

Authors responses to referee comments

Dear Editor,

we have carefully responded to all comments from the referees. This letter summarizes all the comments and answers again. The suggestions that we have taken up and thus changed the manuscript accordingly are marked in this compilation for a better overview.

Referee #1

Comment 1: Are these observations integrated into the existing observation networks?

The stations in Central Asia are installed in cooperation with the national Hydrometeorological Services. The data is made immediately accessible to them and is used for their operational purposes.

Comment 2: Should the observations not be made publicly available in real- or near-real-time (and if appropriate submitted to the WMO GTS to provide global, regional and national benefits)?

The stations are property of the national agencies and, therefore, the Hydrometeorological Services are in charge of integrating the data into international data streams like the WMO. The data is also made available without delays through the SDSS system and offers machine-based Web-requests.

Comment 3: Will the countries be able to continue to operate and maintain the system?

The long-term operation of stations is ensured by MoUs with the national Hydrometeorological Services and CAIAG for Kyrgyzstan. Members of the Hydrometeorological Services are participating in installations and maintenance trips and technical field and laboratory trainings ensure the knowledge transfer and thus, the sustained operation. Also CAIAG as the regional institutional partner provides expertise in operating the stations. Moreover, all technical components of the stations are commercially available which allows replacing components without GFZ's interaction.

Referee #2

Comment 1:

a) *The dataset is not easily accessible. I would recommend providing a direct link to the dataset without any need to create a user and password.*

The data can be downloaded at <https://kurzelinks.de/romps-data> and <http://sdss.caiag.kg> without any registration or any user/password login which has been already described in the manuscript. With the acceptance of the paper, the data will be made available at GFZ's central data service long-term archive. The login is only necessary for administrating the web-side.

b) *Data also need a postprocessing and the presentation of data must be simple.*

As described in chapter 5 of the manuscript, the data has to be considered as raw data which have not undergone any post-processing as this is beyond the scope of the network operation and are subject of each responsible agency or user. Quality control procedures that should be considered are proposed in chapter 8. The Quality Control and dissemination of aggregated values is the responsibility of the national Hydromet-Services. The data can be easily displayed through the SDSS system (sdss.caiag.kg).

c) *Use simple indices such as P for precipitation, T for air temperature, RH for relative humidity, and so on for the time series in the website as well as the tables in the manuscript. Description of the file formats in sections 7.1 and 7.2 is excessive and needs to be polished and simplified.*

The indices described in the manuscript refer to the technical implemented indices used by the sensors or in the station's datalogger. The data presented here has to be considered as raw data directly coming from the monitoring stations. Changing the indices would require a post-processing of all data. We believe retaining the original abbreviations helps the user in backtracing the data to the original sensors with different accuracies and measurement methodologies.

Section 7.1 & 7.2 are necessary as they describe the storage logic necessary to retrieve the data.

Comment 2: *Are Tipping buckets the only precipitation gauges? Do they only record rainfall (e.g., Figure 4)? How about snowfall? Given the high range of elevation among the stations, a Tipping bucket gauge is not enough for representing snowfall portion of precipitation.*

Prior to the network development, we evaluated which sensor types could be safely used in this remote network. Since then, we haven't changed hardware types to keep the maintenance and operation efforts small. The decision was based on the accuracy of the sensor and the power consumption and maintenance free (or at least minimal) aspects.

Measuring solid precipitation requires a heating system. As the power consumption for the heating is high and the stations depend on solar power only, these systems could not be used for this network.

Comment 3: *Spell out all of the acronyms such as GNSS. Spell out all the acronyms on the figures and in the figure captions.*

We follow the suggestion of the reviewer and changed the manuscript.

Comment 4: *Combine Figures 2 and 3*

We take the suggestion of the reviewer and changed the manuscript. We have deleted Figure 2 and have inserted another figure to show the difference between the two station types; one picture for station type one and one picture for station type 2.

Referee #3

Comment 1: Please provide some more details for the individual sensors used: in general error ranges of the calibrated sensors or e.g. radiation measurement: range of wave length for short wave and long wave radiation sensors.

These descriptions and values (e.g. range of wave length) are described in the data format specification for each sensor values which is part of the supplementary material provided with the manuscript. A detailed description of the sensors itself can be found in the manuals of the sensors. Links to these manuals are listed at the end of the paper's reference list and the manuscript is not overloaded with technical details.

Additionally, we have compiled all datasheets and manuals from the sensors and provide these within the supplementary material.

Comment 2: Line 188: please delete 'the energy balance between' because the word energy balance should be only used for the total energy balance including all energy fluxes such as the turbulent fluxes and/or ground heat fluxes.

We agree with the comment and changed the manuscript accordingly.

Comment 3: you describe in detail the Snow Pack Analyser but you not describe the above mentioned Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensing. At which station you operated this system? What are your experiences with this system?

The CAWa ROMPS stations do not only provide hydrometeorological data, they also serve as a data storage and transmission hub for third party installations. Therefore, other systems such as broadband seismometers, automatic cameras, and a Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensing sensor are integrated to the ROMPS stations by other users. We refer to the publication of Schattan et al. ([doi: 10.1007/s00506-018-0500-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00506-018-0500-x)) for further information. CRNS data from Golubin Glacier is distributed through the SDSS (sdss.caiag.kg), but will also be available through GFZ Data Repository.

We have added a table for the 3rd party equipment to the manuscript.

Referee #4

Comment 1: Firstly, all the figures and tables should be thoroughly revised to make them more readable.

We carefully revised parts of the figures and tables.

Comment 2: The captions of the tables and figures should be more concrete.

We follow the suggestion of the reviewer and extended the table/figure captions in the manuscript.

Comment 3: Although the authors try to show that the stations are located in remote areas and high altitudes, it's hard to get this information from Figures 2 and 3. I suggest the authors provide

additional information such as elevation, mean temperature, and mean precipitation on these two Figures.

We now included a table with station names and location information including the elevation to the supplementary material.

Comment 4: *Since precipitation is the most difficult variable to measure, I suggest the authors provide clear figure about compact Weather Transmitter, especially precipitation sensor. Additionally, the authors should provide relevant parameters and measuring error of precipitation sensor.*

Sensor-specific information of the precipitation sensor such as the measuring error and measuring range can be found in the manual of the sensor. We have extended the description of the sensor in the manuscript. Additionally, we have compiled all manuals and datasheets from the sensors to one compressed file to the supplementary material.

Comment 5: *I also suggest the authors provide close-up of Snow Pack Analyzer, which is useful for understanding the description on how to measure snow depth/snow density and its possible error sources.*

We follow the suggestions of the reviewer and have inserted a close-up picture of the Snow Pack Analyzer.

Comment 6: *I think it's better to move Parts 7 to supplementary material, since it's not quite important for this manuscript.*

We investigated various publications in this journal and found that the technical description of the data in the manuscript is quite typical for this journal. We have therefore decided not to move it to the supplementary material, as it is necessary to retrieve the data.

Comment 7: *I suggest the authors add information such as elevation, longitude and latitude of all the stations into Table 2.*

Although this information is part of the individual station documentation that is provided with the supplementary material, we have compiled a table with the locations of the stations and put it to the supplementary material to give a better overview. See also comment 3.

Comment 8: *The authors stated that “the primary purpose of the network is to provide near realtime data for the Hydromet services without major time delay. A consistency or QC on this dataset is beyond the scope of the network operation”. However, I think at least the systematic errors and apparent outliers of the data should be removed before the publishing.*

Due to the symptoms of systematic errors (errors in the configuration or technical errors), these are difficult to detect automatically. Therefore, they can only be eliminated by complex post-processing, which in turn would result in a considerable time-delay. However, this is not the scope of a real-time network operation. When setting up the network, a conscious decision was made to provide near-real-time data without quality control instead of a system that provides quality-controlled data with a time delay of several months.

Comment 9: *In lines 49-52, the authors pointed out that “The monitoring network degraded significantly after 1991 mainly due to economic shortening resulting in a lack of information urgently needed for water availability decisions”. Do all the stations stop working since 1991? If not, please*

provide additional information about which stations are still working. Besides, are the 18 stations enough? How do you optimize the geographic locations of these stations?

