

# ***Interactive comment on “Long-term trends of ambient nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) concentrations across China based on ensemble machine-learning models” by Rui Li et al.***

## **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 3 February 2021

### General comment:

Based on surface observation, satellite product, meteorological data, land use types and other covariates, this research has developed a monthly  $\text{NO}_3^-$  dataset at  $0.25^\circ$  resolution over China during 2005-2015, using ensemble machine-learning models. The long-term  $\text{NO}_3^-$  dataset is valuable for the air pollution control work in China. Compared with previous products, this new method also shows better performance in predicting accuracy and inspires peers to utilize interdisciplinary approaches to solve environmental issues. However, I suggest some modifications are necessary before being accepted. My comments are as below:

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



1. More attention is needed to the details in scientific writing. For example, the abbreviation should have an explanation when it appeared at the first time, NNDMN (Line 127), ERA-Interim (Line 150) and NAOD (Line 283) etc. Please check the manuscript carefully. Besides, U/V wind components are accurately latitudinal and meridional wind components in Line 153.

Figure 3, the name of the color bar is missing.

2. L134, The detection limit of particulate NO<sub>3</sub> concentration over China is said to be 0.05  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  which is unlikely to be true. The authors need to check for it.

3. Line 248-253 and Figure S3, what is the purpose to discuss the relationship between observed NO<sub>3</sub>- concentration and other parameters using Pearson correlation analysis?

3. In section 2.3, more details are needed about the method to assimilate the socioeconomic data (GDP, population etc.) every five years to the seasonal or monthly resolution.

3. Line 165, the importance values have been applied to select the independent variables to do the NO<sub>3</sub>- prediction in this research. The results of the importance values from the ensemble model and the selected variables are expected in this manuscript or in the supplement. In the same way, the regression coefficients (A, B, C, mentioned in Line 210) determined by the MLR model are expected, too. Because they are crucial parameters of the ensemble model.

4. To validate the excellent prediction performance of the ensemble model, detailed information about the observed data in Figure 4 are suggested to be labeled, such as sampling site, month, year etc. Data from sites far from the selected training sites and covering key areas will be more convincing and preferred.

5. A comparison also to chemical transport model results such as GEOS-FP (<http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-chem/index.php/GEOS-FP>) modeled nitrate will be

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



very valuable.

---

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-243>, 2020.

**ESSDD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

