

Interactive comment on “Validation of GRASP algorithm product from POLDER/PARASOL data and assessment of multi-angular polarimetry potential for aerosol monitoring” by Cheng Chen et al.

Otto Hasekamp (Referee)

o.hasekamp@sron.nl

Received and published: 8 September 2020

The paper describes a thorough evaluation of aerosol products retrieved by the GRASP algorithm (in different configurations) from POLDER-3/PARASOL. First, a comparison to AERONET for the full data set is presented. Second, a comparison with MODIS aerosol products is performed. It is concluded that the GRASP/Models AOD product is at least as good as (and probably better than) the MODIS AOD products and that the GRASP/HP product is superior for retrieving SSA and AE.

C1

Overall, the paper is well written and the conclusions are sound. The part on the comparison with MODIS is quite detailed and sometimes a bit hard to follow (because of the comparison of 3 GRASP products with 3 MODIS products). I think this part can be shortened by removing the part of fine- and coarse mode AOD as I believe the AOD+AE comparison already tells the story.

I recommend publication of this paper after addressing my comments I added to the pdf file of the manuscript, most of which are minor.

Two comments I'd like to highlight here: - It seems that the GRASP/Models product has significantly less valid retrievals than the GRASP/HP product (~31000 vs ~44000). What is the reason? Is the filter for GRASP/Models stricter? This is not clear from the text (in fact the opposite is suggested). May this be the reason for the better performance? Some discussion is needed here. - The evaluation puts large focus on the correlation coefficient when comparing the performance of different products. This is not always a good metric because it is heavily influenced by the range, i.e. a limited number of points at the end of the range can have large effect on the correlation. I recommend to put more emphasis on other metric such as RMSE and MAE (Mean Absolute Error).

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://essd.copernicus.org/preprints/essd-2020-224/essd-2020-224-RC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-224>, 2020.

C2