The monitoring network degraded significantly (Unger-Shayesteh et al., 2015) but did not stop working completely. A more detailed percentage distribution over different years is given in Finaev, 2009 for Tajikistan, in Glazirin, 2009 for Uzbekistan and Kuzmichenok, 2009 for Kyrgyzstan. Nevertheless, the provision of 18 stations are not enough but was a helpful support to the national Hydromet Services to extend the existing network with a special focus on remote areas with difficult access for local operators. The locations were selected together with the Hydromet Services.

Finaev, A.: Review of hydrometeorological observations in Tajikistan for the period of 1990–2005,

Glazirin, G.E.: Hydrometeorological monitoring system in Uzbekistan,

Kuzmichenok, V.: Monitoring of water, snow and glacial resources of Kyrgyzstan,

in: Assessment of Snow, Glacier and Water Resources in Asia, edited by: Braun, L. N., Hagg, W., Severskiy, I. V., and Young, G., Selected papers from the Workshop in Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2006, UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee, IHP/HWRP-Berichte 8, 55–64, Koblenz, 2009.

We have extended the text in the manuscript with these references.

Comment 10: I suggest publishing a copy of this dataset in the National Tibetan Plateau/Third Pole Environment Data Center <http://data.tpdc.ac.cn/en/>. This could help facilitating the High Mountain Asia study.

We have published the data at the GFZ's central data service long-term archive at <https://kurzelinks.de/romps-data> and the <http://sdss.caiag.kg>. The SDSS can directly access the data stream from the stations. Creating a copy of the data at a different location carries the risk of inconsistencies.

Hydrometeorological Data from a Remotely Operated Multi-Parameter Station network in Central Asia

Cornelia Zech (1), Tilo Schöne (1), Julia Illigner (1), Nico Stolarczuk (1), Torsten Queißer (1), Matthias Köppl (1), Heiko Thoss (1), Alexander Zubovich (2), Azamat Sharshebaev (2),
5 Kakhramon Zakhidov (3), Khurshid Toshpulatov (3), Yusufjon Tillayev (4), Suhrob Olimov (5), Zabihullah Paiman (6), Katy Unger-Shayesteh (1), Abror Gafurov (1), Bolot Moldobekov (2)

- (1) Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany
- (2) Central-Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG), Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan
- 10 (3) Centre of Hydrometeorological Service (UzHydromet), Tashkent, Uzbekistan
- (4) Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute (UBAI) of the Uzbek Academy of Sciences , Tashkent, Uzbekistan
- (5) Agency for Hydrometeorology, Committee on Environmental Protection under the Government of the Republic of Tajikistan, Dushanbe, Tajikistan
- 15 (6) Kabul Polytechnic University, Kabul, Afghanistan

Abstract

The Regional Research Network „Water in Central Asia“ (CAWa) funded by the German Federal Foreign Office consists of 18 remotely operated multi-parameter stations (ROMPS) in Central Asia. These stations were installed by the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ) in Potsdam, Germany in close cooperation with the Central-Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG) in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, the national hydrometeorological services in Uzbekistan and Tajikistan, the Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute in Tashkent, Uzbekistan, and the Kabul Polytechnic University, Afghanistan. The primary objective of these stations is to support the establishment of a reliable data basis of meteorological and hydrological data especially in remote areas with extreme climate conditions in Central Asia for applications in climate and water monitoring. Up to now ten years of data are provided for an area of scarce station distribution and with limited open access data which can be used for a wide range of scientific or engineering applications. The data described in this manuscript ~~will be~~ made publicly available with the [Digital Object Identifier \(DOI\)](#) <https://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.1.2.2020.002> (Zech et al., 2020) ~~after final acceptance. In the meantime, find data via this temporary link:~~ <https://kurzelinks.de/romps-data> or via the Sensor Data Storage System (SDSS) at <http://sdss.caiag.kg>.

1. Introduction

20 Central Asia with its former Soviet republics of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan is a region that varies from high mountains to deep valleys, vast deserts and fertile river basins. Due to this wide range of natural diversity, large

differences in climate forming factors like temperature, precipitation and snow cover occur. Especially, the high mountains such as the Pamir and Tien Shan where most of the water originates from glaciers and snow packs provide important water resources for the entire region (Unger-Shayesteh et al., 2013). During the Soviet time, a large number of manually-controlled monitoring stations for meteorological and hydrological observations have been operated for extended periods of time. Specifically, the river discharge data was used to infer the melt-water of the snow covered mountains of Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan with the demand for water in the arid but agricultural used land of Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan in exchange for coal, oil and gas (Bernauer and Siegfried, 2012). After the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, the sharing of resources became difficult and thus subject to frequent disputes between the now independent countries. Especially, the water availability and its different utilisation are the most challenging problems. While the water from the mountainous areas is used for the generation of hydropower due to the lack of other energy providing resources in the upstream countries, the demand for agricultural irrigation in the downstream countries in summer contrasts with the release of water from the reservoirs during the winter season (Siegfried et al., 2012). Additionally, after 1991, the monitoring network degraded significantly after 1991 in this region (Finaev, 2009; Glazirin, 2009; Kuzmichenok, 2009) mainly due to economic shortening resulting in a lack of information urgently needed for water availability decisions (Unger-Shayesteh et al., 2015). To support the Central Asian countries in transboundary water resource management based on reliable in situ and remote sensing data and to make water a subject of peaceful cooperation, the German Federal Foreign Office launched the Central Asian Water Initiative (“Berlin Process”) in April 2008. The primary goal was to assist the cooperation between the Central Asian countries with regard to energy and water management on the political, scientific-technical and educational level.

The scientific-technical level aimed at the establishment of a reliable data basis of hydrological and meteorological data and the implementation of new technical monitoring and data distribution solutions. These goals were addressed by the Regional Research Network „Water in Central Asia“ (CAWa) funded by the German Federal Foreign Office and coordinated by the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ) in Potsdam, Germany. To support the reconstruction of the degraded network of meteorological and hydrological station infrastructure and to provide near real-time hydrometeorological data, a network of Remotely Operated Multi-Parameter Stations (ROMPS) (Schöne et al., 2013) together with a remote-sensing monitoring system of rivers, reservoirs, and lake levels (Schöne et al., 2018a) has been established over the past ten years. To support the activities and to strengthen the geoscientific cooperation between the five Central Asian countries and Afghanistan, additional funding has been provided by GFZ through the “Global Change Observatory – Central Asia” (GCO) and the “Advanced Remote Sensing – Ground-truth Demo and Test Facilities” (ACROSS) projects of the Helmholtz Association (Helmholtz Society 2015). While some of these stations have been installed to additionally monitor the tectonically active

parts of the Pamir and Tien Shan mountain areas with [Global Navigation Satellite System \(GNSS\)](#) (Zubovich et al., 2016), others are dedicated to monitor glacier dynamics and Glacier Lake Outburst floods (Zech et al., 2016) and to re-establish glacier monitoring for mass balance studies (Hoelzle et al., 2012).

The objective of this paper is to describe the near-real time meteorological and hydrological observations provided by the CAWa, GCO and ACROSS station network. An overview of the station locations, the methods of data collection including their known quality issues and further documentation is given in the next sections.

70 **2. Station network**

To support the construction of new hydrometeorological stations, close cooperation between different agencies and institutes in Central Asia has been established. One of the main partners is the Central Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG) in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, which was founded in 2004 by the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic and the GFZ. Additionally, cooperation with the national hydrometeorological services in Kyrgyzstan (KgHM), Tajikistan (TjHM) and Uzbekistan (UzHM), the Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute (UBAI) in Tashkent, Uzbekistan, as well as with the Kabul Polytechnic University (KPU), Afghanistan, have been established. In joint collaboration with these partners, 18 stations have been installed and are jointly operated altogether. Thereof eight stations from Kyrgyzstan, three stations from Uzbekistan, two stations from Tajikistan and one station from Afghanistan are providing data. Figure 1 shows the station distribution in Central Asia.




Figure 1: ROMPS network in Central Asia with the two different station types (see chapter 3) marked in purple for station type one with individual sensors and station type two with the compact weather transmitter (credits to NOAA for the DEM)

85

The stations are located in different climatic regions, reaching from high altitudes (4124m a.s.l.) in the mountains and at glaciers down to low elevations (318m a.s.l.) in flat and dry areas covering three different Central Asian countries and Afghanistan (Schöne et al., 2018b; Schöne et al., 2019). The station locations were selected together with the Hydromet Services and partners with regard to previous network coverage, meteorological information content, possibility of satellite data transfer and station security aspects. However, some stations have been installed at existing meteorological stations or ‘Hydroposts’ with manual readings done by a local operator. The intention was to combine and compare the manual readings with the digital measurements as well as to ensure the safety of the equipment.

