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1. Abstract

A data product of 17,653 discrete samples from 3,343 oceanographic stations combining measurements of pH, alkalinity and other biogeochemical parameters off the North-western Iberian Peninsula from June 1976 to September 2018 is presented in this study. The oceanography cruises funded by 24 projects were primarily carried out in the Ría de Vigo coastal inlet, but also in an area ranging from the Bay of Biscay to the Portuguese coast. The robust seasonal cycles and long-term trends were only calculated along a longitudinal section, gathering data from the coastal and oceanic zone of the Iberian Upwelling System. The pH in the surface waters of these separated regions, which were highly variable due to intense photosynthesis and the remineralization of organic matter, showed an interannual acidification ranging from -0.0016 yr⁻¹ to -0.0039 yr⁻¹ that grew towards the coastline. This result is obtained despite the buffering capacity increasing in the coastal waters further inland as shown by the increase in alkalinity by 1.1±0.7 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 2.6±1.0 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the inner and outer Ría de Vigo respectively, driven by interannual changes in the surface salinity of 0.0193±0.0056 psu yr⁻¹ and 0.0426±0.016 psu yr⁻¹ respectively. The loss of the vertical salinity gradient in the long-term trend in the inner ria was consistent with other significant biogeochemical changes such as a lower oxygen concentration and fertilization of the surface waters. These findings seem to be related to a growing footprint of sediment remineralization of organic matter in the surface layer of a more homogeneous water column.

Data are available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.20350/digitalCSIC/12498 (Pérez et al., 2020).

2. Introduction

Emissions of anthropogenic origin CO₂ (fossil fuels, land use and cement manufacturing) into the atmosphere are the main cause behind the warming of the Earth due to the greenhouse effect (IPCC, 2013). Given the constant exchange of gases through the air-sea interface, the oceanic reservoir plays a key role as a sink for about...
31% of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (Sabine et al., 2004), controlling the partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere and regulating global temperatures.

The CO₂ uptake by the oceans produces changes in the inorganic carbon system in spite of being partially dampened by the seawater buffering capacity. This ability of seawater to withdraw anthropogenic CO₂ becomes more limited as more CO₂ is absorbed, which will make it difficult to stabilize atmospheric CO₂ in the future (Orr et al., 2009). The gradual absorption of atmospheric CO₂ by the oceans decreases seawater pH, causing ocean acidification, which conditions the buffering capacity of seawater and in turn the exchange of CO₂ between the ocean and the atmosphere (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003; Raven et al., 2005). This effect of CO₂ absorption, which is known as ocean acidification, conditions the buffering capacity of seawater and to some extent the exchange of CO₂ between the ocean and the atmosphere. The Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of the UNESCO identified the chemical change in seawater brought about by ocean acidification as an indicator of a stressor on marine ecosystems with a negative impact on socio-economic activities such as fishing and shellfish farming. Hence, it was necessary for the oceanography community to observe and gather data about pH and other parameters of the marine carbon system to conduct accurate measurements of pH and ancillary parameters and provide data products to help a sustainable management of the marine resources. The effect of ocean acidification on marine ecosystems has stimulated impetus in the international community for gathering high quality time-series measurements of the marine inorganic carbon system (Hofmann et al., 2011; Andersson and MacKenzie, 2012; McElhany and Busch, 2013; Takeshita et al., 2015; Wahl et al., 2016) and for predicting the future evolution of the pH caused by climate change.

The threat for oceanic acidification of marine ecosystems is especially significant in regions like coastal upwelling areas, which are more sensitive and appear to respond faster to anthropogenic perturbations (Feely et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 2012; Lachkar, 2014; Hauri et al., 2013). These ecosystems are characteristic for their complex physical and biogeochemical interactions and for sustaining enormous biological productivity and productive fisheries (Pauly and Christensen, 1995; Haury et al., 2009). The photosynthetic activity in these regions is also an important mechanism for the seawater CO₂ uptake, converting most of these areas into atmospheric CO₂ sinks (Pérez et al.,
However, the high physical/chemical variability in short temporal and spatial scales of upwelling systems and the lack of regular sampling in these waters prevents a complete picture of the acidification of these ecosystems.

In the Iberian Upwelling System, the researchers of the Instituto de Investigaciones Mariñas (IIM-CSIC) since 1976 commenced accurate measurements of marine inorganic carbon system and associated parameters. As a result, a collection of pH observations and ancillary biogeochemical information along the Galicia coast (40°N and 45°N, 11°W) has been gathered under the framework of different projects over the past 40 years. The current database, hereinafter called ARIOS (Acidification in the rias and the Iberian continental shelf) database, holds biogeochemical information from 3,357 oceanographic stations, giving 17,653 discrete samples. This unique collection is a starting point for evaluating the ocean acidification in the Iberian Upwelling System characterized by intense biogeochemical interactions as an observation-based analysis, or for use as inputs in a coupled physical-biogeochemical model to disentangle these interactions at the ecosystem level.

3. Data provenance
3.1. Data spatial coverage
The main characteristic of the Galician coastline, located in the north-west of the Iberian Peninsula, is the Rías Baixas, four long coastal estuaries or rias (>2.5 km²) between 42°N and 43°N (Fig. 1). The water exchange between the Rías Baixas and open waters is drastically affected by the coastal wind pattern as part of the Canary Current Upwelling System (Wooster et al., 1976; Fraga 1981; Arístegui et al., 2004). Under the predominance of northeasterly winds (Blanton et al., 1984) during spring-summer, the surface offshore transport of surface waters leads to a rising cold, nutrient-rich, deep water mass called the Eastern North Atlantic Central Water (ENACW) (Ríos et al., 1992). Under these conditions, the Rías Baixas act as an extension of the continental shelf (Rosón et al., 1995; Souto et al., 2003; Gilcoto et al., 2017), where upwelling filaments extending westward export primary production from the coast into the ocean (Álvarez-Salgado et al., 2001). In the opposite direction, the prevalence of northward winds (Blanton et al., 1984) moves the surface waters towards the coast, where they accumulate, sink and thus isolate the coast. This process, known as downwelling, is typical during the autumn-winter along with other characteristics such as the warm,
salty waters from the Iberian Poleward Current (IPC) of subtropical origin (Fraga et al., 1982; Alvarez-Salgado et al., 2006) that flows constrained to the Iberian shelf break (Frouin et al., 1990). The run-off from local rivers also contributes to the presence of river plumes over the shelf (Otero et al., 2008). These hydrodynamic conditions, the meteorological forcings and the alternation of periods of upwelling and downwelling (Álvarez, 1999; Gago et al., 2003c; Cobo-Viveros et al., 2013) stimulate the development of intense primary production and high rates of recycling and downward carbon export (Alonso-Pérez and Castro, 2014). The result of this biogeochemical variability in terms of air-sea CO$_2$ exchange is that the surface waters act as a net CO$_2$ sink that is especially intense and variable over the shelf compared to offshore or in the inner Rías Baixas (Padin et al., 2010).

Besides the short-term and seasonal variability, significant changes in the long-term scale have been reported in this region. In addition to changes such as the weakening and shortening of the upwelling events (Lemos and Sansó, 2006; Pérez et al., 2010; Alvarez-Salgado et al., 2009), the warming (González-Pola et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2010) and changes in the composition of phytoplankton (Bode et al., 2009; Pérez et al., 2010), the acidification in the first 700 metres for the geographical area from the Iberian Peninsula to the 20° W meridian and from 36°N to 43°N has also been observed at a rate of -0.0164 pH units per decade (Ríos et al., 2001; Castro et al. 2009).

