Glacier Changes in the Chhombo Chhu Watershed (CCW) of Tista basin between 1975 and 2018, Sikkim Himalaya, India
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Abstract. Glaciers of the Tista basin represent an important water source for mountain communities and a large population downstream. The present article attempts to assess the observable changes in the Chhombo Chhu Watershed glacier area (CCW) of the Tista basin, Sikkim Himalaya. The CCW contains 74 glaciers (>0.02 km²) with a mean glacier size of 0.61 km². We have obtained the change of such glacier outlines from declassified hexagon KH-9 (1975), Landsat 5 TM (1989), Landsat 7 ETM+ (2000), Landsat 5 TM (2010), and Sentinel 2A (2018) images. The total glacier area in 1975 was 62.6 ± 0.7 km²; and by 2018, the area had decreased to 44.8 ± 1.5 km², an area loss of 17.9 ± 1.7 km² (0.42 ± 0.04 km² a⁻¹). Clean glaciers exhibited more area loss of by 11.8 ± 1.2 km² (0.27 ± 0.03 km² a⁻¹) followed by partially debris-covered and fully debris-covered glaciers. The area loss is 5.0 ± 0.4 km² or (0.12 ± 0.01 km² a⁻¹) for partially covered glaciers and maximum debris-covered (1.0 ± 0.1 km² (or –0.02 ± 0.002 km² a⁻¹) fully debris covered glaciers. The quantum of glacier area loss in the CCW of Sikkim Himalaya is 0.62 ± 0.5 km² a⁻¹ or (0.12 ± 0.01 km² a⁻¹) took its pace during 2000–2010 and it is 0.77 ± 0.6 km² a⁻¹ (0.27 ± 0.6 km² a⁻¹) during 2010–2018. Field investigations of selected glaciers and climatic records also support the glacier recession in the CCW due to a significant increase in temperature (0.25 °C a⁻¹) and more or less static precipitation since 1995. The dataset is now available from the Zenodo web portal: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4457183 (Chowdhury et al., 2021).
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1. Introduction

The best manifestation of climate change, either positive or negative, is the advance and retreat of the glaciers. Both these processes are time-dependent, for they will be determined by the size of a glacier and the related influencing factors. Response of negative mass balance is shown in the frontal area as recession supported by identifiable landforms. Glaciers play an important role as response indicators of climate change (Bahuguna et al., 2014).

Most of the Himalayan glaciers are losing mass at different rates, such as Khatling glacier in the Garhwal Himalayas and Zemu glacier in the Sikkim Himalayas are retreating at the rate of 88 ± 0.3 m a⁻¹ and 9.1 m a⁻¹ respectively (Raj et al., 2017; Rashid and Majeed, 2020). Exceptional trends in glaciers in the central and western part of Karakoram Himalaya also prevail (Bajracharya and Shrestha, 2011; Hewitt, 2011; Mehta, 2011). However, the future stability of such a trend on glacier status is debatable under different climate scenarios (Ruosteenoja et al., 2003; Immerzeel, 2008). Since 1901, a significant rise in daily maximum temperature of 1°C in North-East India and basin-wide warming trend of 0.6°C in the Brahmaputra basin have been documented (Dash et al., 2007; Immerzeel, 2008). Moreover, the station-based meteorological
data from the north-eastern part of India and Sikkim indicates that the changes in rainfall and temperature patterns are seasonal and site-specific (Sreekesh and Debnath, 2016; Kumar et al., 2020). Future climate projection scenarios reported
a substantial rise in temperature and imbalances in precipitation regimes that may aggravate the risk of future glacier melt run-off security amidst the HKH and peripheral Asian countries (Kumar et al., 2006).

Most importantly, the run-off from the glaciers of the HKH region supports a vast population of about 1.3 billion people in its downstream basins of Asian countries (Williams, 2013; Wahid et al., 2014). Therefore, changes in glacier-melt runoff will directly or indirectly affect roughly a fifth of the world’s population (Wahid et al., 2014). The ongoing changes in the glaciers and snow cover due to global climate change can markedly affect hydrological regimes in high-elevation mountain catchments in the HKH. Thus, the sensitivity of glacier-fed mountain channels to climate change has a high-risk factor on the hydroelectric power stations, sediment supply over the flood plain, etc. The Upper Tista basin that constituted the Sikkim Himalaya has vast potential for hydropower development and has a 5353 MW installed capacity that is 60% of the basin’s total hydropower potential (Khawas, 2016; Rahaman and Mamun, 2020). Many hydropower projects are already under construction, and some are planned to be established in North Sikkim (EDPS, 2019).

The Tista being a trans-boundary river, sustaining a sizable population both in and at the distal reaches of the mountain (Rudra, 2018; Rahaman and Mamun, 2020). Our change analysis of the cryospheric parameter would help in long-term planning along the river course, given the current trend.

Even orographic variation determines the amount of precipitation in the form of rainfall and snowfall in the Himalayan region (Singh and Kumar, 1997). Similarly, glacier distribution and anomaly in glacier changes also depend on the local topography and local climate over the HKH region (Kääb et al., 2007; Hewitt, 2011; Brahmbhatt et al., 2017; Ojha et al., 2017). Glacier responses, additionally, varied as per glacier’s surface topography (debris-covered or clean ice ablation zone), presence of supraglacial and proglacial ponds, surface temperature, the morphology of glaciers, surrounding potential debris supply zone, etc. (Sakai et al., 2000; Scherler et al., 2011; Ojha et al., 2017; Salerno et al., 2017; Olson and Rupper, 2019; Tsutaki et al., 2019). These widely varied parameters forced us to conduct catchment-based monitoring of glaciers in Sikkim Himalaya for the last couple of decades.

Unfortunately, the monitoring of glacier variability has generally been lacking in eastern Himalaya, and little attention has been paid to assess the catchment-based glacier status. Few studies have been conducted on Bhutan Himalaya, the entire Sikkim Himalaya, and the Changme Khangpu basin in Sikkim Himalaya. The Chhombo Chhu watershed (CCW), containing a large number of glaciers of Sikkim, is still unraveled. Hence this study has focused on three primary objectives:

(i) Preparation of a detailed glacier inventory for CCW in Sikkim Himalaya using Sentinel 2A MSI (2018), (ii) Analyze the glacier area changes since 1975, (iii) Impact of topographic and non-topographic (climatic factors) parameters.

2. Study Area

The Chhombo Chhu watershed (“Chhu” literally means water, but streams in the Himalayan Buddhist language are termed as “Chhu”, and a lake, “Tso or Chho”) is located (N 27°45’19.2” to N 28°7’41.2” and E 88°26’49.9” to E 88°50’45.9”) in the upper Tista river basin in Sikkim in the Eastern Himalayas (Fig. 1). It covers a total surface area of 694.5 km², ranging in altitudes from ~2680 m to 7073 m above sea level. The Chhombo Chhu originates from Khangchung Tso, a proglacial lake fed by the Tista Khangse glacier in the extreme northeast part of this watershed. Many other glaciers feed lakes, such as Tso Lhamu and Gurudongmar, supply meltwater throughout the year to the Chhombo Chhu, which drains through the Thangu valley to join Lachen Chhu at Zema (2680 m.a.s.l). Finally, the Lachen and Lachung Chhu confluence at Chungthang (1535 m.a.s.l), thereafter known as River Tista, an important tributary of the Brahmaputra in the Eastern Himalayas (CISMHE, 2007; Basnett et al., 2013; Debnath et al., 2018). Some of the important glaciers in this...
watershed are Tista Khangse (TK), Gurudongmar, Kangchengyao, Chhuma Khangse, Tasha Khangse, and Yulhe Khangse.