90

95

3. Instruments and Data Storage

The stations are designed for the operation in remote areas and high altitudes (see Figure 2 and Figure 3), especially under extreme climate conditions with temperatures ranging from +60°C to -60°C (Schöne et al., 2013). To keep the maintenance efforts low, the general technical setup of all ROMPS is identical at most locations. The system operates independently and automatically in order to reduce the need for manual interventions of a

100

105 local operator. All stations consist of outside connected sensors and a water-proof (IP66)
station main box (see Figure 6) integrating the central electronic components for the general
operation such as the station computer system, independent (solar) power supply, and data
communication systems. Two alternative communication lines were chosen, either two
110 different satellite systems (Very Small Aperture Terminal - VSAT and Iridium) or depending
on the signal coverage one satellite (VSAT) and one ~~GSM~~ ground communication line (Global
System for Mobile Communication – GSM). Due to their independence from local
infrastructures (power, data transmission and manual interaction), the stations can be
located in remote areas with difficult accessibility.



Figure 2: Station ABRA



Figure 3: Station GOLU with snow system




Figure 2: Station type one with meteorological sensors and a snow pack analyzing system (SPA, left front) at the station Golubin Glacier (GOLU)



Figure 3: Station type two with a compact weather transmitter connected at the pole between the solar panels at the station Alai-6 (ALA6)

115 The station outline is determined by the selection of meteorological sensors and can be
differentiated into two types. The first type consists of a set of separate sensors complying
with the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) requirements (WMO, 2018) that are
arranged around the station main box to avoid interferences with each other (Figure 2). At

the second type of station (Figure 3), a compact weather transmitter is used. Figure 4 shows a typical station setup for the first type of station but depending on the local environment, the sensors are arranged differently at other stations.



120

Figure 4: Typical station outline [for station type one with the arrangement of the sensors around the station area to avoid interferences or shadowing with other technical equipment](#)

All stations are equipped with a standard set of meteorological sensors. The following table lists the measured parameters for each station type:

125

Table 1: Measured parameters for each station type

Type one	Type two
Air temperature and relative humidity	Air temperature and relative humidity
Barometric air pressure	Barometric air pressure
Wind speed and direction	Wind speed and direction
Precipitation	Precipitation and hail
Solar radiation	
Soil water content	
Soil temperature	

At selected locations, the stations have been augmented with a river discharge monitoring system and/or a snow measuring system. While the snow system is usually located adjacent to the other sensors (see to the left), the discharge system is installed directly at rivers which can be several hundred meters away from the station main box (see Figure 5). It consists of an independent power supply and the data is transmitted in regular intervals by a radio link to the main station. The snow system measures the following parameters:

- snow depth (reversed distance),
- content of liquid water and ice,
- snow density, and
- snow water equivalent (SWE).

The river discharge system provides the parameters:

- water level,
- surface flow velocity, and
- (computed) river discharge volume.



Figure 5: River discharge system at station MTAL with a solar panel and the boom for the sensor.




Figure 6: Station main box. Topside the computer and power module is visible. The VSAT and Iridium modem are located in the back. Below is the GNSS receiver (left), the barometric sensor (middle) and the Campbell® datalogger (Schöne et al., 2013).

The primary service of the CAWa ROMPS network is to provide meteorological and hydrological data (Schöne et al., 2018b and 2019), especially from the high mountain areas of Central Asia supporting the national Hydrometeorological services and the regional and

150

international scientific community. ~~Additional to the hydrometeorological data acquisition, some stations integrate other sensors, such as broadband seismometers for the GEOFON network (GEOFON, 2020), automated cameras for glacier monitoring and mass balance calculations (Hoelzle et al., 2017), GNSS receivers for investigation of glacier dynamics and Glacier Lake Outburst Flood (GLOF) monitoring (Zech et al., 2016 and 2018) or measurements of snow water equivalent (SWE) with Cosmic Ray Neutron Sensing (e.g., Schattan et al., 2018).~~ The stations with their installed sensor systems and start of operation

155

are listed in Table 2. The start of operation does not necessarily match with the start of all sensor systems. In some cases, individual sensors were installed at a later date.

Table 2: Station list with beginning their start of operation dates and the installed sensors systems. While the column 'meteorological sensors' refer to various individual sensors, the 'weather sensor' column refers to the compact weather transmitter (station type two).

Station	Country	Partner	Name	Start of operation	Meteo. Sensors (type one)	Weather Sensor (type two)	River discharge	Snow parameters
ABRA	KGZ	CAIAG	Abramov Glacier	08/2011	✓	✗	✗	✗
ALAI	KGZ	CAIAG	Alai	05/2017	✗	✓	✗	✗
ALA6	KGZ	CAIAG	Alai-6	10/2015	✗	✓	✗	✗
ASAI	KGZ	CAIAG	Aksai	07/2012	✓	✗	✗	✗
AYVA	TAJ	TjHM	Ayvadh	06/2012	✓	✗	✗	✗
BALY	KGZ	CAIAG	Balyktschy	06/2017	✓	✗	✗	✗
DUPU	TAJ	TjHM	Dupuli	05/2012 decom. 12/2012	✓	✗	✓	✗
GOLU	KGZ	CAIAG	Golubin Glacier	09/2013	✓	✗	✗	✓
HM01	KGZ	CAIAG	Baytik	12/2010	✓	✗	✗	✓
KABU	AFG	KPU	Kabul	04/2015	✓	✗	✗	✗
KEKI	KGZ	CAIAG	Kokomeren	11/2010	✓	✗	✓	✗
KMBL	UZB	UzHM	Kumbel	09/2015	✓	✗	✗	✓
MADK	UZB	UBAI	Maidanak	11/2012	✓	✗	✗	✗
MRZ1	KGZ	CAIAG	Merzbacher	08/2011	✓	✗	✗	✗
MTAL	UZB	UzHM	Maydantal	09/2014	✓	✗	✓	✓
OYGA ¹	UZB	UzHM	Oygaing	10/2018	✗	✗	✓	✗
SARY	KGZ	CAIAG	Sary-Tash	08/2015	✗	✓	✗	✗
TARA	KGZ	CAIAG	Taragay	09/2010	✓	✗	✗	✗
ZOKA	KGZ	CAIAG	Zorka	09/2016	✓	✗	✗	✗

160

¹ OYGA (Oygaing) consists of a river discharge system only that is located close to and connected to the station MTAL (Maydantal).

Additional to the hydrometeorological data acquisition, some stations integrate other sensors, such as broadband seismometers for the GEOFON network (GEOFON, 2020), automated cameras for glacier monitoring and mass balance calculations (Hoelzle et al., 2017) calculations operated by the University of Fribourg, Switzerland (UniFr), GNSS receivers for investigation of glacier dynamics and Glacier Lake Outburst Flood (GLOF) monitoring (Zech et al., 2016 and 2018) operated by GFZ or measurements of snow water equivalent (SWE) with Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensing (e.g., Schattan et al., 2018) installed by the University of Innsbruck, Austria (UIbk). Table 3 gives an overview about the sites of the additionally installed sensors.

Table 3: Station list with additionally installed sensors. The column "Partner" in this table does not refer to the joint operation of the station, but to the owner of the additionally installed sensor.

