



GLODAPv2.2019 – an update of GLODAPv2

Are Olsen¹, Nico Lange², Robert M. Key³, Toste Tanhua², Marta Álvarez⁴, Susan Becker⁵, Henry C. Bittig⁶, Brendan R. Carter^{7,8}, Leticia Cotrim da Cunha⁹, Richard A. Feely⁸, Steven van Heuven¹⁰, Mario Hoppema¹¹, Masao Ishii¹², Emil Jeansson¹³, Steve D. Jones¹, Sara Jutterström¹⁴, Maren K. Karlsen¹, Alex Kozyr¹⁵, Siv K. Lauvset^{13,1}, Claire Lo Monaco¹⁶, Akihiko Murata¹⁷, Fiz F. Pérez¹⁸, Benjamin Pfeil¹, Carsten Schirnick², Reiner Steinfeldt¹⁹, Toru Suzuki²⁰, Maciej Telszewski²¹, Bronte Tilbrook²², Anton Velo¹⁸, Rik Wanninkhof²³ 5 ¹Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bierknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway ² GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany ³ Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 08540, USA 10 Instituto Español de Oceanografía, A Coruña, Spain ⁵ UC San Diego, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, San Diego CA 9209 ⁶ Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany ⁷ Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA ⁸ Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington, USA 15 ⁹ Faculdade de Oceanografia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil ¹⁰ Centre for Isotope Research, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Groningen, the Netherlands Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany ¹² Oceanography and Geochemistry Research Department, Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological 20 Agency, Tsukuba, Japan ¹³ NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway ¹⁴ IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute, Gothenburg, Sweden ¹⁵ NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Silver Spring, MD, USA ¹⁶LOCEAN, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France 25 ¹⁷ Research and Development Center for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Japan ¹⁸ Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, IIM – CSIC, Vigo, Spain ¹⁹ University of Bremen, Institute of Environmental Physics, Bremen, Germany ²⁰ Marine Information Research Center, Japan Hydrographic Association, Tokyo, Japan 30 ²¹ International Ocean Carbon Coordination Project, Institute of Oceanology of Polish Academy of Sciences, Sopot, Poland ²² CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere and Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Co-operative Research Centre, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia ²³ Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Miami, USA. 35

Correspondence to: Are Olsen (are.olsen@uib.no)

Searth System Discussion Science Science State Data



Abstract. The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP) is a synthesis effort providing regular compilations of surface to bottom ocean biogeochemical data, with an emphasis on seawater inorganic carbon chemistry and related variables determined through chemical analysis of water samples. This update of GLODAPv2, v2.2019, adds data from 116 cruises to the previous version, extending its coverage in time from 2013 to 2017 while also adding some data from prior years. GLODAPv2.2019 includes measurements from more than 1.1 million water samples from the global oceans collected on 840 cruises. The data for the 12 GLODAP core variables (salinity, oxygen, nitrate, silicate, phosphate,

- 45 dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity, pH, CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, and CCl₄) have been subjected to extensive quality control, especially systematic evaluation of bias. The data are available in two formats: (i) as submitted by the data originator but updated to WOCE exchange format and (ii) as a merged data product with adjustments applied to minimize bias. These adjustments were derived by comparing the data from the 116 new cruises with the data from the 724 quality-controlled cruises of the GLODAPv2 data product. They correct for errors related to measurement, calibration, and data
- 50 handling practices, taking into account any known or likely time trends or variations. The compiled and adjusted data product is believed to be consistent to better that 0.005 in salinity, 1% in oxygen, 2% in nitrate, 2% in silicate, 2% in phosphate, 4 μmol kg⁻¹ in dissolved inorganic carbon, 4 μmol kg⁻¹ in total alkalinity, 0.01 in pH, and 5% in the halogenated transient tracers. The compilation also includes data for several other variables, such as isotopic tracers. These were not subjected to bias comparison or adjustments
- 55 The original data, their documentation and doi codes are available at the Ocean Carbon Data System of NOAA/NCEI (https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/GLODAPv2_2019/). This site also provides access to the merged data product, which is provided as a single global file or as four regional ones—the Arctic, Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific oceans—under the doi: 10.25921/xnme-wr20 (Olsen et al., 2019). The product files also include significant ancillary and approximated data. These were obtained by interpolation of, or calculation from, measured data. This paper documents the 60 GLODAPv2.2019 methods and provides a broad overview of the secondary quality control results.

1 Introduction

The oceans mitigate climate change by absorbing CO_2 corresponding to a significant fraction of anthropogenic CO_2 emissions (Gruber et al., 2019; Le Quéré et al., 2018) and most of the excess heat in the Earth System caused by the enhanced greenhouse effect resulting from the fraction of CO_2 remaining in the atmosphere (Cheng et al., 2017). The

- 65 objective of GLODAP (Global Ocean Data Analysis Project, www.glodap.info) is to ensure provision of high quality and bias-corrected water column bottle data from ocean surface to bottom that document the evolving changes in physical and chemical ocean properties ascribed to global change, e.g. the inventory of the excess CO₂ in the ocean, natural oceanic carbon, ocean acidification, ventilation rates, oxygen levels, and vertical nutrient transports. The core, quality-controlled and bias-corrected GLODAP variables are salinity; dissolved oxygen; inorganic macronutrients (nitrate, silicate, and
- 70 phosphate); seawater CO₂ chemistry variables (dissolved inorganic carbon TCO₂, total alkalinity TAlk, and pH on the total H⁺ scale); and the halogenated transient tracers CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, and CCl₄. Other chemical tracers measured on the cruises are included in GLODAP. A subset of these data is also distributed as part of the product but has not been extensively quality controlled or checked for measurement biases in this effort. Examples include stable isotopes of carbon and oxygen (δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O); radioisotopes (¹⁴C, ³H, ³He); noble gases (He, Ne); organic
- 75 material including dissolved organic carbon (DOC), total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), and chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*). For some of these variables, better sources of data may exist. In particular, for helium isotope and tritium data the product by Jenkins et al. (2018) should be used. Measured data for Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆) are also included. This is an important transient tracer as its atmospheric (and ocean) levels are still increasing, in contrast to CFC-11 and CFC-12 for which emissions





were curbed following the implementation of the Montreal Protocol (Prinn et al., 2018). GLODAP also includes derived
variables to facilitate interpretation, such as potential density anomalies and apparent oxygen utilization (AOU). A full list of variables included in the product is provided in Table 1.

The first version of GLODAP, GLODAPv1.1, was released in 2005 (Key et al., 2004; Sabine et al., 2005). It contains data from 115 cruises with biogeochemical measurements from the global ocean. The vast majority of these are the sections covered during the World Ocean Circulation Experiment and the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (WOCE/JGOFS) in the

- 85 1990s, but data from important 'historical' cruises were also included, such as from Geochemical Ocean Sections Study (GEOSECS), Transient Traces in the Ocean (TTO), and South Atlantic Ventilation Experiment (SAVE). The second version of GLODAP, GLODAPv2, was released in 2016 (Key et al., 2015; Lauvset et al., 2016; Olsen et al., 2016) with data from 724 scientific cruises: those included in GLODAPv1.1; those amassed for the Carbon in the Atlantic Ocean (CARINA) data synthesis (Key et al., 2010); those amassed for the Pacific Ocean Interior Carbon (PACIFICA) synthesis
- 90 (Suzuki et al., 2013); and data from 168 additional cruises. The additional cruises include many collected within the framework of the 'Repeat Hydrography' program (Talley et al., 2016), instigated in the early 2000s as part of CLIVAR and since 2007 organized as the Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP). Both GLODAPv1.1 and GLODAPv2 data were released in three formats: (i) as submitted by the data originator but reformatted to WOCE exchange format (Swift and Diggs, 2008) and subjected to primary quality control to flag outliers, (ii) as a
- 95 merged data product with bias minimization adjustments applied, and (iii) as globally mapped climatological distributions. We refer to the first as the original data, to the second as the data product, and the third as the mapped product. The GLODAP products have been widely used. The first version formed the basis for the first data-based estimate of the global ocean inventory of anthropogenic carbon (Sabine et al., 2004), and the descriptive paper (Key et al., 2004) has been cited more than 800 times according to Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics). For GLODAPv2, we have registered more
- 100 than 120 applications. Examples include model evaluation (Beadling et al., 2018; Goris et al., 2018; Tjiputra et al., 2018; Ward et al., 2018), model initialization (Orr et al., 2017), water mass analyses (Jeansson et al., 2017; Peters et al., 2018; Rae and Broecker, 2018), ocean acidification (Fassbender et al., 2017; Garcia-Ibanez et al., 2016; Perez et al., 2018), calibration of Argo biogeochemical sensor measurements (Bushinsky et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2017), calibration of multiple linear regression (MLR) and neural network based methods for biogeochemical data estimation (Bittig et al., 2017)
- 105 2018; Carter et al., 2018; Fry et al., 2016; Sauzède et al., 2017), contextualization of paleoceanographic data (Glock et al., 2018; Sessford et al., 2018), and calculation of inventory, transport, and variability of ocean carbon (DeVries et al., 2017; Fröb et al., 2018; Fröb et al., 2016; Gruber et al., 2019; Panassa et al., 2018; Pardo et al., 2017; Quay et al., 2017). A full list of GLODAPv2 citations is provided at https://www.glodap.info/index.php/glodap-impact/.

Principles and practices for ensuring open access to research data have been established, prominently: the Findable,

- 110 Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable (FAIR) principles (Wilkinson et al., 2016), and are largely adhered to by the oceanographic community. Data are routinely made available on a per cruise basis through national and international data centers. However, the plethora of file formats and different levels of documentation combined with the need to retrieve data on a per cruise basis from different access points limits the realization of the full scientific potential of the data. For biogeochemical data there is the added complexity of different levels of standardization and calibration, and even variable
- 115 units, such that the comparability between many data sets is poor. Standard operating procedures have been developed for some variables (Dickson et al., 2007; Hood et al., 2010; Hydes et al., 2012) and certified reference materials (CRM) exist for seawater TCO₂ and TAlk measurements (Dickson et al., 2003) and for nutrients in seawater (CRMNS; (Aoyama et al., 2012; Ota et al., 2010)). Still biases in data occur. These can arise from poor sampling and general operation practices, calibration procedures, instrument design, and calculations. The use of CRM does not by itself ensure accurate
- 120 measurements of seawater CO₂ chemistry (Bockmon and Dickson, 2015), and the CRMNS have only become available

Searth System Discussion Science Signate Discussions



recently and are not universally used. For salinity and oxygen, lack of—or improper— Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) sensor calibration is an additional and widespread problem (Olsen et al., 2016). For halogenated transient tracers, uncertainties in the standard gas composition, extracted water volume, and purge efficiency typically provide the largest sources of uncertainty. In addition to bias, occasional outliers occur. In rare cases poor precision can render a set of data

125 unusable. GLODAP deals with these issues by presenting the data in a uniform format, by including any documentation that was either submitted or could be attained, and by subjecting the data to primary and secondary quality control assessments, focusing on precision and consistency, respectively. Adjustments are applied on the data to minimize severe cases of bias.

Twelve years separated the release of the two versions of GLODAP. The urgency and complexity of modern climate 130 change issues necessitate more frequent updates. Ocean carbon uptake responds quickly to annual-to-decadal changes in

- ocean circulation (Fröb et al., 2016; Landschützer et al., 2015), ocean acidification is progressing at unprecedented rates and already causing carbonate mineral undersaturation in some regions (Feely et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2017), oxygen minimum zones are rapidly expanding (Breitburg et al., 2018), and declining nutrient supply to the euphotic zone is potentially changing phytoplankton composition in certain large ocean regions (Rousseaux and Gregg, 2015). On top of
- this, improvements in data management practices and increased computational resources are transforming approaches to, and expectations for, integrated data products. The surface ocean CO₂ atlas (SOCAT) is a prominent example in this regard with now annual releases and rapid use in global carbon budgets (Bakker et al., 2016; Bakker et al., 2014; Le Quéré et al., 2018; Pfeil et al., 2013). GLODAP is also becoming an important source of calibration data for the biogeochemical sensors that are now deployed on autonomous platforms. Altogether, regular and rapid updates are important.
- 140 This contribution documents the first such regular update of GLODAP, which adds data from 116 new cruises to the 724 included in GLODAPv2 and corrects errors and omissions in GLODAPv2. It also forms the basis for the documentation of future updates, adopting the Earth System Science Data "living data" format for evolving data sets.

2 Key features of the update

GLODAPv2.2019 contains data from 840 cruises, covering the global ocean from 1972 to 2017. The sampling locations of

the 116 cruises added in this update are shown alongside those of GLODAPv2 in Fig. 1, while the coverage in time is shown in Fig. 2. Compared to GLODAPv2, the added data mostly extend the coverage in time. Information on cruises added to this version is provided in Table A1.