3.2. Distribution of sampling

According to the type of region under study, different areas were identified in order to classify the measurements gathered in the oceanographic cruises (Fig. 1). The latitude of 43°N where Cape Finisterre is located was used as the dividing line between northern and southern waters. Subsequently, a criterion of depth also split the waters to the north of 43°N into north oceanic (below 250 m), north shelf (between 205 m and 75 m) and north coast (75 m to the surface). The southern shelf waters were divided by latitude 42°N into Portuguese and the Rías Baixas (RB) shelves, whereas the shallower waters were identified by the main rias, where three different zones were defined using longitude boundaries (outer, middle and inner) according to Gago et al. (2003c) in the Ría de Vigo, and just two zones in the other rias (Ría de Pontevedra, Ría de Arousa, Ría de Muros). Southern waters between the isobath at 75 metres and the mouth of the estuaries were identified as the Portuguese and RB coast.
The discrete measurements gathered in the ARIOS dataset were mainly found in different regions' waters around 42°N latitude (Fig 1; Fig. 2a), especially in the outer and middle areas of the Ría de Vigo, which accounted for 15% and 21% of the total measurements respectively due to the proximity to the Instituto de Investigaciones Mariñas (IIM-CSIC). Most of the measurements (85%) carried out by many of these cruises to study the coastal ecosystems concentrated on shallow waters between the seawater surface and 75 metres in depth (Fig. 2b). Although waters below 4,900 metres deep were also sampled, observations below 900 metres only account for 1% of the ARIOS database.

The observations made over more than 40 years in every region of the ARIOS database were irregular on both an interannual and seasonal scale (Fig. 2a). The period of most sampling activity was the 80s and 90s, whereas samples were especially scarce in the early 2010s. On a seasonal scale, summer and autumn were the preferred seasons to address the different research purposes, with 37% and 36% of the total samples respectively. The observations taken during less favourable winter conditions, especially aboard the coastal vessels usually available, only accounted for the 10% of the ARIOS database.

3.3. Data sources

The ARIOS database is a compilation of biogeochemical properties with discrete measurements of temperature, salinity, oxygen, nutrients, alkalinity, pH and chlorophyll that were sampled in waters off the northwest of the Iberian Peninsula from 1976 to 2018 and measured by IIM-CSIC (Table 1). This data collection is part of the research by 24 projects and oceanographic cruises conducted in response to different aims. The different sampling strategies built up an irregular biogeochemical database whose particular frequency and spatial coverage is shown in Figure 2.

The contribution to the ARIOS database from the oceanographic cruises and projects over the different decades is described below.

Cruises in the 70s and 80s:
The first three cruises were carried out over three periods (1976, 1981-1983 and 1983-1984), sampling the Ría de Vigo. These cruises were designed to provide environmental information (upwelling events, estuarine circulation, continental inputs, etc.) for research into the biology of some fish species. They measured identical parameters in the Vigo estuary but at different stations and frequency.

In the summer of 1984, the Galicia VIII cruise studied the summer upwelling events occurring on the contact front between the two ENACW water masses off Cape Finisterre from short sections perpendicular to the Galician coast with 85 stations offshore and 35 stations over the shelf. This cruise marked a milestone in the oceanographic research of IIM-CSIC because it was the first time that the parameters of the carbon system were measured on-board in offshore waters. Moreover, measurements of a particular station on the shelf break with a bottom depth of 600 metres were taken every two days for a month, including two-day continuous samplings.

Two years later, the Ría de Vigo 1986 sampled along the main axis of the Ría de Vigo in 7 monthly repetitions during the first half of the year in which the primary production and the organic matter exchange between the estuary and the shelf was studied in relation to the hydrographic regime. Shortly afterwards, the same topic was also researched by the Galicia IX project in September and October 1986 from 145 stations, 50 of which were coastal and 80 located in ocean waters (Prego et al., 1990).

The following year, the 1987 Provigo project (Nogueira et al., 1997) initiated a periodic study from a fixed site (42º14.5'N, 8º45.8'W) located in the main channel in the middle zone of the Ría de Vigo. This oceanographic station was selected as suitable for evaluating the main processes that occur in the inner ria associated with external forcing changes (Rios, 1992; Figueiras et al., 1994). Although the Provigo project finished in 1996, the fixed station was repeatedly included in subsequent cruises, extending the time series at this location until today, when it is currently sampled every week by INTECMAR (www.intecmar.gal). An example of the subsequent sampling repetition of this station occurred the following year when one of the three stations in the Vigo estuary in the Luna 1988 project (Fraga et al., 1992) took a sample every two weeks.
study the environmental control over the phytoplankton populations throughout an annual cycle (February 1988 - February 1989).

At the end of 80s, the carbon system monitoring by the IIM-CSIC was extended to the Ría de Arousa throughout 1989 (Álvarez-Salgado et al., 1993; Perez et al., 2000) in order to learn the effect of upwelling on the water circulation pattern, community production and the fluxes and net budgets of biogenic constituents in this ria with the highest mussel production in Europe. For 5 months, 11 stations’ samples were repeated twice a week in the ria that is the most productive, housing intense cultivation of mussels on rafts (Blanton et al., 1984).

Cruises of the 90s:

In the first half of this decade, studying the phytoplankton communities was the oceanographic cruises’ most relevant aim, concentrating particularly on harmful algae blooms. The hydrodynamic and biogeochemical conditions controlling the growth, development and migration of the phytoplankton were analysed both in the interior of the estuary and on the continental shelf.

For five days in September, the 1990 Ría de Vigo cruise (Figueiras et al., 1994) sampled five stations distributed along the longitudinal axis of the ria and one at the northern mouth. The next year, the cruise Galicia XI was carried out in May, sampling at 39 stations along eight transects perpendicular to the coastline; and Galicia XII (Alvarez-Salgado et al., 1998, 2002, 2003; Castro et al., 1994) in September, sampling at 37 oceanic stations and 7 coastal stations.

The Ría de Vigo cruise in 1993-4 (Miguez et al., 2001), with four stations using 24 repetitions with a CTD-SBE25, investigated the hydrodynamic and biogeochemical effect on the evolution of phytoplankton communities in the Ría de Vigo. Six samples were taken in approximately two weeks corresponding to two different periods (27 September to 8 October 1993, and 6 March to 24 March 1994).

Ría de Vigo 1994-95 (Alvarez et al., 1999; Doval et al., 1998, 1997a, 1997b) and Ría de Vigo 1997 (Gago et al., 2003a, b, c) were two cruises that took place in the second half of the decade. These campaigns’ objective was no longer the ecology of the plankton,
but the factors behind the variation of the carbon pools during the upwelling and
downwelling events along the central axis of the Ria of Vigo. During the 1997 cruises
on board the B/O Mytilus, a systematic observation of the pCO₂ was carried out for the
first time in Spanish coastal waters, using an autonomous continuous system with
additional measurements of temperature, salinity and chlorophyll.