Figure 1

It is now known that the climate of the Sikkim Himalaya influenced by the triple system, primarily dominated by the Indian Summer Monsoon (ISM) that is the main source of precipitation (Racoviteanu et al., 2015), and additionally receive occasional precipitation through North-east (winter) monsoon and hardly from mid-latitude westerlies (Murari et al. 2014; Kumar et al., 2020). At the higher elevations, most of the precipitation is in the form of snow at any time of the year. Two types of climatic conditions govern the study region (CCW), i.e., the higher reaches of the mountain to the north of Thangu valley and the northern slope of Kangchengyao Massif is dominated by the cold semi-arid deserted climate; whereas the lower part of the watershed from Thangu is characterized by Temperate climate. The higher reaches of the mountain system to the north is an extension of Trans-Himalaya of the Tibet region. It is a comparatively cold semi-arid deserted type climate, similar to the Ladakh region in the west (Brazel and Marcus, 1991) and is nearly devoid of vegetation, except for the trim shrubs close to Gurudongmar and Tso Lhamo lakes. Glaciers on the northern slope of Kangchengyao massif are in a rain-shadow area, having scanty precipitation, whereas the glaciers on the southern slopes of the massif receive abundant precipitation from the ISM during June-September. Therefore, it is assumed that the glaciers of the Sikkim Himalaya are generally summer accumulation irrespective of the western Himalaya and Karakoram region (Ageta and Higuchi, 1984). The climograph (Fig. 2b) shows a long-term average temperature that does not exceed 10°C, whereas mean monthly precipitation ranges from 1.3 mm to 104 mm based on the nearest meteorological station data of Pagri (54 km from the centroid of the basin) (Fig. 2a).

Figure 2

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Data sources

A variety of remotely sensed satellite images, with different temporal, multi-spectral and medium to high spatial resolution have been used to delineate glacier outlines, and change detection analysis in the study region (Table 1). For this study, these images have been selectively chosen only for the end of the ablation period, with minimal seasonal snow and cloud coverage (November to December) and a vertical solar position to avoid shadows (Chand and Sharma, 2015). These images include: panchromatic declassified hexagon (KH9-11; 1975), Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM; 1988 and 2010), Landsat 7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+; 2000), and Sentinel 2A (2018), and further cross-verified with the high-resolution images on the Google Earth (GE) platform. Sentinel 2A has a much better spatial resolution than any other freely available data sources for glacier analysis (Paul et al., 2020). In addition, the Survey of India (SoI) topographical sheets at 1:50,000 scale with 40 m contour interval have been used for obtaining topographic information; and Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM; 2000) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) as a reference DEM for the delineation of drainage basins and extraction of glacier topographic parameters, respectively (Table 1). In our study area, it is statistically proven that the SRTM (30 m) has an overall edge over ASTER and CARTOSAT-1 DEMs. All the satellite images and SRTM DEM are freely obtained from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS; http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/) and the monthly average temperature (°C) and precipitation (mm) for Pagri Meteorological Station (PMS) from 1960–2013 from Climate
By the end of the 1960s, when Corona reached its technical limits, a series of photographic reconnaissance satellites (KH9) with higher spatial resolution and broader coverage were launched by the U.S. between 1971 and 1984 (Surazakov and Aizen, 2010). In this study, the 10 small subsets of declassified hexagon (KH9-11) image (original frame ~ about 250×125 km on the ground) acquired during KH9 mission (1211) in 1975 has been spatially georeferenced and co-registered to Sentinel 2A (S2A) (base image) using Spline Transformation Method (STM), along with adjustment rectification algorithm, to reconstruct the correct image geometry that existed at the moment of film exposure. All of the semi-automated geometric calibration procedures have been processed in ESRI ArcGIS 10.1 software. We have acquired 286 GCPs all over the subset image that surrounds the glacier, snow-covered mountain range, consisting of prominent peaks, stable river junctions and roads, unchanged lineament structures, and lakeshores and rock outcrops for image orientation (Bhambri et al., 2011). The quality of the KH9-11 image was excellent as well as nearly scratch and noise-free. Fig. 4a shows the distortion fields of KH9-11 that are noticed in the corner areas near the mountain ridges, similar to previous studies (Surazakov and Aizen, 2010) and later geometrically rectified (Fig. 4b). The horizontal shift or positional accuracy between KH-9 and S2A images has been estimated at ~3.8m (~0.94 pixels).

As a fundamental step in radiometric preprocessing, atmospheric corrections, and topographically-induced illumination variations, all the different date datasets of Multi-spectral (MS) bands of Landsat series have been converted from DN values into a standard meaningful physical unit such as spectral radiance ($L_{\lambda}$) and top of atmospheric (TOA) reflectance ($\rho_p$) (Chuvieco and Huete, 2009). Finally, the dark object subtraction (DOS) method has been adopted for the radiometric correction of each image (Chavez, 1988) using ENVI 5.1 software. All the stacked Landsat images have been co-registered with the orthorectified S2A image. The horizontal shift of Landsat TM and pan-sharpened ETM+ has been calculated at ~11.7 (~0.39 pixels) and ~10.2 m (~0.68 pixels), respectively, to the base image. Finally, all the visible, NIR, and SWIR bands of the S2A image have been resampled to 10 m resolution and layer stacked in ESA SNAP 5.0 sare to convert into a Geo-tiff image file for the extraction of updated glacier inventory (2018) in the CCW.

3.3. Glacier inventory mapping

Glacier boundaries have been manually delineated from the KH9-11 (1975) panchromatic scene (Fig. 4b). Algebraic algorithms for image enhancement (viz. NDVI, NDWI, and NDSI) have been applied on different spectral bands of the Landsat and Sentinel 2A images to semi-automatically map of clean glacier ice (Bolch and Kamp, 2006; Racoviteanu et al., 2008, 2009; Bhambri et al., 2011). However, these algorithms couldn’t differentiate the debris-covered glaciers correctly from the surrounding moraines or rock outcrops in the region, and therefore, they have...
be en th ey ar m an ua lly di git iz ed (P au l et al., 2011; Frey et al., 2012). Additionally, high-resolution Google
Earth (≤5 m), Sentinel 2A (10 m), PAN-sharpened multispectral images of Landsat ETM+ (15 m), and the outcome of topographic parameters such as slope, aspect, and hill shaded relief maps, calculated from SRTM DEM, are have been used for visual rectification and check to map the glaciers (Schmidt and Nüsser, 2012). The seasonal snow cover and shadow areas have also been eliminated. The determination of glacier termini and glacial lakes of some important large valley glaciers (e.g., Tista Khangse, Gurudongmar, and Kangchengayao-2) have been mapped during multiple field expeditions, and repeat photographs taken between 2017 and 2018 (Fig. 3). Finally, the glacier vector outlines (≥ 0.02 km$^2$) has been prepared in our inventory (Chand and Sharma, 2015). For the minimum size threshold, the RGI considers 0.01 km$^2$ as the minimum size, but recent inventories have considered 0.02 km$^2$ (Frey et al., 2012; Chand and Sharma, 2015).

The impact of topographic control on glacier fluctuations and distribution has been statistically evaluated. We have used the morphological classification of glaciers in the watershed using the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) guidelines and Glacier Atlas of India (Rau et al., 2005; Raina and Srivastava, 2008; Chand and Sharma, 2015). We have considered the glacier with more than 50% debris-cover as termed as maximum debris-covered, less than 50% is called partially debris-covered for a qualitative differentiation and debris-free glacier has been termed as clean glacier.

The classified morphological glaciers are categorized as Simple-basin valley, Compound-basin valley, Simple mountain-basin, Cirque, Niche and Glacieret (Ice aprons & Snowfields). In this study, Rock glaciers (RG) are excluded.

3.4. Glacier change and uncertainty estimation

The glacier outlines for the year 1975, 1988, 2000, 2010 and 2018 have been computed from satellite images of KH9-11, Landsat TM 5, Landsat ETM+, Landsat TM 5, and Sentinel-2A. Furthermore, the characteristics of glacier distribution and fluctuations have been examined with the help of different statistical derived diagrams, and by analyzing the relationships between topographic parameters and glacier outlines. Glacier area dynamics have been computed for 1975 to 2018 and divided into four different periods: 1975–1988, 1988–2000, 2000–2010, and 2010–2018. Glacier area changes have been directly calculated by subtracting the total area of the recent year (2018) from the initial year (1975). Absolute and relative changes have also been calculated for these periods. Fig. 12 is a visual representation of different glacier’s area change in the study region.