All new cruises were subjected to primary (Sect. 3.1) and secondary (Sect. 3.2) quality control (QC). These procedures remain essentially the same as those for GLODAPv2. However, the secondary QC aimed only to ensure the consistency of

- 150 the data from the 116 new cruises to GLODAPv2. A consistency analysis of the full GLODAPv2.2019 product (as done with the original GLODAPv2 product) has not been carried out, being too demanding in terms of time and resources to allow for frequent updates, particularly in terms of application of inversion results. The QC of GLODAPv2 produced a sufficiently accurate data set that it can serve as a reliable reference (this is in fact already done by some investigators to test their newly collected data; e.g. Panassa et al. (2018)). The aim is to conduct a full analysis (i.e. including an inversion)
- 155 again after the completion of the ongoing, third, GO-SHIP survey, currently scheduled to be completed by 2023. Until that time, intermediate products like this will be released regularly (every one or two years). A naming convention has been introduced to distinguish intermediate from full product updates. For the latter the version number will change, while for the former the year of release is added.





3 Methods

160 3.1 Data assembly and primary quality control

The data for the 116 new cruises were retrieved from data centers (typically CCHDO, NCEI, PANGAEA) or submitted directly to us. Each cruise is identified by an EXPOCODE. The EXPOCODE is guaranteed unique and constructed by combining the country code and platform code with the date of departure in the format YYYYMMDD. The country and platform codes were taken from the ICES library (https://www.ices.dk/marine-data/vocabularies/Pages/default.aspx).

- 165 The individual cruise data files were converted to WOCE exchange format; a comma delimited ASCII format for CTD and bottle data from hydrographic cruises. GLODAP deals only with bottle data, and their exchange format is briefly reviewed here with full details provided in Swift and Diggs (2008). The first line of each exchange file specifies the data type, in the case of GLODAP this is "BOTTLE", followed by a date and time stamp and identification of the person/group who prepared the file, e.g. "PRINUNIVRMK" is Princeton University, Robert M. Key. Next follows the README section.
- 170 This provides brief cruise specific information, such as dates, ship, region, method and quality notes for each variable measured, citation information, and references to any papers that used or presented the data. The README information was typically assembled from the information contained in the metadata submitted by the data originator. In some cases issues noted during the primary QC and other information such as file update notes are included. The only rule for the README section is that it be concise, informative, and as correct as possible. The README is followed by data column
- 175 headers, their units, and then the actual data. The headers and units are standardized and provided in Table 1 for the variables included in GLODAPv2.2019. In some cases exchange file preparation entailed units conversion, most frequently from milliliters per liter (mL L⁻¹; oxygen) or micromoles per liter (µmol L⁻¹; nutrients) to micromoles per kilogram of seawater (µmol kg⁻¹). The default procedure for nutrients was to use seawater density at reported salinity, an assumed lab-temperature of 22°C, and pressure of 1 atm. For oxygen, the factor 44.66 was used for the mL to µmol conversion, while
- 180 for the per liter to per kilo conversion density based on reported salinity and draw temperatures was preferred, but draw temperature was frequently not reported and potential density used instead. The potential errors introduced in any of these procedures are insignificant. Missing numbers are indicated with a -999, with trailing zeros to comply with the number format for the variable in question, as specified in Swift and Diggs (2008).

Each data column (except temperature and pressure that are assumed "good" if they exist) has an associated column of data

185 flags. For the exchange files, these flags conform to the WOCE definitions for water sample bottles and are listed in Table 2. If no such WOCE flags were submitted with the data, they were assigned by us. In any case, incoming files were subjected to primary QC to detect questionable or bad data. This was carried out following Sabine et al. (2005) and Tanhua et al. (2010), primarily by inspecting property-property plots. Outliers showing up in two or more different such plots were generally defined as questionable and flagged as such. In some cases, outliers were only detected during the secondary QC; 190 the consequential flag changes have then also been applied in the original cruise data files.

3.2 Secondary quality control

The aim for the secondary QC was to identify and correct any significant biases in the data from the 116 new cruises relative to GLODAPv2, while retaining any signal due to time changes. To this end, consistency analyses were conducted to identify offsets in the data. All identified offsets were scrutinized by the GLODAP reference group at a meeting in

195 Seattle in September 2018 in order to decide the adjustments to be applied to correct for the offset (if any). To guide this process, a set of initial minimum adjustment limits was used (Table 3). These are set according to the expected measurement precision for each variable, and are the same as those used for GLODAPv2, apart for TAlk and pH. For TAlk the limit was lowered from 6 to 4 μmol kg⁻¹ to better reflect the current level of precision of TAlk measurements

Searth System Discussion Science Signate Discussions



(Bockmon and Dickson, 2015). For pH the limit was raised from 0.005 to 0.01, for reasons discussed in Sect. 3.2.4. In addition to the magnitude of the offset, factors such as its precision, persistence towards reference cruises, regional dynamics, and the occurrence of time trends or other variations were considered. Thus, not all offsets larger than the initial minimum limits have been adjusted for. A guiding principle for these considerations was to not apply an adjustment whenever in doubt. In some cases, when data and offsets were very precise and the cruise conducted in a region were variability is expected to be small, adjustments lower than the minimum limits were applied. Any adjustment was applied

205 uniformly to all values for a variable and cruise, i.e., an underlying assumption is that cruises suffer from either no or a single and constant measurement bias. Except for where explicitly noted (Sect. 3.3.1), no adjustments were changed for data previously included in GLODAPv2.

Crossover comparisons, MLRs, and comparison of deep-water averages were used to identify offsets for salinity, oxygen, nutrients, TCO₂, and TAlk (Sect. 3.2.2 and 3.2.3). For pH, an additional evaluation of the internal consistency of the

210 seawater CO_2 chemistry variables was used whenever possible (Sect 3.2.4). For the halogenated transient tracers, examination of surface saturation levels and relationship among the tracers were used to assess the data consistency (Sect 3.4.5). For salinity and oxygen, CTD and bottle values were merged into a 'hybrid' variable prior to the consistency analyses (Sect 3.2.1).

3.2.1 Merging of sensor and bottle data

- 215 Salinity and oxygen data can be obtained either by analysis of water samples (bottle data) and/or directly from the CTD sensor pack. These two types are merged and presented as a single variable in the product. The merging was conducted prior to the consistency checks, ensuring their internal calibration in the product. Note that we did not add data from the high-resolution CTD files (as obtained on the downcast) to the bottle data files. The merging procedures were only applied on the bottle data files, which commonly include values recorded by the CTD at the pressures of the upcast during which
- 220 the water samples are collected. Whenever both CTD and bottle data were present in a data file, the merging step considered the deviation between the two and calibrated the CTD values if required and possible. Altogether seven scenarios are possible, where the fourth never occurred during our analyses, but is included to maintain consistency with GLODAPv2. The number of cases encountered for each scenario is summarized in Sect. 4.1.
 - 1. No data are available: no action needed.
- 225 2. No bottle values: use CTD values.
 - 3. No CTD values: use bottle values.
 - 4. Too few data of both types for comparison and more than 80% of the records have bottle values: use bottle values.
 - 5. The CTD values do not deviate significantly from bottle values: replace missing bottle values with CTD values.

6. The CTD values deviate significantly from bottle values: calibrate CTD values using linear fit with respect to bottle dataand replace missing bottle values with the so-calibrated CTD values.

7. The CTD values deviate significantly from bottle values, and no good linear fit can be obtained for the cruise: use bottle values and discard CTD values.

3.2.2 Crossover analyses

The crossover analyses were conducted with the Matlab toolbox prepared by Lauvset and Tanhua (2015) and with the

235 GLODAPv2 data product as reference. In areas where a strong trend in salinity was present, the TAlk and TCO₂ data were salinity normalized following Friis et al. (2003), before crossover analysis.

The toolbox implements the 'running-cluster' crossover analysis first described by Tanhua et al. (2010). Briefly, this analysis compares data from two cruises on a station-by-station basis and calculates a weighted mean offset between the

Science Scienc



two and its weighted standard deviation. The weighting is based on the scatter in the data such that data that have less scatter have larger influence on the comparison than data with more scatter. Whether the scatter reflects actual variability or data precision is irrelevant in this context as increased scatter regardless decreases the confidence in the comparison. Stations that are compared must be within 2° arc distance (~200 km) of each other, and only deep data are used. This minimizes effects of natural variability. Typically, we used 1500 dbar as the upper depth limit, but in regions where deep mixing occurs (such as the Nordic, Labrador, and Irminger seas) a more conservative limit of 2000 dbar was applied. As an

- example, the crossover for phosphate as measured on the two cruises 58GS20150410 and 64PE20070830 is shown in Fig 3. For phosphate the offset is determined as a ratio. This is also the case for the other nutrients, oxygen, and the halogenated transient tracers. For salinity, TCO₂, TAlk, and pH absolute offsets are used, in accordance with the procedures followed for GLODAPv2. The phosphate values from 58GS20150410 are significantly higher, at 1.12 ± 0.016 times those measured at the 64PE20070830 cruise; this is then the weighted mean offset.
- 250 For each of the 116 new cruises, such a crossover comparison was conducted against all cruises possible in GLODAPv2, i.e., all cruises that had stations closer than 2° arc distance to any station for the cruise in question. The summary figure for phosphate at 58GS20150410 is shown in Fig. 4. Clearly, the phosphate data measured at this cruise are high when compared to the data measured at all nearby cruises included in GLODAPv2. An offset of this kind, exceeding the initial minimum adjustment limit (Table 3) and with no obvious time trend, qualifies for an adjustment of the data in the merged
- 255 data product.

3.2.3 Other consistency analyses

A few new cruises had no or very few valid crossovers with GLODAPv2 data. In that situation two other consistency analyses were carried out for salinity, oxygen, nutrients, TCO₂, and TAlk data, namely MLR analyses and deep water averages, broadly following Jutterström et al. (2010). For the MLRs, the presence of bias in the data for the cruise in question was identified by comparing the MLR generated with the measured value, while for the deep-water averages the

- 260 question was identified by comparing the MLR generated with the measured value, while for the deep-water averages the approach is trivial. These methods were useful in the data-sparse Arctic and Southern oceans. Both analyses were conducted on samples collected below 1500/2000 dbar pressure to minimize the effects of natural variations, and both used available GLODAPv2 data from within 2° of the cruise in question to generate the MLR or deep water average. The lower depth limit was set to the deepest sample for the cruise in question. For the MLRs, all of the above-mentioned variables
- 265 could be included among the independent variables (e.g., for a TAlk MLR, salinity, oxygen, nutrients, and TCO₂ were allowed), with the exact selection determined based on the statistical robustness of the fit, as evaluated using the coefficient of determination (r^2) and root mean square error (rmse). MLRs that were based on variables that were suspect for the cruise in question were avoided (e.g., if oxygen appeared biased it was not included as an independent variable). The MLRs could be based on 10 to 500 samples, and the robustness of the fit $(r^2, rmse)$ and quantity of fitting data were considered when
- 270 using the results to guide whether to apply a correction. The same applies for the deep-water averages (i.e., the standard deviation of the mean). MLR and deep water average results showing offsets above the minimum adjustment limits were carefully scrutinized, along with any crossover results that existed, to determine whether or not to apply an actual adjustment.