Station	Name	Country	Partner	Seismometer	GNSS	SWE	Camera
<u>ABRA</u>	<u>Abramov Glacier</u>	<u>KGZ</u>	<u>UniFr</u>	<u>✗</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✗</u>	<u>✓</u>
<u>ASAI</u>	<u>Aksai</u>	<u>KGZ</u>	<u>GFZ</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✗</u>	<u>✗</u>
<u>GOLU</u>	<u>Golubin Glacier</u>	<u>KGZ</u>	<u>UniFr, UIbk</u>	<u>✗</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>
<u>KEKI</u>	<u>Kokomeren</u>	<u>KGZ</u>	<u>GFZ</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✗</u>	<u>✗</u>
<u>MRZ1</u>	<u>Merzbacher</u>	<u>KGZ</u>	<u>GFZ</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	<u>✗</u>	<u>✓</u>

3.1 Meteorological sensors

The compact Weather Transmitter (Vaisala WXT520 or WXT530) offers six meteorological parameters in one compact unit. It measures wind speed and direction, precipitation, atmospheric pressure, air temperature and relative humidity. The wind is estimated by transit time through three equally spaced ultrasonic transducers on a horizontal plane. The precipitation sensor on top of the transmitter, detects the impact of individual raindrops by noise detection with a piezoelectric sensor. Each raindrop produces a signal that can be converted directly to accumulated rainfall and hail (Vaisala WXT520, 2012) and thus, the accumulated rainfall, the to rain/hail intensity and duration. ~~It is capable to distinguish between rain and hail.~~ The PTU (pressure, temperature, humidity) module measures the atmospheric pressure, air temperature and humidity with a capacitive silicon element for the pressure, a capacitive ceramic element for the temperature and a capacitive thin film polymer element for the humidity. The PTU component is fixed insight a radiation shield to protect the sensor from direct sun light.

The combined Temperature and Humidity Sensor (Vaisala HMP45, Vaisala HMP155, Campbell® Scientific CS215 or Galltec+Mela KPK 1/5-ME) provides air temperature and relative humidity data. The humidity measurement is based on the capacitive thin-film polymer probe which either absorbs or releases water vapour that changes the dielectric

properties and, therefore, the capacitance of the sensor. The temperature measurement is based on the resistive platinum probe. Both probes are located at the tip of the sensor and protected by a sintered Teflon filter. The sensor is fixed inside a radiation shield to protect the sensor from direct sun light and is mounted on a steel mast.

195 The Barometric Pressure Transducer (Setra 278 or Campbell® Scientific CS115) measures the actual local atmospheric pressure. The sensor is fixed inside the station main box but is conducted outside of the box with a connecting air tube.

The alpine Wind Monitor (RM Young 05103-45) is used to measure the horizontal wind speed and direction. The wind speed is measured with a helicoid-shaped, four-blade
200 propeller. The rotation of the propeller produces a signal proportional to the wind speed. The position of the vane is transmitted by a potentiometer and its output voltage is proportional to the wind direction. The wind sensor is mounted on a steel mast at 10m above ground.

The Tipping Bucket Rain Gauge (RM Young 52203 or Thies 5.4032.35.008) measures liquid
205 precipitation with a tipping bucket mechanism. The measured liquid rain drains through a collection tube for verification of the total rainfall by mechanically tipping a scale. As the tipping bucket is not heated, winter precipitation is strongly biased in regions with frequent temperatures around or below 0°C. The rain sensor is installed on a steel mast which is fixed with tensioning wires to reduce the influence of wind induced vibrations.

210 The Net Radiometer (Hukseflux NR01) measures ~~the energy balance between~~ the incoming short-wave and long-wave infrared radiation ~~versus-as well as~~ the surface-reflected short-wave and outgoing long-wave infrared radiation. It consists of a pyranometer and a pyrgeometer pair that faces upward and a complementary pair that faces downward. The pyranometers and pyrgeometers measure the short-wave and the far infrared radiation,
215 respectively. The sensor is fixed at a steel mast.

The Water Content Reflectometer (Campbell® Scientific CS616) measures the volumetric water content of porous media. It uses time-domain measurement methods that are sensitive to the dielectric permittivity of the used medium which changes with the water content of the soil material. In our installations, typically six sensors are installed in the
220 ground at different depths.

The Soil Temperature Probe (Campbell® Scientific T107) uses a thermistor to measure the temperature and, therefore, can be used in air, water, and soil. Typically, six sensors are installed in the ground in different depths adjacent to the Water Content Reflectometers.

225 3.2 Hydrological sensors

The Discharge Measurement System (Sommer RQ24) enables the contact-free measurement of the surface flow velocity plus the water level and automatically calculates the discharge quantity of the water using a user-defined river cross section. The measurement of flow velocity is based on the Doppler Shift principle. The sensor calculates local flow by comparing an emitted frequency with the frequency reflected by the water surface (Doppler shift). The water level is measured by using time-delay measurements. The pulse radar emits impulses with a specific length in the lower micro-second range perpendicular to the water surface. The time delay between emission and reception of the impulse is proportional to the distance from the water surface. The calculation of the discharge is based on the continuity equation and determined from the cross section depending on the measured water level, the measured surface velocity and a pre-defined related k-factor which represents the hydraulic properties of the river (e.g., roughness of river bed). While the water level and surface velocity are measured continuously, the cross-section and k-factor are pre-defined during the installation or changed during maintenance visits.

The Snow Pack Analyzer (Sommer SPA) in combination with a Snow Depth Sensor (Sommer USH8) provides the different parameters of snow (see Figure 7) like snow density, snow water equivalent (SWE) as well as contents of liquid water and ice. The snow depth is measured with an ultrasonic pulse as a distance between the sensor and the (snow) surface. To estimate the volume contents of the individual snow elements (ice, water, air), the complex impedance along a flat ribbon sensor is measured ~~as-with~~ different ~~components~~ frequencies in the snow pack ~~(ice, water, air)~~. Since the individual components which have different dielectric constants, the volume contents of the liquid water and ice can be determined (Sommer SPA, 2014). The specific volume contents and the liquid water and ice content in the snow are used to calculate the snow density. A combination of the snow depth and the snow density defines the SWE. The content of liquid water and ice is measured at different positions in the snow pack with one sloping sensor (sensor 1) and three horizontal sensors with typical positions of 10 cm (sensor 2), 30 cm (sensor 3), and 50 cm (sensor 4) above ground. Therefore, the sensor provides four different values for the snow density, the SWE, and content of liquid water and ice. The USH8 snow depth sensor can also be operated independently without the SPA. Then, the snow depth is measured only.



Figure 7: [Snow Pack Analyzer \(SPA\) with the ultrasonic snow depth sensor \(USH8\) and the four flat ribbon sensors \(three horizontal and one sloping sensor\) at the station Maydantal \(MTAL\).](#)

260 Due to the remoteness of most stations, regular calibrations of sensors could not be performed. But sensors have been exchanged when problems occurred. Notes to the station documentation can be found in chapter 6.

4. Datasets

265 The datasets comprise the data from all stations and their different sensor systems. The meteorological data has been sampled in 1-minute intervals and then converted (average “_Avg”, maximum “_Max”, time of maximum “_TMax”, minimum “_Min”, or total “_Tot”) to 5-minute data that is stored in meteorological files separated for each station. The time consistency is achieved by daily synchronizing the system with a GPS time signal.

4.1 Meteorological data

Table 4 lists all meteorological parameters for the first type of station (individual sensors) for each parameter with their abbreviation, unit and type of sampling to a 5-minute value.

270 Table 4: List of meteorological parameters measured by the individual sensors (station type one)

Measurement parameter	Description	Unit	Type of sampling
AirTC	Air temperature	°C	Sample
RH	Relative humidity	%	Sample
Baro	Barometric air pressure	hPa	Sample
WindSp_Avg	Wind speed	m/s	Average
WindSp_Max	Wind speed maximum (Gust)	m/s	Maximum
WindSP_TMax	Time of wind speed maximum	Date and Time	Date and Time of Maximum
WindDir	Wind direction	° (degree)	Sample

Rain_Tot	Precipitation	mm	Total
RadSW_Up_Avg	Incoming short-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Average
RadSW_Dn_Avg	Outgoing (reflected) short-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Average
RadLW_Up_Avg	Incoming long-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Average
RadLW_Dn_Avg	Outgoing (reflected) long-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Average
NR01TC_Avg	Temperature at the solar radiation sensor in degrees Celsius	°C	Average
NR01TK_Avg	Temperature at the solar radiation sensor in Kelvin	K	Average
NetRs_Avg	Net short-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
NetRl_Avg	Net long-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
Albedo_Avg	Proportion of the incident light or radiation that is reflected by a surface		Calculated ²
UpTot_Avg	Total incoming solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
DnTot_Avg	Total outgoing solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
NetTot_Avg	Total Net solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
RadLW_UpCo_Avg	Temperature corrected incoming long-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
RadLW_DnCo_Avg	Temperature corrected outgoing (reflected) long-wave solar radiation	W/m ²	Calculated ²
VW_# ³	Volumetric soil water content at local position	n/a	Sample
PA_# ³	Measured travel time of the EM-wave along the probe at local position	µSec	Sample
T107_# ³	Soil temperature at local position	°C	Sample

275 Within the datasets of all meteorological sensors, additional technical values (e.g., battery voltage, record number) are provided but not listed in Table 4 and Table 5. Detailed information can be found in the data format specification which is part of the supplementary material. Table 5 lists all meteorological parameters for the compact weather transmitter (station type two).