Cruises in the 2000s and recent years:

After a period of poor sampling at the end of 90s, the first decade of the 21st century
gave new impetus to biogeochemical monitoring of Galician waters. As shown below,
several projects dealt with various objectives, focussing on particular issues in the
dynamics of these waters:

The DYBAGA project (Galician Platform’s Annual Dynamics and Biochemistry: short-
scale variation) (Álvarez-Salgado et al., 2006; Castro et al., 2006; Nieto-Cid et al.,
2004) analysed the phenomena of upwelling and downwelling in the Galician shelf
opposite the Ría de Vigo weekly and their impact on the different biogeochemical and
carbon system variables including organic dissolved matter. Three stations were
sampled weekly from May 2001 to April 2002 between the shelf break (1,200 m deep)
to the middle of the Ría de Vigo (45 m deep).

The REMODA (Reactivity of dissolved organic matter in a coastal upwelling system)
(Álvarez-Salgado et al., 2005; Piedracoba et al., 2005; Nieto-Cid et al., 2006) project
concentrated on learning the origin and destination of dissolved organic matter in the
Ría de Vigo as well. Three stations along the main axis of the Ría de Vigo, including the
fixed station as the central one, took samples with short (3-4 days) and seasonal time
scales.

The FLUVBE project (Coupling of benthic and pelagic fluxes in the Ría de Vigo) added
to knowledge about the productivity and the benthic fluxes of oxygen and inorganic
nutrients in the Ría de Vigo from 16 oceanographic surveys with four stations between
April 2004 and January 2005.
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The ZOTRACOS project studied the biogeochemical and hydrodynamic characterization of the coastal transition zone in NW Spain during the downwelling period (Teira et al., 2009).

The CRIA (Circulation in a RIA) (Barton et al., 2019) project examined the layout of the two-layer circulation and propagation of upwelled and downwelled waters in order to estimate the flushing and vertical velocities in the Ría de Vigo in repeated hydrographic surveys between September 2006 and June 2007 (Barton et al., 2015, 2016; Alonso-Perez and Castro, 2014; Alonso-Perez et al., 2010; Alonso-Perez et al., 2015).

The RAFTING project (Impact of mussel raft cultivation on the benthic-pelagic coupling in a Galician ria) (Frojan et al., 2018; Frojan et al., 2016; Froján et al., 2014) assessed for the first time how mussel cultivation influences the quality of particular organic carbon fluxes in the Ría de Vigo. Over the four seasons, two stations were visited every two to three days during each period, meaning 24 oceanographic cruises in 2007 and 2008.

The CAIBEX (Continental shelf-ocean exchanges in the marine ecosystem of the Canary Islands-Iberian Peninsula) (Villacieros-Robineau et al., 2019) project compared the dynamics and biogeochemical activity between the coastal zone and the adjacent ocean in the study zone during the summer upwelling events. As part of the CAIBEX project, a mooring at the LOCO (Laboratory of Ocean and Coastal Observation) (Zuñiga et al., 2016, 2017) site located on the continental shelf was deployed and visited monthly for one year to monitor the vertical profiles of biogeochemical variables.

After these projects were completed in 2009, new measurements were not provided until 2018. The aim of the ARIOS project (Acidification in the rias and on the Iberian continental shelf) was to evaluate the impact of ocean acidification and learn about potential impacts on the mussels and their adaptation (Lassoued et al., 2019) to the new climate change.

3.4. Methods
To assess the level of acidification in the ocean adjacent to the Galician coast, variables of the carbon system (pH and alkalinity), nutrient concentration, dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll-a, salinity and temperature were measured in each cruise. The variables measured in each oceanographic cruise gathered in the ARIOS dataset are shown in Table 1. The main changes in the material and methods throughout these years are detailed below.

T-S measurements

Temperatures from 1976 to 1984 were measured using a Wallace and Tiernan bathythermograph. Reversing thermometers that had a precision of 0.02ºC were used, attached to the water samplers between 1984 and 1990, correcting the temperature between the protected and unprotected thermometers according to Anderson (1974). During those years, the depth was calculated from the thermometric readings, rounding the result off to the nearest ten. After 1990, different models of CTD instruments that measured the seawater temperature with a precision of 0.002ºC were used to obtain the thermohaline profile.

The first measurements of salinity were determined with a Plessey Environmental Systems 6230N inductive salinometer calibrated with normal IAPSO water and calculated from the equations given in the NIO and UNESCO International Oceanographic Tables (1981). The precision of these salinity measurements was 0.005 psu. After using this equipment, the salinity was determined with an AUTOSAL 8400A inductive salinometer calibrated with normal IAPSO water whose estimated analytical error was 0.003, using the equation of practical salinity given by UNESCO (1981). CTDs began to be used in 1990 to record the vertical salinity profiles, calibrated using the salinity samples, whose possible deviations in the measurements were estimated from the discrete measurements from the AUTOSAL salinometer.

pH measurements

The pH measurements were originally taken with a Metrohm E-510 pH meter with a glass electrode and a Ag/ClAg reference one calibrated with 7.413 NBS buffer. All pH values were converted to values at 15 ºC using the temperature correction from the Buch and Nynas tables published by Barnes (1959). In 1984, the method was modified and the temperature normalization was carried out following Pérez and Fraga (1987b).
Two years later, the measurement equipment was the Metrohm E-654 pH meter with an Orion 81-04 Ross combined glass electrode, with the pH converted to the SWS scale using the hydrogen activity coefficient given by Mehrbach et al. (1973) at 25°C with the parameterization given by Pérez and Fraga (1987b). The error in this potentiometric method was 0.010. In 2001, the seawater pH measurements were determined with a spectrophotometric method following Clayton and Byrne (1993), subsequently adding 0.0047 to the pH value according to DeIValls and Dickson (1998). The precision of the spectrophotometric measurements was 0.003 pH units.

The pH values were reported on total pH scale at 0 dbar of pressure and both at 25°C and in situ temperature (pHT) following the same procedure of GLODAP v2 (Olsen et al., 2019). A total of 12,220 measurements of pH on NBS scale were converted to the total scale using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) for MATLAB (van Heuven et al., 2011) with pH and total alkalinity as inputs. The conversion was conducted with the carbonate dissociation constants of Lueker et al. (2000) and the borate-to-salinity ratio of Uppström (1974). Whenever total alkalinity data were missing, these values were approximated as 66 times salinity that is the mean ratio between the total alkalinity and the salinity of every in situ measurements compiled in the ARIOS database. Data for phosphate and silicate are also needed and were, whenever missing, a constant values of 10 µmol kg$^{-1}$ for silicate and 1 µmol kg$^{-1}$ for phosphate were used. These approximations were tested on 8,296 samples with complete biogeochemical information showing a bias of less than 0.0004 pH units for 99.95% of the samples.

Alkalinity measurements

The seawater alkalinity was measured for the first time in 1981 by potentiometric titration with HCl 0.1M at final pH 4.44 following Pérez and Fraga (1987a) with an analytical error of 2 µmol kg$^{-1}$ and a precision of 0.1%. Sodium tetraborate decahydrate (Borax, Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ 10H$_2$O, Merck p.a.) was used for standardizing the HCl (0.13 M). The pH measurements were carried out with a combined glass electrode (Metrohm E-121) with Ag/AgCl (KC1 3M) as the reference. The pH was calibrated using the NBS buffers assuming the theoretical slope. As of 2001, the accuracy of alkalinity measurements was determined using samples of certified reference material (CRM) provided by Dr. A. Dickson, University of California, improving the precision to ±1.4 mol kg$^{-1}$ and an
accuracy of <0.1% recently established by (Ríos and Pérez, 1999) from cross-
calculation with measured Certified Reference Materials (Dickson et al., 2007).