Mapping uncertainty estimation is necessary to assess the significance of the results to avoid misinterpretation of mapping of the glacier area. For each glacier, the error has been calculated based on a buffer drawn around the outlines of the glacier using ArcGIS 10.1 software, as suggested by Granshaw and Fountain (2006) and Bolch et al. (2010a,b). For example, 5 m of buffer size (i.e. ½ of a pixel) has been drawn around the original glacier outlines of Sentinel 2A image. Similarly, in the case of Landsat 5 (TM), 15 m buffer size has been used for the glacier outlines. For pan-sharpened Landsat 7 ETM+ and KH9-11 images, the buffer size were 7.5 m and 2 m, respectively. It is also observed that larger glacier outlines had relatively very small errors than the small glacier patches (Bolch et al., 2010a). In this study, the mapping uncertainty of the total glacier area were calculated as ±0.7 km$^2$ (~1.2%), ±5.3 km$^2$ (~8.9%), ±2.6 km$^2$ (~4.5%), ±4.8 km$^2$ (~9.5%), and ±1.5 km$^2$ (~3.4%) mapping uncertainty for the images of KH9-11 Hexagon (1975), Landsat TM 5 (1988), pan-sharpened Landsat ETM+ (2000), Landsat TM 5 (2010), and Sentinel-2A (2018) respectively. Glacier area change uncertainty ($\varepsilon_{ac}$) was also estimated following Eq. (1) (Hall et al., 2003):
\[ \varepsilon_{ac} = \sqrt{(e_1)^2 + (e_2)^2} \]

where, \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are the estimated errors associated with the glacier area of two different time periods. Generally, most
of the area changes are restricted to the termini of the large glaciers than the upper part of the glaciers in the study area.

3.5. Climate trend estimation

The long-term (1960–2013) CRU monthly mean temperature and precipitation data of the Pagri Meteorological Station (PMS) (4330 m), located approximately 54 km east from the centroid of the basin, have been incorporated for the climate trend analysis (Fig. 2a). The Mann–Kendall (MK) statistical method has been employed to assess the climatic trend of mean monthly temperature and precipitation, and its impact on glacier fluctuation in the study region (Kendall, 1975; Mann, 1945). A positive value of Mann–Kendall Z statistic ($Z_{MK}$) indicates an increasing trend and vice versa (Cengiz et al., 2020). The magnitude of a trend (i.e., rate of change per year) for time series analysis has been carried out using Sen’s slope ($\phi$) method (Sen, 1968).

3.6. Field measurement

Field surveys have been carried out during the pre-monsoon (May–June) and post-monsoon (October–December) seasons between 2017 and 2018 for the validation of maps of selected glaciers (e.g., Tista Khangse, Gurudongmar, Kangchengyao-2, and many unknown cirques and niche glaciers) of the study region. It is observed that the northern slope of Kangchengyao massif has a large valley glacier mostly without much debris-cover except Kangchengyao-2 glacier (Fig. 3a-2), with enormous proglacial lakes, crevasses, and braided glacial streams. We did not measure the termini positions of the glaciers mentioned above, as these are connected with large proglacial lakes (see Fig. 1b for location). Photographs taken of recent termini positions (2017-18) are from the outlet location of the moraine-dammed lakes (Fig. 3). The benchmark glacial lakes (i.e., Gurudongmar lakes, Khanchung, and Tso Lhamo), glaciers and associated morphology have been verified using a handheld Garmin eTrex 30x GPS with positional accuracy of ± 3 m (WAAS-enabled). The land surface temperature on the northern and southern part of the watershed for bare rocks, grasses, moraine, water etc. has been measured using the Fluke infrared thermometer. The Digi-Schmidt hammer-2000 has been used to measure the relative hardness of boulders that infers about the relative weathering and relative time of glacier recession from the places.

4. Results

4.1. Glacier inventory and its distribution in 2018

In this study, we have identified and mapped 74 glaciers larger than 0.02 km² (minimum size threshold) using Sentinel 2A MSI (2018) and corresponding Google Earth platform images that cover an area of 44.8 ± 1.5 km² (Table 2). The current study is the latest glacier inventory map (2018) of CCW in the Sikkim Himalayas (Fig. 1b). Glaciers in the CCW range from small glacieret to large valley types, with a size range from 0.02 to 6.7 km². The histogram and normal Q-Q plot suggest that the glacier area in the CCW is not normal distribution (Fig. 5a-b). The higher values of skewness (3.96) and kurtosis (19.32) and Shapiro-Wilk normality test (0.53) at 0.00 significance level also confirm the previous assumption. The median size of the glacier area is 0.31 km² in the watershed (Fig. 5c).

Tista Khangse is the largest glacier with an area of 6.7 ± 0.1 km², which contributes as a prime source and origin of Tista River in the northeastern most corner of the watershed in Sikkim. The glacier area are divided into five different size classes such as <0.5, 0.5–1, 1–1.5, 1.5–2 and >2 km² (Table 2; Fig. 6a). Out of these, <0.5 km² glacier size class has the maximum number of glacier count (51) with an area of 10.55 ± 0.6 km² followed by 13, 4, 1, and 5 glaciers in the size class of 0.5–1, 1–1.5, 1.5–2, and >2 km² covering an area of 8.84 ± 0.3, 4.86 ± 0.2, 1.86 ± 0.04, and 18.7 ± 0.4 km² respectively. The
glacier size class >2 km² is mostly dominated by the valley (compound basin and simple basin) and mountain glaciers (simple basin). All other topographically controlled parameters according to glacier size classes are mentioned in Table 2.

Out of the 74 counts, the maximum number of glaciers (64) are clean, covering an area of 28.4 ± 1.1 km², with a mean size of 0.4 km², followed by 07 partially debris-covered and 03 maximum debris-covered glaciers, with an area of 14.8 ± 0.4 km² and 1.6 ± 0.1 km², respectively. The mean size of partially debris-covered and maximum debris-covered glaciers are 2.1 km² and 0.5 km². Debris types according to size classes are tabulated as in Table 2.

Based on the morphological classifications, valley (simple basin) glaciers are the dominant types in the CCW, covering an area of 17.9 ± 0.5 km² (40% of the total glacierized area), followed by mountain (simple basin) glaciers (13.3 ±0.5 km²), valley (compound basin) glaciers (6.4 ± 0.1 km²), glacieret (Ice aprons & Snowfields) (2.9 ± 0.1 km²), cirque (2.5 ± 0.1 km²) and niche (1.7 ± 0.1 km²) respectively. The mean size of different morphological types is given in Table 3.

The morphological classification pattern of glaciers in Sikkim (Eastern Himalaya) is different from that in the central and western Himalayan regions (Raina and Srivastava, 2008). Most of the valley (simple basin) glaciers are clean, with an area of 13.5 km² (75%), only 16%, and 9% are partially debris-covered (2.9 km²) and maximum debris-covered (1.6 km²) glaciers, respectively. Mountain (simple basin) glaciers are clean with an area of 7.8 km² (59%), but 41% are partially debris-covered (5.5 km²). Small glaciers (viz., cirque, niche, and glacieret) are completely clean in the watershed.

Distribution of glaciers according to morphological types is also shown in Fig. 9.

Topographic parameters such as elevation and slope also play crucial roles in the variations of regional characteristics of glacier distribution (Bhambri et al., 2011). The mean elevation of the glaciers ranges from 4846 to 6691 m, with an average of 5598 m (Fig. 6a). The mean elevation is higher than that of the other basins of the central and western Himalayas, such as Alaknanda (5380 m), Bhagirathi (5544 m), Ravi (4828 m), Yamuna (5083 m), Sutlej (5436 m), Chenab (5064 m), Chandrabhaga (5373 m), Indus (5404 m), and Ladakh range (5497 m) (Bhambri et al., 2011; Frey et al., 2012; Schmidt and Nüsser, 2012; Chand and Sharma, 2015; Das and Sharma, 2018), which certainly reflects the fact that this study region located at an extreme northeast part of Sikkim Himalaya has a cold semi-arid climatic condition. The studied glaciers have almost symmetrical average median elevation (5601 m) to mean elevation and ranges from 4846 to 6666 m, which is also a good proxy for the long-term equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) estimations based on topographic parameters (Braithwaite and Raper, 2009) (Fig. 7a). The glacier termini are located around an average minimum elevation of 5348 m with varying ranges from 4688 to 6529 m. Hence, large valley glaciers (simple basins) extend to the lower elevations, while smaller glaciers have higher termini. All other topographic parameters (minimum and maximum elevation, average mean and range elevation) vary according to the size class and morphological types (Table 2 & 3). Fig. 6b shows the distribution of glaciers according to the elevation size classes and morphological types.