Table 5: List of meteorological parameters measured by the compact weather transmitter (station type two)

Measurement parameter	Description	Unit	Type of sampling
Ta	Air temperature	°C	Sample
Ua	Relative humidity	%	Sample
Pa	Barometric air pressure	hPa	Sample
Dn	Wind direction minimum	° (degree)	Minimum

² These values are calculated by the datalogger according to the manufacturer's instructions.

³ With #: Sensor 1..6

Dm	Wind direction average	° (degree)	Average
Dx	Wind direction maximum	° (degree)	Maximum
Sn	Wind speed minimum	m/s	Minimum
Sm	Wind speed average	m/s	Average
Sx	Wind speed maximum	m/s	Maximum
Rc	Rain accumulation	mm	Total
Rd	Rain duration	s	Time
Ri	Rain intensity	mm/h	Total
Hc	Hail accumulation	hits/cm ²	Total
Hd	Hail duration	s	Time
Hi	Hail intensity	hits/cm ² h	Total

280 4.2 Hydrological data

The snow parameters are measured every 15 minutes and stored in files, separately from the meteorological data. Table 6 lists the parameters of the snow system that are mainly used for hydrological studies. The system provides additional technical parameters to control the system. A detailed description can be found in the data format specification which is part of the supplementary material.

285

Table 6: List of snow parameters

Name of parameter	Description	Unit
SH	Snow depth	m
S#_dens ⁴	Snow density at position #	Kg/m ³
S#_SWE ⁴	Snow water equivalent (SWE) at position #	mm
S#_ice ⁴	Content of ice at position #	%
S#_water ⁴	Content of liquid water at position #	%

The river discharge system performs several consecutive scans of the water surface and checks the reflected value for spikes and weak signals before providing the measurement result. This can cause different measurement intervals depending on the turbulence of the water surface. Like the snow parameters, the discharge measurements are stored in separated files. Table 7 lists the hydrological parameters of the river discharge system. The system provides additional technical parameters to monitor the system state. The detailed description can be found in the data format specification which is part of the supplementary material.

290

295

Table 7: List of river discharge parameters

Name of parameter	Description	Unit
-------------------	-------------	------

⁴ With #: Sensor 1..4

R_WL	Water level	mm
R_vel	Surface flow velocity	mm/s
R_Q	Calculated river discharge	m ³ /s

4.3 Sensor Data Storage System

300 The easy and open-access provision of meteorological and hydrological data has been the main objective of the ROMPS network operation. The data is open for the usage in environmental research, public information services such as the Hydromet services provide, and to support information based decision-making processes especially in the fields of water and land management and climate adaption. The files coming from the stations are forwarded directly to the open-access Sensor Data Storage System (SDSS) developed and
305 hosted at CAIAG in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, and are therefore immediately available to the public. A graphical user interface (in English, Russian, and German language) offers the possibility to request the data interactively by selecting particular stations on a map or from a list of parameters. These values can be displayed as time series and downloaded as an Extensible Markup Language (XML) file. The SDSS web-page is accessible through the
310 following link: <http://sdss.caiag.kg>.

4.4 Data completeness

All stations are supplying data continuously since they were installed. But it should be noted that most of the stations are located in remote areas and could not be visited regularly or immediately after technical problems occurred. Therefore, failover procedures to check for
315 stalled software programs and automatic restart scripts have been implemented to minimize or avoid resolvable errors or gaps. Nonetheless, hardware cannot always be secured against outages, especially in remote areas. Major data problems or severe sensor failures that emerged are listed in section 5.

320 Additionally, due to errors in the datalogger configuration, the data was not always sampled in five minute intervals. At some stations, the data was sampled every minute or with other intervals which especially has an impact to the rain value and the wind speed maximum (gust) that should be a total over five minutes instead of, e.g., one minute.

5. Data Quality

325 The available data has to be considered as raw data coming directly from the stations and have not undergone any quality control (QC). The primary purpose of the network is to provide near real-time data for the Hydromet services without major time delay. A consistency or QC on this dataset is beyond the scope of the network operation. QC is supposed to be done at each Hydromet service individually as they are the responsible national agency for international data exchange or accordingly, for each single user.

330 5.1 General problems

Nevertheless, there are known quality issues that emerged during the operation of the station and are mentioned in this paper to support further user quality management procedures. Especially the known problems such as incorrect configurations, wrong sensor installations or sensor failures that are not obvious for data users are explained below.

335 The rain sensor with a tipping bucket measurement principle is installed on a steel pillar which tends to vibrate during strong gust. This can cause the tipping beam to tilt and hence falsely produce a tip, particularly in areas exposed to strong winds. As a consequence, all rain sensors have been equipped with additional supporting crossbars to avoid this problem during the years 2013 and 2016. In the winter time, the measurement of snow or the
340 differentiation between snow and rain is challenging as the sensor is not heated. While parts of the snow in the sensor's cone evaporate, other parts melt and are registered as a measurement. Furthermore, the water from the rain drops has to pass a small funnel to reach the tipping bucket. This funnel can become blocked due to leaves or other dirt which may hamper the measurements and can lead to underestimated or delayed rain data. As
345 there are no local operators at most stations or they are not living close to the station, the rain sensors could be cleaned only during maintenance visits.

The soil sensors tend to have jumps in the measurements with so far unknown reasons and different time spans although the sensors haven't been touched. In some areas, these sensors are affected by animals (e.g. at TARA by marmots) that nibble the cables. These
350 jumps and sensor failures will not be mentioned in the following listings as these erroneous data is clearly identifiable.

All calculated values (see Table 4) of the solar radiation sensor but specifically the albedo provides suspicious values close to sunrise and sunset. The albedo is calculated by the datalogger as the quotient from the reflected and the incoming short-wave radiation. This
355 can lead to erroneous results when the incoming values tend to be close to zero when it is (nearly) dark.

5.2 Problems in the meteorological measurements

Most problems resulted from sensor failures, errors or inconsistencies in the incorrect configuration, or incorrect technical installations of sensors. Table 8 lists all known problems
360 of meteorological sensors at the stations. Erroneous data has not been removed from this data set as it is the raw data coming directly from the station without any quality control. Details about sensor changes or cleaning of sensors can be found in the station documentation (section 6).

Table 8: List of problems in the meteorological data

Station	System	Parameter	Description of error	Time
---------	--------	-----------	----------------------	------

ASAI	Rain	Rain_Tot	Sensor failure, rain could not be measured	Until 11.07.2017
	Solar radiation	RadLW_Dn	Sensor failure, values incorrect	11.07.2017-04.10.2018
BALY	Temperature	AirTC	Incorrect configuration	Until 17.05.2018
HMO1	Temperature	AirTC	Sensor failure, values incorrect	23.06.2012-02.10.2013
	Humidity	RH	Sensor failure, values incorrect	23.06.2012-02.10.2013
	Air pressure	Baro	Incorrect configuration	Until 11.07.2012
	Wind	Wind_Dir	Incorrect configuration	Until 09.07.2010
KEKI	Air Pressure	Baro	Incorrect configuration	Until 18.04.2013 and 05.07.2017 -14.03.2019
KMBL	Rain	Rain_Tot	Wrong sensor installation, no values	Until 07.10.2018
MRZ1	Temperature	AirTC	Sensor failure, values incorrect	01.05.2014-08.07.2015
	Humidity	RH	Sensor failure, values incorrect	01.05.2014-08.07.2015
	Wind	Wind_Sp, Wind_Dir	Sensor broken, no values	19.05.2015-08.07.2016
	Rain	Rain_Tot	Sensor broken, no values	Unclear ⁵ - 08.07.2016
	Rain	Rain_Tot	Sensor broken, no values	Unclear ⁶ - 23.08.2019
TARA	Temperature	AirTC	Sensor failure, values incorrect	14.07.2012-26.06.2013
	Humidity	RH	Sensor failure, values incorrect	14.07.2012-26.06.2013
	Air pressure	Baro	Incorrect configuration	Until 13.07.2012
	Solar radiation	RadSW_Up	Sensor failure, values incorrect	31.08.2016-15.06.2017
	Solar radiation	RadLW_Up	Incorrect configuration	Until 11.09.2012
	Solar radiation	RadLW_Dn	Sensor failure, values incorrect	09.22.2017-15.06.2017

⁵ May have been broken at any time between the maintenance visits on 24.07.2014 and 08.07.2015.