**Nutrient measurements**

Except for the Galicia cruises (Table 1), in which nutrient samples were analysed on
board, samples were kept in the dark and cold (4°C) after collection for further analyses
in the shore based laboratory. Nutrient concentration was determined by a flow-
segmented autoanalyzer (Technicon AAII and Alpkem after 1995) as described in
Strickland and Parsons (1968) with the particularity that the reduction of nitrate to
nitrite with Cd column was done using a citrate buffer according to Mouriño and
Fraga’s modification (1985). Phosphates and silicates were measured following
Grasshoff (1983), and ammonium as described by Grasshoff and Johannsen (1972).
This method was maintained in the subsequent cruises, achieving a precision of 0.02
µmol/kg for nitrite, 0.1 µmol/kg for nitrate, 0.05 µmol kg⁻¹ for ammonium and silicate,
and 0.01 µmol/kg for phosphate.

**Oxygen measurements**

The dissolved oxygen was determined via the Winkler titration method for the first time
in 1981 following the procedure published later by Culberson et al. (1991). The oxygen
concentration in the samples in this method was fixed with MnCl₂ and NaOH/NaI,
which were kept in the dark until analysis in the laboratory 12-24 hours later. The
measurements were made by titration of iodine with thiosulfate using an automatic
titrator. During the 80s and early 90s, the titration was carried out with Metrohm
instruments (E-425 or E-473), which had an analytical error of 1 µmol kg⁻¹. The oxygen
concentration after 1997 was estimated using a Titrino 720 (Metrohm) analyser with an
accuracy of 0.5 µmol kg⁻¹.

**Chlorophyll measurements**

The chlorophyll-a values were measured following SCOR-UNESCO (1966) using a
6 cm diameter Schleicher and Scholl 602eh filter covered with magnesium carbonate.
The absorption was measured in 1 cm optical path cuvettes using a Beckman DU
spectrophotometer. In 1984, discrete water samples of the chlorophyll-a samples were
filtered through Whatman GF/F filters of 2.5 cm, which were preferred from then on,
and measured fluorometrically following Strickland and Parsons (1972) without
correction for concentration by pheophytes. The fluorescence readings were carried out with a Turner Designs 10,000 R fluorometer (Yentsh and Menzel, 1963) obtaining a precision of 0.05 g L⁻¹.

**Quality control**

Every cruise gathered in Table 1 passed 1st quality control (QC1) to ensure truly confident results. The GO-SHIP software for quality control of hydrographic data (Velo et al., 2019) that compile several QC1 procedures was applied to ARIOS dataset. That procedures consist on reviewing the property profiles and property-property plots generated by that application, adequate for each variable. A quality control flag value following the recommendations from WOCE bottle data flagging quality codes was assigned to each measurement available from the repository sites (Table 1). This method was preferred over applying a very stringent flagging process because it is difficult to rule out some extreme values associated with low salinities or that could be supported by the high variability of an ecosystem characterized by an intense biological activity. Nutrients and chlorophyll with values inferior to the precision were flagged as doubtful. Some very low pH associated to very low salinity waters were flagged as doubtful.

The ARIOS database includes the cruise corrections for pH data of the -0.017 for the Galicia VIII cruise (29GD19840711) and +0.032 for Galicia IX cruise (29GD19860904) detected during the second level quality control of CARINA project (Velo et al., 2010).

**4. Results**

Some of the most obvious results provided by the ARIOS database are shown below. The purpose is to describe the environmental context and the main oceanographic processes that affect the variability of these discrete measurements and offer preliminary information for future detailed biogeochemical research.

**4.1. Vertical distribution**

The vertical profile of the temperature, salinity, pH on total scale at in situ temperature (pH₁), NO₃⁻ and oxygen concentration in the ocean region between 41°N and 43°N was estimated for each oceanographic station as the mean value of the depth ranges described in Figure 2b. These measurements were gathered attending to the collection periods (December-February, March-May, June-August and September-November) and
averaged to describe winter, spring, summer and autumn respectively (Fig. 3, Table A1 in the Appendix).

The vertical distribution of the temperature (Fig. 3a) showed the presence of warmer saline waters throughout the water column in winter with the exception of the surface waters during summer, which showed intense heating due to the radiant solar energy. Below the maximum temperature observed during the summer, cold central waters of subpolar origin occupied the water columns with lower salinity (Fig. 3b). The vertical variation of temperature is typical for a temperate region with relatively homogenous deep water below the seasonal thermocline, reaching maximum SST values in summer and autumn, and minimums in spring and winter. The winter temperature profile is relatively warmer than in spring because of the presence of the IPC (Alvarez-Salgado et al., 2006), which reaches a depth of 300 metres. The maximum salinity is also found in winter due to the presence of the IPC, whereas the minimum values are found in autumn (Fig. 3b). Below 500 metres in depth, the increase in salinity points to the presence of Mediterranean Water. These differences reach a minimum at 500 metres deep, where the salinity values coincided. From this depth down to 1,100 metres, the differences in temperature and salinity throughout the four seasons were minimal, with the mean values converging to 11.03±0.07°C and 36.117±0.009 psu, respectively (Fig. 3ab).

The vertical profiles of pH, NO₃⁻ and oxygen concentration (Fig. 3cde) also showed a variation lower than 1% within this depth range with annual means of 15.2±0.1 µmol kg⁻¹, 8.025±0.005 and 188±1 µmol kg⁻¹ respectively. The pH values from a maximum subsurface located at around 40 metres deep showed a clear inverse correlation with the depth down to a depth of 500 metres throughout the seasonal cycle, where the annual minimum value of 8.018±0.005 was reached. The higher pH values could be attributed to the biological reduction of CO₂ by phytoplankton activity, which brought the pH to a maximum value of 8.13 to 40 meters during the spring bloom. After the intense photosynthetic activity observed in surface waters during spring and summer, pH values reached minimum values in the first 200 metres of depth during autumn due to respiration of organic matter. However, it was at a depth of 500 metres that the minimum pH values were measured in all seasons where is found the subpolar Eastern North Atlantic Central Water proceeding from the northeastern cyclonic gyre (Harvey, 1982; Rios et al., 1992). The influence of phytoplankton growth on biogeochemistry
during spring can be also evidenced by the oxygen concentration pattern (Fig. 3e). In the upper layer above 250 metres depth, spring oxygen levels exceeded those in winter, whereas a decrease in oxygen concentration was found from this depth down to 1000 metres, possible due to enhanced respiration from cascading organic matter. The impact on the growth of the phytoplankton community during the spring was also evident, judging by the oxygen concentration. So, in the upper waters the spring oxygen concentration values exceeded those of the winter values, while oxygen consumption was found from a depth of 300 metres to 1,000 metres due to respiration from organic matter arriving from above. The minimum values for oxygen concentration throughout the water column were found during summer and autumn. The nitrate concentration displayed a particularly vertical distribution, growing with depth from minimum values in the upper layer of the ocean region, which was practically zero during the first 50 metres. Below 100 metres, the nitrate concentration showed the maximum values in the vertical distribution during summer and autumn coinciding with the presence of waters of subpolar and subtropical origin respectively, whereas the minimum values appeared in winter. Towards the bottom, the seasonal values of NO\textsubscript{3} concentration were almost coincident at a mean value of 15.2±0.1 µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}.