Glaciers in the watershed are distributed with a mean slope of 26°, ranging from 12° to 45°. It is evident that the larger glaciers have gentle slopes than the smaller ones, and morphologically, the Mountain (simple basin), Cirque, Niche, and glacieret (Ice aprons & Snowfields) glaciers have steeper slope than valley (compound basin and simple basin) glaciers.
Similar average slopes were observed in the other basins of Sikkim in Eastern Himalaya (Racoviteanu et al., 2015; Debnath et al., 2019) and Western Himalayas (Frey et al., 2012).

The area distribution by aspect sector shows that the glaciers are predominantly oriented towards the north (~34%) and followed by the south (~15%) (Fig. 8a). Based on glacier size classes, it is found that the majority aspect is northern, except 1–1.5 km², which have a southwest orientation (Table 2). The valley (simple basin), cirque, and niche glaciers are mainly oriented towards the north with 54%, 38% and 81%, respectively. While the valley (compound basin), mountain (simple basin), and glacieret (ice aprons & snowfields) have northeast aspect (~55%), south (~49%), and southwest (~46%), respectively.

4.2. Glacier area change (1975–2018)

Spatio-temporal change analysis reveals that the total glacier areal coverage across the entire study region in 1975 was 62.6 ± 0.7 km² (mean area: 0.75 km²) and, that by 2018, this area had reduced to 44.8 ± 1.5 km² (mean area: 0.61 km²) (Fig. 10a). There is a total areal reduction of 17.9 ± 1.7 km² (~28.5 ± 3.6%) over the 43-year analysis period (Table 4; Fig. 10c). The annual rate of glacier loss tends to vary over the different timeframes, with an initial shrinkage rate of 0.24 ± 0.4 km² a⁻¹ between 1975 and 1988, which is slightly higher than 1988 to 2000 (0.20 ± 0.5 km² a⁻¹). Between 2000 to 2010, the shrinking rate increased to 0.62 ± 0.5 km² a⁻¹, which remained higher between 2010 and 2018 (0.77 ± 0.6 km² a⁻¹). The overall glacier loss rate between 1975 and 2018 is 0.42 ± 0.04 km² a⁻¹ (~0.66 ± 0.1% a⁻¹) (Table 4). The annual glacier loss rate is at a declining trend in the Ravi and Chenab basins of north-western Himalaya during 2001–2013 (Chand and Sharma, 2015; Brahmbhatt et al., 2017). A scatter plot compares the relationship of the area of individual glaciers recorded in 1975 and 2018. This diagram shows that the individual glacier surface area has reduced, as well as 10 glaciers disappeared altogether over 43 years in this watershed (Fig. 10b). Only one glacier (i.e., Chhuma Khangse-1) shows fragmentation into two during 2010 and 2018 (Fig. 12a-b). The Gurudongmar Khangse, a valley (simple basin) glacier, which was influenced by a large supra-glacial lake (SGL-3) observed in 1975, later developed into a potentially dangerous moraine-dammed proglacial lake (Worni et al., 2013), retreated from 3.7 ± 0.03 km² to 1.9 ± 0.04 km² (~1.1 ± 0.06% a⁻¹) over the period between 1975 and 2018 (Fig. 12e-f).

In 1975, the total number of clean glaciers was 72, which decreased to 64 in 2018. The clean glacier area decreased from 40.3 ± 0.5 km² (1975) to 28.4 ± 1.1 km² (2018), with an area change of –11.8 ± 1.2 km² (~0.27 ± 0.03 km² a⁻¹). The
partially debris-cover also decreased from $19.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ km}^2$ (1975) to $14.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ km}^2$ (2018), an area change of $-5.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ km}^2$ ($-0.12 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$). Similarly, the maximum debris-covered glacier area change was $-1.0 \pm 0.1$ ($-0.02 \pm 0.002 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$) since 1975 (Fig. 11e). During 1975–2018, the glacier size class of >2 km$^2$ lost a maximum area of $-7.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ km}^2$ ($-0.18 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$). Due to the glaciers having a longer length and lower terminus position, it has receded at a higher rate due to higher negative mass balance at the lower elevation. This is followed by size class of 0.5–1 km$^2$ ($-5.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ km}^2$ or $-0.13 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$), 1–1.5 km$^2$ ($-3.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ km}^2$ or $-0.08 \pm 0.004 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$), and 1.5–2 km$^2$ ($-1.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ km}^2$ or $-0.03 \pm 0.001 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$). On the contrary, the glacier size class of <0.5 km$^2$ has gained its area of $+0.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ km}^2$ ($+0.01 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$) since 1975. But in terms of relative figures, the size class of 1.5–2 km$^2$ lost a maximum area of $-42.3 \pm 2.5\%$ ($-0.98 \pm 0.1\% \text{ a}^{-1}$) than any other size classes, which is mentioned in Table 5.

The glacier area changes according to morphological types are tabulated in Table 6. Valley (simple basin) glaciers have lost maximum percentage area of $-8.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ km}^2$ ($-0.19 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$) in the watershed than the other glacier morphological types. It is found that the maximum glacier area loss is taking place at the lower terminus elevation and comparatively lesser slope of large valley (simple basin) glacier than the other morphological types (Table 6; Fig. 11b-d). For example, some notable large valley (simple basin) and (compound basin) glaciers have significantly retreated since 1975, such as Lachen Khangse-1 (~100%), Chombku Khangse (~55.1%), Gurudongmar Khangse (~49.1%), Tasha Khangse (~35.3%), Chhuma Khangse-1 (~31.3%), Kangchengyao-2 (~8.4%), Tista Khangse (~8.3%) in the study region.

This study records the maximum percentage of glacier area recession and its annual change rates from the northwest ($-39.8 \pm 6.6\%$ or $-0.93 \pm 0.2\% \text{ a}^{-1}$) aspect, followed by the west ($-34.2 \pm 3.7\%$ or $-0.80 \pm 0.1\% \text{ a}^{-1}$) and east ($-33.6 \pm 5.2\%$ or $-0.78 \pm 0.1\% \text{ a}^{-1}$) (Fig. 11f). But in terms of absolute area change, glaciers with northern aspect lost a maximum area of $-4.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ km}^2$, followed by glaciers with the south ($-3.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ km}^2$), west ($-2.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ km}^2$), southeast ($-2.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ km}^2$) and northeast ($-1.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ km}^2$) aspect. Since 1975, the glaciers with a mean slope between 15$^\circ$ and 30$^\circ$ exhibit a relatively higher retreat rate (Fig. 11b). All these above factors suggest that the glacier’s response to climate change is largely controlled by individual glacier morphology, clean ice and debris-cover characteristics, and its associated topographical parameters (e.g., size, length, slope, minimum, mean and range elevation, and orientation) on their surface within the study region.

5. Discussion

5.1. Comparative evaluation of glacier inventory in the Chhombo Chhu Watershed

The present study is an up-to-date glacier inventory (2018) for the CCW in Sikkim Himalaya based on the higher resolution Sentinel 2A MSI satellite image, Google Earth imageries, and field-based observations. We have identified 74 glaciers with a total area of $44.8 \pm 1.5 \text{ km}^2$ in the CCW for 2018, and this result was compared with some recently published
work of the Geological Survey of India (GSI) (Raina and Srivastava, 2008), primarily based on the SOI topographical sheets and aerial photographs. We also compared our results with the glacier outlines compiled by ICIMOD (Bajracharya and Shrestha, 2011) and RGI v6.0 (Mool and Bajracharya, 2003; Nuimura et al., 2015). Table 7 shows that the results of observed total glacier count and their area in this current study (2018) were much different from the ICIMOD (2005 ± 3) database (79 glaciers covering a total area of 45.8 km²) almost after a decade later. In comparison with RGI v6.0 (2000 ± 3) glacier inventory data (90 glaciers covering a total area of 51.1 km²), glacier count was overestimated (7 glaciers), while the total area was underestimated (~6 km²) in the CCW. The major reasons for these different results in the RGI v6.0 database were due to misinterpretation of single glacier domain (based on slope, aspect, and glacier divide) into multiple outlines derived from automated mapping. We did not delineate some glacier boundaries, mainly in the western part of the watershed (e.g. Lachung Khangse and other unnamed glaciers) (Fig. 13a-b).