3.2.4 pH scale conversion and quality control

275 77 of the 116 new cruises included pH data. For about 30% of these, the pH data were not supplied on the total scale and at 25°C and 0 dbar pressure, which is the GLODAP standard. These data were converted to total pH scale and temperature and pressure of 25°C and 0 dbar. The conversions were conducted by using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) for Matlab (van Heuven et al., 2011) with reported pH and TAlk as inputs, and generating pH output values at total scale at

Searth System Discussion Science Signate Discussions



25°C and 0 dbar of pressure (named phts25p0 in the product). Whenever TAlk data were missing, these values were approximated as 67 times salinity. 67 is the mean ratio of TAlk to salinity in the GLODAPv2 data. This is sufficiently accurate for scale/temperature/pressure conversions. Data for phosphate and silicate are also needed, and were, whenever missing, determined using CANYON-B (Bittig et al., 2018). The conversion was conducted with the carbonate dissociation constants of Lueker et al. (2000), the bisulphate dissociation constant of Dickson (1990), and the borate to salinity ratio of Uppström (1974). These procedures are the same as used for GLODAPv2 (Olsen et al., 2016), except for the CANYON-B

285 estimation of phosphate and silicate. The secondary quality control of the p

The secondary quality control of the pH data also followed previous procedures, using a combination of crossovers and internal consistency calculations. The latter were conducted when a cruise had data for TCO_2 and TAlk in addition to pH. Note that internal consistency was only considered for the secondary QC of pH, and not for the secondary QC of TCO_2 and TAlk. Hence, in contrast to these two, the adjustments applied for pH are not only a bias correction but also a seawater CO_2

- 290 chemistry consistency correction. This is one factor that makes the secondary quality control of pH data problematic, in particular with regard to the application of a uniform correction for an entire cruise or leg based on offsets in deep data. pH dependent offsets between pH determined spectrophotometrically with purified dyes and pH calculated from TCO₂ and TAlk have recently been found. For example, at a pH of 7.6 calculated pH is higher by ~0.01 than measured pH (Carter et al., 2018). The causes of these discrepancies are not entirely clear, suggestions include deficiencies in dissociation
- 295 constants used for the seawater CO₂ chemistry calculations, errors in the total boron-salinity ratio, and unknown protolytes affecting the TAlk (Carter et al., 2018; Fong and Dickson, 2019). Such low pH values exist only in the deep North Pacific Ocean. Here, application of pH corrections based on seawater CO₂ consistency considerations could impact the correction. Broadly speaking, the pH data in GLODAP have been obtained using a variety of methods (e.g. potentiometric measurements, and spectrophotometric measurements with purified or impure dyes). The pH values produced by these
- 300 different approaches have documented pH-dependent offsets from one another (Carter et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2011; Patsavas et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2007) that challenge the viability of the uniform adjustments applied (Carter et al., 2018). While we have continued to apply such uniform offsets for this update, we have chosen the higher initial minimum adjustment limit of 0.01, which is twice that used for GLODAPv2 (0.005), to minimize the possibility of false corrections. The full ramifications and a revised strategy for identifying and minimizing bias in pH data is a topic for future
- 305 development of the GLODAP data synthesis procedures. The full collection of pH values in GLODAPv2.2019 should only be considered to be consistent between cruises to ±0.01 to 0.02 pH units.

3.2.5 Halogenated transient tracers

For the halogenated transient tracers (CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, and CCl₄; CFCs for short) inspection of surface saturation levels and evaluation of relationships between the tracers for each cruise were used rather than crossover

310 analyses, to identify biases. Crossover analysis is of limited value for these variables given their transient nature and low deep water concentrations. As for GLODAPv2, the procedures were the same as those applied for CARINA (Jeansson et al., 2010; Steinfeldt et al., 2010).

3.3 Merged product generation

The merged product file for GLODAPv2.2019 was created by correcting known issues in the GLODAPv2 merged file, and then appending a merged and bias-corrected file containing the 116 new cruises to this error-corrected GLODAPv2 file.





3.3.1 Updates and corrections for GLODAPv2

Several minor omissions and errors have been identified in the GLODAPv2 data product since its release in early 2016. Most of these have been corrected in this release. In addition, some recently available data have been added for a few cruises. The changes are:

- For 29 cruises spectrophotometric pH data were available but not included in the data product despite having passed secondary quality control. The data from 24 of these cruises are now included, while for the other 5, the data have been discarded following more in-depth quality control. Whenever possible (Sect 3.3.2), TAlk or TCO₂ were calculated for these cruises, as well.
 - The extension ".1" has been removed from the three EXPOCODES: 316N19720718.1, 316N19871123.1, and 316N19871123.1.
 - For 33LG20090901 salinity has been included.
 - For 35TH20040604 nutrient data have been replaced with updated data from the PI.
 - For 09AR20071216 TAlk and TCO₂ data have been updated.
 - For 33AT20120324 and 33AT20120419 DOC, TAlk and SF₆ data have been updated.
- For 35UCKERFIXTS TAlk and TCO₂ data have been adjusted by -45 μmol kg⁻¹ and -39 μmol kg⁻¹, respectively.
 - Secondary QC flags for calculated carbon variables are corrected.
 - For 99 records in GLODAPv2 unrealistic difference between sampling pressure and depth were noted. This has been corrected by using the original reported pressure and recalculating depth.
- Impossible dates (e.g., November 31) and time stamps (e.g., minute of hour = 81) were fixed for a small number of cruises.
 - Recently available/updated data for radio- and stable isotopes as well as noble gasses were added to 8 cruises.
 - For 06AQ19960317 ³H data have been flagged as bad.
 - For 21 cruises the δ^{13} C values have been adjusted according to the results from Becker et al. (2016). To enable identification of δ^{13} C subjected to secondary QC, a secondary QC flag for δ^{13} C has been included in the GLODAPv2.2019 product file.
- 340

325

- For 64PE20070830 and 06M220090714 halogenated transient tracer data have been updated.
- Some outliers detected since the release have been removed (from the merged GLODAPv2.2019 product) and flagged as bad/questionable (in the original cruise data files).
- Neutral density, γ, was recalculated for the entire product file using the global polynomial of Sérazin (2011),
- 345 which consists of a set of polynomials for each ocean basin, joined together at their boundaries by weighting functions.

3.3.2 Merging

The new data were merged into a bias-minimized product file following the procedures used for GLODAPv1.1 (Key et al., 2004; Sabine et al., 2005), CARINA (Key et al., 2010), PACIFICA (Suzuki et al., 2013), and GLODAPv2 (Olsen et al., 2014), and GLODAPv2 (Olsen e

- 350 2016), but with minor changes:
 - Data from the 116 new cruises were merged and sorted according to EXPOCODE, station, and pressure. Cruise numbers were assigned consecutively, starting from 1001, so they can be distinguished from the GLODAPv2 cruises that ended at 724.
- 355
- 2. Whenever nitrate plus nitrite was reported instead of nitrate, and explicit nitrite concentrations were also given, these were subtracted to get the nitrate values; otherwise, NO₃ + NO₂ was renamed to NO₃. As nitrite concentrations are very small in the open ocean, this has no practical implications.

Science Science Science Science



360

370

375

380

385

- 3. When bottom depths were not given, they were approximated as the deepest sample pressure +10 dbar or extracted from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009), whichever was greater. For GLODAPv2, these values were extracted from the Terrain Base (National Geophysical Data Center/NESDIS/NOAA/U.S. Department of Commerce, 1995). This change has no practical implications, as the variable is only included for drawing approximate bottom topography for sections.
 - 4. Whenever temperature was missing, all data for that record were removed and their flags set to 9. The same was done when pressure/depth was missing. For all surface samples collected using buckets or similar, the bottle number was set to zero.
- 365 5. All data with WOCE quality flags 3, 4, 5, or 8 were excluded from the product files (value set to -999/NaN) and their flags set to 9. Hence, in the product files a flag 9 can indicate not measured (as is also the case for the original exchange formatted data files) or excluded from product; in any case, no data value appears. All flags 6 (good replicate measurement) and 7 (manual chromatographic peak measurement), were set to 2.
 - 6. Whenever sampling pressure or depth was missing this was calculated following UNESCO (1981).
 - For both oxygen and salinity, any reported CTD and bottle values were merged following procedures summarized in Sect. 3.2.1.
 - 8. Missing salinity, oxygen, nitrate, silicate, and phosphate values were vertically interpolated whenever practical, using a quasi-Hermetian piecewise polynomial. "Whenever practical" means that interpolation was limited to the vertical data separation distances given in Table 4 in Key et al. (2010). Interpolated values have been assigned a WOCE quality flag 0.
 - 9. The data for the 12 core variables were corrected for bias using the adjustments determined during the secondary QC. For each of these variables the data product also has separate columns of secondary QC flags, indicating by cruise and variable whether ("1") or not ("0") data successfully received secondary QC. A "0" flag here means that data were too shallow or geographically too isolated for consistency analyses. For one of the new cruises, an adjustment had been recommended for the δ¹³C data by Becker et al. (2016), this was also applied.
 - Values for potential temperature; potential density anomalies referenced to 0, 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 dbar were calculated using Fofonoff (1977) and Bryden (1973). Neutral density was calculated using Sérazin (2011). Apparent oxygen utilization was determined using the combined fit in Garcia and Gordon (1992).
 - 11. Partial pressures for CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, CCl4, and SF6 were calculated using the solubilities by Warner and Weiss (1985), Bu and Warner (1995), Bullister and Wisegarver (1998), and Bullister et al. (2002).
 - 12. Whenever only two seawater CO₂ chemistry variables were reported, the third was calculated using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) for Matlab (van Heuven et al., 2011), with the constants set as for the pH conversions (Sect. 3.2.4). If this resulted in a mix of measured and calculated values for a certain CO₂ system variable for a specific cruise, and if the number of calculated values were equal to or exceeded twice the number of measured, then all measured were replaced by calculated values. Calculated values have been assigned WOCE flag 0.
- 390
- 13. The resulting merged file for the 116 new cruises was appended to the merged product file for GLODAPv2.

4. Secondary quality control results and adjustments

All material produced during the secondary QC is available at the online GLODAP Adjustment Table hosted by GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany at <u>https://glodapv2-2019.geomar.de/</u>, and which can also be accessed through www.glodap.info. This is similar in form and function to the GLODAPv2 Adjustment Table (Olsen et al., 2016) and includes a brief written statement for any adjustments applied.





4.1 Sensor and bottle data merge for salinity and oxygen

Table 4 summarizes the actions taken for the merging of the CTD and bottle data for salinity and oxygen. For most cruises (88%) both CTD and bottle data were included for salinity in the original cruise data files and for all these cruises the two
data types were found to be consistent. For comparison, only 52% of the GLODAPv2 entries included both, and for a large fraction of these (35%) the CTD values were uncalibrated (Olsen et al., 2016). For oxygen, 50% of the cruises included both CTD-O₂ and bottle values, however, more than a third of these (38%) had uncalibrated CTD-O₂ (Olsen et al., 2016); this fraction is therefore improving, but it is still too large. Our simple linear calibration gave satisfactory results for 8 of these cruises, while for 13 no good fit could be obtained and their CTD-O₂ data have not been included in the merged

product. For data files that only contain bottle values for either/both variables, the tallies are somewhat uncertain, as some CTD values might have been be mislabeled by the data originators.

4.2 Adjustment summary

The secondary QC actions for the 12 core variables are summarized in Table 5. Compared to GLODAPv2, the fraction of

- 410 data that is adjusted is smaller. A percentage of 0 10% of the 116 new cruises are adjusted for each core variable, whereas for the 724 cruises in GLODAPv2, 5 30% were adjusted for each core variable. The number of adjusted cruises is particularly low for salinity (1 of the new cruises adjusted, i.e., 1% compared to 5% for the 724 GLODAPv2 cruises), for the halogenated transient tracers (0 3% adjusted, depending on variable, compared to 6 10% for GLODAPv2), and for TCO₂ (2 cruises, i.e., 2% compared to 17% for GLODAPv2).
- 415 The distributions of the magnitude of adjustments applied are presented in Fig. 5 and Table 6. For salinity, oxygen, and silicate, adjustments between 1 and 2 times the initial minimum adjustment limit are most prevalent. For nitrate, phosphate, pH, CFC-11, and CFC-12, adjustments equal to or larger than 2 times the limit are most prevalent. For the salinity and oxygen this reflects that any biases in the data tends to be between 1 and 2 times the limit, while for pH, CFC-11, and CFC-12 it also likely reflects limitations in our ability to confidently identify small biases. These limitations are related to
- 420 the strongly transient nature of the CFCs and to the lower confidence in the pH consistency analyses as discussed in Sect. 3.2.4. For TCO₂ and TAlk, none of the adjustments are larger than 2 times the adjustment limit, and for both properties half of the adjustments applied are below the limit. For TAlk this distribution of adjustments supports the lowered minimum adjustment limit of 4 µmol kg⁻¹ (instead of 6 µmol kg⁻¹); these data have sufficient precision to enable the identification of such small adjustments.
- 425 For TAlk, 7 out of 8 adjustments are positive (i.e., the data are biased low), for pH 9 out of 10 adjustments are positive, and for oxygen 6 out of 7 are positive. The adjustments for other variables were more distributed around zero. For TAlk, prevalence of a negative bias was also observed in the inter-laboratory comparison reported by Bockmon and Dickson (2015), who suggested the cause being the use of end point titrations rather than the (preferred) equivalence point titrations. Of note, 6 out of 7 of the negative bias cruises were Japanese. A tendency for bias in Japanese cruises to be negative was
- 430 also identified in GLODAPv2 and may be due to the use of internal reference material. We note that the TAlk data from 23 out of 29 Japanese cruises with viable deep crossover checks had no apparent deep offset, so the majority of new TAlk data from Japan was consistent with GLODAPv2 even with the lowered threshold. The prevalence of positive pH adjustments may relate to the fact that at low pH (as is common in the deeper waters where crossover analyses are done), the measurements made with purified dyes tend to be lower than pH determined using
- 435 electrodes; impure spectrophotometric dyes with older dye coefficients (Clayton and Byrne, 1993); and calculations from TCO₂ and TAlk (Carter et al., 2018). The latter three types of data constitute the bulk of the reference data for the consistency checks, so the prevalence of a modern negative bias may be a consequence of limitations in the approaches





440

445

used for the secondary quality control of the pH data in GLODAP. As mentioned above, refining these should be a priority in the future. Here, we acknowledge the issue and believe that a realistic estimate of the consistency of the pH data in the product is approximately ± 0.01 -0.02.