4.2. Seasonal cycle

The seasonal cycle of the biogeochemical properties (temperature, salinity, pH, oxygen concentration, nitrate concentration and chlorophyll) in the surface waters (0 to 5 metres) of five geographical boxes was estimated as a monthly average previously filtering values outside of two standard deviations of the mean (Table A2 in the Appendix). Five regions that were located as a longitudinal transect between the inner Ría de Vigo and the ocean zone are shown in Fig. 4.

In general terms, the seasonal variability of the temperature was very similar in every area, ranging between 12 and 19ºC (Fig. 4a). Only particular features observed on a short-term scale as in the examples below differ between each region. The warmer waters were usually found in the oceanic zone, reaching a maximum monthly averaged temperature of 18.6ºC in September, while the coldest surface waters of 12.6ºC were located in the inner stations closer to the mouth of the Ría de Vigo in January. Another secondary minimum averaged temperature was also found in the shelf and the outer area of the Ría de Vigo, which was remarkably low in August due to the entry of cold
upwelled waters in the surface layer (Alvarez-Salgado 1993).

The monthly salinity averages (Fig. 4b) clearly showed significant differences between the offshore and coastal waters. Sharp salinity changes were seen in the estuary during winter, especially in the inner area where values lower than 28 psu were reached with the arrival of continental inputs in December. The weak seasonal cycle of salinity in the shelf and ocean waters showed high values in December due to the influence of warm saline water from the IPC, usually located on the shelf slope even though it may even enter the rias depending on the relative intensity of shelf winds and the intensity of the continental runoff (Alvarez-Salgado et al., 2003). In this sense, the slight salinity minimum observed in the shelf waters in March could be consequence of the offshore spreading of the maximum discharges from the River Miño and Douro (Otero et al., 2010) at the end of downwelling season. After this, the shelf and ocean waters showed minimum values in summer due to the arrival of cooler and fresher subpolar waters (Ríos et al., 1992; Alvarez-Salgado et al., 2003, 2006). In August, coinciding with the maximum salinity of the surface waters in the interior of the Ría de Vigo due to the minimum river runoff, the surface waters between the inner Ría de Vigo and the ocean region were almost homogeneous, with minimum differences in salinity of 0.2 psu.

Like salinity, there was little seasonal variability in pH in the offshore waters, but large seasonal variability in coastal waters, with maximum and minimum pH values in spring and autumn, respectively, and in all regions (Fig. 4c). The net balance between production and respiration of organic matter and the estuarine circulation caused a maximum pH of 8.19 in the outer region of the Ría de Vigo in May and a minimum of 7.96 in the inner waters in November.

The oxygen concentration (Fig. 4d) in the coastal ecosystems is also controlled by the remineralization of the organic matter and photosynthetic activity of the phytoplankton community, with the effect of salinity and temperature on the oxygen saturation level. The variability in the oxygen concentration, like the pH distribution, showed a growing seasonal amplitude towards the coastline, with maximum values in the outer and middle Ría de Vigo and lower values in the inner waters, especially during the second half of the seasonal cycle. Hence, the dissolved oxygen concentration mirrored the seasonal cycle of pH, showing growing seasonal amplitude towards the coastline with a range...
between 284 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) found in the outer region of the Ría de Vigo in May and 205 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in the inner waters in November. These results seem to reinforce the importance of the oxygen consumption in this shallow area, where the water column is less than 10 metres deep and therefore it would also be influenced by benthic respiration (Alonso-Pérez and Castro, 2014).

The monthly means of nitrate concentration (Fig. 4e) could be summarized as high values during autumn and winter due to the nutrients delivered from the continent and the vertical mixing, and as minimum nitrate values from March to September because of phytoplankton consumption. The nitrate concentration was markedly higher in the inner Ría de Vigo, where it exceeded 9 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in February and decreased towards the open ocean, where the highest monthly value was seen to be 2.5 µmol kg\(^{-1}\). Some notable aspects can be seen in Fig. 5d, such as water poor in nitrate in the ocean region between the two peaks of 3.5 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in March and 1.3 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in October. This shows the presence of the IPC waters, which are warmer and saltier than the shelf waters. Also noteworthy was the particular fact that while the nitrate concentration in other areas was practically zero in summer, the nitrate amount in the surface waters within the Ría de Vigo, and especially in the inner Ría de Vigo, was not completely consumed. This indicates a constant supply throughout the year, either through upwelling events or the continental inputs. This in turn means that while the chlorophyll values were at a minimum in the offshore waters in summer, the phytoplankton community in the estuary grew in summer during the upwelling relaxation periods (Pérez et al., 2000). The nutrient concentration during spring and summer was only detectable in the newly upwelled waters that can show values up to 6 µmol L\(^{-1}\) (Fraga, 1981; Castro et al., 1994). During the cessation of the upwelling season in September and October, the chlorophyll concentration (Fig. 5f) increased again, sustained by nutrients that entered from deeper waters through vertical mixing. It should be noted that there was a coincidence of high chlorophyll in the water column and low oxygen concentration in the inner Ría de Vigo from May to November, indicating the potential importance of benthic fluxes and vertical fluxes (reference).

### 4.3. Long-term trends

The long-term trends of the biogeochemical properties in the surface waters along the described longitudinal transect between the inner Ría de Vigo and the ocean zone were...
estimated to be the interannual linear rate of the deseasonalyzed time series, previously
removing the monthly means in these regions and assuming a null spatial variability.
The significant trends in the ARIOS database, meaning long-term variability, should be
interpreted as a combination of the natural variability on a decadal scale (Pérez et al.,
2010; Padin et al., 2010) and anthropogenic forcings (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 1999;
Anderson and Mackenzie 2004; Bakun et al., 2010).

No long-term temperature variability was found in the surface waters of any region
despite the known warming previously reported on the Northern Iberian coast (Pérez et
al., 2010; Gesteira et al., 2011; González-Pola et al., 2005). Unlike the temperature, the
other expected consequence of climate change in marine ecosystems, namely ocean
acidification (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003) was observed along the longitudinal transect,
with a greater decrease in the long-term trend of pH towards the coast (Table 2). The
long-term pH variation of -0.0039±0.0005 yr\(^{-1}\) in the inner waters was about three fold
higher than the change observed in the ocean zone, equivalent to -0.0012±0.0002 yr\(^{-1}\) in
the ocean zone, explaining the 34% and 22% variation in pH in situ respectively, and
representing 1-3% of the seasonal pH variation in all zones. These pH decrease rates
found in both coastal and open ocean regions of the Iberian Upwelling System lie
within the range of other acidification rates estimated in different sites of the North
Atlantic Ocean (Lauvset and Gruber, 2014; Bates et al., 2014), being also coherent with
the mean rates calculated for the global ocean and for the Eastern North Atlantic and
equal to -0.018 and -0.0164 decade\(^{-1}\), respectively (Lauvset et al., 2015; Rios et al
2001).