The GSI glacier inventory presented an overestimated total glacierized area (84 glaciers covering a total area of 80.7 km²) compared to our estimated area in 1975 (a decade later) using the KH-9 image. The possible reasons for this overestimation were due to topographic map scale limitations (1:50,000) and uncertainties of glacier outlines due to seasonal snow and debris cover (Raina and Srivastava, 2008; Chand and Sharma, 2015). A previous study by Bhambri and Bolch (2009) also found certain inaccuracies with these topographic maps, originally derived from aerial photographs acquired during March–June (1964 ± 2), when seasonal snow can create a problem for the correct delineation of glaciers.

Thus, many researchers earlier reported similar disadvantages, such as (i) misinterpretation of clean- and debris-covered glaciers derived from automated mapping; (ii) misclassification of seasonal snow as glaciers; (iii) temporal and spatial differences of acquired images and mapping period; (iv) division of single ice mass into multiple glaciers domain and vice-versa without checking the local topographical distribution (i.e., slope, orientation, and glacier divide) for delineation process (Bhambri et al., 2011; Chand and Sharma, 2015; Das and Sharma, 2018).

The number of glaciers also reduced from 83 (1975) to 74 (2018). A similar trend is reported in the Changme Khangpu basin (~0.45 ± 0.001 km² a⁻¹) of Sikkim in the Eastern Himalaya between 1975 and 2016 (Debnath et al., 2019).

Table 7

5.2. Topographic controls on area changes

The Spatio-temporal fluctuations of the glacier are solely dependent on the local topographic parameters such as glacier size, elevation (minimum, maximum, mean, and range), slope and orientation, and debris cover patterns under existing similar climatic conditions. The high mountain divide (i.e., Kanchengyao–Pauhunri massif) plays a significant role in imposing strong topographic influences between the northbound and southbound glacier fluctuations in the region. Glacier size is also a determining factor for area change. The area of size class (<0.5 km²) has gained mainly due to the fragmentation and area reduction of existing glaciers in the watershed (Table 5). The overall tendency of glacier area loss <2 km² reveals that small-size glaciers are more sensitive and good indicators of climate change. It is because of their faster response to relatively short-term climate variations (Paul et al., 2004b). We also found a positive correlation (R² = 0.47) between glacier area and absolute glacier area change (Fig. 11a). About 12.1% of the total glaciers with an area of 2.1 km² have entirely disappeared, and 1.2% of individual glaciers have formed out of fragmentation from the main glacier mass in the study region since 1975.
The debris-cover characteristics play a significant role in the glacier area change in this study as well. This study observed that the number of clean glaciers is dominant in the CCW of Sikkim Himalaya. The clean glacier area changed at a higher rate of \(-0.27 \pm 0.03 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\) than the partially debris-covered \((-0.12 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\) and maximum debris-covered \((-0.02 \pm 0.002 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\) glaciers. In this study, partially debris-covered glaciers exist on the southern \((-25\%)\) slope, whereas such debris-coverage is insignificant for the north-facing glaciers, as most of these \((-26\%)\) are clean glaciers, and such kind of observations are also found in Bhutan Himalaya by Kääb (2005). These intensive debris supply probably originated from the surrounding steep rock faces of the glaciers in the southern slopes (Frey et al., 2012), which in turn insulate the debris-covered glacier ice to form several dynamic thermokarst features such as depressions and supraglacial ponds in the lower part of the glacier tongues (Kääb, 2005). This study observed that a relatively gentle slope with lower elevations in the ablation areas could be favourable for the abundant supply of supraglacial debris.

The difference of glacier area loss on the western \((2.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ km}^2 \text{ or } 0.06 \pm 0.005 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\) and eastern \((1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ km}^2 \text{ or } 0.06 \pm 0.003 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\) aspects during 1975-2018 can be described as more effective melting on the western slopes taking place during the afternoon due to combination of more incident solar radiation and warmer air temperature (Evans, 2006). Besides, the north-facing glaciers (including northwest, north, and northeast) in this region are more susceptible to area loss \((7.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ km}^2 \text{ or } 0.17 \pm 0.02 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\) than the south-facing glaciers (including southeast, south, and southwest), which had a total area recession of \(6.9 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.01 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}\). North facing glaciers are mostly clean glaciers that are highly variable with short-term temperature and precipitation changes. Moreover, direct insolation on the northern aspects glaciers lying at the fringe of the Tibetan plateau might have retreated faster under the rising temperature. Here, the “plateau flat surface heating” effect over the higher elevated semi-arid terrain reported by Brazel and Marcus (1991) can be an effective controlling parameter for the larger amount of glacier area losses from the northern aspects. It is because of the glaciers located on the higher elevations above 5300 m.a.s.l on the north face of Kanchengyao–Pauhunri massif (i.e., Gurudongmar and Tista Khangse) is an extension of Trans-Himalaya of Tibetan plateau, an undulating flat surface mostly devoid of vegetation as compared to the southern part in the Thangu valley. Semi-arid higher elevated plateau type topography receives higher insolation and as a result of warmer land surface temperatures (Brazel and Marcus, 1991).

Our field measurements during 1-4 December 2018 (10 am to 1 pm) confirms that mean infrared temperatures of rock \((14^\circ\text{C})\), water \((2.7^\circ\text{C})\), and grass \((11.5^\circ\text{C})\) on the flat surfaces in the proximity of Gurudongmar lake region (>5150 m) are higher due to more incoming solar radiation than the sloping mountainous terrain near Thangu valley (3900 m) in the south. For example, the mean infrared temperature of rocks, water, and grasses near Thangu valley was measured as 4.2°C, 5.1°C, and 11.3°C, respectively. These recorded data reveal the concept of the “plateau flat surface heating” effect and a direct impact of the incident solar radiation and effects of shadows on the glacier area change on the northern aspects (Schmidt and Nüsser, 2012).

5.3. Regional Comparison with other Himalayan basins

The mean glacier size of the CCW watershed is 0.61 km² that is almost similar to other glacierized basins of Himalaya; e.g., Ravi (0.6 km²), Changme Khangpu (0.9 km²), Ladakh (1 km²) but comparatively much smaller than Chenab (1.15 km²), Jankar (1.2 km²), Zemu (1.24 km²), Shyok (1.4 km²), Baspa basin (1.7 km²) Saraswati/ Alaknanda basin (3.7 km²) (Bajracharya and Shrestha, 2011; Bhamri et al., 2011; Frey et al., 2012; Schmidt and Nüsser, 2012; Chand and Sharma, 2015; Mir et al., 2017; Das and Sharma, 2018; Debnath et al., 2019). The present study shows a significant total glacier area loss of 17.9 ± 1.7 km² (28.5 ± 3.6%) with a recession rate of 0.42 ± 0.04 km² a⁻¹ (0.66 ± 0.1% a⁻¹) from 1975 to 2018.
in the CCW (Sikkim) Eastern Himalaya. In Sikkim Himalaya, a recent study by Debnath et al. (2019) reported a glacier area loss of 20.7 ± 3.3% (0.51 ± 0.001% a⁻¹) in the Changme Khangpu basin between 1975 and 2016. Racoviteanu et al. (2015) revealed that the glacier area loss of 20.1 ± 8% (0.52 ± 0.2% a⁻¹) in the proximity to Kanchenjunga region in Sikkim side during 1962–2000. Garg et al. (2019) reported a comparatively much lower glacier area loss of 5.4 ± 0.9 % (0.2 ± 0.04% a⁻¹) for the 23 randomly selected glaciers in Sikkim (1991-2015) primarily based on medium to higher spatial resolution remote sensing datasets. Similarly, Basnett et al. (2013) had also reported a total loss of 3.3 ± 0.8% (~0.2 ± 0.1% a⁻¹) for 39 glaciers between 1989/90 and 2009/10 in Sikkim Himalaya. These published results are comparatively much lower than that of our study because (i) shorter time-period analysis (1990s onwards); (ii) only selected glaciers were mapped for both the case studies. Further east in Bhutan Himalaya, Bajracharya et al. (2014) estimated a comparatively higher glacier area loss of 23.3 ± 0.9% (0.8 ± 0.03% a⁻¹) between the 1980s and 2010 based on the series of Landsat satellite images.

