

## ***Interactive comment on “DSCOVER/EPIC-derived global hourly/daily downward shortwave and photosynthetically active radiation data at $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ resolution” by Dalei Hao et al.***

**Dalei Hao et al.**

min.chen@pnnl.gov

Received and published: 15 July 2020

General comments: This authors introduced their newly developed global surface SW and PAR products derived based upon DSCOVER-EPIC observations. A detailed evaluation is given by comparing the products with surface-based observations. The paper is organized and presented very well. The dataset is an advancement to the field and has the potential for more applications. From the Earth's L1 point, EPIC's view of the entire sunlit side of the Earth makes it very suitable for this work and the authors developed a convincing way to retrieve the SW and PAR info. I recommend publication of the paper after some minor revisions listed below.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



Thank you for your positive reviewing comments.

Specific comments:

P3, Line80: “DSCOVER/EPIC has the potential to reduce significantly or eliminate completely the 3D radiative 80 effects on the final products”. This is a good point, but I suggest more discussion and define the 3D effects you are referring to. 3D effect has different meaning for different discipline. For example, for cloud or surface property retrievals, one 3D effect pathway is that photons can come to the field of view when scattered by the surrounding clouds. This could happen regardless of the sun-view geometry. I see your point here and I agree that it is a big advantage of EPIC for this application. More elaboration will make this more clear.

Thank you for this excellent suggestion. In the revised manuscript, we first added a definition of the 3D effects of cloud including the nonlocal cloud shadows, reflections from cloud sides, and enhancement of downward radiation by photon diffusion from clouds (Line 63-64). We further clarified that DSCOVER/EPIC can reduce significantly the 3D radiative effects caused by the shift of the apparent position of clouds and their shadows which are related to the solar and viewing geometries (Line 81-82).

P3, Line106: please check “gridded monthly, monthly hourly, daily,” is “monthly hourly” a typo?

Thank you for pointing this typo error. We deleted ‘monthly hourly’ in the revised manuscript.

P3, Line108: “However, the SYN1deg Edition 4.1 products are not suitable for inferring long-term trends of surface fluxes, due to limited climate quality”. Need reference or more elaboration.

This was reported by the Data Quality Summary published by the CERES team in [https://ceres.larc.nasa.gov/documents/DQ\\_summaries/CERES\\_SYN1deg\\_Ed4A\\_DQS.pdf](https://ceres.larc.nasa.gov/documents/DQ_summaries/CERES_SYN1deg_Ed4A_DQS.pdf). We added the reference in the revised manuscript.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Figure 10: vertical axis label missing

We added the y label “SW (W/m<sup>2</sup>)” to the revised figure 10.

P13, Line307-308: “Although currently DSCOVR is temporarily in safe mode, it is expected to return to operations early in 2020 (<https://epic.gsfc.nasa.gov/>.” DSCOVR is back and operational since Feb. 2020.

Yes. Thank you. We have deleted this statement in the revised manuscript.

---

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-19>, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)