Crossover comparison is conducted on deep water samples so atmospheric exchange during sample collection on the new cruises is not a viable explanation for the trend of positive oxygen adjustments. Atmospheric contamination would usually increase deep water oxygen concentrations since deep oxygen levels are usually low. The data are not collected in any particular region, or associated with any specific laboratory, country, or method. Consequently no particular explanation can be offered for the prevalence of positive adjustments.

- The improvement in data consistency is evaluated by comparing the weighted mean of the absolute offsets for all crossovers before and after the adjustments have been applied. This 'consistency improvement' for core variables is presented in Table 7. CFCs were omitted for previously discussed reasons (Sect. 3.2.5). Globally, the improvement is modest, except for TAlk, where the consistency was improved from 3.3 to 2.7 µmol kg⁻¹. Considering the initial data
- 450 quality, this result was expected. But this does not imply that the data initially were consistent everywhere. Rather, for some regions and variables there are substantial improvements when the adjustments are applied. For example, Arctic Ocean oxygen and phosphate, Atlantic Ocean nitrate and phosphate, Indian Ocean silicate, and Pacific Ocean TAlk data all show considerable improvements.

For the Arctic and Atlantic oceans there are substantial offsets for many variables with respect to GLODAPv2 even after

- 455 the adjustments have been applied. This relates to actual variability in deep waters of the northern North Atlantic and Arctic regions. For example, the weighted mean of the absolute offset for Arctic Ocean silicate for the adjusted data is 11.1% and that for salinity is 10 ppm (i.e. a salinity of 0.01). This can be ascribed to two cruises, 58GS20130717 and 58GS20160802, conducted in the Greenland Sea where an increasing presence of Arctic sourced deep waters generates changes in these properties (Blindheim and Rey, 2004; Lauvset et al., 2018; Olafsson and Olsen, 2010; Olsen et al., 2009)
- 460 that have not been corrected for. The impact of northern variability on the final consistency estimate can be determined for the Atlantic Ocean by excluding all data north of 50°N from the analysis. This gives a much better initial and final consistency, on par with that for the Indian and Pacific Oceans (Table 8). The various iterations of GLODAP now provide insight on initial data quality covering more than four decades. Fig. 6 summarizes the applied absolute adjustment magnitude per decade. For some variables a decadal improvement is evident.
- 465 Most TCO₂ and TAlk data from the 1970's needed an adjustment, but this fraction steadily declines until only a small percentage are adjusted. This is encouraging and demonstrates the value of standardizing sampling and measurement practices (Dickson et al., 2007), the widespread use of CRMs (Dickson et al., 2003), and instrument automation. pH adjustment frequency also has a downward trend, however, the situation is far from ideal and a topic for future development in GLODAP. For the nutrients and oxygen, only phosphate adjustment frequency decreases from decade to
- 470 decade. However, we do note that the newest data, from the 2010s, receive the fewest adjustments. This may reflect recent increased attention that seawater nutrient measurements have received through an operations manual (Hydes et al., 2012), availability of CRMNS (Aoyama et al., 2012; Ota et al., 2010), and SCOR working group #147. For silicate, the fraction of cruises receiving adjustments peaks in the 1990s and 2000s. This is related to the 2% offset between US and Japanese cruises in the Pacific Ocean that was revealed during production of GLODAPv2 and discussed in Olsen et al. (2016). For
- 475 salinity and the halogenated transient tracers, the number of adjusted cruises is small in every decade.

5. Summary

GLODAPv2.2019 is an update of GLODAPv2. Data from 116 new cruises have been added. This extends temporal coverage by four years and supplements the earlier years. GLODAP now includes 45 years, 1972-2017, of global interior

Searth System Discussion Science Signate Discussions



ocean biogeochemical data from 840 cruises. Except for salinity and oxygen, the included data were collected exclusively
 through chemical analyses of individually collected water samples. The data of 12 core variables: salinity, oxygen, nitrate, silicate, phosphate, TCO₂, TAlk, pH, CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, and CCl₄ were subjected to primary quality control to identify questionable or bad data points (outliers) and secondary quality control to identify systematic measurement biases. The data are provided in two ways: as a set of individual exchange formatted original cruise data files with assigned WOCE flags and as globally and regionally merged data product files with adjustments applied to the data according to the
 outcome of the consistency analyses. Importantly, no adjustments were applied to data in the individual cruise files.

- The consistency analyses were conducted by comparing the data from the 116 new cruises to GLODAPv2. Adjustments were only applied when the offsets were believed to reflect biases related to measurement, calibration, and/or data handling practices. The Adjustment Table at https://glodapv2-2019.geomar.de lists all applied adjustments and provides a brief justification for each. The consistency analyses rely on deep ocean data (>1500/2000 dbar depending on region). Data
- 490 consistency for cruises with exclusively shallow sampling were not examined. Secondary QC flags for the 12 core variables in the product files indicate whether ("1") or not ("0") the data successfully received secondary QC. If deep data were present, but the consistency analyses inconclusive, this flag was also set to 0. A secondary QC flag of "0" does not by itself imply that the data are of lower quality than those with a flag of 1. It simply means that they have not as thoroughly checked. For δ^{13} C, the QC results by Becker et al. (2016) for the North Atlantic were applied, and a secondary QC flag
- 495 therefore added to this variable. The primary, WOCE, QC flags in the product files are also important, although simplified (e.g. all questionable and bad data were removed). For salinity, oxygen, and the nutrients any data flagged 0 means they are interpolated rather than measured. For TCO₂, TAlk, and pH any data flagged "0" are calculated from two measured seawater CO₂ variables. Finally, while questionable (WOCE flag =3) and bad (WOCE flag =4) data have been excluded from the product files,
- 500 some may have gone unnoticed through our analyses. Users should report on any data that appear suspicious. Based on the initial minimum adjustment limits and the improvement of the consistency from the adjustments (Tables 7 and 8), the data that have been subjected to consistency analyses are believed to be consistent to better than 0.005 in salinity, 1% in oxygen, 2% in nitrate, 2% in silicate, 2% in phosphate, 4 µmol kg⁻¹ in TCO₂, and 5% for the halogenated transient tracers. For TAlk the stated consistency for GLODAPv2 is 6 µmol kg⁻¹ (Olsen et al., 2016). We now believe this
- 505 is better, 4 μmol kg⁻¹, not only for the 116 new cruises, but for all data in GLODAPv2 from 2016 as well. This is based on the global average absolute offset for TAlk in the adjusted GLODAPv2 data product of 2.8 μmol kg⁻¹ (Table 5 in Olsen et al. (2016)) and the use of the initial minimum adjustment limit of 4 μmol kg⁻¹ for the cruises added with the present version. For pH on the other hand, the consistency among all data is likely not better than 0.01-0.02.

6. Data availability

- 510 The GLODAPv2.2019 merged and adjusted data product is archived at NOAA/NCEI under the doi: 10.25921/xnme-wr20 (Olsen et al., 2019). All data and ancillary information are available through www.glodap.info and also https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/GLODAPv2_2019/. It is available as comma-separated ascii files (*.csv) and as binary Matlab files (*.mat). Regional subsets are also available for the Arctic, Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian oceans. There are no data overlaps between regional subsets and each cruise exists in only one basin file even if data from that cruise
- 515 crosses basin boundaries. The station locations in each basin file are shown in Fig. 7. The product file variables are listed in Table 1. A lookup table for matching EXPOCODE of a cruise with GLODAP cruise number is provided with the data files. In the Matlab files this information is also available as a cell array. A "known issues document" accompanies the data files and provides an overview of known errors and omissions in the data product files. It is regularly updated, and users





are encouraged to inform us whenever any new issues are identified. It is critical that users consult this document whenever the data products are used, as already at the time of writing data that likely do not meet the expected quality have been identified.

The original cruise files are available through the GLODAPv2.2019 cruise summary table (CST) hosted by NOAA/NCEI: https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/GLODAPv2_2019/cruise_table_v2019.html. Each of these files has been assigned a doi, but these are not listed here. The CST also provides brief information on each cruise, and access to metadata, cruise reports, and the Adjustment Table entry for each cruise.

525 metadata, cruise reports, and the Adjustment Table entry for each cruise. While GLODAPv2.2019 is made available without any restrictions, users of the data should adhere to the fair data use principles:

- 1. For investigations that rely strongly on a particular (set of) cruise(s), recognize the contribution of GLODAP data contributors by, at least, citing the articles where the data are described and, preferably, contacting principal investigators for exploring opportunities for collaboration and co-authorship. To this end, relevant articles and principle investigator names are provided in the CST. This comes with the additional benefit that the principal investigators often possess expert insight on the data and/or particular region under investigation. This can improve scientific quality and promote data sharing.
- 535

530

Cite this paper in any scientific publications that result from usage of the product. Citations provides us with the most efficient means to track the use of this product, which is important for attracting funding to enable the preparation of future updates.

8. Acknowledgements

2.

GLODAPv2.2019 would not have been possible without the effort of the many scientists who generated funding, dedicated time to collect, and willingly share, the data that are included. Chief scientists at the various cruises and principal
 investigators for specific variables are listed in the online Cruise Summary Table.

Meeting and travel support was provided by the IOCCP (via the US National Science Foundation grant OCE-1840868 to the Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research), NOAA-PMEL, the AtlantOS project (EU H2020 grant agreement 633211) and the Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research. HCB, NL, FFP, AV, and SKL were funded by the AtlantOS project. RMK received partial support from NOAA/CICS grant NA14OAR4320106 during the last year of this effort. RW was supported

545 through the NOAA office of oceanic and atmospheric research. Contributions from BRC and RAF are supported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Global Ocean Monitoring and Observing Program (Data Management and Synthesis Grant: N8R3CEA - PDM).

References

- Amante, C. and Eakins, B. W.: ETOPO1 1 Arc-minute global relief model: procedures, data sources and analysis, NOAA
 Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, National Geophysial Data Center, Marine Geology and Geophysics Division, Boulder, CO, U.S.A., 2009.
 - Aoyama, M., Ota, H., Kimura, M., Kitao, T., Mitsuda, H., Murata, A., and Sato, K.: Current status of homogeneity and stability of the reference materials for nutrients in Seawater, Anal. Sci., 28, 911-916, 2012.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Landa, C. S., Metzl, N., O'Brien, K. M., Olsen, A., Smith, K., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Jones,
 S. D., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Schuster, U., Steinhoff, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., Wada, C.,
 Wanninkhof, R., Alin, S. R., Balestrini, C. F., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Bianchi, A. A., Bonou, F., Boutin, J., Bozec,
 Y., Burger, E. F., Cai, W. J., Castle, R. D., Chen, L. Q., Chierici, M., Currie, K., Evans, W., Featherstone, C., Feely, R.
 A., Fransson, A., Goyet, C., Greenwood, N., Gregor, L., Hankin, S., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Harlay, J., Hauck, J.,
 Hoppema, M., Humphreys, M. P., Hunt, C., Huss, B., Ibanhez, J. S. P., Johannessen, T., Keeling, R., Kitidis, V.,
- 560 Kortzinger, A., Kozyr, A., Krasakopoulou, E., Kuwata, A., Landschutzer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefevre, N., Lo Monaco, C., Manke, A., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Millero, F. J., Monteiro, P. M. S., Munro, D. R., Murata, A., Newberger, T.,

Earth System Discussion Science Signations



565

Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Paterson, K., Pearce, D., Pierrot, D., Robbins, L. L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schweitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Sullivan, K. F., Sutherland, S. C., Sutton, A. J., Tadokoro, K., Telszewski, M., Tuma, M., van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Ward, B., Watson, A. J., and Xu, S. Q.: A multi-decade record of high-quality fCO_2 data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT), Earth Syst Sci Data, 8, 383-413, 2016.

- Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Smith, K., Hankin, S., Olsen, A., Alin, S. R., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Kozyr, A., Nojiri, Y., O'Brien, K. M., Schuster, U., Telszewski, M., Tilbrook, B., Wada, C., Akl, J., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Cai, W. J., Castle, R. D., Chavez, F. P., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., de Baar, H. J. W., Evans, W.,
- 570 Feely, R. A., Fransson, A., Gao, Z., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Hoppema, M., Huang, W. J., Hunt, C. W., Huss, B., Ichikawa, T., Johannessen, T., Jones, E. M., Jones, S. D., Jutterstrom, S., Kitidis, V., Kortzinger, A., Landschutzer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefevre, N., Manke, A. B., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Metzl, N., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Park, G. H., Paterson, K., Pierrot, D., Rios, A. F., Sabine, C. L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sullivan, K. F., Sun, H., Sutton, A.
- 575 J., Suzuki, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tjiputra, J., Tsurushima, N., van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Vlahos, P., Wallace, D. W. R., Wanninkhof, R., and Watson, A. J.: An update to the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT version 2), Earth Syst Sci Data, 6, 69-90, 2014.
- Beadling, R. L., Russell, J. L., Stouffer, R. J., and Goodman, P. J.: Evaluation of subtropical North Atlantic Ocean circulation in CMIP5 models against the observational Array at 26.5 degrees N and its changes under continued warming, J Climate, 31, 9697-9718, 2018.
- Becker, M., Andersen, N., Erlenkeuser, H., Humphreys, M. P., Tanhua, T., and Kortzinger, A.: An internally consistent dataset of δ¹³C-DIC in the North Atlantic Ocean - NAC13v1, Earth Syst Sci Data, 8, 559-570, 2016.
- Bittig, H. C., Steinhoff, T., Claustre, H., Fiedler, B., Williams, N. L., Sauzède, R., Körtzinger, A., and Gattuso, J.-P.: An alternative to static climatologies: Robust estimation of open ocean CO₂ variables and nutrient concentrations from T, S, and O₂ data using Bayesian Neural Networks, Frontiers in Marine Science, 5, 2018.
- Blindheim, J. and Rey, F.: Water-mass formation and distribution in the Nordic Seas during the 1990s, Ices J Mar Sci, 61, 846-863, 2004.
 - Bockmon, E. E. and Dickson, A. G.: An inter-laboratory comparison assessing the quality of seawater carbon dioxide measurements, Mar Chem, 171, 36-43, 2015.
- 590 Breitburg, D., Levin, L. A., Oschlies, A., Grégoire, M., Chavez, F. P., Conley, D. J., Garçon, V., Gilbert, D., Gutiérrez, D., Isensee, K., Jacinto, G. S., Limburg, K. E., Montes, I., Naqvi, S. W. A., Pitcher, G. C., Rabalais, N. N., Roman, M. R., Rose, K. A., Seibel, B. A., Telszewski, M., Yasuhara, M., and Zhang, J.: Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters, Science, 359, eaam7240, 2018.
- Bryden, H. L.: New polynomials for thermal-expansion, adiabatic temperature gradient and potential temperature of sea water, Deep-Sea Research, 20, 401-408, 1973.
 - Bu, X. and Warner, M. J.: Solubility of chlorofluorocarbon-113 in water and seawater, Deep-Sea Res Pt I, 42, 1151-1161, 1995.
 - Bullister, J. L. and Wisegarver, D. P.: The solubility of carbon tetrachloride in water and seawater, Deep-Sea Res Pt I, 45, 1285-1302, 1998.
- 600 Bullister, J. L., Wisegarver, D. P., and Menzia, F. A.: The solubility of sulfur hexafluoride in water and seawater, Deep-Sea Res Pt I, 49, 175-187, 2002.
 - Bushinsky, S. M., Gray, A. R., Johnson, K. S., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Oxygen in the Southern Ocean from Argo floats: Determination of processes driving air-sea fluxes, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 122, 8661-8682, 2017.
- Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., Williams, N. L., Dickson, A. G., Fong, M. B., and Takeshita, Y.: Updated methods for global locally interpolated estimation of alkalinity, pH, and nitrate, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 16, 119-131, 2018.
 - Carter, B. R., Radich, J. A., Doyle, H. L., and Dickson, A. G.: An automated system for spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements, Limnol Oceanogr-Meth, 11, 16-27, 2013.
- Cheng, L. J., Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J., Boyer, T., Abraham, J., and Zhu, J.: Improved estimates of ocean heat content from 1960 to 2015, Sci Adv, 3, 2017.
- Clayton, T. D. and Byrne, R. H.: Spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements Total hydrogen-ion concentration scale calibration of m-cresol purple and at-sea results, Deep-Sea Res Pt I, 40, 2115-2129, 1993.
 - DeVries, T., Holzer, M., and Primeau, F.: Recent increase in oceanic carbon uptake driven by weaker upper-ocean overturning, Nature, 542, 215-218, 2017.
- 615 Dickson, A. G.: Standard potential of the reaction: AgCl(s) + ½H₂(g) = Ag(s) + HCl(aq) and the standard acidity constant of the Ion HSO₄- in synthetic sea water from 273.15 to 318.15 K, J Chem Thermodyn, 22, 113-127, 1990.
 - Dickson, A. G., Afghan, J. D., and Anderson, G. C.: Reference materials for oceanic CO₂ analysis: a method for the certification of total alkalinity, Mar Chem, 80, 185-197, 2003.
- Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., and Christian, J. R.: Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO₂ measurements, PICES Special
 Publication 3, 191 pp., 2007.
 - Fassbender, A. J., Sabine, C. L., and Palevsky, H. I.: Nonuniform ocean acidification and attenuation of the ocean carbon sink, Geophys Res Lett, 44, 8404-8413, 2017.
 - Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Hernandez-Ayon, J. M., Ianson, D., and Hales, B.: Evidence for upwelling of corrosive "acidified" water onto the continental shelf, Science, 320, 1490-1492, 2008.

Searth System Discussions Science Signate Data



625 Fofonoff, N. P.: Computation of potential temperature of seawater for an arbitrary reference pressure, Deep-Sea Research, 24, 489-491, 1977.

Fong, M. B. and Dickson, A. G.: Insights from GO-SHIP hydrography data into the thermodynamic consistency of CO2 system measurements in seawater, Mar Chem, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2019.03.006, 2019. 2019.

- Friis, K., Körtzinger, A., and Wallace, D. W. R.: The salinity normalization of marine inorganic carbon chemistry data,
 Geophys Res Lett, 30, 2003.
 - Fröb, F., Olsen, A., Pérez, F. F., García-Ibáñez, M. I., Jeansson, E., Omar, A., and Lauvset, S. K.: Inorganic carbon and water masses in the Irminger Sea since 1991, Biogeosciences, 15, 51-72, 2018.
 - Fröb, F., Olsen, A., Vage, K., Moore, G. W. K., Yashayaev, I., Jeansson, E., and Rajasakaren, B.: Irminger Sea deep convection injects oxygen and anthropogenic carbon to the ocean interior, Nat Commun, 7, 2016.
- Fry, C. H., Tyrrell, T., and Achterberg, E. P.: Analysis of longitudinal variations in North Pacific alkalinity to improve predictive algorithms, Global Biogeochem Cy, 30, 1493-1508, 2016.
 Garaia H. F. and Gordon L. L: Ovigen schubility in sequenter. Patter fitting equations. Limnel Oceanogr. 27, 1307-1312.
 - Garcia, H. E. and Gordon, L. I.: Oxygen solubility in seawater Better fitting equations, Limnol Oceanogr, 37, 1307-1312, 1992.
- Garcia-Ibanez, M. I., Zunino, P., Frob, F., Carracedo, L. I., Rios, A. F., Mercier, H., Olsen, A., and Perez, F. F.: Ocean
 acidification in the subpolar North Atlantic: rates and mechanisms controlling pH changes, Biogeosciences, 13, 3701-3715, 2016.
 - Glock, N., Erdem, Z., Wallmann, K., Somes, C. J., Liebetrau, V., Schonfeld, J., Gorb, S., and Eisenhauer, A.: Coupling of oceanic carbon and nitrogen facilitates spatially resolved quantitative reconstruction of nitrate inventories, Nat Commun, 9, 10, 2018.
- 645 Goris, N., Tjiputra, J. F., Olsen, A., Schwinger, J., Lauvset, S. K., and Jeansson, E.: Constraining projection-based estimates of the future North Atlantic carbon uptake, J Climate, 31, 3959-3978, 2018.
- Gruber, N., Clement, D., Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Lo Monaco, C., Mathis, J. T., Murata, A., Olsen, A., Perez, F. F., Sabine, C. L., Tanhua, T., and Wanninkhof, R.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂ from 1994 to 2007, Science, 363, 1193-1199, 2019.
- 650 Hood, E. M., Sabine, C. L., and Sloyan, B. M.: The GO-SHIP hydrography manual: A collection of expert reports and guidelines., 2010.
- Hydes, D. J., Aoyama, A., Aminot, A., Bakker, K., Becker, S., Coverly, S., Daniel, A., Dickson, A. G., Grosso, O., Kerouel, R., van Ooijen, J., Sato, K., Tanhua, T., Woodward, E. M. S., and Zhang, J.-Z.: Determination of dissolved nutrients in seawater with high precision and intercomparability using gas-segmented continuous flow analysers. In:
 The GO SHIP Repeat Hydrography Manual: A Collection of Expert Reports and Guidelines, Hood, E. M., Sabine, C.,
 - and Sloyan, B. M. (Eds.), IOCCP Report Number 14, ICPO Publication Series Number 134, 2012. Jeansson, E., Olsen, A., and Jutterström, S.: Arctic Intermediate Water in the Nordic Seas, 1991–2009, Deep Sea Research
 - Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 128, 82-97, 2017.
- Jeansson, E., Olsson, K. A., Tanhua, T., and Bullister, J. L.: Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean CFC data in CARINA, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 79-97, 2010.
- Jenkins, W. J., Doney, S. C., Fendrock, M., Fine, R., Gamo, T., Jean-Baptiste, P., Key, R., Klein, B., Lupton, J. E., Rhein, M., Roether, W., Sano, Y., Schlitzer, R., Schlosser, P., and Swift, J.: A comprehensive global oceanic dataset of helium isotope and tritium measurements, Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 2018, 1-26, 2018.
- Johnson, K. S., Plant, J. N., Coletti, L. J., Jannasch, H. W., Sakamoto, C. M., Riser, S. C., Swift, D. D., Williams, N. L.,
 Boss, E., Haentjens, N., Talley, L. D., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Biogeochemical sensor performance in the SOCCOM profiling float array, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 122, 6416-6436, 2017.
 - Jutterström, S., Anderson, L. G., Bates, N. R., Bellerby, R., Johannessen, T., Jones, E. P., Key, R. M., Lin, X., Olsen, A., and Omar, A. M.: Arctic Ocean data in CARINA, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 71-78, 2010.
- Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Sabine, C. L., Lee, K., Wanninkhof, R., Bullister, J. L., Feely, R. A., Millero, F. J., Mordy, C., and
 Peng, T. H.: A global ocean carbon climatology: Results from Global Data Analysis Project (GLODAP), Global Biogeochem Cy, 18, 2004.
- Key, R. M., Olsen, A., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterstrom, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Perez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: Global Ocean Data Analysis Project, Version 2 (GLODAPv2), ORNL/CDIAC-162, ND-P093., Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee., 2015.
- Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., Olsen, A., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Schirnick, C., van Heuven, S., Kozyr, A., Lin, X., Velo, A., Wallace, D. W. R., and Mintrop, L.: The CARINA data synthesis project: introduction and overview, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 105-121, 2010.
- Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Haumann, A., Rodenbeck, C., Bakker, D. C. E., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Metzl, N.,
 Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., and Wanninkhof, R.: The reinvigoration of the Southern Ocean carbon sink,
 Science, 349, 1221-1224, 2015.
 - Lauvset, S. K., Brakstad, A., Vage, K., Olsen, A., Jeansson, E., and Mork, K. A.: Continued warming, salinification and oxygenation of the Greenland Sea gyre, Tellus A, 70, 1-9, 2018.
- Lauvset, S. K., Key, R. M., Olsen, A., van Heuven, S., Velo, A., Lin, X. H., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T.,
 Hoppema, M., Jutterstrom, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Perez, F. F., Suzuki, T., and Watelet, S.: A new
 global interior ocean mapped climatology: the 1° x 1° GLODAP version 2, Earth Syst Sci Data, 8, 325-340, 2016.

Earth System Discussion Science Signations



Lauvset, S. K. and Tanhua, T.: A toolbox for secondary quality control on ocean chemistry and hydrographic data, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 13, 601-608, 2015.

- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Hauck, J., Pongratz, J., Pickers, P. A., Korsbakken, J. I., Peters,
 G. P., Canadell, J. G., Arneth, A., Arora, V. K., Barbero, L., Bastos, A., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Ciais, P.,
 Doney, S. C., Gkritzalis, T., Goll, D. S., Harris, I., Haverd, V., Hoffman, F. M., Hoppema, M., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt,
 G., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Johannessen, T., Jones, C. D., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Goldewijk, K. K., Landschutzer, P.,
 Lefevre, N., Lienert, S., Liu, Z., Lombardozzi, D., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S., Neill, C.,
 Olsen, A., Ono, T., Patra, P., Peregon, A., Peters, W., Peylin, P., Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G.,
- 695 Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rocher, M., Rodenbeck, C., Schuster, U., Schwinger, J., Seferian, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sutton, A., Tans, P. P., Tian, H. Q., Tilbrook, B., Tubiello, F. N., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Werf, G. R., Viovy, N., Walker, A. P., Wiltshire, A. J., Wright, R., Zaehle, S., and Zheng, B.: Global Carbon Budget 2018, Earth Syst Sci Data, 10, 2141-2194, 2018.
- Lewis, E. and Wallace, D. W. R.: Program developed for CO₂ system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, U.S.A., 1998.
 - Liu, X. W., Patsavas, M. C., and Byrne, R. H.: Purification and characterization of meta-cresol purple for spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements, Environ Sci Technol, 45, 4862-4868, 2011.
- Lueker, T. J., Dickson, A. G., and Keeling, C. D.: Ocean pCO₂ calculated from dissolved inorganic carbon, alkalinity, and equations for K-1 and K-2: validation based on laboratory measurements of CO₂ in gas and seawater at equilibrium, Mar Chem, 70, 105-119, 2000.
- Olafsson, J. and Olsen, A.: Nordic Seas nutrients data in CARINA, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 205-213, 2010.
 Olsen, A., Key, R. M., Jeansson, E., Falck, E., Olafsson, J., van Heuven, S., Skjelvan, I., Omar, A. M., Olsson, K. A., Anderson, L. G., Jutterström, S., Rey, F., Johannessen, T., Bellerby, R. G. J., Blindheim, J., Bullister, J. L., Pfeil, B., Lin, X., Kozyr, A., Schirnick, C., Tanhua, T., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Overview of the Nordic Seas CARINA data and salinity measurements, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 1, 25-34, 2009.
- Olsen, A., Key, R. M., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X. H., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterstrom, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Perez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2) - an internally consistent data product for the world ocean, Earth Syst Sci Data, 8, 297-323, 2016.
- Olsen, A., Lange, N., Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., Àlvarez, M., Becker, S., Bittig, H. C., Carter, B. R., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Feely, R. A., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Jeansson, E., Jones, S. D., Jutterström, S., Karlsen, M. K., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Lo Monaco, C., Murata, A., Pérez, F. F., Pfeil, B., Schirnick, C., Steinfeldt, R., Suzuki, T., Telszewski, M., Tilbrook, B., Velo, A., and Wanninkhof, R.: Global Ocean Data Analysis Project, version 2.2019 (GLODAPv2.2019). NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, https://doi.org/10.25921/xnme-wr20, 2019.
- Orr, J. C., Najjar, R. G., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Bullister, J. L., Danabasoglu, G., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Dutay, J. C., Graven, H., Griffies, S. M., John, J. G., Joos, F., Levin, I., Lindsay, K., Matear, R. J., McKinley, G. A., Mouchet, A., Oschlies, A., Romanou, A., Schlitzer, R., Tagliabue, A., Tanhua, T., and Yool, A.: Biogeochemical protocols and diagnostics for the CMIP6 Ocean Model Intercomparison Project (OMIP), Geosci Model Dev, 10, 2169-2199, 2017.
- 725 Ota, H., Mitsuda, H., Kimura, M., and Kitao, T.: Reference materials for nutrients in seawater: Their development and present homogenity and stability. In: Comparability of nutrients in the world's oceans, Aoyama, A., Dickson, A. G., Hydes, D. J., Murata, A., Oh, J. R., Roose, P., and Woodward, E. M. S. (Eds.), Mother Tank, Tsukuba, Japan, 2010. Panassa, E., Santana-Casiano, J. M., Gonzalez-Davila, M., Hoppema, M., van Heuven, S., Völker, C., Wolf-Gladrow, D.,
- and Hauck, J.: Variability of nutrients and carbon dioxide in the Antarctic Intermediate Water between 1990 and 2014,
 Ocean Dyn., 68, 295-308, 2018.
 - Pardo, P. C., Tilbrook, B., Langlais, C., Trull, T. W., and Rintoul, S. R.: Carbon uptake and biogeochemical change in the Southern Ocean, south of Tasmania, Biogeosciences, 14, 5217-5237, 2017.
- Patsavas, M. C., Byrne, R. H., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., and Cai, W. J.: Internal consistency of marine carbonate system measurements and assessments of aragonite saturation state: Insights from two US coastal cruises, Mar Chem, 176, 9-20, 2015.
- Perez, F. F., Fontela, M., Garcia-Ibanez, M. I., Mercier, H., Velo, A., Lherminier, P., Zunino, P., de la Paz, M., Alonso-Perez, F., Guallart, E. E., and Padin, X. A.: Meridional overturning circulation conveys fast acidification to the deep Atlantic Ocean, Nature, 554, 515-518, 2018.
 - Peters, B. D., Jenkins, W. J., Swift, J. H., German, C. R., Moffett, J. W., Cutter, G. A., Brzezinski, M. A., and Casciotti, K.
- 740 L.: Water mass analysis of the 2013 US GEOTRACES eastern Pacific zonal transect (GP16), Mar Chem, 201, 6-19, 2018.
 - Pfeil, B., Olsen, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Hankin, S., Koyuk, H., Kozyr, A., Malczyk, J., Manke, A., Metzl, N., Sabine, C. L., Akl, J., Alin, S. R., Bates, N., Bellerby, R. G. J., Borges, A., Boutin, J., Brown, P. J., Cai, W. J., Chavez, F. P., Chen, A., Cosca, C., Fassbender, A. J., Feely, R. A., Gonzalez-Davila, M., Goyet, C., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N.,
- 745 Heinze, C., Hood, M., Hoppema, M., Hunt, C. W., Hydes, D., Ishii, M., Johannessen, T., Jones, S. D., Key, R. M., Kortzinger, A., Landschutzer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefevre, N., Lenton, A., Lourantou, A., Merlivat, L., Midorikawa, T., Mintrop, L., Miyazaki, C., Murata, A., Nakadate, A., Nakano, Y., Nakaoka, S., Nojiri, Y., Omar, A. M., Padin, X. A., Park, G. H., Paterson, K., Perez, F. F., Pierrot, D., Poisson, A., Rios, A. F., Santana-Casiano, J. M., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Schneider, B., Schuster, U., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Suzuki, T.,





775

- 750 Takahashi, T., Tedesco, K., Telszewski, M., Thomas, H., Tilbrook, B., Tjiputra, J., Vandemark, D., Veness, T., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Weiss, R., Wong, C. S., and Yoshikawa-Inoue, H.: A uniform, quality controlled Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT), Earth Syst Sci Data, 5, 125-143, 2013.
 - Qi, D., Chen, L., Chen, B., Gao, Z., Zhong, W., Feely, Richard A., Anderson, Leif G., Sun, H., Chen, J., Chen, M., Zhan, L., Zhang, Y., and Cai, W.-J.: Increase in acidifying water in the western Arctic Ocean, Nat Clim Change, 7, 195, 2017.
- 755 Quay, P., Sonnerup, R., Munro, D., and Sweeney, C.: Anthropogenic CO₂ accumulation and uptake rates in the Pacific Ocean based on changes in the ¹³C/¹²C of dissolved inorganic carbon, Global Biogeochem Cy, 31, 59-80, 2017. Rae, J. W. B. and Broecker, W.: What fraction of the Pacific and Indian oceans' deep water is formed in the Southern Ocean?, Biogeosciences, 15, 3779-3794, 2018.
- Rousseaux, C. S. and Gregg, W. W.: Recent decadal trends in global phytoplankton composition, Global Biogeochem Cy, 760 29, 1674-1688, 2015.
- Sabine, C., Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Feely, R. A., Wanninkhof, R., Millero, F. J., Peng, T.-H., Bullister, J. L., and Lee, K.: Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP): Results and Data, ORNL/CDIAC-145, NDP-083, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN, U.S.A., 2005.
- 765 Sabine, C. L., Feely, R. A., Gruber, N., Key, R. M., Lee, K., Bullister, J. L., Wanninkhof, R., Wong, C. S., Wallace, D. W. R., Tilbrook, B., Millero, F. J., Peng, T. H., Kozyr, A., Ono, T., and Rios, A. F.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂, Science, 305, 367-371, 2004.
- Sauzède, R., Bittig, H. C., Claustre, H., Pasqueron de Fommervault, O., Gattuso, J.-P., Legendre, L., and Johnson, K. S.: Estimates of water-column nutrient concentrations and carbonate system parameters in the global ocean: A novel 770 approach based on neural networks, Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 2017.
- Sérazin, G.: An approximate neutral density variable for the World's oceans, Master's Thesis, Ecole Centrale, Lyon, Ècully, France, 2011.
 - Sessford, E. G., Tisserand, A. A., Risebrobakken, B., Andersson, C., Dokken, T., and Jansen, E.: High-resolution benthic Mg/Ca temperature record of the intermediate water in the Denmark Strait across D-O stadial-interstadial cycles, Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatology, 33, 1169-1185, 2018.
- Steinfeldt, R., Tanhua, T., Bullister, J. L., Key, R. M., Rhein, M., and Köhler, J.: Atlantic CFC data in CARINA, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 1-15, 2010.
 - Suzuki, T., Ishii, M., Aoyama, A., Christian, J. R., Enyo, K., Kawano, T., Key, R. M., Kosugi, N., Kozyr, A., Miller, L. A., Murata, A., Nakano, T., Ono, T., Saino, T., Sasaki, K., Sasano, D., Takatani, Y., Wakita, M., and Sabine, C.:
- 780 PACIFICA Data Synthesis Project, ORNL/CDIAC-159, NDP-092, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U. S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN, U.S.A., 2013.
 - Swift, J. and Diggs, S. C.: Description of WHP exchange format for CTD/Hydrographic data, CLIVAR and Carbon Hydrographic Data Office, UCSD Scripps Institution of Oceanography, San Diego, Ca, US, 2008.
- Talley, L. D., Feely, R. A., Sloyan, B. M., Wanninkhof, R., Baringer, M. O., Bullister, J. L., Carlson, C. A., Doney, S. C., Fine, R. A., Firing, E., Gruber, N., Hansell, D. A., Ishii, M., Johnson, G. C., Katsumata, K., Key, R. M., Kramp, M., 785 Langdon, C., Macdonald, A. M., Mathis, J. T., McDonagh, E. L., Mecking, S., Millero, F. J., Mordy, C. W., Nakano, T., Sabine, C. L., Smethie, W. M., Swift, J. H., Tanhua, T., Thurnherr, A. M., Warner, M. J., and Zhang, J. Z.: Changes in ocean heat, carbon content, and ventilation: A review of the first decade of GO-SHIP global repeat hydrography, Annual Review of Marine Science, Vol 8, 8, 185-215, 2016.
- 790 Tanhua, T., van Heuven, S., Key, R. M., Velo, A., Olsen, A., and Schirnick, C.: Quality control procedures and methods of the CARINA database, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 35-49, 2010.
 - Tjiputra, J. F., Goris, N., Lauvset, S. K., Heinze, C., Olsen, A., Schwinger, J., and Steinfeldt, R.: Mechanisms and Early Detections of Multidecadal Oxygen Changes in the Interior Subpolar North Atlantic, Geophys Res Lett, 45, 4218-4229, 2018
- 795 UNESCO: Tenth report of the joint panel on oceanographic tables and standards, UNESCO Technical Paper in Marine Science, 36, 13-21, 1981.

Uppström, L. R.: Boron/Chlorinity ratio of deep-sea water from Pacific Ocean, Deep-Sea Research, 21, 161-162, 1974.

- van Heuven, S., Pierrot, D., Rae, J. W. B., Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R.: MATLAB program developed for CO2 system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105b, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National 800 Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, U.S.A., 2011.
 - Ward, B. A., Wilson, J. D., Death, R. M., Monteiro, F. M., Yool, A., and Ridgwell, A.: EcoGEnIE 1.0: plankton ecology in the cGEnIE Earth system model, Geosci Model Dev, 11, 4241-4267, 2018.
 - Warner, M. J. and Weiss, R. F.: Solubilities of chlorofluorocarbon-11 and chlorofluorocarbon-12 in water and seawater, Deep-Sea Res, 32, 1485-1497, 1985.
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da 805 Silva Santos, L. B., Bourne, P. E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A. J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C. T., Finkers, R., Gonzalez-Beltran, A., Gray, A. J. G., Groth, P., Goble, C., Grethe, J. S., Heringa, J., 't Hoen, P. A. C., Hooft, R., Kuhn, T., Kok, R., Kok, J., Lusher, S. J., Martone, M. E., Mons, A., Packer, A. L., Persson, B., Rocca-Serra, P., Roos, M., van Schaik, R., Sansone, S.-A., Schultes, E., Sengstag, T., Slater, T., Strawn, G., Swertz,
- 810 M. A., Thompson, M., van der Lei, J., van Mulligen, E., Velterop, J., Waagmeester, A., Wittenburg, P., Wolstencroft, K., Zhao, J., and Mons, B.: The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship, Scientific Data, 3, 160018, 2016.