The long-term trend in salinity was also seen to be evidently dependent on the distance
to the mouth of the Ría de Vigo. The interannual rate of sea surface salinity in the outer
and inner ria previously reported by Rosón et al. (2009) was 0.0426±0.016 psu yr\(^{-1}\) and
0.0193±0.0056 psu yr\(^{-1}\) respectively. These changes were observed in parallel to an
interannual alkalinity increase that is cancelled out in the normalized alkalinity,
estimated as the difference between the alkalinity measured and the alkalinity calculated
using the linear regression with salinity in each region. Therefore, the interannual
salinity increase was the forcing that explains the increase in the buffer capacity of the
surface waters (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2006).
Other significant long-term variations were found in other biogeochemical parameters in the ARIOS database. The long-term trend of the concentrations of nutrients in the inner Ría de Vigo that had been previously reported for the period 2001-2011 by Doval et al. (2016) showed a significant increase in nitrate, phosphate and ammonium concentrations of 0.0559±0.0158 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹, 0.0076±0.0016 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 0.0560±0.0011 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹ respectively. This fertilization on a long-term scale in the surface waters of the inner Ría de Vigo estimated from ARIOS database was observed in parallel to the deoxygenation of -0.7±0.2 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU), calculated using the concentration of O₂ at saturation calculated according to Benson and Krause (1984), underwent a long-term change of 0.7±0.2 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹, equal to the observed in the measurements of oxygen concentration. This coincidence may indicates that the long-term reduction of oxygen is due to the changes in the biological consumption rates, in the rates of the waters ventilation or even in sediment-water interactions rather than due to the effect of temperature, and salinity on oxygen saturation.

These findings found in the shallower waters of the Ría de Vigo allow us to hypothesize that the long-term increase in salinity would produce an increasingly weak vertical salinity gradient in the water column that would favour the vertical fluxes between the bottom and surface waters. Therefore the observed changes of oxygen and remineralized nutrient inputs in the surface waters could be due to an increasing footprint of benthic respiration, that has a major importance in the net ecosystem metabolism of this coastal region (Alonso-Pérez et al., 2015). This hypothesis would also explain the intense acidification in the inner waters in spite of growing alkalinity buffering.
The mean values at each station of the ARIOS database estimated for each depth range described in Figure 2, resulting in 8,384 values, were used to estimate a general value of the long-term trend in pH. The historical pH values in situ from the ARIOS database showed a general decrease in seawater pH in the Iberian Upwelling between 1976 and 2018, with an acidification rate of \(-0.012\pm0.002\) yr\(^{-1}\) that significantly explains 2% of the total pH variation (Fig. 5a). The apparent oxygen utilisation was also shown as a function of pH over time, revealing the association of higher AOU values with lower pH. The relationship between pH and AOU (Fig. 5b) showed an inverse linear correlation of \(-399\pm5\) µmol kg\(^{-1}\) and a coefficient of determination (r-squared) of 0.52.

The strong biological activity of the upwelling systems is the main driver of pH changes, explaining 52% of the observed variation in the discrete measurements. The distribution of nitrate seen in relation to the distribution of pH and AOU (Fig. 5b) showed the association of higher pH values with negative AOU values and a nitrate decrease, reinforcing the importance of biological processes in these marine carbonate system. Although the different processes controlling the AOU values were not separated in this analysis, the oxygen concentration in addition to the remineralization of the organic matter and the photosynthesis is conditioned by changes in temperature and salinity, ventilation events, water masses mixing and other processes (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2006). Therefore, the long-term drop in seawater pH measurements estimated from the ARIOS database presented here confirms that the future evolution of ocean acidification in this productive region is likely to depend on both the CO\(_2\) increase in the atmosphere and other long-term changes (of natural and/or anthropogenic origin) affecting the seawater’s carbonate system.

5. Data availability

The ARIOS dataset (Pérez et al., 2020) is archived at DIGICAL CSIC under the Digital Object Identifier (DOI): http://dx.doi.org/10.20350/digitalCSIC/12498.

The data are available as WHP-Exchange bottle format (arios_database_hy1.csv). A documentation file (readme_ARIOSDATABASE.txt) provides an description of the material and methods of the measurements and the parameters of the dataset. In both files, a table similar to the Table 1 of this manuscript include the DOI and the EXPOCODE of the original cruise files gathered in the ARIOS dataset.
These data are available to the public and the scientific community with the aim of that their wide dissemination will lead to new scientific knowledge about the ocean acidification and the biogeochemistry of the Galicia Upwelling System. The dataset is subject to a Creative Commons License Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) and users of the ARIOS dataset should reference this work.

6. Conclusions

The ARIOS database is a unique compilation of biogeochemical discrete measurements in the Iberian Upwelling Ecosystem from 1976 to 2018. This data set comprises more than 17,653 discrete samples from 3,357 oceanographic stations (but not always for all parameters) of pH, alkalinity and associated physical and biogeochemical parameters (e.g., temperature, salinity, and chlorophyll and oxygen concentrations). The material and methods varied throughout the sampling period due to logistical and analytical issues such as those described in Table 1, where different sites are mentioned to download these measurements and detailed information.

Among the results described as preliminary and relevant information to learn the environmental and oceanographic context of the ARIOS database, we can mention the following main points concerning the pH characteristics of the Iberian Upwelling System:

- A decrease in seawater pH in the Iberian Upwelling between 1976 and 2018, with an acidification rate of -0.012±0.002 yr⁻¹ that significantly explains 2% of the total pH variation
- An interannual pH variation of -0.0039±0.0005 yr⁻¹ in the inner waters and -0.0012±0.0002 yr⁻¹ in the ocean zone.
- An inverse linear correlation between pH and AOU of -399±5 µmol kg⁻¹ that explained 52% of the observed variation in the discrete measurements.

This published ARIOS database is a useful and necessary tool to confirm and study biogeochemical changes in the seawater at long term trend. Likewise, we understand that it is a starting point to which to add future observation projects to continue increasing the knowledge about the impact of climate change in the Iberian Upwelling Ecosystem.
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Figure 1. Map of all stations (red dots) including the geographical areas selected to classify the ARIOS database from isobath of 250 m (dark blue line) and 75 metres (light blue line), latitudinal criterion (green lines) and geographical lines (black lines).
Figure 2. a) Temporal distribution of the observations in the geographical boxes included in the ARIOS dataset. b) Seasonal distribution of the measurements in relation to depth.
Figure 3. Profiles of seasonal means of temperature (a), salinity (b), pH (c), oxygen (d) and nitrate concentration (e) in the first 1100 meters of the region South Ocean shown in Fig. 1.
Figure 4. Sea surface (< 5 meters depth) seasonal cycles in 1976 - 2018 of temperature (a), salinity (b), pH (c), oxygen concentration (d), nitrate concentration (e) and chlorophyll (f) at sea surface for five geographical boxes shown in Fig. 1: South Ocean, RB shelf and outer, middle and inner Ria de Vigo for the entire period of the ARIOS database.
Figure 5. Time-series of pH ARIOS data. The black line depicts the long-term trend.

Scatter diagram of AOU vs pH including the nitrate concentration shown as colour of every dot.
Table 1. Discrete measurements of projects gathered in the ARIOS database and associated information: including dates, the number of days between the start and the end of sampling period (#d), sample number (i), the principal investigator (PI), measured parameters, link to data repository and the sampled geographical area.

- All projects include measurements of T, S. Others as pH, alkalinity (Alk), nutrient (Nut), oxygen (O) concentration, chlorophyll (Chla) are indicated.

- The concentration units of these variables are µmol kg\(^{-1}\) or µmol L\(^{-1}\) (*) and the pH measurements in NBS scale (*) or in total scale.