⁶ May have been broken at any time between the maintenance visits on 29.07.2017 and 13.08.2019.

5.3 Problems in the hydrological measurements

Beside incorrectly configured or connected sensors, problems with the snow depth sensor (values SH) occurred when referencing the time-delay distance measurement to a zero level of the snow or ground, respectively. Due to the accuracy of the system of $\pm 4\text{mm}$ and the condition of the ground reflection during the referencing process (e.g. fresh vegetation in spring or flat and dry in autumn), negative values close to zero can occur. Furthermore during the summer season at some places, growing grass can affect the measurement by producing a feigned snow depth. The signals of growing grass and sometimes the cutting of grass is clearly visibly as well as the time delay between melting of the snow and growing of grass or first snow in autumn, respectively.

The calculated discharge values provided by the river discharge system are the combination from the measurements of the water level and surface velocity with the cross profile of the river and a k-factor which accounts for the hydraulic model of the river flow. Especially, the river cross profile could not always be determined directly at the sensor's installation side, but only some meters away. In addition, the cross section profile may change over time leading to different k-factors. Therefore, these values should be used with caution. The discharge values are always influenced by the inaccuracy of the cross profile of the river. Table 9 lists the known problems with the snow and the river discharge system.

Table 9: List of problems in the hydrological parameters

Station	System	Parameter	Description of error	Time
GOLU	Snow	All	Sensors connected incorrectly	Until 11.06.2014
KMBL	Snow	SH	Sensor connected incorrectly	Until 05.05.2016
KMBL	Snow	S1_ice, S1_water, S1_dens, S1_SWE	Sensor failures during winter time	Winter 2017, 2019
KEKI	River	All	Sensor failure	12.7.17- 20.9.17
MTAL	River	R_vel, R_Q	During low water levels, a big stone appeared in the sensor's measuring footprint. The water flow became turbulent causing erroneous measurements. The problem was fixed on 26.09.2019.	Autumn until spring in 2016, 2017 and 2018
OYGA	River	R_vel, R_Q	Test measurements during installation, not representative for the river	14.10.2018

These are only the known and documented errors. This list is not intended to be exhaustive. For further usage of the data, different quality control procedures following WMO or national standards should be implemented. An outlook and suggestion gives section 8. Additionally, more detailed information about the stations and installed sensors is provided by the station documentation (section 6).

6. Station documentation

For each station an extensive documentation exists describing the location and its surrounding, the technical installation of the station and the local conditions which might be useful to further interpret certain variations in the sensor data. As the installations had to be adjusted to the local environment, installation maps and specific sensor installation (e.g. height/depth of sensors) are included in the documentation. Due to the spatial expansion and the difficult accessibility, maintenance activities could not be performed on a regular basis. Therefore, the documentation also lists all station visits and exchanges of sensors. The file containing the documentation is named as follows XXXX-HMT-SED-GFZ.pdf with

- XXXX: 4-letter code for station name,
- HMT: HyMet station,
- SED: Station Exposure description, and
- GFZ: Agency of originator

These files are part of the supplementary material.

7. File Name Convention and Data Format

7.1 File names

All data are stored in files in [the American Standard Code for Information Interchange \(ASCII\)](#) format containing typically one hour of data (with sometimes different storage intervals). The different data types are separated into different files. The file name convention provides unique identifiers to distinguish between the different types of measurements as follows:

XXXX-<type-of-data>-<timestamp>.log with

- XXXX: 4-letter leading identifier for station abbreviation (see Table 2),
- <type of data>: hymetd for meteorological parameters (station type one),
meteod for meteorological parameters (station type two),
RQ24 for river discharge parameters,
snow for snow parameters,
USH8 for snow depth values, and
- <timestamp>: Unix time (seconds since 01.01.1970).

420 Due to historical reasons, a second file name convention exists which is used for the second type of stations. These files are named as follows:

XXXXWWWWD.met with

- XXXX: 4-letter leading identifier for station abbreviation (see Table 2),
- WWWW: GPS week (weeks since 06.01.1980), and
- 425 • D: Day of week with Sunday=0.

The individual data files are packed into an archive with tar (Petersen, 2007) that contains one month of data files. This monthly file is additionally compressed with bzip2 (Petersen, 2007) to reduce the memory requirements.

430 The monthly archive files are named as follows:

XXXX-<type-of-data>-<year>-<month>.tar.bz2 with

- XXXX: 4-letter leading identifier for station abbreviation (see Table 2),
- <type of data>: hmetd for meteorological parameters (station type one),
meteod for meteorological parameters (station type two),
435 RQ24 for river discharge parameters,
snow for snow parameters,
USH8 for snow depth values,
- <year>: 4-digit year, and
- <month>: 2-digit month of the year.

440

After one year, these twelve monthly files are further archived and compressed to one file. The yearly files follow the same file name convention as the monthly files but the month is missing in the file name.

XXXX-<type-of-data>-<year>.tar.bz2.

445 7.2 Data format

Two data formats exist for the different station types. As the sensors for station type one are connected to a Campbell® data logger, the file format largely corresponds to the ASCII Campbell® data format. It can be separated into header and data sections. The header section can be further divided into four parts containing one line each as follows:

- 450 1. General station information,
2. Types of measured parameters listed with their abbreviation,
3. Units of measured parameters, and
4. Quantity representation (type of sampling) of measured parameters.

455 After this header section, the data section follows starting with date and time. All values corresponding to the same measurement time are written in one line and are comma-separated. A detailed description of all parts of the data format can be found in the data format specification (~~CAWA-SSP-FMT-GFZ-006.pdf~~[Schöne et al., 2020b](#)) which is part of the supplementary material.

460 The sensor at station type two provides data from a compact VAISALA weather transmitter retrieved directly to the PC by dedicated software. Therefore, the ASCII data format is different but can be also divided into a header and a data section.

The header section provides information about the following parameters:

1. Requesting program name and version,
2. Date and starting time of the measurements in this file,
- 465 3. Sensor type, and
4. Sampling rate.

After this section, the data section follows with the time of the measurement. All values are comma-separated but are divided into several lines depending on the meteorological parameter. A detailed description of all parts of the data format can be found in the data
470 | format specification (~~GITW-SSP-FMT-GFZ-003.pdf~~[Schöne et al., 2020a](#)) which is part of the supplementary material.

8. Outlook

To monitor the quality of the sensor data prior to their use in computation of climate variables, basic QC procedures should be applied. In accordance with international
475 guidelines on QC procedures (WMO, 2017; WMO, 2004; WMO, 2018) different levels of QC procedures are suggested and should be considered before using the data. The provided data can be seen as raw data without any QC implied. We recommend performing the following QC steps before using the data:

1. Integrity and syntax check:
480 Test to search for gross errors in the data (e.g. transmission or data storage errors like wrong characters).
2. Plausibility check (tolerance test for each sensor):
All instantaneous values shall be checked against configurable range limits (e.g. sensor range limit specification). These limits are different for each
485 meteorological/hydrological parameter.
3. Time consistency check:
Check of the rate of change to the previous value, to test for the maximum allowed variability.

4. Persistence check:
490 Check of the rate of change to the previous values, to test for the minimum required variability.
5. Internal consistency check
Test to check parameters against each other for plausibility.

Initial efforts have already been made to implement the first two tests in the SDSS but the
495 remaining tests have not been developed, yet. Additionally, the authors are preparing a publication of a quality controlled data set at a later time.

9. Data availability

The data described in this manuscript will be made publicly available as monthly data files separated for each station with the DOI <https://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.1.2.2020.002> (Zech et al., 2020) ~~after final acceptance. In the meantime, find data via this temporary link: .~~ Additionally, the near real-time data can be displayed and downloaded without any registration from the user interface SDSS at <http://sdss.caiag.kg>.