In central Himalaya, Bolch et al. (2008) had revealed a planimetric recession of 5.2% (0.12% a⁻¹) in the Khumbu Himalaya of Everest region in Nepal from 1962 to 2005 based on Corona, Landsat TM, and ASTER satellite datasets. Similarly, a glacier loss of 5.9% (0.2% a⁻¹) was reported from the Tamor basin of eastern Nepal during 1970–2000 (Bajracharya and Mool, 2006). However, a significant area loss of 16.9 ± 6% (0.44 ± 0.2% a⁻¹) was noticed in the Kanchenjunga region of eastern Nepal (Tamor and Arun basins) between 1962 and 2000 was also reported by Racoviteanu et al. (2015). Bhamari et al. (2011) reported a comparatively lower glacier recession of 4.6 ± 2.8% (0.1 ± 0.1% a⁻¹) in the Bhagirathi and Saraswati/Alaknanda basin of Garhwal Himalaya from 1968 to 2006 based on higher resolution Corona and Cartosat-1 images.

In the context of western Himalaya, Chand and Sharma (2015) reported a total glacier area recession of 4.6 ± 4.1% (0.1 ± 0.1% a⁻¹) in the Ravi basin of Himachal Pradesh based on high-resolution Corona KH-4B, Landsat ETM+ PAN, and WorldView-2 images from 1971 to 2010/13. Schmidt and Nüsser (2012) estimated a relative ice cover loss of 14.3% (0.3% a⁻¹) in the Trans-Himalayan Kang Yatze Massif region of Ladakh during 1969–2010 based on high-resolution images (Corona, SPOT 2, World view-1 and Landsat series). Several other studies in the Western Himalaya also revealed a total glacier area loss of 12 ± 1.5% in the Sindh and Lidder basins of Kashmir from 1996 to 2014 (Ali et al., 2017); 7.5 ± 2.2% (0.2 ± 0.1% a⁻¹) in Jankar chhu watershed of Lahaul and Spiti valley during 1971–2016 (Das and Sharma, 2018); 6.0 ± 0.02% (0.1 ± 0.0004% a⁻¹) in Suru sub-basin of Jammu and Kashmir between 1971 and 2017 (Shukla et al., 2020); 8.4% (0.3 % a⁻¹) in Naiona’nyi region of southwest Tibetan Plateau from 1976 to 2003 (Ye et al., 2006). However, noticeable deglaciation of 18.1 ± 4.1% (0.5 ± 0.01% a⁻¹) in Baspa basin of the Sutlej river between 1976 and 2011 using Landsat data series along with SOI toposheets, Indian remote sensing satellite (IRS) LISS-III was reported by Mir et al. (2017). Again in another study, Kulkarni et al. (2007) reported much a higher recession rate of 22% (0.6% a⁻¹) and 21% (0.5% a⁻¹) in Parbati and Chenab sub-basins of the Beas river and 19% (0.5% a⁻¹) in the Baspa region of Sutlej river during 1962–2001/04 based on SOI toposheets and LISS III images. These overestimation of mapping glacier outlines using SOI toposheets could be the possible reasons of much higher recession in the Himachal region of western Himalaya (Bhamari et al., 2011; Chand and Sharma, 2015).

On the other hand, the glaciers of the Karakoram region behave distinctively different from the Hindu Kush Himalaya (HKH) (Hewitt, 1969, 2005; Bahuguna et al., 2014). In another study, Bhamari et al. (2017) reported that 221 glaciers in the Karakoram region have surge-type behaviour, covering an area of 7734 ± 271 km² (~43% of the total Karakoram glacierized area). Hewitt (2005) mentioned the probable causes of this glacier mass gain in very few areas of central
Karakoram Himalaya are due to the localized impact of higher elevation and relief and a different climatic anomaly involved.

The quantum of glacier area recession rate in the CCW (0.42 ± 0.04 km² a⁻¹) is similar to that of Changme Khangpu basin of Sikkim Himalaya (0.45 ± 0.001 km² a⁻¹). We conclude that glaciers in Sikkim (Eastern Himalaya) are retreating at a higher magnitude as compared to the counterparts (i.e. other Himalayan regions) (Bahuguna et al., 2014) and this major shift in the glacier behaviour of Sikkim Himalaya is also noticeable since 2000 in this study (Table 4).

5.4. Climate variability and its impact on glacier changes

The glaciers of the Eastern Himalaya, including Sikkim, are sensitive to climate warming due to increased precipitation from ISM and the Northeast winter monsoon (Ageta and Higuchi, 1984; Benn and Owen, 1998; Murari et al. 2014). The climatic condition of the Karakoram and western Himalaya are different from that of the counterpart (Bhambri et al., 2011). The rising temperature contributes to glacier shrinkage and thinning over the entire Tibetan Plateau (Fujita and Ageta, 2000; Yao et al., 2012) as well as in the different Himalayan regions (Ageta and Higuchi, 1984; Bhutiyani et al., 2010; Pratap et al, 2016; Das and Sharma, 2018). Table 8 shows the annual and season-wise analysis of MK, Sen’s slope (Q), and linear regression tests. The mean annual temperature experienced a significant positive trend (↑) at a rate of 0.249 °C a⁻¹ (0.05 significance level) between 1960–2013. It is important to note that the winter season experienced the maximum rising trend at the rate of temperature change (0.081 °C a⁻¹), followed by pre-monsoon (0.063 °C a⁻¹), monsoon (0.057 °C a⁻¹) and post-monsoon (0.050 °C a⁻¹) seasons. Moreover, the Himalayan glaciers are pretty sensitive to precipitation, directly or indirectly, through the albedo feedback mechanism on the short-wave radiation balance (Azam et al., 2018). Thus, an increasing trend (↑) in the annual precipitation (0.639 mm a⁻¹) in the region confirms the fact of glacier shrinkage to some extent (Treichler et al., 2019). A significant rising trend (↑) of precipitation change rate was observed during pre-monsoon (0.270 mm a⁻¹), followed by winter (0.228 mm a⁻¹; 0.05 significance level) and post-monsoon (0.104 mm a⁻¹) seasons.

But on the other hand, the precipitation change rate during the monsoon season experienced a decreasing trend of −0.197 mm a⁻¹. Such significant temperature and precipitation changes have immense impacts on glacier deglaciation in the investigated region since 1975. Fig. 14 confirms that there is a substantial increase in the temperature trend and more or less a static precipitation scenario since 1995, and the rate of glacier area loss also took its pace during 2000-2010 (0.62 ± 0.5 km² a⁻¹) and 2010-2018 (0.77 ± 0.6 km² a⁻¹) timeframes (Table 4). Based on dendrochronology, Yadava et al, (2015) have reported that 1996–2005 was the warmest period for Lachen and Lachung valley (North Sikkim) derived from mean late summer (July–September) temperature reconstruction (AD 1852–2005).

Table 8

Moreover, this significant trend of decreasing precipitation during summer monsoons and warming temperature during all seasons (maximum in winter season) restricts the refreezing of precipitation to form solid ice, thus reduce the degree of accumulation rates than the ablation on the existing glacier surfaces (Benn et al., 2001; Fujita and Ageta, 2000). Similarly, Basnett and Kulkarni (2019) also reported a reduction in snowfall with a declining rate of 2.81 ± 2.02% (−0.3 ± 0.18% a⁻¹) over the Sikkim Himalaya during 2002–2011 caused due to the influence of overall warming climate, especially the rise in winter minimum temperature and this correlate the finding of the present study. Thus, we can infer that glacier area shrinkage rates are also dependent on climatic factors.
Since the glacier response time is of many decades and centuries, the contemporary data may not have any perceptible reflections immediately but over the decades, it is also possible that the present trend of loss in the glaciers mass may be a response to climatic conditions that existed a few centuries ago, given the mass transfer with respect to velocity, ranging from ~5-25 m a\(^{-1}\) in most the Himalayan glaciers.