Yao, W. S., Liu, X. W., and Byrne, R. H.: Impurities in indicators used for spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements: Assessment and remedies, Mar Chem, 107, 167-172, 2007.

815

Access	Earth System Science	Discu
Open /	Data	ssions



Table 1. Variables in the GLODAPv2.2019 comma separated (csv) product files, their units, short and flag names, and corresponding names in the individual cruise exchange files. In the MATLAB product files that are also supplied a "G2" has been added to every variable name.

Variable	Units	Product file name	WOCE flag name ^a	2nd QC flag name ^b	Exchange file name
Assigned sequential cruise number		cruise			
Station		station			STANBR
Cast		cast			CASTNO
Year		year			DATE
Month		month			DATE
Day		day			DATE
Hour		hour			TIME
Minute		minute			TIME
Latitude		latitude			LATITUDE
Longitude		longitude			LONGITUDE
Bottom depth	m	bottomdepth			
Pressure of the deepest sample	dbar	maxsampdepth			DEPTH
Niskin botttle number		bottle			BTLNBR
Sampling pressure	dbar	pressure			CTDPRS
Sampling depth	m	depth			
Temperature	°C	temperature			CTDTMP
potential temperature	°C	theta			
Salinity		salinity	salinityf	salinityqc	CTDSAL/SALNTY
Potential density anomaly	kg m ⁻³	sigma0	(salinityf)		
Potential density anomaly, ref 1000	kg m ⁻³	sigma1	(salinityf)		
dbar	3				
Potential density anomaly, ref 2000	kg m [°]	sigma2	(salinityf)		
Potential density anomaly, ref 3000	kg m ⁻³	sigma3	(salinityf)		
dbar					
Potential density anomaly, ref 4000	kg m ⁻³	sigma4	(salinityf)		
dbar Neutral density enemaly	ka m ⁻³		(colimit of)		
	kg III	gamma	(samity)	0.000	CTDOXY/OXVCEN
Appendent ovugan utilization	µmoi kg	oxygen	oxygem	oxygenqe	CIDOXI/OXIGEN
Nitrate	umal kg ⁻¹	nitrate	nitratef	nitratege	NITRAT
Nitrita	µmoi kg	nitrite	nitritef	muateqe	NITRAL
Silicate	µmol kg	silicate	silicatef	silicatego	SUCAT
Phosphate	umal kg ⁻¹	nhosnhate	phosphatef	phosphateac	PHSPHT
	umal ha ⁻¹	tao2	too2f	too2aa	TCAPPON
	umal kg ⁻¹	talk	talkf	talkac	ALKALL
\mathbf{n} at total scale 25°C and 0 dbar	µшог кд	nhts25n0	nhts25n0f	phtsac	PH TOT
of pressure		phiszopo	pm325p01	pinoqe	
pH at total scale, in situ		phtsinsitutp	phtsinsitutpf	phtsqc	
temperature and pressure					
CFC-11	pmol kg ⁻¹	cfc11	cfc11f	cfc11qc	CFC-11





Variable	Units	Product file name	WOCE flag name ^a	2nd QC flag name ^b	Exchange file name
pCFC-11	ppt	pcfc11	(cfc11f)		
CFC-12	pmol kg ⁻¹	cfc12	cfc12f	cfc12qc	CFC-12
pCFC-12	ppt	pcfc12	(cfc12f)		
CFC-113	pmol kg ⁻¹	cfc113	cfc113f	cfc113qc	CFC-113
pCFC-113	ppt	pcfc113	(cfc113f)		
CCl ₄	pmol kg ⁻¹	ccl4	ccl4f	ccl4qc	CCL4
pCCl ₄	ppt	pccl4	(ccl4f)		
SF ₆	fmol kg ⁻¹	sf6	sf6f		SF6
pSF6	ppt	psf6	(sf6f)		
$\delta^{13}C$	‰	c13	c13f	c13qc	DELC13
$\Delta^{14}C$	‰	c14	c14f		DELC14
Δ^{14} C counting error	‰	c14err			C14ERR
³ Н	TU	h3	h3f		TRITIUM
³ H counting error	TU	h3err			TRITER
δ^{3} He	%	he3	he3f		DELHE3
³ He counting error	%	he3err			DELHER
He	nmol kg ⁻¹	he	hef		HELIUM
He counting error	nmol kg ⁻¹	heerr			HELIER
Ne	nmol kg ⁻¹	neon	neonf		NEON
Ne counting error	nmol kg ⁻¹	neonerr			NEONER
$\delta^{18}O$	‰	o18	o18f		DELO18
Total organic carbon	µmol L ⁻¹ c	toc	tocf		TOC
Dissolved organic carbon	μ mol L ^{-1 c}	doc	docf		DOC
Dissolved organic nitrogen	μ mol L ⁻¹ c	don	donf		DON
Dissolved total nitrogen	μmol L ^{-1 c}	tdn	tdnf		TDN
Chlorophyll a	μg kg ^{-1 c}	chla	chlaf		CHLORA

820 *The only derived variable assigned a separate WOCE flag is AOU as it depends strongly on both temperature and oxygen (and less strongly on salinity). For the other derived variables, the applicable WOCE flag is given in parenthesis. ^b Secondary QC flags indicate whether data have been subjected to full secondary QC (1) or not (0), as described in Sect. 3. ^cUnits have not been checked; some values in µmol kg⁻¹ (for TOC, DOC, DON, TDN) or µg l⁻¹ most likely occur.





825 **Table 2.** WOCE flags in GLODAPv2.2019 exchange format original data files and product files.

WOCE Else Velve

WOCE Flag Value	Interpretation	1
	Original data exchange files	Merged product files
0	Not used	Interpolated or calculated value
1	Data not received	Not used ^a
2	Acceptable	Acceptable
3	Questionable	Not used ^b
4	Bad	Not used ^b
5	Value not reported	Not used ^b
6	Average of replicate/	Not used ^e
7	Manual chromatographic peak measurement	Not used ^c
8	Irregular digital peak measurement	Not used ^b
9	Sample not drawn	No data

^aFlag set to 9 in product files

^bData are not included in the GLODAPv2.2019 product files and their flags set to 9.

°Data are included, but flag set to 2





830	Table 3 Initial minimum adjustment limits
050	Table 5. Initial Infinitian augustinent Infints.

Variable	Minimum Adjustment
Salinity	0.005
Oxygen	1%
Nutrients	2%
TCO ₂	4 μmol kg ⁻¹
TAlk	4 μmol kg ⁻¹
pН	0.01
CFCs	5%





Table 4. S	Summarv	of salinity	and oxygen	calibration	needs and	actions:	number of	occurrences	for each o	f the scena	rios identified.
	Junnar J	or ourning	and on going	eanoration.	needs and	actions,	mannoer or	occurrences	ioi eaen o		

Case	Description	Salinity	Oxygen
1	No data are available: no action needed.	0	5
2	No bottle values present: use CTD derived values.	13	5
3	No CTD values present: use bottle data.	1	51
4	To few data of both types for comparison and >80% of records have bottle		
	values: use bottle values.	0	0
5	The CTD values do not deviate significantly from bottle values: replace		
	missing bottle values with CTD values.	102	34
6	The CTD values deviate significantly from bottle values: calibrate these		
	using linear fit and replace missing bottle values with calibrated CTD		
	values.	0	8
7	The CTD values deviate significantly from bottle values, and no good linear		
	fit can be obtained for the cruise, use bottle values and discard CTD values.	0	13

835





Table 5. Summary of secondary QC actions per variable for the 116 new cruises.

	Sal.	Oxy.	NO ₃	Si	PO ₄	TCO ₂	TAlk	pН	CFC-11	CFC-12	CFC-113	CCl ₄
With data	116	111	101	106	106	91	89	77	32	49	10	1
No data	0	5	15	10	10	25	27	39	84	67	106	115
Unadjusted ^a	99	84	78	70	76	61	51	33	27	43	6	0
Adjusted ^b	1	7	6	13	10	2	8	10	1	3	0	0
-888°	16	19	13	19	17	28	28	34	3	3	2	0
-666 ^d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-777°	0	1	4	4	3	0	2	0	1	0	2	1

^aThe data are included in the data product file as is, with a secondary QC flag of 1.

^bThe adjusted data are included in the data product file with a secondary QC flag of 1.

840 cData appear of good quality but have not been subjected to full secondary QC. They are included in data product with a secondary QC flag of 0.

^dData are of uncertain quality and suspended until full secondary QC has been carried out, they are excluded from the data product. ^eData are of poor quality and excluded from the data product.





845

Table 6. Summary of the distribution of applied adjustments per variable, in number of adjustments applied for each variable.

-	adj.< limit	limit≤adj.<2*limit	2*limit≤adj.
Salinity	0	1	0
Oxygen	0	5	2
NO ₃	0	2	4
Si	3	6	4
PO ₄	1	4	5
TCO ₂	1	1	0
TAlk	4	4	0
pН	2	6	2
CFC-11	0	0	1
CFC-12	0	1	2
CFC-113	0	0	0
CCl ₄	0	0	0

Earth System Discussion Science signate Data



Table 7. Improvements resulting from quality control of the 116 new cruises, per basin and for the global dataset. The850numbers in the table are the weighted mean absolute offset of the crossover offsets versus GLODAPv2 of unadjusted and
adjusted data, respectively. n is the total number of valid crossovers in the global ocean for the variable in question.

	А	RCTI	с	AT	LANT	IC	I	NDIA	N	Р	ACIFI	с	G	LOBA	۱L	
	unadj		adj	unadj		adj	unadj		adj	unadj		adj	unadj		adj	n (global)
Sal [x1000]	10	=>	10	5.4	=>	5.4	3.4	=>	3.1	2.2	=>	2.2	3.5	=>	3.5	3149
Oxy [%]	3.6	=>	0.8	1.0	=>	0.9	0.5	=>	0.5	0.7	=>	0.7	1.0	=>	0.8	2898
NO ₃ [%]	1.9	=>	1.9	2.6	=>	1.3	0.9	=>	0.9	0.7	=>	0.7	0.8	=>	0.8	2403
Si [%]	11.4	=>	11.1	2.8	=>	2.6	2.3	=>	1.1	1.1	=>	0.9	1.3	=>	1.1	2315
PO ₄ [%]	5.9	=>	2.7	2.2	=>	1.3	1.1	=>	1.1	0.9	=>	0.9	1.0	=>	0.9	2403
TCO2 [µmol/kg]	3.9	=>	3.9	6.4	=>	6.4	2.3	=>	2.3	2.9	=>	2.6	4.2	=>	4.0	784
[µmol/kg]	2.3	=>	2.3	2.7	=>	2.3	2.4	=>	2.4	4.0	=>	3.0	3.3	=>	2.7	662
pH [x1000]	9.6	=>	11.2	8.4	=>	7.7	9.8	=>	9.8	1.2	=>	1.0	10.7	=>	9.3	603

855





Table 8. Improvements resulting from the quality control of Atlantic cruises south of 50°N

	ATLANTIC							
	unadj		adj					
Sal [x1000]	3.2	=>	3.1					
Oxy [%]	0.8	=>	0.6					
NO ₃ [%]	2.1	=>	1.3					
Si [%]	2.2	=>	1.7					
PO4 [%]	1.2	=>	0.9					
TCO2 [μmol/kg]	1.8	=>	1.8					
TAlk [µmol/kg]	2.5	=>	1.7					
pH [x1000]	9.7	=>	6.0					





860 Appendix A. Supplementary tables

Table A1. Cruises included in GLODAPv2.2019 that did not appear in GLODAPv2. Complete information on each cruise, such as variables included, and chief scientist and principal investigator names is provided in the cruise summary table at https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/GLODAPv2_2019/cruise_table_v2019.html