10. Summary

In Central Asia, the access to hydrometeorological data especially from remote areas is still
500 limited. Within the CAWa project funded by the German Federal Foreign Office and with the support of the “Global Change Observatory – Central Asia” (GCO-CA, GFZ) and the ACROSS (Helmholtz Association) initiative, a network of remotely operated multi-parameter stations (ROMPS) have been installed in Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Afghanistan. The technical concept has proven to withstand harsh and varying climate conditions without the
505 necessity of permanent human interaction. The data presented in this paper is the result of the operation of these stations in the past ten years. The stations provide raw hydrometeorological information such as air temperature, relative humidity, air pressure, wind speed and direction, precipitation, solar radiation, soil moisture and soil temperature as well as snow and river discharge information where available. The data can be used for
510 different applications ranging from scientific investigations of climate change, ground-truthing of remote sensing based technologies and improvement of weather forecasts, to more politically based decisions on water management considerations and climate adaption strategies.

Supplement

The supplementary material to this article consists of the data format specification and the station documentation for each station. As most stations are operational, changes in these documents will occur but will be specified in the change log of each document. The

supplementary material ~~will be~~ provided with the DOI <https://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.1.2.2020.002> (Zech et al., 2020) ~~after final acceptance. In the meantime, it can be accessed via this temporary link: <https://kurzelinks.de/romps-data>.~~

515 **Author contributions**

CZ organized several fieldworks for station installation and maintenance works, compiled the data archives, the known quality issues and the supplementary documentation with support by JI and TS. The continuous station operation was done jointly by CZ, JI and TS. NS, TQ, MK and HT prepared and assembled the technical parts of the system and supported the on-site technical installations. AZ designed and implemented the SDSS and keeps it operational. The partners from the Central Asian Countries Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, Tajikistan and Afghanistan provided the necessary permits for the station installations, essential support for building and maintaining the stations and continuous data transmission during the last years in their countries. This applies in particular to AZ and AS for the stations ABRA, ALAI, ALA6, ASAI, BALY, GOLU, HM01, KEKI, MRZ1, SARY, TAR and ZOKA in Kyrgyzstan, KZ and KT for the stations MTAL, OYGA and KMBL in Uzbekistan, YT for the station MADK in Uzbekistan, SO for the stations DUPU and AYVA in Tajikistan, and ZP for the station KABU in Afghanistan. KUS drafted a very first version of this paper many years ago. AG fostered the cooperation and negotiations especially between UzHydromet and GFZ and supported the fieldworks. BM enabled the numerous station installations in Kyrgyzstan by providing support from CAIAG. CZ wrote this paper with essential support by TS. All authors revised and approved the content of the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

535 **Acknowledgements**

The presented data and activities have been generously funded in the frame of the CAWA project (<http://www.cawa-project.net>) by the German Federal Foreign Office as part of the “German Water Initiative for Central Asia” (the so-called “Berlin Process”, grant AA7090002). Additional funding for the installation and the long-term operation of the monitoring network was and is being provided by the GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences in the frame of the “Global Change Observatory - Central Asia” and the “Advanced Remote Sensing – Ground-truth Demo and Test Facilities” (ACROSS) projects of the Helmholtz Association.

We appreciate the close cooperation with partners such as the Central Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG) in Kyrgyzstan, the National Hydrometeorological Services of Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan, the Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute at the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan and the Kabul Polytechnic University of

Afghanistan. Their generous support and help made the site selections and station installations possible. In particular, at CAIAG the support of Abdysamat Shakirov, Mikhail
550 Borisov, Talant Altynbek uulu, and Zholdoshbek Okoev is highly appreciated. We also thank Alexander Merkushkin for various discussions and the help during the Abramov installation and his colleagues Tokhir Gafurov, Evgeniy Pavlov, Vasiliy Proxorov, Dmitriy Soloyd, Djura Sadikov, Anatholy Skorokhodov and Olga Mokh of UzHM (Uzbekistan) for supporting all station activities in Uzbekistan. From TjHM we appreciate the help and support from
555 Muhiddin Yakubov.

In addition, we would like to thank the researchers of the University of Fribourg (World Glacier Monitoring Service) for the inspiring cooperation and interesting discussions, in particular Martin Hoelzle for the proposal to integrate an optical camera system for glacier monitoring at Abramov glacier and his team including Martina Barandun and David Sciboz
560 for the support during and after the installation of the Abramov and Golubin stations. This work is supported through the Capacity Building and Twinning for Climate Observing Systems Program (CATCOS) of the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation. Furthermore, the help and support of Najibullah Kakar of the Norwegian Afghanistan Committee for the already installed as well as the station which is in progress is highly
565 appreciated. At GFZ, we would like to thank the GEOFON team and all other colleagues who supported the station preparation and various travels to Central Asia.

References

Bernauer, T. and Siegfried, T.: Climate change and international water conflict in Central Asia, Journal of Peace Research, 49(1), pp. 227–239, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343311425843>, 2012

[Finaev, A.: Review of hydrometeorological observations in Tajikistan for the period of 1990–2005, Assessment of Snow, Glacier and Water Resources in Asia, edited by: Braun, L. N., Hagg, W., Severskiy, I. V., and Young, G., Selected papers from the Workshop in Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2006, UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee, IHP/HWRP-Berichte 8, 55–64, Koblenz, 2009](#)

GEOFON, KC Seismic Network, <https://geofon.gfz-potsdam.de/waveform/archive/network.php?ncode=KC>, last access 29. April 2020

Glazirin, G. E.: Review of hydrometeorological observations in Tajikistan for the period of 1990–2005, Assessment of Snow, Glacier and Water Resources in Asia, edited by: Braun, L. N., Hagg, W., Severskiy, I. V., and Young, G., Selected papers from the Workshop in Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2006, UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee, IHP/HWRP-Berichte 8, 55–64, Koblenz, 2009

HELMHOLTZ Society (2015) Helmholtz-Roadmap für Forschungsinfrastrukturen, <https://kurzelinks.de/Helmholtz-Roadmap-2015>, last access 17. September 2020

Hoelzle, M., Azisov, E., Barandun, M., Hagg, W., Huss, M., Kriegel, D., Machguth, H., Mandychev, A., Merkushkin, A., Moldobekov, B., Schöne, T., Thoss, H., Vorogushyn, S., Zemp, M.: Re-establishment of long-term glacier monitoring in Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan, Central Asia, Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 14, EGU2012-10206, 2012, <https://www.meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EGU2012/EGU2012-10206.pdf>, last accessed 15.05.20120

Hoelzle, M., Azisov, E., Barandun, M., Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Gafurov, A., Hagg, W., Kenzhebaev, R., Kronenberg, M., Machguth, H., Merkushkin, A., Moldobekov, B., Petrov, M., Saks, T., Salzmann, N., Schöne, T., Tarasov, Y., Usubaliev, R., Vorogushyn, S., Yakovlev, A., Zemp, M.: Re-establishing glacier monitoring in Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan, Central Asia, Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst., 6, 397-418, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-6-397-2017>, 2017

Kuzmichenok, V. : Review of hydrometeorological observations in Tajikistan for the period of 1990–2005, Assessment of Snow, Glacier and Water Resources in Asia, edited by: Braun, L. N., Hagg, W., Severskiy, I. V., and Young, G., Selected papers from the Workshop in Almaty, Kazakhstan, 2006, UNESCO-IHP and German IHP/HWRP National Committee, IHP/HWRP-Berichte 8, 55–64, Koblenz, 2009

Petersen, R.: Linux: The Complete Reference, McGraw-Hill Education, 6th Edition, 2007

Schattan, P., Baroni, G., Oswald, S., Fey, C., Schöber, J., Achleitner, S.: Vom Punkt zur Fläche in der Messung des Wasseräquivalents der Schneedecke – Mehrwert von Cosmic-Ray Neutron Sensoren in der regionalen Schneemodellierung. *Österr Wasser- und Abfallw* **70**, 497–506, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00506-018-0500-x>, 2018

Schöne, T., Zech, C., Unger-Shayesteh, K., Rudenko, V., Thoss, H., Wetzler, H.-U., Gafurov, A., Illigner, J., Zubovich, A.: A new permanent multi-parameter monitoring network in Central Asian high mountains - from measurements to data bases. - Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems, 2, 1, 97-111, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-2-97-2013>, 2013