6. Conclusions

This research presents an integrated watershed-based study of glacier change across the CCW in Sikkim Himalaya from 1975 to 2018. This glacier analysis comprises 74 glaciers with a total area of 44.8 ± 1.5 km\(^2\), including 64 clean glaciers with an area of 28.4 ± 1.1 km\(^2\) (63.4% of total glacier area) in 2018. The mean glacier area of the watershed stands at 0.61 km\(^2\), with the dominance of small-sized glaciers. Our mapping reveals that there has been a glacier area recession of 17.9 ± 1.7 km\(^2\) in the analysis period, an equivalent to 28.5 ± 3.6% shrinkage. The overall rate of glacier area loss between 1975–2018 is 0.42 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\) (0.66 ± 0.1% a\(^{-1}\)) in the CCW, consistent with the other basins in Sikkim Himalaya. The present century, i.e., 2000–2010 and 2010–2018, have witnessed a higher rate of area shrinkage of 0.62 ± 0.5 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\) and 0.77 ± 0.6 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\) respectively, as compared to previous timeframes between 1975–1988 (0.24 ± 0.4 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\)) and 1988–2000 (0.20 ± 0.5 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\)). Hence, the glaciers in the CCW of Sikkim (Eastern Himalaya) are retreating at a higher rate than the other parts (i.e., western and central Himalayas). Larger glaciers (>2 km\(^2\)) have lost greater area (7.7 ± 0.4 km\(^2\) or −0.18 ± 0.01 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\)) than the small size classes since 1975. We suspect that such an anomaly might have been produced by a combined effect of higher solar incidence energy, lower terminus elevation, gentle slopes, and associated warmer air temperature. Morphologically, the valley glaciers (simple basins) have lost the maximum ice cover in the CCW. As a whole, the north-facing (including northwest, north and northeast) glaciers shrank at a higher rate of 0.17 ± 0.02 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\) than in the other aspects. The number of clean glaciers shows a decreasing trend in loss, with a maximum area loss of 11.8 ± 1.2 km\(^2\) (−0.27 ± 0.03 km\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\)) than the partial and maximum debris-covered glaciers in the CCW. These factors suggest that the glacier’s response to climate change is primarily controlled by glacier morphology, surface cover characteristics, and associated local topographical parameters (i.e., size, length, elevation, slope, and aspect) within the CCW. Mean annual and seasonal air temperature shows a significant positive trend since the 1960s. It appears that the rising trend of temperature during the winter season and the declining trend of precipitation in the summer season has been one of the key driving factors for glacier changes in the CCW. However, the timeframe of our analysis is much smaller than the response time in the glaciers. In case of the continuation of this trend into the future, similar variability in the region will undoubtedly affect the hydroelectric power projects due to the mobilization of the vast Quaternary deposits stored all along on account of fluctuations in the discharge in this basin.

7. Data availability

The temporal datasets developed in this current study for glacier changes in the Chhombo Chhu Watershed of Tista basin, Sikkim Himalaya, India between 1975 and 2018 can now be accessed at Zenodo web portal: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4457183 (Chowdhury et al., 2021).
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### Table 1. Different datasets used in this current study for the analysis and its characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maps/Sensors</th>
<th>Product/Scene ID</th>
<th>Acquisition date</th>
<th>Spatial resolution (m)</th>
<th>Temporal resolution (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexagon (KH9-11)</td>
<td>DZB1211-500057L037001_37</td>
<td>1975-12-20</td>
<td>PAN (4 m)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat 5 (TM)</td>
<td>LT5139041988336BKT00</td>
<td>1988-12-01</td>
<td>VIS + MIR (30 m)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat 7 (ETM+)</td>
<td>LE71390412000313SGS00</td>
<td>2000-11-08</td>
<td>PAN (15 m); VIS + MIR (30 m)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landsat 5 (TM)</td>
<td>LT51390412010348KHC00</td>
<td>2010-12-14</td>
<td>VIS + MIR (30 m)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sentinel (2A-MSI) | LIC_TL_EPAE_20181126T073934_A017905_T45RXM
LIC_TL_EPAE_20181126T073934_A017905_T45RXL | 2018-11-26       | VIS (10 m); SWIR (20 m) | 5                          |
| GE platform  | –                                                     | –                | 1.65 to 2.62 m         | –                          |
| SRTM DEM      | –                                                     | 2000             | 30 m                   | –                          |

Note: KH, KeyHole; GE, Google Earth; SRTM DEM, Shuttle Radar Topography Mission digital elevation model; TM, Thematic Mapper; ETM+, Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus; MSI, multispectral instruments; PAN, panchromatic; VIS, visible; MIR, mid-infrared; SWIR, shortwave infrared.