- Regions are identified as ocean (O), shelf (Sh), coastal (Co), Ría de Vigo (RV), Ría de Pontevedra (RP), Ría de Arousa (RA) and Ría de Muros (RM) while the superscript index means south (S), north (N), Portugal (P), Rías Baixas (RB), outer (O), middle (M) and inner (I).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SS(_{\text{range}})</th>
<th>(r^2\text{ss})</th>
<th>(t_{\text{interannual}})</th>
<th>(r^2)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCEAN</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.0012±0.0002</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELF</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.0017±0.0003</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTER</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.0027±0.0003</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDLE</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.0022±0.0005</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INNER</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.0039±0.0005</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Seasonal amplitude of monthly pH means (SS\(_{\text{range}}\)) and long-term trends \((t_{\text{interannual}})\) of pH in five regions and significant regression coefficients between the in situ pH measurements and the monthly mean pH values \((r^2\text{ss})\) and the regression coefficient of the temporal variability of the deseasonalyzed pH measurements \((r^2)\).
Table A1: Vertical distribution of seasonal mean values and standard deviation (mean ± standard deviation) of temperature, salinity, pH, oxygen and nitrate in the region South Ocean shown in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>z (m)</th>
<th>temperature (°C)</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>oxygen (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>winter</td>
<td>spring</td>
<td>summer</td>
<td>autumn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>25-75</td>
<td>75-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>13.6±0.5</td>
<td>13.8±0.7</td>
<td>13.9±0.6</td>
<td>13.7±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>13.4±0.7</td>
<td>13.6±0.6</td>
<td>13.2±0.4</td>
<td>13.0±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-75</td>
<td>17.9±0.7</td>
<td>17.1±0.7</td>
<td>14.1±0.5</td>
<td>12.8±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-125</td>
<td>17.2±1.5</td>
<td>17.2±1.5</td>
<td>14.9±1.0</td>
<td>13.2±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-200</td>
<td>12.6±0.4</td>
<td>12.6±0.4</td>
<td>12.3±0.3</td>
<td>12.6±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>11.9±0.3</td>
<td>11.6±0.3</td>
<td>11.6±0.3</td>
<td>11.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400-600</td>
<td>11.1±0.2</td>
<td>11.1±0.2</td>
<td>11.1±0.2</td>
<td>11.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-900</td>
<td>11.4±0.1</td>
<td>11.4±0.1</td>
<td>11.4±0.1</td>
<td>11.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900-1300</td>
<td>11.2±0.1</td>
<td>11.1±0.2</td>
<td>10.9±0.3</td>
<td>11.0±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>35.82±0.06</td>
<td>35.85±0.06</td>
<td>35.85±0.05</td>
<td>35.86±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>35.72±0.11</td>
<td>35.67±0.15</td>
<td>35.62±0.07</td>
<td>35.64±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-75</td>
<td>35.71±0.09</td>
<td>35.73±0.06</td>
<td>35.64±0.04</td>
<td>35.72±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-125</td>
<td>35.76±0.07</td>
<td>35.77±0.08</td>
<td>35.73±0.08</td>
<td>35.84±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-200</td>
<td>35.80±0.06</td>
<td>35.75±0.06</td>
<td>35.71±0.06</td>
<td>35.84±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>35.71±0.04</td>
<td>35.65±0.04</td>
<td>35.59±0.04</td>
<td>35.64±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400-600</td>
<td>35.64±0.04</td>
<td>35.65±0.05</td>
<td>35.64±0.05</td>
<td>35.64±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-900</td>
<td>35.89±0.09</td>
<td>35.99±0.06</td>
<td>35.99±0.06</td>
<td>36.17±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900-1300</td>
<td>36.17±0.02</td>
<td>36.18±0.02</td>
<td>36.19±0.03</td>
<td>36.17±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>8.10±0.02</td>
<td>8.12±0.02</td>
<td>8.11±0.02</td>
<td>8.09±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>8.11±0.02</td>
<td>8.14±0.02</td>
<td>8.12±0.02</td>
<td>8.09±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-75</td>
<td>8.11±0.01</td>
<td>8.12±0.01</td>
<td>8.11±0.02</td>
<td>8.08±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-125</td>
<td>8.10±0.01</td>
<td>8.09±0.02</td>
<td>8.08±0.03</td>
<td>8.06±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-200</td>
<td>8.07±0.03</td>
<td>8.07±0.03</td>
<td>8.06±0.03</td>
<td>8.05±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>8.05±0.01</td>
<td>8.04±0.01</td>
<td>8.04±0.02</td>
<td>8.03±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400-600</td>
<td>8.02±0.01</td>
<td>8.01±0.02</td>
<td>8.02±0.02</td>
<td>8.01±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-900</td>
<td>8.01±0.02</td>
<td>7.98±0.03</td>
<td>8.02±0.02</td>
<td>8.01±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900-1300</td>
<td>8.02±0.004</td>
<td>8.02±0.002</td>
<td>8.03±0.01</td>
<td>8.01±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>249±6</td>
<td>265±17</td>
<td>250±12</td>
<td>244±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>250±7</td>
<td>267±13</td>
<td>253±10</td>
<td>240±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-75</td>
<td>249±8</td>
<td>255±9</td>
<td>247±7</td>
<td>236±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-125</td>
<td>247±9</td>
<td>247±9</td>
<td>232±4</td>
<td>227±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-200</td>
<td>230±11</td>
<td>237±9</td>
<td>226±6</td>
<td>224±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>227±11</td>
<td>224±8</td>
<td>213±7</td>
<td>220±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400-600</td>
<td>209±6</td>
<td>205±5</td>
<td>196±7</td>
<td>203±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-900</td>
<td>186±2</td>
<td>184±2</td>
<td>188±4</td>
<td>185±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900-1300</td>
<td>187±1</td>
<td>189±3</td>
<td>188±4</td>
<td>185±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2: Monthly mean values and standard deviation (mean ± standard deviation) of temperature, salinity, pH, oxygen concentration, nitrate concentration and chlorophyll at the surface waters for five geographical boxes shown in Fig. 1: South Ocean, RB shelf and outer, middle and inner Ría de Vigo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>month</th>
<th>OCEAN (°C)</th>
<th>SHELF (°C)</th>
<th>OUTER (°C)</th>
<th>MIDDLE (°C)</th>
<th>INNER (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>14.3±0.4</td>
<td>14.2±0.4</td>
<td>13.1±0.8</td>
<td>13.0±0.7</td>
<td>12.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>13.3±0.2</td>
<td>13.1±0.6</td>
<td>13.0±0.7</td>
<td>12.7±0.7</td>
<td>12.6±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>13.0±0.6</td>
<td>12.9±0.5</td>
<td>13.4±0.4</td>
<td>13.3±0.6</td>
<td>13.2±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>14.1±0.4</td>
<td>14.0±0.3</td>
<td>14.8±1.2</td>
<td>14.0±0.9</td>
<td>14.4±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>14.1±0.9</td>
<td>14.1±1.1</td>
<td>14.7±1.0</td>
<td>15.6±1.5</td>
<td>15.1±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>17.5±1.1</td>
<td>16.1±0.9</td>
<td>17.1±1.0</td>
<td>17.3±1.3</td>
<td>18.4±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>17.7±0.6</td>
<td>16.6±1.0</td>
<td>17.2±1.0</td>
<td>17.9±1.5</td>