Schöne, T., Zubovich, A., Zech, C., Illigner, J., Sharshebaev, A., Mandychev, D., Shakirov, A., Moldobekov, B., Lauterjung, J.: Water monitoring in Central Asia – the Central Asian water project (CAWA) = Центрально-Азиатский водный мониторинг – проект Вода в Центральной Азии (ЦАВА). - In: Sychev, V. G., Mueller, L. (Eds.), НОВЫЕ МЕТОДЫ И РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ЛАНДШАФТОВ В ЕВРОПЕ, ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ И СИБИР = Novel methods and results of landscape research in Europe, Central Asia and Siberia, Vol. I Landscapes in the 21st Century: Status Analyses, Basic Processes and Research Concepts, Moscow: Russian Academy of Science, 90-94, <https://doi.org/10.25680/2697.2018.71.90.013>, 2018b

Schöne T, Dusik E, Illigner J, Klein I: Water in Central Asia: Reservoir Monitoring with Radar Altimetry Along the Naryn and Syr Darya Rivers. - In: International Symposium on Earth and Environmental Sciences for Future Generations, (International Association of Geodesy Symposia; 147), Cham: Springer Verlag, Online 2016, pp. 349-357; http://doi.org/10.1007/1345_2017_265, 2018a

Schöne, T., Zubovich, A., Zech, C., Illigner, J., Sharshedaev, A., Mandychhev, D., Shakirov, A., Stolarczuk, N., Haghshenas Haghghi, M., Gerlitz, L., Gafurov, A., Moldobekov, B., Lauterjung, J.: In Situ and Remote Water Monitoring in Central Asia — The Central Asian Water (CAWA) Network. - In: Müller, L., Eulenstein, F. (Eds.), Current Trends in Landscape Research, (Innovations in Landscape Research), Cham: Springer, 599-610, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30069-2_27, 2019

570

[Schöne, T., Zech, C., & Illigner, J.\(2020a\). GITW-SSP-FMT-GFZ-003: Remotely Operated Multi-Parameter Stations; System Software Output Format Specification; METEOD. Potsdam: GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences. https://gfzpublic.gfz-potsdam.de/pubman/item/item_5002432_6 \(last accessed 05.12.2020\)](https://gfzpublic.gfz-potsdam.de/pubman/item/item_5002432_6)

575

[Schöne, T., Zech, C., & Illigner, J.\(2020b\). CAWA-SSP-FMT-GFZ-006: Remotely Operated Multi-Parameter Stations; System Software Output Format Specification; CRD. Potsdam: GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences. https://gfzpublic.gfz-potsdam.de/pubman/item/item_5002433_4 \(last accessed 05.12.2020\)](https://gfzpublic.gfz-potsdam.de/pubman/item/item_5002433_4)

Siegfried, T., Bernauer, T., Guiennet, R., Sellars, S., Robertsborn, A., Mankin, J., Bauer-Gottwein, P., Yakovlev, A.: Will climate change exacerbate water stress in Central Asia?, Climatic Change 112, 881–899, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0253-z>, 2012

Unger-Shayesteh, K., Vorogushyn, S., Farinotti, D., Gafurov, A., Duethmann, D., Mandychhev, A., Merz, B.: What do we know about past changes in the water cycle of Central Asian headwaters? A review, Global and Planetary Change, 110, Part A, 4-25, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.02.004>, 2013

Unger-Shayesteh, K., Conrad, C., Schöne, T., Moldobekov, B.: Reasonable Decision-Making on Land and Water Management in Central Asia: how earth observation technologies can contribute (analytical notes from the “German Water Initiative for Central Asia”; 1), Potsdam: GFZ German Center for Geosciences, 6 p., <https://doi.org/10.2312/5.4.2015.001e>, 2015

WMO: Guidelines on Quality Control Procedures for Data from Automatic Weather Stations, 2004, World Meteorological Organization, Commission for Instruments and Methods of Observation, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014

WMO: Guide to the Global Observing System, WMO-No 488, 2010 edition updated 2017, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2017

WMO: Guide to Climatological Practices, WMO-No. 100, 2018, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018

WMO: Guide to Instruments and Methods of Observation, Volume I – Measurement of Meteorological Variables, WMO-No. 8, 2018, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018

Zech, C., Schöne, T., Neelmeijer, J., Zubovich, A., Galas, R.: Geodetic Monitoring Networks: GNSS-derived Glacier Surface Velocities at the Global Change Observatory Inylchek (Kyrgyzstan). - In: Rizos, C., Willis, P. (Eds.), IAG 150 Years: Proceedings of the IAG Scientific Assembly in Potsdam, Germany, 2013, (International Association of Geodesy Symposia; 143), Cham: Springer International Publishing, 557-563, https://doi.org/10.1007/1345_2015_38, 2016

Zech, C., Schöne, T., Neelmeijer, J., Zubovich, A.: Continuous Kinematic GPS Monitoring of a Glacier Lake Outburst Flood. - In: International Symposium on Earth and Environmental Sciences for Future Generations, (International Association of Geodesy Symposia; 147), Cham: Springer, Online 2016, 339-347, https://doi.org/10.1007/1345_2016_246, 2018

Zech, C., Schöne, T., Neelmeijer, J., Zubovich, A., Galas, R.: Geodetic Monitoring Networks: GNSS-derived Glacier Surface Velocities at the Global Change Observatory Inylchek (Kyrgyzstan). - In: Rizos, C., Willis, P. (Eds.), IAG 150 Years: Proceedings of the IAG Scientific Assembly in Potsdam, Germany, 2013, (International Association of Geodesy Symposia ; 143), Cham : Springer International Publishing, 557-563. https://doi.org/10.1007/1345_2015_38, 2016

Zech, C., Schöne, T., Illigner, J., Stolarczuk, N., Queisser, T., Köppl, M., Thoss, H., Zubovich, A., Sharshebaev, A., Zakhidov, K., Toshpulatov, Kh., Tillayev, Y., Olimov, S., Paiman, Z., Unger-Shayesteh, K., Gafurov, A., Moldobekov, B. (2020): Hydrometeorological data from ROMPS network in Central Asia. V. 1.0. GFZ Data Services. <https://10.5880/GFZ.1.2.2020.002>, in review

Zubovich, A., Schöne, T., Metzger, S., Mosienko, O., Mukhamediev, S., Sharshebaev, A., Zech, C.: Tectonic interaction between the Pamir and Tien Shan observed by GPS. - Tectonics, 35, 2, 283-292, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015TC004055>, 2016

Datasheets

Campbell Scientific CS115 (RPT410F-3143):

https://s.campbellsci.com/documents/us/product-brochures/b_cs115.pdf, last access 27.05.2020

Campbell Scientific CS215:

<https://s.campbellsci.com/documents/af/manuals/cs215.pdf>, last access 27. May 2020

Campbell Scientific CS616:

https://s.campbellsci.com/documents/eu/product-brochures/b_cs616.pdf, last access 29. April 2020
Campbell Scientific T107:
https://s.campbellsci.com/documents/us/product-brochures/b_107.pdf, last access 29. April 2020
Galltec+Mela KPK 1/5-ME:
https://www.galltec-mela.de/productsheet/meteorological-design/pc-me-rc-me/c24_en.pdf, last access 27. May 2020
Hukseflux NR01:
https://www.hukseflux.com/uploads/product-documents/NR01_v1727.pdf, last access 29. April 2020
RM Young 05103:
<http://www.youngusa.com/products/7/5.html>, last access 17. September 2020
RM Young 52203:
<http://www.youngusa.com/products/3/18.html>, last access 29. April 2020
Setra:
https://www.setra.com/hubfs/Product_Data_Sheets/Setra_Model_278_Data_Sheet.pdf, last access 29. April 2020
Vaisala HMP155:
<https://www.vaisala.com/en/file/97181/download?token=z1TJ7VhF>, last access 17. September 2020
Vaisala WXT520:
https://s.campbellsci.com/documents/eu/product-brochures/b_wxt520.pdf, last access 29. April 2020
Sommer USH8:
<https://www.sommer.at/en/service-support/downloads/category/35-productleaflets-and-datasheets>, last access 27. May 2020
Sommer SPA:
<https://www.sommer.at/en/service-support/downloads/category/35-productleaflets-and-datasheets>, last access 17. September 2020
Thies 5.4032.35.008:
<https://www.thiesclima.com/db/dnl/THIES-catalog-precipitation-e-010131-07-11.pdf>, last access 27. May 2020