with these flights does not provide much additional information beyond what is already provided in Figure 1.

7. Page 10 line 213: Do horizontal profiles correspond to transects? What is the length of the transects?

Yes, we internally use the term horizontal profiles interchangeably with transects. The transects were nominally 1700 m long on July 19th with two 13000 m long transects conducted on July 16th. For clarity we have revised the manuscript to replace the term 'horizontal profiles' with 'transects' measurements and added the typical transect length to the text.

8. Page 11 Figure 3: The topography in Figure 3(a) is helpful, however the flight patterns are not visible. An appropriate scale should be selected to emphasize the different flights. In Figure 3(b), for the legend with the names of the UAS, same color and same order should be kept between Figures (a) and (b). Different markers or linewidth would clarify which aircraft is a fixed-wing or a rotorcraft UAS.

We have updated Figure 3(a), replacing it with two figures to better illustrate the flight profiles at the Poison Gulch and Saguache Airfield measurement sites. Note that the same colors were already used between the two figures with the exception of the M600 which was changed to improve visibility due to the different backgrounds in figure 3a vs 3b. We have updated all figures in the manuscript to ensure consistent coloring between figures. Although not as clear as we would have liked, we have also made the rotorcraft lines thicker than those of the fixed-wings. We found that increasing/decreasing line thickness further detracted from the readability of the figures.

9. Page 13 Figure 4: What is the location Zulu? Would it rather be location Kilo? Add the legend for red and blue lines in the figure.

You are correct. We have fixed this in the manuscript.

10. Page 12 Section 5: A case study is provided in this section; however, as the broader meteorological context is not introduced, it is not straight forward how to interpret these UAS measurements and identify relevant periods for further analysis. An overview of the data set is needed, such as time series of the meteorological conditions monitored by the tower over the four days. Statistic figures

C5

(temperature, relative humidity, mixing ratio, wind, etc.) should be provided to summarize the flights on each day at each location.

As noted above, we have added an additional section presenting time series of the variables measured by the flux tower, as well as additional figures presenting all measured profiles of temperature and wind magnitude. Although we understand why the referee would like such a presentation, we feel that additional statistical analysis of the results is beyond the intended scope of the current manuscript. Note that to present a separate figure for each location and day would require 13 separate figures per variable (not including the flux tower results) and that a full comprehensive presentation as suggested by the referee would significantly increase the manuscript length.

11. Page 14 line 266: "USA researchers from multiple institutions", credit also needs to be given to researchers from other countries and foreign institutions.

Note that the full text "... in the San Luis Valley in Colorado, USA researchers from multiple institutions..." was written with the intent for the the "USA" to refer to the location of the measurement, not the origin of the researchers involved. We have revised the text by moving the comma after the USA to be more clear in our intended meaning.

Technical Corrections:

1. Page 2 line 25: Remove one 'of' Removed.