<td>16.9±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>17.7±0.9</td>
<td>16.7±0.6</td>
<td>16.0±1.7</td>
<td>17.5±1.5</td>
<td>16.8±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>18.5±1.3</td>
<td>16.5±1.3</td>
<td>17.3±1.3</td>
<td>17.1±1.2</td>
<td>17.8±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>17.9±0.4</td>
<td>17.0±0.6</td>
<td>16.6±0.9</td>
<td>15.4±1.1</td>
<td>15.8±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>15.6±0.6</td>
<td>15.3±1.1</td>
<td>15.6±1.4</td>
<td>15.2±0.9</td>
<td>14.9±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>15.1±0.3</td>
<td>14.8±0.2</td>
<td>14.8±1.3</td>
<td>14.1±0.9</td>
<td>14.6±1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>month</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>35.88±0.13</td>
<td>35.77±0.18</td>
<td>33.92±0.82</td>
<td>33.50±2.51</td>
<td>30.86±4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>35.81±0.04</td>
<td>35.37±0.84</td>
<td>34.33±1.63</td>
<td>34.00±2.12</td>
<td>30.86±4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>35.81±0.04</td>
<td>35.24±0.71</td>
<td>34.43±0.77</td>
<td>34.52±1.12</td>
<td>34.42±1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>35.72±0.07</td>
<td>35.43±0.47</td>
<td>35.24±0.29</td>
<td>34.58±0.90</td>
<td>34.72±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>35.59±0.12</td>
<td>35.45±0.25</td>
<td>34.47±1.37</td>
<td>34.38±1.09</td>
<td>33.99±2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>35.64±0.13</td>
<td>35.56±0.12</td>
<td>34.62±0.81</td>
<td>34.87±0.74</td>
<td>34.42±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>35.60±0.07</td>
<td>35.58±0.08</td>
<td>35.36±0.26</td>
<td>35.27±0.38</td>
<td>35.19±0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>35.57±0.05</td>
<td>35.59±0.05</td>
<td>35.48±0.22</td>
<td>35.45±0.21</td>
<td>35.37±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>35.72±0.12</td>
<td>35.48±0.18</td>
<td>35.33±0.19</td>
<td>35.32±0.29</td>
<td>35.20±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>35.74±0.05</td>
<td>35.65±0.10</td>
<td>34.94±0.56</td>
<td>34.84±0.88</td>
<td>33.98±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>35.81±0.06</td>
<td>35.67±0.11</td>
<td>34.85±0.77</td>
<td>34.23±0.85</td>
<td>33.73±2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>35.85±0.06</td>
<td>35.89±0.26</td>
<td>33.76±1.36</td>
<td>33.77±1.51</td>
<td>27.95±5.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>month</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>8.09±0.03</td>
<td>8.08±0.03</td>
<td>8.12±0.06</td>
<td>8.05±0.05</td>
<td>8.02±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>8.09±0.02</td>
<td>8.10±0.03</td>
<td>8.11±0.05</td>
<td>8.07±0.05</td>
<td>8.05±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>8.11±0.01</td>
<td>8.11±0.03</td>
<td>8.18±0.08</td>
<td>8.13±0.07</td>
<td>8.18±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>8.11±0.02</td>
<td>8.13±0.03</td>
<td>8.16±0.05</td>
<td>8.13±0.05</td>
<td>8.11±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>8.12±0.02</td>
<td>8.14±0.04</td>
<td>8.19±0.04</td>
<td>8.13±0.08</td>
<td>8.11±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>8.08±0.03</td>
<td>8.13±0.03</td>
<td>8.15±0.04</td>
<td>8.10±0.07</td>
<td>8.04±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>8.11±0.02</td>
<td>8.13±0.02</td>
<td>8.11±0.06</td>
<td>8.09±0.07</td>
<td>8.02±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>8.09±0.03</td>
<td>8.11±0.03</td>
<td>8.11±0.07</td>
<td>8.07±0.09</td>
<td>7.92±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>8.09±0.02</td>
<td>8.11±0.03</td>
<td>8.09±0.05</td>
<td>8.03±0.07</td>
<td>7.98±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>8.09±0.01</td>
<td>8.11±0.03</td>
<td>8.06±0.06</td>
<td>8.00±0.08</td>
<td>7.94±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>8.11±0.02</td>
<td>8.09±0.02</td>
<td>8.09±0.07</td>
<td>8.00±0.06</td>
<td>7.90±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>8.11±0.01</td>
<td>8.12±0.01</td>
<td>8.06±0.03</td>
<td>8.02±0.04</td>
<td>7.98±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>month</td>
<td>OCEAN</td>
<td>SHELF</td>
<td>OUTER</td>
<td>MIDDLE</td>
<td>INNER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>244±6</td>
<td>246±5</td>
<td>265±8</td>
<td>252±16</td>
<td>242±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>255±5</td>
<td>259±12</td>
<td>255±13</td>
<td>254±15</td>
<td>252±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>258±16</td>
<td>268±19</td>
<td>280±27</td>
<td>276±23</td>
<td>260±28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>268±5</td>
<td>270±7</td>
<td>271±13</td>
<td>276±25</td>
<td>249±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>271±13</td>
<td>269±14</td>
<td>286±22</td>
<td>287±25</td>
<td>260±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>244±3</td>
<td>266±11</td>
<td>270±17</td>
<td>284±26</td>
<td>232±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>247±12</td>
<td>263±11</td>
<td>269±23</td>
<td>271±25</td>
<td>234±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>247±9</td>
<td>259±8</td>
<td>265±23</td>
<td>277±27</td>
<td>204±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>241±9</td>
<td>261±14</td>
<td>272±18</td>
<td>251±26</td>
<td>228±34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>259±13</td>
<td>250±12</td>
<td>249±20</td>
<td>226±21</td>
<td>215±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>243±11</td>
<td>242±4</td>
<td>236±9</td>
<td>230±16</td>
<td>209±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>243±10</td>
<td>240±12</td>
<td>248±11</td>
<td>237±14</td>
<td>242±16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>month</th>
<th>oxygen (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>nitrate (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>chlorophyll (mg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>2.3±0.5</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>3.4±0.7</td>
<td>5.0±2.6</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>4.9±2.4</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.1±2.4</td>
<td>0.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td>0.6±0.4</td>
<td>0.5±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.04±0.02</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.08±0.03</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.2±0.7</td>
<td>2.3±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>1.7±0.8</td>
<td>0.3±0.7</td>
<td>3.2±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±2.9</td>
<td>3.8±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>0.4±0.9</td>
<td>1.3±1.0</td>
<td>6.0±3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>month</th>
<th>oxygen (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>nitrate (µmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>chlorophyll (mg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>2.3±0.5</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>3.4±0.7</td>
<td>5.0±2.6</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>4.9±2.4</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.1±2.4</td>
<td>0.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.9±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.02±0.03</td>
<td>0.6±0.4</td>
<td>0.5±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.04±0.02</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.08±0.03</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.2±0.7</td>
<td>2.3±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>1.7±0.8</td>
<td>0.3±0.7</td>
<td>3.2±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±2.9</td>
<td>3.8±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>0.4±0.9</td>
<td>1.3±1.0</td>
<td>6.0±3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table A2, Continued*