No	EXPOCODE	Region	Alias	Start	End	Ship
1001	06AQ20110805	Arctic	ARK-XXVI/3	20110805	20111006	Polarstern
1002	06AQ20120107	Atlantic	ANT-XXVIII/3	20120107	20120311	Polarstern
1003	06AQ20120614	Arctic	ARK XXVII/1	20120614	20120715	Polarstern
1004	06AQ20141202	Atlantic	PS89; ANT-XXX/2	20141202	20150131	Polarstern
1005	06AQ20150817	Arctic	PS-94, ARK-XXIX/3	20150817	20151015	Polarstern
1006	06M220070414	Atlantic	MSM05-1	20070414	20070503	Maria S. Merian
1007	06M220080723	Atlantic	MSM09-1	20080723	20080818	Maria S. Merian
1008	06M220170104	Atlantic	MSM60-1 SAMOC	20170104	20170201	Maria S. Merian
1009	06M320110624	Atlantic	M85/1	20110624	20110802	Meteor
1010	06M320140530	Atlantic	M107	20140530	20140703	Meteor
1011	06M320150501	Atlantic	M116/1	20150501	20150603	Meteor
1012	06MM20081031	Atlantic	MSM10/1	20081031	20081206	Maria S. Merian
1013	06MT20091126	Atlantic	MT80/2	20091126	20091222	Meteor
1014	06MT20101014	Atlantic	M83/1	20101014	20101113	Meteor
1015	06MT20130525	Atlantic	M97	20130525	20130623	Meteor
1016	06MT20140317	Atlantic	M105	20140317	20140414	Meteor
1017	096U20150321	Indian	SOCCOM; IN2015_v01; IMOS	20150321	20150330	Investigator
1018	096U20160108	Indian	IN2016_v01, SOCCOM	20160108	20160227	Investigator
1019	096U20160314	Indian	IN2016_v02, SOCCOM	20160314	20160413	Investigator
1020	096U20160426	Pacific	IN2016_V03, P15S, SOCCOM	20160426	20160630	Investigator
1021	09AR19940101	Indian	09AR9407_1, AU9407, SR03	19940101	19940301	Aurora Australis
1022	09AR19950717	Indian	FORMEX, 09AR9501_1	19950717	19950902	Aurora Australis
1023	09AR19960119	Indian	S04I	19960119	19960323	Aurora Australis
1024	09AR20160111	Indian	SOCCOM; Kerguelen Axis (K-Axis) V3	20160111	20160315	Aurora Australis
1025	18HU20130507	Atlantic	AR07W_2013	20130507	20130528	Hudson
1026	18HU20140502	Atlantic	AR07W_2014	20140502	20140524	Hudson
1027	18HU20150504	Atlantic	AR07W_2015	20150504	20150524	Hudson
1028	18HU20160430	Atlantic	AR07W_2016	20160430	20160515	Hudson
1029	18MF20120601	Atlantic	MLB2012001, AR07W_2012	20120601	20120617	Martha L. Black
1030	29AH20110128	Atlantic	24N_Malaspina_2011, A05_2011	20110128	20110314	Sarmiento de Gamboa
1031	29AH20120623	Atlantic	OVIDE-2012	20120623	20120714	Sarmiento de Gamboa
1032	316N20070207	Atlantic	KN188-1, CLIMODE	20070207	20070322	Knorr
1033	316N20111106	Atlantic	GT11, NAT-11	20111106	20111211	Knorr
1034	317W20130803	Pacific	WCOA2013	20130803	20130829	Fairweather
1035	318M20130321	Pacific	GOSHIP_P02	20130321	20130501	Melville
1036	320620140320	Pacific	P16S_2014	20140320	20140505	Nathaniel B. Palmer
1037	320620151206	Pacific	OOISO; NBP15_11	20151206	20160102	Nathaniel B. Palmer
1038	325020131025	Pacific	TGT303, P21_2013	20131025	20131220	Thomas G. Thompson
1039	32P020130829	Pacific	WCOA2013	20130821	20130829	Point Sur
1040	33HQ20150809	Arctic	HLY1502, GN01, ARC01	20150809	20151013	Healy
1041	33RO20130803	Atlantic	A16N_2013	20130803	20131001	Ronald H. Brown
1042	33RO20131223	Atlantic	RB1307, A16S_2013	20131223	20140204	Ronald H. Brown
1043	33RO20150410	Pacific	P16N_2015	20150410	20150513	Ronald H. Brown
1044	33RO20150525	Pacific	P16N_2015	20150525	0150627	Ronald H. Brown
1045	33RO20161119	Pacific	RB1606, P18_2016, SOCCOM	20161119	20170203	Ronald H. Brown





1046	33RR20160208	Indian	I08S_2016	20160208	20160316	Roger Revelle
1047	35PK20140515	Atlantic	OVIDE_2014, A01W_2014, A25_2014	20140515	20140630	Pourquoi Pas?
1048	35TH20050604	Atlantic	A1W, AR07, A02	20050604	20050712	Thalassa
1049	49NZ20060120	Pacific	P03W_2006	20060120	20060130	Mirai
1050	49NZ20121128	Indian	P14S_S04_2012; MR12-05 Leg 2	20121128	20130104	Mirai
1051	49NZ20130106	Indian	S04I_2013	20130106	20130215	Mirai
1052	49NZ20140709	Pacific	MR14-04, P10_2014	20140709	20140715	Mirai
1053	49NZ20140717	Pacific	MR14-04, P01_2014	20140717	20140829	Mirai
1054	49NZ20151223	Indian	MR15-05, I10 2015	20151223	20160108	Mirai
1055	49NZ20170208	Pacific	MR16-09, P17E, SOCCOM	20170208	20170305	Mirai
1056	49UF20090116	Pacific	KS09-01	20090116	20090304	Keifu Maru
1057	49UF20090422	Pacific	KS09-04	20090422	20090512	Keifu Maru
1058	49UF20090610	Pacific	KS09-06	20090610	20090812	Keifu Maru
1059	49UF20091022	Pacific	KS09-10	20091020	20091126	Keifu Maru
1060	49UF20100108	Pacific	KS10-01	20100108	20100301	Keifu Maru
1061	49UF20100414	Pacific	K\$10-02	20100414	20100423	Keifu Maru
1062	49UF20100524	Pacific	K\$10-04	20100521	20100609	Keifu Maru
1063	49UF20100615	Pacific	KS10-05 P13	20100614	20100804	Keifu Maru
1064	49UF20100811	Pacific	K\$10-06	20100014	20100804	Keifu Maru
1065	49UF20110108	Pacific	K\$11-01	20110108	20110020	Keifu Maru
1065	49UF20110205	Pacific	KS11-02	20110100	20110125	Keifu Maru
1067	49UE20110617	Pacific	KS11-02	20110204	20110323	Keifu Maru
1067	490120110017	Daoifia	KS11-07, 107	20110017	20110805	Keifu Maru
1008	490F20120108	Pacific	KS12-01	20120108	20120120	Keifu Maru
1009	490F20120204	Pacific	KS12-02	20120202	20120524	Kellu Maru
1070	490F20120429	Pacific	KS12-04, F05 W	20120429	20120330	Kellu Malu
1071	490F20120021	Pacific	K512-00, F09, F15	20120019	20120820	Kellu Malu
1072	49UF20120826	Pacific	K5-12-0/	20120820	20120914	Kellu Maru
1073	490F20121024	Pacific	KS12-08	20121024	20121204	Kellu Maru
1074	490F20121210	Pacific	KS12-09	20121210	20121221	Kellu Malu
1075	49UF20130107	Pacific	K513-01	20130107	20130120	Kellu Maru
1070	49UF20130203	Pacific	K\$13-02	20130203	20130327	Kellu Maru
1077	49UF20130412	Pacific	KS13-03	20130411	20130508	Keifu Maru
1078	49UF20130531	Pacific	KS12-05	20130531	20130620	Kellu Maru
10/9	49UF20130627	Pacific	KS13-06, P09, P13	20130626	20130829	Keiru Maru
1080	49UP20081105	Pacific	RF08-11	20081105	20081201	Ryofu Maru III
1081	49UP20090117	Pacific	RF09-01	20090116	20090310	Ryofu Maru III
1082	49UP20090916	Pacific	RF09-09	20090916	20091111	Ryofu Maru III
1083	49UP20100115	Pacific	RF10-01	20100114	20100203	Ryofu Maru III
1084	49UP20100417	Pacific	RF10-02	20100414	20100507	Ryofu Maru III
1085	49UP20100514	Pacific	RF10-03	20100511	20100531	Ryofu Maru III
1086	49UP20101110	Pacific	RF10-07, P03W	20101110	20101222	Ryofu Maru III
1087	49UP20110107	Pacific	RF11-01, P09, P10	20110107	20110228	Ryofu Maru III
1088	49UP20110307	Pacific	RF11-02	20110303	20110315	Ryofu Maru III
1089	49UP20111205	Pacific	RF11-11	20111205	20111221	Ryofu Maru III
1090	49UP20120111	Pacific	RF12-01	20120111	20120229	Ryofu Maru III
1091	49UP20120410	Pacific	RF12-03	20120410	20120512	Ryofu Maru III
1092	49UP20120602	Pacific	RF12-05	20120602	20120717	Ryofu Maru III
1093	49UP20130109	Pacific	RF13-01	20130109	20130301	Ryofu Maru III
1094	49UP20130409	Pacific	RF13-03	20130409	20130420	Ryofu Maru III
1095	49UP20130619	Pacific	RF13-06	20130619	20130724	Ryofu Maru III
1096	49UP20130731	Pacific	RF13-07	20130731	20130918	Ryofu Maru III





1097	49UP20140411	Pacific	RF14-03	20140411	20140424	Ryofu Maru III
1098	49UP20140703	Pacific	RF14-06	20140703	20140721	Ryofu Maru III
1099	49UP20140728	Pacific	RF14-07	20140728	20140916	Ryofu Maru III
1100	49UP20150724	Pacific	RF15-07	20150724	20150915	Ryofu Maru III
1101	49UP20160703	Pacific	RF16-06, GO-SHIP_P09	20160703	20160824	Ryofu Maru III
1102	58GS20130717	Arctic	75N_2013	20130717	01307-30	G.O. Sars
1103	58GS20150410	Atlantic	AR07E_2015	20150410	20150426	G.O. Sars
1104	58GS20160802	Arctic	75N_2016	20160802	20160812	G.O. Sars
1105	58HJ20120807	Arctic	IMR, Arctic 2012	20120807	20120817	Helmer Hansen
1106	74DI20110520	Atlantic	EEL_2011_D365	20110520	20110531	Discovery
1107	74DI20110606	Atlantic	UKOA_D366	20110606	20110709	Discovery
1108	74DI20120731	Atlantic	EEL_2012, D379, AR07E_2012	20120731	20120817	Discovery
1109	74EQ20151206	Atlantic	A05_2015	20151206	20160122	Discovery
1110	74JC19990315	Atlantic	JR40, Albatross, A23	19990315	19990423	James Clark Ross
1111	74JC20001121	Atlantic	JR55	20001121	20001214	James Clark Ross
1112	74JC20071231	Atlantic	JR177	20071231	20080216	James Clark Ross
1113	74JC20150110	Atlantic	JR306	20150110	20150122	James Clark Ross
1114	74JC20151217	Atlantic	JR15003	20151217	20151229	James Clark Ross
1115	74JC20161110	Atlantic	JR16002, SR1B	20161110	20161203	James Clark Ross
1116	77DN20070812	Arctic	LOMROG	20070812	20070919	Oden

865





Figure Captions

Figure 1. Location of stations in (a) GLODAPv2 released in 2016 and for (b) the new data added with this update.

870 Figure 2. Number of cruises per year in GLODAPv2 and GLODAPv2.2019.

Figure 3. Example crossover figure, for phosphate for cruises 58GS20150410 (blue) and 64PE20070830 (red), as it was generated during the crossover analysis. The two upper panels show the station positions, the lower left panel shows the data below the upper depth limit (in this case 2000 dbar as the Irminger Sea is a site of active deep mixing (Fröb et al., 2016)) as points and the interpolated profiles as lines. Non-interpolated data either did not meet minimum depth separation requirements (Table 4 in Key et al., 2010) or are the deepest sampling depth. The interpolation do not extrapolate to this. The lower right panel shows the mean difference profile (black, dots) with its standard deviation, and also the weighted mean offset (straight, red) and weighted standard deviation. Summary statistics are provided in the upper right panel.

880 Figure 4. Example summary figure, for phosphate crossovers for 58GS20150410 versus the cruises in GLODAPv2 (with cruise expoced listed on x-axis sorted according to year the cruise was conducted). The black dots and vertical error bars show the weighted mean offset and standard deviation for each crossover. The weighted mean of all these offsets is shown in the red line and is 1.13±0.01. The black dashed lines are reference lines for a ± 4% (0.96-1.04) offset. The limit for applying an adjustment for phosphate is half of this, ± 2%.

885

Figure 5. Distribution of applied adjustments for each core variable that received secondary QC. Grey areas depict the initial minimum adjustment limits. Data that were not secondary quality-controlled are not included in the figure. Note also that the y-axis scale is set to render the number of adjustments to be visible, so the '0'-bar in each value is cut off (see Table 5 for these numbers).

890 **Figure 6.** Distribution of applied adjustments per decade for the 840 cruises included in GLODAPv2.2019. Dark blue: not adjusted; light blue: absolute adjustment is smaller than initial minimum adjustment limit (Table 3); orange: absolute adjustment is between limit and 2 times the limit, red: absolute adjustment is larger than 2 times the limit.

Figure 7. Locations of stations included in the (a) Arctic, (b) Atlantic, (c) Indian, and (d) Pacific Ocean product files.

895







Figure 1







Figure 2







Figure 3







Figure 4







Figure 5







Figure 6







Figure 7