

Interactive comment on “The global forest above-ground biomass pool for 2010 estimated from high-resolution satellite observations” by Maurizio Santoro et al.

Seth Spawn

spawn@wisc.edu

Received and published: 4 February 2021

I have explored this datasets extensively and find it to be of an excellent quality. The manuscript is also very well written and clear. The authors might consider mentioning a recent study out of the University of Maryland (see: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab2917>) that compared their Globbiomass product to a very high resolution biomass map produced specifically for the northeastern region of the United States. The UMD authors find good agreement between the two products in their focal region—better agreement, even, than they find between two national maps based exclusively on optical imagery (those of Blackard and Wilson).

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive
comment