### Table 2. Topographic parameters according to different size classes (2018) for the CCW based on Sentinel 2A and SRTM DEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Size class (km²)</th>
<th>&lt;0.5</th>
<th>0.5–1</th>
<th>1–1.5</th>
<th>1.5–2</th>
<th>&gt;2</th>
<th>CCW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of glaciers</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean size (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total glacierized area (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.55 (24%)</td>
<td>8.84 (20%)</td>
<td>4.86 (11%)</td>
<td>1.86 (4%)</td>
<td>18.69 (42%)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average elevation mean (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5526</td>
<td>5809</td>
<td>5677</td>
<td>5670</td>
<td>5700</td>
<td>5598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average elevation range (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>357</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum elevation (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4688</td>
<td>5053</td>
<td>4770</td>
<td>5365</td>
<td>4851</td>
<td>4688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum elevation (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6574</td>
<td>6911</td>
<td>6910</td>
<td>6114</td>
<td>6758</td>
<td>6911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean slope (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aspect (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td>SE (151)</td>
<td>SE (153)</td>
<td>S (169)</td>
<td>N (22)</td>
<td>SE (133)</td>
<td>SE (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean glacier area (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.87 (94%)</td>
<td>7.56 (86%)</td>
<td>2.5 (51%)</td>
<td>1.86 (100%)</td>
<td>6.66 (36%)</td>
<td>28.4 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially debris-covered glacier area (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38 (4%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.36 (49%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.03 (64%)</td>
<td>14.8 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum debris-covered glacier area (km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 (3%)</td>
<td>1.28 (14%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Glacier parameters according to morphological types (2018) for the Chhombo Chhu Watershed based on Sentinel 2A and SRTM DEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Valley (compound basin)</th>
<th>Valley (simple basin)</th>
<th>Mountain (simple basin)</th>
<th>Cirque</th>
<th>Niche</th>
<th>Glacieret (Ice aprons &amp; Snowfields)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of glaciers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean size (km$^2$)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total glacierized area (km$^2$)</td>
<td>6.4 (14%)</td>
<td>18.0 (40%)</td>
<td>13.3 (30%)</td>
<td>2.5 (6%)</td>
<td>1.7 (4%)</td>
<td>2.9 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average elevation mean (m a.s.l.)</td>
<td>5743</td>
<td>5473</td>
<td>5572</td>
<td>5430</td>
<td>5785</td>
<td>5758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average elevation range (m)</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum elevation (m a.s.l.)</td>
<td>4957</td>
<td>4688</td>
<td>4770</td>
<td>4825</td>
<td>5178</td>
<td>4924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum elevation (m a.s.l.)</td>
<td>6474</td>
<td>6758</td>
<td>6910</td>
<td>6178</td>
<td>6683</td>
<td>6911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean slope (°)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aspect (°)</td>
<td>SE (154)</td>
<td>SE (133)</td>
<td>S (162)</td>
<td>S (168)</td>
<td>SE (136)</td>
<td>SE (148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean glacier area (km$^2$)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.5 (75%)</td>
<td>7.8 (59%)</td>
<td>2.5 (100%)</td>
<td>1.7 (100%)</td>
<td>2.9 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially debris-covered glacier area (km$^2$)</td>
<td>6.4 (100%)</td>
<td>2.9 (16%)</td>
<td>5.5 (41%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum debris-covered glacier area (km$^2$)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.6 (9%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Glacier area dynamics in total area in the Chhombo Chhu watershed, Sikkim Himalaya (1975–2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Glacier area (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Area change</th>
<th>Rate of area change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Total area</td>
<td>Periods</td>
<td>km$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>62.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1975–1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>59.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>1988–2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>57.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>2000–2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>51.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>2010–2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>44.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1975–2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Glacier area changes as per size class (1975–2018) in the CCW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size class</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>1975–2018</th>
<th>Rate of area change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>km$^2$</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>km$^2$</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>km$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5–1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5–2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>62.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>44.8 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Glacier area changes according to morphological types (1975–2018) in the CCW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological types</th>
<th>1975 No.</th>
<th>Area (km²) ± 0.1</th>
<th>2018 No.</th>
<th>Area (km²) ± 0.1</th>
<th>Area change km²</th>
<th>Rate of area change % a⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valley (compound basin)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley (simple basin)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-8.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-0.19 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain (simple basin)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-5.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-0.12 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirque</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.02 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niche</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.01 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacieret (Ice aprons &amp; Snowfields)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>62.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>44.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>-17.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>-0.42 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Comparison of glacier inventory within the study region (CCW).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Glacier Inventory</th>
<th>No. of glaciers</th>
<th>Total Area (km²)</th>
<th>Data used and year</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GSI (2008)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>SOI Toposheets and aerial photographs (1964 ± 2)</td>
<td>(Raina and Srivastava, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ICIMOD (2011)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>Landsat 7 ETM + (2005 ± 3) and SRTM DEM</td>
<td>(Bajracharya and Shrestha, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RGI v6.0 (2017)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>Landsat 7 ETM + (2000 ± 3)</td>
<td>(Mool and Bajracharya, 2003; Nuimura et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present Study (2018)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>44.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>Sentinel 2A MSI (2018) and SRTM DEM</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Statistical results of Mann–Kendall test for trend analysis of long-term annual and seasonal temperature and precipitation over the period 1960–2013. Sen’s Slope (Q) analysis shows the rate of change in temperature (°C a⁻¹) and precipitation (mm a⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Zmk</th>
<th>Linear equation</th>
<th>Q value (°C a⁻¹)</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Zmk</th>
<th>Linear equation</th>
<th>Q value (mm a⁻¹)</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring (Pre-monsoon)</td>
<td>3.58*</td>
<td>y = 0.0663x - 131.73</td>
<td>0.063*</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>y = 0.3025x - 527.77</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (Monsoon)</td>
<td>3.15*</td>
<td>y = 0.0569x - 84.591</td>
<td>0.057*</td>
<td>↑ -0.37</td>
<td>y = -0.1222x + 550.71</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn (Post-monsoon)</td>
<td>2.75*</td>
<td>y = 0.0577x - 117.59</td>
<td>0.050*</td>
<td>↑ 0.86</td>
<td>y = -0.0038x + 37.435</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>2.26*</td>
<td>y = 0.0836x - 188.22</td>
<td>0.081*</td>
<td>↑ 2.75*</td>
<td>y = 0.2342x - 451.38</td>
<td>0.228*</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2.91*</td>
<td>y = 0.2602x - 513.61</td>
<td>0.249*</td>
<td>↑ 1.33</td>
<td>y = 0.4138x - 397.23</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, Spring (Mar-May), Summer (Jun-Sep), Autumn (Oct-Nov), Winter (Dec-Feb); (↑), increasing trend; (↓), decreasing trend; * statistically significant at 0.05 alpha level of significance or 95% confidence level.
Figure 1. (a) Location of the Chhombo Chhu watershed in the Eastern Himalaya. The watershed boundary is marked in red in the inset within Sikkim, (b) Glacier and glacial lake distribution in the CCW in the Sikkim Himalaya. Inset in black boxes represent the field measurement sites in 2017–2018. The base map used here is SRTM DEM.
Figure 2. (a) Location of meteorological stations overlaid on Google Earth platform and its respective distance (km) to the centroid of the CCW. The red and blue placemarks represent the individual stations for precipitation and temperature data presented in this study. Black oval inset represent the Pagri Meteorological Station (PMS). Pink and yellow checker boxes represent the 0.5°×0.5° grided cells of CRU data (b) Climograph of the CCW, Sikkim Himalaya, representing the mean monthly temperature and precipitation data from 1960 to 2013; Data Source: (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/data).
Figure 3. Field photographs (2017-18) showing different glaciers and associated geomorphological in the study region (see Fig. 1 for location). (a) Panoramic view of glaciers in the Gurudongmar region; (b-c) Closer view of Gurudongmar and Kangchengyao 2 glaciers; (d) Different morphological types of glaciers in the Tista Khangse region; (e) Closer view of some niche glaciers near Tista Khangse; (f) Tso Lhamo region; (g) Unknown Palaeo Cirque in the Lashar Valley, Thangu. Note: CI, clean ice; GT, glacial tarn; IF, icefall; MCF, Mountain Cliff Face; NLM, new lateral moraine; OLM, old lateral moraine; PDCI, partially debris-covered ice; PGL, proglacial lakes; TK, Tista Khangse; UCLM, unconsolidated Lateral Moraine. The red oval inset surrounding the person represents the scale of the image. (All Photo courtesy: Chowdhury, A. 2017-18).
Figure 4. Methods adopted for glacier mapping: (a) Subset KH-9 image near Gurudongmar region before perfect geometric rectification using Spline Transformation Method (STM); (b) Distortion fields of the same KH-9 image along the mountain ridges after geometric rectification. Note: The difference of less distortion were clearly noticed near the flat areas of braided glacial streams (rectangle) in the north of Gurudongmar Tso than the Kangchengyao massif (arrow) in the south.

Figure 5. Distribution of glaciers in the CCW of Upper Tista basin, Sikkim Himalaya (2018) (a) histogram of glacier areal distribution; (b) normal Q-Q plot of glacier area (c) box-whisker plot of glacier surface area.
Figure 6. Distribution of (a) number of glaciers, glacierized area, mean slope and mean elevation as per glacier size classes, (b) elevation size classes of morphological types in relation to glacier area, (c) Frequency distribution (in percentage) of glacier morphological types and, (d) debris cover types.
Figure 7. Scatter plots showing relationships between different morphological types of glacierized area (km²) and (a) mean elevation (m); (b) mean slope (°); (c) elevation range (m); and (d) aspect (°). Glacier characteristics are derived from Sentinel 2A MSI and SRTM DEM.

Figure 8. Distribution of the glaciers (74) according to aspect in the CCW based on Sentinel 2A MSI (26 November 2018) and SRTM DEM. (a) Glacier frequency distribution and total glacierized area in percent; (b) Glacierized area (%) according to morphological types; (c) Glacierized area (%) in relation to debris covered types. All the data are in percentage (%) and on average, the glaciers in this watershed are predominantly oriented towards N (0°) and followed by S (180°).
Figure 9. Morphological classification of glaciers in the CCW, Sikkim Himalaya. The base map is the Hill Shaded Relief map of SRTM DEM. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Figure 10. Glacier surface areal change in the Chhombo Chhu watershed: (a) Total glacier area change (dash grey line is exponential best-fit relationship with associated equation and $R^2$ value). Error bars are the uncertainty (%) of respective years estimated using the Eq. (1); (b) Scatter plot of glacier area of 1975 and 2018. Red bullets in the graph indicates the 10 extinct glaciers during the phase of 1975–2018; (c) Glacier change 1975–2018 for the study region. The base map is the Hill Shaded Relief map of SRTM DEM.
Figure 11. Scatter plots showing the relationships between glacier area changes during 1975–2018 on (a) glacier area (km²); (b) mean slope (°); (c) elevation range (m); (d) median elevation (m) (e) different periods of debris cover types; and (f) aspects (°).
Figure 12. Glacier areal change (East to West direction from the right) in the Chhombo Chhu watershed from 1975 to 2018: (a,c,e,g) Glacier outlines (in blue) drawn on the rectified subsets of Declassified Hexagon (KH9) image (20 December 1975) based on the spline transformation method with similar-year glacier outline; (b,d,f,h) Spatio-temporal areal change of different glaciers in the watershed are overlaid on the Sentinel 2A MSI (2018) imagery.

Figure 13. Glacier inventory: (a) overlay of glacier outlines of different inventory on Landsat 7 ETM+ 8 November 2000; (b) Slope map (in °) showing the misinterpretation of glacier boundary and ice/sub-watershed divides. Dotted black lines represent the watershed divide.
Figure 14. Trends in mean annual temperature (°C) and precipitation (mm) between 1960 and 2013 in the CCW based on the Pagri Meteorological Station (PMS). Data source: (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/data).